1 2	GARY S. WINUK, SBN 190313 Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission 428 J Street, Suite 620				
3	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 322-5660 Facsimile: (916) 322-1932				
4	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
5	Attorney General of California DOUGLAS WOODS SBN 161531				
6 7	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 323-8050				
8					
9	Fax: (916) 324-8835				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION				
11	Malcolm S. Segal				
12	Segal & Kirby LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600				
13	Sacramento, CA 95814				
14	Telephone: (916) 441-0828 Facsimile: (916) 441-0886				
15	Attorneys for Defendants				
16	CENTER TO PROTECT PATIENTS RIGHTS				
17	Thad A. Davis				
18	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP				
19	555 Mission Street, Suite 3000   San Francisco, CA 94105				
20	Telephone: (415) 393-8251 Facsimile: (415) 393-8306				
21	Attorneys for Defendants				
22	AMERICANS FOR RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP				
23	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
24	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO				
25	FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION, ) Case No.				
26	a state agency,				
27	Plaintiff, STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT				
28					

THE CENTER TO PROTECT PATIENTS
RIGHTS and AMERICANS FOR
RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP

(IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANTS) UNLIMITED CIVIL ACTION

Defendants.

Plaintiff Fair Political Practices Commission ("FPPC" or the "Commission"), a state agency, by its attorneys, and Defendants the Center to Protect Patient's Rights ("CPPR") and Americans for Responsible Leadership ("ARL") (collectively "Defendants"), by their attorneys, enter into this Stipulation to resolve all factual and legal issues pertaining to the Complaint for civil penalties filed herewith.

It is stipulated by and between the parties as follows:

Solely for the purposes of this action, that the Complaint on file in this action was properly filed and jurisdiction of the subject matter and of the parties to this action, and venue, are properly in the Sacramento Superior Court. Any defects in the Complaint are expressly waived solely for the purposes of this action.

Defendants understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights that they could have exercised in this action if this Stipulation had not been entered into, including, but not limited to, their right to civil discovery, to appear personally at any civil trial held in this matter, to confront and cross-examine witnesses, and to have the trial presided over by an impartial judge, and heard and decided by a jury.

#### STIPULATED STATEMENT OF LAW AND FACTS

1. THE PARTIES AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Fair Political Practices Commission

The FPPC is a state agency created by the Political Reform Act of 1974 (the "Act"). (Government Code sections 81000–91014).

Plaintiff FPPC has primary responsibility for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the Act. (Government Code section 83111). Pursuant to Government Code section 91001, subdivision (b), Plaintiff FPPC is the civil prosecutor for matters involving state candidates, state committees, and state election campaigns, and is authorized to maintain this action under Government Code sections 91001, subdivision (b), 91004, 91005, and 91005.5. The FPPC has concluded after a thorough investigation that *all* actions undertaken by Defendants, and their Directors, Officers, employees, and agents in relation to the conduct described in the Complaint were neither knowing nor willful within the meaning of Government Code section 91000(a).

# Attorney General of California

The Attorney General for the State of California is a State Constitutional officer whose duties include serving as the chief law enforcement officer for the State and also as civil counsel to California State agencies and commissions. Government Code Section 83117 provides that, upon request of the FPPC, the Attorney General shall provide legal advice and representation to the Commission. The FPPC requested such advice and representation from the Attorney General in this matter.

# Defendant Center to Protect Patient Rights

Defendant CPPR is a bona fide non-profit corporation organized in 2009 and recognized by the IRS as a tax exempt organization under Internal Revenue Code, section 501(c)(4). CPPR is located in Phoenix, Arizona. Prior to the events which are

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

the subject of this Complaint, CPPR had not made any contributions or expenditures in California.

# Defendant Americans for Responsible Leadership

Defendant ARL is a bona fide non-profit corporation organized in 2011 and has applied for recognition as a tax exempt organization under Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(4). ARL is located in Phoenix, Arizona. Prior to the events which are the subject of this Complaint, ARL had not made any contributions or expenditures in California.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF THE LAW

# Campaign Reporting Requirements

An express purpose of the Act, as set forth in Government Code section 81002, subdivision (a), is to ensure that the contributions and expenditures affecting election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed to the public, so that voters may be better informed, and so that improper practices may be inhibited. In furtherance of this purpose of disclosure, the Act sets forth a comprehensive campaign reporting system. (Government Code section 84200, et seq.).

# Civil Liability

Government Code section 91004 provides that any person who negligently or intentionally violates any of the reporting requirements of the Act shall be liable in a civil action for an amount up to the amount(s) not properly reported. Persons who violate Government Code section 84301 and 84302 are liable in a civil action brought pursuant to Government Code section 91004.

# Disclosure Requirements

Section 81002, subdivision (a) of the Act provides that "receipts and expenditures in election campaigns shall be fully and truthfully disclosed in order that the voters may be fully informed and improper practices may be inhibited." Timely and truthful disclosure of the source of campaign contributions is an essential part of the Act's mandate.

Government Code section 84301 provides that no contribution shall be made by any person in a name other than the name by which such person is identified for legal purposes.

Government Code section 84302 provides that no person shall make a contribution on behalf of another, or while acting as the intermediary or agent of another, without disclosing both the name of the intermediary and the contributor.

2 California Code of Regulations section 18432.5 states that a person is an intermediary for a contribution if the recipient of the contribution "would consider the person to be the contributor without the disclosure of the identity of the true source of the contribution."

Government Code section 84302 provides that the recipient of the contribution shall include in his campaign statement the full name and street address, occupation, and the name of the employer, if any, of both the intermediary and the contributor.

A campaign committee is required to disclose the date and amount of any contribution as well as the identity of any person or entity making a contribution to the committee. (Government Code section 84211). A "contribution" is defined by the Act as "any payment made for political purposes for which full and adequate consideration is not made to the donor." (2 California Code of Regulations section 18215).

The FPPC has enacted by regulation special rules for "contributions" made by non-profit organizations. (2 California Code of Regulations sections 18215(b)(1) and 18412). Regulation 18412 was promulgated by the Commission in May of 2012, and provides for certain presumptions regarding the source of non-profit "contributions" as follows:

- (a) Application. This regulation establishes rules governing organizations that are formed and operate as tax exempt organizations under Internal Revenue Code Sections 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), and 501(c)(6), as well as federal or out-of-state political organizations, which make contributions or independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more from their general treasuries to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, and report the sources of the funds used to make those contributions or independent expenditures as required by Regulation 18215(b)(1).
- (b) If a donor to such an organization requests or knows that the payment will be used by the organization to make a contribution or an independent expenditure to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, the full amount of the donor's payment shall be disclosed by the organization as a contribution. For purposes of this regulation, a donor "knows" that a payment will be used to make a contribution or an independent expenditure if a donor makes a payment in response to a message or a solicitation indicating the organization's intent to make a contribution or independent expenditure. An organization that solicits and receives contributions totaling \$1,000 or more becomes a committee pursuant to Section 82013(a).

# Campaign Disclosure

An express purpose of the Act, as set forth in Government Code section 81002, subdivision (a), is to ensure that the contributions and expenditures affecting election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed to the public, so that voters may be better informed, and so that improper practices may be inhibited.

In furtherance of this purpose of disclosure, the Act requires candidates, their controlled committees, and the treasurers of those committees, to file periodic campaign statements and reports, disclosing their financial activities. (Government Code section 84200, *et seq.*).

Government Code section 82013, subdivision (a) provides that any person or combination of persons who directly or indirectly receives \$1,000 or more in a calendar year is a "committee." This type of committee is commonly referred to as a "recipient committee" under the Act.

To further ensure that the express purposes of the Act are achieved,
Government Code section 84211 prescribes the contents of campaign statements.
Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (c) and (i), requires each campaign statement to contain information regarding the total amount of contributions received during the period covered by the campaign statement from persons who have given a cumulative amount of \$100 or more, and information regarding the total amount of expenditures made during the period covered by the campaign statement to persons who have received \$100 or more.

Government Code section 84211, subdivision (f) requires detailed information for contributions of \$100 or more. It provides that if the cumulative amount of contributions received from a person is \$100 or more, and a contribution has been received from that person during the period covered by the campaign statement, the statement must disclose identifying information about the contributor, the date and amount of each contribution received from the contributor during the reporting period, and the cumulative amount of the contributor's contributions.

#### 3. CIVIL LIABILITY PROVISIONS

Government Code section 91004 provides that any person who intentionally or negligently violates any of the reporting requirements of the Act shall be liable in a civil action in an amount up to the amount(s) not properly reported. Persons who violate Government Code section 84301 and 84302 are liable in a civil action brought pursuant to Government Code section 91004.

### 4. SUMMARY OF FACTS

In November 2012, a statewide general election was held in California. Propositions 30 and 32 were on the statewide election ballot. The FPPC, during the course of its review, has determined that both Propositions saw well-funded ballot measure committees opposed to and supportive of their passage created with the California Secretary of State so that they could receive contributions and make expenditures for or in opposition to these measures. One such committee, opposed to one of the ballot measures, was registered with the Secretary of State under the name Small Business Action Committee PAC ("SBAC-PAC"). Other entities planned to engage in issue advocacy on the issues raised by Propositions 30 and 32, which is differentiated under California law from campaign activity.

California law, under the Political Reform Act (Government Code section 81000, et seq.), requires any person (defined to include individuals, entities, and corporations under Government Code section 82047) who receives \$1,000 or more in contributions or makes \$1,000 or more in expenditures to expressly advocate for the passage or defeat of a ballot measure to form a campaign committee and disclose their campaign

activity. The term "express advocacy" has been defined under regulations promulgated by the FPPC to exclude communication which, when considering their timing and tenor, are not for the purpose of attempting to influence the action of the voters.

The FPPC has learned that in the spring of 2012, a California-based political consultant and fundraiser embarked on a campaign to raise funds to oppose Propositions 30 and support Proposition 32. After consultation with attorneys, the consultant began raising funds for express advocacy to be given to either the ballot measure committees against Proposition 30 and for Proposition 32, or to SBAC-PAC. He also began raising funds for issue advocacy to be given to Americans for Job Security ("AJS"), a 501(c)(4) non-profit corporation registered in Virginia. The solicitation to contributors gave donors the option, consistent with California law, to either have their contributions reported in campaign disclosure forms by contributing to SBAC-PAC or the ballot measure committees for express advocacy, or not to have their contributions disclosed by donating to AJS for issue advocacy.

By October 2012, \$29 million from 150 donors had been raised by AJS for issue advocacy. AJS and the staff of the FPPC have determined that the donors' names are not subject to disclosure under California law. In September 2012, with the election for the Propositions less than 60 days away and, after consultation with their attorneys, AJS determined that the remaining funds would no longer be spent on issue advocacy. This was due to their interpretation of a FPPC regulation defining express advocacy, which provides that proximity to the election day is one of the factors to be examined when determining whether the tenor and timing of a communication makes it "express advocacy," even without words such as "Vote No on Proposition 30."

A decision was made by AJS to contribute the remaining funds, and any other funds that were received, to CPPR, a 501(c)(4) with social views similar to those held by AJS. The funds were explicitly provided with no specific direction as to how they would be used, and could be used for any purpose by CPPR. The funds were provided in three payments, as funds came in from donors: \$4,050,000 on September 10, 2012; \$14,000,000 on October 11, 2012; and \$6,500,000 on October 19, 2012. These transfers were all consistent with California law and not subject to disclosure.

In making each of the contributions, AJS hoped, but did not require, that CPPR, which shared the same social views of AJS, would assist with the efforts to defeat Proposition 30, , and with efforts to pass Proposition 32. These actions would also be consistent with California law. CPPR contributed approximately \$7,000,000 to AFF on September 11, 2012, of which AFF contributed \$4,080,000 to a new California committee, California Future Fund for Free Markets ("CFF"). CPPR did not solicit any contributions from donors for political purposes in California and communicated with its attorneys during this time period. AFF and CFF shared CPPR's social views. CPPR, which had never previously made contributions in California, inadvertently, or at worst negligently, did not report CPPR as a contributor to AFF although the Commission would have advised CPPR to do so had inquiry then been made of the FPPC. AFF and CFF filed disclosure statements for the contributions in a timely manner disclosing AFF as the source of the contribution to CFF, but did not disclose CPPR's contribution.

On October 12, 2012, CPPR contributed \$13 million to ARL, and on October 15, 2012, it contributed an additional \$5 million to ARL, recommending to ARL that once the funds were received, ARL should use the funds to support common social interests, including support for SBAC-PAC. CPPR did not solicit funds for political purposes in California during this time period, and from the instance of the AFF donation to the

making of the SBAC-PAC donation, CPPR's donors did not know or have reason to know that their donations, or funds with which their donations were or would be commingled, would be used to make contributions or expenditures in California. On October 15, 2012, ARL transferred \$11 million to SBAC-PAC, disclosing itself as the source of the funding. SBAC-PAC should have been informed by CPPR and ARL that CPPR had just made a contribution to ARL which shared its social views. CPPR should have disclosed itself to SBAC as the source of this contribution. The failure to disclose was inadvertent, or at worst negligent, and due to CPPR's lack of experience with California campaign disclosure law and its lack of knowledge that the Commission staff was available to respond to questions concerning reporting requirements on request by donors and recipients of contributions. During this time period ARL and CPPR communicated with counsel, and acted in good faith.

On October 25, 2012, the FPPC received a complaint that the source of the \$11 million contribution to SBAC-PAC was not properly disclosed. The FPPC opened a discretionary audit to verify that the contribution had been properly reported, but ARL asserted the audit was illegal and violative of the First Amendment and the Due Process Clause, among other things, and accordingly declined to produce the requested records. The FPPC and the California Attorney General's office filed suit in Sacramento Superior Court to compel production of the records. The issue was litigated, but prior to final judgment, the FPPC and the Attorney General reached a settlement with ARL on Monday, November 5, 2012. Pursuant to this settlement agreement, with no admission of liability to do so, ARL disclosed additional information regarding the SBAC-PAC

donation and CPPR disclosed AJS as its donor. ARL and CPPR made this information public prior to Election Day—Tuesday November 6, 2012.

In general, failure to disclose the true source of contributors deprives the public of important knowledge about who is funding campaigns and how it impacts the campaign messages they receive.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(ONE VIOLATION—MAKING OF CONTRIBUTION WITHOUT DISCLOSING NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Section 81002, subdivision (a) of the Act provides that "receipts and expenditures in election campaigns shall be fully and truthfully disclosed in order that the voters may be fully informed and improper practices may be inhibited." Timely and truthful disclosure of the source of campaign contributions is an essential part of the Act's mandate.

Government Code section 84301 provides that no contribution shall be made by any person in a name other than the name by which such person is identified for legal purposes.

Government Code section 84302 provides that no person shall make a contribution on behalf of another, or while acting as the intermediary or agent of another, without disclosing both the name of the intermediary and the contributor.

2 California Code of Regulations section 18432.5 states that a person is an intermediary for a contribution if the recipient of the contribution "would consider the person to be the contributor without the disclosure of the identity of the true source of the contribution."

Government Code section 84302 provides that the recipient of the contribution shall include in his campaign statement the full name and street address, occupation, and the name of the employer, if any, of both the intermediary and the contributor.

A campaign committee is required to disclose the date and amount of any contribution as well as the identity of any person or entity making a contribution to the committee. (Government Code section 84211). A "contribution" is defined by the Act as "any payment made for political purposes for which full and adequate consideration is not made to the donor." (2 California Code of Regulations section 18215).

The FPPC has enacted by regulation special rules for "contributions" made by non-profit organizations. (2 California Code of Regulations sections 18215(b)(1) and 18412). Regulation 18412 was promulgated by the Commission in May of 2012, and provides for certain presumptions regarding the source of non-profit "contributions" as follows:

- (a) Application. This regulation establishes rules governing organizations that are formed and operate as tax exempt organizations under Internal Revenue Code Sections 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), and 501(c)(6), as well as federal or out-of-state political organizations, which make contributions or independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more from their general treasuries to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, and report the sources of the funds used to make those contributions or independent expenditures as required by Regulation 18215(b)(1).
- (b) If a donor to such an organization requests or knows that the payment will be used by the organization to make a contribution or an independent expenditure to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, the full amount of the donor's payment shall be disclosed by the organization as a contribution. For purposes of this regulation, a donor "knows" that a payment will be used to make a contribution or an independent expenditure if a

1
 2
 3

donor makes a payment in response to a message or a solicitation indicating the organization's intent to make a contribution or independent expenditure. An organization that solicits and receives contributions totaling \$1,000 or more becomes a committee pursuant to Section 82013(a).

On or about October 15, 2012, Defendant CPPR made a contribution to SBAC-PAC, a California campaign recipient committee through its contribution to Defendant ARL, without either Defendant disclosing to SBAC-PAC that CPPR was the initial source of the contribution, thereby depriving SBAC-PAC of the opportunity to make a more complete disclosure and the public of the knowledge of the initial source of the contribution in violation of Government Code sections 84301 and 84302. CPPR and ARL's decisions relating to disclosure were either inadvertent, or at worst, negligent. After diligent inquiry, the FPPC has concluded that these actions were neither knowingly nor willfully made under Government Code sections 84301, 84302 or 91000(a).

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(ONE VIOLATION—MAKING OF CONTRIBUTION WITHOUT DISCLOSING NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Section 81002, subdivision (a) of the Act provides that "receipts and expenditures in election campaigns shall be fully and truthfully disclosed in order that the voters may be fully informed and improper practices may be inhibited." Timely and truthful disclosure of the source of campaign contributions is an essential part of the Act's mandate.

Government Code section 84301 provides that no contribution shall be made by any person in a name other than the name by which such person is identified for legal purposes.

Government Code section 84302 provides that no person shall make a contribution on behalf of another, or while acting as the intermediary or agent of another, without disclosing both the name of the intermediary and the contributor.

2 California Code of Regulations section 18432.5 states that a person is an intermediary for a contribution if the recipient of the contribution "would consider the person to be the contributor without the disclosure of the identity of the true source of the contribution."

Government Code section 84302 provides that the recipient of the contribution shall include in his campaign statement the full name and street address, occupation, and the name of the employer, if any, of both the intermediary and the contributor.

A campaign committee is required to disclose the date and amount of any contribution as well as the identity of any person or entity making a contribution to the committee. (Government Code section 84211). A "contribution" is defined by the Act as "any payment made for political purposes for which full and adequate consideration is not made to the donor." (2 California Code of Regulations section 18215).

The FPPC has enacted by regulation special rules for "contributions" made by non-profit organizations. (2 California Code of Regulations sections 18215(b)(1) and 18412). Regulation 18412 was promulgated by the Commission in May of 2012, and provides for certain presumptions regarding the source of non-profit "contributions" as follows:

(a) Application. This regulation establishes rules governing organizations that are formed and operate as tax exempt organizations under Internal Revenue Code Sections 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), and 501(c)(6), as well as federal or out-of-state political organizations, which make contributions or independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more from their general treasuries to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, and report the

1
 2
 3

sources of the funds used to make those contributions or independent expenditures as required by Regulation 18215(b)(1).

(b) If a donor to such an organization requests or knows that the payment will be used by the organization to make a contribution or an independent expenditure to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure in California, the full amount of the donor's payment shall be disclosed by the organization as a contribution. For purposes of this regulation, a donor "knows" that a payment will be used to make a contribution or an independent expenditure if a donor makes a payment in response to a message or a solicitation indicating the organization's intent to make a contribution or independent expenditure. An organization that solicits and receives contributions totaling \$1,000 or more becomes a committee pursuant to Section 82013(a).

On or about September 11, 2012, Defendant CPPR made a contribution to CFF by first making a contribution to AFF, which then contributed to CFF without disclosing that CPPR had just made the contribution to AFF, thereby depriving the public of the knowledge of the initial source of the contribution in violation of Government Code Sections 84301 and 84302. CPPR and AFF's decisions relating to disclosure were either inadvertent, or at worst negligent. After diligent inquiry, the FPPC has concluded that these actions were neither knowingly nor willfully made under Government Code sections 84301, 84302 or 91000(a).

#### **ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND RELEASE**

For the stated violations of the Political Reform Act, Plaintiff FPPC and all Defendants stipulate that a final judgment be issued and entered in the form of the order attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A," in favor of Plaintiff FPPC, and against all Defendants, as follows: In the amount of \$500,000 against Defendants CPPR and ARL, for the first cause of action, as set forth in the Complaint; in the amount

of \$500,000 against Defendant CPPR and for the second cause of action, as set forth in the Complaint, for a total civil penalty of \$1,000,000. Payment of this amount shall be made by cashier's check, payable to the "General Fund of the State of California," upon the execution and filing of this stipulation.

The parties shall each bear their own attorney's fees and costs.

It is further stipulated by and between the parties as follows:

- (A) Defendant CPPR will file a major donor statement (Form 461) showing a contribution to CFF and to SBAC-PAC as set forth herein. The FPPC agrees, that as part of the consideration for this stipulation, CPPR: (i) is not and will not be required to file as a committee under Government Code section 84200 (a)–(b); (ii) is not and will not be required to file a Form 450; and (iii) is not and will not be required to disclose any of its donors as part of these disclosures;
- (B) The FPPC agrees, as part of the consideration for this Stipulation, and as an integral part of this dispute resolution process, that the above disclosures, when filed, represents full compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations and that it will not dispute the validity of the disclosure or cause CPPR the further expense of an audit.
- (C) The FPPC agrees, as part of the consideration for this Stipulation, and as an integral part of this dispute resolution process, that the Letter sent by ARL to SBAC-PAC on November 5, 2012, disclosing that ARL acted as an intermediary for the SBAC-PAC contribution, represents full compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations and that it will not dispute the validity of the disclosure or cause ARL the further expense of an audit.

(D) Upon execution of this Stipulation, and in return for the valuable consideration herein, the FPPC releases, waives, and abandons any and all administrative claims, civil claims, and any other claims it may have within its jurisdiction against the Defendants, including, but not limited to, those stated in the instant action filed by Plaintiff in the Superior Court of the State of California and any alleged violations arising from any other transactions that occurred during the 2012 election season, any and all events which in any way arise out of the implementation and/or execution of the Stipulation, and any and all other claims it may have within its jurisdiction, including those against Defendants' current and former Directors, Officers, employees, and agents including, but not limited to, those which arise out the operative facts of the instant action filed by Plaintiff in the Superior Court of the State of California, any alleged violations arising from any other transactions that occurred during the 2012 election season, and any and all events which in any way arise out of the implementation and/or execution of the Stipulation. And the FPPC unconditionally releases and forever discharges both as to Defendants, and Defendants' current and former Directors, Officers, employees, and agents, any and all known and unknown claims, demands, actions, causes of action, and any injuries or damages that now exist or that may arise in the future based upon or arising out of, in whole or in part, omissions, acts, or events occurring prior to the Parties' execution of this Settlement Agreement including, without limitation: (1) any and all claims pertaining to any alleged violation of the Act, including, but not limited to, those stated in the instant action filed by Plaintiff in the Superior Court of the State of California, any alleged violations arising from any other transactions that occurred during the 2012 election season, any and all

27 || // // 28 || //

//

events which in any way arise out of the implementation and/or execution of the Stipulation; (2) for damages of any nature, whether past, present, or future, including compensatory, general, special, or punitive; and (3) for costs, fees, or other expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred regarding those matters released herein.

The FPPC expressly acknowledges, agrees, and covenants, that this release shall extend to all claims, whether or not known or suspected by the FPPC prior to the execution of this release, and the FPPC agrees that this release shall constitute a waiver of each and every one of the provisions of Civil Code, Section 1542, and any similar law of any state or territory of the United States. Section 1542 provides that:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor."

The final judgment may be signed by any judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Sacramento, and entered by any clerk upon application of any party without notice.

#### CONCLUSION

As the result of the aforementioned actions, the parties agree that Judgment shall be entered against Defendants, and in favor of Plaintiff Fair Political Practices Commission, as provided by this Stipulation.

1	IT IS SO STIPU	JLATED:	
2			
3	Dated:		Malcolm Segal, on behalf of Center to Protect
4			Patient Rights, Defendant
5			
6	Dated:		Thad Davis, on behalf of Americans for
7			Responsible Leadership, Defendant
8			
9			
10	//		
11			
12			
13	//		
14			
15	//		
16			
17			
	//		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	//		
24			
25			
26			
27	//		
28	//		

1 2	Dated:	By:Gary Winuk, FPPC Chief of Enforcement
3		Attorney for Plaintiff FPPC
4		
5	Dated:	Douglas Woods, Deputy Attorney General
6		Attorney for Plaintiff FPPC
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		