DRANOFF DEPOSITION

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM	
	1 INDEX
1	2
1 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY	3 WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE NO.
LAW DIVISION 2 CAMDEN COUNTY	4 CARL DRANOFF
DOCKET NO. CAM-L-4612-18	5 By Mr. Tambussi 4
4 CITY OF CAMDEN and : STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT the CITY OF CAMDEN : OF THE DEPOSITION OF: 5 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY :	, ,
Plaintiffs : CARL DRANOFF 6	6
vs. : 7 VICTOR URBAN RENEWAL, :	7
8 LLC; VICTOR ASSOCIATES,: LP; VICTOR GP CORP.; 9 DRANOFF PROPERTIES, INC:	8
CARL E. DRANOFF; and : 10 JOHN DOES 1-10, : Defendants :	9
11	10 EXHIBITS
12 TAKEN BEFORE: Kathleen S. Bowe, a 13 Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Court	11
14 Reporter and Notary Public, License No. 1312, at	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE MKD.
the law offices of Cozen O'Connor, One Liberty 16 Place, 1650 Market Street, Suite 2800,	CED-9 Portion of Defendants 229 13 Victor Urban Renewal,
17 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Friday, November	Victor Associates and
18 15, 2019, commencing at 10:00 a.m., in the	14 Victor GP Corp, Dranoff Properties and Carl
19 above-entitled cause of action.	15 Dranoff's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint,
21	16 with Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims
22 KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS * Certified Court Reporters *	17
23 * Registered Professional Reporters * 240 West 22nd Street 24 Ship Bottom, New Jersey 08008-4302	18
[609] 513-0196 25 Kathybowecsr@aol.com	19 20
	21 22
KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS	23 24
1011 2012 0000 101001200	25 KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS
2	4
1 APPEARANCES:	1
2	2 CARL DRANOFF, sworn.
BROWN & CONNERY, LLP BY: WILLIAM M. TAMBUSSI, ESQ.	3
MICHAEL J. WATSON, ESQ.	4 EXAMINATION
4 360 Haddon Avenue Westmont, New Jersey 08108	5
5 Counsel for Plaintiffs	6 BY MR. TAMBUSSI:
6	7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Dranoff. We've met 8 before. My name is Bill Tambussi. I am from
COZEN O'CONNOR 7 BY: PHILIP G. KIRCHER, ESQ.	9 Brown & Connery and I represent the City of
One Liberty Place	10 Camden and the Redevelopment Agency in the City
8 1650 Market Street, Suite 2800 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	11 of Camden in some litigation between those
9 Counsel for Defendants	12 entities and certain entities affiliated with you
10	13 and you.
11	14 Prior to today's deposition
''	15 strike that. Have you ever been deposed before?
12 13	16 A. Yes.
14	17 Q. On how many occasions? 18 A. Once.
15 16	19 Q. When was that?
17	20 A. It was a very long time ago. I think it
18 19	21 was more or less something very minor. I can't
20	22 even remember.
21 22	23 Q. Were you a party?
23	24 A. Yes.
1.70	25 Q. When you say a long time ago, more than
24 25	25 Q. When you say a long time ago, more than KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- ten years ago? 1
- 2 Yes. I think it was a trip and fall
- type of matter. 3
- 4 And that's your only deposition; Q.
- correct? 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So you're not familiar with the process,
- 8 and there are going to be times during the
- 9 process where you and I will speak over each
- other. It's virtually inevitable. Let's try not
- to do that because everything that is being said 11
- **12** here is being recorded by the court reporter. I
- 13 will try not to step on your words and please try
- not to -- try to wait until my question is 14
- complete before you begin your answer. Okay? 15
- 16 A. Understood.
- Again, because everything is being 17 Q.
- recorded stenographically, the court reporter can 18
- 19 only record verbal responses. It's human nature
- 20 to nod our head or shake our head to indicate yes
- or no. But those are not actions that are 21
- readily reported by the court reporter. 22
- So again, inevitably during the 23
- course of the deposition you may nod your head or 24
- 25 indicate an affirmative response without saying

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 6
- the word yes. I will, in those circumstances, 1
- remind you to say yes or no. I mean no 2
- disrespect. I just want a clear record. 3
- A. 4 Understood.
- Q. All right. I don't want you to guess 5
- during the course of this deposition. If there's 6
- 7 a question that requires you to approximate or
- estimate, you can do that. But I don't want you
- to guess. If you are going to approximate or
- estimate, please tell me that you're doing so. 10
- 11 Please allow me to finish my
- question before you begin your answer. If at any 12
- time during the course of the deposition you need 13
- 14 a break, we'll take a break. My only caveat to
- 15 that is you need to answer the question that is
- pending before we take the break. Okay? 16
- 17 A. Understood.
- 18 At other times in the deposition there
- may be occurrences where your counsel may 19
- 20 interpose an objection. If that occurs, please
- allow the objection to be stated for the record. 21
- 22 Let the lawyers hash that out and then you can
- proceed with the instructions that follow. Okay? 23
- 24 Α.
- 25 Q. Do you have any questions about the KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 1 process?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. You're under oath, everything that you
- 4 say is required to be true. The transcript that
- will be made in this case will be available for
- you during various Court proceedings in this
- matter. So it's important that your answers are 7
- 8 full, complete, true and accurate. Okay?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. There you go. All right. Now,
- Mr. Dranoff, are you familiar with a PILOT 11
- 12 agreement that was entered into between the City
- 13 of Camden and Victor Urban Renewal Group in 2002?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And do you understand the term PILOT
- 16 agreement?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 And what do you understand PILOT Q.
- 19 agreement to mean?
- 20 A. Payment in lieu of taxes.
- 21 And this PILOT agreement, I'm going to
- 22 show you a document that's been marked Dranoff-3,
- 23 Exhibit 3 in a prior deposition. And I ask you
- if, in fact, that document is the PILOT agreement 24
- 25 between the City of Camden and Victor Urban

 - KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Renewal from 2002? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, on Page 16 of that agreement, if
- you turn to Page 16 on that agreement, there are 4
- some signatures. Do you see those? 5
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. And is your signature on that page?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 And you signed on behalf of Victor Urban
- Renewal Group, LLC, a New Jersey Limited 10
- Liability Company by Victor Associates, a New 11
- 12 Jersey Limited Partnership, it's sole member, and
- by Victor GP Group, a New Jersey corporation as 13
- 14 general partner; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. So you signed on behalf of all three of
- 17 those entities; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Can you turn back to the first page of
- 20 this agreement. At the top it says financial
- 21 agreement. Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you understand that to be the PILOT
- agreement with the City of Camden? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

7

8

1 Q. So in the course of this deposition, if

- 2 I use financial agreement and PILOT agreement,
- **3** you understand I'm referring to the same document
- 4 which has been marked Dranoff-3; correct?
- **5** A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Can you turn to Page 7 of that
- **7** agreement. Are you there?
- 8 A. I am.
- **9** Q. On Page 7 in paragraph, subparagraph E,
- 10 there's a requirement that Victor Urban Renewal
- 11 Group, LLC provide annual reports within 90 days
- 12 after the close of each fiscal year of income and
- 13 costs related to the project, as certified by a
- 14 certified public accountant, to the City
- **15** attorney. Do you see that section?
- **16 A.** Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. Did Victor Urban Renewal Group, LLC
- 18 provide such annual reports to the City attorney
- **19** from the inception of this agreement to to date?
- **20** A. No, we did not. There was a reason for
- **21** that.
- 22 Q. My only question was, did you submit
- 23 them or not. And your answer is no?
- 24 A. My answer is no, qualified with there's
- 25 a reason for it.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 1 Q. Okay. We'll give you that opportunity.
- 2 A. Great.
- 3 Q. Now, when we get to paragraph F,
- 4 paragraph F required Victor Urban Renewal Group
- 5 to provide annually, within 120 days after the
- 6 close of each fiscal year, the calculation of all
- 7 amounts due under the agreement. Such
- 8 calculations to be attested to by a certified
- 9 public accountant of Victor Urban Renewal;
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Did Victor Urban Renewal submit those
- 13 calculations each year from the beginning of this
- **14** agreement to to date?
- **15** A. No. We were remiss in not submitting
- **16** them.
- 17 Q. All right. Now, this agreement was
- **18** dated August 21st, 2002; correct?
- **19 A.** Correct.
- 20 Q. I'm going show you a document that's
- 21 been marked Dranoff Exhibit 33. Can you take a
- 22 moment and look at that document and tell me what
- 23 it is?
- **24 A.** Yes. This is a ground lease between
- 25 Victor Urban Renewal and Victor Associates.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. Do you agree with me, sir, that the
- 2 ground lease is dated October 17th, 2002?
- **3 A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. And do you agree with me that the City
- 5 of Camden is not a party to this ground lease;
- 6 correct?
- **7 A.** Correct.
- 8 Q. And do you agree with me that the ground
- 9 lease postdates the PILOT agreement?
- **10 A.** I do, but there is a reason for that.
- 11 Q. The answer is yes, the ground lease
- 12 postdates the financial agreement?
- **13 A.** It does postdate the financial
- 14 agreement.
- 15 Q. Mr. Dranoff, I'm going to show you a
- 16 document that's been marked Lebor-2 for
- 17 identification. Do you recognize that document?
- **18 A.** Yes.

19

10

- Q. Is that the Victor Urban Renewal Group
- 20 application to the City of Camden for approval
- 21 under the provisions of the Long-Term Tax
- 22 Exemption Law?
- **23 A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you take a look at that document and
- 25 tell me if, in fact, the ground lease is in any

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

12

11

- 1 way attached to that agreement or that
- 2 application? I'm sorry.
- **3** A. It refers to, on paragraph D, Page 4, it
- 4 says "pursuant to the ground lease to be entered
- 5 into by applicant with Victor." So the ground
- 6 lease comes afterwards.
- 7 Q. And in fact, this application was dated
- 8 August 6th, 2001; correct?
- **9** A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And the ground lease was not dated until
- **11** October 17th, 2002; correct?
- **12 A.** Well, the ground lease couldn't be
- 13 executed until we owned the property, and that
- 14 was the date of the closing on October 17th,
- 15 2002. That was the reason that it was signed on
- 16 that date.
- 17 Q. Let's go back to my question. You would
- 18 agree with me that the ground lease is dated
- 19 October 17th, 2002; correct?
- **20 A.** State the question again.
- 21 Q. Sure. I showed you Dranoff Exhibit
- 22 Number 33, which is the ground lease.
- **23 A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And that ground lease has a date of
- October 17th, 2002; correct?

13 15 A. 1 1 A. 2 Q. And you would agree with me that the 2 Q. And does that entity exist today? 3 ground lease postdates the application date; 3 A. Yes. 4 correct? 4 Q. And what's the ownership structure of Α. 5 Correct. As I stated previously. 5 Victor Urban Renewal Group, LLC? 6 Q. So, therefore, the ground lease was 6 Α. Victor Urban Renewal Group, LLC is owned never submitted with the application to the City 7 7 by Victor Associates as the hundred percent 8 of Camden; correct? member. 9 9 Q. The ground lease was not drafted at that And what's the ownership structure of point. It was very preliminary in the summer of 10 Victor Associates? 10 11 2001 to have a ground lease. 11 Α. I believe that is, there's a general 12 Do you have any records, sir, of when 12 partner, Victor GP Corporation that owns a small 13 13 the ground lease was first sent to the City of percentage, perhaps one percent. I can't 14 Camden? 14 remember. 15 Other than Victor GP Corporation, who or 15 A. I myself do not. Q. 16 Q. Are you aware of any records that exist 16 what other entity owns Victor Associates? as to when the ground lease was first provided to 17 Α. I can't remember. Could it be me? I'm 17 the City of Camden? not sure. I don't remember. 18 18 19 That's really not something I would have 19 Q. Where would you look? 20 been involved in. But the answer is I don't 20 Α. I'm sorry? 21 21 Q. Where would you look to determine who know. 22 22 owns --Q. I'm only looking for things that you do 23 A. 23 know. If you don't know, that's a fair answer. There's an organization chart in one of 24 Α. Don't know. 24 these documents that would explain that. I just 25 Q. Okay. What's your present position? 25 can't remember the organization chart of every KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 14 16 I am CEO of Dranoff Properties, Inc. 1 A. 1 entity that we create. MR. KIRCHER: I had a witness 2 2 Q. Other than Victor GP Group and perhaps answer that question once, sitting down. 3 yourself, are there any other owners of Victor 3 4 MR. TAMBUSSI: That's a good Associates that you're aware of? 5 answer. 5 Α. No. Well, there's a family trust in 6 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 6 there someplace. 7 7 Q. What is Dranoff Properties, Inc.? Q. Related to you? 8 It is a real estate development and 8 A. 9 management company. 9 Q. A Dranoff family trust? 10 Q. Do you hold any other positions? 10 A. Yes. In business? 11 11 A. Q. Okay. Fair enough. 12 12 Q. Yes. Now, this financial agreement or 13 A. No. 13 PILOT agreement that was entered into between 14 We saw on the PILOT agreement that you 14 Victor Urban Renewal Group and the City of 15 signed on behalf of Victor Urban Renewal Group, 15 Camden, that was for a project in the City of Camden; correct? Victor Associates and Victor GP Group. Do you 16 16 17 17 hold any position in any of those entities? A. Correct. 18 Well, I would hold the same positions 18 Q. And was that the building known as the Victor Building? 19 that I originally had. But these properties are, 19 20 Victor and other properties that we, Dranoff 20 Some people call it the Victor, some 21 people call it the Nipper Building. They're one 21 Properties developed, they're all affiliated 22 in the same. 22 companies. And typically, in real estate, each 23 company has a separate ownership structure. 23 And did this PILOT agreement apply to

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM Page 13 to 16 of 244 4 of 88 sheets

24

25

any other buildings in Camden other than the

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Victor or Nipper Building?

And does Victor Urban Renewal Group, LLC

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

have an ownership structure?

24

25

- 1 A.
- 2 Q. Now, when you entered into this
- agreement, this PILOT agreement on behalf of 3
- Victor Urban Renewal Group, LLC, did you read the
- 5 agreement before you signed it?
- 6 A. At the time I probably did. I probably
- perused it. There's a lot of what I would call 7
- 8 boiler plate and legalese in there.
- 9 Were you familiar with the statute under
- 10 which you were seeking PILOT benefits?
- 11 I was not completely familiar with every
- nook and cranny of the statute, no. But in 12
- 13 general terms, I knew we were subject to the
- 14 long-term statute.
- What did you understand the long-term 15 Q.
- 16 statute to provide?
- 17 Well, I have to go back and put this
- into the context of how I came to Camden and how 18
- 19 the ground lease was negotiated to explain that.
- 20 Q. We'll get to that. But I just want to
- 21 get your understanding right now of what you
- 22 understood the long-term statute to provide.
- 23 A. Well, it provided a way to establish a
- 24 payment in lieu of tax arrangements between the
- developer and the municipality.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. And this long-term statute, which is the
- Long-Term Tax Exemption Law, did it have
- 3 particular requirements as to what the parties
- 4 were supposed to do?
- 5 A. If there were, I was not familiar with
- 6 them at the time I signed this. It was the first
- 7 PILOT I did and I'm not sure that -- let's put it
- this way, I hired lawyers to understand what had
- to be provided and explained and negotiated with
- 10 the City.
- Q. 11 And what lawyers did you hire to do
- 12 that?

1

- 13 A. Blank Rome. And a local attorney,
- 14 DuBois Sheehan -- I don't know the full name of
- 15 the firm. But Ed Sheehan was the principal who
- 16 worked on it.
- 17 Q. And those attorneys provided you with
- 18 legal advice on which you relied on in entering
- these agreements? 19
- 20 Α. Correct.
- 21 And those lawyers provided you with Q.
- 22 legal advice upon which you relied in making this
- 23 application for benefits; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Now, do you have any understanding of KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- what the Long-Term Tax Exemption Law provided
- with regard to the profits that may be earned by
- 3 a particular entity receiving benefits under the
- 4 Act?
- 5 A. My understanding was that the
- 6 construction of our PILOT would not enable there
- to be profits coming out of the Urban Renewal 7
- 8 entity. In effect, we would be able to set a
- 9 fixed payment for a specific period of time so
- there would be no net profits. 10
- 11 When you say the construction, do you
- 12 mean the legal construction?
- 13 Α. Yes. I mean, the putting together of
- 14 the nuts and bolts of the PILOT and the ground
- lease and the PILOT application, all of that. 15
- 16 I just want to make sure that we're
- 17 talking the same thing.
- A. 18 Not the construction of the building.
- 19 Q. So we're not talking about the
- 20 construction of the building?
- 21 A. No.

22

18

- Q. We're talking about the creation of
- 23 various business entities?
- Α. 24 That would result in a fixed payment.
- 25 Q. And you also mentioned that it would not
 - KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

20

19

- permit a particular business entity to earn 1
- 2 profits; correct?
- 3 A. It would not permit the Victor Urban
- 4 Renewal entity to secure profits.
- 5 Q. How did you come to that understanding,
- 6 that that would be the construct of the business
- 7 entities?
- 8 A. Well, now I have to go back to the
- context of how I entered Camden and why it was
- 10 set up the way it was.
- 11 Essentially, I've been a
- 12 Philadelphia-based developer, having many
- successful projects. In 2000, the year 2000 and 13
- 14 2001, I had just completed a very large historic
- 15 rehab in Philadelphia called the Left Bank, about
- 16 the same size of the Victor. And I was recruited
- 17 by Tom Corcoran around that time, in fact, those
- 18 recruiting efforts started in 1999, to come into
- 19 Camden and see if he could get me interested in
- 20 redeveloping the Victor Building.
- 21 And the next two years was a lot of
- 22 meetings and investigation of the feasibility of
- 23 taking the Victor from what was a boarded-up
- 24 vacant building that had been vacant for ten
- 25 years, and what were the possibilities of

converting that building, as I had done in

- Philadelphia with other buildings, into a
- 3 successful market rate apartment building.

4 There were many hurdles for me, for

- any developer. In fact, I know Tom and Cooper's 5
- 6 Ferry had spoken with many other developers, none
- 7 of whom were interested in proceeding.
- 8 So the circumstances in Camden in
- 9 2000 and 2001 were very adverse. The City had
- been having trials for the mayor of Camden, who I 10
- 11 believe his name was Milton Milan, who went to
- 12 jail in 2001. The City was in takeover by the
- 13 State of New Jersey. It was a very unstable
- 14 political environment.
- A developer trying to secure 15
- 16 financing on a blighted building on a pretty, on
- a vacant, nearly vacant waterfront with a City 17
- that was under State control that didn't have the 18
- 19 basic services that would even support a
- 20 building, such as a market, a restaurant, a bank,
- 21 these were things that did not exist in Camden.
- 22 So the hurdles to secure financing
- 23 were severe. And I knew that. And I had been
- reaching out to various lenders to find out if 24
- 25 they would see the bright side of the picture as KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS
- 22
- I did, and participate with me in financing the 1
- Victor and the conversion. 2
- 3 And I was getting very negative
- 4 responses. In fact, I think the records show
- that I was turned down by 49 banks. And one of 5
- the cornerstones of me being able to attract any 6
- 7 type of capital investor or loans was to secure a
- 8 fixed tax payment.
- 9 The financial community did not
- want to touch Camden at that time. Private 10
- capital was impossible to secure. And they all 11
- told me the same thing, they needed the 12
- safeguards because of the unstable and changing 13
- 14 chaotic government at the time, they needed the
- 15 comfort of having a fixed real estate tax
- payment. That is really what the rationale was 16
- 17 for securing a PILOT.
- 18 Now, when you entered into this PILOT
- agreement, you understood that it was pursuant to 19
- 20 the New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law;
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. I think it states it in the financial
- 23 agreement.

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

- And you understood that though; correct? 24 Q.
- 25 A. Yes, of course.
 - KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

And you understood that you needed to

23

- comply with all of the terms of the New Jersey 2
- 3 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law; correct?
- 4 A. I believe we did.
- 5 Q. We already went through the issue of
- whether or not statements were provided to the
- 7 City on an annual basis; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you knew that that was required
- 10 under the New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Well, I found out afterwards. I did not
- 13 know at the time. I can say that during the 14
- 14 years between the time that we signed the
- agreement in 2002 and when this, it was actually 15
- 16 16 years when this litigation began in 2018, up
- 17 until 2018, we had never received any
- 18 correspondence from the City or even a
- 19 conversation by phone that we did not submit
- 20 reports.
- 21 So although we were remiss in not
- 22 submitting the reports, we also, the City was
- 23 also remiss in not informing us that reports were
- 24 due.

1

- 25 Q. Is there anything in the agreement that
 - KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

required, the PILOT agreement, that required the

- City to provide you annual notification that you 2
- were -- of your obligations to provide reports to 3
- the City? 4
- A. Well, I would say common practice when 5
- you have -- we're one of the largest taxpayers in
- 7 the City. I would say if the City expected us to
- be paying a net profits and expecting a payment,
- then they would have informed us. Because it
- would have been their duty, if they were leaving 10
- 11 money on the table, to let us know.
- 12 Can you go to Dranoff Exhibit 3 and tell
- me where in that agreement it requires the City 13
- 14 to provide you with annual notice of your
- 15 obligations to provide reports to the City?
- 16 A. I'm not going to read the agreement. I
- 17 doubt if there's an affirmative obligation in
- 18 there. Maybe there is, I don't know.
- 19 Q. But you do know that there was an
- 20 affirmative obligation on behalf of the Victor
- 21 Urban Renewal Group, LLC to provide these
- 22 reports?
- A. 23 I acknowledged that already, sir, yes.
- 24 And that was not done? Q.
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Now, do you have any understanding of
- 2 how the profits are determined under the New
- 3 Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law?
- **4 A.** Well, I have a general knowledge of it.
- **5** Q. What is your general knowledge of how
- **6** profits are determined under the New Jersey
- 7 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law?
- **8** A. My general knowledge is that you would
- **9** determine the project costs. You would apply a
- 10 percentage of allowable profits, which my
- 11 understanding is it's the mortgage amount plus
- 12 1.25 percent. And you would multiply that
- 13 percentage times the project cost to give you
- 14 allowable profit. And that would apply to the
- 15 entire project. In other words, you would take
- **16** the total project costs.
- 17 Q. How did you come to that understanding?
- **18 A.** Well, when the litigation began, I began
- 19 to, you know, ask questions about the formulas
- 20 and become more familiar with the Long-Term
- 21 Exemption Act.
- 22 However, in my discussions, as I
- 23 mentioned previously, the net profits would be
- **24** applied to the Urban Development entity, which is
- 25 Victor Urban Renewal. As I stated previously,

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 26
- 1 there were no net profits there. That was the
- 2 intent of the construction. Construction meaning
- 3 legal construction of all that documentation to
- 4 zero out the profits and enable me to have a
- 5 fixed payment so that I could secure financing
- **6** for the renovation of the Victor.
- 7 Q. Let me try to break your answer down a
- 8 little bit. You said that you became aware of
- 9 the way that the profits were calculated when
- 10 this litigation started. Do you recall just
- 11 saying that?
- **12 A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you have any familiarity with how
- 14 profits were to be calculated under the New
- 15 Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Act prior to this
- 16 litigation?
- 17 A. I would have no reason to. The reason
- 18 being, as I stated, that the net profits would
- 19 not have applied, in my view, to the ground lease
- 20 structure that was set up under the PILOT
- 21 agreement to zero out the profits.
- **22** From the very beginning, from the
- 23 application, it was very clear to all that, to
- 24 the City officials and to the developer, that
- 25 there was a fixed payment that was being
 - KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 1 established. We used a technique that had been
- 2 used by others in Camden, and we were advised by

27

28

- 3 good lawyers, and I'm sure the City of Camden had
- 4 good lawyers. And the arrangement that was
- 5 reached provided for a fixed payment without any
- 6 net profits. So there was no reason for me to be
- 7 knowledgeable about that.
- 8 Q. So just so we're clear, your first
- 9 inquiry as to how net profits are to be
- 10 calculated and excess profits were to be
- 11 calculated under the New Jersey Long-Term Tax
- 12 Exemption Law did not occur until the litigation
- 13 started; correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- **15** Q. And prior to that point in time, you
- 16 indicated that this was a technique used by
- 17 others in the City of Camden?
- **18** A. Yes. We were told by Ed Sheehan and
- 19 others that there were other ground leases to
- 20 establish fixed real estate tax payments. It was
- 21 common. It was common throughout the State of
- 22 New Jersey.
- 23 Q. Did Mr. Sheehan give you any specific
- 24 examples?
- **25 A.** That's 18 years ago. So I'm going to

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 1 punt and say I don't remember.
- **2** Q. Are you aware of any other specific
- 3 examples in the State of New Jersey where this
- 4 framework or construct was used?
- **5** A. I can't point to specific ones, but I
- 6 know that there were others. It's my job as a
- 7 real estate developer to know, you know, the
- 8 climate and the general practice.
- **9** And in New Jersey, I would say at
- 10 that time that there were others, and they were
- 11 commonly used.
- 12 Q. Can you give me the specific identity of
- 13 any one other project where it was used?
- **14 A.** No. I'm not that knowledgeable about
- 15 specifics.
- **16** Q. And you understand that the New Jersey
- 17 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law would apply to these
- 18 agreements; correct?
- 19 A. Yes. I was -- yes, I did know that the
- 20 Long-Term Exemption Act would apply. It's right
- **21** in the financial agreement. So yes.
- **22** Q. And regardless of what your lawyers may
- 23 have told you, it's the terms of the long-term,
- 24 the New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law that
- 25 would control; correct?

30

A. Correct. 1

- And you say that these lawyers told you 2 Q.
- about this construct. Are you talking about the 3
- 4 Blank Rome lawyers and Mr. Sheehan?
- 5 Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. Is that a yes?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, you also say it was clear from the
- 9 application to all that there was a fixed
- payment. Who are you referring to as all? 10
- 11 I would say all the people from Camden
- who were involved in negotiating and coaxing me 12
- into Camden. Tom Corcoran was the, I would say 13
- the designated point person on the waterfront. 14
- He introduced me to many other, you know, 15
- officials. Michelle Banks-Spearman, Bill 16
- Spearman, Marty McKernan. People from City 17
- council, et cetera. 18
- 19 Q. Who from City council?
- 20 Α. I think Angel, A-N-G-E-L, Fuentes at the
- 21 time was one of them.
- 22 Q. Anybody else from City Council?
- 23 A. I can't remember any specific ones. I
- believe he was the head of City Council at the 24
- 25 time.

1

14

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. And Tom Corcoran, you mentioned his name
- a couple times here today. What position did Mr.
- Corcoran hold in the government of the City of 3
- Camden between 2000 and 2002? 4
- He was the president of Cooper's Ferry 5 A.
- Development Association. 6
- 7 And you understand Cooper's Ferry
- Development Association is not a governmental
- 9 entity; correct?
- 10 Well, it acted as one. They were, I
- 11 would say, the quasi redeveloper of the
- 12 waterfront and the face of the City to many.
- Do you have any evidence that it would 13 indicate that the Cooper's Ferry Development
- 15 Association had any authority to bind the City of
- 16 Camden at any time?
- 17 A. I have no evidence for or against. He
- was the person who recruited me. And he 18
- introduced me to many of the City officials, and 19
- 20 I took his role to be at least aligned with what
- 21 the City was seeking.
- 22 Q. But again, my question is you have no
- evidence that would indicate that the Cooper's
- Ferry Development Association had any authority 24
- to bind the City of Camden? 25

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- I wouldn't have asked him that question,
- 2 so I don't know.
- 3 Q. And you mentioned Michelle
- Banks-Spearman. At that point in time, what was 4
- her position? 5
- 6 A. She was an attorney with the City.
- 7 Q. And Bill Spearman, what was his position
- 8 at that time?
- 9 A. He worked for Cooper's Ferry.
 - Q. And Mr. McKernan, what was his position
- at that time? 11

10

- 12 Α. I remember him as an attorney who was
- 13 either the -- I think counsel to the CRA.
- 14 The Camden Redevelopment Agency?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what role did Angel Fuentes play in
- this process? 17
- 18 A. I think he was a cheerleader for the
- 19 project and getting me involved in Camden. I
- 20 remember that there was a bus trip where we
- 21 brought several members of City Council. I
- 22 believe he was on that trip, but I can't remember
- 23 specifically. But we brought members of the City
- 24 Council over to the Left Bank to show an example
- 25 of what a completed historic rehab would look

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

like, similar in size to the Victor. 1

- 2 Q. Other than being a cheerleader, do you
- know what other role Mr. Fuentes had with regard
- to this project that resulted in the PILOT of
- 5 August 21st, 2002?
- 6 I believe that the, either the
- 7 application or the financial agreement had to go
- 8 past City Council.
- 9 Okay. So let's talk about City Council.
- You understand that in order for the PILOT 10
- agreement to become effective, it had to be 11
- 12 approved by City Council resolution; correct?
- 13 A. I think so, yes.
- 14 And you understood that only by City
- 15 Council resolution could the PILOT be effective;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. I'm not a lawyer, so I wouldn't have
- 18 known if it was solely City Council or other
- 19 agencies had to be involved as well.
- 20 Q. Well, the PILOT agreement, which is
- 21 marked as Dranoff Exhibit 3 is between the City
- 22 of Camden and Victor Urban Renewal Group; right?
- 23 A. I'm sorry. You lost me. Which
- 24 agreement are we speaking?
- 25 Q. Exhibit 3, the PILOT agreement. You're KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

31

32

33 35 on the ground lease. There you go. it in the first paragraph, yes. 1 2 2 So what was the question, sir? And in fact, this agreement incorporates 3 Q. Dranoff Exhibit 3, the PILOT agreement,

4 also titled the financial agreement is between

the City of Camden and Victor Urban Renewal

6 Group; correct?

7 Well, let me go to the signature page.

Well, on the front page it says between the City 8

of Camden and Victor Urban Renewal Group. So 9

those are the two parties, yes. 10

11 And in order for this agreement to

become effective, it had to be approved by Camden 12

13 City Council; correct?

14 It was, but you're asking me a legal

15 question. I dealt with probably 20 or 25 cities

16 across the country and not every agreement has to

17 be approved by City Council.

18 Did you have an understanding in 2002

19 that the PILOT agreement for the Victor Building

20 had to be approved by Camden City Council?

21 Now, is this the application in 2001

22 that you're referring to or the financial

23 agreement in 2002?

24 Financial agreement, that we're also

25 referring to as the PILOT agreement.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

34

1 Did you have an understanding in

2 2002 that in order for this financial agreement,

which is also referred to as a PILOT agreement, 3

4 it had to be approved by Camden City Council?

5 A. I honestly can't recollect if -- who the

governing bodies that had to approve the 6

7 financial agreement were.

8 Do you have any reason to believe that

9 this agreement could become effective without the

10 approval of Camden City Council?

11 A. That's not my job. I hire attorneys to

12 track who has to approve things, and I can't

13 recall back in 2002 who had to approve this

14 agreement. But I do remember that the

15 application went before City Council.

16 Q. Okay. As we sit here today, you would

17 have no evidence that would indicate that Camden

18 City Council did not have to approve it; correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Now, this agreement, again, this

21 financial agreement, on the very first page in

22 the very first paragraph makes reference to the

23 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998. Do you see

24 that?

25 A. Can you help me find that? Yes, I see KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

3 the New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of

4 1998; correct?

5 A. Yes, it does.

6 Q. And you understood that when you signed

7 this agreement, that you would be bound by the

8 terms of the Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998

9 as incorporated in this agreement; correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And just so I'm clear, the particulars

12 of this Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998 that

13 dealt with how profits are calculated and how the

14 limits of profits are determined, is that

information that you didn't have when you signed 15

16 this agreement?

17 A. My understanding, and again, I've stated

18 this before, my understanding was that we had

19 entered into a fixed price, fixed payment amount

20 for real estate taxes for the first 15 years.

21 And that was not in conflict with the Long-Term

22 Tax Exemption Laws, that that was permitted under

23 the legislation.

24 And that was based on legal advice that

25 you received from Blank Rome and Mr. Sheehan?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

36

A. Correct. 1

2 Q. Now, I am just trying to get an

3 understanding of whether or not I need to go

4 through the particulars of the New Jersey

5 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998 with you.

6 Is it true that at the time you

7 signed this agreement, you yourself were not

8 familiar with the particulars of the New Jersey

9 Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998?

10 For me to be familiar with the

11 particulars, I would have probably had to be an

12 attorney and study it for many many hours because

13 I'm sure it's a very long piece of legislation.

14 So I would say that I relied on advice from

15 others and the good faith of the City of Camden,

16 who also signed this agreement.

17 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked

18 CED-6, and represent to you, Mr. Dranoff, that

19 CED-6 is the New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption

20 Law, which is about 14 pages. Have you ever read

this law? 21

22 A. No.

23 Q. So as we sit here today, can you tell me

24 if in 2002, when you signed the PILOT agreement

25 with the City of Camden, you knew, for example,

37 39 as to how the limit on profits was determined Exemption Law prior to their work with you on 2 pursuant to the statute? 2 this application? 3 A. 3 A. I think not. Because I believe they Again, that was not a consideration 4 because the profits were being zeroed out in our 4 were relying on local counsel to guide them in 5 PILOT agreement. And it wouldn't have been preparing the application. 6 relevant to my knowledge. 6 Q. Did Cooper's Ferry provide you with any 7 7 Q. I understand your rationale. assistance in the preparation of this 8 A. I'm trying to help you. 8 application? 9 I'm trying to help you actually. 9 Q. A. That, I can't answer. I can't say 10 10 whether Blank Rome reached out to Cooper's Ferry A. Okay. 11 In 2002, did you, Carl Dranoff, know how 11 for any parts of this. O 12 the New Jersey Long-Term Exemption Statute 12 Q. That would be -- we would have to ask 13 13 determined what the limit on -- how the limit on somebody from Blank Rome that? 14 profits was calculated? 14 A. Yes. 15 15 A. No. I was not familiar with the 14-page Q. Did your company have any legal or 16 16 piece of legislation. contractual relationship with Cooper's Ferry in 17 2002? 17 So if I asked you the particulars of any Q. 18 Α. 18 provision in that statute as it relates to how In 2002? 19 the limit on profits is calculated, whether it's 19 Q. I'm going to go backwards. Let me 20 an annual amount or cumulative amount, any 20 rephrase the question and make it easier because 21 21 I think I know what the answer is going to be. reference to annual service charges in the 22 22 context of the statute, your answer would be the Did your firm have any legal or 23 same, that you do not have the particular 23 contractual relationship with Cooper's Ferry between 2000 and 2002? 24 familiarity with the statute; correct? 24 25 A. That would be correct. 25 Α. I don't think so. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 38 1 Q. Let's go back to the application which 1 Q. Did your firm ever have any contractual 2 2 was marked Lebor-2. Did you have any role in the relationship with Cooper's Ferry? 3 3 preparation of this application? A. I don't believe so. 4 I believe that I had supplied some of 4 Q. Has your firm ever made any 5 the information that was required, including the 5 contributions to Cooper's Ferry? proposed improvements and applicant's experience 6 Α. 6 What type of contributions? 7 7 Q. and so forth. Any contributions. 8 Q. And did you also prepare this 8 A. Well, I was on Cooper's Ferry board from 9 application with the assistance of counsel? 9 2004 to 2017. And I made substantial annual contributions to Cooper's Ferry as a board 10 Α. Yes. 10 11 Q. And who was the counsel that you used at 11 member, as one of 30 or so board members. 12 Q. 12 Were any of those contributions that time for this purpose? financial? 13 A. The same folks I described earlier, 13 14 Blank Rome and DuBois Sheehan. 14 A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Do you know at this time how many other Is there a record of the financial 16 PILOT applications in the City of Camden Mr. 16 contributions that you made to Cooper's Ferry? 17 A. 17 Sheehan had prepared or assisted in the I believe there would be a record of it. 18 preparation of? 18 Q. Where would that record be located? 19 19 Α. A. Specifically, no. That record would be in our financial 20 Q. Do you know if yours was the first? 20 records. We would have financial records that 21 21 I don't believe we were the first. At would show what annual contributions were made to A. 22 22 Cooper's Ferry. least we were told that. 23 How about Blank Rome, do you know 23 Q. I'm trying to be a little bit more 24 whether or not Blank Rome had prepared any 24 specific. 25 Α. 25 applications pursuant to the Long-Term Tax Okay. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Your Dranoff Properties has a number of 1

- 2 different business entities under that umbrella;
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Where would we look to find record of
- any contributions that a Dranoff related company 6
- 7 made to Cooper's Ferry?
- 8 A. You would look in our -- well, first of
- 9 all, you would ask us and we would tell you. We
- would go to our general ledger and pick out the
- 11 payments that were made. They could have been
- made by Dranoff. They could have been made by 12
- 13 Victor. I'm not sure. I'm involved in dozens of
- non-profit organizations. Cooper's Ferry was 14
- 15 just one of them.
- 16 Q. Right. And that's the only one I'm
- focusing on. 17
- 18 But I can't say where the checks were
- 19 written from without doing some homework.
- 20 By the way, the various Victor entities
- 21 that are identified on the PILOT agreement, do
- 22 they keep separate books and records?
- 23 A. Which ones are you referring to?
- 24 Sure. You have Victor Urban Renewal
- 25 Group, LLC, you have Victor Associates LP, and KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

42

- Victor GP Corporation all identified on the PILOT 1
- 2 agreement. Did those entities keep separate
- 3 books and records?
- 4 That would not be typical. As I stated
- 5 previously, each real estate entity when we
- develop a project has multiple affiliated 6
- 7 entities, and you wouldn't keep separate books.
- You would allocate expenses and revenues between
- entities, but you wouldn't necessarily create
- 10 separate books and records. That would be very
- 11 costly and redundant.
- 12 And how is the allocation between or
- 13 among the separate companies made?
- 14 A. Well, every property is different.
- 15 Okay. How about for the Camden Q.
- property, how is the allocation made between the 16
- 17 various entities, Victor entities identified on
- 18 the PILOT agreement?
- 19 A. Well, there was a ground lease. There
- was also a chart on the PILOT application that 20
- 21 specified which expenses were allocated and how
- 22 much. And again, the allocation, the intent of
- 23 the allocation was to zero out the revenue so
- that there would be no excess profits and a fixed 24
- 25 payment of 200,000 dollars per year. That was KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- made clear on the application that went in on
- 2 August 6, 2001.
- 3 Q. The allocation of expenses and revenues,
- 4 was that made -- strike that. Let me start over.
- 5 The allocation between expenses and
- 6 revenues between and among the various Victor
- companies, was that identified specifically in 7
- 8 the PILOT agreement?
- 9 A. I can't recall.
 - Q. Do you want to take a look at the PILOT
- 11 agreement?

10

- 12 A. Sure. There was an exhibit, Exhibit D,
- 13 schedule of income and expenses.
- 14 Q. Right. Where does that Exhibit D
- 15 indicate an allocation of, a percentage
- 16 allocation of revenue and expenses between and
- 17 among the various Victor entities?
- 18 A. I don't think it's a percentage. I
- 19 think they're specific numbers. The expenses add
- 20 up to a million 133. The PILOT is 200,000. The
- 21 expenses are made up of one, two, three, four,
- 22 five, six, seven, eight categories. And the
- 23 total expenses are 1,333,000.
- 24 Q. Right. That's for what year?
- 25 A. This is projected. This was, this was

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

1 projected back in 2001.

- 2 Q. And where on that exhibit, that Exhibit
- D which is marked at the bottom City 1697, does 3
- 4 it indicate by percentage how the revenue and
- 5 expenses are allocated between and among the
- three parties that signed the PILOT agreement? 6
- 7 I don't see any percentage designation.
- 8 Q. In fact, there's no percentage
- 9 designation in the financial agreement; correct?
- 10 I would have to read the whole
- 11 agreement, but I would assume not.
- 12 Let's go to the ground lease, which is
- 13 also before you. Can you go to the very first
- 14 page of the ground lease.
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 Q. Is the City of Camden a party to this
- 17 ground lease?
- 18 A. No. This ground lease is between Victor
- 19 Urban Renewal and Victor Associates.
- 20 Q. Did the City of Camden have any input in
- 21 the construct of this ground lease?
- 22 A. I don't recall. It may have been
- 23 submitted in draft form to the City. I really
- 24 can't say.
- 25 Q. And this ground lease is between Victor KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

11 of 88 sheets Page 41 to 44 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

43

44

Urban Renewal Group and Victor Associates LP;

- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And isn't it a fact that this ground
- 5 lease for the first time indicated what the
- 6 allocation would be between revenues and
- **7** expenses?
- **8** A. And where would that be?
- **9** Q. On Page 7.
- **10 A.** So that paragraph 7.2 refers to a 75
- 11 percent allocation of expenses. But there's a
- 12 lot more to that paragraph.
- 13 Q. Let me ask you this. My question is
- 14 pretty specific. Isn't paragraph 7.2 on Page 7
- 15 of the ground lease the first time that there was
- **16** a memorialization of an allocation of expenses?
- 17 A. I'm assuming that that wasn't done
- 18 previously, so yes, that would have been the
- 19 first time.
- 20 Q. And at no point in time did the City of
- 21 Camden sign this agreement, did they?
- 22 A. No. This is, this is an agreement
- 23 between the two parties to the ground lease,
- 24 Victor Urban Renewal and Victor Associates.
- **25** Q. Which were related entities; correct?
- KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

46

- 1 A. Yes. And I might point out that the
- 2 schedule of income and expenses is identical to
- 3 the schedule in the PILOT application, which is
- 4 identical to the schedule that was in the
- **5** financial agreement. And in my mind, the 75
- 6 percent was, as I read this, this is up to 75
- 7 percent. And the intent was very consistent with
- 8 the original PILOT application, which was to zero
- **9** out the expenses against the revenue.
- So there is nothing really new here
- 11 or revolutionary.
- 12 Q. The City of Camden had no resolution of
- 13 approving this ground lease; correct?
- **14 A.** Well, they're not a party to this
- **15** agreement, so they wouldn't have been involved.
- **16** Q. And the way that the business was
- 17 constructed legally was to ensure that Victor
- 18 Urban Renewal Group had zero profits; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And that would be irrespective of
- 21 whether or not Victor Associates LP ever had any
- 22 profits; correct?
- 23 A. That is correct. In fact, Victor
- **24** Associates hopefully would have profits.
- **25** Q. And under your understanding, regardless KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- 1 of whether Victor Associates had a profit of one
- 2 dollar or a million dollars, there would never be
- 3 a payment made to the City of Camden above
- 4 200,000 dollars; correct?
- **5 A.** That's correct.
- 6 Q. Are you saying that you got legal advice
- 7 that said that that was permitted under the New
- 8 Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998?
- **9 A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And you got that legal advice from who?
- 11 A. The same lawyers that I mentioned
- 12 previously.
- 13 Q. Blank Rome and Mr. Sheehan?
- 14 A. DuBois Sheehan, yes. Correct.
- 15 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with
- 16 anyone in the City of Camden where you advised
- 17 the City of Camden that regardless of whether
- 18 Victor Associates had profits of one dollar or a
- 19 million dollars, that there would never be any
- 20 excess profits paid to the City of Camden?
- 21 A. Well, I think there was a general
- 22 understanding that I had investors and we were
- 23 seeking to make a profit. We were not seeking to
- 24 come into Camden and build a building and just
- 25 break even or lose money. And the intent of the

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

48

47

- 1 financial agreement was to fix the real estate
- 2 tax.
- 3 Q. Did you ever have any discussion with
- 4 any elected official in the City of Camden where
- 5 you advised that elected official that regardless
- 6 of whether Victor Associates made profits of one
- 7 dollar or a million dollars, there would be no
- 8 additional money paid to the City of Camden?
- 9 A. I cannot recall.
- 10 Q. Did you ever have any discussion with
- 11 anyone in the City Attorneys Office where you
- 12 said, regardless of whether Victor Associates
- 13 made one dollar in profits or a million dollars
- 14 in profits, the City of Camden would not have any
- 15 additional money paid to it, other than the PILOT
- 16 payment?
- 17 A. Yes, I believe that was the general
- 18 understanding. I can't recall specific people.
- **19** But the general understanding, again, for me to
- 20 be able to proceed with the Victor Project, under
- 21 highly adverse circumstances in 2001 and 2002,
- 22 for me to secure private capital and loans, I had
- 23 to fix the real estate tax so that the
- 24 uncertainty of the future would be eliminated.
- 25 And that made lenders and investors more

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 14 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 49 51 comfortable. sale, for example, with PIDC in Philadelphia or with Cooper's Ferry in New Jersey, typically, the 2 I don't think anyone in Camden, I 2 can't remember them asking me, but it would be 3 municipality would stand behind their quasi 3 4 unreasonable for them to assume that we would 4 government agencies. 5 want to have an unprofitable project. I think Q. You became a board member of Cooper's that the reason that you attract capital -- in 6 Ferry Development Association; correct? 6 7 7 fact, you can't even get a loan if you're Α. Years later, yes. 8 intending to build an unprofitable project. 8 Q. I think you said 2004; correct? 9 Q. Are you finished? 9 A. I think it was 2004 or 2005. 10 Α. Yes, I am. 10 Q. Two or three years after you entered 11 Q. You said the general understanding. 11 into this agreement with the City of Camden; You're talking about the general understanding 12 right? 12 13 13 A. between you and your lenders and investors; Yes. 14 correct? 14 Q. When you became a board member, did you 15 A. No. I'm talking about the general 15 determine what the status or structure of the 16 16 understanding with the sophisticated people that Cooper's Ferry Development Association was? 17 I dealt with in Camden. Tom Corcoran was a very A. I didn't know the legal authority, but 17 18 18 sophisticated, you know, leader of Cooper's they were negotiating with other developers all 19 Ferry, and understood that companies coming into 19 over the place to get deals done. 20 Camden have to be able to make a profit. 20 Q. Did you have any facts that would 21 But you understood that Mr. Corcoran 21 support any contention that Cooper's Ferry 22 didn't have any authority to bind the City of 22 Development Association, while you were a board 23 Camden? 23 member, had the authority to bind the City of 24 Α. No, I didn't understand that. I 24 Camden to anything? 25 honestly did not know the relationship between 25 A. I thought they did. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 52 Cooper's Ferry until I was on the board three or Q. And where did you get that belief? 1 1 2 A. 2 four years later, I had no understanding of the Through their activities in being, I legal authority of Cooper's Ferry. would say, the point person on the waterfront. 3 3 4 So when you were a board member of 4 And I can't remember them saying in a board 5 Cooper's Ferry Development Association, you knew 5 meeting, we can't negotiate because we don't have that Cooper's Ferry had no authority to bind the 6 6 the authority. 7 7 City of Camden; correct? Right. Did you ever make any effort to 8 I think they were a quasi government determine whether Cooper's Ferry Development agency that you find in other cities. And Association had any legal authority to bind the 10 typically what happens is -- I mean, Philadelphia 10 City of Camden to anything? 11 11 has PIDC and the Philadelphia Waterfront MR. KIRCHER: Object to the form of 12 12 Corporation, and these are what I call quasi the question. But you can answer. 13 government agencies that speak on behalf of the 13 It wouldn't have been my job as a board 14 City. And --14 member to drill down and ask those kind of 15 MR. KIRCHER: Let him finish. 15 questions. That's not something that would 16 MR. TAMBUSSI: I thought he was 16 typically be part of a board member's 17 17 finished. responsibilities. 18 It could be that their actions, these 18 Is it your contention in this litigation that the actions of Tom Corcoran bound the City 19 quasi government agencies, they may have to be 19 20 ratified by City government. But typically, 20 of Camden? 21 Α. 21 that's a pro forma, because they have authority I think I relied on Tom's word and his

13 of 88 sheets Page 49 to 52 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

22

23

24

25

actions, and the fact that he interacted with

government officials frequently and introduced me

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

to other government officials, led me to believe

that he was the point person on this project.

22

24 25 to bind the municipality. It may not be legal

authority that you're negotiating with somebody.

If I'm negotiating an agreement, a

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

authority, but it's general understanding

53 55 Let me try my question again. Is it 1 1 knowledge. your contention in this litigation that Tom 2 2 MR. KIRCHER: Don't guess. Corcoran of Cooper's Ferry Development 3 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 3 Association had the legal authority to bind the 4 Q. It's your answer, not Mr. Kircher's. 5 City of Camden in 2002? 5 A. I don't think that we are litigating 6 MR. KIRCHER: Objection to the form 6 against Mr. Corcoran. 7 of the question. You can answer. 7 MR. KIRCHER: Do you want to take a 8 Well, I'd be speculating. I, when I 8 break when you get to a point? 9 MR. TAMBUSSI: We can take a break 9 first was, when I first met Tom and he persuaded me to come over to Camden to take a look at the now. This is a good time. 10 11 Victor, I remember all those meetings. And I 11 (Recess taken.) didn't ask him what his authority was at the 12 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 12 13 13 time. Mr. Dranoff, we just took a short break. 14 Q. But I'm focusing on this litigation. 14 I want to ask you a question with regard to Mr. 15 A. Let me try to help you. Give me a 15 Corcoran. Did he ever represent to you during the period of 2000 through 2002 that he had the 16 question I can answer and I will. 16 17 Q. Listen to the words I say. 17 authority to bind the City of Camden? 18 18 A. A. Okay. Go on. That subject never came up. Did anyone from the City of Camden tell 19 Q. Is it your contention in this litigation 19 Q. that Tom Corcoran of Cooper's Ferry Development 20 you that Tom Corcoran was authorized to bind the 20 21 Association had the authority to bind the City of 21 City of Camden during the period of 2000 to 2002? Camden in 2002? 22 22 A. That subject never came up. 23 23 Q. MR. KIRCHER: Object to the form of Now, ultimately, you entered into this financial agreement or PILOT agreement with the 24 the question. You can answer. 24 25 At what stage? That's why I'm 25 City of Camden. And you began to do work on the KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 56 redevelopment of the Victor Building; right? struggling. At what stage, sir? Are you asking 1 1 me in 2001, in 2004, in 2019? My knowledge today 2 A. 2 Yes. is different than it was in 2001. I'm trying to 3 Q. Did Cooper's Ferry receive any fee for be helpful to you, but I don't understand the 4 the work that was done on the, either the 5 question. Sorry. development of the PILOT or any of the I'm trying to be real specific here. 6 6 redevelopment work on the Victor Building? Q. 7 You understand you're a party to litigation --7 Α. From me? 8 A. Yes, of course. 8 Q. From anyone. 9 Q. -- with the City of Camden; correct? 9 A. I would only have knowledge as to me, 10 A. 10 but no. Yes. 11 11 Q. Let me finish my question before you Q. Was there environmental remediation work 12 12 start. And you understand in that litigation you done on the Victor Building before you started work? 13 make certain contentions and allegations; 13 14 correct? 14 Α. Yes, quite a bit. 15 A. 15 Q. Do you know who did that work? Yes. 16 Q. Is one of your contentions in this 16 A. Yes. DRPA, which I, either had title to 17 litigation that we're talking about here today, 17 the Victor or was the manager of the asset, that Tom Corcoran of Cooper's Ferry Development 18 engaged our company, Dranoff Properties, as 18 Association had the legal authority to bind the 19 19 project manager to do the work. 20 City of Camden in 2002? 20 Q. So your company did the environmental MR. KIRCHER: Object to the form of 21 21 remediation work? 22 Α. 22 Yes. the question. 23 I don't think we're making the assertion 23 Q. Under contract to the Delaware River today that he had that authority. I don't think 24 Port Authority? 24 that's part of our litigation, to the best of my 25 A. 25 Yes. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

57 Now, this Victor Project after the PILOT 1

- 2 agreement was signed, were there investors in
- 3 that project other than any Dranoff entity?
- 4 A. Yes, there were.
- 5 Q. And who were the investors?
- 6 A. Well, there was one company, Related. I
- believe it was the Related Company or the Related 7
- 8 Fund.
- 9 Q. What was that company?
- 10 Α. That company essentially purchases
- 11 historic tax credits. And they became a partner
- to participate in the project to utilize the tax 12
- 13 credits.
- 14 Q. Do you have any ownership interest in
- Related Company? 15
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Do you know who the owners of Related
- Company are? Strike that. 18
- 19 Do you know who the owners of
- 20 Related Company were at or about the time that
- 21 they were involved in this project?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. 23 Do you know presently whether Related
- 24 Company exists?
- 25 A. Yes, it does exist.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. Are you presently involved in any 1
- 2 business dealings with Related Company?
- 3 Well, Related is a very big company.
- 4 They have many different arms. And at the time,
- 5 the Related Fund was one of their arms, and the
- specific purpose of that company was to be 6
- 7 investors in tax credit projects, affordable
- 8 housing and historic tax credits.
- 9 There are other arms of that
- 10 company that provide financing, that invest in
- 11 market rate projects and develop themselves. But
- 12 as far as the Related Fund, no, we're no longer
- 13 -- we have no relationship with them at all.
- 14 Q. For how long did your relationship with
- 15 the Related Company last?
- 16 Let's call it the Related Fund, if you
- 17 don't mind, to be specific, because that company
- could have a hundred different entities. 18
- 19 For how long did the Related Fund have Q.
- 20 involvement with the Victor Building?
- 21 A. I would say for about, I guess about ten
- 22 years.
- 23 Q. And did the Related Fund contribute
- 24 capital to the Victor Building project?
- 25 A. Yes, they did.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. How much did the Related Fund
- contribute? 2
- 3 Α. I think it was slightly under ten
- 4 million dollars.
- 5 Q. And what was the benefit or the
- 6 consideration that the Related Fund received for
- its contribution of slightly less than ten 7
- 8 million dollars?
- 9 A. They received the -- they became the
- 10 beneficial owners of the tax credits.
- 11 What type of tax credits are you
- 12 referring to here?
- 13 A. Historic tax credits.
- 14 Q. And what is, what do you understand
- 15 historic tax credits to be?
- 16 Α. In a nutshell, when you develop a
- 17 historic building, the amount that you spend on
- construction and other qualified expenses are, 18
- 19 become part of the basis of the property for tax
- 20 credits. And at that time, I believe the tax
- credits were 20 percent. So whatever the, 21
- 22 whatever the total qualified project costs are,
- 23 you would multiply that times 20 percent, and
- that would be your tax credit amount for that 24
- 25 project.

58

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

60

59

- 1 And the investor would essentially
- 2 be purchasing those tax credits.
- 3 I thought there was an agreement in this
- 4 matter to make a payment in lieu of taxes?
- 5 A. I think we're confusing real estate
- 6 taxes with federal tax credits. Real estate
- 7 taxes pertain to the local municipality.
- 8 Q. Riaht.
- 9 A. Whereas, the tax, the historic tax
- 10 credits are a federal tax program. They do not
- 11 -- they're in completely separate buckets.
- 12 Understood. But the concept of a tax
- 13 credit is that one gets a credit for its taxes;
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. It's no different than the Economic
- 16 Opportunity Act in Camden with tax credits. It's
- 17 no different than affordable tax credits. These
- 18 are federal tax programs that enable an investor
- 19 to have the benefit of essentially having a
- 20 credit against their federal taxes.
- 21 Right. But the property in Camden was
- 22 not generating any more than 200,000 dollars in
- 23 taxes; correct?
- 24 I think you're confusing municipal real
- 25 estate tax payments with federal tax credits.

61

1 The word tax is in both, but they're totally

- 2 different buckets.
- **3** Q. In fact, one is not a tax at all?
- 4 A. I'm sorry?
- 5 Q. The historic tax credit doesn't refer to
- 6 a tax at all; correct?
- 7 A. It's a tax credit.
- **8** Q. So my point is, the tax credit was,
- 9 historic tax credit was associated with the
- 10 Victor Building; correct?
- 11 A. Yes. There were tax credits because,
- 12 this is one of the things I did when I came to
- 13 Camden is the building was not a protected
- 14 building and we placed it on the National
- 15 Register of Historic Places, which enabled the
- **16** property to benefit from federal tax credits.
- 17 Q. Now, the phrase tax credits is how it's
- 18 identified. And it's a historic tax credit?
- **19 A.** Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. But it has nothing to do with the taxes
- 21 on the property; correct?
- **22 A.** No.
- 23 Q. Right.
- 24 MR. KIRCHER: No, it doesn't?
- **25 A.** Well, let's try to put this jigsaw KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

62

- 1 puzzle together and stop me if I'm saying too
- 2 much or too little.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- **4 A.** So the Related Fund became an investor
- 5 in the Victor, and they received 99 percent of
- **6** the tax credits generated by the property.
- 7 Now, as the partner, they also were
- 8 my partner. So they would be looking at the pro
- 9 forma and the P&L. So they would have been -- I
- 10 don't believe that they would have invested
- 11 without a PILOT agreement because that fixed the
- 12 tax payments of the project.
- 13 But the day-to-day pro forma of the
- 14 project is different than the tax credits, which
- 15 are based on the construction of the building and
- **16** how much the cost to build the building, which
- 17 was about 50 million dollars.
- **18** Q. But my point is, is that the historic
- 19 tax credits are not based on the tax on the
- **20** building, but rather the cost of the construction
- 21 of the building; correct?
- 22 A. Yes. The economic basis of the tax
- 23 credits is the qualified rehab costs of the
- 24 building.
- 25 Q. So --

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- **A.** Nothing to do with operations.
- **2** Q. And it had nothing to do with the
- 3 municipal taxes paid?
- **4** A. Again, the qualified tax credits are
- **5** based on the construction costs, not the taxes.
- 6 The day-to-day operating taxes.
- 7 Q. So in effect, it was more akin to a
- 8 grant than a tax credit; correct?
- **9** A. No. No. It was not a grant. I wish I
- 10 had received a 10 million dollar grant, but it
- 11 was not a grant.
- 12 Q. Do you know how the Related Fund used
- 13 these tax credits?
- **14 A.** Well, they typically would bring
- 15 investors -- they would form a fund and bring
- 16 investors in. The investors were usually large
- 17 corporations who buy tax credits, who benefit
- 18 from tax credits. They could be insurance
- 19 companies, banks, healthcare companies, et
- 20 cetera.
- 21 Q. Do you know the identities of any of the
- 22 companies who purchased these historic tax
- 23 credits?
- 24 A. I don't recall at this time. I could
- 25 certainly research it, but there were several

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

1 companies that purchased tax credits in the

- 2 property that were part of the fund.
- 3 Q. Other than the Related Fund, did you
- 4 have any other investors in the Victor Building
- **5** project for which is referred in the August 2002
- project for which is referred in the August 2002
- **6** financial agreement?
- **7** A. No. There was one single investor
- 8 besides myself.
- **9** Q. Now, after the financial agreement was
- 10 entered into in 2002, did you obtain financing
- 11 for that project?
- **12 A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And from who did you obtain the
- 14 financing?
- **15 A.** The financing was provided by two banks
- 16 who did the construction loan, and there were two
- 17 government agencies on top of that.
- 18 My recollection was that the
- 19 construction loan was a consortium of two banks,
- 20 PNC and Fleet. And the two government agencies
- 21 were the Casino Reinvestment Development
- 22 Authority put a loan on the property, and the
- 23 Delaware River Port Authority put a loan on the
- 24 property.
- **25** Q. How much was the CRDA loan? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Page 61 to 64 of 244 16 of 88 sheets

63

65 67 I believe it was -- I'm going to give commercial mortgage back security. So they call 1 A. 2 you a range because I can't remember exactly. I 2 that a securitized loan. It was arranged through think it was eight or 8.5, in that range. 3 Cantor Financial. 3 4 Eight million to 8.5 million? 4 Q. And what was the amount? Q. 5 5 A. Yes. A. It was in the high 40s. 6 Q. And the DRPA, what was --6 Q. Millions? 7 7 A. The DRPA I remember as three million. A. Yes. 8 And how much did the consortium of PNC 8 What's the status of that loan today? O Q. 9 9 and Fleet Bank loan? A. It's still outstanding. 30 million. 10 Q. Do you understand what the balance is? 10 A. 11 Q. 30 million? 11 A. It's close to the original amount. I'm 12 12 pretty sure it was an interest only for the first A. Yes. 13 Q. Did you yourself or any Dranoff 13 couple of years. And I believe that was a associated entity make any loans to the building 14 ten-year loan also. 14 15 15 project? Q. And that would have been entered into 16 A. 16 sometime in 2015? Yes, we invested money also. 17 Α. 17 Q. And what was the Dranoff associated Yes. financing? 18 18 Q. Now, did the Cantor Financial take a 19 A. I honestly can't remember what the 19 mortgage on the property? 20 20 Α. Yes. amount was. 21 21 Q. Do you have an estimation or Q. With regard to the Delaware River Port 22 approximation? 22 Authority, is that loan outstanding? 23 23 A. I'm going to say it was maybe a million, A. No, that was paid off. 24 something like that. 24 Q. When was that paid off? 25 Q. Was that Dranoff related financing 25 Α. At the same time the CRDA was paid off. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 68 Q. 2015? documented anywhere? 1 1 2 2 A. Yes. There would have been a balance A. Yes. sheet that would have indicated what the 3 Q. 3 Was that part of the Cantor Financial investment was. 4 financing? 4 5 Q. Was there any mortgage filed or anything 5 A. Yes. When we got the new loan, we paid of that? 6 off the old loans. 6 7 A. 7 Q. Did that include the PNC and Fleet Bank It wasn't a loan, it was an investment. 8 Q. It was an investment? 8 loan? 9 Yes. Yes. And also, just to be clear, 9 A. PNC and Fleet were paid off in 2005 when I had to give personal guarantees on all the 10 we placed our first permanent mortgage, which was 10 11 loans because they were considered to be very 11 with a company called Centerline. 12 12 Q. risky loans. And how much was that permanent 13 Q. Did the loan that was made by CRDA, did 13 mortgage? 14 that get repaid in full? 14 Α. I believe it was for the same amount as 15 A. 15 Yes, it did. the construction loans, 30 million. 16 Q. 16 Q. Is that mortgage still outstanding? And when was that repaid in full? 17 I believe that was repaid in early 2015. 17 A. Nope. The initial loan, the construction loan became 18 Q. When was the Centerline --18 19 19 due in 2005. We placed a permanent mortgage on A. That was paid off, again, early 2005 20 the property, which was a ten-year mortgage that 20 when we refinanced the property. 21 21 went to 2015. And when we refinanced the Q. Was that part of the Cantor Financial? 22 22 property at that point, we paid off CRDA. A. Yes. 23 When you refinanced the property in 23 So the Cantor Financial financing took 2015, with whom did you refinance the property? 24 care of the outstanding CRDA amount, the 24 25 25 outstanding DRPA amount, and the outstanding PNC It was what they call a CMBS loan, a KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

69 71 and Fleet loans? of my deposition questions, unless otherwise 1 2 A. No. The PNC and Fleet loans were paid indicated, only refer to Camden and not any other off in 2005. They were replaced by Centerline. 3 cities. 3 And the Cantor loan paid off Centerline, CRDA and 4 A. Okay. I'll try to restrict myself to 4 5 DRPA. 5 Camden. I'm trying to put that into context of 6 Q. Now, when did your personal guarantees 6 what does a redevelopment agency do. 7 7 come off of these loans? All I did was ask you what the Camden 8 In 2005, when Centerline came onboard. 8 Redevelopment Agency --9 9 I was still personally guaranteeing, but not to A. I'm trying to help. So sorry. I'm the whole full extent of the construction loan. 10 talking too much. 10 11 What was the extent of your personal 11 All I need you to do is answer the guaranty after 2005? 12 12 question that I ask. 13 13 A. Well, there's such a thing as bad boy A. Did I answer it? 14 carve-outs, as they call them in the industry, 14 Q. I'm going to follow-up on it. 15 15 that you're responsible for. If you do things A. Okay. 16 Q. 16 that are fraudulent and not consistent with the Did you understand that the Camden loan, you can become personally liable. 17 Redevelopment Agency was a governmental entity? 17 18 18 Q. Right. That wasn't my question. A. Yes, I did. 19 A. Okay. Restate the question. 19 Q. And you knew that in 2002; correct? 20 MR. TAMBUSSI: Can you repeat the 20 A. Correct. 21 21 question, please? How did you know that the Camden 22 22 Redevelopment Agency was a governmental agency? 23 23 (Whereupon the pending question was A. My recollection is that there were 24 read back by the reporter.) 24 agreements and deliberations back starting in 25 25 2001 that required CRA's consent. I don't KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 70 1 A. The answer would be we would have remember exactly which ones. But I think you 1 would have to ask Blank Rome and Ed Sheehan. But 2 limited personal guarantees. 2 3 Q. Do you have an amount? 3 I believe that they dealt with the CRA. I 4 It's not specific to an amount. It's remember Marty McKernan, meeting him once or 5 specific to covenants and loans which typically 5 twice. I knew he was the lawyer for the CRA. hold you responsible for things that you could do Did you have any discussions with the 6 6 7 7 that would harm the lender. CRA, you yourself, Carl Dranoff, with the CRA 8 Q. And who holds the personal guarantees? 8 with regard to the Victor Building? 9 A. The lender. 9 A. I don't recall. 10 10 Q. And in this case it would be Cantor Q. Now, there's another building in Camden Financial? 11 11 that's associated with this lawsuit referred to 12 A. 12 as the Victor Lofts Building? 13 Q. Now, are you familiar with an entity 13 A. I think you mean Radio Lofts. 14 called the Camden Redevelopment Agency? 14 Q. Radio Lofts. The Radio Lofts Building. 15 CRA? 15 A. A. Yes. 16 16 Q. Q. Yes, sir. That's separate from the Victor 17 17 A. Yes. Building; correct? Yes, it's a separate building. 18 Q. So what do you understand CRA to do? 18 A. 19 Q. 19 Being in the industry for 47 years, many And the Radio Lofts, if I refer to that 20 municipalities have redevelopment agencies. And 20 as the Loft Building or Lofts Building, you would 21 21 Philadelphia has one, Camden has one. Most understand that to be the Radio Lofts in the 22 22 context of this deposition? municipalities have one. Typically, to be a 23 governmental agency that is focused on blighted 23 So we're going to call the Victor properties, blighted areas, and such. 24 Building the Victor Building and Radio Lofts the 24 25 25 Lofts Building? Q. I just want you to understand the scope KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

73 75 1 Q. Right. 1 now. 2 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. Yes. 3 Q. 3 Q. How did you communicate regularly with Fair enough. 4 A. Because we do call the Victor Building 4 Mr. Corcoran, was it verbally, was it by Victor Lofts sometimes. So we don't want to be telephone, was it by E-mail, text message? 6 confused. 6 Α. All of the above, including personal 7 Q. 7 visits. That's where I was trying to make sure 8 we're on the same page there. 8 Q. I want to get the universe of how the 9 A. 9 communications were made. Okay. 10 Q. Now, the Lofts Building, did Tom 10 Α. Sure. 11 Corcoran have any involvement with you regarding 11 Q. You had verbal conversations with Mr. 12 the Lofts Building? 12 Corcoran regarding the Victor Building and the 13 Α. 13 Lofts; correct? I believe so. 14 Q. What was Tom Corcoran's involvement with 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. 15 you regarding the Lofts Building? You say you also had E-mails with Mr. 16 16 Corcoran regarding the Victor Building and the Well, let me give you some context. I Lofts? 17 think it's important to understand that when I 17 18 Α. 18 came into Camden, it was a very very bleak I surmise that there were E-mails also. 19 environment. And what I was looking to do, most 19 I can't remember specific ones. But it would 20 people said was impossible. And I told Tom that 20 have been common to have E-mail correspondence. 21 21 if I came into Camden and undertook the Victor We're talking about the period of time 22 22 Building, that I would have to have follow-up between 2002, 2004 actually. Was it common 23 23 buildings to develop, because if I were during that period for you to have E-mail 24 successful, I would basically invite my own 24 conversations with Mr. Corcoran? 25 competition. And I wanted to have a path forward 25 Α. I don't know how you answer that, what KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 74 so that I could not just do one single stand is common or not. I had all of the above, which 1 2 alone building; I could create a neighborhood. 2 I stated previously, including E-mails. 3 And the Radio Lofts Building being 3 During the period of time of 2002, 2004, 4 right next to the Victor, along with another 4 you had some E-mail communications with Mr. 5 building, the Board of Education building, right 5 Corcoran; correct? adjacent to us, I wanted to make sure that they 6 Α. Yes. 6 7 7 Q. were developed in a high quality manner that was And during that same period you had some 8 complimentary to the Victor. text message communications with Mr. Corcoran; 9 So I told Tom, and I'm sure he told 9 correct? 10 10 others, that we were going to look for an option Α. Text, I doubt because I don't think I 11 11 to purchase these buildings, which were both even knew how to text at the time. 12 12 blighted and vacant at the time. So that's how Fair enough. With regard to the E-mail 13 the initial conversation with Tom on the Radio 13 communications, have you searched all of your 14 Lofts arose. 14 E-mail files to determine whether or not any 15 Q. 15 communications that you had by E-mail with Mr. So my question to you was, did you have any conversations with Mr. Corcoran regarding the 16 Corcoran have been disclosed to your attorneys in 16 17 17 Lofts Building, and the answer is yes? this litigation? 18 A. 18 I believe that we sent all of our files 19 Q. And that conversation consisted of you 19 over to either Blank Rome or Cozen and had 20 speaking to Mr. Corcoran with regard to you 20 somebody else do that. We did not go through the wanting or expressing interest to other buildings 21 files. 21 22 22 Q. in Camden; correct? Do you know whether or not your entire 23 A. Well, I think I spoke to more than Tom 23 E-mail bank has been searched for any E-mails about it. But yes. 24 24 that you had with Tom Corcoran regarding any of 25 25 Q. I'm just focusing on Mr. Corcoran right the Camden properties?

19 of 88 sheets Page 73 to 76 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

77 79 I can't say, because I didn't do the communications with Camden City officials, be 1 A. 2 work. 2 they appointed or elected, during the period of 3 Q. Do you know what the usual practice of 3 time 2000 to 2004 would be limited to verbal 4 your business entities are with regard to the 4 communications? retention of E-mails? 5 A. No. Because I know I met Angel a number 6 A. I think, in general, up until the cloud 6 of times personally. I mean, I met him either at 7 base computing came along, I think they all went 7 the site or -- you mean, that counts as verbal? 8 onto a hard drive. 8 Q. Yes, sir. 9 9 A. Q. Do you know for a fact that all of your Okay. The answer would be yes. 10 E-mails with Tom Corcoran regarding Camden 10 Q. Now, is there any other Camden City 11 related properties for the period of time of 2000 11 official, either elected or appointed, that you 12 to 2004 have been retained? 12 had any interaction with during the period of 13 A. 13 2000 to 2004 regarding the Camden properties? You know, I'm not the IT person in the 14 company. We wouldn't have -- we wouldn't have 14 I think there probably were. I'm sure 15 destroyed E-mails, if that's where you're going. 15 that when we went through the approval and 16 16 But I wouldn't be the person that was in charge entitlement process that we dealt with the 17 of the retention, so I can't say. 17 planning board, I would have gone to meetings. 18 18 Q. Right. It's all, you know, distant memory now. 19 A. But normal practice for our company 19 But there would have been other 20 would have been to retain everything. 20 people that we, other government, you know, 21 Does your practice have an automatic 21 officials that we would have met with in the 22 delete policy with regard to your historical 22 ordinary course of obtaining, you know, planning 23 E-mails? 23 board approvals, zoning approvals and such. I 24 24 I can only attest that I would not can't remember the names. 25 delete anything. I don't know about anyone else. 25 Q. Let me be real particular about my KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 78 80 questioning here. 1 And I don't know if they even could. 1 2 2 A. Q. All right. Now, with regard to the Sure. 3 Camden City officials that you've identified thus Q. Who are the people that you, Carl 3 far in the deposition, Michelle Banks-Spearman, 4 Dranoff, spoke with during the period of time of 5 was one of them, Angel Fuentes was another. Do 5 2000 to 2004, who were elected or appointed you have -- how did you communicate with those 6 officials in the City of Camden regarding the 6 7 7 Camden properties? individuals with regard to the Camden properties? 8 A. I think most of the communication would 8 A. And I'll restate my answer. There were 9 have been through Blank Rome. I would have been many. I don't remember their names. There were 10 probably copied on things. I could have met them 10 planning officials for sure. I remember going to 11 in meetings. I had tours of the Victor Building. 11 City Council meetings and sitting in the 12 12 I was in Camden quite a bit. audience. There may have been attorneys. I just 13 Q. But I just want to focus on two people. 13 can't remember. Okay. I'm not -- see, what I want to do 14 A. Which two? 14 15 Michelle Banks-Spearman and Angel 15 Q. is just get the people that you had interaction Fuentes, who were the only Camden City government 16 with, not anybody associated with you, your 16 17 lawyers or anyone else. You, Carl Dranoff. Is 17 officials that you identified as having 18 discussions with in your deposition today. 18 that your answer? 19 How did you communicate with those 19 A. I think I had interactions with some 20 people during the period of 2000 to 2004? 20 government officials personally that I can't 21 21 remember. It may have been the head of the I wouldn't have communicated them 22 22 planning board at the time. I just can't directly by E-mail. I would have seen them in a 23 meeting or communicated through copies, which the 23 remember the names.

24

25

And those communications would be

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

limited to verbal communications; correct?

24

25

Q.

attorneys supplied me with.

So is it fair to say that your only

81 83 A. Yes, they would. expenses; correct? 1 1 2 Q. So there's no record somewhere that we 2 A. Yes. can go back to look at on, pick a date, March 3 Q. And how were each of those numbers 3 4 1st, 2001, I met with Joe Jones of City Council? 4 attributed to the different categories 5 A. Not that I can recall. 5 determined? 6 Q. Joe Jones being a hypothetical name. 6 Α. I can't recall. Q. 7 A. Not that I can recall. 7 Well, we know that the PILOT payment of 8 I want to go back to the PILOT 8 200,000 was determined by you because that's the O. agreement. Do you have that in front of you? 9 number that you believed that you --9 10 That was my threshold. I'm sorry, I'm 10 A. A. 11 Q. There's numbers at the bottom right-hand 11 talking over you. I apologize. 12 Q. That was your threshold number; right? 12 corner. 13 13 Α. A. Yes, I see them. Yes. Yes. 14 Q. I want you to turn to page City 1700. 14 Q. The other numbers, administrative, 95,000 dollars, how was that determined? 15 A. I see it. 15 16 16 Q. Now, this ground lease number of A. I can't say. 17 1,333,000 dollars, how is that number determined? Q. What does that mean, administrative? 17 18 18 It was a formula, from what I can A. Administrative, typically, would be 19 recall, that when you applied 15 percent resulted 19 accounting costs, legal costs, various G&A costs. 20 in a number of 200,000 dollars. 20 Q. Are you just speaking generally as a 21 Okay. And 200,000 dollars was the 21 concept? 22 22 amount of the PILOT payment; correct? A. Yes. 23 23 Q. A. Correct. Then compensation has 158,000. Do you see that? 24 Q. So in effect, you used the 200,000 24 25 dollar number to --25 Α. Yes, I do. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 82 We started with the 200,000 dollar Q. 1 A. 1 Whose compensation are you referring to number, and I think if you divide that by .15, 2 there? you get a million 333. 3 Α. 3 On-site employees. 4 Q. Let me finish my question. 4 Anybody other than on-site employees? Q. 5 Α. Sorry. 5 Α. No. You took what you wanted to pay in 6 How about administrative employees? 6 Q. Q. 7 7 Α. No. taxes. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. How about --9 Correct? And then you multiplied that 9 Well, administrative could be outside by, or divided that by .15 in order to get 10 accounting firms. It could be computer expenses 10 1,333,000 dollars; correct? 11 11 that were outside vendors. Α. 12 Q. 12 That's correct. No, no. I'm talking about employees. Α. 13 Q. All right. And where did you get the 15 13 Employees, we would -- only people that 14 percent from? 14 are on-site would be on compensation. 15 15 A. I believe that was the number that was How did you determine that for the 16 Victor Building following the entry of this PILOT 16 allowable under the Long-Term Exemption Act, 17 17 either allowable or recommended. I can't agreement of August 2002, that the appropriate remember. And again, we started with the 200,000 18 number for compensation of employees would be 18 19 158,000? 19 dollar number. That was the threshold number 20 beyond which I did not believe we could secure 20 A. I can't recall how these specific 21 21 financing on the building. And I was not willing numbers were derived. 22 22 to go forward on this project without having that Q. Did you calculate these numbers? 23 number locked in. 23 I probably had a hand in it. And it may 24 Now, on this sheet that's has a 24 have been my accounting staff. I think, designation of City 1700, there are a list of 25 25 generally, they reflect a percentage of how you KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

would, what a typical percentage of expenses,

what a general percentage of breakdown between

3 the expense categories.

4 For example, utilities is 359,000

5 dollars and the total expenses are 1,333,000.

6 I'm just guessing that, and I don't have a

7 calculator, but roughly, 350,000 dollars over a

8 million three is maybe about 30 percent, 33

percent. And that would generally be consistent

with the way the property was operated. 10

11 So were these numbers your best guesses

12 at that point?

13 A. Yes. This was a pro forma. The project

hadn't started yet. These were projected 14

15 expenses. And so they would have been estimated.

16 And prior to the execution of this PILOT

agreement, did you have an understanding of how 17

18 the cost of expenses for the project would be

19 split between the landlord entity and the tenant

20 entity?

21 A. Are you referring to the 75 percent

22 earlier?

23 Q. I'm asking prior to the execution of

24 this agreement, did you have that understanding

25 as to how the costs and expenses for the Victor

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

86

Project would be split between the landlord 1

2 entity and the tenant entity, because as we

discussed earlier, that split is nowhere set 3

4 forth in the financial agreement?

5 A. Okay. Let me try to explain. The

overall project on a consolidated basis with 6

7 Victor Associates and Victor Urban Renewal

probably would have had expenses of, I'm going to

9 guesstimate, three million dollars.

10 And if you do the percentage of the

11 total, this being a million 133 versus three

12 million, so that would have been 30 some percent

13 or 40 some percent.

14 So we didn't know what the ratio

15 would be at that time because it was all -- you

know, we had a pro forma. And these numbers were 16

17 intended to zero out the revenue. The expenses

on the project were going to be more than this. 18

19 Did I answer your question?

20 You sure did. Did you have any

21 discussions with anyone from the City of Camden

22 at or about the time that these estimates were

23 included on City 1700, that would indicate how

the costs and expenses would be split between the 24

25 landlord entity and the tenant entity?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

I don't think that's really relevant, or

87

2 I don't think that was relevant. So I don't

3

believe it would have been discussed at that

4 time.

1

5 Q. So you have no recollection as you sit

6 here today that there was any discussion

7 regarding the allocation of the cost and the

8 expenses between the landlord entity --

9 A. I can't recall any conversation.

10 Q. Let me finish my question.

11 A. Sorry.

12 Q. Between the landlord entity and the

13 tenant entity?

14 A. Same answer. I can't recall any

15 conversation.

16 Q. Mr. Dranoff, before you is exhibit

17 Dranoff Exhibit 11. Can you take a moment and

just read that document and let me know if you've 18

19 seen it before.

20 Α. Yes, I've seen this.

21 Q. Did you read the memo also?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Please take your time and read it.

24 A. I've read it.

25 Q. Have you seen those documents before

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

today? 1

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Let me ask you about the memo that's

4 attached to the letter. Before I get to that.

5 The letter itself, is it addressed or sent to

6 anyone in the City of Camden that's government?

7 A. It is sent from Blank Rome to Tom

8 Corcoran.

9 Q. Is it sent to any elected or appointed

10 official in Camden City government at that time?

11 A. No, just Tom.

12 Q. And let's go to the memo. That memo,

13 which is dated April 20th, 2001, is that memo

14 sent, per the document, to any elected or

appointed official in the City of Camden? 15

16 A. Well, it references Norton Bonaparte in

17 the memo as having been, as having a discussion

18 with Tom Corcoran. If you consider Norton to be

19 part of City government, it would have referenced

20 him. But the memo was sent from Blank Rome to

Tom Corcoran. 21

22 Q. So the answer is no, it is not sent to

23 any elected or appointed official in the City of

24 Camden?

25 A. It is not sent to any elected or

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

90

89

appointed official, but references the appointed

- official, I believe he was appointed, Norton 2
- 3 Bonaparte.
- 4 Q. Who is Norton Bonaparte?
- 5 A. He is or was the business administrator
- that I believe was appointed by the State. He's 6
- 7 another person, by the way, who's -- you
- 8 refreshed my memory, I did meet with Norton
- 9 Bonaparte.
- Q. On how many occasions did you meet with 10
- 11 Mr. Bonaparte regarding --
- 12 Several times. A.
- 13 Q. You got to let me finish my question.
- 14 A. I'm sorry.
- 15 I'll start over again. How many times
- 16 did you meet with Norton Bonaparte regarding the
- Camden properties during the period of 2002, 17
- 2004? 18
- 19 A. I would say several times.
- 20 Q. Did you ever have anything other than
- 21 verbal communications with Norton Bonaparte?
- 22 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. 23 And do you know whether or not Mr.
- 24 Bonaparte had the authority to bind the City of
- 25 Camden to the terms of a long-term tax exemption KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Yes.

9 make these communications on your behalf?

There were two of them that wrote it, I believe.

the service, annual service charge in lieu of

real estate taxes be fixed at 225,000 dollars;

That's what he was suggesting.

That's what they were suggesting; right?

Did Blank Rome have the authority to

- 10 Yes, they did. They were negotiating on A.
- 11 my behalf.

correct?

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

- 12 Q. And at least as of April 20th, 2001,
- 13 your threshold number was 225,000 dollars;
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And in fact, if you look at the second
- 17 paragraph, you would agree that the term, the
- term that the Blank Rome attorneys communicated 18
- 19 was a PILOT that covered 15 years; correct?
- 20 MR. KIRCHER: I'll object to the
- 21 form of that question. Where are you?
 - MR. TAMBUSSI: Second paragraph.
- 23 MR. KIRCHER: Well, I'll object.
- 24 That's not what it says.
- 25 A. I don't think it says that. I think it KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

91

- PILOT program? 1
- 2 A. I'm not sure that we knew what his
- 3 authority was. He was appointed by the State.
- And I'm not sure that we understood what the
- 5 State's authority was.
- 6 Now, let's go to the memo. Q.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Turn to the second page of the memo.
- 9 A.
- 10 Q. This is a memo from the attorneys at
- 11 Blank Rome to Thomas Corcoran. Did you review
- 12 this memo before it was sent?
- 13 A. I can't recall.
- 14 Are the terms that are set forth in this
- 15 memo ones that you had agreed upon?
- 16 A. At the time, yes.
- 17 And at any point in time, did your
- 18 agreement as to these terms change?
- 19 Yes, I believe it did. At this time,
- Blank Rome was putting forth a payment of 200,000 20
- 21 plus 25,000 dollars for commercial facilities.
- 22 And I later did not think that the project could
- 23 support more than 200,000 dollars.
- 24 So as of April 20th, 2001, Blank Rome
- 25 was making a representation to Mr. Corcoran that KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- was referring to the fixed payment of 15 years. 1
- 2 We had always understood that this was going to
- 3 be a 30-year agreement.
- 4 Where does it say that?
- 5 A. It doesn't say it in this letter. But I
- read this to be 30 -- 15 years at a fixed payment 6
- 7 of 200,000 dollars because that's what was
- 8 discussed in the prior paragraphs.
- 9 Q. And what would happen after 15 years?
- 10 A. There would be a scaling up of real
- 11 estate taxes so that at the end of 30 years it
- 12 would be, there would be no longer any tax
- 13 agreement.
- 14 Q. And did you ever communicate to anyone
- 15 at the City of Camden that you were willing to
- 16 have the fixed payment limited to 15 years with
- 17 the scaling up thereafter for the remaining 15
- 18 years?
- 19 A. No. I would have -- I would have -- I
- 20 believe that there was discussions along those
- 21 lines. I can't remember specifically. But I was
- 22 very consistent from the very beginning that we
- 23 needed a 30-year agreement with the first 15
- 24 years fixed.
- 25 Q. And the PILOT agreement that was KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

93 95 Uh-huh. Yes. But it says the greater actually entered allows for a fixed period of 30 2 years; correct? 2 of 20 percent of the taxes otherwise due or 15 3 A. 3 percent of the annual of the gross. Say that again. 4 Q. Sure. The financial agreement that was 4 Q. Of the taxes due? 5 actually entered into --5 A. It says during the second stage of the 6 Α. It's my understanding was that after 15 6 payment period, which shall be for a term of six 7 years, there was a further stage, which called 7 years, so that would be in the beginning of the for six years -- I'm looking at Page 1 -- 001706, 8 16th year, an amount not less than 20 percent of 8 9 9 paragraph B1. It's paragraph 3(B)(1). It says the taxes otherwise due with respect to the in the first stage of the exemption period, which 10 property, or 15 percent of the annual gross 11 shall commence at the date of completion -- I 11 revenue by the developer, whichever is greater. 12 Right. And what's the annual gross 12 don't want to read it for you, you can read it 13 13 yourself. revenue received by the developer? Isn't that 14 Q. Go ahead. 14 defined? 15 15 It says "in the first stage of the A. It would be the same. It was a fixed 16 payment. 16 exemption period, which shall commence at the 17 Q. date of completion of the project, and continue Is that 1,333,000? 17 18 18 for a term of 15 years, 15 percent of the annual 1,333,000, yes. But the City could 19 gross revenue received by the redeveloper." 19 raise their real estate assessment, and if they 20 20 did, it would be based on the greater of. So that would have been a fixed --21 21 Is that your understanding of what the fixed amount because we set the gross 22 revenue. 22 happened after the first 15 years, what was to 23 happen? 23 Two. "During the second stage of 24 the exemption period, which shall be for a term 24 A. Yes. Yes, sir. We're not quite there 25 of six years, an amount not less than 20 percent 25 yet. I think we reached the 15th year --KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 96 of taxes otherwise due, or 15 percent of the 1 MR. KIRCHER: May of 2019. 1 2 annual gross revenue received by the redeveloper, 2 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 3 whichever is greater." 3 Now, let's go back to the document we 4 Do you want me to continue reading? 4 marked as Dranoff-11, and go to the third page of 5 Q. Yes, sure. 5 the memo. And in the first full sentence on that 6 Three. 6 page states: "Indeed, without the tax exemption Α. 7 7 MR. KIRCHER: Just for the record, as proposed, the project would not proceed as the I think what you're reading from is an exhibit to requisite project financing would not be the financial agreement, an exhibit that was 9 available." Did I read that correctly? 10 10 attached to your application. So read from the Α. Yes, you did. 11 11 signed agreement. Q. Is there any written documentation that 12 12 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: would support this statement? 13 Q. Why don't you go to page marked City 13 That statement was based on my 14 16666. 14 experience in renovating and developing very 15 A. 15 Shall I read again? difficult projects and knowing what the common 16 16 practice was, and knowing that in Philadelphia, Ω No. Is this what you're referring to, 17 those stages? 17 for example, with ten year tax abatement, we 18 18 would be paying no taxes. A. 19 19 Q. And during this period of time, So 200,000 dollars on our 20 regardless of whether it was in the first stage, 20 preliminary pro forma was a very high amount 21 21 the second stage or the third stage, what would based on comparable properties, and I felt like 22 be the gross revenue received by the redeveloper? 22 we couldn't secure financing if the number was 23 A. It would be the same. 23 greater than that. And I had already been in 24 Q. It would be the same for each year; 24 touch with many lenders who were shying away from 25 25 correct? the property. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 26 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 97 99 Let's go back to my question, which was document and tell me when you're finished. 1 2 2 really straightforward. A. Okay. 3 A. Okay. 3 Q. Mr. Dranoff, I want to refer you to the 4 Q. This statement on Page 3 of this memo, 4 last paragraph on the second page of that document. That refers to the Related fund that 5 which states: "Indeed, without the tax exemption as proposed, the project would not proceed as the we discussed a little bit earlier. 6 requisite project financing would not be 7 A. Yes. 7 8 available." 8 Q. Does that refresh your recollection of 9 9 the amount of Related Fund's contribution to My question to you, very specifically is, are there any written, is there partnership in return for the historic tax 10 any written documentation supporting that credits? 11 11 12 statement? 12 A. Sounds about right. I'm not sure that 13 Α. 13 was the final number. But as of 2001, that was Not that I know of. the estimate. 14 Q. Thank you. 14 It's all based on my experience. 15 Now, at some point in time, Related sold 15 A. 16 Q. The answer is no to written 16 back its interest to you; correct? documentation? 17 Α. Yes. 17 A. 18 18 Correct. Q. And for how much money was exchanged in 19 MR. KIRCHER: When you move to a 19 that transaction? 20 different area or a new exhibit, should we take 20 Α. I don't recollect. 21 21 our lunch break? Q. Do you have an estimate? 22 MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. 22 A. I'm going to say it was maybe a six 23 23 MR. KIRCHER: It's up to you. figure number, maybe not. It was probably in the MR. TAMBUSSI: Let me finish this range of a million, or under a million, or over a 24 24 million. In that range. 25 document. 25 KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 98 100 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Are there any documents that exist that 1 1 set forth the terms of the agreement with the 2 Q. Again, with regard to this memo, which 2 Related Fund? is dated April 20th, 2001, had you made any 3 written applications for financing for the Victor 4 A. Yes. Q. 5 Building at this point? 5 And those documents would reflect the 6 I doubt at that point because it was 6 terms of the participation; correct? 7 still early in the game. However, I'm certain 7 A. Yes, they would. that I had spoken to various lenders at that 8 Q. For example, the buy-in and the buy-out; right? 9 point. 9 10 A. 10 Q. So the answer is no, you don't believe Yes. that you made any written applications at that 11 11 Mr. Dranoff, I'm showing you what's been 12 marked Lebor-3 for identification, which appears 12 point? 13 to be a letter to you from David Lebor of Blank A. It was too early for written 13 14 applications. 14 Rome dated August 1st, 2001. And it has an 15 Q. 15 attachment to it. Can you just take a moment and Thank you. Now we can break. 16 (Luncheon Recess taken from 12:15 16 look at that document and tell me when you're 17 finished? 17 p.m. to 12:55 p.m.) A. 18 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 18 I'm good. 19 Mr. Dranoff, I'm going to show you what 19 Q. On the very first page of that document 20 has been marked as Lebor-1 for identification. I 20 there appears to be some handwritten entries. Do 21 don't mean any disrespect by pushing the document 21 you know whose handwriting that is? 22 across the table. It's a wide table and I can't 22 A. Looks like mine.

25 of 88 sheets Page 97 to 100 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

23

24

Q.

It appears that this document is the

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

first draft of the PILOT application for the

Victor Building. Do you know if there were any

23

24

25

reach you.

I totally understand. Don't worry.

Please take a moment and read that KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

A.

Q.

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 27 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 101 103 prior drafts to this? disseminating documents on your behalf? 1 Well, I think some of the information 2 A. I wouldn't know. 2 3 Q. In this letter, the first page of this 3 that was sent to Tom ultimately found its way to 4 letter, about a little bit more than halfway 4 the City. 5 through the first paragraph, there's a sentence Q. My question to you is, do you believe that states "I understand that you may be willing 6 Mr. Corcoran was submitting documents to the City 6 on your behalf? to pay 225,000 dollars for the PILOT, in which 7 7 8 case the gross rent would be 1,500,000 dollars." 8 A. I think the information went from Blank 9 Did I read that correctly? 9 Rome, possibly me, I can't remember, but again, 10 A. You did. he was the apparent point person for the City on 10 11 Q. And next paragraph states: "You may 11 this transaction. So I'm pretty certain that a allocate the dollars as you see fit." What do 12 lot, if not all of the information that we gave 12 13 13 you understand that statement to mean? to him would have gone to the City. He would 14 A. I have no idea. 14 have been an intermediary, so to speak. 15 15 Is that how you backed into the numbers My question, again, is pretty 16 straightforward. Do you believe that Mr. 16 for the different categories on the pro forma 17 statement we looked at earlier? 17 Corcoran at or about this time was submitting 18 18 I think we were trying to size -- I documents to the City on your behalf? described this earlier. I think we tried to size 19 19 A. I want to answer it properly. 20 the categories in relationships to what they 20 Q. All I want you to do is answer it 21 would actually be. I used the example of 21 truthfully. 22 22 utilities being maybe 30 or 40 percent of the pro A. I would say that -- I can't say that 23 23 forma. Other than that, this was just a rough every single document we gave him, so I'm not --24 back of envelope estimate of how the expenses 24 that's why I'm having difficulty with this. 25 could be broken down. 25 Q. I'm not asking that question. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 102 104 1 A. Let's try again. 1 Q. I want to show you a document marked 2 Q. 2 Dranoff-16 for identification. Please take a My question is, at or about the time of 3 moment and review that document and let me know 3 this letter, August 7th, 2001, do you believe 4 when you're finished. 4 that Thomas Corcoran was submitting documents to 5 A. I'm good. 5 the City on your behalf? 6 6 A. On my behalf or Blank Rome's behalf? Q. Is this, to your knowledge, the cover 7 Q. 7 letter for the submission of the application for Your behalf. 8 the tax, long-term tax credits, tax credit 8 A. Me, Carl Dranoff? 9 benefits? 9 Q. Correct. 10 10 A. It appears to be, yes. A. I don't know that I ever sent anything 11 And in this document, on this document 11 directly to Tom. So I can't really say that he 12 12 there's a copy to Thomas Corcoran, president. Do would have submitted something. If I sent him 13 you see that? 13 something pertaining to the property, that he 14 A. Yes, I do. 14 felt like he should forward to the City, he Do you know why Mr. Corcoran would have 15 Q. 15 probably would have. But I think that the been copied on this document? 16 correspondence mainly went through our attorneys. 16 17 17 I think he was considered to be the Q. Let me try it this way. At or about 18 point person on this application -- on the whole 18 August 7th, 2001, do you believe that Tom 19 Corcoran was submitting documents on behalf of 19 entire involvement. And I think that out of 20 courtesy, he was the person who was, I think, 20 any Dranoff entity to the City? 21 21 disseminating documents to the City. And so I'm I think I gave that answer already. I

22

23

24

25

Q.

don't recall submitting documents to Tom. So I

can't remember an instance where he would be

My question changed a little bit. All

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

submitting something on behalf of me.

22

23

24

25

sure that Blank Rome felt like they should copy

When you say Mr. Corcoran was

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

disseminating documents, do you believe he was

him on a direct submission to the City.

105 107 right? document, it's got a marking at the bottom of 1 58198? 2 A. I'm missing it. Sorry. 2 3 Q. At or about August 7th, 2001, do you 3 A. Yes. 4 believe that Thomas Corcoran was submitting 4 Q. Does Exhibit A provide the response to documents on behalf of any Dranoff entity to the 5 what Victor expects to construct on this site? 6 City of Camden? 6 Α. I think so. 7 Q. And am I correct to state that Victor 7 So that presupposes I would have given 8 him information to pass on? 8 Associates was to be responsible for all costs to 9 Q. No, it doesn't. I'm not asking --9 construct the project, and Victor Urban Renewal 10 Α. I'm sorry. I'm trying. 10 was not to be responsible for any of the costs of 11 Q. I'm not asking you to read into my 11 the construction? question. All I want you to do is listen to it 12 Α. 12 I believe that's correct. Q. 13 and answer it to the best of your knowledge. 13 The second to the last paragraph on 14 A. Okay. 14 Exhibit A refers to equity in the amount of 9.5 million. Is that the amount, does that refer to 15 Q. As of August 7th, 2001 do you believe 15 the amount paid by the Related Fund? 16 that Thomas Corcoran was submitting documents to 16 the City of Camden on behalf of any Dranoff 17 A. Yes. The amount invested by the Related 17 entity? 18 Fund. 18 19 Α. And I'm going to say that I can't 19 Q. Got it. The last paragraph states: "A 20 remember. 20 financial projection detailing gross revenues, 21 Okay. Let's go back to Lebor-2, which 21 operations and maintenance costs, interest and amortization and reserves is attached." 22 is the application that's in your pile before 22 23 23 you. It's a rather thick document. I didn't see any such schedule 24 Α. Okay. Lebor-2. 24 attached. Do you know if one was prepared at 25 Q. On the second page of that document, 25 this time? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 106 108 there's a signature. Is that your signature? 1 A. I would say there was. I think this --1 2 A. Yes, it is. this looks like it was maybe attached someplace 3 Q. Did you read this document before you -- it looks like is attached is probably in the 4 submitted it? wrong place. But there definitely was a pro 4 5 A. It's more than likely that I did, yes. 5 forma on the property. Did you typically read documents before 6 Q. Can you turn to Page 58205 at the 6 Q. you submitted them? 7 bottom. 7 8 If they were relatively short, without a 8 A. 58205, did you say? 9 lot of boiler plate, yes. 9 Q. Yes. 10 Did you typically read documents before 10 A. Yes. 11 11 you signed them? Q. And I'm sorry, go to 58206. 12 12 I've signed many documents that I A. Yes. Q. 13 haven't read. But they typically would be long 13 Is that the pro forma that you're legalistic documents that I rely on my attorneys 14 referring to? 15 to sort out for me. But this one, I probably 15 A. No. There would have been a pro forma 16 would have read. 16 for the entire project versus the ground lease. 17 17 Q. The first two pages of this document Can you just look through this document calls for information that the City was 18 and tell me if that pro forma is attached 18 requesting for an application under the Long-Term 19 anywhere on this ground lease -- I'm sorry, on 19 20 Tax Exemption Law; correct? 20 this application? 21 21 A. Correct. I'm not seeing it. That doesn't mean 22 22 Q. there wasn't one. It means that whoever reviewed In item number 1D calls for description 23 of project improvements; right? 23 this, including myself, including the City, never 24 A. Yes. Proposed improvements, 1D. 24 called it out as an item. 25 Q. 25 Q. And if you go to Exhibit A of this But my question to you is, is there one KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

109 111 attached? 1 A. 1 2 2 A. No. Q. Can you read that paragraph to yourself 3 Q. Now, is there anywhere set forth in this 3 and tell me when you're finished? 4 document a financial projection for gross 4 Α. I see it. revenues for this project? 5 Q. 5 Now, it makes reference to Exhibit D as 6 A. For Victor Associates, are you speaking 6 a response to project costs; correct? of? 7 Yes. 7 Α. 8 Q. Yes. For the project. 8 Q. Can you turn to Victor 58206. 9 No. We just discussed that. That's the 9 A. Α. Yes, I see it. pro forma. The pro forma would have the gross 10 Q. Is the document 58206, in fact, a development budget for the project? 11 revenues and the gross expenses. And I've not 11 12 seen that in this application. 12 No, it's not. Α. 13 13 Q. Q. Is there anywhere in this application Now, let's go back to Page 58196. that sets forth interest and amortization and 14 A. Yes. 14 15 This Paragraph 3 states, in the 15 reserves for the project? 16 beginning of the second sentence, "therefore, the Well, that would be part of a pro forma. 16 Typically, that's where you would put it. It 17 overwhelming majority of expenses, costs and 17 wouldn't be a separate -- it would not be 18 income for the construction and operation of the 18 19 separate. 19 project will be borne and received by Victor." 20 Q. And we don't see a pro forma in these 20 Did I read that correctly? 21 Α. 21 documents; right? You did. 22 Q. 22 A. No. And if you turn to Page 58193, under 23 paragraph 1A at the top, do you see where it says 23 Q. Let's go back to the first page. I'm sorry, the second page of the document. "the sole member of the applicant is Victor 24 24 25 Yes. 25 Associates, a New Jersey limited partnership KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 112 110 Q. Item 3B calls for a development budget. (Victor)"? 1 1 Do you see that? 2 A. 2 Yes. 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you understand that to mean that New 4 Q. And what's your understanding of that 4 Jersey Associates is Victor for purposes of this document? 5 term? 5 6 A. Wait, what page is that on, sir? 6 A. Victor Associates is Victor. 7 The second page of the document. You're 7 Q. Victor Associates is Victor? Q. 8 way past it. Item 3B. 8 A. You said New Jersey is. 9 A. 9 I'm sorry. Do you understand Victor Okay. 10 Q. Calls for a development budget to be 10 Associates to be Victor for purposes of this 11 document? 11 provided in the application. Can you tell me 12 A. 12 what your understanding is of the term development budget in that context? 13 Q. 13 So then when we go back to Page 58196 14 A. That would specify the project costs. 14 and we go back to the sentence that I just read, 15 Q. And can you tell me where in this 15 you understand that statement to state that the document that exists? 16 overwhelming majority of expenses, costs and 16 17 Well, there's a number under the project 17 income for the construction and operation of the description, but that's the overall project cost. 18 project will be borne and received by Victor 18 There's no breakdown of costs. Do you see where 19 Associates; correct? 19 20 I'm talking about? 20 A. Correct. 21 I do. Maybe I can help you out. Can 21 Q. Q. And do you know what is meant by 22 22 you turn to Victor 58196. overwhelming majority in the context of that 23 A. I'm there. 23 paragraph? 24 Q. Do you see where it says three, project 24 A. Overwhelming is a subjective term. So 25 25 majority is more than 50 percent. So you can costs? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 30 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 113 115 draw your own conclusions. was agreed upon by the City of Camden and Victor 1 2 Q. I want to draw your conclusion because 2 Urban Renewal, that we would have a fixed payment for 15 years, that the expenses and revenues 3 you signed it. 4 A. Probably more than 50 percent, maybe 60 would balance, and that there would be a net zero 5 percent. 5 revenue. 6 Q. 75 percent? 6 Q. Where is that stated in the financial 7 7 A. Could be 75 percent. Could be anything agreement? more than 50 percent. 8 A. Well, I think it's apparent from the 8 9 9 exhibit that is what was intended. And I think Q. Now, going back, just so we're clear, to 58206. Those were numbers that you calculated that you've determined from other depositions 10 10 11 pursuant to our earlier testimony; right? 11 that that was the intent to have a fixed payment 12 12 of 200,000 dollars. A. Yes. 13 Q. 13 What would happen if the given expenses Q. You agree that the financial agreement in any year were less than what are included on 14 controls the relationship between the City --15 this Exhibit D, which is 58206? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 16 Q. -- of Camden and Victor --A. Expenses by Victor? 17 17 Q. The expenses reflected on Page 58206, if A. Yes. 18 18 they were less than the amount depicted on that Q. -- Urban Renewal; correct? 19 page, how would they be treated? 19 A. Yes. Yes, I do. 20 Α. 20 Q. You got to let me finish my question, Well, my understanding from the ground 21 21 lease is that the number was zeroed out. So that please. 22 22 if the expenses were more, they would just be A. Sure. 23 Q. 23 zeroed out at one million 133 regardless of the You understand that the words set forth 24 amount of actual expenses. 24 in the financial agreement have meaning; correct? 25 Q. So if administrative costs went down to, 25 A. Positively. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 114 116 let's say, 80,000 dollars, how would the 15,000 1 Q. And you understand that the words set 1 dollar difference be treated? 2 2 forth in this financial agreement are taken in 3 A. 3 substantial member from the Long-Term Tax Well, they wouldn't go down. 4 But I want you to assume that they do, 4 Exemption Law of 1998; correct? 5 5 how would it be treated? MR. KIRCHER: I'll object to the 6 6 form. Well, they wouldn't, so I can't, I can't 7 7 A. debate that. Because again, the intent of this I'm not a lawyer. I can't opine on was to zero out the expenses so that we met the 8 that. requirements of the Long-Term Exemption Act. So 9 Is it your contention in this case that 10 the expenses were intended and pro forma'd to be 10 the allocation of the operating expenses from 11 this amount, not less. 11 Victor Associates to Victor Urban Renewal was 12 12 Q. specifically and expressly described to the City But if in fact the expenses were less, 13 how would they be treated? 13 in this application? 14 Well, they couldn't be less. That's a 14 A. As for the exact numbers, yes. As to 15 15 hypothetical question that I can't answer. percentages, no. 16 16 Q. What if the expenses were more, how Q. Now, going back to Page 58196 under 17 would they be treated? 17 project costs. 18 They would be zeroed out -- they 18 A. Which document is this? 19 Q. 19 couldn't be more. They couldn't be more and they We're back to the application, which is 20 couldn't be less. They were per this schedule. 20 Lebor-2. 21 21 So this structure, regardless of what A. You switched on me. Back to the 22 22 the actual revenue or expenses was in any given application. I'm sorry, Mr. Tambussi, which page 23 year, always ensured that there is zero as a 23 number? profit; correct? 24 Q. 58196. 24

29 of 88 sheets Page 113 to 116 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

25

A.

Okay.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

25

A.

That was core to the agreement. That

117 Q. Does this application provide any 1 1

- 2
- information as to the total projected costs and
- 3 expenses for the project as a whole?
- 4 No, that exhibit is not in here.
- 5 Q. Does this application provide any basis
- 6 to determine whether the overwhelming amount of
- expenses are being allocated to the operating 7
- 8 entity as opposed to the applicant?
- 9 What's operating entity? I'm not
- 10 following your question. Is that a defined term?
- 11 If you go to Page 58193. And the first
- paragraph states: "The applicant is Victor Urban 12
- 13 Renewal Group, LLC, a New Jersey limited
- 14 liability company, applicant." Do you see that?
- Just bear with me for a second. Yes, 15
- 16 the first sentence says the applicant is Victor
- 17 Urban Renewal. That's the applicant.
- 18 And it says that "the applicant is
- 19 formed to operate under the New Jersey Long-Term
- 20 Exemption Act"; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Do you understand Victor Urban Renewal
- 23 Group to be the operating entity?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. No?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- A. The operating entity is not defined
- 2 here. It's the applicant.
- 3 Q. And what is the applicant formed to do?
- 4 A. To own the land and enter into a ground
- 5 lease.

1

- 6 Q. Doesn't it say the applicant is formed
- 7 to operate under the New Jersey Long-Term
- 8 Exemption Act? Aren't those words there?
- 9 Well, operate doesn't mean operate the
- 10 property. It means it's the applicant under the
- 11 New Jersey Long-Term Exemption Act.
- 12 So do you have any understanding of
- 13 whether Victor Urban Renewal Group or Victor
- 14 Associates was the operating entity?
- 15 Victor Associates was the operating A.
- 16 entity.
- 17 Q. And who was the tenant?
- 18 The tenant under the ground lease was
- Victor Associates and the landlord was Victor 19
- 20 Urban Renewal.
- 21 Is there anything in any of these
- 22 documents that you reviewed attached to Lebor-2
- 23 for identification that indicates how the
- expenses were being allocated between those two 24
- 25 parties?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- A. No. Nor was it required to be.
- 2 Does the application or any exhibits Q.
- 3 attached to the application address the issue of
- payment of excess net profits to the City by the
- 5 applicant?
- 6 A. Once again, I will state that there were

119

120

- 7 never going to be net profits paid because this
- was a ground lease that netted out the revenues
- 9 with the expenses. So it was not intended to
- produce net profits. 10
- 11 Let's go to Page 58192. Do you see
- 12 fiscal plan number five?
- 13 A. I do.
- 14 Q. And this called for Victor to provide a
- 15 "fiscal plan for the project outlining a schedule
- 16 of annual gross revenue, the estimated
- 17 expenditure for operation and maintenance,
- 18 payments for interest, amortization of debt and
- 19 reserves, and payments to the municipality to be
- 20 made pursuant to a financial agreement to be
- 21 entered into with the City." Did I read that
- 22 correctly?

118

- 23 A. Yes, you did.
- 24 Let's turn to Page 58196. Q.
- 25 Α. I'm there.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

And this is the response of Victor to

- that request; correct? 2
- 3 A. Yes.

Q.

1

- 4 Q. And the response is "the fiscal plan for
- the applicant is attached as Exhibit E"; correct? 5
- 6 Α. Let me get there.
- 7 Q. Exhibit E is at 58208 and 209.
- 8 A. I see that.
- 9 Does Exhibit E provide the information
- 10 requested on Page 58192 for number five?
- 11 A. It's not clear to me. I think it is
- 12 responsive because this refers to, I think, the
- project defined as the applicant. 13
- 14 The applicant is Victor Urban
- 15 Renewal Group. So the project description and
- 16 the fiscal plan would be the fiscal plan in
- 17 connection with Victor Urban Group, which would
- 18 have had this pro forma.
- 19 Q. Is it your contention that Exhibit E
- 20 provides the fiscal plan for the project?
- 21 Well, it's a pro forma for -- it's a
- 22 schedule of income and expenses for Victor Urban
- 23 Renewal Group.
- 24 Is it your contention that Exhibit E
- 25 provides the fiscal plan for the project?

121 123 Well, first we have to find out what the BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 1 project is. And if I had that, I could give you 2 2 Q. The exhibit Dranoff 122, take a moment an answer. Can you point that out to me? 3 and look at that document and tell me if you've 3 4 You describe the project in response to 4 seen it before? 5 5 Exhibit 1 -- question number one. A. I've seen this. 6 A. I said that there was, there was a 6 Q. And what is this document? 7 Α. 7 schedule of construction costs that I don't see This is a letter from Blank Rome to 8 here. But I think that the fiscal plan is 8 Michelle Banks-Spearman, enclosing a copy of a regarding the Victor Urban Renewal Group fiscal 9 9 cost certification requested. plan. 10 Q. And is a cost certification a pro forma? 10 11 Q. Right. But the question calls for a 11 A. No. 12 fiscal plan for the project; correct? 12 Q. In this document, the second page of it 13 13 A. What is the project? Let's go there. is signed by a gentleman by the name of William Schwartz. Do you know who that is? 14 Q. Question number one on Page 58191 calls 14 15 15 for a general description of the project; A. Yes, I do. 16 Q. 16 correct? The second paragraph of this letter 17 A. 17 states: "We understand that such project costs I'll catch up to you. You referred to 18 the description of the project. 18 are to be expended by or on behalf of Victor 19 And your response was on 58193 through 19 Associates as tenant pursuant to a ground lease 20 58196; correct? 20 to be entered with the owner of the property, 21 I'm getting a little confused, so be 21 Victor Urban Renewal Group, as landlord." Did I 22 22 patient with me for a second. read that correctly? 23 23 A. Q. Take your time. You did. 24 Α. I don't see a definition of project in 24 Q. Do you agree with the statement that the 25 here. But from the description of project costs 25 project costs are to be expended by Victor KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 122 124 Associates and not the landlord under the ground on three, I'm not sure -- I can't really 1 1 2 determine whether this refers to the project, the lease? 3 overall project or the Victor Urban Renewal A. 3 The construction costs he's referring 4 Group. 4 to. 5 As I stated previously, there is 5 Q. Well, he says project costs. 6 Α. 6 not a pro forma that I can see, which probably Well, he's the contractor, so for him, 7 should have been included in here, but probably 7 costs would be the construction costs. They have it was an oversight that it wasn't. Because we nothing to do with the operating of the building. 9 did have a pro forma for the project, but it's He's the general contractor. This is in 2001. 10 not in here. 10 There's no project yet to have a pro forma. 11 11 Q. Where would we find that pro forma So your understanding of the word 12 12 project there is the construction costs? today, if it exists? 13 13 I think it is in one of the exhibits A. Yes. 14 that was circulated. 14 Now, records in this case show that the 15 MR. KIRCHER: You have it. We 15 Camden City Council approved the Victor PILOT produced it. It's a July 18th. 16 application on August 23rd, 2001. Did you 16 17 17 MR. TAMBUSSI: What year? personally attend the August 23rd, 2001 City 18 MR. KIRCHER: 2001. It's a letter 18 Council meeting that approved the PILOT? from Mr. Dranoff to Lebor. I thought you used it 19 A. 19 I can't remember. 20 as an exhibit, Michael. 20 Do you know if anyone on your behalf, 21 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 21 meaning any of the Victor entities, attended the 22 22 Q. meeting? Let's continue on then. I'm going to 23 show you a document that's marked Exhibit 122. 23 I would hope that we would have had some 24 MR. KIRCHER: It's actually July 24 representation there from, possibly, Sheehan's 25 20th. 25 office, but I can't recall.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

125 127 Do you know if anyone made a Where does it say that in this 1 presentation in support of the PILOT application? 2 2 agreement? 3 A. I cannot recall. 3 A. Well, it says it in the exhibit to the 4 Q. Is there any document that would 4 agreement clearly. 5 5 indicate whether or not a presentation was made Why does -- if that's the case, why does 6 at that council meeting at which the PILOT 6 this agreement contain specific provisions that 7 7 agreement was approved? provide for the payment of excess profits? 8 MR. KIRCHER: A presentation by 8 MR. KIRCHER: If any. 9 Dranoff? 9 MR. TAMBUSSI: If any. 10 MR. TAMBUSSI: By anybody on behalf 10 Α. Because the statute -- it's reciting the 11 of Dranoff. 11 statute, and in the opinion of our attorneys, we 12 12 met the letter of the law of the statute with A. Mr. Tambussi, I do not know. 13 Q. 13 this agreement. And apparently, so did others I don't know is an acceptable answer if that's the truth. So I'm not going to hold that 14 that entered into these types of agreements all 14 15 against you. 15 over New Jersey. 16 A. 16 Right. Well, you can't give me a It's 18 years ago. How can somebody Q. remember if somebody made a presentation or not. 17 specific name of any other; correct? 17 Well, this is a pretty significant 18 Α. 18 Q. No. But our experts will later on. 19 19 event: correct? Q. But you, as we sit here today --20 Well, I have a pretty significant 20 Α. I cannot, no. 21 company. We do presentations in multiple cities 21 Q. Where in this agreement -- strike that. 22 22 and many different projects. I can't remember Why does this agreement include 23 who gave a presentation on which particular day. 23 provisions requiring annual reporting if there 24 How many projects have you done in the 24 weren't going to be any net profits to be 25 City of Camden? 25 calculated for payment to the --KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 126 128 Α. One so far. Α. 1 1 Well, that's a very interesting -- I'm Q. 2 2 Go back to the financial agreement or sorry. the PILOT agreement which is Dranoff Exhibit 3. 3 Q. -- if there were not going to be any net 3 Based on this agreement, what agreement, if any, 4 profits to be calculated for payment over to the 5 do you believe was made by the parties as to the 5 municipality? payment of excess net profits to the City of 6 Α. Well, there would be annual reports, and 6 7 Camden? 7 as I said before, we were remiss in not 8 Α. I think the agreement stands on its own. submitting them, but they would have said no I'm not a lawyer and can't really describe or 9 excess profits were due. 10 10 answer your question. Well, how do we know that if the reports Q. Well, you signed this document; correct? 11 11 weren't prepared? 12 Α. 12 Well, the reports were done later on, as Yes, I did. 13 Q. You read this document before you signed 13 you well know, and we contend there were no 14 it; right? 14 excess profits. As a matter of fact, there's --15 15 A. I'm not sure I did. But I did sign it. this is a good time for me to point out. There But what was your question, sir? 16 were never any profits on this project overall by 16 17 17 My question is, based on this financial Victor Associates. We lost money practically every year. agreement, what part of this agreement indicates 18 18 what the parties are to do with regard to the 19 19 So if you blew this whole thing up 20 payment of excess net profits to the City of 20 and looked at the parent, which we submitted to 21 Camden? 21 you with consolidated statements, you would see 22 Α. 22 that there were no profits by the overall As I said before, many many times, the intent of the agreement was to net out the 23 project, Victor Associates, Victor Urban Renewal, revenues against the expenses and not have excess 24 consolidated, by themselves. 24 25 25 Q. profits. Let me go through this little bit by

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 34 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 129 131 little bit. Now, at any point in time up until 1 2 A. Sorry. 2 today, have separate and individualized financial 3 Q. No problem. You have your opportunity 3 statements going back to 2002 been prepared for to speak your peace. 4 Victor Urban Renewal? 4 5 5 There were no individualized annual A. Up through today? 6 financial statements created for each of the 6 Q. Yes. 7 Α. 7 Victor entities; correct? Well, we produced Victor Urban Renewal 8 The entities were consolidated. They 8 statements that we submitted to the City by our were required to be consolidated under general 9 9 accountants in, I believe, September of 2018. accounting principles. 10 Was that the first financial statement 10 11 Q. Let me try it again. There were three 11 you submitted to the City for Victor Urban Victor entities identified on this document, the 12 Renewal since 2002? 12 13 13 A. PILOT agreement; correct? No, that was the second. The first one 14 A. Three? 14 was when we realized that we hadn't submitted the 15 Q. Go to the signature page. 15 annual reports as required, we put them together 16 upon the request of the City attorney in March of A. Let's go to that. There are three 16 entities on that page, yes. 17 2018. 17 18 Q. 18 For the years 2002 through 2018, am I And later you revised those statements? 19 correct in stating that there were not any 19 A. Yes, we did. There was a mistake made. 20 individualized separate financial statements in 20 Q. Let's go back to the ground lease, which 21 existence for each of those three entities? 21 has been marked Dranoff-33. And just have that 22 22 A. That went to Camden, that -in front of you, if you would. 23 Q. 23 A. That went anywhere. Sure. 24 A. No. They would have been consolidated 24 Q. First I want to show you a document 25 into one statement. 25 that's been marked Dranoff Exhibit 125. Take a KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 130 132 Q. Right. So there is no individual look at that cover E-mail and then tell me when 1 1 2 financial statement for Victor Urban Renewal you're finished. 3 A. 3 Group for the years 2002 through 2018; right? I've seen it. 4 A. Correct. 4 Q. Is this the first time you saw a draft 5 Q. There is no individual financial 5 of the ground lease? statement for Victor Associates, LLP for the 6 6 I can't recall. There may have been 7 7 years 2002 through 2018; correct? prior drafts. I don't know. 8 A. No, there were statements for Victor 8 So then we go to Exhibit 33, which is 9 Associates. the actual ground lease which you have in front 10 Q. Was it an individual statement or part 10 of you; right? of a consolidated statement? 11 A. 11 Yes, sir. 12 12 Q. A. It was a consolidated statement. You indicated that you signed this 13 Q. My question is referring to individual 13 ground lease on behalf of both the tenant and the 14 statements. 14 landlord; correct? 15 15 A. Am I correct that there were no Correct. 16 Q. individual financial statements for Victor And we go to Page 7 of that ground lease 16 17 17 Associates, LLP for the years 2002 through 2018? under property expenses. And in that paragraph, 18 And my answer is there were no 18 which we talked about a little bit earlier today, 19 there's a reference to an allocation of bills in 19 statements for, individual statements for Victor 20 Urban Renewal and Victor GP Corp because they 20 the amount of 75 percent of the operating 21 21 were consolidated into Victor Associates. expenses. Do you see that? 22 22 A. Q. I do. So the only statement would be the 23 Victor Associates statement; correct? 23 Q. Does that refresh your recollection as 24 The only ones that were prepared by our 24 to what you meant by substantial majority?

25

A.

I'm not sure when we said substantial

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

25

accountants.

133 135 majority a year earlier than this that we were It's a lot of A's and B's and Roman numerals. I'm not sure I'm following the changes. So thinking about that 75 percent. Over a year has 3 passed now. 3 please. 4 Q. There are some differences in that 4 Q. My question to you is, do you have any 5 paragraph 7.2, in comparison to the draft that we 5 knowledge as to why there was this revision in gave you as Exhibit 125. Did you participate in 6 this particular section of the ground lease? 6 7 7 any of the discussions regarding those revisions? A. No. 8 A. Which revisions? 8 Q. Do you know why the ground lease was 9 Sure. Compare Section 7.2 in the draft 9 revised to where the 75 percent applied to all Q. which we gave you. expenses and was later limited to the categories 10 11 A. Yes. 11 on Exhibit C? Do you know why that was done? 12 12 Maybe it was a mistake the first time Q. To the actual document. A. 13 13 around. Maybe there were comments from others. A. The actual document -- I thought you gave me the actual document? 14 I don't know. 14 15 15 Q. You do have the actual document. You Q. I don't want you to guess. just moved the draft. 16 16 A. I'd be guessing then. 17 17 So are you saying that there were Q. So you don't know? 18 18 changes between the draft and the actual? I don't know. This is the first time 19 Q. 19 I've seen the draft. I really can't say what 20 Α. 20 happened between the draft and the final. Okay. I wasn't clear on that. Sorry. 21 So you want me to turn to Page 7 on 21 Has the ground lease that was signed on 22 22 the --October 17th, 2002, ever been modified or 23 23 amended? Q. Correct. Page 7. 24 A. On the --24 A. Not to my knowledge. Well, I shouldn't 25 Q. On each of them. It's the same page on 25 say. I don't know. I really don't know if it's KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 134 136 1 each. 1 been amended. 2 2 A. I have them both opened. Q. If the ground lease related to the 3 3 Victor Building was modified or amended, who Q. Do you see that -- I want you to read 7.2 in each, in the draft and then the actual 4 would sign it? 4 5 5 ground lease. And tell me when you're finished. A. I would. 6 It's a lot of legalese in both. I can't 6 Do you have any recollection of signing 7 7 any amendment to the ground lease that is marked pick up the differences. Exhibit 33? 8 Q. Well, if you go to the actual lease. 8 9 9 A. Again, if it was amended, I cannot A. 10 Q. And you turn to Page 8, at the top. 10 recall. 11 11 A. Yes. Q. Now, the ground lease provides for 12 12 payment of a monthly rent from the tenant to the It appears that clause, Roman Numeral II, in reference to Exhibit C were added to that 13 landlord. Did that actually occur or simply were 14 version, that were not included in the first 14 book entries made? 15 15 A. version. Well, typically you wouldn't write --16 16 you wouldn't have monthly adjustments. You would MR. KIRCHER: I think I'm with you. 17 So Page 8 in the signed ground lease? 17 do it as an allocation because they were 18 MR. TAMBUSSI: Yes. 18 affiliated entities. So you wouldn't have 19 19 MR. KIRCHER: Okay. separate checkbooks and be writing checks. It's 20 MR. TAMBUSSI: It's a carry over of 20 common practice in the real estate industry to 21 21 paragraph 7.2. have affiliated entities and virtually every 22 22 MR. KIRCHER: Okay. project does. And you would do allocations by 23 I would have to read this real close to 23 book entries not by writing checks. So the answer is no checks were written, see what's changed. I'm not sure I understand 24 24 25 25 the differences. Maybe you can help me out. book entries were made; correct?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

137 139 A. Correct. Are you on Page 8? 1 2 Q. Now, did the landlord entity actually 2 A. Yes. pay the annual service charge to the City, or how 3 Q. Under 59 states: "Exclusivity and 3 are the funds sent to the City for the annual 4 license agreement by and between Cooper's Ferry 5 service charge? Development Association and Dranoff Properties 6 Α. I can't answer that question. I don't 6 dated April 20th, 2000." Do you know what know. agreement that refers to? 7 7 8 Q. Do you know who would know? 8 A. I can only speculate. Probably our controller, our VP of 9 Well, I mean, do you know what an 9 Α. Q. finance. 10 exclusivity and license agreement is? 10 11 Q. How about the allocation of the 75 11 Well, by the very name exclusivity, I am percent of the expenses, how is that made? 12 guessing that we were negotiating with the City 12 13 13 on the Victor Building. And Cooper's Ferry A. I think it says up to 75 percent. 14 Q. Right. 14 provided an exclusivity agreement, meaning that 15 Capped at the amount of the revenue. So 15 they would not be negotiating with others. Just 16 the 75 percent was just a fail-safe percentage to 16 speculating. 17 zero out the numbers. But in reality, I don't Q. I don't want to you speculate and I 17 don't want you to guess. Do you have any 18 think we actually ever got to 75 percent. 18 19 How was the annual percentage 19 recollection of entering into an exclusivity and 20 reimbursement made, was it just a book entry? 20 license agreement with Cooper's Ferry 21 Α. 21 Development? It was a book entry. 22 22 Q. And were there separate books and A. Specifically, no. 23 Q. 23 records kept for each of these entities? Generally, do you have any recollection 24 Again, you would not keep separate books 24 of doing that? 25 and records. You would have one consolidated set 25 Α. I can't remember specifically, so I KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 138 140 of books and records and you would allocate would have to say no. 1 1 between affiliated entities. And that is 2 2 Q. My question was do you have any general 3 standard practice. 3 recollection of doing that? 4 And those would be book entries; right? 4 I would say generally yes. I have a 5 Α. Yes. 5 recollection that when we started to negotiate, 6 I want to show you a document that's O. 6 we wanted some protection that we were the sole 7 been marked Dranoff Exhibit 32. Exhibit 32 7 person that -- that we were the sole person provides us with some reference to a closing 8 negotiating to sign an agreement on the property. 9 binder for the acquisition and financing of the 9 Why would you enter into an agreement 10 Victor in Camden, New Jersey. 10 with Cooper's Ferry Development Association as 11 Did you attend the closing on 11 opposed to the City of Camden? 12 12 October 17th, 2002? Well, this question runs through the 13 A. Yes. 13 thread of many of your other questions. In our 14 Do you know if anyone from the City of 14 minds, Cooper's Ferry was the apparent designee 15 Camden attended that closing? 15 of the City. And that's what we took the 16 A. I don't. 16 relationship to be. 17 17 Do you know if anyone from the City of Q. And that's your sole basis? Camden Redevelopment Agency attended that 18 A. Yes. We did not ask for validation in 18 closing? 19 writing. 19 20 Α. I don't. 20 Q. I show you, sir, what's been marked Do you know if anyone from Cooper's 21 Lebor-7 for identification. Take a moment and 21 Q. 22 22 Ferry Development Association attended that read the document and tell me when you're finished. 23 closing? 23 24 A. 24 A. I cannot recall. I see it. 25 Q. 25 Q. Can you turn to Page 8 of that document? In 2016, what was the mailing address of KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS Page 137 to 140 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM 35 of 88 sheets

141 143 Victor Urban Renewal? 1 I can't recall. 2 2 I don't know. Q. Did you or Ms. Connelly --3 Q. This letter was addressed to 114-120 3 A. We may have spoken to someone on-site, 4 North Front Street. Is that the location of the 4 some property manager to find out if anything was Victor Building? 5 received there. 5 6 Α. It's one of three or four, I would say, 6 Q. That's what I want to find out. Did you addresses that, because the building fronted on 7 7 or Ms. Connelly make any effort to contact four streets, I think people used different anybody at the Victor Building to determine 9 addresses. 9 whether or not this letter was received? 10 Q. Did you have any staff at the Victor 10 Well, we wouldn't have known about the Building in 2016? 11 11 letter because we have never seen it before and 12 A. Of course. 12 didn't receive it. So how would we have asked 13 Q. 13 Did those, did that staff receive mail somebody. Until we found out that this letter 14 addressed to Victor Urban Renewal during that 14 was sent, we didn't have it or have any record of period of time? it. So we wouldn't have been able to ask anyone 15 15 16 A. 16 about it. I can't say. 17 Q. 17 Q. Who would know? When you, you personally, first became 18 aware of this letter, which is dated August 16, I'm not sure anyone would know. This 18 19 type of letter would have, if it was received, 19 2016 as having been sent via certified mail to 20 would have gone to our VP of finance, and there 20 Victor Urban Renewal, Attention, Carl Dranoff at 21 would have been a response. 21 114-120 North Front Street, Camden, New Jersey, 22 22 Do you have any facts that would support when you first learned of the existence of this 23 23 an allegation that this letter was not received letter, did you, Carl Dranoff, yourself, or by Victor Urban Renewal? direct anyone to contact people in Camden to 24 24 25 That's like trying to prove a negative. 25 determine whether or not this letter had been KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 144 I don't believe it was. We have no record of it. received? 1 1 2 A. 2 Q. Do you know of any facts that would I don't believe I got a copy of this 3 support the allegation that the letter was not letter until late 2018, 2019. I don't ever 4 received? recall seeing this letter except as part of this 5 Α. Does the City have any facts that we 5 litigation. 6 received it? 6 O. That's not my question though. My 7 Q. The deposition doesn't work that way. 7 question is did you direct anyone or did you 8 A. yourself make any inquiry of people in Camden at 9 Do you have any facts that would the Victor Urban Renewal Group office to indicate that Victor Urban Renewal did not 10 10 determine whether or not this letter was received 11 receive this August 16, 2016 letter? 11 there or in any file there? 12 12 We have no record of receiving it, and A. You mean after I learned about it? 13 you can depose other people. But we've talked 13 Q. After you learned about it. 14 among ourselves and we have no record of 14 A. No. 15 receiving this letter. 15 Q. Have you read this letter before? 16 Α. 16 Q. When you say you talked among Yes. 17 17 yourselves, just tell me who you spoke with. Q. This letter specifically requests for 18 That would be Caryn Connelly, our VP of 18 company audits for years 2013, 2014, 2015 as of finance, who typically would, a letter like this, 19 August 16, 2016, does it not? 19 20 an official letter from the City would go to her. 20 A. Yes, it does. 21 Q. 21 If it went to the property and was received, it You're not aware of any response to this 22 22 would have been sent to our corporate office. letter because --23 And we simply have no record of this letter. 23 Well, we never received it. If the City 24 Anyone other than the individual you 24 sent it certified, then they would have a record 25 just mentioned, did you speak with anyone else? 25 of who received it. So if they don't have a

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

145 147 record, then maybe we didn't receive it. Victor Building was the first PILOT you did in 1 Did you complete your answer on that? New Jersey; is that correct? 2 Q. 2 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Yes. 4 4 Q. Is that the first PILOT you ever did? Q. Okay. 5 5 Well, I would like to add one more A. A. thing. We understand that this was a form letter 6 Q. Since that PILOT, the Victor PILOT, have 6 7 7 sent to many other people. It was a form letter you entered into any other PILOT agreements? 8 and we were probably one of many people that it 8 A. No. 9 Q. 9 was sent to, and we didn't get it. Likely, the So the only PILOT agreement that a others didn't get it, but I can't speak for them. 10 Dranoff related entity has been involved with is 10 11 Well, how do you know others did not get 11 the Victor Building in Camden; correct? 12 it? 12 Well, I'll mention the fact that we have 13 Α. I can't speak to that. 13 another property in Newark. I don't believe 14 Q. Why did you just make the statement then 14 that's called a PILOT agreement. But we have an 15 that others didn't get it? 15 agreement with the City of Newark that's called a 16 16 A. Let me pull that back and just say this revenue allocation bond, that sets our tax 17 17 was a form letter that was sent to other people. payments for the next ten years. 18 Q. 18 Q. How do you know that? And does that agreement require annual, 19 Α. It was told to me by my attorneys. 19 the filing of annual financial statements? 20 Is that your present attorneys at Cozen 20 Α. Ω I don't believe so. 21 21 & O'Connor? I just -- and then we'll take a break 22 A. Yes. 22 after you answer this question. 23 23 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 75. Take a moment A. Sure. 24 and read that letter and tell me when you're 24 Q. This PILOT agreement that you entered 25 finished. 25 into with the City of Camden had annual reporting KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 146 148 1 A. 1 requirements listed in it; correct? I'm good. 2 2 Q. When did it come to your attention, A. Yes, it did. 3 3 first come to your attention that the annual What process does Dranoff have in place, reports required under the financial agreement 4 Dranoff Properties have in place to ensure that 5 for the Victor had not been filed with the City 5 its entities comply with the reporting of Camden? 6 6 requirements set forth in the agreement? 7 7 A. I think shortly before this letter of Well, we have tickler files. For 8 March 21st was written. example, when we have to make mortgage payments, 9 Q. All right. And how did it come to your we know when we have to make them. Obviously, we 10 attention? 10 didn't submit the reports. So the compliance was 11 A. Probably Caryn Connelly, our VP of 11 not in place to submit these annual reports and 12 12 finance told me. we've acknowledged that already. 13 And do you know how Ms. Connelly found 13 Right. But does Dranoff have in place 14 out that you had been remiss in filing annual 14 or did it have in place -reports for the years 2002 through 2017? 15 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 16 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: My understanding is that when we came to 17 17 an agreement with Aimco to sell our portfolio of Q. Did Dranoff have in place at the time it multi-family properties, that we went, that there 18 entered into this PILOT agreement with the City 18 19 19 was a due diligence checklist on each property. of Camden provisions, whereby Dranoff would 20 And part of the due diligence on the Victor was 20 comply with, ensure compliance with the the transfer of the financial agreement. And I 21 21 agreement? 22 22 A. think as part of that due diligence, that's how Having spoken to our VP of finance, I 23 it came to our attention. I'm not sure it came 23 think that we understood that the payment here of from Aimco or from the City of Camden. 24 200,000 dollars per year was the amount that was 24 25 Q. Now, I think you told me that this 25 due. It was paid and we were not aware that KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 39 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 149 151 there was a reporting requirement on top of that. 1 Q. Last sentence of the first paragraph. 2 And that's why the reports were not submitted. 2 Α. Okay. I see that. 3 Q. Even though the agreements specifically 3 Q. Do you know who provided Mayer Hoffman & 4 called for a reporting, annual reporting 4 McCann with that charge? requirement; correct? 5 A. 5 Well, that would have probably been us. 6 A. Even though the report, the agreement 6 Q. And at the time that you gave that -called for it. 7 us, meaning Dranoff? 7 8 Q. Why don't we take a break. 8 A. Yes. 9 (Recess taken.) 9 Q. At the time that Dranoff gave that task BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 10 or charge to Mayer Hoffman & McCann, where did 10 11 Q. Mr. Dranoff, we're back on the record. 11 Dranoff get that language, statements of net 12 Let me show you a document that's 12 operating income and calculations of annual 13 been marked CED-1. Take a quick look at that 13 service charges and net amounts due based on our examination? 14 document and tell me if you recognize it. 14 15 A. 15 A. Sure. Okay. I'm good. I can't say where they got that 16 Q. 16 language. Have you ever seen document CED-1 before? I'm going to ask you not to mark the 17 Q. At the time that Mayer Hoffman was 17 document. 18 engaged to perform this exercise, what documents 18 19 A. Sorry. I've seen it somewhere, somehow, 19 was Mayer Hoffman provided with? 20 yes. 20 Α. You would have to ask them. I would not 21 21 It's a document from Mayer Hoffman know. O. 22 McCann. Do you recognize the firm Mayer Hoffman 22 Q. Do you know if Mayer Hoffman was 23 McCann? 23 provided with the PILOT agreement? 24 A. 24 A. Yes. I assume that they had it already. 25 Q. And who retained Mayer Hoffman & McCann? 25 But... KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 150 152 They would have been retained by Victor, 1 Q. Do you know if Mayer Hoffman --1 A. 2 A. the Victor companies. They would have done the I don't know. I'm sorry, go on. financial statements for the -- they do basically 3 Q. Do you know whether Mayer Hoffman was most of the financial work for Dranoff 4 provided with the ground lease at this time? 4 5 5 Properties. A. I'm afraid you would have to ask others. 6 Q. And when did they start doing work for 6 That's not an area that I would have really 7 **Dranoff Properties?** 7 directly been involved in. 8 Well, like most firms, they merged 8 Q. Was Mayer Hoffman provided with any through the years. So the firm that we started instructions as to how to handle the allocation 10 with is no longer there. Then that firm merged 10 of expenses to Victor Urban Renewal Group? with someone else. But the forerunner of this 11 11 A. I don't know. I wasn't really involved 12 12 firm was probably 20 years ago. in directing Mayer Hoffman. 13 13 Q. And did you play any role or part in Q. Can you turn to the last page, please? 14 coordinating the services that Mayer Hoffman was 14 Α. Sure. 15 performing for Dranoff at this point in time? 15 Q. Take a minute and read it over and tell

- **16 A.** No.
- 17 Q. Now, on the very first page of this
- 18 document, last sentence of the first paragraph
- 19 states: "Our responsibility is to express an
- 20 opinion on the statements of net operating income
- 21 and calculations of annual service charges and
- 22 net amounts due based on our examination." Did I
- 23 read that correctly?
- **24 A.** I didn't follow where you were reading.
- 25 I apologize.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- **16** me when you're finished.
- 17 A. The whole last page?
- 18 Q. Yes, please.
- **19 A.** I'm good.
- 20 Q. Who provided this information to Mayer
- 21 Hoffman & McCann?
- 22 A. Which information, sir?
- **23** Q. All the information on this page.
- **24 A.** They would have already had that
- 25 information.

153

How would -- from whom would they have

- 2 acquired that information?
- **3** A. Well, they have been involved in
- 4 auditing and providing statements and reviews for
- 5 our company and for this property among them, for
- 6 probably ten years.

1

- 7 Q. So it's your position that the
- 8 information contained on this fourth page of
- 9 Exhibit CED-1, would it already have been into
- 10 Mayer Hoffman & McCann's possession?
- 11 A. When you say the information, I just
- 12 want to give an accurate answer here. Are you
- 13 speaking of -- there's references to various
- 14 documents. Is that what you're referring to? It
- **15** references a ground lease.
- 16 Q. Let's go through it.
- **17 A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. There's a number one and then there's a
- 19 number of subparagraphs.
- **20 A.** Yes.
- **21** Q. The first one is the nature of business.
- 22 There's some information with regard to the
- 23 business. Do you see that?
- **24 A.** I do.
- **25** Q. Who provided that information to Mayer KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

154

- 1 Hoffman?
- 2 A. They would have already had that
- 3 information through their engagement with us for
- 4 many years.
- **5** Q. Second paragraph says revenue
- 6 recognition, and it makes reference to ground
- ${f 7}$ lease revenue and ground lease charges. Do you
- 8 see that?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Is it your contention that Mayer Hoffman
- 11 had the ground lease at the time they prepared
- **12** this document?
- 13 A. You would have to ask them. I would
- 14 assume so as the client, that they had the
- 15 relevant documents. But I think you should ask
- 16 them directly.
- 17 Q. Right, but I'm asking if you know.
- **18** A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Let's go to expense recognition. Here
- 20 it says "the company has allocated expenses
- 21 shared jointly with LP in normal management and
- 22 operation of project. The allocation is based
- 23 upon the company's ratable share of total
- 24 operations." Did I read that correctly?
- **25** A. You did.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Q. Who provided that information to Mayer

155

156

- 2 Hoffman?
- **3** A. I don't think we provided the
- 4 information. I think this is their
- **5** interpretation of the information. They had the
- 6 information available to them as our accounting
- 7 firm for many years. So I'm not sure if that --
- 8 I'm answering your question. But we didn't
- 9 provide them with information. They already had
- 10 the information to do an audit and to do a review
- 11 and to provide statements to us.
- 12 Q. Do you believe that the information
- 13 contained on this page is correct?
- **14 A.** Well, it was proven to be incorrect
- 15 later on.
- 16 Q. Right. This information was provided to
- 17 the City of Camden; correct?
- **18 A.** Yes.
- **19** Q. And it was provided to the City of
- 20 Camden -- to the City of Camden in conjunction
- 21 with a request for the City to provide certain
- 22 documents and releases to Dranoff; correct?
- 23 A. It was provided to the City in response
- 24 to the acknowledgement that we failed to produce
- 25 the annual reports.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Q. Right. But at the same time, Dranoff

- 2 was asking for certain consents from the City;
- 3 correct?

1

- **4** A. At that point, I don't think there was
- 5 any formal application in. That came later on.
- **6** Q. When this document was submitted to the
- 7 City of Camden, Dranoff intended the City to rely
- **8** upon this, the accuracy of this document;
- **9** correct?
- **10 A.** Of course.
- 11 Q. At what time -- when did Dranoff
- 12 discover that the information contained in CED-1
- 13 was incorrect?
- 14 A. September.
- 15 Q. How did Dranoff learn that the
- 16 information provided to the City was incorrect in
- 17 September?
- **18 A.** I'm not sure who really made the
- 19 determination.
- 20 Q. Once Dranoff found out that the
- 21 information that it submitted to the City, in
- 22 accordance with its obligations under the
- 23 financial agreement was incorrect, how did
- **24** Dranoff notify the City of that fact, if at all?
- **25 A.** I believe there was letters in mid to

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 41 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 157 159 late September or early September, I can't net operating income and calculations of annual 2 recall, that set forth corrected reports. 2 service charges and net amounts due for the years 3 Q. By the way, this document CED-1, is this 3 ended December 31st, 2002 through 2017 are 4 document a report of income and cost related to presented in accordance with the agreement, in the Victor Project as certified by a certified all material respects." 6 public accountant? 6 Q. Where is the certification? 7 A. 7 I'm not looking where you're looking, so I'm not sure what constitutes a you got to help me. Which document are we 8 certification. So I'm not going to opine on 8 9 that. 9 looking at, for starters? We're going to compare CED-1, which you 10 Q. Okay. Let's go to paragraph F in the 10 11 just had in front of you, it's to your right. 11 financial agreement. Paragraph F requires 12 This says Dranoff Exhibit 33. 12 Dranoff to submit annually the calculation of all A. 13 Q. No. The document that we just reviewed, 13 amounts due under the agreement, such 14 the letter from --14 calculations to be attested to by a certified 15 A. Oh. 15 public accountant as to the accuracy of the 16 Q. -- memo from Mayer Hoffman? 16 computation and the compliance with this Dranoff Exhibit 75? 17 agreement. 17 A. 18 18 Q. No. CED-1? Is it your contention that CED-1 19 MR. KIRCHER: That. 19 satisfies this requirement for the years 2002 20 This one? 20 through 2017? A. 21 21 That's it. A. Q. I believe so. 22 22 A. Okay. Q. Where in CED-1 is there a calculation of 23 We're going to compare that to the 23 all amounts due under the agreement? 24 financial agreement, which is marked Dranoff-3. 24 Well, there's a schedule on Page 3, Turn to Page 7, please. 25 25 which shows 2004 through 2017, year by year, that KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 158 1 A. As soon as I can find it, I will. Okay. has a last column that says net amounts due, and 1 2 The financial agreement. 2 in parentheses, overpaid. 3 Page 7. 3 Q. And where is that attestation to this Q. 4 Page 7. 4 document, to that calculation? A. 5 Q. The question to you, is CED-1 a report 5 A. I don't know what constitutes any -of income and cost related to the project as 6 6 what did you call it? 7 7 certified by a certified public accountant? Q. Attestation? 8 A. Where did you just read that from, sir? 8 A. That's a tongue twister. Attestation. 9 Q. Page 7, paragraph E, E as in Edward. 9 Does CED-1 contain any information 10 MR. KIRCHER: You're on it. 4E. 10 regarding the total project costs for the Victor Project? 11 Okay. So paragraph E states "to submit 11 A. 12 12 annually within 90 days" -- I'll read it to A. No. This report only incorporates 13 myself. 13 statements of net operating income and 14 Okay. I have that and I have 14 calculations of annual service charges. 15 15 CED-1. Can you restate the question? Does CED-1 contain any information 16 Sure. Is it your contention that CED-1 16 regarding the allowable profit rate? 17 A. 17 constitutes a report of income and costs related No, it does not. to the project as certified by a certified public 18 Q. Let's go to this document. I show you 18 accountant for each of the years 2002 through 19 19 what's been marked CED-2 for identification. 20 2017? 20 Please take a look at that document and tell me 21 21 A. if you recognize it. Yes. 22 A. 22 Q. Where is this certified to by Mayer I've not seen this before. 23 Hoffman & McCann? 23 Let me see if you have any knowledge of

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM Page 157 to 160 of 244 40 of 88 sheets

24

25

A.

any of the information in it.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Sure.

Let's see. Third paragraph on the first

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

page, it says "in our opinion, the statements of

24

25

161

162

Go to the second page, please. Second

- paragraph under number two reads: "As the 2
- company had previously only reported consolidated 3
- financial statements with the LP, only one set of
- accounting records existed for these multiple
- 6 entities and no allocation of costs had
- previously occurred." Do you agree that that's a 7
- 8 true statement?

1

- 9 Let me read it again. A.
- 10 Q.
- 11 A. I don't know. It's the first time I've
- 12 seen this. So what's the question?
- 13 Q. Right. The statement says that only one
- 14 reported consolidated -- the only reported
- consolidated statements with the LP, only one set 15
- of accounting records existed for these multiple 16
- entities and no allocation of costs had 17
- previously occurred. Do you agree that that's 18
- 19 true?
- 20 A. I think that the sentence speaks for
- 21 itself. I have no reason to disbelieve it.
- 22 In fact, didn't you tell me earlier
- 23 today that there was only one set of accounting
- records for these multiple entities? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

Q.

1

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

And didn't you tell me that previously

- today under oath that there was no allocations of
- 3 costs amongst the entities?
- 4 I'm not sure I said that. I said that
- 5 the expenses on the net income, Exhibit D, were
- set forth. We went through the 75 percent, up to 6
- 7 75 percent allocation. I am not an accountant.
- I'm not in charge of the accountants. So I would
- not have knowledge of whether any allocations of
- 10 costs had previously occurred.
- 11 Q. So as we sit here today, you have no
- facts that would dispute the allegation that no 12
- allocation -- I'm sorry. 13
- 14 As we sit here today, you have no
- 15 facts that would dispute the statement that no
- allocation of costs had previously occurred; 16
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. 19 Now, next sentence states: "Per
- 20 discussion with management in Q-1 2018." Does
- that refer to the first quarter, 2018? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Who is the management with whom the
- 24 discussions were had?
- 25 I would imagine that it was Caryn A. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Connelly, our VP of finance. It could have been

163

164

- others in the Accounting Department.
- 3 Q. What were the instructions that were
- 4 provided?
- 5 A. I don't know. I wasn't there. And I'm
- not really privy -- this is the first time I've
- seen this and I certainly wasn't there at those 7
- 8 meetings.
- 9 Q. Are there any transmittal letters
- 10 between Dranoff and Mayer Hoffman in connection
- 11 with this document that you're aware of?
- 12 A. Mr. Tambussi, I would not know that.
- 13 Q. Mr. Dranoff, before you is Exhibit
- CED-3, which was a document produced to us in
- discovery entitled Victor Urban Renewal Group, 15
- 16 LLC, financial statements for the years ended
- 17 December 31, 2002 through 2017. Can you just
- 18 flip through this document and tell me if you've
- 19 ever seen it before?
- 20 A. Well, I haven't seen the letter or the
- financial statement. I have seen the restated 21
- 22 schedule on Page 2.
- 23 Q. Is that the only document you saw?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. Now, did you have any role

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- or part in obtaining this revised financial 2 statement from Mayer Hoffman?
- A. 3 No.

1

- 4 Q. Did anyone ever come to you and say, we
- need to get a restated analysis from Mayer
- 6 Hoffman?
- 7 A. At some point it came to my attention
- that the statements from March were incorrect,
- 9 and they needed to be corrected.
- 10 Q. How did that come to your attention?
- 11 A. I can't recall. It could have been
- 12 through the attorneys, it could have been through
- 13 our Accounting Department. I really can't
- 14 recall.
- 15 Q. So the first statement was sent in or
- 16 about March of 2018 and this restated statement
- 17 was sent in December of 2018. Within that time
- 18 frame, you became aware that the March statement
- 19 needed to be corrected and restated; right?
- 20 Α. I think we became aware of it not long
- 21 before this. I remember September was when we
- 22 became aware, maybe late August, that the
- 23 statements were incorrect.
- 24 Did you provide any instructions on how
- 25 the statements were to be corrected?

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 43 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 165 167 No. I had no interaction with the document that reflects that there is a management 1 A. 2 accountants. 2 contract between Dranoff and the Victor Building? 3 Q. Do you know who had the interaction with 3 A. More than likely there's a management 4 the accountants? 4 contract. I've not seen it, but usually there 5 5 I'm guessing that it was --6 Q. I don't want you to guess. If you can 6 Q. How about Julia Dranoff Gutstadt, where 7 would her compensation be in relationship to this 7 estimate or approximate, that would be fine. I'm 8 not interested in a guess. 8 chart? 9 9 A. A. Then I'll say I can't answer the She would be the same as me, meaning her question. 10 compensation would come from Dranoff Properties, 10 11 Q. There you go. Thank you. 11 not from a property. 12 I want you to go to the last page 12 Now there's a category of administrative Ω 13 13 of this report, this exhibit. Are you there? expenses. What entities, persons, companies 14 A. I am. 14 would be included under that category? 15 15 On this page of the report, there's a A. Administrative? row entitled compensation. Do you see that? 16 Q. 16 Yes 17 A. 17 A. Yes, I do. I think you asked this earlier. And my 18 And in fact, there's a row in the top 18 answer was I'm pretty sure that the accounting fees are in there. The management fees could be 19 part of the chart and a row in the bottom part of 19 20 the chart listed for compensation; correct? 20 in there too, I'm just not sure. But it would 21 A. 21 Correct. typically be computer services, management 22 Q. Is any compensation that's been paid to 22 services, accounting services, legal. 23 Q. 23 you included in this category? Have you received any payments from any 24 A. No. 24 Victor related entity other than payments 25 Q. How do you know? 25 processed through the management contracts? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 166 168 A. 1 A. Because I do know that I receive no 1 I've received repayments of loans. 2 Q. 2 compensation from the Victor or any property. I Okay. When did you receive repayments of loans? 3 receive my compensation from Dranoff Properties. 3 4 And typically, Mr. Tambussi, the 4 A. I can't recall exactly. Q. 5 compensation line item for a property would be 5 Do you recall the year? 6 6 Α. for the on-site personnel. Money would go in and money would go 7 7 Now, did Dranoff Properties receive any out. We would lend the property money. And if 8 income allocated to the compensation lines or 8 there was money available, it would get paid 9 rows on this document? 9 back. 10 Q. 10 Well, we have a management contract with Are these loans memorialized anywhere? 11 11 Victor that provides an annual management fee. A. I don't know. 12 12 I'm guessing it's baked into one of these line Q. Any loans that you --13 13 items, but I'm not positive. A. They would be memorialized in, there 14 And what does the management -- what is 14 would be book entries that would set forth the 15 15 amounts that, if I lent the property, for the annual management contract fee for the 16 Victor? 16 example, a half million dollars, there would be a 17 17 A. I believe it's three percent. book entry as a loan. And if I got paid back, it 18 Q. Three percent of what? 18 would be a loan repayment. 19 Q. So we would have to go through each book 19 A. Of the revenues. It's a standard fee 20 for a management company. 20 entry for each year for the Victor entities to 21 21 determine whether there were any loans --Q. And has that been the case since 2002? 22 A. 22 A. It's been the case for all of our Sure. properties. That's a standard fee that we 23 Q. -- or any loan repayments; correct?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes. We can provide that, sure.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

You have to let me finish.

collect from managing a property.

Is there a particular agreement or

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

24 25

Q.

169 171 A. limits of Tom's involvement. So... 1 Sorry. It's okay. Are you familiar with a view So you don't know? 2 Q. 2 Q. 3 easement that the Victor held? 3 A. I don't know. 4 A. Ves 4 Q. Who granted you the view easement, what 5 Q. Tell me about that view easement. What 5 entity? 6 do you know about it? What it was, I'm looking 6 A. I'm not sure because the area that we're 7 for. 7 speaking about on the waterfront, I believe was 8 A. So when we came into Camden, I explained under the title of the New Jersey EDA. And they may have given us permission. DRPA may have had 9 earlier that we didn't want to develop just one property. It wouldn't have been worth our while 10 license to develop the waterfront and they may 11 and it wouldn't have been commensurate with the 11 have given us permission. I'm not quite sure who risk we were taking and the difficulty of the 12 we got it from. 12 13 Q. 13 project. And our goal was to develop more So you don't know? 14 residential property on the waterfront. 14 A. I don't know. There are multiple 15 And so we also wanted to protect 15 entities involved in granting us the -- that we 16 our standing on the waterfront with the views 16 spoke to. I'm not sure who ultimately -- it may that were available from the Victor of the 17 have been even CRA was involved in that. I just 17 18 18 Philadelphia skyline. don't remember. 19 So those were the two areas that we 19 Q. Ultimately, you released the view 20 negotiated for with, I think DRPA through Tom. 20 easement; correct? 21 One was the view easement, which essentially said 21 A. We did. 22 22 that there was a height limitation on any Q. You told me the answer. Now, my 23 23 buildings built between Victor in a V-shaped question to you as a follow-up. 24 pattern going out to the river. It was part of 24 A. Yes. 25 the deed. There's actually a deed restriction. 25 Q. Is how much were you paid for releasing KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 170 172 the view easement? 1 The other one was a right of first 1 2 refusal on residential development by others on A. Well, the view easement was coupled with 3 the waterfront. 3 the right of first refusal. So you can't 4 Let's just focus on the view easement 4 separate one from the other. 5 first. You said that you negotiated it with the 5 Q. Okay. Fair enough. How much were you 6 DRPA through Tom Corcoran? 6 paid for waiving the view easement and the right 7 7 of first refusal? Well, he was the main person that we were -- began negotiating with. I think that the 8 A. Approximately a million-and-a-half. Q. view easements, I can't remember if there were 9 10 people at DRPA that we had to get permission with 10 A. That was after a big, big, longstanding 11 also. It's also, you know, kind of vague now, 11 dispute with other parties, which I'll be happy 12 12 after 20 years, who we actually spoke to about to get into later on. 13 Q. 13 it. But if you look at the, if you look at the I don't have a question. You said you 14 deed, you will see attached to it that there is 14 received a million-and-a-half dollars. Where did 15 that million-and-a-half dollars go? 15 a -- the title company can supply that. There's 16 Α. an area that was specified as a height limitation I'm not sure if it went to Victor or 16 17 17 on the waterfront. Dranoff Properties. 18 You went through that. I'm focusing on 18 If it went to Victor -- by the way, when did you waive the view easement and the right of 19 the comment that you made that you negotiated 19 20 with Tom Corcoran to the DRPA; correct? Didn't 20 first refusal? Do you know the year? 21 A. Yes. That would have been the end of 21 you say that? 22 A. 22 2016. I did. 23 Now, what authority did Tom Corcoran 23 Q. So if we look at, go back to CED-3. You 24 have to negotiate for the DRPA? 24 still have it in front of you; right? 25 25 A. A. We did not know, nor did we ask the Yes. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 45 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 173 175 If that 1.5 million dollars went to sale, did anyone at Dranoff look at the terms of 2 Victor, where would that be reflected on these 2 the PILOT agreement? 3 financial statements? 3 A. I'm not sure. 4 Well, I'm not sure that it did go back 4 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked to Victor. I doubt that it did. But if it did, 5 CED-4. Take a look at that set of E-mails and 5 it would have been potentially a capital. It 6 tell me when you're finished looking at that. 6 wouldn't have been P&L, I don't believe. And I 7 A. 7 I've read it. 8 don't see it on here. So my belief is it went to 8 Q. At or about the time of this potential 9 9 Dranoff, not to Victor. sale to SBV Victor Urban Renewal, LLC, did you Wasn't the view easement held by Victor, make any effort to determine whether or not 10 11 and the right of first refusal, for that matter? 11 Dranoff was in compliance with the terms of the 12 I don't recall. It may have been held 12 PILOT agreement? A. 13 13 A. by Dranoff. I think that was the nature of this 14 Q. And that would be reflected on the deed? 14 letter from Matt Wait of Sheehan's office to 15 15 Well, it would be -- there was an determine if we were compliant. 16 16 agreement that specified the view easements. I'm At or about this time, whoever would not sure if the agreement -- who signed the 17 have reviewed that document would have determined 17 18 18 agreement. whether or not annual financial statements were 19 My question to you is where is the view 19 provided: correct? 20 easement and the right of first refusal 20 A. Well, I think that could have been from 21 memorialized? 21 either side. I think that the letter to Terri 22 A. You have to find out from others because 22 Paglione tries to determine the status of the 23 I cannot recall. 23 payments of what was due, and tries to determine 24 Did there come a time prior to 2018 when 24 if there is a new assessment coming out, is the 25 there were some discussions to sell the Victor 25 current tax rate information correct. It asks KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 174 176 1 Project to another entity? 1 whether the City has received any excess profit 2 A. Yes. There were discussions in 2015. 2 payments and tries to determine the future tax Our loans were coming due. Our ten-year loan was 3 direction of the City. 3 coming due. And we hired CBRE to go out to the 4 Wouldn't you expect during this period 5 marketplace to either refinance the property or 5 of time when you've engaged counsel to enter into 6 this due diligence, that there would be a review 6 sell the property. 7 7 of the Dranoff obligations to provide annual Q. And did that sale go through? 8 A. No. 8 reports to the City? 9 Q. Why not? 9 No. Because we didn't know that we had 10 A. Well, there were a lot of issues. There 10 to prepare the report. So we wouldn't have asked 11 was timing. It was taking too long. We didn't 11 a question about something that we were not aware 12 12 really get -- we only got one offer. And the of. Being not aware of it doesn't excuse the 13 offer had contingencies. And we were concerned 13 fact that we didn't submit the reports. 14 that we couldn't close that deal before our loan 14 When you say not aware, you knew that 15 15 expired. the obligation to provide annual reports was 16 Q. Did you do any due diligence in 16 included in the financial agreement; correct? 17 17 determining whether or not Victor had any A. No. Actually, I doubt if we looked at 18 outstanding obligations to the City of Camden in 18 it after it was -- I doubt after the -- am I conjunction with the 2015 proposed deal? 19 talking or not? 19 20 A. My recollection is that we did. I 20 Q. Keep going.

21 21 believe that there were, either Ed Sheehan or A. I doubt after we closed and the 22 22 somebody else from his firm approached the City agreements went into a drawer that anyone looked 23 about our PILOT and tried to determine if there 23 at them afterwards. And I don't believe that our 24 were any monies due. 24 financial department knew that they were to 25 Q. 25 At or about the time of this proposed submit annual reports. I don't believe our KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM Page 173 to 176 of 244

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 46 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 177 179 accounting firm was aware of it, even though they what that means? I don't know. It's undecipherable to 2 should have been. 2 Α. 3 And therefore, we wouldn't have 3 me. 4 asked at this point if those reports were filed. 4 Q. Let's talk about the Aimco transaction. 5 5 Let me show you what has been marked Α. Sure. 6 Lebor-8. Take a moment and read that document 6 Q. Were you involved in the negotiating the and let me know when you're finished. Because 7 terms of the agreement for sale? 7 8 you're copied on it. 8 A. I was involved, yes. 9 A. I see it. 9 Q. And did you negotiate the terms? 10 Q. Do you know who J. McNally from CBIZ is? 10 A. The terms likely were negotiated by our 11 A. That would be Jim McNally. He's a 11 attorneys. The price was probably agreed to by partner. 12 me. And the agreements themselves would have 12 13 Q. Where? 13 been lawyered by other people. 14 A. CBIZ is an affiliate of MHN. I believe 14 Q. Which properties were involved in the 15 sale to Aimco? 15 CBIZ bought MHN. 16 In this E-mail there's a discussion of 16 A. Q. Six properties, five in Pennsylvania, the landlord profits taking place at this time. 17 plus the Victor. 17 Do you know what that discussion was about? 18 Q. Was the deal contingent on all six 18 19 No. I've not seen this before. 19 properties being a package? A. 20 Q. Well, you're copied on it, do you see 20 No. 21 it? 21 Q. Was each one of the six properties a 22 stand alone deal? 22 A. Well, I could have been copied on it 23 A. without -- many other people were copied on this. Yes. However, Aimco wanted the entire But I don't recall it, and I'm not sure what it's 24 24 portfolio. 25 referring to. 25 Q. Understood. So if, in fact, there was KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 178 180 Q. Well, it says "as we discussed, attached one property or three properties or five 1 1 is the ground lease." 2 2 properties or six properties, each would be its 3 A. Yes. 3 own deal; correct? 4 "Please take a look through with 4 A. There was a separate agreement on each 5 especial attention to section six and paragraph 5 property. 7.2 and advise if you think, based on the 6 Q. And if, in fact, the Camden deal did not 6 7 rebatable rent and after payment of taxes, you 7 go through, that would not prevent the sale of think there would be any money left for the 8 other properties; correct? landlord." Did I read that correctly? A. 9 9 That is correct. Α. 10 Q. 10 Yes. What were the terms of the Camden deal 11 with Aimco? 11 Q. Do you know why someone from your 12 A. 12 accounting firm would be making that request? The terms, the purchase price was 71.2 13 Well, this is not a request from the 13 million. The closing date was September of '18. 14 accounting firm. This is a request from David 14 The payment was in stock and some cash and the 15 Lebor, our general counsel. 15 assumption of the mortgage. 16 Q. 16 Okay. Q. Any other material terms? 17 17 I don't even understand the request that A. Not that I can recall. he's making. Do you think based on the rebatable 18 So when we were talking about the 18 rent, I'm not sure what that means. And after 19 assumption of the mortgage, we're referring to 19 20 payment of taxes, you think there would be any 20 the Castor financial obligation? money left for the landlord. I'm not really 21 A. 21 Castor? 22 22 Q. understanding what that means. I'm sorry. 23 Don't you think that Mr. Lebor and 23 A. Cantor. 24 Mr. McNally are discussing whether or not the 24 Q. Cantor. I'm sorry. Couldn't read my

25

own writing.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

entity would be realizing any profit? Isn't that

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

25

181 183 Yes. That would be the assumption of So if it's 47 million plus three 1 A. 1 the CNBS mortgage. 2 2 million, that's 50 million; right? 3 Q. And how much cash was involved? 3 A. That's not the way it works when you are 4 A. Three million. 4 getting stock. The stock is typically valued at 5 And where was that three million to be, the, what they call appraised value, which has Q. 6 how was it to be distributed? 6 nothing to do with the market price. The market 7 A. That would have been to Dranoff. 7 price could be way lower, which it was at the 8 Carl Dranoff or Dranoff Properties? 8 time. Q. 9 9 Q. A. I don't know. I'm trying to determine how you got to 10 10 the 71.2 million dollars? Q. What do you mean you don't know? I can't remember. 11 11 The 71.2 million dollars was based on a Α. 12 12 It's a little bit more than a year ago. certain amount of shares at the appraised value. Q. 13 13 A. Well, the agreements were signed in That doesn't mean it was the market price. So if early 2018. I can't recall who the buyer and 14 the appraised value was 53 dollars, that would be 14 15 seller was. Typically, the seller would be the 15 about 400,000 shares. But the market price could 16 property. I would be the affiliate. But I'm not 16 have been 40 dollars or 35 dollars. So you don't sure who signed the agreements of sale. 17 always get the full value when you're taking 17 18 18 So you don't know whether the three stock. 19 million dollars was to go to you personally or to 19 So if you want to approximate the 20 some Dranoff entity; correct? 20 value, it probably was in the 15 million to 20 21 21 Α. I cannot recall. million dollar range. 22 22 Q. You talked about stock. Was that stock Q. So the stock was worth somewhere between 23 in Aimco? 23 15 and 20 million? 24 Α. Yes. 24 A. In that range. 25 Q. And who or what entity was to receive 25 Q. In an approximation? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 182 184 1 the shares in Aimco? 1 Α. In an approximation, yes. Fluctuating 2 2 A. That would have been me. from week to week. 3 3 Q. Personally? Q. Now, what was the fair market value of 4 Personally. 4 the Victor Building at or about the time of this A. 5 Q. What was the stock value? 5 sale? 6 6 Α. Well, I can't remember what the stock Well, the fair market value is typically 7 7 price was. But in round numbers, I think it was what a buyer is willing to pay and a seller is in the 400,000 dollar a share category -- 400,000 8 willing to sell. 9 shares sounds about right. 9 Q. Did you have an appraisal done on this 10 Q. Did it have a value at the time of, at 10 building? 11 11 or about 21 million dollars? A. No. 12 12 Q. Well, if it had a value of 21 million, What was the tax assessment value at the 13 if you divide that by 400,000, that would have 13 time? 14 been a stock price of about 50. That sounds too 14 A. I don't know. 15 15 Q. high. But I would have to look at the stock Did you make any effort to determine it? 16 16 price. Α. No. 17 17 Q. Well, we know that the mortgage is in Q. Did you send any pro forma's to Aimco of the high 40's? 18 the financial performance of the Victor? 18 19 19 A. High 40's, yes. They would have had pro forma 20 Q. Approximately 47 million dollars; 20 information. But typically, a buyer, a very 21 correct? 21 sophisticated buyer like Aimco would make their 22 22 A. Yes. own determination on pro forma and what they were 23 We know that there is cash of about 23 willing to pay. Their cost of capital is way three million; right? 24 lower. So they would make their own 24 25 25 A. determination on what the valuation was. Yes. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 48 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 185 187 Right. My question to you though is, looked at previously that was submitted by Mayer 1 with regard to the pro forma's that were provided 2 2 Hoffman in March of 2018? to Aimco, what information was included on those 3 A. 3 Correct. pro forma's? 4 4 Q. And you believe that that satisfied the 5 A. I don't remember. 5 obligation to the City? 6 Q. Who prepared the pro forma's? 6 A. We did at the time. 7 Q. 7 A. They would have been done by probably The agreement with Aimco, had that 8 Caryn Connelly and/or Julia Gutstadt. 8 agreement been terminated ---9 When was there any -- when was there any 9 Q. A. discussion with Aimco -- strike that. 10 Q. -- with regard to the Victor Building? 10 11 When was there the first discussion 11 A. Yes. with Aimco with regard to the PILOT agreement and 12 Q. Now, were any of the other five 12 13 the City's agreement to transfer? 13 properties sold? 14 I think it was mid March of 2018. 14 A. Yes. All five. 15 15 That's the time when Aimco's -- that was, I Q. All five went to Aimco? 16 believe the agreements of sale with Aimco were 16 A. Yes. 17 signed in early March and so they were starting Q. And when did that close? 17 18 to do their due diligence and determine what 18 A. They closed different times. Some 19 steps had to be taken to close. 19 closed in 2018, and one property closed in 2019. 20 Q. 20 One property -- four properties were completed Did Aimco ever call it to your 21 attention, you meaning Dranoff, the Dranoff 21 properties and operating. The fifth one was 22 entities, that the Victor entities had not 22 under construction and it was conveyed to Aimco 23 provided the annual financial reports that were 23 when it was completed in spring of '19. 24 required under the PILOT agreement? 24 Did Aimco ever provide Dranoff with a 25 No, I don't believe it was Aimco. I 25 termination notice of the agreement? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 188 A. I believe so. think that what happened was Cozen, our attorney, 1 1 2 Q. 2 who was involved in the Aimco transaction, made a And did Aimco cite the reason for the 3 termination? 3 phone call to Michelle Banks-Spearman to let her know that this was coming down the pike. 4 A. Just the lapse of time. 5 Q. Did Aimco ever raise with Dranoff the 5 Q. Anything else? failure of Dranoff to submit annual financial 6 Α. 6 Not that I know of. 7 7 statements to the City of Camden? Q. I want to go over and start talking to 8 No. We brought that to their attention. you a little bit about the Lofts or the Radio 9 They didn't bring it to our attention. Lofts. I want to show you a document that's been 10 And when you brought it to Aimco's 10 marked Dranoff-28. Please take a look at that 11 attention, what was Aimco's response? 11 document and tell me whether or not you've seen 12 12 it before and whether or not on Page 11, that is I think that they didn't think it was 13 significant. Our initial direction from Michelle 13 your signature? 14 Banks-Spearman was okay, you didn't submit the 14 A. I have seen this before and that is my 15 signature. 15 reports. Get them in quickly. And Howard 16 Q. Did you read this document before you 16 Grossman, our attorney, spoke to Mayer Hoffman 17 17 and folks in our company, and asked Mayer Hoffman signed it? to put those reports together that hadn't been 18 A. 18 Probably. submitted. 19 Q. 19 Is it accurate to say that this document 20 Q. And by reports, you mean the document 20 reflects that Dranoff Properties acquired the 21 right to redevelop the Radio Lofts property as of that we looked at earlier --21 22 22 August 20th, 2002? A. The annual reports. 23 Q. Let me finish my question. 23 A. Yes.

47 of 88 sheets Page 185 to 188 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

24

25

Q.

I show you another document, Dranoff-57.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

I show you what's been marked Dranoff-57. I ask

24

25

A.

Q.

Sorry.

By reports, you mean the document we

189

you to take a look at that document and tell me

- 2 if you've seen it before and tell me if it's your
- 3 signature on Page 20?
- 4 A. That's my signature.
- 5 Q. What did this project management
- 6 agreement have Dranoff do with regard to the
- 7 Radio Lofts property, what was the purpose of
- 8 this agreement?
- 9 A. The purpose was to mirror what I had
- done on the Victor Building, which is to put me 10
- 11 in the position where I could manage the
- environmental remediation of the property, 12
- 13 similar to what I had done on the Victor prior to
- 14 taking title.
- 15 Q. This Radio Lofts project wasn't a part
- 16 of any New Jersey Long-Term Tax Exemption Law
- program; correct? 17
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. There was no PILOT associated with this
- 20 agreement?

1

- 21 A. No. We never got to that point.
- 22 Q. Let's go to Dranoff-47. Please take a
- 23 look at that document and tell me if that's your
- 24 signature on Page 32 of the document?
- 25 That's my signature.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. This document is an agreement,
- 2 redevelopment agreement between the Camden
- Redevelopment Agency and Dranoff Properties, 3
- 4 dated May 3rd, 2006, related to the redevelopment
- of the Radio Lofts Building; correct? 5
- 6 Α. Correct.
- 7 Q. And what role have you taken with regard
- 8 to the redevelopment of the Radio Lofts Building?
- 9 Well, a lot. We've been working with
- 10 the CRA for over a decade on the environmental
- 11 remediation. We hired an architect, an engineer,
- 12 surveyors to produce plans for the building, to
- 13 convert this historic structure into 86
- 14 condominiums. We purchased the property next
- 15 door so that we would have parking. We undertook
- 16 many marketing efforts. We built a model
- 17 apartment. We put a video together. We set up a
- showroom. And we were expecting and hoping that 18
- 19 we would be able to develop this property.
- 20 Is it accurate to say that as of April
- 21 20th, 2018, the redevelopment of the Radio Lofts
- 22 site had not been completed?
- 23 A. That would be correct.
- 24 Q. What's the present condition of the
- 25 building located on the Radio Lofts project?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

It's a blighted building. We gutted the

191

- 2 building. We performed the environmental
- 3 remediation. But we could never get to the
- finish line with DEP to get a letter of no
- further action. 5
- 6 Q. Okay. Why was Dranoff Properties not
- 7 able to complete a redevelopment of the Radio
- 8 Lofts property during this 15-plus years that
- 9 have lapsed since the option agreement and today?
- 10 Well, the main reason is that you could
- 11 not develop the building without or secure
- 12 financing for the building without a letter of no
- 13 further action. In fact, without a letter of no
- 14 further action from DEP, you couldn't lift a deed
- 15 restriction on the property.

16

190

- Understand that there was a deed
- restriction on this property and on the Victor 17
- 18 that prevented residential development unless
- 19 there was a sign-off from the successor to
- 20 General Electric, which was Lockheed. And they
- 21 would not provide a sign-off on the deed
- 22 restriction without the building being clean.
- 23 So we were stymied. We were in a
- 24 catch-22 of not being able to get the deed
- 25 restriction lifted. We did try many routes with

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

1 DEP. They were very stuck on the fact that --

- 2 and this is the first property that I've seen in
- 3
- 47 years of doing this, where they required
- post-occupancy remediation and testing. Which
- 5 meant that you had to actually develop the
- 6 building first before you got the letter of no
- 7 further action or the clearance from the DEP.
- And that was highly unusual. We tried to get
- 9 them to change their minds. They were obstinate.
- 10 When it became apparent that we
- 11 weren't going to change their minds, at least not
- 12 quickly, we looked into the possibility of an
- 13 office development. And that's where, in my
- 14 mind, we were completely stymied by the City in
- 15 not being able to change the use of the building.
- 16 Q. How did the City stymie Dranoff in, as

you say, failing to change the use of the

18 building?

17

- 19 A. They wouldn't meet with us. The City,
- 20 the CRA. I remember calling the mayor, the mayor
- 21 of Camden many times, and I could not get a
- 22 meeting. I could not get a return phone call.
- 23 It just seemed like they didn't want us to
- 24 develop the building, or at least change the use.
- 25 And without changing the use, we weren't going to KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

193 195 be able to develop a residential building there records. 1 without DEP. And CRA was not able to move the 2 Q. Do you have any records presently in DEP to give us clearance on this standard. 3 existence? 3 4 When do you contend that the City would 4 A. There might be. I don't know. I'm not 5 not meet or return phone calls, when did that 5 aware of them. 6 occur? 6 Q. How does Dranoff typically keep its 7 7 records on time and effort spent on project --A. During 2017 and 2018. 8 Let me go back a little bit. You 8 A. We allocate --O 9 9 mentioned that there was time and effort spent on Q. -- project management services? environmental investigation at the Radio Lofts 10 Am I good now? 10 A. 11 project? 11 Q. Yes, sir. 12 12 A. Uh-huh. A. Our project management staff allocates 13 13 Q. Is that correct? their time. They would have time sheets. 14 Yes. 14 Q. Would we have time sheets going back Α. 15 Q. How much was spent in that regard? 15 from the inception of the project management 16 A. What do you mean, how much was spent by 16 agreement with regards to the Loft, Radio Lofts 17 Building? who? 17 18 A. 18 Well, let's talk about it this way. Who I'm not sure because it goes back to provided the funds for the environmental 19 19 2002. We'd have to check. I would like to add 20 investigation and remediation? 20 that we --21 21 A. The CRA. Q. There's no question pending. 22 22 Q. Did Dranoff provide any of the funds for A. Okay. 23 23 the environmental investigation and remediation? Q. Mr. Dranoff, before you is Exhibit 24 Well, we weren't required to, nor would 24 Dranoff-76. Have you ever seen this letter 25 we have because we didn't own the building. So 25 before? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 196 we could not put money into a building that we 1 A. Yes. 1 2 didn't own ourselves. That's why we were project 2 Q. Do you recall receiving this letter in 3 April of 2018? 3 managers. 4 Q. Let's go back to my question. 4 A. I do. 5 A. Okay. Sorry. 5 Q. And this is a letter terminating the 6 Did Dranoff or any Dranoff Companies 6 various agreements between the CRA and Dranoff 7 7 Properties with regard to the Radio Lofts; provide any funds that were spent on environmental investigation and remediation of 8 correct? 9 the Lofts Building? 9 A. 10 A. 10 Q. Now, as of the date that CRA sent its No. Our money was spent on other 11 things. 11 notice of termination to Dranoff, was all the 12 12 Q. environmental remediation work completed for the No, that was the financing. Thank you. 13 Now, with regard to the project 13 Radio Lofts property? 14 management agreement, Dranoff was paid under that 14 A. I would say most of it was done, maybe 15 15 90 percent of it. agreement; correct? 16 16 Q. But it all wasn't completely done? Α. We were paid a small amount, yes. 17 Q. And how much was Dranoff paid under that 17 A. It wasn't final completed, no. 18 agreement? 18 Q. What remained to be completed? 19 A. 19 I think over the period of time, several A small amount of clean-up work in the 20 hundred thousand dollars. That certainly didn't 20 building. Most of the work that remained to be 21 pay for the amount of time that we spent on the 21 completed was the post occupancy testing that was 22 22 project. required by DEP. 23 And do you have any records that would 23 Q. What was the estimated cost to complete 24 indicate what time was spent on the project? 24 the environmental remediation that still had to 25 25 A. I'm sure we could reconstruct those be completed as of the date of this termination KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 51 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 197 199 notice? would talk to CRA from time to time about 1 maintenance issues in the building. We would 2 A. My recollection was about a 3 million-and-a-half. 3 work with them to keep the building closed up and 4 Q. And where was the million-and-a-half in 4 safe. funding to come from? 5 Q. 5 When is the last time that Dranoff or 6 Α. CRA. 6 any Dranoff entity incurred any cost with regard 7 Q. 7 to the Radio Lofts Building? And is there anything in the CRA agreement that indicates that the CRA is required 8 A. I can't recall. 8 to fund any funding gap? 9 9 Q. At any time since 2010? No. But there's no requirement on 10 Α. Oh, yes, absolutely. 10 11 Dranoff either. 11 Tell me what costs you believe that 12 I didn't ask that question. So let me 12 Dranoff incurred with regard to the Radio Lofts Q. 13 13 Building since 2010. try it again. 14 Is there any obligation in the 14 A. Well, there were maintenance costs on 15 15 agreement with, any agreement with CRA, that the parking lot. There were real estate taxes requires the CRA to provide the funds for any 16 16 paid on our parking lot. I believe that we went 17 funding gap in the environmental remediation? 17 to the building several times, maybe numerous 18 A. 18 times for safety checks. And having our There was no requirement. 19 Q. Thank you. At the time that the CRA 19 engineers through it to look at the 20 sent its notice of termination, were there any 20 deterioration. So that when we did eventually 21 remaining grant funds, that you're aware of, that 21 get funding and could start the building, we could have been used for the additional 22 22 would know what we were starting with. 23 23 Q. environmental remediation? Let's put the parking lot aside for a 24 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 24 moment. The Radio Lofts Building itself. 25 Q. When was the last remediation work 25 But they were adjoining and you couldn't KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS performed by Dranoff on the Radio Lofts Building? do one without the other. So we wouldn't have 1 1 2 2 A. I can't recall exactly. I would say bought the lot without the Radio Lofts project. 3 somewhere in the 2011, 2012 range. 3 The parking lot, you mentioned there 4 How about 2010, does that refresh your 4 were some costs incurred with the parking lot; recollection? correct? 5 5 6 Α. Could be. 6 Α. Yes, there was. 7 Since the last remediation efforts 7 Q. Q. Tell me what costs were involved limited conducted by Dranoff on the Radio Lofts Building, 8 to the Radio Lofts Building since 2010. what efforts has Dranoff taken or any Dranoff 9 I believe there were some maintenance 10 entity taken to move the Radio Lofts project 10 costs, there were some time costs, there were 11 closer to completion as a residential project? 11 some project management costs. I believe there 12 12 As I said, we could not move the project was time, time spent with our environmental 13 forward without the DEP loosening up their post 13 engineer. 14 occupancy remediation standard. I had many 14 Q. Where would these costs be documented? 15 conversations with CRA over the years subsequent 15 A. We would have records of them. 16 16 to 2011. We had conversations with NJDEP Q. Where? 17 17 officials. I suggested to them over the years A. We would have to check the files on that maybe we should look at another use, 18 Radio Lofts because there is a statement that's 18 especially with the onset of the new tax credit 19 prepared for Radio Lofts. 19 20 program in New Jersey that related to Camden. 20 Q. And who prepares that statement? 21 21 And last but not least, we A. It's probably internal. But we could,

tax costs and so forth on the property. And we KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

25 separate schedule of expenses incurred for Radio

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

1/2010 03/E9/36 PM

22 continued to pay our vendors and we had spent

about 3.5 million dollars at that point in plans

and specifications, property costs, real estate

23

24

25

22

23

24

not sure.

Q.

we could have the same firm, Mayer Hoffman. I'm

Do you know whether or not there is a

201 203 Lofts on an annual basis? 1 1 Anything else? 2 A. I don't. 2 Α. Yes. A lot more. At the end of 2016, I 3 Q. Who would know? 3 had a major falling out with George and Phil 4 Either our internal accounting or our Norcross, as has been documented in Julia Α. 5 external accounting or both. Gutstadt's deposition. We were, we held the 6 Q. Are you contending that if CRA could not 6 rights to develop the waterfront, the residential 7 find sufficient grant funds to complete the 7 rights. And we didn't have any obligation to remediation of the Radio Lofts project, that CRA 8 share those rights with anyone else. We were 8 would still have to complete the remediation? 9 9 pleased, very pleased to see Liberty Property Well, the plan that we had wouldn't have Trust come along after, let's see, 14 years after 10 10 11 needed that. Had we been able to go from a 11 we started the Victor. We finally got another 12 developer to join us on the waterfront. 12 residential property to an office property, we 13 13 probably could have skirted the regulations I'm sorry, did you say you got another 14 because they were, they pertained to residential 14 developer? only. 15 15 Α. No, Camden got another developer. 16 16 Q. Q. Is it your contention that if the CRA Okay. I just want to be clear. Go 17 could not find grant funds to complete the ahead. 17 18 Α. 18 remediation work, that the CRA would still have I remember getting a phone call from 19 an obligation to complete the remediation? 19 Liberty Property Trust, John Gattuso, explaining 20 Α. 20 that they had hired Robert Stern and had a major No. But we would be in a stalemate. 21 Q. 21 plan to redevelop the waterfront. And they No. Thank you. 22 Do you have any contention that 22 wanted to know, since they knew about the view 23 between the August 20, 2002 date associated with 23 easements, if we would collaborate with them and 24 the Radio Lofts Building and April 20th, 2018, 24 not impede their development. 25 the termination date, that CRA impeded your 25 And I said absolutely, yes. We're KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 202 ability to develop the Radio Lofts property? in. We will not impede you. We just want you to 1 1 2 A. I don't think they impeded our ability, 2 honor our rights to develop the residential 3 but --3 component, which there were two or three areas of 4 Q. Thank you. 4 residential development in their master plan. 5 A. -- but I don't think that they helped us 5 As things proceeded, in 2016, I either. 6 6 heard talk and I heard about George Norcross 7 7 Q. Do you have any contention that between forming a group to -- I didn't know he was August 20th, 2002 and April 20th, 2018, that the involved originally, but I found out he was doing City of Camden impeded your ability to redevelop 9 the office building, which was great. But then I 10 the Radio Lofts Building? 10 went to a meeting at John Gattuso's office in 11 Α. I do. 11 Philadelphia and found out that John and his 12 Q. 12 Okay. group had formed a partnership with George and 13 I think there was a concerted effort not 13 his group on the master -- on the whole 14 to meet with me and to prevent us from proceeding 14 waterfront. And they wanted me to become a 15 15 on the Radio Lofts Building. partner of George's. 16 16 Q. So let's get to that point now, unless Q. Are you finished? 17 17 you want to take a short break. A. No. 18 A. I'm good. 18 Q. Okay. Q. Good. Let's talk about this concerted 19 19 A. We didn't really see the point of that. 20 effort that you say the City made to impede your 20 I felt like we were being -- kind of a shakedown, 21 21 ability to develop the Radio Lofts property. that we were in a situation where we were being 22 22 You mentioned to me that in 2017 asked to participate in a partnership that we 23 and 2018, certain people would not meet with you 23 really didn't want to participate in. and you had no return calls? 24 And I could spend a half-hour, but 24 25 A. 25 Right. I'll go right to the finish line. We tried to KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

205 207 form a partnership that was workable with the And then when the assignment was Norcross group. We were not able to come to a requested, we couldn't even get a meeting between 2 2 conclusion. I didn't want to hold up the 3 Aimco and us, regardless of whether the reports 3 4 project. And I just asked them to buy me out. 4 were accurate, inaccurate, untimely. It's 5 The value of the view easements and unthinkable that a City wouldn't sit down with 6 development rights we felt was much higher than 6 one of its major taxpayers, namely me, and 7 7 what George and his group were willing to pay. understand what their issues were. 8 And that led to a lot of negotiations. And 8 And for six months, we got nothing ultimately, I think name calling and some pretty 9 but the stall treatment until the property 9 aggressive and obnoxious behavior against us. 10 exceeded its time limit and was terminated by 10 11 I remember a phone call, a 11 Aimco. conference call with Liberty Property Trust and 12 12 And so we feel like there was bad 13 13 others on the phone where George literally treatment from the City to us. We feel like the 14 screamed at me. And it was a very adversarial 14 people involved were out to harm us. We know 15 situation and we just wanted to get out. And we 15 that Phil was, Phil Norcross had told the City to 16 16 agreed to sell our development rights for what we put the brakes on whatever they were 17 considered to be a very low number, and that was 17 contemplating. We couldn't get any input from 18 18 the end of it. They were able to continue their the City on their so-called PILOT committee that 19 project. 19 was supposedly doing due diligence. We never 20 20 heard one shred of anything from them for six We had to, in the meantime, get a 21 view easement carved out of our mortgage because 21 months. They completely stonewalled us until we 22 it was part of our mortgage. And that took six 22 got a letter from them in late August. At that 23 23 months to do. Hard work. We were able to get point, it was too late to save our deal. 24 24 our mortgage lender to lift the view easement, Q. Are you finished? 25 which they considered also to be valuable. And 25 Α. I think that even your own City attorney KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS we were able to agree with Liberty Property Trust spoke about these meetings, the fact that Phil 1 1 2 to let them move on without us interfering with 2 was there, the fact that he said to put the 3 brakes on. That was stunning to me, that we 3 their view easements and development rights. 4 And that was the end of it, we 4 could be treated like that. 5 thought, until -- but then what happened was in 5 And I think that's why we had the 2000 -- that was the end of 2016. And then, all problem with Radio Lofts, and I think that's why 6 6 7 7 we lost the sale to Aimco. And it's regrettable of a sudden, our calls were not being returned. 8 And we just felt like the attitude had completely 8 that we're in litigation right now. But all of 9 changed. 9 that could have been avoided had we even had one 10 And I mean, here we were, the 10 single meeting with the City of Camden. 11 Q. 11 company that took the biggest risk of all, there Are you finished? 12 A. 12 were no tax credits when we started on the I'm finished. 13 waterfront. The waterfront was a place where you 13 Q. Good. Now, let's go through a couple 14 couldn't even get a carton of milk or go to a 14 things. Did anyone force you to sell your view 15 restaurant. It didn't have the things that a 15 easement in the City of Camden? 16 16 Α. waterfront would have and City would have. And I would say that there was a lot of 17 17 we had to put those in our building and start coercion from Liberty Property Trust. They had 18 from scratch. 18 invested quite a bit of money. I was friends 19 And I think we did an amazing job 19 with Bill Hankowsky, the CEO, and John Gattuso, 20 and we won lots of awards. We brought lots of 20 the development manager. I didn't want to let 21 21 credibility to Camden. Some people would say we them down. And I didn't want to really hold up 22 22 did the impossible. And I'd say that the turn the project. And we ultimately sold them for a about from Camden was stunning to us, that we 23 much lower price than, in our mind, they were would not even get a return phone call to sit 24 worth. But we were okay with that. But we did 24 25 25 with them on Radio Lofts. not expect to get the type of vindictive behavior

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 54 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 209 211 from the City that occurred two years later. development that has occurred in Camden over the 1 2 Just so we're clear. No one from the 2 last three years is good; right? 3 City forced you to sell your view easement; 3 A. I would say that it's -- there's good 4 correct? 4 and there's -- there's good in it, but it's not A. 5 That's correct. 5 all good. 6 Q. No one from CRA forced you to sell your 6 Q. You would agree that --7 A. 7 view easement; is that correct? I'm glad that it happened overall. It's 8 A. Correct. 8 been good for the City to get new development. 9 9 It would be nicer if it was generating jobs and Q. And while you may have gotten some pressure from Liberty, you voluntarily sold your real estate taxes for the City. We are paying 10 10 11 view easement; correct? 11 200,000 dollars a year and we have for 15 years. 12 12 And the two newest projects on the waterfront are A. As I said, I didn't want to stymie the 13 future of the Camden's waterfront. I felt an 13 paying between 13,000 and \$20,000 per year. obligation as a -- for civic engagement and as a There's a lot of inequity in what's been 14 15 good citizen to promote good development and help 15 happening lately. 16 16 the City continue their upward swing, which I had And I don't see how new projects that are costing more than our project, have 20 started 16 years earlier. 17 17 18 Let's try to be a little bit more 18 year tax abatements at 1/13th of what we're 19 focused here. No one from Liberty forced you to 19 paying, and yet, the City is coming after us for 20 sell your view easement; correct? 20 excess profits. There seems to be a great 21 21 Well, nobody stood me up against the inequity. 22 22 wall and threatened to shoot me, if that's what And I want to add, since you gave 23 you mean. 23 me the floor. 24 Q. Well, the question is pretty -- nobody 24 Q. I did. 25 is talking about guns or shooting or anything 25 Α. That the amount that you're seeking, 9.7 KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 210 212 like that, because none of that happened; right? million dollars, if you divided that by 14, 1 1 2 A. No. 2 that's roughly 700,000 dollars a year. If you 3 add that to the 200,000, that's roughly 900,000 Q. Okay. Now, no weapons were involved in any way, shape or form in any of these dollars a year. You would be hard pressed to 4 5 negotiations; correct? 5 find any property that's work force housing, like 6 A. No. I was using that illustriously. 6 the Victor is, paying anywhere close to that 7 7 Q. I just want to be clear for the record. amount. We're being asked to pay, I don't know, 8 I think that there was a lot of pressure 100 times more than other properties that are 9 on me to get the deal done and let them continue 9 comparable in Camden. 10 to develop the waterfront. 10 Q. Mr. Dranoff --11 11 Q. Right. And there's pressure in a lot of A. I feel very offended by what's happened. 12 12 real estate deals; correct? Q. Well, that may be your position. But 13 Well, you're talking about an entire 13 you signed an agreement with the City of Camden; 14 City here. If I had been the person that held up 14 correct? 15 A. 15 the waterfront, I would have been the person I signed an agreement to pay them standing in the way of, you know, jobs and 200,000 dollars a year, period. 16 16 17 17 prosperity and, you know, new structures, and Q. You signed an agreement with the City of division that everybody was rooting for. I 18 Camden; correct? 18 19 Α. 19 wasn't going to be that person. Yes, we did. 20 O. Just so we're clear. There's a pressure 20 And that agreement was made pursuant to 21 21 the Long-Term Tax Exemption Law of 1998; correct? in every real estate deal; correct? 22 22 A. Correct. A. Not necessarily. 23 Q. It's a matter of degree; right? 23 Q. And you entered into that agreement

53 of 88 sheets Page 209 to 212 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM

24

25

A.

voluntarily of your free will; correct?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Yes, we did.

24

25

A.

Q.

And you agree that the waterfront

213 215 And you agreed to comply with all the 1 1 A very modest for what it was worth, in 2 terms of that agreement; correct? 2 our opinion. 3 A. Which we feel we have. 3 Q. Well, that's your opinion. 4 Q. Well --4 Α. Yeah. 5 5 A. We certainly didn't submit the reports, Q. And you agreed to take that sum of I'll grant you that. But when we clean that up, 6 money; correct? 6 7 7 what we showed was not only didn't we make money A. Under pressure. on the property, we actually, on a consolidated 8 Q. Well, you negotiated that sum of money; 8 9 9 basis lost money. And yet, the City is coming correct? after us for excess profits on a money losing 10 Α. 10 Under pressure. 11 11 Q. property. Pressure from Liberty? 12 Q. 12 We'll let the accountants sort that out. A. Pressure from everybody. 13 Α. 13 Q. Okay. Tell me specifically what pressure 14 But my point to you is, you agreed of 14 George Norcross put on you at that point in time. 15 15 your own free will to strike this deal with the Α. Well, he called me a lot of names. 16 Q. 16 City of Camden and to follow the terms of the law Anything else besides name calling? 17 17 under which you were granted the PILOT agreement; A. I would say that Radio Lofts at the time 18 correct? 18 was put on hold. I would say that there was 19 A. And we feel we have. But for the 19 conversations at the City level with Phil 20 submission of reports. 20 Norcross that indicated that they should not take 21 21 Now, we were talking about this view meetings from me. 22 22 easement and the right of first refusal? Let's go back to the sale of the view 23 A. Yes. 23 easement and the right of first refusal. The 24 Q. And you sold those? 24 pressure from George Norcross, did it involve 25 25 anything other than name calling? A. Yes. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 214 1 Q. Voluntarily; correct? 1 A. I think that George was in partners with 2 A. We sold them under tremendous pressure Liberty Property Trust and I felt like I would be letting the City down if I didn't allow the 3 to not hold up the waterfront. 3 4 But you sold them voluntarily; correct? 4 project to go forward. 5 A. Well, you could say voluntarily. I 5 Q. What pressure did George Norcross exert would say it wasn't voluntary. I would say we on you that forced you to sell your view easement 6 6 7 7 for 1.5 million dollars? were under tremendous pressure from people in 8 Camden to get the deal done. 8 A. I would say the pressure came from, 9 Well, you've been in a lot of deals and 9 unbeknownst to me, from his brother who said that 10 there's pressure in deals; correct? 10 the City shouldn't meet with us. 11 11 A. Some. Q. That's not my question. 12 12 Q. A. And sometimes the pressure is more than Okay. Try again. others; right? 13 13 Q. I will try. And I just don't stop. 14 A. Sometimes. 14 Okav. 15 15 Α. Q. And you had the right to negotiate this I can stay here all day too. 16 sale of the view easement and the right of first Q. 16 So tell me specifically what pressure 17 17 refusal; right? George Norcross put on you to force you to sell We had the right to walk away and not 18 18 your view easement and your right of first 19 refusal for the Victor property? 19 sell the view easements, and the waterfront 20 development that Liberty Property Trust wanted to 20 Α. I did not see a distinction between 21 do would not have happened. We could have held 21 George and Liberty, because they were joint 22 22 up the entire works. We had the right to do venture partners. So in my mind, the pressure 23 that. 23 was from all sides. 24 Instead you decided to take a sum of 24 Q. Now, as to Phillip Norcross. Ω 25 25 Α. money to relinquish those rights; correct? Yes.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

217 219 You mentioned some actions by him where Phil the whole idea of changing the use of the 1

- 2
- you contend that he told the City to put the
- 3 brakes on certain projects?
- 4 Well, that was the testimony of your
- 5 general counsel.
- 6 Q. Who is my general counsel?
- 7 A. Camden's general counsel. Michelle
- Banks-Spearman. 8
- 9 Q. Now, at or about the time of the sale of
- the view easement, did Phillip Norcross put any 10
- 11 pressure on you to sell the view easement?
- 12 Well, we were negotiating with Phil, and
- 13 I think he negotiated with me on the price, and
- at the same time, was telling the City not to 14
- 15 meet with us on Radio Lofts, so that the
- 16 negotiations with me could not be impeded by me
- having any extra rights in Camden. 17
- 18 Q. You're no stranger to negotiations;
- 19 riaht?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. You're a hard negotiator; right?
- 22 A. I wouldn't say that. I think -- nobody
- 23 likes to think they're a hard negotiator, but I
- 24 think I try to be fair and understand what the
- 25 other person's position is.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

218

- Q. 1 And you know that Phil Norcross
- negotiated with you regarding the view easement,
- 3 that's your contention; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the value of the view easement;
- correct? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And ultimately, did you reach an
- agreement with Phil Norcross as to the value of
- 10 the view easement?
- 11 A. Yes, we did.
- 12 Q. Now, at or about this time, you already
- 13 knew that the Radio Lofts project had no
- 14 no-further action letter from DEP; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you know that the Radio Lofts
- 17 project could not go any further until you
- received such a letter from DEP; correct? 18
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 So regardless of whether Phil Norcross
- 21 said a single word to anybody in the City of
- 22 Camden, you were still dependent on the DEP
- 23 issuing a no-further action letter on Radio Lofts
- at this time; correct? 24
- 25 A. Actually, not. I had discussed with KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- building. He understood that. He was willing,
- -- if we were able to strike a deal, he was
- willing to help the City with DEP to change the
- zoning to allow an office use.
- 6 Q. It didn't matter what the City said
- 7 unless DEP issued its no-further action letter;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. No, incorrect. If we had been able to
- 10 develop an office building, we would have met the
- 11 lower standards that were required for an office
- building and the project could have gone forward. 12
- 13 It still could go forward.
- 14 Q. Where would the money be obtained to do
- 15 this?
- 16 A. Well, I think that the money would be
- very nominal, if at all, if it was an office use. 17
- 18 Because the post occupancy testing was a result
- 19 of it being a residential building.
- 20 Q. Right. And did you take any steps to
- 21 formulate, create or prepare any project plan to
- 22 convert the Radio Lofts to a --
- 23 A. Yes, we did.
- 24 Q. Let me finish my question, please.
- 25 A. We actually --

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

220

- 1 Q. Let me finish my question.
- 2 A. Sorry.
- 3 Q. Did you take any steps to propose or
- 4 plan or project manage the conversion of the use
- 5 of the Radio Lofts to a business entity in or
- 6 about 2000 -- the end of 2016?
- 7 We developed an office plan. We spoke
- to office brokers. We tried to determine if it
- was a feasible development, and we thought it
- 10 was. And that's part of the effort that we
- 11 undertook that you asked about earlier.
- 12 Q. When, when did you do this?
- 13 A. This was in 2015, 2016, 2017. We had
- 14 numerous conversations with office brokers and we
- 15 did architectural plans to look at a potential
- office use. 16
- 17 Q. Where is the work product evidencing
- 18 this effort?
- 19 A. We can find it, provide it.
- 20 Q. And did you ever take any steps to
- 21 present that to DEP?
- 22 A. No. Because we needed to present it to
- 23 the City first. The City controlled the zoning,
- 24 not DEP. DEP was remediation. City was zoning.
- 25 Q. All right. At or about the time of the KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

Page 217 to 220 of 244 12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM 55 of 88 sheets

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 57 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 221 223 notice of termination that you received from the On discussions with Carl. That was the City of Camden with regard to the Radio Lofts, context of those questions. 2 2 what involvement, if at all, did George Norcross 3 Q. I'm sorry. That's the context of the 3 4 have in that notice of termination? 4 questions to Ms. Banks-Spearman, is that your 5 5 A. I can't say. testimony? 6 Q. At or about the time you received the 6 A. There was a deposition with Michelle 7 notice of termination from the Camden 7 Banks-Spearman, and the questions -- you can read 8 Redevelopment Agency regarding the Radio Lofts, 8 the deposition and draw your own conclusions. 9 Q. Yes, I can. 9 what involvement, what factual evidence do you have that would indicate that Phil Norcross had 10 10 Is it your contention that that is 11 anything to do with that? 11 the only factual basis that you have that would 12 12 indicate that Phillip Norcross told the City of A. That was a long question. I didn't get 13 it from the beginning. 13 Camden elected or appointed officials not to 14 Q. Let me start it over again. 14 return your calls? 15 15 At or about the time that you A. That was from Anthony Perno. 16 Q. 16 received the notice of termination letter from And with Ms. Banks-Spearman, she the Camden Redevelopment Agency. 17 testified, according to you, to put the brakes 17 18 18 on? A. This letter? 19 Q. Yes, sir. Regarding the Radio Lofts 19 A. Uh-huh. 20 Building, what facts do you have that would 20 Q. Yes? 21 21 indicate that Phil Norcross had anything to do A. Yes. 22 22 with that letter? Q. And put the brakes on what? 23 23 A. It was stated in depositions by various A. You have to read the deposition. 24 people that there were meetings between Cooper's 24 Q. No, no. No. What is your contention Ferry, the City, and Phil to determine what was 25 that she's saying there? KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 224 happening on each project, and Radio Lofts was 1 A. Put the brakes on meeting with Aimco or 1 2 brought up in the context of those meetings. It 2 Dranoff or anyone with respect to working through was testified by Anthony Perno that Phil was in 3 the assignment of the financial agreement. those meetings, and stated several times not to 4 And you're saying that Phil Norcross 5 take meetings from Carl. 5 directed that? 6 6 Α. Q. And you're relying solely on Mr. Perno's That's what the deposition said. 7 testimony? 7 Q. Anything other than Ms. Banks-Spearman's 8 A. Well, it was sworn testimony. testimony in that regard that you're relying on, 9 Q. Sir, I'm just asking a simple question. 9 your interpretation of that testimony? 10 Α. 10 Are you relying solely on Mr. Perno's testimony? No. 11 Α. I think I've heard it from others, but 11 Q. Have you told me all the facts that you 12 12 have regarding your contention that George he's the only one that specifically testified. 13 Q. Who else have you heard it from? 13 Norcross and Phillip Norcross somehow impaired 14 A. Just hearsay. 14 your ability to do work in the City of Camden? 15 Q. From who? 15 I didn't say that George did. I said 16 A. 16 I don't remember. that Phil was the person who was meeting with 17 17 Now, what facts do you have that would City officials and he was named in these 18 indicate that Phil Norcross told any elected 18 depositions. I can't speak for George. George official in Camden, New Jersey to not take Carl 19 19 and I -- it may have been coincidental. But we 20 Dranoff's calls? 20 had a nasty, you know, ending at the end of 2016 21 21 A. It was in the depositions. and then it seemed like bad things started to

12/11/2019 03:58:36 PM Page 221 to 224 of 244 56 of 88 sheets

22

23

24

25

happen to us. We went from being champions of

Camden and great citizens to you didn't pay your

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

bills, you didn't submit reports, you're bad. We

won't return your phone calls. And so on.

22

23

24

25

Q.

Q.

Which depositions?

Norcross said to put the brakes on.

Put the brakes on what?

Michelle Banks-Spearman stated that Phil

225

So putting the Radio Lofts project to 1

- 2 the side, and the Victor Project which has been
- 3 completed.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What other projects have you attempted
- 6 to participate in, in Camden City, New Jersey
- 7 which have been denied?
- 8 Well, as I said, we tried -- we had the
- development rights for residential housing on the 9
- waterfront, which we thought we would be 10
- 11 developing and we didn't. So that's an
- 12 opportunity lost.
- 13 Q. Did you ever make any application to
- 14 build a residential project in the City of
- Camden --15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Strike that. Hold on.
- 18 I thought you were finished. A.
- 19 Q. You got to let me finish.
- 20 Α. Sorry.
- 21 Did you make any effort whatsoever, Q.
- during the period of time from 2016 to the 22
- 23 present, to create any project in the City of
- Camden? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- Q. Tell me the specific instances --1
- 2 Α. Well --
- 3 Let me finish. Tell me the specific
- 4 instances of the projects that you attempted to
- 5 create in the City of Camden between 2016 and the
- 6 present?
- 7 Α. The project that became 11 Cooper was a
- project that we started, we meaning Dranoff
- Properties. We hired the architect. We worked
- 10 closely with the land planners at Robert Stern
- 11 and the executives from Liberty Property Trust.
- 12 We hired the architect JKR. We developed the
- 13 plans. We developed the pro forma. We went up
- 14 to Trenton and not only applied for the tax
- 15 credits, but went to hearings up there and were
- granted the tax credits. And that was a project 16
- 17 that we fully expected to develop.
- 18 Q. So why didn't you?
- 19 A. As I said, we got eased out because we
- 20 could not come to a conclusion with --
- 21 Q. Liberty?
- 22 A. No. With the, I'll call it the Norcross
- 23 group, which had three partners, NFI, Michaels
- Development, and I guess it's Connor Strong. 24
- That was the group. We were asked -- they 25

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

- basically told us that they wanted -- they
- 2 expected to be a 50 percent partner in the deal.

227

- 3 We said no. But we also wanted to placate
- Liberty Property Trust and see if we could come
- to a friendly agreement where it could be
- 6 workable.
- 7 And we could not do that. We never
- 8 came to an agreement with the Norcross group.
- 9 So you couldn't come to an agreement as
- 10 to whether or not you could participate --
- 11 Α. We --
- 12 Let me finish, please. Q.
- 13 Α. Sorry.
- 14 Q. You never came to an agreement with the
- 15 group that involved, included George Norcross
- 16 regarding participation at the Camden waterfront
- 17 because they wanted to be a 50 percent partner
- 18 and you didn't want that; correct?
- We didn't think -- we didn't have any 19
- 20 obligation to have a partner. Our rights were
- 21 set forth in the agreement which gave us the
- 22 right of first refusal on any residential
- 23 housing. It didn't say anything about having a
- 24 partner.

226

25 Q. But you sold that right?

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

228 No, we didn't. This was before we sold

Α. 2 the rights.

1

- 3 Q. Ultimately, you sold the right of first
- 4 refusal included with the view easement; right?
- 5 A. We did ultimately sell it because we did
- not want to do battle with anyone. We just
- 7 wanted to, at that point, get out.
- 8 Q. So that was a business decision that you
- made? 9

13

- 10 Α. It was a business decision that arose
- 11 from our being requested to have a partner that
- 12 we didn't want.
 - Q. That was your choice; right?
- 14 Well, no, not really. I don't think
- 15 that -- I don't think that it should have been --
- 16 I don't think that should have been requested of
- 17 us. There was no obligation for us to take on a
- 18 partner. But when it became obvious that we were
- 19 going to, you know, just go around in circles, we
- 20 just decided to sell our interest.
- 21 Okay. And that was your business
- 22 decision that you made; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Let's take a short break.
- 25 (Recess taken.)

229 231 MR. TAMBUSSI: Actually, I think we BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 2 need to mark this. Can you mark this CED-9. 2 Ω Do you see paragraph 73? 3 3 A. (CED-9, Portion of Defendants Yes. 4 Victor Urban Renewal, Victor Associates and 4 Q. Where it says "Dranoff has fulfilled all Victor GP Corp, Dranoff Properties and Carl 5 of its obligations under the option to buy Radio Dranoff's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint, with 6 Lofts." 6 7 7 Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims, marked Α. Yes, I do. 8 for identification.) 8 Q. Why don't you just buy the Radio Lofts? 9 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 9 A. Why don't I just buy it? 10 10 Q. Mr. Dranoff, before you is marked CED-9, 11 which is the portion of the Victor Urban Renewal, 11 Α. If I did that, I would be subject to 12 Victor Associates and Victor Group, Dranoff 12 having bought a, quote, dirty, unquote, building, 13 13 Properties and Carl Dranoff's Answer to the environmentally speaking. And that would impede 14 Complaint with Affirmative Defenses and 14 my efforts to secure financing on the building. 15 Counterclaims. And we really want to just focus 15 I'd be in the chain of title on an un-remediated 16 16 on the counterclaim at this point, so we took out building, which is something that a developer 17 some of the middle pages. 17 avoids entirely, if possible. 18 18 A. Okay. I didn't mean to step on your words 19 Q. So that we can focus in. 19 there. Can you turn to paragraph -- Page 66, 20 20 please. Can you please turn to Page 65 of 21 21 this document? And could you read paragraph 98 Α. Page 66 or paragraph 66? 22 22 to yourself and tell me when you're finished. Q. Page 66. You make a claim in the 23 23 A. Sure. wherefore clause in the middle of the page, just 24 Q. Are you finished reading it? 24 below the middle of the page, for judgment in the 25 A. I have. 25 amount of at least 35 million dollars. Do you KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 230 232 see that? 1 Q. This paragraph, you make the contention 1 2 A. 2 that Victor Urban Renewal must invest an Yes, I do. 3 Q. 3 additional six million dollars in the property How do you calculate the 35 million for capital expenditures. The property being the 4 dollars? 5 5 Victor Building. What capital expenditures must Α. Well, in round numbers, the loss of you make that cost additional six million 6 6 profits on the sale, the lost dividends, the 7 dollars? 7 capital cost that we would not have had to 8 A. All the facades on the building have to 8 expend. The fact that we've spent quite a bit of be pointed, scaffolding. It's a big building. money playing catch-up on the property because of 10 It's very expensive. Historic buildings erode 10 the uncertainty. We lost our whole staff. We 11 over time. The sills, the headers, the bricks, 11 had to hire all new staff people. We had to hire 12 12 everything. That's probably the single biggest an outside management company. We went through a 13 expense, facade maintenance. Roofing is 13 lot of tumult that we hadn't planned for. And I 14 required, a new roof. And I'm going to say some 14 think that our experts are going to get a better 15 15 substantial mechanical equipment. And lastly, a fix on this, but it could even be more than 35 16 million. 16 cosmetic upgrades to individual apartments, 17 17 appliances, carpeting and such. Q. And did you include all of the elements 18 And if the Aimco deal had never been on 18 that you believe make up the 35 million dollars? 19 19 the horizon, you would have to incur these This was just a rough guesstimate. I 20 expenses in the normal course of the ownership; 20 think there'll be a more exact number. 21 21 correct? All right. Now, just so I'm clear on 22 22 A. some timing here. Correct. 23 Q. Now, can you turn to Page 61, please. 23 When do you contend that Phil 24 24 Norcross made the statement, put the brakes on? MR. KIRCHER: I'm sorry, 61? 25 25 A. Sometime during the period of time where MR. TAMBUSSI: 61, correct. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

CAM-L-004612-18 09/11/2020 8:15:26 PM Pg 60 of 89 Trans ID: LCV20201609345 233 235 1 we had radio silence from the City, where we Let me go back to my question. 2 could not get a single response from them for 2 A. Sure. almost six months after we notified them that we 3 Q. Maybe I can frame it a little bit 4 wanted to transfer the financial agreement. 4 better. You know that there was some discussion 5 So that would be sometime in 2018? with regard to the City providing its consent to 6 A. Yes. 6 transfer the PILOT agreement once you notified it 7 Sometime after March of 2018? 7 Q. of the Aimco sale; correct? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. I didn't hear the first part. There was 9 9 some what? Q. Is it your contention that the City had a legal obligation to provide Victor with a 10 Q. It was brought to the City's attention 10 11 consent to transfer to Aimco in light of the fact 11 sometime in March of 2018. 12 that Victor hadn't provided all of its financial 12 A. Yes. 13 13 Q. statements? That Dranoff, the Victor entity, was 14 A. I think you have to talk about 14 seeking the City's consent to transfer the PILOT 15 governance, good governance and responsible 15 agreement; correct? 16 A. 16 behavior. Correct. Q. 17 Q. 17 That's not my question. That would be in March; right? 18 18 Well, I'm going to answer your question. A. That was in March when Howard Grossman 19 I think that given my involvement in Camden for 19 first called Michelle Banks-Spearman. And you're aware, are you not, that the 20 16 years and what I did to, I would say, reverse 20 Q. 21 the decline and enable the waterfront to be 21 Victor entity sued the City in June of 2018; 22 22 developed, what I did to create work force, correct? 23 23 housing where there was none, restaurants and A. Yes. 24 markets where there were none, I think that they 24 Q. Less than three months; correct? 25 could have, the City could have said to us, we 25 Δ It was three months of radio silence and KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS would like -- we think that there is some issues. 1 we hadn't heard a single peep, and we felt like 2 Come talk to us and we'll explain them to you. if we filed suit, it would get their attention. 3 It didn't work. So maybe it was the wrong thing We went for six months without 4 understanding what the problems were between to do or maybe it was the right thing to do. But 5 March and the end of August. Having meetings we filed suit because we could not get a response 6 cancelled, we had people flying in from Denver, 6 from the City of Camden on what the issue was. 7 7 taking trains from Washington, abrupt meeting Let me be real clear here. You gave the 8 cancellations and radio silence from the City. City less than 90 days to respond to you before 9 So would you call that a legal you elected to start litigation; correct? 10 10 requirement, no. It's just basic consideration I don't know how many days it was. 11 for a good corporate citizen, someone whose been 11 Let's call it, let's call it 90 days, if that's 12 12 the champion of the waterfront and paid a lot of what it is. 13 Q. 13 taxes. You would think that they'd want to meet Sometime between March and the filing of --14 with us. 14 15 15 And by the way, Aimco was a A. Yes. We felt like three days would have 16 fantastic buyer. They are a Fortune 500 Company. been enough to get a response. We felt like 16 17 17 It would have been a huge benefit to Camden to cancelled meetings and no response meant that get them as an owner. They're long-term owners, 18 they were not interested in ever meeting with us. 18 19 19 not flippers. And they really wanted to put a So we didn't ever expect a response. 20 tremendous amount of capital into the Victor. 20 Q. Let's go back to the threshold question. 21 A. 21 Had they at least met with us, we would have been 22 22 Q. able to maybe work through the issues that they Do you believe that the City had a legal

had and maybe the agreement would have been 23 obligation during that, let's call it 90-day extended and there would have been a sale. 24 period, to provide Victor with its consent to 25 transfer --That's all hypothetical. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

23

24

25

237 239 seriously? 1 Α. -- to transfer the PILOT agreement in 2 2 Q. A. Yes. The evidence was what I just told light of the fact that Victor had not provided 3 you. Cancelled meetings, no questions. They 3 4 its annual statements? 4 said they were doing due diligence. And the 5 MR. KIRCHER: Objection. common practice in the industry is when you're 6 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 6 doing due diligence, you have questions. And it 7 Q. You can answer it. 7 would be virtually impossible to do due diligence 8 A. That's a very long question. But I will 8 without asking a single question. 9 Q. 9 say that the -- we did not believe that they --Is that your answer? we weren't thinking about the legalities of the 10 A. That's my answer. 11 response time. Because I'm not sure that there 11 Q. Now, I just want to be real clear. You is such a thing as a response within a certain 12 believe that this put the brakes statement on was 12 13 13 amount of days. sometime in 2018; right? 14 It seemed to us that there was a 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. 15 complete stonewalling of our application because And you sold the view easement in 2016; 16 correct? 16 nobody would talk to us. We felt like no, they 17 A. didn't have to give us the consent right away, 17 Yes. 18 18 but they at least should meet with us to find out Q. And you believe that there was some 19 why they were delaying. They had told us that 19 connection between those two events over the 20 they were going to meet with us and they never 20 course of almost two years? 21 21 did. They said that there was a PILOT committee MR. KIRCHER: Objection. 22 22 that was doing due diligence. We never heard BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 23 23 from them. If you're doing due diligence, you're Q. You can answer it. 24 going to come up with questions. And they never 24 Α. Well, we believe there was a vendetta 25 gave us any questions. 25 against us. KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS 238 240 1 Q. How do you define the word vendetta? 1 So that led us to believe it was a 2 A. charade and there really was no real I would say an adverse attitude and 3 contemplation of ever meeting with us. 3 actions, which stymied our ability to operate 4 Do you have any facts to support your 4 normally. 5 Q. 5 contention that this was a charade or is it just And who do you allege held the vendetta? 6 6 Α. I think it's probably a lot of people, supposition? 7 7 so I'm not going to attribute it to a single MR. KIRCHER: I object to the form. 8 BY MR. TAMBUSSI: 8 person, but... Q. 9 Q. You can answer it. 9 Tell me the people then. 10 10 A. Well, I think the facts were that we had A. Certainly, certain persons perhaps in the government. 11 a meeting cancelled, an important meeting with an 11 Q. 12 12 Who? important executive coming out of Denver. We had 13 numerous phone calls from the attorney from Aimco 13 A. Well, for starters, Mayor Moran stated 14 from Ballard Spahr who was told several times 14 that Mr. Dranoff took advantage of the City when 15 that the PILOT committee was in deliberations and 15 it was down. And here I, against all odds, was they were doing due diligence, and they could not 16 able to undertake this Victor Project and make it 16 17 give us any input whatsoever as to timing, as to 17 an example of urban rejuvenation, at a time when 18 when we would be able to meet with them to find 18 no one would invest in Camden, when it was broke, out what their issues were. 19 19 when it had a chaotic situation. And I was able 20 And I think that we all felt like 20 to see this project through and attract people to live at the Victor. 21 those actions meant, that was a clear signal that 21 22 Q. 22 Who else? they weren't taking this at all seriously. 23 Do you have any facts to support an 23 As I said, I think that the -- there was 24 allegation that the City was, in fact, not taking 24 bad feelings from the negotiations over the view 25 the issue of the transfer, consent to transfer 25 easements. And I think that that was carried

KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS

	241		243
1	over.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	Q. I'm looking for the names of the people	2	
3	who you believe held the vendetta besides Mayor	3	STATE OF NEW JERSEY :
4	Moran.	4	: SS.
5	A. As I said, I think that the Norcross'	5	COUNTY OF BURLINGTON :
6	didn't like me.	6	
7	Q. Neither of the Norcross' were elected or	7	I, Kathleen S. Bowe, RPR, CCR and
		8	Notary Public in the State of New Jersey, do
8	appointed officials; correct?	9	hereby certify that the foregoing deposition of
9	A. I'm not going to include Donald because	10	CARL DRANOFF, was taken at the law offices of
10	I don't know anything about that. My	11	Cozen O'Connor, 1650 Market Street, Suite 2800,
11	negotiations and my dealings were with George and	12	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Friday, November
12	Phil, and I think there was bad feelings after	13	15, 2019; that said witness was duly sworn before
13	that.	14	the commencement of their testimony; that the
14	Q. Neither George Norcross nor Phil	15	testimony of said witness was stenographically
15	Norcross was an elected or appointed official in	16	taken by myself and reduced to print by the use
16	the City of Camden; correct?	17	of computer-aided transcription; that the
17	A. Correct.	18	foregoing is a true and correct transcription of
18	Q. Nothing that George Norcross said or	19	the testimony; that I am not related to or
19	Phil Norcross that could bind the City; correct?	20	employed by any of the parties, or their
20	A. I would think not, although they were in	21	attorneys or agents, or interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy either as
21	meetings with the City. So I don't know how	22 23	·
22	influential they could have been.	24	counsel, attorney, agent or otherwise.
23	Q. You know the City can only take action		
24	through legislation by its council; correct?	25	Kathleen S. Bowe, RPR, CCR
25	A. I'm not a politician. I'm not a lawyer.		License No. 1312 - Notary Public
	KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS		KATHY BOWE COURT REPORTERS
	242		244
1		1	244 I have read the foregoing
1 2	So I couldn't say.	1 2	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday,
_	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the	_	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and
2	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have	2 3 4	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge,
2 3 4	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who	2 3 4 5	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the
2 3 4 5	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta?	2 3 4 5 6	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge,
2 3 4 5 6	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So I couldn't say. Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below. Page No. Line No. Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So other than the Mayor Moran and the bad feelings that the Norcross brothers may have had over the purchase of the view easement, who else held a vendetta? A. I can't name any specific names. Q. That's it? A. That's it. Q. Okay. And with regard to the Norcross brothers, the best you can give me is the bad feeling; right? A. What I said stands. Q. Okay. A. No more. MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have. MR. KIRCHER: I have no questions. We're done. MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you. (Whereupon the witness was excused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, November 15, 2019, and it is true, correct, and complete, to the best of my knowledge, recollection, and belief except for the corrections, if any, listed below.

4

5

6

16 [8] - 8:3, 8:4, 23:16, 10:18, 11:2, 12:11, 164:16, 164:17, 3B [2] - 110:1, 110:8 \$ 173:24, 181:14, 142:11, 143:18, 12:15, 12:19, 12:25, 3rd [1] - 190:4 \$20,000 [1] - 211:13 144:19, 209:17, 23:15, 30:4, 32:5, 185:14, 187:2, 33:18, 33:23, 34:2, 187:19, 190:21, 233:20 1650 [3] - 1:16, 2:8, 34:13, 36:24, 37:11, 193:7, 196:3, 243:11 39:17, 39:18, 39:24, 201:24, 202:8, **4** [2] **-** 3:5, 12:3 '18 [1] - 180:13 48:21, 53:5, 53:22, 202:23, 233:5, 40 [3] - 86:13, 101:22, 16666 [1] - 94:14 54:20, 55:16, 55:21, 233:7, 235:11, '19 [1] - 187:23 **1697** [1] - 44:3 183:16 235:21, 239:13 64:5, 64:10, 71:19, 40's [2] - 182:18, 16th [1] - 95:8 75:22, 76:3, 84:17, 2019 [7] - 1:18, 54:2, 182:19 0 **1700** [3] - 81:14, 89:17, 129:18, 96:1, 144:3, 187:19, **400,000** [4] - 182:8, 82:25, 86:23 001706 [1] - 93:8 130:3, 130:7, 243:13, 244:3 182:13, 183:15 17th [7] - 11:2, 12:11, 08008-4302 [1] - 1:24 130:17, 131:3, **209** [1] - 120:7 40s [1] - 67:5 12:14, 12:19, 12:25, 131:12, 135:22, 08108 [1] - 2:4 20th [11] - 88:13, **47** [4] - 70:19, 182:20, 135:22, 138:12 138:12, 146:15, **18** [2] **-** 27:25, 125:16 90:24, 91:12, 98:3, 183:1, 192:3 158:19, 159:3, 122:25, 139:6, 18th [1] - 122:16 49 [1] - 22:5 159:19, 163:17, 188:22, 190:21, 4:45 [1] - 242:20 19103 [1] - 2:8 **1** [2] **-** 93:8, 121:5 166:21, 188:22, 201:24, 202:8 4E [1] - 158:10 1998 [9] - 34:23, 35:4, 1,333,000 [6] - 43:23, 195:19, 201:23, 21 [2] - 182:11, 182:12 35:8, 35:12, 36:5, 81:17, 82:11, 85:5, 202:8 21st [3] - 10:18, 32:5, 36:9, 47:8, 116:4, 95:17, 95:18 **2004** [13] - 40:9, 51:8, 146:8 212:21 1,500,000 [1] - 101:8 51:9, 54:2, 75:22, **50** [8] - 62:17, 112:25, 225,000 [3] - 91:2, 1999 [1] - 20:18 **1-10** [1] - 1:10 76:3, 77:12, 78:20, 113:4, 113:8, 91:13, 101:7 **1A**[1] - 111:23 **1.25** [1] - 25:12 79:3, 79:13, 80:5, 182:14, 183:2, **229** [1] - 3:12 **1D** [2] - 106:22, 106:24 89:18, 159:25 227:2, 227:17 **1.5** [2] - 173:1, 216:7 22nd [1] - 1:23 1st [2] - 81:4, 100:14 **2005** [7] - 51:9, 66:19, 1/13th [1] - 211:18 23rd [2] - 124:16, **500** [1] - 234:16 68:9, 68:19, 69:3, 10 [1] - 63:10 **513-0196** [1] - 1:24 124:17 2 100 [1] - 212:8 69:8, 69:12 **53** [1] - 183:14 240 [1] - 1:23 **2006** [1] - 190:4 10:00 [1] - 1:18 **25** [1] - 33:15 **58191** [1] - 121:14 **2**[1] - 163:22 2010 [4] - 198:4, **11** [3] - 87:17, 188:12, **58192** [2] - 119:11, **25,000** [1] - 90:21 20 [13] - 33:15, 59:21, 199:9, 199:13, 200:8 226:7 120:10 59:23, 93:25, 95:2, 2800 [3] - 1:16, 2:8, 2011 [2] - 198:3, **114-120** [2] - 141:3, 95:8, 150:12, 243:11 **58193** [3] - 111:22, 198:16 117:11, 121:19 143:21 170:12, 183:20, 2012 [1] - 198:3 **120** [1] - 10:5 183:23, 189:3, **58196** [7] - 110:22, 3 2013 [1] - 144:18 111:13, 112:13, **122** [2] - 122:23, 123:2 201:23, 211:17 **2014** [1] - 144:18 3 [9] - 7:23, 24:12, **125** [2] - 131:25, 133:6 200,000 [19] - 42:25, 116:16, 116:24, 2015 [9] - 66:17, 32:21, 32:25, 33:3, 119:24, 121:20 **12:15** [1] - 98:16 43:20, 47:4, 60:22, 66:21, 66:24, 67:16, 97:4, 111:15, 126:3, 12:55 [1] - 98:17 81:20, 81:21, 81:24, **58198** [1] **-** 107:2 68:1, 144:18, 174:2, 159:24 **58205** [2] - 108:6, 13,000 [1] - 211:13 82:1, 82:18, 83:8, 174:19, 220:13 90:20, 90:23, 92:7, 3(B)(1)[1] - 93:9 108:8 **1312** [2] - 1:14, 243:25 2016 [15] - 140:25, **3.5** [1] - 198:23 96:19, 115:12, **58206** [6] - 108:11, **133** [3] - 43:20, 86:11, 141:11, 142:11, 30 [10] - 40:11, 65:10, 113:23 148:24, 211:11, 111:8, 111:10, 143:19, 144:19, 65:11, 68:15, 85:8, 212:3, 212:16 113:10, 113:15, **14** [4] - 23:13, 36:20, 172:22, 203:2, 86:12, 92:6, 92:11, **2000** [15] - 20:13, 21:9, 113:17 203:10, 212:1 204:5, 206:6, 220:6, 93:1, 101:22 30:4, 39:24, 55:16, **58208** [1] - 120:7 14-page [1] - 37:15 220:13, 224:20, 30-year [2] - 92:3, 55:21, 77:11, 78:20, **59** [1] - 139:3 **15** [26] - 1:18, 35:20, 225:22, 226:5, 79:3, 79:13, 80:5, 92:23 81:19, 82:2, 82:10, 239:15 139:6, 206:6, 220:6 31 [1] - 163:17 82:13, 91:19, 92:1, **2017** [10] - 40:9, 31st [1] - 159:3 **2001** [27] - 12:8, 13:11, 92:6, 92:9, 92:16, 146:15, 158:20, 6 [1] - 43:2 20:14, 21:9, 21:12, 32 [3] - 138:7, 189:24 92:17, 92:23, 93:6, 159:3, 159:20, 60 [1] - 113:4 33:21, 43:2, 44:1, **33** [6] - 10:21, 12:22, 93:18, 94:1, 95:2, 159:25, 163:17, 48:21, 54:2, 54:3, 609 [1] - 1:24 85:8, 132:8, 136:8, 95:10, 95:22, 115:3, 71:25, 81:4, 88:13, 193:7, 202:22, **61** [3] - 230:23, 157:12 183:20, 183:23, 90:24, 91:12, 98:3, 220:13 230:24, 230:25 211:11, 243:13, 333 [1] - 82:3 2018 [29] - 23:16, 99:13, 100:14, **35** [5] - 183:16, **65** [1] - 229:20 244:3 23:17, 129:18, 104:3, 104:18, 231:25, 232:3, 66 [4] - 231:19, **15,000** [1] - 114:1 130:3, 130:7, 105:3, 105:15, 15-plus [1] - 191:8 232:15, 232:18 231:21, 231:22 130:17, 131:9, 122:18, 124:9, 6th [1] - 12:8 **158,000** [2] - 83:23, **350,000** [1] - 85:7 131:17, 144:3, 124:16, 124:17 **359,000** [1] - 85:4 84:19

162:20, 162:21,

360 [1] - 2:4

2002 [51] - 7:13, 8:1,

15th [1] - 95:25

7

7 [11] - 9:6, 9:9, 45:9, 45:14, 132:16, 133:21, 133:23, 157:25, 158:3, 158:4, 158:9 **7.2** [7] - 45:10, 45:14, 133:5, 133:9, 134:4, 134:21, 178:6 **700,000** [1] - 212:2 71.2 [3] - 180:12, 183:10, 183:11 73 [1] - 231:2 75 [17] - 45:10, 46:5, 46:6, 85:21, 113:6, 113:7, 132:20, 133:2, 135:9, 137:11, 137:13, 137:16, 137:18, 145:23, 157:17, 162:6, 162:7 7th [4] - 104:3, 104:18, 105:3, 105:15

8

8[4] - 134:10, 134:17, 138:25, 139:1 8.5 [2] - 65:3, 65:4 **80,000** [1] - 114:1 86 [1] - 190:13

9

9.5 [1] - 107:14 **9.7** [1] - 211:25 90 [5] - 9:11, 158:12, 196:15, 236:8, 236:11 90-day [1] - 236:23 900,000 [1] - 212:3 **95,000** [1] - 83:15 98 [1] - 229:21 99 [1] - 62:5

Α

A's [1] - 135:1 a.m [1] - 1:18 abatement [1] - 96:17 abatements [1] -211:18 ability [6] - 202:1, 202:2, 202:9, 202:21, 224:14, 240:3 able [22] - 19:8, 22:6, 48:20, 49:20, 143:15, 190:19,

191:7, 191:24, 192:15, 193:1, 193:2, 201:11, 205:2, 205:18, 205:23, 206:1, 219:3, 219:9, 234:22, 238:18, 240:16, 240:19 above-entitled [1] -1:19 abrupt [1] - 234:7 Absolutely [1] -115:15 absolutely [2] -199:10, 203:25 acceptable [1] -125:13 accordance [2] -156:22, 159:4 according [1] - 223:17 accountant [7] - 9:14, 10:9, 157:6, 158:7, 158:19, 159:15, 162:7 accountants [6] -130:25, 131:9, 162:8, 165:2, 165:4, 213:12 83:19, 84:10, 84:24, 129:10, 155:6, 161:5, 161:16, 161:23, 167:18, 167:22, 177:1, 178:12, 178:14, 201:4, 201:5 163:2, 164:13 accuracy [2] - 156:8,

accounting [15] -Accounting [2] -

159:15

accurate [5] - 7:8, 153:12, 188:19, 190:20, 207:4 acknowledged [2] -

24:23, 148:12 acknowledgement [1] - 155:24

acquired [2] - 153:2, 188:20

acquisition [1] - 138:9 Act [10] - 19:4, 25:21, 26:15, 28:20, 60:16, 82:16, 114:9, 117:20, 118:8, 118:11 acted [1] - 30:10

action [9] - 1:19, 191:5, 191:13, 191:14, 192:7, 218:14, 218:23,

219:7, 241:23 actions [7] - 5:21, 50:18, 52:19, 52:22, 217:1, 238:21, 240:3 activities [1] - 52:2 actual [10] - 113:24, 114:22, 132:9, 133:12, 133:13, 133:14, 133:15, 133:18, 134:4, 134:8 add [5] - 43:19, 145:5, 195:19, 211:22, 212:3

added [1] - 134:13 additional [5] - 48:8, 48:15, 197:22, 230:3, 230:6 address [2] - 119:3, 140:25 addressed [3] - 88:5,

141:3, 141:14 addresses [2] - 141:7, 141:9

adjacent [1] - 74:6 adjoining [1] - 199:25 adjustments [1] -136:16

administrative [8] -83:14, 83:17, 83:18, 84:6, 84:9, 113:25, 167:12, 167:15 administrator [1] -89:5

advantage [1] -240:14 adversarial [1] -205:14

adverse [3] - 21:9, 48:21, 240:2 advice [6] - 18:18,

18:22, 35:24, 36:14, 47:6, 47:10 advise [1] - 178:6

advised [3] - 27:2, 47:16. 48:5

affiliate [2] - 177:14, 181:16 affiliated [6] - 4:12,

14:21, 42:6, 136:18, 136:21, 138:2 affordable [2] - 58:7,

60:17 afraid [1] - 152:5 afterwards [3] - 12:6,

23:12, 176:23 agencies [7] - 32:19, 50:13, 50:19, 51:4,

64:17, 64:20, 70:20 **AGENCY** [1] - 1:5 Agency [10] - 4:10,

31:14, 70:14, 71:8, 71:17, 71:22, 138:18, 190:3, 221:8, 221:17

agency [4] - 50:9, 70:23, 71:6, 71:22 agent [1] - 243:23

aggressive [1] -205:10

ago [7] - 4:20, 4:25, 150:12, 181:12

11:8, 12:18, 13:2, 91:17, 115:13, 123:24, 161:7, 161:18, 206:1,

agreed [7] - 90:15, 115:1, 179:11, 205:16, 213:1,

7:12, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 7:24, 8:3, 8:4, 8:20, 8:21, 8:24, 9:2, 9:7, 9:19, 10:7, 10:14, 10:17, 11:9, 11:12, 11:14, 12:1, 14:14, 16:12, 16:13,

16:23, 17:3, 17:5, 22:19, 22:23, 23:15, 23:25, 24:1, 24:13, 24:16, 26:21, 28:21, 32:7, 32:11, 32:20,

33:19, 33:23, 33:24, 33:25, 34:2, 34:3,

35:7, 35:9, 35:16, 36:7, 36:16, 36:24, 37:5, 41:21, 42:2,

44:6, 44:9, 44:11, 45:21, 45:22, 46:5,

64:9, 81:9, 84:17,

92:23, 92:25, 93:4, 94:9, 94:11, 100:2,

115:13, 115:24, 116:2, 119:20,

agents [1] - 243:21

5:1, 27:25, 125:16,

agree [13] - 11:1, 11:4,

210:25, 211:6

213:14, 215:5 agreement [198] -

32:24, 32:25, 33:3, 33:4, 33:11, 33:16,

34:7, 34:9, 34:14, 34:20, 34:21, 35:2,

42:18, 43:8, 43:11,

46:15, 48:1, 50:25, 51:11, 55:24, 57:2, 60:3. 62:11. 64:6.

85:17, 85:24, 86:4, 90:18, 92:3, 92:13,

114:25, 115:7,

125:7, 126:2, 126:3,

126:4, 126:8, 126:18, 126:23, 127:2, 127:4, 127:6, 127:13, 127:21,

127:22, 129:13, 139:4, 139:7, 139:10, 139:14, 139:20, 140:8, 140:9, 146:4,

146:17, 146:21, 147:9, 147:14,

147:15, 147:18, 147:24, 148:6, 148:18, 148:21,

149:6, 151:23, 156:23, 157:24, 158:2, 159:4, 159:11, 159:13,

159:17, 159:23, 166:25, 173:16, 173:17, 173:18,

175:2, 175:12, 176:16, 179:7, 180:4, 185:12, 185:13, 185:24,

187:7, 187:8, 187:25, 189:6, 189:8, 189:20,

190:1, 190:2, 191:9, 194:14, 194:15, 194:18, 195:16,

197:8, 197:15, 212:13, 212:15,

212:17, 212:20, 212:23, 213:2, 213:17, 218:9,

224:3, 227:5, 227:8, 227:9, 227:14,

227:21, 233:4, 234:23, 235:6, 235:15, 237:2

agreements [12] -18:19, 28:18, 71:24, 127:14, 147:7, 149:3, 176:22,

179:12, 181:13, 181:17, 185:16, 196:6

ahead [2] - 93:14, 203:17

aided [1] - 243:17 Aimco [32] - 146:17, 146:24, 179:4,

179:15, 179:23, 180:11, 181:23, 182:1, 184:17, 184:21, 185:3,

185:10, 185:12, 185:16, 185:20, 185:25, 186:2, 186:5, 187:7,

407.45.407.00
187:15, 187:22,
187:24, 188:2,
207:3, 207:11,
208:7, 224:1,
230:18, 233:11,
234:15, 235:7,
238:13
Aimco's [3] - 185:15,
186:10, 186:11
akin [1] - 63:7
aligned [1] - 30:20
allegation [4] -
141:23, 142:3,
162:12, 238:24
allegations [1] - 54:13
allege [1] - 240:5
allocate [4] - 42:8,
101:12, 138:1, 195:8
allocated [6] - 42:21,
44:5, 117:7, 118:24,
154:20, 166:8
allocates [1] - 195:12
allocation [24] - 42:12,
42:16, 42:22, 42:23,
43:3, 43:5, 43:15,
43:16, 45:6, 45:11,
45:16, 87:7, 116:10,
132:19, 136:17,
137:11, 147:16,
152:9, 154:22,
161:6, 161:17,
162:7, 162:13,
162:16
allocations [3] -
136:22, 162:2, 162:9
allow [4] - 6:11, 6:21,
216:3, 219:5
allowable [5] - 25:10,
25:14, 82:16, 82:17,
160:16
allows [1] - 93:1
almost [2] - 233:3,
239:20
alone [2] - 74:2,
179:22
amazing [1] - 206:19
amended [4] - 135:23,
136:1, 136:3, 136:9
amendment [1] -
136:7
amortization [3] -
107:22, 109:14,
119:18
amount [40] - 25:11,
35:19, 37:20, 59:17,
59:24, 65:20, 67:4,
67:11, 68:14, 68:24,
68:25, 70:3, 70:4,
81:22, 93:21, 93:25,
95:8, 96:20, 99:9,

```
107:14, 107:15,
 107:16, 107:17,
 113:18, 113:24,
 114:11, 117:6,
 132:20, 137:15,
 148:24, 183:12,
 194:16, 194:21,
 196:19, 211:25,
 212:7, 231:25,
 234:20, 237:13
amounts [8] - 10:7,
 150:22, 151:13,
 159:2, 159:13,
 159:23, 160:1,
 168:15
analysis [1] - 164:5
Angel [5] - 29:20,
 31:16, 78:5, 78:15,
ANGEL [1] - 29:20
annual [46] - 9:11,
 9:18, 23:7, 24:2,
 24:14, 37:20, 37:21,
 40:9, 40:21, 91:1,
 93:18, 94:2, 95:3,
 95:10, 95:12,
 119:16, 127:23,
 128:6, 129:5,
 131:15, 137:3,
 137:4, 137:19,
 146:3, 146:14,
 147:18, 147:19,
 147:25, 148:11,
 149:4, 150:21,
 151:12, 155:25,
 159:1, 160:14,
 166:11, 166:15,
 175:18, 176:7,
 176:15, 176:25,
 185:23, 186:6,
 186:22, 201:1, 237:4
annually [3] - 10:5,
 158:12, 159:12
Answer [3] - 3:15,
 229:6, 229:13
answer [55] - 5:15,
 6:12, 6:15, 9:23,
 9:24, 11:11, 13:20,
 13:23, 14:3, 14:5,
 26:7, 37:22, 39:9,
 39:21, 52:12, 53:7,
 53:16, 53:24, 55:4,
 70:1, 71:11, 71:13,
 74:17, 75:25, 79:9,
 80:8, 80:18, 86:19,
 87:14, 88:22, 97:16,
 98:10, 103:19,
 103:20, 104:21,
 105:13, 114:15,
 121:3, 125:13,
```

```
126:10, 130:18,
 136:24, 137:6,
 145:2, 147:22,
 153:12, 165:9,
 167:18, 171:22,
 233:18, 237:7,
 238:9, 239:9,
 239:10, 239:23
answering [1] - 155:8
answers [1] - 7:7
Anthony [2] - 222:3,
 223:15
apartment [2] - 21:3,
 190:17
apartments [1] -
 230:16
apologize [2] - 83:11,
 150:25
apparent [4] - 103:10,
 115:8, 140:14,
 192:10
APPEARANCES [1] -
 2:1
appliances [1] -
 230:17
applicant [16] - 12:5,
 111:24, 117:8,
 117:12, 117:14,
 117:16, 117:17,
 117:18, 118:2,
 118:3, 118:6,
 118:10, 119:5,
 120:5, 120:13,
 120.14
applicant's [1] - 38:6
application [44] -
 11:20, 12:2, 12:7,
 13:3, 13:7, 18:23,
 19:15, 26:23, 29:9,
 32:7, 33:21, 34:15,
 38:1, 38:3, 38:9,
 39:2, 39:5, 39:8,
 42:20, 43:1, 46:3,
 46:8, 94:10, 100:24,
 102:7, 102:18,
 105:22, 106:19,
 108:20, 109:12,
 109:13, 110:11,
 116:13, 116:19,
 116:22, 117:1,
 117:5, 119:2, 119:3,
 124:16, 125:2,
 156:5, 225:13,
 237:15
applications [5] -
 38:16, 38:25, 98:4,
 98:11, 98:14
applied [5] - 25:24,
 26:19, 81:19, 135:9,
 226:14
```

```
apply [5] - 16:23, 25:9,
 25:14, 28:17, 28:20
appointed [14] - 79:2,
 79:11, 80:5, 88:9,
 88:15, 88:23, 89:1,
 89:2, 89:6, 90:3,
 223:13, 241:8,
 241:15
appraisal [1] - 184:9
appraised [3] - 183:5,
 183:12, 183:14
approached [1] -
 174:22
appropriate [1] -
 84:17
approval [3] - 11:20,
 34:10, 79:15
approvals [2] - 79:23
approve [4] - 34:6,
 34:12, 34:13, 34:18
approved [8] - 32:12,
 33:12, 33:17, 33:20,
 34:4, 124:15,
 124:18, 125:7
approving [1] - 46:13
approximate [4] - 6:7,
 6:9, 165:7, 183:19
approximation [3] -
 65:22, 183:25, 184:1
April [9] - 88:13,
 90:24, 91:12, 98:3,
 139:6, 190:20,
 196:3, 201:24, 202:8
architect [3] - 190:11,
 226:9, 226:12
architectural [1] -
 220:15
area [4] - 97:20, 152:6,
 170:16, 171:6
areas [3] - 70:24,
 169:19, 204:3
arms [3] - 58:4, 58:5,
 58.9
arose [2] - 74:14,
 228:10
arranged [1] - 67:2
arrangement [1] -
 27:4
arrangements [1] -
 17:24
aside [1] - 199:23
assertion [1] - 54:23
assessment [3] -
 95:19, 175:24,
 184:12
asset [1] - 56:17
assignment [2] -
 207:1, 224:3
```

assistance [2] - 38:9,

39:7

```
assisted [1] - 38:17
associated [7] - 61:9,
 65:14, 65:17, 72:11,
 80:16, 189:19,
 201:23
Associates [42] - 3:13,
 8:11, 10:25, 14:16,
 15:7, 15:10, 15:16,
 16:4, 41:25, 44:19,
 45:1, 45:24, 46:21,
 46:24, 47:1, 47:18,
 48:6, 48:12, 86:7,
 107:8, 109:6,
 111:25, 112:4,
 112:6, 112:7,
 112:10, 112:19,
 116:11, 118:14,
 118:15, 118:19,
 123:19, 124:1,
 128:17, 128:23,
 130:6, 130:9,
 130:17, 130:21,
 130:23, 229:4,
 229:12
ASSOCIATES [1] - 1:8
Association [15] -
 30:6, 30:8, 30:15,
 30:24, 50:5, 51:6,
 51:16, 51:22, 52:9,
 53:4, 53:21, 54:19,
 138:22, 139:5,
 140:10
assume [5] - 44:11,
 49:4, 114:4, 151:24,
 154:14
assuming [1] - 45:17
assumption [3] -
 180:15, 180:19,
 181:1
attached [14] - 12:1,
 88:4, 94:10, 107:22,
 107:24, 108:2,
 108:3, 108:18,
 109:1, 118:22,
 119:3, 120:5,
 170:14, 178:1
attachment [1] -
 100:15
attempted [2] - 225:5,
 226:4
attend [2] - 124:17,
 138:11
attended [4] - 124:21,
 138:15, 138:18,
 138:22
Attention [1] - 143:20
attention [13] - 146:2,
 146:3, 146:10,
 146:23, 164:7,
 164:10, 178:5,
```

basis [9] - 23:7, 59:19,

105,01 106,0
185:21, 186:8,
186:9, 186:11, 235:10, 236:2
attest [1] - 77:24
attestation [3] - 160:3,
160:7, 160:8
attested [2] - 10:8,
159:14
attitude [2] - 206:8,
240:2
attorney [12] - 9:15,
9:18, 18:13, 31:6,
31:12, 36:12,
131:16, 186:1,
186:16, 207:25,
238:13, 243:23
attorneys [15] - 18:17,
34:11, 76:16, 78:24,
80:12, 90:10, 91:18,
104:16, 106:14,
127:11, 145:19,
145:20, 164:12,
179:11, 243:21
Attorneys [1] - 48:11
attract [3] - 22:6, 49:6,
240:20
attribute [1] - 240:7
attributed [1] - 83:4
audience [1] - 80:12
audit [1] - 155:10
auditing [1] - 153:4
audits [1] - 144:18
August [22] - 10:18,
12:8, 32:5, 43:2,
64:5, 84:17, 100:14,
104:3, 104:18,
105:3, 105:15,
124:16, 124:17,
142:11, 143:18,
144:19, 164:22,
144:19, 164:22, 188:22, 201:23,
202:8, 207:22, 234:5
authority [23] - 30:15,
30:24, 49:22, 50:3,
50:6, 50:21, 50:23,
50:24, 51:17, 51:23,
52:6, 52:9, 53:4,
53:12, 53:21, 54:19,
54:24, 55:17, 89:24,
90:3, 90:5, 91:8,
170:23
Authority [4] - 56:24,
64:22, 64:23, 67:22
authorized [1] - 55:20
automatic [1] - 77:21
available [6] - 7:5,
96:9, 97:8, 155:6,
168:8, 169:17
Avenue [1] - 2:4
avoided [1] - 208:9

avoids [1] - 231:17 awards [1] - 206:20 aware [19] - 13:16, 16:4, 26:8, 28:2, 143:18, 144:21, 148:25, 163:11, 164:18, 164:20, 164:22, 176:11, 176:12, 176:14, 177:1, 195:5, 197:21, 197:24, 235:20

В

B's [1] - 135:1

B1 [1] - 93:9 backed [1] - 101:15 backwards [1] - 39:19 bad [8] - 69:13, 207:12, 224:21, 224:24, 240:24, 241:12, 242:3, 242:10 baked [1] - 166:12 balance [3] - 66:2, 67:10, 115:4 Ballard [1] - 238:14 bank [2] - 21:20, 76:23 Bank [4] - 20:15, 31:24, 65:9, 68:7 Banks [14] - 29:16, 31:4, 78:4, 78:15, 123:8, 186:3, 186:14, 217:8, 222:23, 223:4, 223:7, 223:16, 224:7, 235:19 banks [4] - 22:5, 63:19, 64:15, 64:19 Banks-Spearman [13] - 29:16, 31:4, 78:4, 78:15, 123:8, 186:3, 186:14, 217:8, 222:23, 223:4, 223:7, 223:16, 235:19 Banks-Spearman's [1] - 224:7 base [1] - 77:7 based [17] - 20:12, 35:24, 62:15, 62:19, 63:5, 95:20, 96:13, 96:21, 97:15, 126:4, 126:17, 150:22, 151:13, 154:22, 178:6, 178:18, 183:11 basic [2] - 21:19, 234:10

62:22, 86:6, 117:5, 140:17, 201:1, 213:9, 223:11 battle [1] - 228:6 bear [1] - 117:15 became [14] - 26:8, 51:5, 51:14, 57:11, 59:9, 62:4, 66:18, 143:17, 164:18, 164:20, 164:22, 192:10, 226:7, 228:18 become [7] - 25:20, 32:11, 33:12, 34:9, 59:19, 69:17, 204:14 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:12 began [5] - 23:16, 25:18, 55:25, 170:8 begin [2] - 5:15, 6:12 beginning [6] - 10:13, 26:22, 92:22, 95:7, 111:16, 221:13 behalf [23] - 8:9, 8:16, 14:15, 17:3, 24:20, 50:13, 91:9, 91:11, 103:1, 103:7, 103:18, 104:5, 104:6, 104:7, 104:19, 104:24, 105:5, 105:17, 123:18, 124:20, 125:10, 132:13 behavior [3] - 205:10, 208:25, 233:16 behind [1] - 51:3 belief [3] - 52:1, 173:8, 244:5 below [2] - 231:24, 244:6 beneficial [1] - 59:10 benefit [5] - 59:5, 60:19, 61:16, 63:17, 234:17 benefits [4] - 17:10, 18:23, 19:3, 102:9 best [5] - 54:25, 85:11, 105:13, 242:10, 244:4 better [2] - 232:14, 235:4 between [54] - 4:11, 7:12, 7:25, 10:24, 16:13, 17:24, 23:14, 30:4, 32:21, 33:4, 33:8, 39:24, 42:8, 42:12, 42:16, 43:5, 43:6, 43:16, 44:5, 44:18, 44:25, 45:6, 45:23, 49:13, 49:25,

75:22, 85:2, 85:19, 86:1, 86:24, 87:8, 87:12, 115:14, 118:24, 133:18, 135:20, 138:2, 139:4, 163:10, 167:2, 169:23, 183:22, 190:2, 196:6, 201:23, 202:7, 207:2, 211:13, 216:20, 221:24, 226:5, 234:4, 236:13, 239:19 beyond [1] - 82:20 big [4] - 58:3, 172:10, 230:9 biggest [2] - 206:11, 230:12 Bill [4] - 4:8, 29:16, 31:7, 208:19 bills [2] - 132:19, 224:24 bind [14] - 30:15, 30:25, 49:22, 50:6, 50:22, 51:23, 52:9, 53:4, 53:21, 54:19, 55:17, 55:20, 89:24, 241:19 binder [1] - 138:9 bit [17] - 26:8, 40:23, 56:14, 78:12, 99:6, 101:4, 104:25, 128:25, 129:1, 132:18, 181:12, 188:8, 193:8, 208:18, 209:18, 232:8, 235:3 Blank [24] - 18:13, 29:4, 35:25, 38:14, 38:23, 38:24, 39:10, 39:13, 47:13, 72:2, 76:19, 78:9, 88:7, 88:20, 90:11, 90:20, 90:24, 91:8, 91:18, 100:13, 102:22, 103:8, 104:6, 123:7 bleak [1] - 73:18 blew [1] - 128:19 blighted [5] - 21:16, 70:23, 70:24, 74:12, 191.1 board [14] - 40:8, 40:10, 40:11, 50:1, 50:4, 51:5, 51:14, 51:22, 52:4, 52:13, 52:16, 79:17, 79:23, 80:22

Board [1] - 74:5

boarded [1] - 20:23

boarded-up [1] -20:23 bodies [1] - 34:6 boiler [2] - 17:8, 106:9 bolts [1] - 19:14 Bonaparte [8] - 88:16, 89:3, 89:4, 89:9, 89:11, 89:16, 89:21, 89:24 bond [1] - 147:16 book [9] - 136:14, 136:23, 136:25, 137:20, 137:21, 138:4, 168:14, 168:17, 168:19 books [7] - 41:22, 42:3, 42:7, 42:10, 137:22, 137:24, 138:1 borne [2] - 111:19, 112:18 Bottom [1] - 1:24 bottom [5] - 44:3, 81:11, 107:1, 108:7, 165:19 bought [3] - 177:15, 200:2, 231:12 bound [2] - 35:7, 52:19 Bowe [3] - 1:12, 243:7, 243:25 BOWE [1] - 1:22 boy [1] - 69:13 brakes [10] - 207:16, 208:3, 217:3, 222:24, 222:25, 223:17, 223:22, 224:1, 232:24, 239:12 break [14] - 6:14, 6:16, 26:7, 47:25, 55:8, 55:9, 55:13, 97:21, 98:15, 147:21, 149:8, 202:17, 228:24 breakdown [2] - 85:2, 110:19 bricks [1] - 230:11 bright [1] - 21:25 bring [3] - 63:14, 63:15, 186:9 broke [1] - 240:18 broken [1] - 101:25 brokers [2] - 220:8, 220:14 brother [1] - 216:9 brothers [2] - 242:3, 242:10 brought [7] - 31:21, 31:23, 186:8,

21:8, 21:10, 21:21,

186:10, 206:20, 222:2, 235:10 Brown [1] - 4:9 BROWN [1] - 2:2 buckets [2] - 60:11, 61.2 budget [4] - 110:1, 110:10, 110:13, 111:11 build [4] - 47:24, 49:8, 62:16, 225:14 building [57] - 16:18, 19:18, 19:20, 20:24, 21:1, 21:3, 21:16, 21:20, 47:24, 59:17, 61:13, 61:14, 62:15, 62:16, 62:20, 62:21, 62:24, 65:14, 72:10, 72:18, 74:2, 74:5, 82:21, 124:8, 141:7, 184:10, 190:12, 190:25, 191:1, 191:2, 191:11, 191:12, 191:22, 192:6, 192:15, 192:18, 192:24, 193:1, 193:25, 194:1, 196:20, 199:2, 199:3, 199:17, 199:21, 204:9, 206:17, 219:2, 219:10, 219:12, 219:19, 230:8, 230:9, 231:12, 231:14, 231:16 Building [60] - 16:19, 33:19, 56:1, 56:6, 61:10, 64:4, 72:8,

16:21, 16:25, 20:20, 56:12, 58:20, 58:24, 72:12, 72:14, 72:17, 72:20, 72:24, 72:25, 73:4, 73:10, 73:12, 73:15, 73:22, 74:3, 74:17, 75:12, 75:16, 78:11, 84:16, 98:5, 100:25, 136:3, 139:13, 141:5, 141:11, 143:8, 147:1, 147:11, 167:2, 184:4, 187:10, 189:10, 190:5, 190:8, 194:9, 195:17, 198:1, 198:8, 199:7, 199:13, 199:24, 200:8, 201:24, 202:10, 202:15,

221:20, 230:5

buildings [7] - 16:24, 21:2, 73:23, 74:11, 74:21, 169:23, 230:10 built [2] - 169:23, 190:16 BURLINGTON [1] -243:5 bus [1] - 31:20 business [15] - 14:11, 19:23, 20:1, 20:6, 41:2, 46:16, 58:2, 77:4, 89:5, 153:21, 153:23, 220:5, 228:8, 228:10, 228:21 but.. [2] - 151:25, 240:8 buy [7] - 63:17, 100:8, 205:4, 231:5, 231:8, 231:9 buy-in [1] - 100:8 buy-out [1] - 100:8 buyer [5] - 181:14, 184:7, 184:20, 184:21, 234:16 BY [19] - 2:3, 2:7, 4:6, 14:6, 55:3, 55:12, 94:12, 96:2, 98:1, 98:18, 122:21, 123:1, 148:16, 149:10, 229:9, 231:1, 237:6, 238:8, 239:22

C

calculate [2] - 84:22,

calculated [10] - 26:9,

26:14, 27:10, 27:11,

35:13, 37:14, 37:19,

232:3

113:10, 127:25, 128:4

calculation [4] - 10:6, 159:12, 159:22, 160:4

calculations [7] - 10:8, 10:13, 150:21, 151:12, 159:1, 159:14, 160:14

calculator [1] - 85:7

CAM-L-4612-18 [1] - 1:2

Camden [169] - 4:10, 4:11, 7:13, 7:25, 8:24, 11:5, 11:20, 13:8, 13:14, 13:18,

16:15, 16:16, 16:24,

17:18, 20:9, 20:19,

22:10, 27:2, 27:3, 27:17, 29:11, 29:13, 30:4, 30:16, 30:25, 31:14, 31:19, 32:22, 33:5, 33:9, 33:12, 33:20, 34:4, 34:10, 34:17, 36:15, 36:25, 38:16, 42:15, 44:16, 44:20, 45:21, 46:12, 47:3, 47:16, 47:17, 47:20, 47:24, 48:4, 48:8, 48:14, 49:2, 49:17, 49:20, 49:23, 50:7, 51:11, 51:24, 52:10, 52:20, 53:5, 53:10, 53:22, 54:9, 54:20, 55:17, 55:19, 55:21, 55:25, 60:16, 60:21, 61:13, 70:14, 70:21, 71:2, 71:5, 71:7, 71:16, 71:21, 72:10, 73:18, 73:21, 74:22, 76:25, 77:10, 78:3, 78:7, 78:12, 78:16, 79:1, 79:10, 79:13, 80:6, 80:7, 86:21, 88:6, 88:10, 88:15, 88:24, 89:17, 89:25, 92:15, 105:6, 105:17, 115:1, 115:16, 124:15, 125:25, 126:7, 126:21, 129:22, 138:10, 138:15, 138:18, 140:11, 143:21, 143:24, 144:8, 146:6, 146:24, 147:11, 147:25, 148:19, 155:17, 155:20, 156:7, 169:8, 174:18, 180:6, 180:10, 186:7, 190:2, 192:21, 198:20, 202:9, 203:15, 206:21, 206:23, 208:10, 208:15, 211:1, 212:9, 212:13, 212:18, 213:16, 214:8, 217:17, 218:22, 221:2, 221:7, 221:17, 222:19, 223:13, 224:14, 224:23, 225:6, 225:15, 225:24, 226:5, 227:16, 233:19, 234:17, 236:6, 240:18, 241:16

CAMDEN [3] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4 Camden's [2] -209:13, 217:7 cancellations [1] -234.8 cancelled [4] - 234:6, 236:17, 238:11, 239.3 cannot [7] - 48:9, 125:3, 127:20, 136:9, 138:24, 173:23, 181:21 Cantor [9] - 67:3, 67:18, 68:3, 68:21, 68:23. 69:4. 70:10. 180:23, 180:24 capital [11] - 22:7, 22:11, 48:22, 49:6, 58:24, 173:6, 184:23, 230:4, 230:5, 232:7, 234:20 capped [1] - 137:15 care [1] - 68:24 Carl [14] - 3:14, 37:11, 72:7, 80:3, 80:17, 104:8, 143:20, 143:23, 181:8, 222:5, 222:19, 223:1, 229:5, 229:13 CARL [6] - 1:5, 1:9, 3:4, 4:2, 243:10, 244:25 carpeting [1] - 230:17 carried [1] - 240:25 carry [1] - 134:20 carton [1] - 206:14 carve [1] - 69:14 carve-outs [1] - 69:14 carved [1] - 205:21 Caryn [4] - 142:18, 146:11, 162:25, 185.8 case [8] - 7:5, 70:10, 101:8, 116:9, 124:14, 127:5, 166:21, 166:22 cash [3] - 180:14, 181:3, 182:23 Casino [1] - 64:21 Castor [1] - 180:20 castor [1] - 180:21 catch [2] - 121:17, 232:9 catch-22 [1] - 191:24 catch-up [1] - 232:9 categories [6] - 43:22, 83:4, 85:3, 101:16, 101:20, 135:10 category [4] - 165:23,

167:12, 167:14, 182:8 caveat [1] - 6:14 CBIZ [3] - 177:10, 177:14, 177:15 CBRE [1] - 174:4 CCR [2] - 243:7, 243:25 CED-1 [14] - 149:13, 149:16, 153:9, 156:12, 157:3, 157:10, 157:18, 158:5, 158:15, 158:16, 159:18, 159:22, 160:9, 160:15 CED-2 [1] - 160:19 CED-3 [2] - 163:14, 172:23 CED-4 [1] - 175:5 CED-6 [2] - 36:18, 36:19 CED-9 [4] - 3:12, 229:2, 229:3, 229:10 Centerline [5] - 68:11, 68:18, 69:3, 69:4, 69:8 **CEO** [2] - 14:1, 208:19 certain [11] - 4:12, 54:13, 98:7, 103:11, 155:21, 156:2, 183:12, 202:23, 217:3, 237:12, 240:10 certainly [5] - 63:25, 163:7, 194:20, 213:5, 240:10 certification [4] -123:9, 123:10, 159:6, 159:8 certified [13] - 9:13, 9:14, 10:8, 143:19, 144:24, 157:5, 158:7, 158:18, 158:22, 159:14 Certified [2] - 1:13, 1:22 certify [1] - 243:9 cetera [2] - 29:18, 63:20 chain [1] - 231:15 champion [1] - 234:12 champions [1] -224:22 change [7] - 90:18, 192:9, 192:11, 192:15, 192:17, 192:24, 219:4 changed [3] - 104:25, 134:24, 206:9

changes [2] - 133:18, 135:2
changing [3] - 22:13, 192:25, 219:1
chaotic [2] - 22:14, 240:19
charade [2] - 238:2,
238:5 charge [7] - 77:16,
91:1, 137:3, 137:5, 151:4, 151:10, 162:8
charges [6] - 37:21, 150:21, 151:13,
154:7, 159:2, 160:14 chart [6] - 15:23,
15:25, 42:20, 165:19, 165:20,
167:8
check [2] - 195:19, 200:17
checkbooks [1] - 136:19
checklist [1] - 146:19
checks [5] - 41:18,
136:19, 136:23,
136:24, 199:18
cheerleader [2] -
31:18, 32:2
choice [1] - 228:13
circles [1] - 228:19
circulated [1] - 122:14
circumstances [3] -
6:1, 21:8, 48:21
cite [1] - 188:2
cities [4] - 33:15, 50:9,
71:3, 125:21
citizen [2] - 209:15,
234:11
citizens [1] - 224:23
City [231] - 4:9, 4:10,
7:12, 7:25, 8:24,
9:14, 9:18, 11:4,
11:20, 13:7, 13:13,
13:18, 16:14, 16:15,
18:10, 21:9, 21:12,
21:17, 23:7, 23:18,
23:22, 24:2, 24:4,
24:7, 24:13, 24:15,
26:24, 27:3, 27:17,
29:17, 29:19, 29:22,
29:24, 30:3, 30:12,
30:15, 30:19, 30:21,
30:25, 31:6, 31:21,
31:23, 32:8, 32:9,
32:12, 32:14, 32:18,
32:21, 33:5, 33:8,
33:13, 33:17, 33:20,
34:4, 34:10, 34:15,
34:18, 36:15, 36:25,
38:16, 44:3, 44:16,

```
44:20, 44:23, 45:20,
46:12, 47:3, 47:16,
47:17, 47:20, 48:4,
48:8, 48:11, 48:14,
49:22, 50:7, 50:14,
50:20, 51:11, 51:23,
52:10, 52:19, 53:5,
53:21, 54:9, 54:20,
55:17, 55:19, 55:21,
55:25, 78:3, 78:16,
79:1, 79:10, 80:6,
80:11, 81:4, 81:14,
82:25, 86:21, 86:23,
88:6, 88:10, 88:15,
88:19, 88:23, 89:24,
92:15, 94:13, 95:18,
102:21, 102:23,
103:4, 103:6,
103:10, 103:13,
103:18, 104:5,
104:14, 104:20,
105:6, 105:17,
106:18, 108:23,
115:1, 115:14,
116:12, 119:4,
119:21, 124:15,
124:17, 125:25,
126:6, 126:20,
131:8, 131:11,
131:16, 137:3,
137:4, 138:14,
138:17, 139:12,
140:11, 140:15,
142:5, 142:20,
144:23, 146:5,
146:24, 147:15,
147:25, 148:18,
155:17, 155:19,
155:20, 155:21,
155:23, 156:2,
156:7, 156:16,
156:21, 156:24,
174:18, 174:22,
176:1, 176:3, 176:8,
186:7, 187:5,
192:14, 192:16,
192:19, 193:4,
202:9, 202:20,
206:16, 207:5,
207:13, 207:15,
207:18, 207:25,
208:10, 208:15,
209:1, 209:3,
209:16, 210:14,
211:8, 211:10,
211:19, 212:13,
212:17, 213:9,
213:16, 215:19,
216:3, 216:10,
217:2, 217:14,
```

218:21, 219:4,

```
219:6, 220:23,
 220:24, 221:2,
 221:25, 223:12,
 224:14, 224:17,
 225:6, 225:14,
 225:23, 226:5,
 233:1, 233:9,
 233:25, 234:8,
 235:5, 235:21,
 236:6, 236:8,
 236:22, 238:24,
 240:14, 241:16,
 241:19, 241:21,
 241:23
CITY [2] - 1:4, 1:4
City's [3] - 185:13,
 235:10, 235:14
civic [1] - 209:14
claim [1] - 231:22
clause [2] - 134:12,
 231:23
clean [3] - 191:22,
 196:19, 213:6
clean-up [1] - 196:19
clear [18] - 6:3, 26:23,
 27:8, 29:8, 35:11,
 43:1, 66:9, 113:9,
 120:11, 133:20,
 203:16, 209:2,
 210:7, 210:20,
 232:21, 236:7,
 238:21, 239:11
clearance [2] - 192:7,
 193:3
clearly [1] - 127:4
client [1] - 154:14
climate [1] - 28:8
close [8] - 9:12, 10:6,
 67:11, 134:23,
 174:14, 185:19,
 187:17, 212:6
closed [5] - 176:21,
 187:18, 187:19,
 199:3
closely [1] - 226:10
closer [1] - 198:11
closing [7] - 12:14,
 138:8, 138:11,
 138:15, 138:19,
 138:23, 180:13
cloud [1] - 77:6
CMBS [1] - 66:25
CNBS [1] - 181:2
coaxing [1] - 29:12
coercion [1] - 208:17
coincidental [1] -
 224:19
collaborate [1] -
 203:23
collect [1] - 166:24
```

```
column [1] - 160:1
comfort [1] - 22:15
comfortable [1] - 49:1
coming [9] - 19:7,
 49:19, 174:3, 174:4,
 175:24, 186:4,
 211:19, 213:9,
 238:12
commence [2] -
 93:11, 93:16
commencement [1] -
 243:14
commencing [1] -
 1:18
commensurate [1] -
 169:11
comment [1] - 170:19
comments [1] -
 135:13
commercial [2] - 67:1,
 90:21
committee [3] -
 207:18, 237:21,
 238:15
common [9] - 24:5,
 27:21, 75:20, 75:22,
 76:1, 96:15, 136:20,
 239:5
commonly [1] - 28:11
communicate [4] -
 75:3, 78:6, 78:19,
 92:14
communicated [3] -
 78:21, 78:23, 91:18
communication [1] -
 78:8
communications [11]
 - 75:9, 76:4, 76:8,
 76:13, 76:15, 79:1,
 79:4, 80:24, 80:25,
 89:21, 91:9
community [1] - 22:9
Companies [1] - 194:6
companies [10] -
 14:22, 42:13, 43:7,
 49:19, 63:19, 63:22,
 64:1, 150:2, 167:13
Company [9] - 8:11,
 57:7, 57:15, 57:18,
 57:20, 57:24, 58:2,
 58:15, 234:16
company [27] - 14:9,
 14:23, 39:15, 41:6,
 56:18, 56:20, 57:6,
 57:9, 57:10, 58:3,
 58:6, 58:10, 58:17,
 68:11, 77:14, 77:19,
 117:14, 125:21,
 144:18, 153:5,
 154:20, 161:3,
```

```
166:20, 170:15,
 186:17, 206:11,
 232:12
company's [1] -
 154:23
comparable [2] -
 96:21, 212:9
compare [3] - 133:9,
 157:10, 157:23
comparison [1] -
 133:5
compensation [13] -
 83:23, 84:1, 84:14,
 84:18, 165:16,
 165:20, 165:22
 166:2, 166:3, 166:5,
 166:8, 167:7, 167:10
competition [1] -
 73:25
Complaint [3] - 3:15,
 229:6, 229:14
complete [11] - 5:15,
 7:8, 145:2, 191:7,
 196:23, 201:7,
 201:9, 201:17,
 201:19, 237:15,
 244:4
completed [11] -
 20:14, 31:25,
 187:20, 187:23,
 190:22, 196:12,
 196:17, 196:18,
 196:21, 196:25,
 225:3
completely [6] -
 17:11, 60:11,
 192:14, 196:16,
 206:8, 207:21
completion [3] -
 93:11, 93:17, 198:11
compliance [4] -
 148:10, 148:20,
 159:16, 175:11
compliant [1] - 175:15
complimentary [1] -
 74:8
comply [4] - 23:2,
 148:5, 148:20, 213:1
component [1] - 204:3
computation [1] -
 159:16
computer [3] - 84:10,
 167:21, 243:17
computer-aided [1] -
 243:17
computing [1] - 77:7
concept [2] - 60:12,
 83:21
concerned [1] -
 174:13
```

concerted [9]
concerted [2] -
202:13, 202:19
concluded [1] -
242:20
conclusion [3] -
113:2, 205:3, 226:20
conclusions [2] -
113:1, 223:8
condition [1] - 190:24
condominiums [1] -
190:14
conducted [1] - 198:8
conference [1] -
205:12
conflict [1] - 35:21
confused [2] - 73:6,
121:21
confusing [2] - 60:5,
60:24
conjunction [2] -
155:20, 174:19
connection [3] -
120:17, 163:10,
239:19
Connelly [7] - 142:18,
143:2, 143:7,
146:11, 146:13,
163:1, 185:8
Connery [1] - 4:9
CONNERY [1] - 2:2
Connor [1] - 226:24
consent [7] - 71:25,
233:11, 235:5,
235:14, 236:24,
237:17, 238:25
consents [1] - 156:2
consider [1] - 88:18
consideration [3] -
37:3, 59:6, 234:10
considered [4] -
66:11, 102:17,
205:17, 205:25
consisted [1] - 74:19
consistent [4] - 46:7,
69:16, 85:9, 92:22
consolidated [14] -
86:6, 128:21,
128:24, 129:8,
129:9, 129:24,
130:11, 130:12,
130:21, 137:25,
161:3, 161:14,
161:15, 213:8
161:15, 213:8
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] -
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8 constitutes [3] -
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8 constitutes [3] - 158:17, 159:7, 160:5
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8 constitutes [3] -
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8 constitutes [3] - 158:17, 159:7, 160:5
161:15, 213:8 consortium [2] - 64:19, 65:8 constitutes [3] - 158:17, 159:7, 160:5 construct [6] - 20:6,

```
constructed [1] -
 46:17
construction [25] -
 19:6, 19:11, 19:12,
 19:18, 19:20, 26:2,
 26:3, 59:18, 62:15,
 62:20, 63:5, 64:16,
 64:19, 66:18, 68:15,
 69:10, 107:11,
 111:18, 112:17,
 121:7, 124:3, 124:7,
 124:12, 187:22
contact [2] - 143:7,
 143:24
contain [3] - 127:6,
 160:9, 160:15
contained [3] - 153:8,
 155:13, 156:12
contemplating [1] -
 207:17
contemplation [1] -
 238:3
contend [4] - 128:13,
 193:4, 217:2, 232:23
contending [1] - 201:6
contention [20] -
 51:21, 52:18, 53:2,
 53:19, 116:9,
 120:19, 120:24,
 154:10, 158:16,
 159:18, 201:16,
 201:22, 202:7,
 218:3, 223:10,
 223:24, 224:12,
 230:1, 233:9, 238:5
contentions [2] -
 54:13, 54:16
context [11] - 17:18,
 20:9, 37:22, 71:5,
 72:22, 73:16,
 110:13, 112:22,
 222:2, 223:2, 223:3
contingencies [1] -
 174:13
contingent [1] -
 179:18
continue [6] - 93:17,
 94:4, 122:22,
 205:18, 209:16,
 210:9
continued [1] - 198:22
contract [5] - 56:23,
 166:10, 166:15,
 167:2, 167:4
contractor [2] - 124:6,
 124:9
contracts [1] - 167:25
contractual [3] -
 39:16, 39:23, 40:1
contribute [2] - 58:23,
```

```
59:2
contribution [2] -
 59:7, 99:9
contributions [8] -
 40:5, 40:6, 40:7,
 40:10, 40:12, 40:16,
 40:21, 41:6
control [2] - 21:18,
 28:25
controlled [1] - 220:23
controller [1] - 137:9
controls [1] - 115:14
controversy [1] -
 243:22
conversation [5] -
 23:19, 74:13, 74:19,
 87:9, 87:15
conversations [7] -
 74:16, 75:11, 75:24,
 198:15, 198:16,
 215:19, 220:14
conversion [2] - 22:2,
 220:4
convert [2] - 190:13,
 219:22
converting [1] - 21:1
conveyed [1] - 187:22
Cooper [1] - 226:7
Cooper's [39] - 21:5,
 30:5, 30:7, 30:14,
 30:23, 31:9, 39:6,
 39:10, 39:16, 39:23,
 40:2, 40:5, 40:8,
 40:10, 40:16, 40:22,
 41:7, 41:14, 49:18,
 50:1, 50:3, 50:5,
 50:6, 51:2, 51:5,
 51:16, 51:21, 52:8,
 53:3, 53:20, 54:18,
 56:3, 138:21, 139:4,
 139:13, 139:20,
 140:10, 140:14,
 221:24
coordinating [1] -
 150:14
copied [6] - 78:10,
 102:16, 177:8,
 177:20, 177:22,
 177:23
copies [1] - 78:23
copy [4] - 102:12,
 102:22, 123:8, 144:2
Corcoran [43] - 20:17,
 29:13, 30:1, 30:3,
 49:17, 49:21, 52:19,
 53:3, 53:20, 54:18,
 55:6, 55:15, 55:20,
 73:11, 74:16, 74:20,
 74:25, 75:4, 75:12,
 75:16, 75:24, 76:5,
```

```
76:8, 76:16, 76:24,
 77:10, 88:8, 88:18,
 88:21, 90:11, 90:25,
 102:12, 102:15,
 102:24, 103:6,
 103:17, 104:4,
 104:19, 105:4,
 105:16, 170:6,
 170:20, 170:23
Corcoran's [1] - 73:14
core [1] - 114:25
corner [1] - 81:12
cornerstones [1] -
 22:6
CORP [1] - 1:8
Corp [3] - 3:14,
 130:20, 229:5
corporate [2] - 142:22,
 234:11
Corporation [4] -
 15:12, 15:15, 42:1,
 50:12
corporation [1] - 8:13
corporations [1] -
 63:17
Correct [30] - 8:15,
 10:11, 10:19, 11:7,
 12:9, 23:8, 27:14,
 29:1, 35:10, 36:1,
 41:4, 45:3, 46:19,
 71:20, 81:23, 91:15,
 97:18, 106:21,
 112:20, 117:21,
 130:4, 137:1,
 162:18, 165:21,
 187:3, 196:9, 209:8,
 230:22, 235:16,
 241:17
correct [187] - 5:5,
 8:14, 8:17, 8:18, 9:4,
 10:10, 10:18, 11:6,
 12:8, 12:11, 12:19,
 12:25, 13:4, 13:5,
 13:8, 16:16, 16:17,
 18:20, 18:23, 18:24,
 20:2, 22:21, 22:24,
 23:3, 23:7, 23:11,
 24:25, 27:13, 28:18,
 28:25, 30:9, 32:12,
 32:16, 33:6, 33:13,
 34:18, 34:19, 35:4,
 35:9, 37:24, 37:25,
 41:3, 44:9, 45:2,
 45:25, 46:13, 46:18,
 46:22, 46:23, 47:4,
 47:5, 47:14, 49:14,
 50:7, 51:6, 51:8,
 54:9, 54:14, 60:14,
 60:23, 61:6, 61:10,
 61:21, 62:21, 63:8,
```

71.10 70.17 74.00
71:19, 72:17, 74:22,
75:13, 76:5, 76:9,
80:25, 81:22, 82:9,
82:11, 82:12, 83:1,
91:3, 91:14, 91:19,
93:2, 94:25, 99:16,
100:6, 104:9,
106:20, 107:7,
107:12, 111:6, 112:19, 114:24,
112.19, 114.24,
115:18, 115:24,
116:4, 117:20,
120:2, 120:5,
121:12, 121:16,
121:20, 125:19,
126:11, 127:17,
129:7, 129:13,
129:19, 130:7,
130:15, 130:23,
130.13, 130.23,
132:14, 132:15,
133:19, 133:23,
136:25, 147:2,
147:11, 148:1,
149:5, 155:13,
155:17, 155:22,
156:3, 156:9,
162:17, 165:20,
169:22 170:20
168:23, 170:20, 171:20, 175:19,
171:20, 175:19,
175:25, 176:16,
180:3, 180:8, 180:9,
181:20, 182:21,
189:17, 190:5,
190:6, 190:23,
193:13, 194:15,
196:8, 200:5, 209:4,
209:5, 209:7,
209:11, 209:20,
210:5, 210:12,
210:21, 212:14,
212:18, 212:21,
212:22, 212:24,
213:2, 213:18,
214:1, 214:4,
214:10, 214:25,
215:6, 215:9, 218:6,
218:14, 218:15,
218:18, 218:24,
219:8, 227:18,
228:22, 230:21,
230:25, 235:7,
235:15, 235:22,
235:24, 236:9,
239:16, 241:8,
241:16, 241:19,
241:24, 243:18,
244:3
corrected [4] - 157:2,
164:9, 164:19,
164:25
Correction [1] - 244:8
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

99:11, 102:8,

226:16

206:12, 226:15,

D

13:3, 81:3, 93:11,

93:17, 180:13,

196:10, 196:25,

201:23, 201:25

100:14, 139:6,

143:18, 190:4

158:12, 236:8,

236:10, 236:11,

236:15, 237:13

174:19, 179:18,

179:22, 180:3,

180:6, 180:10,

207:23, 210:9,

210:12, 214:9,

163:17, 164:17

228:10, 228:22

decline [1] - 233:21

deed [8] - 169:25,

230:18

241:11

214:10

79:16

228:20

210:21, 213:15,

178:14

63:6

11:2, 12:7, 12:10,

corrections [1] - 244:6 correctly [8] - 96:9, 101:9, 111:20, 119:22, 123:22, 150:23, 154:24, 178:9 correspondence [3] -23:18, 75:20, 104:16 cosmetic [1] - 230:16 cost [15] - 25:13, 62:16, 62:20, 85:18, 87:7, 110:18, 123:9, 123:10, 157:4, 158:6, 184:23, 196:23, 199:6, 230:6, 232:7 costing [1] - 211:17 costly [1] - 42:11 costs [49] - 9:13, 25:9, 25:16, 59:22, 62:23, 63:5, 83:19, 85:25, 86:24, 107:8, 107:10, 107:21, 110:14, 110:19, 110:25, 111:6, 111:17, 112:16, 113:25, 116:17, 117:2, 121:7, 121:25, 123:17, 123:25, 124:3, 124:5, 124:7, 124:12, 158:17, 160:10, 161:6, 161:17, 162:3, 162:10, 162:16, 198:24, 198:25, 199:11, 199:14, 200:4, 200:7, 200:10, 200:11, 200:14 council [4] - 29:18, 29:19, 125:6, 241:24 Council [20] - 29:22, 29:24, 31:21, 31:24, 32:8, 32:9, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 33:13, 33:17, 33:20, 34:4, 34:10, 34:15, 34:18, 80:11, 81:4, 124:15, 124:18 counsel [11] - 6:19, 31:13, 38:9, 38:11, 39:4, 176:5, 178:15, 217:5, 217:6, 217:7, 243:23 Counsel [2] - 2:5, 2:9 counterclaim [1] -229:16 Counterclaims [3] -3:16, 229:7, 229:15

country [1] - 33:16 counts [1] - 79:7 **COUNTY** [2] - 1:2, 243:5 couple [3] - 30:2, 67:13, 208:13 coupled [1] - 172:2 course [11] - 5:24, 6:6, 6:13, 9:1, 22:25, 54:8, 79:22, 141:12, 156:10, 230:20, 239:20 court [3] - 5:12, 5:18, 5:22 COURT [2] - 1:1, 1:22 Court [3] - 1:13, 1:22, 7:6 courtesy [1] - 102:20 covenants [1] - 70:5 cover [2] - 102:6, 132:1 covered [1] - 91:19 **COZEN** [1] - 2:6 Cozen [5] - 1:15, 76:19, 145:20, 186:1, 243:11 CRA [28] - 31:13, 70:15, 70:18, 72:3, 72:5, 72:7, 171:17, 190:10, 192:20, 193:2, 193:21, 196:6, 196:10, 197:6, 197:7, 197:8, 197:15, 197:16, 197:19, 198:15, 199:1, 201:6, 201:8, 201:16, 201:18, 201:25, 209:6 CRA's [1] - 71:25 cranny [1] - 17:12 CRDA [6] - 64:25, 66:13, 66:22, 67:25, 68:24, 69:4 create [7] - 16:1, 42:9, 74:2, 219:21, 225:23, 226:5, 233:22 created [1] - 129:6 creation [1] - 19:22 credibility [1] - 206:21 credit [13] - 58:7, 59:24, 60:13, 60:20, 61:5, 61:7, 61:8, 61:9, 61:18, 63:8, 102:8, 198:19 credits [33] - 57:11, 57:13, 58:8, 59:10, 59:11, 59:13, 59:15, 59:20, 59:21, 60:2, 60:6, 60:10, 60:16,

current [1] - 175:25 **DATE** [1] - 244:25 dated [11] - 10:18, David [2] - 100:13, days [8] - 9:11, 10:5, deal [15] - 174:14, dealings [2] - 58:2, deals [4] - 51:19, dealt [5] - 33:15, debate [1] - 114:7 debt [1] - 119:18 decade [1] - 190:10 **December** [3] - 159:3, decided [2] - 214:24, decision [3] - 228:8,

60:17, 60:25, 61:11, 170:14, 173:14, 61:16, 61:17, 62:6, 191:14, 191:16, 62:14, 62:19, 62:23, 191:21, 191:24 63:4, 63:13, 63:17, Defendants [4] - 1:10, 63:18, 63:23, 64:1, 2:9, 3:12, 229:3 **Defenses** [3] - 3:16, 229:7, 229:14 define [1] - 240:1 cumulative [1] - 37:20 defined [4] - 95:14, 117:10, 118:1, 120:13 definitely [1] - 108:4 definition [1] - 121:24 degree [1] - 210:23 date [14] - 9:19, 10:14, Delaware 131 - 56:23. 12:14, 12:16, 12:24, 64:23, 67:21 delaying [1] - 237:19 delete [2] - 77:22, 77:25 deliberations [2] -71:24, 238:15 denied [1] - 225:7 12:18, 88:13, 98:3, Denver [2] - 234:6, 238:12 **DEP** [16] - 191:4, 191:14, 192:1, 192:7, 193:2, 193:3, day-to-day [2] - 62:13, 196:22, 198:13, 218:14, 218:18, 218:22, 219:4, 219:7, 220:21, 220:24 Department [2] -163:2, 164:13 department [1] -176:24 dependent [1] -218:22 depicted [1] - 113:18 214:8, 219:3, 227:2, depose [1] - 142:13 deposed [1] - 4:15 **DEPOSITION** [1] - 1:4 deposition [21] - 4:14, 5:4, 5:24, 6:6, 6:13, 6:18, 7:23, 9:1, 71:1, 72:22, 78:4, 78:18, 142:7, 203:5, 223:6, 35:13, 49:17, 72:3, 223:8, 223:23, 224:6, 242:20, 243:9, 244:2 depositions [5] -

115:10, 221:23, 222:21, 222:22, 224:18 derived [1] - 84:21 describe [2] - 121:4, 126:9 described [3] - 38:13, 101:19, 116:12 description [6] -

106:22, 110:18, 120:15, 121:15, 121:18, 121:25 **DESCRIPTION** [1] designated [1] - 29:14 designation [3] - 44:7, 44:9, 82:25 designee [1] - 140:14 destroyed [1] - 77:15 detailing [1] - 107:20 deterioration [1] -199:20 determination [3] -156:19, 184:22, 184:25 determine [23] -15:21, 25:9, 51:15, 52:8, 76:14, 84:15, 117:6, 122:2, 143:8, 143:25, 144:10, 168:21, 174:23, 175:10, 175:15, 175:22, 175:23, 176:2, 183:9, 184:15, 185:18, 220:8, 221:25 determined [11] -25:2, 25:6, 35:14, 37:1, 37:13, 81:17, 83:5, 83:8, 83:15, 115:10, 175:17 determining [1] -174:17 develop [19] - 42:6, 58:11, 59:16, 73:23, 169:9, 169:13, 171:10, 190:19, 191:11, 192:5, 192:24, 193:1, 202:1, 202:21, 203:6, 204:2, 210:10, 219:10, 226:17 developed [6] - 14:21, 74:7, 220:7, 226:12, 226:13, 233:22 developer [12] - 17:25, 20:12, 21:5, 21:15, 26:24, 28:7, 95:11, 95:13, 203:12, 203:14, 203:15, 231:16 developers [2] - 21:6, 51:18 developing [2] -96:14, 225:11 Development [19] -25:24, 30:6, 30:8, 30:14, 30:24, 50:5,

51:6, 51:16, 51:22,
52:8, 53:3, 53:20,
54:18, 64:21,
138:22, 139:5,
139:21, 140:10,
226:24
development [21] -
14:8, 56:5, 110:1,
110:10, 110:13,
111:11, 170:2,
191:18, 192:13,
203:24, 204:4,
205:6, 205:16,
206:3, 208:20,
209:15, 211:1,
211:8, 214:20,
220:9, 225:9
difference [1] - 114:2
differences [3] -
133:4, 134:7, 134:25
different [15] - 41:2,
42:14, 54:3, 58:4,
58:18, 60:15, 60:17,
61:2, 62:14, 83:4,
97:20, 101:16,
125:22, 141:8,
187:18
difficult [1] - 96:15
difficulty [2] - 103:24,
169:12
diligence [13] -
146:19, 146:20,
146:22, 174:16,
176:6, 185:18,
207:19, 237:22,
237:23, 238:16,
239:4, 239:6, 239:7
direct [3] - 102:23,
143:24, 144:7
directed [1] - 224:5
directing [1] - 152:12
direction [2] - 176:3,
186:13
directly [5] - 78:22,
104:11, 152:7,
154:16, 243:21
dirty [1] - 231:12
disbelieve [1] - 161:21
disclosed [1] - 76:16
discover [1] - 156:12
discovery [1] - 163:15
discussed [7] - 86:3,
87:3, 92:8, 99:6,
109:9, 178:1, 218:25
discussing [1] -
178:24
Discussion [1] -
148:15
discussion [10] - 48:3,
48:10, 87:6, 88:17,
.0.10, 01.0, 00.17,

```
162:20, 177:16,
 177:18, 185:10,
 185:11, 235:4
discussions [11] -
 25:22, 47:15, 72:6,
 78:18, 86:21, 92:20,
 133:7, 162:24,
 173:25, 174:2, 223:1
dispute [3] - 162:12,
 162:15, 172:11
disrespect [2] - 6:3,
 98:21
disseminating [3] -
 102:21, 102:25,
 103:1
distant [1] - 79:18
distinction [1] -
 216:20
distributed [1] - 181:6
divide [2] - 82:2,
 182:13
divided [2] - 82:10,
 212:1
dividends [1] - 232:6
DIVISION [1] - 1:1
division [1] - 210:18
DOCKET [1] - 1:2
document [90] - 7:22,
 7:24, 9:3, 10:20,
 10:22, 11:16, 11:17,
 11:24, 87:18, 88:14,
 96:3, 97:25, 98:21,
 99:1, 99:5, 100:16,
 100:19, 100:23,
 102:1, 102:3,
 102:11, 102:16,
 103:23, 105:23,
 105:25, 106:3,
 106:17, 107:1,
 108:17, 109:4,
 109:24, 110:7,
 110:16, 111:10,
 112:5, 112:11,
 116:18, 122:23,
 123:3, 123:6,
 123:12, 125:4,
 126:11, 126:13,
 129:12, 131:24,
 133:12, 133:13,
 133:14, 133:15,
 138:6, 138:25,
 140:22, 149:12,
 149:14, 149:16,
 149:18, 149:21,
 150:18, 154:12,
 156:6, 156:8, 157:3,
 157:4, 157:8,
 157:13, 160:4,
 160:18, 160:20,
 163:11, 163:14,
```

```
163:18, 163:23,
 166:9, 167:1,
 175:17, 177:6,
 186:20, 186:25,
 188:9, 188:11,
 188:16, 188:19,
 188:24, 189:1,
 189:23, 189:24,
 190:1, 229:21
documentation [4] -
 26:3, 96:11, 97:11,
 97:17
documented [3] -
 66:1, 200:14, 203:4
documents [24] -
 15:24, 87:25, 100:1,
 100:5, 102:21,
 102:25, 103:1,
 103:6, 103:18,
 104:4, 104:19,
 104:22, 105:5,
 105:16, 106:6,
 106:10, 106:12,
 106:14, 109:21,
 118:22, 151:18,
 153:14, 154:15,
 155:22
DOES [1] - 1:10
dollar [11] - 47:2,
 47:18, 48:7, 48:13,
 63:10, 81:25, 82:1,
 82:19, 114:2, 182:8,
 183:21
dollars [55] - 42:25,
 47:2, 47:4, 47:19,
 48:7, 48:13, 59:4,
 59:8, 60:22, 62:17,
 81:17, 81:20, 81:21,
 82:11, 83:15, 85:5,
 85:7, 86:9, 90:21,
 90:23, 91:2, 91:13,
 92:7, 96:19, 101:7,
 101:8, 101:12,
 114:1, 115:12,
 148:24, 168:16,
 172:14, 172:15,
 173:1, 181:19,
 182:11, 182:20,
 183:10, 183:11,
 183:14, 183:16,
 194:20, 198:23,
 211:11, 212:1,
 212:2, 212:4,
 212:16, 216:7,
 230:3, 230:7,
 231:25, 232:4,
 232:18
Donald [1] - 241:9
done [19] - 21:1,
 24:24, 45:17, 51:19,
```

```
128:12, 135:11,
 150:2, 184:9, 185:7,
 189:10, 189:13,
 196:14, 196:16,
 210:9, 214:8, 242:17
door [1] - 190:15
doubt [7] - 24:17,
 76:10, 98:6, 173:5,
 176:17, 176:18,
 176:21
down [12] - 14:3, 22:5,
 26:7, 52:14, 101:25,
 113:25, 114:3,
 186:4, 207:5,
 208:21, 216:3,
 240:15
dozens [1] - 41:13
draft [10] - 44:23,
 100:24, 132:4,
 133:5, 133:9,
 133:16, 133:18,
 134:4, 135:19,
 135:20
drafted [1] - 13:9
drafts [2] - 101:1,
 132:7
DRANOFF [7] - 1:5,
 1:9, 1:9, 3:4, 4:2,
 243:10, 244:25
Dranoff [122] - 3:14,
 4:7, 7:11, 10:21,
 11:15, 12:21, 14:1,
 14:7, 14:20, 16:9,
 24:12, 32:21, 33:3,
 36:18, 37:11, 41:1,
 41:6, 41:12, 55:13,
 56:18, 57:3, 65:13,
 65:17, 65:25, 72:7,
 80:4, 80:17, 87:16,
 87:17, 98:19, 99:3,
 100:11, 104:8,
 104:20, 105:5,
 105:17, 122:19,
 123:2, 125:9,
 125:11, 126:3,
 131:25, 138:7,
 139:5, 143:20,
 143:23, 147:10,
 148:3, 148:4,
 148:13, 148:17,
 148:19, 149:11,
 150:4, 150:7,
 150:15, 151:7,
 151:9, 151:11,
 155:22, 156:1,
 156:7, 156:11,
 156:15, 156:20,
 156:24, 157:12,
 157:17, 159:12,
```

56:4, 56:12, 125:24,

163:10, 163:13, 166:3, 166:7, 167:2, 167:6, 167:10, 172:17, 173:9, 173:13, 175:1, 175:11, 176:7, 181:7, 181:8, 181:20, 185:21, 186:5, 186:6, 187:24, 188:20, 189:6, 190:3, 191:6, 192:16, 193:22, 194:6, 194:14, 194:17, 195:6, 195:23, 196:6, 196:11, 197:11, 198:1, 198:8, 198:9, 199:5, 199:6, 199:12, 212:10, 224:2, 226:8, 229:5, 229:10, 229:12, 231:4, 235:13, 240:14 Dranoff's [4] - 3:15, 222:20, 229:6, 229:13 Dranoff-11 [1] - 96:4 Dranoff-16 [1] - 102:2 Dranoff-28 [1] -188:10 Dranoff-3 [3] - 7:22, 9:4, 157:24 Dranoff-33 [1] -131:21 Dranoff-47 [1] -189:22 Dranoff-57 [2] -188:24, 188:25 Dranoff-76 [1] -195:24 draw [3] - 113:1, 113:2, 223:8 drawer [1] - 176:22 drill [1] - 52:14 drive [1] - 77:8 DRPA [11] - 56:16, 65:6, 65:7, 68:25, 69:5, 169:20, 170:6, 170:10, 170:20, 170:24, 171:9 DuBois [3] - 18:14, 38:14, 47:14 due [32] - 10:7, 23:24, 66:19, 94:1, 95:2, 95:4, 95:9, 128:9, 146:19, 146:20, 146:22, 148:25, 150:22, 151:13, 159:2, 159:13, 159:23, 160:1,

174:3, 174:4, 174:16, 174:24, 175:23, 176:6, 185:18, 207:19, 237:22, 237:23, 238:16, 239:4, 239:6, 239:7 duly [1] - 243:13 during [26] - 5:8, 5:23, 6:6, 6:13, 7:6, 23:13, 55:15, 55:21, 75:23, 76:3, 76:7, 78:20, 79:2, 79:12, 80:4, 89:17, 93:23, 94:19, 95:5, 141:14, 176:4, 191:8, 193:7, 225:22, 232:25, 236:23 duty [1] - 24:10

72:2, 174:21

EDA [1] - 171:8

81:24

Edward [1] - 158:9

effort [11] - 52:7,

143:7, 175:10,

184:15, 193:9,

195:7, 202:13,

202:20, 220:10,

220:18, 225:21

efforts [5] - 20:18,

190:16, 198:7,

198:9, 231:14

either [15] - 31:13,

82:17, 174:5,

174:21, 175:21,

197:11, 201:4,

202:6, 243:22

88:23, 88:25,

84:13, 84:18

60:18, 233:21

end [9] - 92:11,

172:21, 203:2,

205:18, 206:4,

206:6, 220:6,

224:20, 234:5

ended [2] - 159:3,

ending [1] - 224:20

151:18, 176:5

engagement [2] -

154:3, 209:14

engineer [2] - 190:11,

engineers [1] - 199:19

engaged [3] - 56:18,

163:16

200:13

enabled [1] - 61:15

222:18, 223:13,

elected [14] - 48:4,

65:4

Ε

E-mail [11] - 75:5, 75:20, 75:23, 76:4, 76:12, 76:14, 76:15, 76:23, 78:22, 132:1, 177:16 E-mails [9] - 75:15, 75:18, 76:2, 76:23, 77:5, 77:10, 77:15, 77:23, 175:5 early [7] - 66:17, 68:19, 98:7, 98:13, 157:1, 181:14, 185:17 earn [1] - 20:1 earned [1] - 19:2 eased [1] - 226:19 easement [32] - 169:3, 169:5, 169:21, 170:4, 171:4, 171:20, 172:1, 172:2, 172:6, 172:19, 173:10, 173:20, 205:21, 205:24, 208:15, 209:3, 209:7, 209:11, 209:20, 213:22, 214:16, 215:23, 216:6, 216:18, 217:10, 217:11, 218:2, 218:5, 218:10, 228:4, 239:15, 242:4 easements [7] - 170:9, 173:16, 203:23, 205:5, 206:3, 214:19, 240:25 easier [1] - 39:20 Economic [1] - 60:15

economic [1] - 62:22 Ed [4] - 18:15, 27:18, Education [1] - 74:5 effect [3] - 19:8, 63:7, effective [4] - 32:11, 32:15, 33:12, 34:9 212:23 139:19 eight [3] - 43:22, 65:3, 32:6, 56:4, 56:16, 76:19, 79:6, 79:11, 48:5, 79:2, 79:11, 80:5, 88:9, 88:14, 236:9, 241:7, 241:15 Electric [1] - 191:20 185:22 elements [1] - 232:17 eliminated [1] - 48:24 employed [1] - 243:20 employees [6] - 84:3, 84:4, 84:6, 84:12, enable [4] - 19:6, 26:4, enclosing [1] - 123:8

ensure [3] - 46:17, 148:4, 148:20 168:14 ensured [1] - 114:23 enter [3] - 118:4, 140:9, 176:5 entered [20] - 7:12, 12:4, 16:13, 17:2, 20:9, 22:18, 35:19, 21:14, 73:19 51:10, 55:23, 64:10, 67:15, 93:1, 93:5, 56:11, 56:20, 119:21, 123:20, 127:14, 147:7, 147:24, 148:18, entering [2] - 18:18, entire [7] - 25:15, 76:22, 102:19, 231:13 108:16, 179:23, equipment [1] -230:15 210:13, 214:22 entirely [1] - 231:17 entities [37] - 4:12, 8:17, 14:17, 19:23, 20:7, 41:2, 41:20, 42:2, 42:7, 42:9, 42:17, 43:17, 45:25, 58:18, 77:4, 124:21, 129:7, 129:8, 169:21 129:12, 129:17, 129:21, 136:18, 27:20 136:21, 137:23, 138:2, 148:5, 161:6, 161:17, 161:24, 162:3, 167:13, 168:20, 171:15, entitled [3] - 1:19, 92:11, 95:19, 163:15, 165:16 entitlement [1] - 79:16 entity [45] - 15:2, 15:16, 16:1, 19:3, 19:8, 20:1, 20:4, 25:24, 30:9, 42:5, 57:3, 65:14, 70:13, 71:17, 85:19, 85:20, 86:2, 86:25, 87:8, 87:12, 87:13, 104:20, 105:5, 105:18, 117:8, 117:9, 117:23, 118:1, 118:14, 118:16, 137:2, 147:10, 167:24, 171:5, 174:1, 221:9, 239:2 178:25, 181:20, evidencing [1] -181:25, 198:10, 220:17 199:6, 220:5, 235:13, 235:21 232:20 entries [6] - 100:20, 136:14, 136:23,

136:25, 138:4, entry [5] - 84:16, 137:20, 137:21, 168:17, 168:20 envelope [1] - 101:24 environment [2] environmental [14] -189:12, 190:10, 191:2, 193:10, 193:19, 193:23, 194:8, 196:12, 196:24, 197:17, 197:23, 200:12 environmentally [1] equity [1] - 107:14 erode [1] - 230:10 especial [1] - 178:5 especially [1] - 198:19 **ESQ** [3] - 2:3, 2:3, 2:7 essentially [5] - 20:11, 57:10, 60:1, 60:19, establish [2] - 17:23, established [1] - 27:1 estate [21] - 14:8, 14:22, 22:15, 27:20, 28:7, 35:20, 42:5, 48:1, 48:23, 60:5, 60:6, 60:25, 91:2, 136:20, 198:24, 199:15, 210:12, 210:21, 211:10 estimate [6] - 6:8, 6:10, 99:14, 99:21, 101:24, 165:7 estimated [3] - 85:15, 119:16, 196:23 estimates [1] - 86:22 estimation [1] - 65:21 et [2] - 29:18, 63:19 event [1] - 125:19 events [1] - 239:19 eventually [1] - 199:20 evidence [6] - 30:13, 30:17, 30:23, 34:17, exact [2] - 116:14, exactly [4] - 65:2, 72:1, 168:4, 198:2

EXAMINATION [2] -3:3, 4:4 examination [2] -150:22, 151:14 example [10] - 31:24, 36:25. 51:1. 85:4. 96:17, 100:8, 101:21, 148:8, 168:16, 240:17 examples [2] - 27:24, 28:3 exceeded [1] - 207:10 except [2] - 144:4, 244:5 excess [13] - 27:10. 42:24, 47:20, 119:4, 126:6, 126:20, 126:24, 127:7, 128:9, 128:14, 176:1, 211:20, 213:10 exchanged [1] - 99:18 exclusivity [5] - 139:3, 139:10, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19 excuse [1] - 176:12 excused [1] - 242:19 executed [1] - 12:13 execution [2] - 85:16, 85:23 executive [1] - 238:12 executives [1] -226:11 exemption [6] - 89:25, 93:10, 93:16, 93:24, 96:6, 97:5 Exemption [34] -11:22, 18:2, 19:1, 22:20, 23:3, 23:10, 25:3, 25:7, 25:21, 26:15, 27:12, 28:17, 28:20, 28:24, 34:23, 35:3, 35:8, 35:12, 35:22, 36:5, 36:9, 36:19, 37:12, 39:1, 47:8, 82:16, 106:20, 114:9, 116:4, 117:20, 118:8, 118:11, 189:16, 212:21 exercise [1] - 151:18 exert [1] - 216:5 exhibit [13] - 43:12, 44:2, 87:16, 94:8, 94:9, 97:20, 115:9, 117:4, 122:20, 123:2, 127:3, 138:7, 165:13 **EXHIBIT** [1] - 3:11 Exhibit [38] - 7:23,

10:21, 12:21, 24:12, 32:21, 32:25, 33:3, 43:12, 43:14, 44:2, 87:17, 106:25, 107:4, 107:14, 111:5, 113:15, 120:5, 120:7, 120:9, 120:19, 120:24, 121:5, 122:23, 126:3, 131:25, 132:8, 133:6, 134:13, 135:11, 136:8, 138:7, 145:23, 153:9, 157:12, 157:17, 162:5, 163:13, 125:23
195:23 exhibits [2] - 119:2,
122:13
exist [5] - 13:16, 15:2, 21:21, 57:25, 100:1
existed [2] - 161:5,
161:16
existence [3] - 129:21,
143:22, 195:3 exists [3] - 57:24,
110:16, 122:12
expect [3] - 176:4,
208:25, 236:19
expected [3] - 24:7,
226:17, 227:2
expecting [2] - 24:8,
190:18 expects [1] - 107:5
expend [1] - 232:8
expended [2] -
123:18, 123:25
expenditure [1] -
119:17
expenditures [2] - 230:4, 230:5
expense [3] - 85:3,
154:19, 230:13
expenses [59] - 42:8,
42:21, 43:3, 43:5,
43:13, 43:16, 43:19,
43:21, 43:23, 44:5, 45:7, 45:11, 45:16,
46:2, 46:9, 59:18,
83:1, 84:10, 85:1,
0 0- 1- 0- 10

85:5, 85:15, 85:18,

85:25, 86:8, 86:17,

109:11, 111:17,

112:16, 113:13,

113:16, 113:17,

113:22, 113:24,

114:8, 114:10,

114:12, 114:16,

114:22, 115:3,

86:24, 87:8, 101:24,

116:10, 117:3, 117:7, 118:24, 119:9, 120:22, 126:24, 132:17, 132:21, 135:10, 137:12, 152:10, 154:20, 162:5, 167:13, 200:25, 230:20 expensive [1] - 230:10 **experience** [3] - 38:6, 96:14, 97:15 experts [2] - 127:18, 232:14 expired [1] - 174:15 explain [4] - 15:24, 17:19, 86:5, 234:2 explained [2] - 18:9, 169:8 explaining [1] -203:19 express [1] - 150:19 expressing [1] - 74:21 **expressly** [1] - 116:12 extended [1] - 234:24 extent [2] - 69:10, 69.11 external [1] - 201:5 extra [1] - 217:17

F

facade [1] - 230:13 facades [1] - 230:8 face [1] - 30:12 facilities [1] - 90:21 fact [33] - 7:24, 11:25, 12:7, 20:17, 21:5, 22:4, 35:2, 44:8, 45:4, 46:23, 49:7, 52:22, 61:3, 77:9, 91:16, 111:10, 114:12, 128:14, 147:12, 156:24, 161:22, 165:18, 176:13, 179:25, 180:6, 191:13, 192:1, 208:1, 208:2, 232:8, 233:11, 237:3, 238:24 facts [13] - 51:20, 141:22, 142:2, 142:5, 142:9, 162:12, 162:15, 221:20, 222:17, 224:11, 238:4, 238:10, 238:23 factual [2] - 221:9, 223:11 fail [1] - 137:16

fail-safe [1] - 137:16 failed [1] - 155:24 failing [1] - 192:17 failure [1] - 186:6 fair [9] - 13:23, 16:11, 73:3, 76:12, 78:25, 172:5, 184:3, 184:6, 217:24 faith [1] - 36:15 fall [1] - 5:2 falling [1] - 203:3 familiar [11] - 5:7, 7:11, 17:9, 17:11, 18:5, 25:20, 36:8, 36:10, 37:15, 70:13, 169:2 familiarity [2] - 26:13, 37:24 family [3] - 16:5, 16:9, 146:18 fantastic [1] - 234:16 far [3] - 58:12, 78:4, 126:1 feasibility [1] - 20:22 feasible [1] - 220:9 federal [6] - 60:6, 60:10, 60:18, 60:20, 60:25, 61:16 fee [5] - 56:3, 166:11, 166:15, 166:19, 166:23 feelings [3] - 240:24, 241:12, 242:3 fees [2] - 167:19 felt [13] - 96:21, 102:22, 104:14, 204:20, 205:6,

206:8, 209:13, 216:2, 236:1, 236:15, 236:16, 237:16, 238:20 Ferry [39] - 21:6, 30:5, 30:7, 30:14, 30:24, 31:9, 39:6, 39:10, 39:16, 39:23, 40:2, 40:5, 40:8, 40:10, 40:16, 40:22, 41:7, 41:14, 49:19, 50:1, 50:3, 50:5, 50:6, 51:2, 51:6, 51:16, 51:21, 52:8, 53:3, 53:20, 54:18, 56:3, 138:22, 139:4, 139:13, 139:20, 140:10, 140:14, 221:25 fifth [1] - 187:21 figure [1] - 99:23 file [1] - 144:11 filed [5] - 66:5, 146:5,

177:4, 236:2, 236:5 files [5] - 76:14, 76:18, 76:21, 148:7, 200:17 filing [3] - 146:14, 147:19, 236:13 final [3] - 99:13, 135:20, 196:17 finally [1] - 203:11 finance [6] - 137:10, 141:20, 142:19, 146:12, 148:22, 163:1 financial [68] - 8:20, 9:2, 11:12, 11:13, 16:12, 22:9, 22:22, 28:21. 32:7. 33:4. 33:22, 33:24, 34:2, 34:7, 34:21, 40:13, 40:15, 40:19, 40:20, 44:9, 46:5, 48:1, 55:24, 64:6, 64:9, 86:4, 93:4, 94:9, 107:20, 109:4, 115:6, 115:13, 115:24, 116:2, 119:20, 126:2, 126:17, 129:6, 129:20, 130:2, 130:5, 130:16, 131:2, 131:10, 146:4, 146:21, 147:19, 150:3, 150:4, 156:23, 157:24, 158:2, 159:11, 161:4, 163:16, 163:21, 164:1, 173:3, 175:18, 176:16, 176:24, 180:20, 184:18, 185:23, 186:6, 224:3, 233:4, 233:12 Financial [6] - 67:3, 67:18, 68:3, 68:21, 68:23, 70:11 financing [21] - 21:16, 21:22, 22:1, 26:5, 58:10, 64:10, 64:14, 64:15, 65:18, 65:25, 68:4, 68:23, 82:21, 96:8. 96:22. 97:7. 98:4, 138:9, 191:12, 194:12, 231:14 fine [1] - 165:7 finish [17] - 6:11, 50:15, 54:11, 82:4, 87:10, 89:13, 97:24, 115:20, 168:25, 186:23, 191:4, 204:25, 219:24,

220:1, 225:19, 226:3, 227:12 finished [20] - 49:9, 50:17, 99:1, 100:17, 102:4, 111:3, 132:2, 134:5, 140:23, 145:25, 152:16, 175:6, 177:7, 204:16. 207:24. 208:11, 208:12, 225:18, 229:22, 229:24 firm [14] - 18:15, 39:22, 40:1, 40:4, 149:22, 150:9, 150:10, 150:12, 155:7, 174:22, 177:1, 178:12, 178:14, 200:22 firms [2] - 84:10, 150:8 first [75] - 8:19, 13:13, 13:17, 18:6, 27:8, 34:21, 34:22, 35:1, 35:20, 38:20, 38:21, 41:8, 44:13, 45:5, 45:15, 45:19, 53:9, 67:12, 68:10, 92:23, 93:10, 93:15, 94:20, 95:22, 96:5, 100:19, 100:24, 101:3, 101:5, 106:17, 109:23, 117:11, 117:16, 121:1, 131:10, 131:13, 131:24, 132:4, 134:14, 135:12, 135:18, 143:17, 143:22, 146:3, 147:1, 147:4, 150:17, 150:18, 151:1, 153:21. 158:24, 161:11, 162:21, 163:6, 164:15, 170:1, 170:5, 172:3, 172:7, 172:20, 173:11, 173:20, 185:11, 192:2, 192:6, 213:22, 214:16, 215:23, 216:18, 220:23, 227:22, 228:3, 235:8, 235:19 fiscal [12] - 9:12, 10:6, 119:12, 119:15, 120:4, 120:16, 120:20, 120:25, 121:8, 121:9, 121:12 fit [1] - 101:12 five [8] - 43:22,

119:12, 120:10,
179:16, 180:1,
187:12, 187:14,
187:15
fix [3] - 48:1, 48:23,
232:15
fixed [24] - 19:9,
19:24, 22:8, 22:15, 26:5, 26:25, 27:5,
27:20, 29:9, 35:19,
42:24, 62:11, 91:2,
92:1, 92:6, 92:16,
92:24, 93:1, 93:20,
93:21, 95:15, 115:2,
115:11
Fleet [6] - 64:20, 65:9,
68:7, 68:9, 69:1, 69:2
69:2 flip [1] - 163:18
flippers [1] - 234:19
floor [1] - 211:23
fluctuating [1] - 184:1
flying [1] - 234:6
focus [4] - 78:13,
170:4, 229:15,
229:19
focused [2] - 70:23,
209:19
focusing [4] - 41:17,
53:14, 74:25, 170:18
folks [2] - 38:13, 186:17
follow [6] - 6:23,
71:14, 73:22,
150:24, 171:23,
213:16
follow-up [3] - 71:14,
73:22, 171:23
following [3] - 84:16,
117:10, 135:2
force [4] - 208:14, 212:5, 216:17,
233:22
forced [4] - 209:3,
209:6, 209:19, 216:6
foregoing [3] - 243:9,
243:18, 244:1
forerunner [1] -
150:11
form [14] - 44:23,
52:11, 53:6, 53:23,
54:21, 63:15, 91:21, 116:6, 145:6, 145:7,
116:6, 145:6, 145:7, 145:17, 205:1,
210:4, 238:7
forma [26] - 50:21,
62:9, 62:13, 85:13,
86:16, 96:20,
101:16, 101:23,
108:5, 108:13,

```
108:15, 108:18,
 109:10, 109:16,
 109:20, 120:18,
 120:21, 122:6,
 122:9, 122:11,
 123:10, 124:10,
 184:19, 184:22,
 226:13
forma'd [1] - 114:10
forma's [4] - 184:17,
 185:2, 185:4, 185:6
formal [1] - 156:5
formed [4] - 117:19,
 118:3, 118:6, 204:12
forming [1] - 204:7
formula [1] - 81:18
formulas [1] - 25:19
formulate [1] - 219:21
forth [15] - 38:7, 86:4,
 90:14, 90:20, 100:2,
 109:3, 109:14,
 115:23, 116:2,
 148:6, 157:2, 162:6,
 168:14, 198:25,
 227:21
Fortune [1] - 234:16
forward [7] - 73:25,
 82:22, 104:14,
 198:13, 216:4,
 219:12, 219:13
four [5] - 43:21, 50:2,
 141:6, 141:8, 187:20
fourth [1] - 153:8
frame [2] - 164:18,
 235:3
framework [1] - 28:4
fraudulent [1] - 69:16
free [2] - 212:24,
 213:15
frequently [1] - 52:23
Friday [3] - 1:17,
 243:12, 244:2
friendly [1] - 227:5
friends [1] - 208:18
front [6] - 33:8, 81:9,
 131:22, 132:9,
 157:11, 172:24
Front [2] - 141:4,
 143:21
fronted [1] - 141:7
Fuentes [5] - 29:20,
 31:16, 32:3, 78:5,
 78:16
\textbf{fulfilled}~ \texttt{[1]-231:4}
full [7] - 7:8, 18:14,
 66:14, 66:16, 69:10,
 96:5, 183:17
fully [1] - 226:17
fund [4] - 63:15, 64:2,
 99:5, 197:9
```

```
Fund [14] - 57:8, 58:5,
 58:12, 58:16, 58:19,
 58:23, 59:1, 59:6,
 62:4, 63:12, 64:3,
 100:3, 107:16,
 107:18
Fund's [1] - 99:9
funding [4] - 197:5,
 197:9, 197:17,
 199:21
funds [8] - 137:4,
 193:19, 193:22,
 194:7, 197:16,
 197:21, 201:7,
 201:17
future [3] - 48:24,
 176:2, 209:13
          G
G&A [1] - 83:19
game [1] - 98:7
gap [2] - 197:9, 197:17
Gattuso [2] - 203:19,
 208:19
Gattuso's [1] - 204:10
general [25] - 8:14,
 15:11, 17:13, 25:4,
 25:5, 25:8, 28:8,
 41:10, 47:21, 48:17,
 48:19, 49:11, 49:12,
 49:15, 50:23, 77:6,
 85:2, 121:15, 124:9,
 129:9, 140:2,
 178:15, 217:5,
 217:6, 217:7
General [1] - 191:20
generally [5] - 83:20,
 84:25, 85:9, 139:23,
 140:4
generated [1] - 62:6
generating [2] - 60:22,
 211:9
gentleman [1] -
 123:13
George [20] - 203:3,
 204:6, 204:12,
 205:7, 205:13,
 215:14, 215:24,
```

governing [1] - 34:6 government [18] -22:14, 30:3, 50:8, 50:13, 50:19, 50:20, 51:4, 52:23, 52:24, 64:17, 64:20, 78:16, 79:20, 80:20, 88:6, 88:10, 88:19, 240:11 governmental [4] -30:8, 70:23, 71:17, 71:22 **GP** [10] - 1:8, 3:14, 8:13, 14:16, 15:12, 15:15, 16:2, 42:1, 130:20, 229:5 grant [8] - 63:8, 63:9, 63:10, 63:11, 197:21, 201:7, 201:17, 213:6 granted [3] - 171:4, 213:17, 226:16 granting [1] - 171:15 great [4] - 10:2, 204:9, 211:20, 224:23 greater [5] - 94:3, 95:1, 95:11, 95:20, 96:23 gross [13] - 93:19, 93:21, 94:2, 94:22, 95:3, 95:10, 95:12, 101:8, 107:20, 109:4, 109:10, 109:11, 119:16 Grossman [2] -186:16, 235:18 ground [63] - 10:24, 11:2, 11:5, 11:8, 11:11, 11:25, 12:4, 12:5, 12:10, 12:12, 12:18, 12:22, 12:24, 13:3, 13:6, 13:9, 13:11, 13:13, 13:17, 17:19, 19:14, 26:19, 27:19, 33:1, 42:19, 44:12, 44:14, 44:17, 44:18, 44:21, 44:25, 45:4, 45:15, 45:23, 46:13, 81:16, 108:16, 108:19, 113:20, 118:4, 118:18, 119:8, 123:19, 124:1, 131:20, 132:5, 132:9, 132:13, 132:16, 134:5, 134:17, 135:6,

glad [1] - 211:7

goal [1] - 169:13

governance [2] -

233:15

135:8, 135:21, 136:2, 136:7, 136:11, 152:4, 153:15, 154:6, 154:7, 154:11, 178:2 Group [36] - 7:13, 8:10, 8:13, 9:11, 9:17, 10:4, 11:19, 14:15. 14:16. 14:24. 15:5, 15:6, 16:2, 16:14, 17:4, 24:21, 32:22, 33:6, 33:9, 41:25, 45:1, 46:18, 117:13, 117:23, 118:13, 120:15, 120:17, 120:23, 121:9, 122:4, 123:21, 130:3, 144:9, 152:10, 163:15, 229:12 group [9] - 204:7, 204:12, 204:13, 205:2, 205:7, 226:23, 226:25, 227:8, 227:15 guaranteeing [1] -69:9 guarantees [4] -66:10, 69:6, 70:2, 70:8 guaranty [1] - 69:12 quess [9] - 6:5, 6:9, 55:2, 58:21, 135:15, 139:18, 165:6, 165:8, 226:24 guesses [1] - 85:11 guessing [5] - 85:6, 135:16, 139:12, 165:5, 166:12 guesstimate [2] -86:9, 232:19 guide [1] - 39:4 guns [1] - 209:25 Gutstadt [2] - 167:6, 185.8 Gutstadt's [1] - 203:5 gutted [1] - 191:1 Н

Haddon [1] - 2:4 half [7] - 168:16, 172:8, 172:14, 172:15, 197:3, 197:4, 204:24 half-hour [1] - 204:24 halfway [1] - 101:4 hand [2] - 81:11, 84:23 handle [1] - 152:9

216:1, 216:5,

216:17, 216:21,

224:15, 224:18,

227:15, 241:11,

241:14, 241:18

given [7] - 105:7,

113:13, 114:22,

171:9, 171:11,

233:19, 244:2

George's [1] - 204:15

221:3, 224:12,

illustriously [1] -

imagine [1] - 162:25

impaired [1] - 224:13

impede [4] - 202:20,

impeded [4] - 201:25,

important [4] - 7:7,

73:17, 238:11,

impossible [4] -

22:11, 73:20,

206:22, 239:7

improvements [3] -

38:6, 106:23, 106:24

inaccurate [1] - 207:4

Inc [2] - 14:1, 14:7

inception [2] - 9:19,

include [4] - 68:7,

127:22, 232:17,

included [10] - 86:23,

113:14, 122:7,

134:14, 165:23,

167:14, 176:16,

including [5] - 38:5,

75:6, 76:2, 108:23

43:13, 46:2, 111:18,

160:13, 162:5, 166:8

income [15] - 9:12,

112:17, 120:22,

150:20, 151:12,

157:4, 158:6,

158:17, 159:1,

incorporated [1] -

incorporates [2] -

35:9

185:3, 227:15, 228:4

INC [1] - 1:9

195:15

241:9

202:2, 202:9, 217:16

203:24, 204:1,

210:6

231:13

238:12

handwriting [1] -
100:21
handwritten [1] -
100:20
Hankowsky [1] -
208:19
happy [1] - 172:11
hard [5] - 77:8,
205:23, 212:4,
217:21, 217:23
harm [2] - 70:7,
207:14
hash [1] - 6:22
head [5] - 5:20, 5:24, 29:24, 80:21
headers [1] - 230:11
healthcare [1] - 63:19
hear [1] - 235:8
heard [7] - 204:6,
207:20, 222:11,
222:13, 236:1,
237:22
hearings [1] - 226:15
hearsay [1] - 222:14
height [2] - 169:22,
170:16
held [9] - 169:3, 173:10, 173:12,
203:5, 210:14,
214:21, 240:5,
241:3, 242:5
help [10] - 34:25, 37:8, 37:9, 53:15, 71:9,
110:21, 134:25,
157:8, 209:15, 219:4
helped [1] - 202:5
helpful [1] - 54:4
hereby [1] - 243:9
high [6] - 67:5, 74:7,
96:20, 182:15,
182:18, 182:19
higher [1] - 205:6
highly [2] - 48:21,
192:8
hire [4] - 18:11, 34:11,
232:11
hired [6] - 18:8, 174:4,
190:11, 203:20,
226:9, 226:12
Historic [1] - 61:15
historic [16] - 20:14,
31:25, 57:11, 58:8,
59:13, 59:15, 59:17,
60:9, 61:5, 61:9, 61:18, 62:18, 63:22,
99:10, 190:13,
230:10
historical [1] - 77:22
Hoffman [27] - 149:21,
149:22, 149:25,
,,

```
150:14, 151:3,
 151:10, 151:17,
 151:19, 151:22,
 152:1, 152:3, 152:8,
 152:12, 152:21,
 153:10, 154:1,
 154:10, 155:2,
 157:16, 158:23,
 163:10, 164:2,
 164:6, 186:16,
 186:17, 187:2,
 200:22
hold [11] - 14:10,
 14:17, 14:18, 30:3,
 70:6, 125:14, 205:3,
 208:21, 214:3,
 215:18, 225:17
holds [1] - 70:8
homework [1] - 41:19
honestly [3] - 34:5,
 49:25, 65:19
honor [1] - 204:2
hope [1] - 124:23
hopefully [1] - 46:24
hoping [1] - 190:18
horizon [1] - 230:19
hour [1] - 204:24
hours [1] - 36:12
housing [5] - 58:8,
 212:5, 225:9,
 227:23, 233:23
Howard [2] - 186:15,
 235:18
huge [1] - 234:17
human [1] - 5:19
hundred [3] - 15:7,
 58:18, 194:20
hurdles [2] - 21:4,
 21:22
hypothetical [3] -
 81:6, 114:15, 234:25
```

ı 35:2, 160:12 incorrect [7] - 155:14, idea [2] - 101:14, 156:13, 156:16, 219:1 156:23, 164:8, identical [2] - 46:2, 164:23, 219:9 46:4 incur [1] - 230:19 identification [8] incurred [4] - 199:6, 11:17, 98:20, 199:12, 200:4, 100:12, 102:2, 200:25 118:23, 140:21, indeed [2] - 96:6, 97:5 160:19, 229:8 indicate [15] - 5:20, identified [8] - 41:21, 5:25, 30:14, 30:23, 42:1, 42:17, 43:7, 34:17, 43:15, 44:4, 61:18, 78:3, 78:17, 86:23, 125:5, 129:12 142:10, 194:24, identities [1] - 63:21 221:10, 221:21, identity [1] - 28:12 222:18, 223:12

II [1] - 134:13

45:5, 66:3, 71:2, 132:12, 215:20 indicates [3] - 118:23, 126:18, 197:8 indirectly [1] - 243:22 individual 181 - 130:1. 130:5, 130:10, 130:13, 130:16, 130:19, 142:24, 230:16 individualized [3] -129:5, 129:20, 131:2 individuals [1] - 78:7 industry [4] - 69:14, 70:19, 136:20, 239:5 inequity [2] - 211:14, 211:21 inevitable [1] - 5:10 inevitably [1] - 5:23 influential [1] - 241:22 information [36] -35:15, 38:5, 103:2, 103:8, 103:12, 105:8, 106:18, 117:2, 120:9, 152:20, 152:22, 152:23, 152:25, 153:2, 153:8, 153:11, 153:22, 153:25, 154:3, 155:1, 155:4, 155:5, 155:6, 155:9, 155:10, 155:12, 155:16, 156:12, 156:16, 156:21, 160:9, 160:15, 160:24, 175:25, 184:20, 185:3 informed [1] - 24:9 informing [1] - 23:23 initial [3] - 66:18, 74:13, 186:13 input [3] - 44:20, 207:17, 238:17 inquiry [2] - 27:9, 144:8 instance [1] - 104:23 instances [2] - 226:1, 226:4 instead [1] - 214:24 instructions [4] -6:23, 152:9, 163:3, 164:24 insurance [1] - 63:18 intended [5] - 86:17, 114:10, 115:9, 119:9, 156:7 intending [1] - 49:8 intent [7] - 26:2, 42:22, 46:7, 47:25,

114:7, 115:11, 126:23 interacted [1] - 52:22 interaction [4] - 79:12, 80:15, 165:1, 165:3 interactions [1] -80:19 interest [8] - 57:14, 67:12, 74:21, 99:16, 107:21, 109:14, 119:18, 228:20 interested [5] - 20:19, 21:7, 165:8, 236:18, interesting [1] - 128:1 interfering [1] - 206:2 intermediary [1] -103:14 internal [2] - 200:21, 201:4 interpose [1] - 6:20 interpretation [2] -155:5, 224:9 introduced [3] -29:15, 30:19, 52:23 invest [3] - 58:10, 230:2, 240:18 invested [4] - 62:10, 65:16, 107:17, 208:18 investigation [5] -20:22, 193:10, 193:20, 193:23, 194:8 investment [3] - 66:4, 66:7, 66:8 investor [5] - 22:7, 60:1, 60:18, 62:4, 64:7 investors [10] - 47:22, 48:25, 49:13, 57:2, 57:5, 58:7, 63:15, 63:16, 64:4 invite [1] - 73:24 involve [1] - 215:24 involved [24] - 13:20, 29:12, 31:19, 32:19, 41:13, 46:15, 57:21, 58:1, 147:10, 152:7, 152:11, 153:3, 171:15, 171:17, 179:6, 179:8, 179:14, 181:3, 186:2, 200:7, 204:8, 207:14, 210:3, 227:15 involvement [8] -58:20, 73:11, 73:14, 102:19, 171:1, 221:3, 221:9, 233:19

indicated [6] - 27:16,

irrespective [1] 46:20
issue [4] - 23:5, 119:3,
236:6, 238:25
issued [1] - 219:7
issues [6] - 174:10,
199:2, 207:7, 234:1,
234:22, 238:19
issuing [1] - 218:23
IT [1] - 77:13
item [5] - 106:22,
108:24, 110:1,
110:8, 166:5
items [1] - 166:13

J

itself [3] - 88:5,

161:21, 199:24

jail [1] - 21:12 **JERSEY** [2] - 1:1, 243:3 Jersey [42] - 1:24, 2:4, 8:10, 8:12, 8:13, 21:13, 22:20, 23:2, 23:10, 25:3, 25:6, 26:15, 27:11, 27:22, 28:3, 28:9, 28:16, 28:24, 35:3, 36:4, 36:8, 36:19, 37:12, 47:8, 51:2, 111:25, 112:4, 112:8, 117:13, 117:19, 118:7, 118:11, 127:15, 138:10, 143:21, 147:2, 171:8, 189:16, 198:20, 222:19, 225:6, 243:8 jigsaw [1] - 61:25 **Jim** [1] - 177:11 JKR [1] - 226:12 job [4] - 28:6, 34:11, 52:13, 206:19 jobs [2] - 210:16, 211:9 Joe [2] - 81:4, 81:6 John [4] - 203:19, 204:10, 204:11, 208:19 **JOHN** [1] - 1:10 join [1] - 203:12 joint [1] - 216:21 jointly [1] - 154:21 Jones [2] - 81:4, 81:6 judgment [1] - 231:24 Julia [3] - 167:6, 185:8, 203:4 July [2] - 122:16, 122:24

June [1] - 235:21

K

Kathleen [3] - 1:12,

243:7, 243:25 KATHY [1] - 1:22 kathybowecsr@aol. com [1] - 1:25 keep [7] - 41:22, 42:2, 42:7, 137:24, 176:20, 195:6, 199:3 kept [1] - 137:23 kind [3] - 52:14, 170:11, 204:20 KIRCHER [32] - 2:7, 14:2, 50:15, 52:11, 53:6, 53:23, 54:21, 55:2, 55:7, 61:24, 91:20, 91:23, 94:7, 96:1, 97:19, 97:23, 116:5, 122:15, 122:18, 122:24, 125:8, 127:8, 134:16, 134:19, 134:22, 157:19, 158:10, 230:24, 237:5, 238:7, 239:21, 242:16 Kircher's [1] - 55:4 knowing [2] - 96:15, 96:16 knowledge [14] - 25:4, 25:5, 25:8, 37:6, 54:2, 55:1, 56:9, 102:6, 105:13, 135:5, 135:24, 160:23, 162:9, 244:4 knowledgeable [2] -27:7, 28:14

L

known [3] - 16:18,

32:18, 143:10

land [2] - 118:4, 226:10 landlord [14] - 85:19, 86:1, 86:25, 87:8, 87:12, 118:19, 123:21, 124:1, 132:14, 136:13, 137:2, 177:17, 178:9, 178:21 language [2] - 151:11, 151:16 lapse [1] - 188:4 lapsed [1] - 191:9 large [2] - 20:14, 63:16

largest [1] - 24:6 last [15] - 58:15, 99:4, 107:13, 107:19, 150:18, 151:1, 152:13, 152:17, 160:1, 165:12, 197:25, 198:7, 198:21, 199:5, 211:2 lastly [1] - 230:15 late [5] - 144:3, 157:1, 164:22, 207:22, 207:23 lately [1] - 211:15 Law [24] - 11:22, 18:2, 19:1, 22:20, 23:3, 23:10, 25:3, 25:7, 27:12, 28:17, 28:24, 34:23, 35:3, 35:8, 35:12, 36:5, 36:9, 36:20, 39:1, 47:8, 106:20, 116:4, 189:16, 212:21 LAW [1] - 1:1 law [5] - 1:15, 36:21, 127:12, 213:16, 243:10 Laws [1] - 35:22 lawsuit [1] - 72:11 lawyer [5] - 32:17, 72:5, 116:7, 126:9, 241:25 lawyered [1] - 179:13 lawyers [11] - 6:22, 18:8, 18:11, 18:21, 27:3, 27:4, 28:22, 29:2, 29:4, 47:11, 80:17 leader [1] - 49:18 learn [1] - 156:15 learned [3] - 143:22, 144:12, 144:13 lease [63] - 10:24, 11:2, 11:5, 11:9, 11:11, 11:25, 12:4, 12:6, 12:10, 12:12, 12:18, 12:22, 12:24, 13:3, 13:6, 13:9, 13:11, 13:13, 13:17, 17:19, 19:15, 26:19, 33:1, 42:19, 44:12, 44:14, 44:17, 44:18, 44:21, 44:25, 45:5, 45:15, 45:23, 46:13, 81:16, 108:16, 108:19, 113:21, 118:5, 118:18, 119:8, 123:19, 124:2, 131:20,

134:5, 134:8, 134:17, 135:6, 135:8, 135:21, 136:2, 136:7, 136:11, 152:4, 153:15, 154:7, 154:11, 178:2 leases [1] - 27:19 least [9] - 30:20, 38:22, 91:12, 192:11, 192:24, 198:21, 231:25, 234:21, 237:18 leaving [1] - 24:10 Lebor [4] - 100:13, 122:19, 178:15, 178:23 Lebor-1 [1] - 98:20 Lebor-2 [6] - 11:16, 38:2, 105:21, 105:24, 116:20, 118:22 Lebor-3 [1] - 100:12 Lebor-7 [1] - 140:21 Lebor-8 [1] - 177:6 led [3] - 52:24, 205:8, 238:1 ledger [1] - 41:10 left [2] - 178:8, 178:21 Left [2] - 20:15, 31:24 legal [21] - 18:18, 18:22, 19:12, 26:3, 33:14, 35:24, 39:15, 39:22, 47:6, 47:10, 50:3, 50:22, 51:17, 52:9, 53:4, 54:19, 83:19, 167:22, 233:10. 234:9. 236:22 legalese [2] - 17:8, 134:6 legalistic [1] - 106:14 legalities [1] - 237:10 legally [1] - 46:17 legislation [4] - 35:23, 36:13. 37:16. 241:24 lend [1] - 168:7 lender [3] - 70:7, 70:9, 205:24 lenders [5] - 21:24, 48:25, 49:13, 96:24, 98:8 lent [1] - 168:15 less [12] - 4:21, 59:7, 93:25, 95:8, 113:14, 113:18, 114:11, 114:12, 114:14, 114:20, 235:24, 236:8

letter [57] - 88:4, 88:5,

92:5, 100:13, 101:3, 101:4, 102:7, 104:3, 122:18, 123:7, 123:16, 127:12, 141:3, 141:19, 141:23, 142:3, 142:11, 142:15, 142:19, 142:20, 142:23, 143:9, 143:11, 143:13, 143:18, 143:23, 143:25, 144:3, 144:4, 144:10, 144:15, 144:17, 144:22, 145:6, 145:7, 145:17, 145:24, 146:7, 157:14, 163:20, 175:14, 175:21, 191:4, 191:12, 191:13, 192:6, 195:24, 196:2, 196:5, 207:22, 218:14, 218:18, 218:23, 219:7, 221:16, 221:18, 221:22 letters [2] - 156:25, 163:9 letting [1] - 216:3 level [1] - 215:19 liability [1] - 117:14 Liability [1] - 8:11 liable [1] - 69:17 Liberty [16] - 1:15, 2:7, 203:9, 203:19, 205:12, 206:1, 208:17, 209:10, 209:19, 214:20, 215:11, 216:2, 216:21, 226:11, 226:21, 227:4 license [4] - 139:4, 139:10, 139:20, 171:10 License [2] - 1:14, 243:25 lieu [4] - 7:20, 17:24, 60:4, 91:1 lift [2] - 191:14, 205:24 lifted [1] - 191:25 light [2] - 233:11, 237:3 likely [4] - 106:5, 145:9, 167:3, 179:10 limit [5] - 37:1, 37:13, 37:19, 207:10 **limitation** [2] - 169:22, 170:16 **Limited** [2] - 8:10,

132:5, 132:9,

132:13, 132:16,

0.40
8:12
limited [8] - 70:2,
79:3, 80:25, 92:16,
111:25, 117:13,
135:10, 200:7
limits [2] - 35:14,
171:1
Line [1] - 244:8
line [4] - 166:5,
166:12, 191:4,
204:25
lines [2] - 92:21, 166:8
list [1] - 82:25
listed [3] - 148:1,
165:20, 244:6
listen [2] - 53:17,
105:12
literally [1] - 205:13
litigating [1] - 55:5
litigation [18] - 4:11,
23:16, 25:18, 26:10,
26:16, 27:12, 52:18,
53:2, 53:14, 53:19,
54:7, 54:12, 54:17,
54:25, 76:17, 144:5,
208:8, 236:9
live [1] - 240:21
LLC [13] - 1:8, 8:10,
9:11, 9:17, 14:24,
15:5, 15:6, 17:4,
24:21, 41:25,
117:13, 163:16,
175:9
LLP [3] - 2:2, 130:6,
130:17
loan [26] - 49:7, 64:16,
64:19, 64:22, 64:23,
64:25, 65:9, 66:7,
66:13, 66:18, 66:25,
67:2, 67:8, 67:14,
67:22, 68:5, 68:8,
69:4, 69:10, 69:17,
168:17, 168:18,
168:23, 174:3,
174:14
loans [17] - 22:7,
48:22, 65:14, 66:11,
66:12, 68:6, 68:15,
69:1, 69:2, 69:7,
70:5, 168:1, 168:3,
168:10, 168:12,
168:21, 174:3
local [3] - 18:13, 39:4,
60:7
located [2] - 40:18,
190:25
location [1] - 141:4
locked [1] - 82:23
Lockheed [1] - 191:20
Loft [2] - 72:20,
,

```
Lofts [66] - 72:12,
 72:13, 72:14, 72:19,
 72:20, 72:21, 72:24,
 72:25, 73:5, 73:10,
 73:12, 73:15, 74:3,
 74:14, 74:17, 75:13,
 75:17, 188:8, 188:9,
 188:21, 189:7,
 189:15, 190:5,
 190:8, 190:21,
 190:25, 191:8,
 193:10, 194:9,
 195:16, 196:7,
 196:13, 198:1,
 198:8, 198:10,
 199:7, 199:12,
 199:24, 200:2,
 200:8, 200:18,
 200:19, 201:1,
 201:8, 201:24,
 202:1, 202:10,
 202:15, 202:21,
 206:25, 208:6,
 215:17, 217:15,
 218:13, 218:16,
 218:23, 219:22,
 220:5. 221:2. 221:8.
 221:19, 222:1,
 225:1, 231:6, 231:8
long-term [8] - 17:14,
 17:15, 17:22, 18:1,
 28:23, 89:25, 102:8,
 234:18
Long-Term [34] -
 11:21, 18:2, 19:1,
 22:20, 23:3, 23:10,
 25:3, 25:7, 25:20,
 26:15, 27:11, 28:17,
 28:20, 28:24, 34:23,
 35:3, 35:8, 35:12,
 35:21, 36:5, 36:9,
 36:19, 37:12, 38:25,
 47:8, 82:16, 106:19,
 114:9, 116:3,
 117:19, 118:7,
 118:11, 189:16,
 212:21
longstanding [1] -
 172:10
look [32] - 10:22,
 11:24, 15:19, 15:21,
 31:25, 41:5, 41:8,
 43:10, 53:10, 74:10,
 81:3, 91:16, 100:16,
 108:17, 123:3,
 132:1, 145:23,
 149:13, 160:20,
 170:13, 172:23,
```

175:1, 175:5, 178:4,

195:16

```
182:15, 188:10,
 189:1, 189:23,
 198:18, 199:19,
 220:15
looked [7] - 101:17,
 128:20, 176:17,
 176:22, 186:21,
 187:1, 192:12
looking [10] - 13:22,
 62:8, 73:19, 93:8,
 157:7, 157:9, 169:6,
 175:6, 241:2
looks [3] - 100:22,
 108:2, 108:3
loosening [1] - 198:13
lose [1] - 47:25
losing [1] - 213:10
loss [1] - 232:5
lost [7] - 32:23,
 128:17, 208:7,
 213:9, 225:12,
 232:6, 232:10
low [1] - 205:17
lower [4] - 183:7,
 184:24, 208:23,
 219:11
LP [7] - 1:8, 41:25,
 45:1, 46:21, 154:21,
 161:4, 161:15
lunch [1] - 97:21
Luncheon [1] - 98:16
          M
```

mail [13] - 75:5, 75:20, 75:23, 76:4, 76:12, 76:14, 76:15, 76:23, 78:22, 132:1, 141:13, 143:19, 177:16 mailing [1] - 140:25 mails [9] - 75:15, 75:18, 76:2, 76:23, 77:5, 77:10, 77:15, 77:23, 175:5 main [2] - 170:7, 191:10 maintenance [6] -107:21, 119:17, 199:2, 199:14, 200:9, 230:13 major [3] - 203:3, 203:20, 207:6 majority [6] - 111:17, 112:16, 112:22, 112:25, 132:24, 133:1 manage [2] - 189:11, 220.4 management [21] -

14:9, 154:21, 162:20, 162:23, 166:10, 166:11, 166:14, 166:15, 166:20, 167:1, 167:3, 167:19, 167:21, 167:25, 189:5, 194:14, 195:9, 195:12, 195:15, 200:11, 232:12 manager [4] - 56:17, 56:19, 143:4, 208:20 managers [1] - 194:3 managing [1] - 166:24 manner [1] - 74:7 March [15] - 81:3, 131:16, 146:8, 164:8, 164:16, 164:18, 185:14, 185:17, 187:2, 233:7, 234:5, 235:11, 235:17, 235:18, 236:13 mark [3] - 149:17, 229:2 marked [28] - 7:22, 9:4, 10:21, 11:16, 32:21, 36:17, 38:2, 44:3, 94:13, 96:4, 98:20, 100:12, 102:1, 122:23, 131:21, 131:25, 136:7, 138:7, 140:20, 149:13, 157:24, 160:19, 175:4, 177:5, 188:10, 188:25, 229:7, 229:10 market [9] - 21:3, 21:20, 58:11, 183:6, 183:13, 183:15, 184:3, 184:6 Market [3] - 1:16, 2:8, 243:11 marketing [1] - 190:16 marketplace [1] -174:5 markets [1] - 233:24 marking [1] - 107:1 Marty [2] - 29:17, 72:4 master [2] - 204:4, 204:13 material [2] - 159:5, 180:16 Matt [1] - 175:14 matter [8] - 5:3, 7:7, 60:4, 128:14, 173:11, 210:23, 219:6, 243:22

Mayer [27] - 149:21, 149:22, 149:25, 150:14, 151:3, 151:10, 151:17, 151:19, 151:22, 152:1, 152:3, 152:8, 152:12, 152:20, 153:10, 153:25, 154:10. 155:1. 157:16, 158:22, 163:10, 164:2, 164:5, 186:16, 186:17, 187:1, 200:22 Mayor [3] - 240:13, 241:3, 242:2 mayor [3] - 21:10, 192:20 McCann [7] - 149:22, 149:23, 149:25, 151:4, 151:10, 152:21, 158:23 McCann's [1] - 153:10 McKernan [3] - 29:17, 31:10, 72:4 McNally [3] - 177:10, 177:11, 178:24 mean [24] - 6:2, 7:19, 19:12, 19:13, 50:10, 72:13, 79:6, 79:7, 83:17, 98:21, 101:13. 108:21. 112:3, 118:9, 139:9, 144:12, 181:10, 183:13, 186:20, 186:25, 193:16, 206:10, 209:23, 231:18 meaning [8] - 26:2, 115:24, 124:21, 139:14, 151:7, 167:9, 185:21, 226:8 means [5] - 108:22, 118:10, 178:19, 178:22, 179:1 meant [5] - 112:21, 132:24, 192:5, 236:17, 238:21 meantime [1] - 205:20 mechanical [1] -230:15 meet [13] - 89:8, 89:10, 89:16, 192:19, 193:5, 202:14, 202:23, 216:10, 217:15, 234:13. 237:18. 237:20, 238:18 meeting [17] - 52:5, 72:4, 78:23, 124:18,

65:16, 99:18,

29:12, 50:24, 50:25,

104:00 105:6
124:22, 125:6, 192:22, 204:10,
207:2, 208:10,
224:1, 224:16,
234:7, 236:18, 238:3, 238:11
meetings [16] - 20:22,
53:11, 78:11, 79:17,
80:11, 163:8, 208:1, 215:21, 221:24,
222:2, 222:4, 222:5,
234:5, 236:17,
239:3, 241:21
member [10] - 8:12,
15:8, 40:11, 50:4,
51:5, 51:14, 51:23,
52:14, 111:24, 116:3
member's [1] - 52:16
members [3] - 31:21, 31:23, 40:11
,
memo [16] - 87:21,
88:3, 88:12, 88:13,
88:17, 88:20, 90:6,
90:8, 90:10, 90:12,
90:15, 96:5, 97:4,
98:2, 157:16
memorialization [1] -
45:16
memorialized [3] -
168:10, 168:13,
173:21
memory [2] - 79:18,
89:8
mention [1] - 147:12
mentioned [10] - 19:25, 25:23, 30:1,
31:3, 47:11, 142:25,
193:9, 200:3, 202:22, 217:1
merged [2] - 150:8,
150:10
message [2] - 75:5, 76:8
met [11] - 4:7, 53:9,
78:10, 79:5, 79:6, 79:21, 81:4, 114:8,
127:12, 219:10,
234:21
MHN [2] - 177:14, 177:15
Michael [1] - 122:20
MICHAEL [1] - 2:3
Michaels [1] - 226:23
Michelle [11] - 29:16,
31:3, 78:4, 78:15,
123:8, 186:3,
186:13, 217:7,
222:23, 223:6,
235:19
mid [2] - 156:25,

```
185:14
middle [3] - 229:17,
 231:23, 231:24
might [2] - 46:1, 195:4
Milan [1] - 21:11
milk [1] - 206:14
million [58] - 43:20,
 47:2, 47:19, 48:7,
 48:13, 59:4, 59:8,
 62:17, 63:10, 65:4,
 65:7, 65:10, 65:11,
 65:23, 68:15, 82:3,
 85:8, 86:9, 86:11,
 86:12, 99:24, 99:25,
 107:15, 113:23,
 168:16, 172:8,
 172:14, 172:15,
 173:1, 180:13,
 181:4, 181:5,
 181:19, 182:11,
 182:12, 182:20,
 182:24, 183:1,
 183:2, 183:10,
 183:11, 183:20,
 183:21, 183:23,
 197:3, 197:4,
 198:23, 212:1,
 216:7, 230:3, 230:6,
 231:25, 232:3,
 232:16, 232:18
million-and-a-half [5]
 - 172:8, 172:14,
 172:15, 197:3, 197:4
millions [1] - 67:6
Milton [1] - 21:11
mind [5] - 46:5, 58:17,
 192:14, 208:23,
 216:22
minds [3] - 140:14,
 192:9, 192:11
mine [1] - 100:22
minor [1] - 4:21
minute [1] - 152:15
mirror [1] - 189:9
missing [1] - 105:2
mistake [2] - 131:19,
 135:12
MKD [1] - 3:11
model [1] - 190:16
modest [1] - 215:1
modified [2] - 135:22,
 136:3
moment [10] - 10:22,
 87:17, 98:25,
 100:15, 102:3,
 123:2, 140:21,
 145:23, 177:6,
 199:24
money [25] - 24:11,
```

47:25, 48:8, 48:15,

```
128:17, 168:6,
 168:7, 168:8, 178:8,
 178:21, 194:1,
 194:10, 208:18,
 213:7, 213:9,
 213:10, 214:25,
 215:6, 215:8,
 219:14, 219:16,
 232:9
monies [1] - 174:24
monthly [2] - 136:12,
 136:16
months [7] - 205:23,
 207:8, 207:21,
 233:3, 234:3,
 235:24, 235:25
Moran [3] - 240:13,
 241:4, 242:2
morning [1] - 4:7
mortgage [17] - 25:11,
 66:5, 66:19, 66:20,
 67:1, 67:19, 68:10,
 68:13, 68:16, 148:8,
 180:15, 180:19,
 181:2, 182:17,
 205:21, 205:22,
 205:24
most [7] - 70:21,
 73:19, 78:8, 150:4,
 150:8, 196:14,
 196:20
move [5] - 97:19,
 193:2, 198:10,
 198:12, 206:2
moved [1] - 133:16
MR [64] - 4:6, 14:2,
 14:4, 14:6, 50:15,
 50:16, 52:11, 53:6,
 53:23, 54:21, 55:2,
 55:3, 55:7, 55:9,
 55:12, 61:24, 69:20,
 91:20, 91:22, 91:23,
 94:7, 94:12, 96:1,
 96:2, 97:19, 97:22,
 97:23, 97:24, 98:1,
 98:18, 116:5,
 122:15, 122:17,
 122:18, 122:21,
 122:24, 123:1,
 125:8, 125:10,
 127:8, 127:9,
 134:16, 134:18,
 134:19, 134:20,
 134:22, 148:16,
 149:10, 157:19,
 158:10, 229:1,
 229:9, 230:24,
 230:25, 231:1,
 237:5, 237:6, 238:7,
```

```
238:8, 239:21,
 239:22, 242:15,
 242:16, 242:18
multi [1] - 146:18
multi-family [1] -
 146:18
multiple [6] - 42:6,
 125:21, 161:5,
 161:16, 161:24,
 171:14
multiplied [1] - 82:9
multiply [2] - 25:12,
 59:23
municipal [2] - 60:24,
 63:3
municipalities [2] -
 70:20, 70:22
municipality [6] -
 17:25, 50:22, 51:3,
 60:7, 119:19, 128:5
must [2] - 230:2,
 230:5
          Ν
```

```
name [12] - 4:8, 18:14,
 21:11, 30:1, 81:6,
 123:13, 127:17,
 139:11, 205:9,
 215:16, 215:25,
 242:6
named [1] - 224:17
namely [1] - 207:6
names [6] - 79:24,
 80:9, 80:23, 215:15,
 241:2, 242:6
nasty [1] - 224:20
National [1] - 61:14
nature [3] - 5:19,
 153:21. 175:13
nearly [1] - 21:17
necessarily [2] - 42:9,
 210:22
need [6] - 6:13, 6:15,
 36:3, 71:11, 164:5,
 229:2
needed [8] - 22:12,
 22:14, 23:1, 92:23,
 164:9, 164:19,
 201:11, 220:22
negative [2] - 22:3,
 141:25
negotiate [5] - 52:5,
 140:5, 170:24,
 179:9, 214:15
negotiated [9] - 17:19,
 18:9, 169:20, 170:5,
 170:19, 179:10,
 215:8, 217:13, 218:2
negotiating [11] -
```

51:18, 91:10, 139:12, 139:15, 140:8, 170:8, 179:6, 217:12 negotiations [6] -205:8, 210:5, 217:16, 217:18, 240:24. 241:11 negotiator [2] -217:21, 217:23 neighborhood [1] -74:2 net [25] - 19:10, 24:8, 25:23, 26:1, 26:18, 27:6, 27:9, 115:4, 119:4, 119:7, 119:10, 126:6, 126:20, 126:23, 127:24, 128:3, 150:20, 150:22, 151:11, 151:13, 159:1, 159:2, 160:1, 160:13, 162:5 netted [1] - 119:8 never [20] - 13:7, 23:17, 47:2, 47:19, 55:18, 55:22, 108:23, 119:7, 128:16, 143:11, 144:23, 189:21, 191:3, 207:19, 227:7, 227:14, 230:18, 237:20, 237:22, 237:24 NEW [2] - 1:1, 243:3 new [10] - 46:10, 68:5, 97:20, 175:24, 198:19, 210:17, 211:8, 211:16, 230:14, 232:11 New [42] - 1:24, 2:4, 8:10, 8:11, 8:13, 21:13, 22:20, 23:2, 23:10, 25:2, 25:6, 26:14, 27:11, 27:22, 28:3, 28:9, 28:16, 28:24, 35:3, 36:4, 36:8, 36:19, 37:12, 47:7, 51:2, 111:25, 112:3, 112:8, 117:13, 117:19, 118:7, 118:11, 127:15, 138:10, 143:21, 147:2, 171:8, 189:16, 198:20, 222:19, 225:6, 243:8 Newark [2] - 147:13, 147:15

newest [1] - 211:12 next [6] - 20:21, 74:4, 101:11, 147:17, 162:19, 190:14 NFI [1] - 226:23 nicer [1] - 211:9 Nipper [2] - 16:21, 16:25 NJDEP [1] - 198:16 **NO** [3] - 1:2, 3:3, 3:11 no-further [3] -218:14, 218:23, 219.7 **nobody** [4] - 209:21, 209:24, 217:22, 237:16 nominal [1] - 219:17 non [1] - 41:14 non-profit [1] - 41:14 none [4] - 21:6, 210:1, 233:23. 233:24 nook [1] - 17:12 Norcross [33] - 203:4, 204:6, 205:2, 207:15, 215:14, 215:20, 215:24, 216:5, 216:17, 216:24, 217:10, 218:1, 218:9, 218:20, 221:3, 221:10, 221:21, 222:18, 222:24, 223:12, 224:4, 224:13, 226:22, 227:8, 227:15, 232:24, 241:14, 241:15, 241:18, 241:19, 242:3, 242:9 Norcross' [2] - 241:5, 241.7 normal [3] - 77:19, 154:21, 230:20 normally [1] - 240:4 North [2] - 141:4, 143:21 Norton [7] - 88:16, 88:18, 89:2, 89:4, 89:8, 89:16, 89:21 Notary [3] - 1:14, 243:8, 243:25 nothing [8] - 46:10, 61:20, 63:1, 63:2, 124:8, 183:6, 207:8, 241:18 notice [9] - 24:14, 187:25, 196:11, 197:1, 197:20, 221:1, 221:4, 221:7, 221:16 notification [1] - 24:2

notified [2] - 233:3, 235:6 notify [1] - 156:24 November [3] - 1:17, 243:12, 244:3 nowhere [1] - 86:3 Number [1] - 12:22 number [31] - 41:1, 79:5, 81:16, 81:17, 81:20, 81:25, 82:2, 82:15, 82:19, 82:23, 83:9, 83:12, 84:18, 91:13, 96:22, 99:13, 99:23, 106:22, 110:17, 113:21, 116:23. 119:12. 120:10, 121:5, 121:14, 153:18, 153:19, 161:2, 205:17, 232:20 numbers [14] - 43:19, 81:11, 83:3, 83:14, 84:21, 84:22, 85:11, 86:16, 101:15, 113:10, 116:14, 137:17, 182:7, 232:5 Numeral [1] - 134:12 numerals [1] - 135:1 numerous [3] -199:17, 220:14, 238:13 **nuts** [1] - 19:14 nutshell [1] - 59:16

0

O'CONNOR [1] - 2:6

O'Connor [3] - 1:15,

145:21, 243:11

oath [2] - 7:3, 162:2 object [7] - 52:11, 53:23, 54:21, 91:20, 91:23, 116:5, 238:7 objection [5] - 6:20, 6:21, 53:6, 237:5, 239:21 obligation [13] -24:17, 24:20, 176:15, 180:20, 187:5, 197:14, 201:19, 203:7, 209:14, 227:20, 228:17, 233:10, 236:23 obligations [6] - 24:3, 24:15, 156:22, 174:18, 176:7, 231:5 obnoxious [1] -

205:10

obstinate [1] - 192:9

obtain [2] - 64:10, 64:13 obtained [1] - 219:14 obtaining [2] - 79:22, 164:1 obvious [1] - 228:18 obviously [1] - 148:9 occasions [2] - 4:17, 89.10 occupancy [4] -192:4, 196:21, 198:14, 219:18 occur [3] - 27:12, 136:13. 193:6 occurred [6] - 161:7, 161:18, 162:10, 162:16, 209:1, 211:1 occurrences [1] - 6:19 occurs [1] - 6:20 October [7] - 11:2, 12:11, 12:14, 12:19, 12:25, 135:22, 138:12 odds [1] - 240:15 **OF** [7] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 243:3, 243:5 offended [1] - 212:11 offer [2] - 174:12, 174:13 office [16] - 124:25, 142:22, 144:9, 175:14, 192:13, 201:12, 204:9, 204:10, 219:5, 219:10, 219:11, 219:17, 220:7, 220:8, 220:14, 220:16 Office [1] - 48:11 offices [2] - 1:15, 243:10 official [11] - 48:4, 48:5, 79:11, 88:10, 88:15. 88:23. 89:1. 89:2. 142:20. 222:19, 241:15 officials [16] - 26:24, 29:16, 30:19, 52:23, 52:24, 78:3, 78:17, 79:1, 79:21, 80:6, 80:10, 80:20, 198:17, 223:13, 224:17, 241:8 old [1] - 68:6 on-site [5] - 84:3, 84:4, 84:14, 143:3, 166:6 onboard [1] - 69:8 once [6] - 4:18, 14:3, 72:4, 119:6, 156:20,

235:6 one [70] - 15:13, 15:23, 16:21, 22:5, 24:6, 28:13, 29:21, 30:10, 40:11, 41:15, 41:16, 43:21, 47:1, 47:18, 48:6, 48:13, 54:16, 57:6, 58:5, 60:13. 61:3. 61:12. 64:7, 70:21, 70:22, 74:1, 78:5, 106:15, 107:24, 108:22, 108:25, 113:23, 121:5, 121:14, 122:13, 126:1, 129:25, 131:13, 137:25, 141:6, 145:5, 145:8, 153:18, 153:21, 157:20, 161:4, 161:13, 161:15, 161:23, 166:12, 169:9, 169:21, 170:1, 172:4, 174:12, 179:21, 180:1, 187:19, 187:20, 187:21, 200:1. 207:6. 207:20, 208:9, 209:2, 209:6, 209:19, 222:12, 240:18 One [2] - 1:15, 2:7 ones [7] - 28:5, 29:23, 41:23, 72:1, 75:19, 90:15, 130:24 onset [1] - 198:19 opened [1] - 134:2 operate [5] - 117:19, 118:7, 118:9, 240:3 operated [1] - 85:10 operating [15] - 63:6, 116:10, 117:7, 117:9, 117:23, 118:1, 118:14, 118:15, 124:8, 132:20, 150:20, 151:12, 159:1, 160:13, 187:21 operation [4] - 111:18, 112:17, 119:17, 154:22 operations [3] - 63:1, 107:21, 154:24 opine [2] - 116:7, 159:8 opinion [5] - 127:11, 150:20, 158:25, 215:2, 215:3

opportunity [3] - 10:1,

129:3, 225:12 Opportunity [1] -60:16 opposed [2] - 117:8, 140.11 option [3] - 74:10, 191:9. 231:5 order [4] - 32:10, 33:11, 34:2, 82:10 ordinary [1] - 79:22 organization [2] -15:23, 15:25 organizations [1] -41:14 original [2] - 46:8, 67:11 originally [2] - 14:19, 204:8 otherwise [5] - 71:1, 94:1, 95:2, 95:9, 243:23 ourselves [2] -142:14, 194:2 outlining [1] - 119:15 outs [1] - 69:14 outside [3] - 84:9, 84:11, 232:12 outstanding [7] -67:9, 67:22, 68:16, 68:24, 68:25, 174:18 overall [6] - 86:6, 110:18, 122:3, 128:16, 128:22, 211:7 overpaid [1] - 160:2 oversight [1] - 122:8 overwhelming [5] -111:17, 112:16, 112:22, 112:24, 117:6 own [13] - 73:24, 113:1, 118:4, 126:8, 180:3, 180:25, 184:22, 184:24, 193:25, 194:2, 207:25, 213:15, 223:8 owned [2] - 12:13, 15:6 owner [2] - 123:20, 234:18 owners [5] - 16:3, 57:17, 57:19, 59:10, 234:18 ownership [6] - 14:23, 14:25, 15:4, 15:9, 57:14, 230:20 owns [3] - 15:12, 15:16, 15:22

P&L [2] - 62:9, 173:7 **p.m** [3] - 98:17, 242:20 package [1] - 179:19 Page [40] - 8:3, 8:4, 9:6, 9:9, 12:3, 45:9, 45:14, 93:8, 97:4, 108:6, 111:13, 111:22, 112:13, 113:17, 116:16, 117:11, 119:11, 119:24, 120:10, 121:14, 132:16, 133:21, 133:23, 134:10, 134:17, 138:25, 139:1, 157:25, 158:3, 158:4, 158:9, 159:24, 163:22, 188:12, 189:3, 189:24, 229:20, 230:23, 231:19, 244:8 PAGE [2] - 3:3, 3:11 page [40] - 8:7, 8:19, 33:7, 33:8, 34:21, 44:14, 73:8, 81:14, 90:8, 94:13, 96:4, 96:6, 99:4, 100:19, 101:3, 105:25, 109:23, 109:24, 110:6, 110:7, 113:19, 116:22, 123:12, 129:15, 129:17, 133:25, 150:17, 152:13, 152:17, 152:23, 153:8, 155:13, 158:25, 161:1, 165:12, 165:15, 231:21, 231:22, 231:23, 231:24 pages [3] - 36:20, 106:17, 229:17 Paglione [1] - 175:22 paid [26] - 47:20, 48:8, 48:15, 63:3, 66:22, 67:23, 67:24, 67:25, 68:5, 68:9, 68:19, 69:2, 69:4, 107:16, 119:7, 148:25, 165:22, 168:8, 168:17, 171:25, 172:6, 194:14, 194:16, 194:17, 199:16, 234:12 Paragraph [1] -111:15

10:3, 10:4, 12:3, 34:22, 35:1, 45:10, 45:12, 45:14, 91:17, 91:22, 93:9, 99:4, 101:5, 101:11, 107:13, 107:19, 111:2, 111:23, 112:23, 117:12, 123:16, 132:17, 133:5, 134:21, 150:18, 151:1, 154:5, 158:9, 158:11, 158:24, 159:10, 159:11, 161:2, 178:5, 229:21, 230:1, 231:2, 231:19, 231:21 paragraphs [1] - 92:8 parent [1] - 128:20 parentheses [1] parking [6] - 190:15, 199:15, 199:16, 199:23, 200:3, 200:4 part [22] - 52:16, 54:25, 59:19, 64:2, 68:3, 68:21, 88:19, 109:16, 126:18, 130:10, 144:4, 146:20, 146:22, 150:13, 164:1, 165:19, 169:24, 189:15, 205:22, 220:10, 235:8 participate [7] - 22:1, 57:12, 133:6, 204:22, 204:23, 225:6, 227:10 participation [2] -100:6, 227:16 particular [8] - 18:3, 19:3, 20:1, 37:23, 79:25, 125:23, 135:6, 166:25 particulars [5] - 35:11, 36:4, 36:8, 36:11, 37:17 parties [9] - 18:3, 33:10, 44:6, 45:23, 118:25, 126:5, 126:19, 172:11, 243:20 partner [13] - 8:14, 15:12, 57:11, 62:7, 62:8, 177:12, 204:15, 227:2,

227:17, 227:20,

227:24, 228:11,

228:18

partners [3] - 216:1, 216:22, 226:23 partnership [5] -99:10, 111:25, 204:12, 204:22, 205:1 Partnership [1] - 8:12 parts [1] - 39:11 party [5] - 4:23, 11:5, 44:16, 46:14, 54:7 pass [1] - 105:8 passed [1] - 133:3 past [2] - 32:8, 110:8 path [1] - 73:25 patient [1] - 121:22 pattern [1] - 169:24 pay [11] - 82:6, 101:7, 137:3, 184:7, 184:23, 194:21, 198:22, 205:7, 212:7, 212:15, 224:23 paying [6] - 24:8, 96:18, 211:10, 211:13, 211:19, 212:6 payment [37] - 7:20, 17:24, 19:9, 19:24, 22:8, 22:16, 24:8, 26:5, 26:25, 27:5, 29:10, 35:19, 42:25, 47:3, 48:16, 60:4, 81:22, 83:7, 90:20, 92:1, 92:6, 92:16, 95:6, 95:16, 115:2, 115:11, 119:4, 126:6, 126:20, 127:7. 127:25. 128:4, 136:12, 148:23, 178:7, 178:20, 180:14 payments [12] - 27:20, 41:11, 60:25, 62:12, 119:18, 119:19, 147:17, 148:8, 167:23, 167:24, 175:23, 176:2 peace [1] - 129:4 peep [1] - 236:1 pending [3] - 6:16, 69:23, 195:21 Pennsylvania [4] -1:17, 2:8, 179:16, 243:12 people [34] - 16:20, 16:21, 29:11, 29:17, 48:18, 49:16, 73:20, 78:13, 78:20, 79:20, 80:3, 80:15, 84:13, 141:8, 142:13,

143:24, 144:8, 145:7, 145:8, 145:17, 170:10, 177:23, 179:13, 202:23, 206:21, 207:14, 214:7, 221:24, 232:11, 234:6, 240:6, 240:9, 240:20, 241:2 per [6] - 42:25, 88:14, 114:20, 148:24, 162:19, 211:13 percent [44] - 15:7, 15:13, 25:12, 45:11, 46:6, 46:7, 59:21, 59:23, 62:5, 81:19, 82:14, 85:8, 85:9, 85:21, 86:12, 86:13, 93:18, 93:25, 94:1, 95:2, 95:3, 95:8, 95:10, 101:22, 112:25, 113:4, 113:5, 113:6, 113:7, 113:8, 132:20, 133:2, 135:9, 137:12, 137:13, 137:16, 137:18, 162:6, 162:7, 166:17, 166:18, 196:15, 227:2, 227:17 percentage [14] -15:13, 25:10, 25:13, 43:15, 43:18, 44:4, 44:7, 44:8, 84:25, 85:1, 85:2, 86:10, 137:16, 137:19 percentages [1] -116:15 perform [1] - 151:18 performance [1] -184:18 performed [2] - 191:2, 198:1 performing [1] -150:15 perhaps [3] - 15:13, 16:2, 240:10 period [26] - 19:9, 55:16, 55:21, 75:21, 75:23, 76:3, 76:7, 77:11, 78:20, 79:2, 79:12, 80:4, 89:17, 93:1, 93:10, 93:16, 93:24, 94:19, 95:6, 141:15, 176:4, 194:19, 212:16, 225:22, 232:25, 236:24 permanent [3] - 66:19,

68:10, 68:12 permission [3] -170:10, 171:9, 171:11 permit [2] - 20:1, 20:3 permitted [2] - 35:22, 47:7 Perno [2] - 222:3, 223:15 Perno's [2] - 222:6, 222:10 person [18] - 29:14, 30:18, 52:3, 52:25, 77:13, 77:16, 89:7, 102:18, 102:20, 103:10. 140:7. 170:7, 210:14, 210:15, 210:19, 224:16, 240:8 person's [1] - 217:25 personal [6] - 66:10, 69:6, 69:11, 70:2, 70:8, 75:6 personally [9] - 69:9, 69:17, 79:6, 80:20, 124:17, 143:17, 181:19, 182:3, 182:4 personnel [1] - 166:6 persons [2] - 167:13, 240:10 persuaded [1] - 53:9 pertain [1] - 60:7 pertained [1] - 201:14 pertaining [1] - 104:13 perused [1] - 17:7 Phil [22] - 203:3, 207:15, 208:1, 215:19, 217:12, 218:1, 218:9, 218:20, 219:1, 221:10, 221:21, 221:25, 222:3, 222:18, 222:23, 224:4, 224:16, 232:23, 241:12, 241:14, 241:19 Philadelphia [13] -1:17, 2:8, 20:12, 20:15, 21:2, 50:10, 50:11, 51:1, 70:21, 96:16, 169:18, 204:11, 243:12 Philadelphia-based [1] - 20:12 PHILIP [1] - 2:7 Phillip [4] - 216:24, 217:10, 223:12, 224:13 phone [10] - 23:19, 186:3, 192:22,

paragraph [41] - 9:9,

193:5, 203:18,
205:11, 205:13,
206:24, 224:25,
238:13
phrase [1] - 61:17
pick [3] - 41:10, 81:3,
134:7
picture [1] - 21:25
PIDC [2] - 50:11, 51:1
piece [2] - 36:13,
37:16
pike [1] - 186:4
pile [1] - 105:22
PILOT [87] - 7:11,
7:15, 7:18, 7:21,
7.13, 7.10, 7.21,
7:24, 8:23, 9:2, 11:9,
14:14, 16:13, 16:23,
17:3, 17:10, 18:7,
19:6, 19:14, 19:15,
22:17, 22:18, 24:1,
26:20, 32:4, 32:10,
32:15, 32:20, 32:25,
33:3, 33:19, 33:25,
34:3, 36:24, 37:5,
38:16, 41:21, 42:1,
42:18, 42:20, 43:8,
43:10, 43:20, 44:6,
46:3, 46:8, 48:15,
55:24, 56:5, 57:1,
62:11, 81:8, 81:22,
83:7, 84:16, 85:16,
90:1, 91:19, 92:25,
100:24, 101:7,
124:15, 124:18,
125:2, 125:6, 126:3,
129:13, 147:1,
147:4, 147:6, 147:7,
147:9, 147:14,
147:24, 148:18,
151:23, 174:23,
175:2, 175:12,
185:12, 185:24,
189:19, 207:18,
213:17, 235:6,
235:14, 237:2,
237:21, 238:15
placate [1] - 227:3
place [10] - 51:19,
108:4, 148:3, 148:4,
148:11, 148:13,
148:14, 148:17,
177:17, 206:13
Place [2] - 1:16, 2:7
placed [3] - 61:14,
66:19, 68:10
Places [1] - 61:15
Plaintiffs [2] - 1:5, 2:5
Plaintiffs' [2] - 3:15,
229:6
plan [16] - 119:12,

```
119:15, 120:4,
 120:16, 120:20,
 120:25, 121:8,
 121:10, 121:12,
 201:10, 203:21,
 204:4, 219:21,
 220:4, 220:7
planned [1] - 232:13
planners [1] - 226:10
planning [4] - 79:17,
 79:22, 80:10, 80:22
plans [4] - 190:12,
 198:23, 220:15,
 226:13
plate [2] - 17:8, 106:9
play [2] - 31:16,
 150:13
playing [1] - 232:9
pleased [2] - 203:9
plus [4] - 25:11, 90:21,
 179:17, 183:1
PNC [6] - 64:20, 65:8,
 68:7, 68:9, 68:25,
 69:2
point [38] - 13:10,
 27:15, 28:5, 29:14,
 31:4, 45:20, 46:1,
 52:3, 52:25, 55:8,
 61:8, 62:18, 66:22,
 85:12, 90:17, 98:5,
 98:6, 98:9, 98:12,
 99:15, 102:18,
 103:10, 121:3,
 128:15, 131:1,
 150:15, 156:4,
 164:7, 177:4,
 189:21, 198:23,
 202:16, 204:19,
 207:23, 213:14,
 215:14, 228:7,
 229:16
pointed [1] - 230:9
policy [1] - 77:22
political [1] - 21:14
politician [1] - 241:25
Port [3] - 56:24, 64:23,
 67:21
portfolio [2] - 146:17,
 179:24
Portion [2] - 3:12,
 229:3
portion [1] - 229:11
position [10] - 13:25,
 14:17, 30:2, 31:5,
 31:7, 31:10, 153:7,
 189:11, 212:12,
 217:25
positions [2] - 14:10,
 14:18
```

positive [1] - 166:13

```
positively [1] - 115:25
possession [1] -
 153:10
possibilities [1] -
 20:25
possibility [1] -
 192:12
possible [1] - 231:17
possibly [2] - 103:9,
 124:24
post [4] - 192:4,
 196:21, 198:13,
 219:18
post-occupancy [1] -
 192:4
postdate [1] - 11:13
postdates [3] - 11:9,
 11:12, 13:3
potential [2] - 175:8,
 220:15
potentially [1] - 173:6
practically [1] -
 128:17
practice [9] - 24:5,
 28:8, 77:3, 77:19,
 77:21, 96:16,
 136:20, 138:3, 239:5
preliminary [2] -
 13:10, 96:20
preparation [3] - 38:3,
 38:18, 39:7
prepare [3] - 38:8,
 176:10, 219:21
prepared [9] - 38:17,
 38:24, 107:24,
 128:11, 130:24,
 131:3, 154:11,
 185:6, 200:19
prepares [1] - 200:20
preparing [1] - 39:5
present [7] - 13:25,
 145:20, 190:24,
 220:21, 220:22,
 225:23, 226:6
presentation [5] -
 125:2, 125:5, 125:8,
 125:17, 125:23
presentations [1] -
 125:21
presented [1] - 159:4
presently [3] - 57:23,
 58:1. 195:2
president [2] - 30:5,
 102:12
pressed [1] - 212:4
pressure [19] -
 209:10, 210:8,
 210:11, 210:20,
 214:2, 214:7,
 214:10, 214:12,
```

```
215:7, 215:10,
 215:11, 215:12,
 215:13, 215:24,
 216:5, 216:8,
 216:16, 216:22,
 217:11
presupposes [1] -
 105:7
pretty [10] - 21:16,
 45:14, 67:12,
 103:11, 103:15,
 125:18, 125:20,
 167:18, 205:9,
 209:24
prevent [2] - 180:7,
 202:14
prevented [1] - 191:18
previously [15] - 13:5,
 25:23, 25:25, 42:5,
 45:18, 47:12, 76:2,
 122:5, 161:3, 161:7,
 161:18, 162:1,
 162:10, 162:16,
 187:1
price [12] - 35:19,
 179:11, 180:12,
 182:7, 182:14,
 182:16, 183:6,
 183:7, 183:13,
 183:15, 208:23,
 217:13
principal [1] - 18:15
principles [1] - 129:10
print [1] - 243:16
private [2] - 22:10,
 48:22
privy [1] - 163:6
pro [31] - 50:21, 62:8,
 62:13, 85:13, 86:16,
 96:20, 101:16,
 101:22, 108:4,
 108:13, 108:15,
 108:18, 109:10,
 109:16, 109:20,
 114:10, 120:18,
 120:21, 122:6,
 122:9, 122:11,
 123:10, 124:10,
 184:17, 184:19,
 184:22, 185:2,
 185:4, 185:6, 226:13
problem [2] - 129:3,
 208:6
problems [1] - 234:4
proceed [4] - 6:23,
 48:20, 96:7, 97:6
proceeded [1] - 204:5
proceeding [2] - 21:7,
 202:14
proceedings [1] - 7:6
```

```
process [6] - 5:7, 5:9,
 7:1, 31:17, 79:16,
 148:3
processed [1] -
 167:25
produce [3] - 119:10,
 155:24, 190:12
produced [3] - 122:16,
 131:7, 163:14
product [1] - 220:17
Professional [2] -
 1:13, 1:23
profit [9] - 25:14,
 41:14, 47:1, 47:23,
 49:20. 114:24.
 160:16, 176:1,
 178:25
profits [51] - 19:2,
 19:7, 19:10, 20:2,
 20:4, 24:8, 25:2,
 25:6, 25:10, 25:23,
 26:1, 26:4, 26:9,
 26:14, 26:18, 26:21,
 27:6, 27:9, 27:10,
 35:13, 35:14, 37:1,
 37:4, 37:14, 37:19,
 42:24, 46:18, 46:22,
 46:24, 47:18, 47:20,
 48:6, 48:13, 48:14,
 119:4, 119:7,
 119:10, 126:6,
 126:20, 126:25,
 127:7, 127:24,
 128:4, 128:9,
 128:14, 128:16,
 128:22, 177:17,
 211:20, 213:10,
 232:6
program [4] - 60:10,
 90:1, 189:17, 198:20
programs [1] - 60:18
Project [8] - 48:20,
 57:1, 86:1, 157:5,
 160:11, 174:1,
 225:2, 240:16
project [117] - 9:13,
 16:15, 25:9, 25:13,
 25:15, 25:16, 28:13,
 31:19, 32:4, 42:6,
 49:5, 49:8, 52:25,
 56:19, 57:3, 57:12,
 57:21, 58:24, 59:22,
 59:25, 62:12, 62:14,
 64:5, 64:11, 65:15,
 82:22, 85:13, 85:18,
 86:6, 86:18, 90:22,
 93:17, 96:7, 96:8,
 97:6, 97:7, 106:23,
 107:9, 108:16,
 109:5, 109:8,
```

117:1, 117:5,

206:25, 208:6,

100:15 110:14
109:15, 110:14,
110:17, 110:18,
110:24, 111:6, 111:11, 111:19,
112:18, 116:17,
117:3, 119:15,
120:13, 120:15,
120:20, 120:25,
121:2, 121:4,
121:12, 121:13,
121:15, 121:18,
121:24, 121:25,
122:2, 122:3, 122:9,
123:17, 123:25,
124:5, 124:10,
124:12, 128:16, 128:23, 136:22,
154:22, 158:6,
158:18, 160:10,
169:13, 189:5,
189:15 190:25
189:15, 190:25, 193:11, 194:2,
194:13, 194:22,
194:13, 194:22,
195:9, 195:12,
195:15, 198:10,
198:11, 198:12,
200:2, 200:11,
201:8, 205:4,
205:19, 208:22,
211:17, 216:4,
218:13, 218:17,
219:12, 219:21,
220:4, 222:1, 225:1,
225:14, 225:23,
226:7, 226:8,
226:16, 240:20
projected [4] - 43:25,
44:1, 85:14, 117:2
projection [2] -
107:20, 109:4
projects [11] - 20:13,
58:7, 58:11, 96:15,
125:22, 125:24,
211:12, 211:16,
217:3, 225:5, 226:4
promote [1] - 209:15
properly [1] - 103:19
properties [24] -
14:19, 14:20, 70:24, 76:25, 77:11, 78:7,
79:13, 80:7, 89:17,
96:21, 146:18,
166:23, 179:14,
179:16, 179:19,
179:21, 180:1,
180:2, 180:8,
187:13, 187:20,
187:21, 212:8
PROPERTIES [1] - 1:9

```
Properties [22] - 3:14,
 14:1, 14:7, 14:21,
 41:1, 56:18, 139:5,
 148:4, 150:5, 150:7,
 166:3, 166:7,
 167:10, 172:17,
 181:8, 188:20,
 190:3, 191:6, 196:7,
 226:9. 229:5. 229:13
property [70] - 12:13,
 42:14, 42:16, 59:19,
 60:21, 61:16, 61:21,
 62:6, 64:2, 64:22,
 64:24, 66:20, 66:22,
 66:23, 66:24, 67:19,
 68:20, 85:10, 95:10,
 96:25, 104:13,
 108:5, 118:10,
 123:20, 132:17,
 140:8, 142:21,
 143:4, 146:19,
 147:13, 153:5,
 166:2, 166:5,
 166:24, 167:11,
 168:7, 168:15,
 169:10, 169:14,
 174:5, 174:6, 180:1,
 180:5, 181:16,
 187:19, 187:20,
 188:21, 189:7,
 189:12, 190:14,
 190:19, 191:8,
 191:15, 191:17,
 192:2, 196:13,
 198:24, 198:25,
 201:12, 202:1,
 202:21, 207:9,
 212:5, 213:8,
 213:11, 216:19,
 230:3, 230:4, 232:9
Property [9] - 203:9,
 203:19, 205:12,
 206:1, 208:17,
 214:20, 216:2,
 226:11, 227:4
propose [1] - 220:3
proposed [6] - 38:6,
 96:7, 97:6, 106:24,
 174:19, 174:25
prosperity [1] - 210:17
protect [1] - 169:15
protected [1] - 61:13
protection [1] - 140:6
prove [1] - 141:25
proven [1] - 155:14
provide [33] - 9:11,
 9:18, 10:5, 17:16,
 17:22, 24:2, 24:3,
 24:14, 24:15, 24:21,
```

39:6, 58:10, 107:4,

```
119:14, 120:9,
 127:7, 155:9,
 155:11, 155:21,
 164:24, 168:24,
 176:7, 176:15,
 187:24, 191:21,
 193:22, 194:7,
 197:16, 220:19,
 233:10, 236:24
provided [31] - 13:17,
 17:23, 18:9, 18:17,
 18:21, 19:1, 23:6,
 27:5, 64:15, 110:11,
 139:14, 151:3,
 151:19, 151:23,
 152:4, 152:8,
 152:20, 153:25,
 155:1, 155:3,
 155:16, 155:19,
 155:23, 156:16,
 163:4, 175:19,
 185:2, 185:23,
 193:19, 233:12,
 237:3
provides [5] - 120:20,
 120:25, 136:11,
 138:8, 166:11
providing [2] - 153:4,
 235:5
provision [1] - 37:18
provisions [4] - 11:21,
 127:6, 127:23,
 148:19
Public [3] - 1:14,
 243:8, 243:25
public [6] - 9:14, 10:9,
 157:6, 158:7,
 158:18, 159:15
pull [1] - 145:16
punt [1] - 28:1
purchase [3] - 74:11,
 180:12, 242:4
purchased [3] - 63:22,
 64:1, 190:14
purchases [1] - 57:10
purchasing [1] - 60:2
purpose [4] - 38:12,
 58:6, 189:7, 189:9
purposes [2] - 112:4,
 112:10
pursuant [8] - 12:4,
 22:19, 37:2, 38:25,
 113:11, 119:20,
 123:19, 212:20
pushing [1] - 98:21
put [29] - 17:17, 18:7,
 61:25, 64:22, 64:23,
 71:5, 109:17,
```

```
189:10, 190:17,
 194:1, 199:23,
 206:17, 207:16,
 208:2, 215:14,
 215:18, 216:17,
 217:2, 217:10,
 222:24, 222:25,
 223:17, 223:22,
 224:1, 232:24,
 234:19, 239:12
putting [3] - 19:13,
 90:20, 225:1
puzzle [1] - 62:1
          Q
Q-1 [1] - 162:20
qualified [5] - 9:24,
 59:18, 59:22, 62:23,
 63:4
quality [1] - 74:7
quarter [1] - 162:21
quasi [5] - 30:11, 50:8,
 50:12, 50:19, 51:3
questioning [1] - 80:1
questions [13] - 6:25,
 25:19, 52:15, 71:1,
 140:13, 223:2,
 223:4, 223:7,
 237:24, 237:25,
 239:3, 239:6, 242:16
quick [1] - 149:13
quickly [2] - 186:15,
 192:12
quite [6] - 56:14,
 78:12, 95:24,
 171:11, 208:18,
 232:8
quote [1] - 231:12
```

R

Radio [54] - 72:13, 72:14, 72:19, 72:21, 72:24, 74:3, 74:13, 188:8, 188:21, 189:7, 189:15, 190:5, 190:8, 190:21, 190:25, 191:7, 193:10, 195:16, 196:7, 196:13, 198:1, 198:8, 198:10, 199:7, 199:12, 199:24, 200:2, 200:8, 200:18, 200:19, 200:25, 201:8, 201:24, 202:1, 202:10, 202:15, 202:21,

215:17, 217:15, 218:13, 218:16, 218:23, 219:22, 220:5, 221:2, 221:8, 221:19, 222:1, 225:1, 231:5, 231:8 radio [3] - 233:1, 234:8. 235:25 raise [2] - 95:19, 186:5 range [7] - 65:2, 65:3, 99:24, 99:25, 183:21, 183:24, 198:3 ratable [1] - 154:23 rate [4] - 21:3, 58:11, 160:16, 175:25 rather [2] - 62:20, 105:23 ratified [1] - 50:20 ratio [1] - 86:14 rationale [2] - 22:16, 37:7 reach [2] - 98:23, 218:8 reached [3] - 27:5, 39:10, 95:25 reaching [1] - 21:24 read [50] - 17:4, 24:16, 36:20, 44:10, 46:6, 69:24, 87:18, 87:21, 87:23, 87:24, 92:6, 93:12, 94:10, 94:15, 96:9, 98:25, 101:9, 105:11, 106:3, 106:6, 106:10, 106:13, 106:16, 111:2, 111:20, 112:14, 119:21, 123:22, 126:13, 134:3, 134:23, 140:22, 144:15, 145:24, 150:23, 152:15, 154:24, 158:8, 158:12, 161:9, 175:7, 177:6, 178:9, 180:24, 188:16, 223:7, 223:23, 229:21, 244:1 readily [1] - 5:22 reading [4] - 94:4, 94:8, 150:24, 229:24 reads [1] - 161:2 real [27] - 14:8, 14:22, 22:15, 27:20, 28:7, 35:20, 42:5, 48:1, 48:23, 54:6, 60:5, 60:6, 60:24, 79:25,

131:15, 186:18,

91:2, 92:10, 95:19,

139:19, 139:23,

140:3, 140:5,

198:5, 244:5

reconstruct [1] -

82:17

194:25

174:20, 197:2,

recommended [1] -

81:2, 94:7, 142:1,

142:12, 142:14,

142:23, 143:14,

144:24, 145:1,

148:15, 149:11,

recorded [2] - 5:12,

124:14, 137:23,

137:25, 138:1,

161:5, 161:16,

161:24, 194:23,

200:15

30:18

203:21

94:22

20:20

111 - 1:5

redevelop [3] -

188:21, 202:9,

redeveloper [4] -

redeveloping [1] -

56:1, 56:6, 70:20,

138:18, 190:3,

221:8, 221:17

37:21, 111:5,

132:19, 134:13,

162:21

210:7

5:18

134:23, 136:20,
198:24, 199:15,
190.24, 199.10,
210:12, 210:21,
211:10, 236:7, 238:2, 239:11
reality [1] - 137:17
realized [1] - 131:14
realizing [1] - 178:25
really [25] - 13:19,
22:16, 44:23, 46:10,
87:1, 97:2, 104:11,
122:1, 126:9,
135:19, 135:25,
152:6, 152:11,
156:18, 163:6,
164:13, 174:12,
178:21, 204:19,
204:23, 208:21,
228:14, 229:15,
234:19, 238:2
reason [12] - 9:20,
9:25, 11:10, 12:15,
26:17, 27:6, 34:8,
49:6, 161:21, 188:2,
191:10
rebatable [2] - 178:7,
178:18
receive [10] - 56:3,
141:13, 142:11,
143:12, 145:1,
166:1, 166:3, 166:7,
168:2, 181:25
received [31] - 23:17,
35:25, 59:6, 59:9,
62:5, 63:10, 93:19,
94:2, 94:22, 95:13,
111:19, 112:18,
141:19, 141:23,
142:4, 142:6,
142:21, 143:5,
143:9, 144:1,
144:10, 144:23,
144:25, 167:23,
168:1, 172:14,
176:1, 218:18,
221:1, 221:6, 221:16
receiving [4] - 19:3,
142:12, 142:15,
196:2
Recess [4] - 55:11,
98:16, 149:9, 228:25
reciting [1] - 127:10
recognition [2] -
154:6, 154:19
recognize [4] - 11:17,
149:14, 149:22,
160:21
recollect [2] - 34:5,
99:20
recollection [14] -

```
64:18, 71:23, 87:5,
 99:8, 132:23, 136:6,
record [20] - 5:19, 6:3,
 6:21, 40:15, 40:17,
 40:18, 40:19, 41:5,
records [20] - 13:12,
 13:16, 22:4, 40:20,
 41:22, 42:3, 42:10,
 195:1, 195:2, 195:7,
recruited [2] - 20:16,
recruiting [1] - 20:18
 30:11, 93:19, 94:2,
REDEVELOPMENT
redevelopment [9] -
 71:6, 190:2, 190:4,
 190:8, 190:21, 191:7
Redevelopment [10] -
 4:10, 31:14, 70:14,
 71:8, 71:17, 71:22,
reduced [1] - 243:16
redundant [1] - 42:11
refer [6] - 61:5, 71:2,
 72:19, 99:3, 107:15,
reference [7] - 34:22,
```

```
138:8, 154:6
                             113:23, 114:21,
referenced [1] - 88:19
                            207:3, 218:20
references [4] - 88:16,
                           regards [1] - 195:16
 89:1, 153:13, 153:15
                           Register [1] - 61:15
referred [4] - 34:3,
                           Registered [2] - 1:13,
 64:5, 72:11, 121:17
referring [16] - 9:3,
                           regrettable [1] - 208:7
 29:10, 33:22, 33:25,
                           regularly [1] - 75:3
 41:23, 59:12, 84:1,
                           regulations [1] -
 85:21, 92:1, 94:16,
                            201:13
 108:14, 124:3,
                           rehab [3] - 20:15,
 130:13, 153:14,
                            31:25, 62:23
 177:25, 180:19
                           reimbursement [1] -
refers [7] - 12:3,
                            137:20
 45:10, 99:5, 107:14,
                           Reinvestment [1] -
 120:12, 122:2, 139:7
                            64:21
refinance [2] - 66:24,
                           rejuvenation [1] -
 174:5
                            240:17
refinanced [3] - 66:21,
                           related [15] - 9:13,
 66:23, 68:20
                             16:7, 41:6, 45:25,
reflect [2] - 84:25,
                            65:25, 77:11, 136:2,
 100:5
                             147:10, 157:4,
reflected [3] - 113:17,
                             158:6, 158:17,
 173:2, 173:14
                             167:24, 190:4,
reflects [2] - 167:1,
                             198:20, 243:19
 188:20
                           Related [26] - 57:6,
refresh [3] - 99:8,
                            57:7, 57:15, 57:17,
 132:23, 198:4
                            57:20, 57:23, 58:2,
refreshed [1] - 89:8
                            58:3, 58:5, 58:12,
                             58:15, 58:16, 58:19,
refusal [12] - 170:2,
 172:3, 172:7,
                             58:23, 59:1, 59:6,
 172:20, 173:11,
                            62:4, 63:12, 64:3,
 173:20, 213:22,
                            99:5, 99:9, 99:15,
 214:17, 215:23,
                             100:3, 107:16,
 216:19, 227:22,
                             107:17
 228:4
                           relates [1] - 37:18
regard [28] - 19:2,
                           relationship [9] -
 32:3, 55:14, 67:21,
                            39:16, 39:23, 40:2,
 72:8, 74:20, 76:12,
                            49:25, 58:13, 58:14,
 77:4, 77:22, 78:2,
                             115:14, 140:16,
                             167:7
 78:7, 98:2, 126:19,
 153:22, 185:2,
                           relationships [1] -
 185:12, 187:10,
                            101:20
 189:6, 190:7,
                           relatively [1] - 106:8
 193:15, 194:13,
                           released [1] - 171:19
 196:7, 199:6,
                           releases [1] - 155:22
 199:12, 221:2,
                           releasing [1] - 171:25
 224:8, 235:5, 242:9
                           relevant [4] - 37:6,
regarding [21] - 73:11,
                            87:1, 87:2, 154:15
 73:15, 74:16, 75:12,
                           relied [4] - 18:18,
 75:16, 76:24, 77:10,
                             18:22, 36:14, 52:21
 79:13, 80:6, 87:7,
                           relinquish [1] - 214:25
 89:11, 89:16, 121:9,
                           rely [2] - 106:14, 156:7
 133:7, 160:10,
                           relying [4] - 39:4,
 160:16, 218:2,
                            222:6, 222:10, 224:8
 221:8, 221:19,
                           remained [2] - 196:18,
 224:12, 227:16
                            196:20
regardless [10] -
                           remaining [2] - 92:17,
 28:22, 46:25, 47:17,
                             197:21
 48:5, 48:12, 94:20,
                           remediated [1] -
```

231:15 remediation [21] -56:11, 56:21, 189:12, 190:11, 191:3, 192:4, 193:20, 193:23, 194:8, 196:12, 196:24, 197:17, 197:23. 197:25. 198:7, 198:14, 201:8, 201:9, 201:18, 201:19, 220:24 remember [45] - 4:22, 15:14, 15:17, 15:18, 15:25, 28:1, 29:23, 31:12, 31:20, 31:22, 34:14, 49:3, 52:4, 53:11, 65:2, 65:7, 65:19, 72:1, 72:4, 75:19, 79:24, 80:9, 80:10, 80:13, 80:21, 80:23, 82:18, 92:21, 103:9, 104:23, 105:20, 124:19, 125:17, 125:22, 139:25, 164:21, 170:9, 171:18, 181:11, 182:6, 185:5, 192:20, 203:18, 205:11, 222:16 remind [1] - 6:2 remiss [5] - 10:15, 23:21, 23:23, 128:7, 146:14 Renewal [62] - 3:13, 7:13, 8:1, 8:10, 9:10, 9:17, 10:4, 10:9, 10:12, 10:25, 11:19, 14:15, 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 16:14, 17:4, 19:7, 20:4, 24:21, 25:25, 32:22, 33:5, 33:9, 41:24, 44:19, 45:1, 45:24, 46:18, 86:7, 107:9, 115:2, 115:18, 116:11, 117:13, 117:17, 117:22, 118:13, 118:20, 120:15, 120:23, 121:9, 122:3, 123:21, 128:23, 130:2, 130:20, 131:4, 131:7, 131:12, 141:1, 141:14, 141:24, 142:10, 143:20, 144:9, 152:10, 163:15,

475.0 000.4
175:9, 229:4,
229:11, 230:2
RENEWAL [1] - 1:7
renovating [1] - 96:14
renovation [1] - 26:6
rent [4] - 101:8,
136:12, 178:7,
178:19
repaid [3] - 66:14,
66:16, 66:17
repayment [1] -
168:18
repayments [3] - 168:1, 168:2, 168:23
repeat [1] - 69:20
rephrase [1] - 39:20
replaced [1] - 69:3
report [8] - 149:6,
157:4, 158:5,
158:17, 160:12,
165:13, 165:15,
176:10
reported [4] - 5:22,
161:3, 161:14
Reporter [2] - 1:13,
1:14
reporter [4] - 5:12,
5:18, 5:22, 69:24
REPORTERS [1] -
1:22
1:22 Reporters [2] - 1:22,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:18,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:18, 186:20, 186:22,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:50,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9, 36:18, 55:15
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:18, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9, 36:18, 55:15 representation [2] - 90:25, 124:24
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9, 36:18, 55:15 representation [2] - 90:25, 124:24 request [7] - 120:2,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9, 36:18, 55:15 representation [2] - 90:25, 124:24 request [7] - 120:2, 131:16, 155:21,
Reporters [2] - 1:22, 1:23 reporting [6] - 127:23, 147:25, 148:5, 149:1, 149:4 reports [34] - 9:11, 9:18, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 24:3, 24:15, 24:22, 128:6, 128:10, 128:12, 131:15, 146:4, 146:15, 148:10, 148:11, 149:2, 155:25, 157:2, 176:8, 176:13, 176:15, 176:25, 177:4, 185:23, 186:15, 186:20, 186:22, 186:25, 207:3, 213:5, 213:20, 224:24 represent [3] - 4:9, 36:18, 55:15 representation [2] - 90:25, 124:24 request [7] - 120:2,

```
requested [5] -
 120:10, 123:9,
 207:2, 228:11,
 228:16
requesting [1] -
 106:19
requests [1] - 144:17
require [1] - 147:18
required [18] - 7:4,
 10:4, 23:9, 24:1,
 38:5, 71:25, 119:1,
 129:9, 131:15,
 146:4, 185:24,
 192:3, 193:24,
 196:22, 197:8,
 219:11, 230:14
requirement [7] -
 9:10, 149:1, 149:5,
 159:19, 197:10,
 197:18, 234:10
requirements [4] -
 18:3, 114:9, 148:1,
 148:6
requires [4] - 6:7,
 24:13, 159:11,
 197:16
requiring [1] - 127:23
requisite [2] - 96:8,
 97:7
research [1] - 63:25
reserves [3] - 107:22,
 109:15, 119:19
residential [14] -
 169:14, 170:2,
 191:18, 193:1,
 198:11, 201:12,
 201:14, 203:6,
 204:2, 204:4,
 219:19, 225:9,
 225:14, 227:22
resolution [3] - 32:12,
 32:15, 46:12
respect [2] - 95:9,
 224:2
respects [1] - 159:5
respond [1] - 236:8
response [18] - 5:25,
 107:4, 111:6, 120:1,
 120:4, 121:4,
 121:19, 141:21,
 144:21, 155:23,
 186:11, 233:2,
 236:5, 236:16,
 236:17, 236:19,
 237:11, 237:12
responses [2] - 5:19,
 22.4
responsibilities [1] -
responsibility [1] -
```

```
150:19
responsible [5] -
 69:15, 70:6, 107:8,
 107:10, 233:15
responsive [1] -
 120:12
restate [3] - 69:19,
 80:8, 158:15
restated [4] - 163:21,
 164:5, 164:16,
 164:19
restaurant [2] - 21:20,
 206:15
restaurants [1] -
 233:23
restrict [1] - 71:4
restriction [5] -
 169:25, 191:15,
 191:17, 191:22,
 191:25
result [2] - 19:24,
 219:18
resulted [2] - 32:4,
 81:19
retain [1] - 77:20
retained [3] - 77:12,
 149:25, 150:1
retention [2] - 77:5,
 77:17
return [7] - 99:10,
 192:22, 193:5,
 202:24, 206:24,
 223:14, 224:25
returned [1] - 206:7
revenue [18] - 42:23,
 43:16, 44:4, 46:9,
 86:17, 93:19, 93:22,
 94:2, 94:22, 95:11,
 95:13, 114:22,
 115:5, 119:16,
 137:15, 147:16,
 154:5, 154:7
revenues [11] - 42:8,
 43:3, 43:6, 45:6,
 107:20, 109:5,
 109:11, 115:3,
 119:8, 126:24,
 166:19
reverse [1] - 233:20
review [4] - 90:11,
 102:3, 155:10, 176:6
reviewed [4] - 108:22,
 118:22, 157:13,
 175:17
reviews [1] - 153:4
revised [3] - 131:18,
 135:9, 164:1
revision [1] - 135:5
revisions [2] - 133:7,
 133:8
```

revolutionary [1] -46:11 right-hand [1] - 81:11 rights [12] - 203:6, 203:7, 203:8, 204:2, 205:6, 205:16, 206:3, 214:25, 217:17, 225:9, 227:20, 228:2 risk [2] - 169:12, 206:11 risky [1] - 66:12 River [3] - 56:23, 64:23, 67:21 river [1] - 169:24 Robert [2] - 203:20, 226:10 role [7] - 30:20, 31:16, 32:3, 38:2, 150:13, 163:25, 190:7 Roman [2] - 134:12, 135:1 Rome [23] - 18:13, 29:4, 35:25, 38:14, 38:23, 38:24, 39:10, 39:13, 47:13, 72:2, 76:19, 78:9, 88:7, 88:20, 90:11, 90:20, 90:24, 91:8, 91:18, 100:14, 102:22, 103:9, 123:7 Rome's [1] - 104:6 roof [1] - 230:14 roofing [1] - 230:13 rooting [1] - 210:18 rough [2] - 101:23, 232:19 roughly [3] - 85:7, 212:2, 212:3 round [2] - 182:7, 232:5 routes [1] - 191:25 row [3] - 165:16, 165:18. 165:19 rows [1] - 166:9 **RPR** [2] - 243:7, 243:25 runs [1] - 140:12 S safe [2] - 137:16, 199:4 safety [1] - 199:18 sale [17] - 51:1, 174:7,

safeguards [1] - 22:13 175:1, 175:9, 179:7, 179:15, 180:7, 181:17, 184:5, 185:16, 208:7,

214:16, 215:22, 217:9, 232:6, 234:24, 235:7 satisfied [1] - 187:4 satisfies [1] - 159:19 save [1] - 207:23 saw [3] - 14:14, 132:4, 163:23 SBV [1] - 175:9 scaffolding [1] - 230:9 scaling [2] - 92:10, schedule [12] - 43:13, 46:2. 46:3. 46:4. 107:23, 114:20, 119:15, 120:22, 121:7. 159:24. 163:22, 200:25 **Schwartz** [1] - 123:14 scope [1] - 70:25 scratch [1] - 206:18 screamed [1] - 205:14 searched [2] - 76:13, 76:23 second [20] - 90:8, 91:16, 91:22, 93:23, 94:21, 95:5, 99:4, 105:25, 107:13, 109:24, 110:7, 111:16, 117:15, 121:22, 123:12, 123:16, 131:13, 154:5, 161:1 section [3] - 9:15, 135:6, 178:5 Section [1] - 133:9 secure [11] - 20:4, 21:15, 21:22, 22:7, 22:11, 26:5, 48:22, 82:20, 96:22, 191:11, 231:14 securing [1] - 22:17 securitized [1] - 67:2 security [1] - 67:1 see [53] - 8:5, 8:21, 9:15, 20:19, 21:25, 34:23, 34:25, 44:7, 80:14, 81:13, 81:15, 83:24, 90:9, 101:12, 102:13, 107:23, 109:20, 110:2, 110:19, 110:24, 111:4, 111:9, 111:23, 117:14, 119:11, 120:8, 121:7, 121:24, 122:6, 128:21, 132:21, 134:3, 134:24, 140:24,

151:2, 153:23,

454.0.450.04
154:8, 158:24,
160:23, 165:16,
170:14, 173:8,
177:9, 177:20,
203:9, 203:10,
204:19, 211:16,
216:20, 227:4,
231:2, 232:1, 240:20
seeing [2] - 108:21,
144:4
seeking [6] - 17:10,
30:21, 47:23,
211:25, 235:14
sell [15] - 146:17,
173:25, 174:6,
184:8, 205:16,
208:14, 209:3,
209:6, 209:20,
214:19, 216:6,
216:17, 217:11,
228:5, 228:20
seller [3] - 181:15,
184:7
send [1] - 184:17
sent [25] - 13:13,
76:18, 88:5, 88:7,
88:9, 88:14, 88:20,
88:22, 88:25, 90:12,
103:3, 104:10,
104:12, 137:4,
142:22, 143:14,
143:19, 144:24,
145:7, 145:9,
145:17, 164:15,
164:17, 196:10,
197:20
sentence [9] - 96:5,
101:5, 111:16,
112:14, 117:16,
150:18, 151:1,
161:20, 162:19
separate [19] - 14:23,
41:22, 42:2, 42:7,
41.22, 42.2, 42.7, 42:10, 42:13, 60:11,
72:16, 72:18,
109:18, 109:19,
129:20, 131:2,
136:19, 137:22,
137:24, 172:4,
180:4, 200:25
September [7] - 131.9,
156:14, 156:17,
157:1, 164:21,
180:13
seriously [2] - 238:22,
239:1
service [9] - 37:21,
91:1, 137:3, 137:5,
150:21, 151:13,
159:2, 160:14

```
services [6] - 21:19,
 150:14, 167:21,
 167:22, 195:9
set [21] - 19:8, 20:10,
 26:20, 86:3, 90:14,
 93:21, 100:2, 109:3,
 115:23, 116:1,
 137:25, 148:6,
 157:2, 161:4,
 161:15, 161:23,
 162:6, 168:14,
 175:5, 190:17,
 227:21
sets [2] - 109:14,
 147:16
seven [1] - 43:22
several [8] - 31:21,
 63:25, 89:12, 89:19,
 194:19, 199:17,
 222:4, 238:14
severe [1] - 21:23
shake [1] - 5:20
shakedown [1] -
 204:20
shall [5] - 93:11,
 93:16, 93:24, 94:15,
 95.6
shape [1] - 210:4
shaped [1] - 169:23
share [3] - 154:23,
 182:8, 203:8
shared [1] - 154:21
shares [4] - 182:1,
 182:9, 183:12,
 183:15
Sheehan [12] - 18:14,
 18:15, 27:18, 27:23,
 29:4, 35:25, 38:14,
 38:17, 47:13, 47:14,
 72:2, 174:21
Sheehan's [2] -
 124:24, 175:14
sheet [2] - 66:3, 82:24
sheets [2] - 195:13,
 195:14
Ship [1] - 1:24
shoot [1] - 209:22
shooting [1] - 209:25
short [4] - 55:13,
 106:8, 202:17,
 228:24
shortly [1] - 146:7
show [21] - 7:22,
 10:20, 11:15, 22:4,
 31:24, 36:17, 40:21,
 98:19, 102:1,
 122:23, 124:14,
 131:24, 138:6,
 140:20, 149:12,
 160:18, 175:4,
```

```
177:5, 188:9,
 188:24, 188:25
showed [2] - 12:21,
 213:7
showing [1] - 100:11
showroom [1] -
 190:18
shows [1] - 159:25
shred [1] - 207:20
shying [1] - 96:24
side [3] - 21:25,
 175:21, 225:2
sides [1] - 216:23
sign [6] - 45:21,
 126:15, 136:4,
 140:8, 191:19,
 191:21
sign-off [2] - 191:19,
 191:21
signal [1] - 238:21
signature [11] - 8:7,
 33:7, 106:1, 129:15,
 188:13, 188:15,
 189:3, 189:4,
 189:24, 189:25
signatures [1] - 8:5
signed [32] - 8:9, 8:16,
 12:15, 14:15, 17:5,
 18:6, 23:14, 35:6,
 35:15, 36:7, 36:16,
 36:24, 44:6, 57:2,
 94:11, 106:11,
 106:12, 113:3,
 123:13, 126:11,
 126:13, 132:12,
 134:17, 135:21,
 173:17, 181:13,
 181:17, 185:17,
 188:17, 212:13,
 212:15, 212:17
significant [3] -
 125:18, 125:20,
 186:13
signing [1] - 136:6
silence [3] - 233:1,
 234:8, 235:25
sills [1] - 230:11
similar [2] - 32:1,
 189:13
simple [1] - 222:9
simply [2] - 136:13,
 142:23
single [10] - 64:7,
 74:1, 103:23,
 208:10, 218:21,
 230:12, 233:2,
 236:1, 239:8, 240:7
sit [8] - 34:16, 36:23,
 87:5, 127:19,
 162:11, 162:14,
```

```
206:24, 207:5
site [8] - 79:7, 84:3,
 84:4, 84:14, 107:5,
 143:3, 166:6, 190:22
sitting [2] - 14:3,
 80:11
situation [3] - 204:21,
 205:15, 240:19
six [17] - 43:22, 93:8,
 93:25, 95:6, 99:22,
 178:5, 179:16,
 179:18, 179:21,
 180:2, 205:22,
 207:8, 207:20,
 230:3, 230:6, 233:3,
 234:3
size [4] - 20:16, 32:1,
 101:18, 101:19
skirted [1] - 201:13
skyline [1] - 169:18
slightly [2] - 59:3,
 59:7
small [3] - 15:12,
 194:16, 196:19
so-called [1] - 207:18
so.. [1] - 171:1
sold [11] - 99:15,
 187:13, 208:22,
 209:10, 213:24,
 214:2, 214:4,
 227:25, 228:1,
 228:3, 239:15
sole [5] - 8:12, 111:24,
 140:6, 140:7, 140:17
solely [3] - 32:18,
 222:6, 222:10
someone [4] - 143:3,
 150:11, 178:11,
 234:11
someplace [2] - 16:6,
 108:2
sometime [7] - 67:16,
 232:25, 233:5,
 233:7, 235:11,
 236:13, 239:13
sometimes [3] - 73:5,
 214:12, 214:14
somewhere [4] - 81:2,
 149:19, 183:22,
 198:3
soon [1] - 158:1
sophisticated [3] -
 49:16, 49:18, 184:21
sorry [34] - 12:2,
 15:20, 32:23, 54:5,
 61:4, 71:9, 82:5,
 83:10, 87:11, 89:14,
 105:2, 105:10,
 108:11, 108:19,
 109:24, 112:9,
```

```
116:22, 128:2,
 129:2, 133:20,
 149:19, 152:2,
 162:13, 169:1,
 180:22, 180:24,
 186:24, 194:5,
 203:13, 220:2,
 223:3, 225:20,
 227:13, 230:24
sort [2] - 106:15,
 213:12
sounds [3] - 99:12,
 182:9, 182:14
Spahr [1] - 238:14
speaking [7] - 32:24,
 74:20, 83:20, 109:6,
 153:13, 171:7,
 231:13
speaks [1] - 161:20
Spearman [15] -
 29:16, 29:17, 31:4,
 31:7, 78:4, 78:15,
 123:8, 186:3,
 186:14, 217:8,
 222:23, 223:4,
 223:7, 223:16,
 235:19
Spearman's [1] -
 224:7
specific [22] - 19:9,
 27:23, 28:2, 28:5,
 28:12, 29:23, 40:24,
 43:19, 45:14, 48:18,
 54:6, 58:6, 58:17,
 70:4, 70:5, 75:19,
 84:20, 127:6,
 127:17, 226:1,
 226:3, 242:6
specifically [13] -
 31:23, 38:19, 43:7,
 92:21, 97:10,
 116:12, 139:22,
 139:25, 144:17,
 149:3, 215:13,
 216:16, 222:12
specifications [1] -
 198:24
specifics [1] - 28:15
specified [3] - 42:21,
 170:16, 173:16
specify [1] - 110:14
speculate [2] - 139:8,
 139.17
speculating [2] - 53:8,
 139:16
spend [2] - 59:17,
 204:24
spent [11] - 193:9,
 193:15, 193:16,
 194:7, 194:10,
```

194:21, 194:24,
195:7, 198:22,
200:12, 232:8
split [4] - 85:19, 86:1,
86:3, 86:24
spoken [4] - 21:6,
98:8, 143:3, 148:22
spring [1] - 187:23
SS [1] - 243:4
• •
staff [6] - 84:24,
141:10, 141:13,
195:12, 232:10,
232:11
stage [10] - 53:25,
- · ·
54:1, 93:7, 93:10,
93:15, 93:23, 94:20,
94:21, 95:5
stages [1] - 94:17
stalemate [1] - 201:20
stall [1] - 207:9
stand [3] - 51:3, 74:1,
179:22
standard [5] - 138:3,
166:19, 166:23,
193:3, 198:14
standards [1] - 219:11
standing [2] - 169:16,
210:16
stands [2] - 126:8,
242:12
start [9] - 43:4, 54:12,
89:15, 150:6, 188:7,
199:21, 206:17,
221:14, 236:9
•
started [14] - 20:18,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12,
started [14] - 20:18,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17, 112:15, 123:24,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17, 112:15, 123:24, 129:25, 130:2,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17, 112:15, 123:24, 129:25, 130:2, 130:6, 130:10,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17, 112:15, 123:24, 129:25, 130:2, 130:6, 130:10, 130:11, 130:12,
started [14] - 20:18, 26:10, 27:13, 56:12, 82:1, 82:18, 85:14, 140:5, 150:9, 203:11, 206:12, 209:17, 224:21, 226:8 starters [2] - 157:9, 240:13 starting [3] - 71:24, 185:17, 199:22 state [4] - 12:20, 107:7, 112:15, 119:6 STATE [1] - 243:3 State [7] - 21:13, 21:18, 27:21, 28:3, 89:6, 90:3, 243:8 State's [1] - 90:5 statement [30] - 96:12, 96:13, 97:4, 97:12, 101:13, 101:17, 112:15, 123:24, 129:25, 130:2, 130:6, 130:10,

```
131:10, 145:14,
 161:8, 161:13,
 162:15, 163:21,
 164:2, 164:15,
 164:16, 164:18,
 200:18, 200:20,
 232:24, 239:12
statements [31] - 23:6,
 128:21. 129:6.
 129:20, 130:8,
 130:14, 130:16,
 130:19, 131:3,
 131:8, 131:18,
 147:19, 150:3,
 150:20, 151:11,
 153:4, 155:11,
 158:25, 160:13,
 161:4, 161:15,
 163:16, 164:8,
 164:23, 164:25,
 173:3, 175:18,
 186:7, 233:13, 237:4
states [13] - 22:22,
 96:6, 97:5, 101:6,
 101:11, 107:19,
 111:15, 117:12,
 123:17, 139:3,
 150:19, 158:11,
 162:19
stating [1] - 129:19
status [3] - 51:15,
 67:8, 175:22
statute [13] - 17:9,
 17:12, 17:14, 17:16,
 17:22, 18:1, 37:2,
 37:18, 37:22, 37:24,
 127:10, 127:11,
 127:12
Statute [1] - 37:12
stay [1] - 216:15
STENOGRAPHIC [1] -
stenographically [2] -
 5:18, 243:15
step [2] - 5:13, 231:18
steps [4] - 185:19,
 219:20, 220:3,
 220:20
Stern [2] - 203:20,
 226:10
still [10] - 67:9, 68:16,
 69:9, 98:7, 172:24,
 196:24, 201:9,
 201:18, 218:22,
 219:13
stock [11] - 180:14,
 181:22. 182:5.
 182:6, 182:14,
 182:15, 183:4,
 183:18, 183:22
```

```
stonewalled [1] -
 207:21
stonewalling [1] -
 237:15
stood [1] - 209:21
stop [2] - 62:1, 216:13
straightforward [2] -
 97:2, 103:16
stranger [1] - 217:18
Street [6] - 1:16, 1:23,
 2:8, 141:4, 143:21,
 243:11
streets [1] - 141:8
strike [8] - 4:15, 43:4,
 57:18, 127:21,
 185:10, 213:15,
 219:3, 225:17
Strong [1] - 226:24
structure [8] - 14:23,
 14:25, 15:4, 15:9,
 26:20, 51:15,
 114:21, 190:13
structures [1] - 210:17
struggling [1] - 54:1
stuck [1] - 192:1
study [1] - 36:12
stunning [2] - 206:23,
 208:3
stymie [2] - 192:16,
 209:12
stymied [3] - 191:23,
 192:14, 240:3
subject [4] - 17:13,
 55:18, 55:22, 231:11
subjective [1] - 112:24
submission [3] -
 102:7, 102:23,
 213:20
submit [13] - 9:22,
 10:12, 23:19,
 148:10, 148:11,
 158:11, 159:12,
 176:13, 176:25,
 186:6, 186:14,
 213:5, 224:24
submitted [14] - 13:7,
 44:23, 104:12,
 106:4, 106:7,
 128:20, 131:8,
 131:11, 131:14,
 149:2, 156:6,
 156:21, 186:19,
 187:1
submitting [11] -
 10:15, 23:22, 103:6,
 103:17, 104:4,
 104:19, 104:22,
 104:24, 105:4,
 105:16, 128:8
subparagraph [1] -
```

9:9 subparagraphs [1] -153:19 subsequent [1] -198:15 substantial [5] - 40:9, 116:3, 132:24, 132:25, 230:15 successful [3] -20:13, 21:3, 73:24 successor [1] -191:19 sudden [1] - 206:7 sued [1] - 235:21 sufficient [1] - 201:7 suggested [1] -198:17 **suggesting** [2] - 91:4, 91:5 **suit** [2] - 236:2, 236:5 Suite [3] - 1:16, 2:8, 243:11 **sum** [3] - 214:24, 215:5, 215:8 summer [1] - 13:10 **SUPERIOR** [1] - 1:1 supplied [2] - 38:4, 78:24 supply [1] - 170:15 support [9] - 21:19, 51:21, 90:23, 96:12, 125:2, 141:22, 142:3, 238:4, 238:23 supporting [1] - 97:11 supposed [1] - 18:4 supposedly [1] -207:19 supposition [1] -238:6 surmise [1] - 75:18 surveyors [1] - 190:12 swing [1] - 209:16 switched [1] - 116:21 sworn [3] - 4:2, 222:8, 243:13 Т table [3] - 24:11, 98:22 **TAKEN** [1] - 1:12

table [3] - 24:11, 98:22 TAKEN [1] - 1:12 takeover [1] - 21:12 TAMBUSSI [34] - 2:3, 4:6, 14:4, 14:6, 50:16, 55:3, 55:9, 55:12, 69:20, 91:22, 94:12, 96:2, 97:22, 97:24, 98:1, 98:18, 122:17, 122:21, 123:1, 125:10, 127:9, 134:18,

134:20, 148:16, 149:10, 229:1, 229:9, 230:25, 231:1, 237:6, 238:8, 239:22, 242:15, 242:18 Tambussi [6] - 3:5, 4:8, 116:22, 125:12, 163:12. 166:4 task [1] - 151:9 tax [71] - 17:24, 22:8, 22:15, 27:20, 48:2, 48:23, 57:11, 57:12, 58:7, 58:8, 59:10, 59:11, 59:13, 59:15, 59:19, 59:20, 59:24, 60:2, 60:6, 60:9, 60:10, 60:12, 60:16, 60:17, 60:18, 60:25, 61:1, 61:3, 61:5, 61:6, 61:7, 61:8, 61:9, 61:11, 61:16, 61:17, 61:18, 62:6, 62:12, 62:14, 62:19, 62:22, 63:4, 63:8, 63:13, 63:17, 63:18, 63:22, 64:1, 89:25, 92:12, 96:6, 96:17, 97:5, 99:10, 102:8, 147:16, 175:25, 176:2, 184:12, 198:19, 198:25, 206:12, 211:18, 226:14, 226:16 Tax [26] - 11:21, 18:2, 19:1, 22:20, 23:3, 23:10, 25:3, 25:7, 26:15, 27:11, 28:17, 28:24, 34:23, 35:3, 35:8, 35:12, 35:22, 36:5, 36:9, 36:19, 38:25, 47:8, 106:20, 116:3, 189:16, 212:21 taxes [25] - 7:20, 35:20, 60:4, 60:6, 60:7, 60:13, 60:20,

taxes [25] - 7:20, 35:20, 60:4, 60:6, 60:7, 60:13, 60:20, 60:23, 61:20, 63:3, 63:5, 63:6, 82:7, 91:2, 92:11, 94:1, 95:2, 95:4, 95:9, 96:18, 178:7, 178:20, 199:15, 211:10, 234:13 taxpayers [2] - 24:6, 207:6

technique [2] - 27:1, 27:16 telephone [1] - 75:5

telephone [1] - 75:5 ten [11] - 5:1, 20:24,

58:21, 59:3, 59:7,
66:20, 67:14, 96:17,
147:17, 153:6, 174:3
ten-year [3] - 66:20,
67:14, 174:3
tenant [9] - 85:19,
86:2, 86:25, 87:13,
118:17, 118:18,
123:19, 132:13,
136:12
Term [34] - 11:21,
18:2, 19:1, 22:20,
23:3, 23:10, 25:3,
25:7, 25:20, 26:15,
27:11, 28:17, 28:20,
28:24, 34:23, 35:3,
35:8, 35:12, 35:21,
36:5, 36:9, 36:19,
37:12, 38:25, 47:8,
82:16, 106:19,
114:9, 116:3,
117:19, 118:7,
118:11, 189:16,
212:21
term [18] - 7:15, 17:14,
17:15, 17:22, 18:1,
28:23, 89:25, 91:17,
91:18, 93:18, 93:24,
95:6, 102:8, 110:5,
110:12, 112:24,
117:10, 234:18
terminated [2] - 187:8,
207:10
terminating [1] - 196:5
termination [10] -
187:25, 188:3,
196:11, 196:25,
197:20, 201:25,
221:1, 221:4, 221:7,
221:16
terms [19] - 17:13,
23:2, 28:23, 35:8,
89:25, 90:14, 90:18,
100:2, 100:6, 175:1,
175:11, 179:7,
179:9, 179:10,
180:10, 180:12,
180:16, 213:2,
213:16
Terri [1] - 175:21
testified [3] - 222:3,
testified [3] - 222:3, 222:12, 223:17 testimony [11] -
testified [3] - 222:3, 222:12, 223:17 testimony [11] - 113:11, 217:4,
testified [3] - 222:3, 222:12, 223:17 testimony [11] -
testified [3] - 222:3, 222:12, 223:17 testimony [11] - 113:11, 217:4, 222:7, 222:8, 222:10, 223:5,
testified [3] - 222:3, 222:12, 223:17 testimony [11] - 113:11, 217:4, 222:7, 222:8,

```
testing [3] - 192:4,
 196:21, 219:18
text [4] - 75:5, 76:8,
 76:10, 76:11
THE [1] - 1:4
themselves [3] -
 58:11, 128:24,
 179.12
there'll [1] - 232:20
thereafter [1] - 92:17
therefore [3] - 13:6,
 111:16, 177:3
thick [1] - 105:23
thinking [2] - 133:2,
 237:10
third [3] - 94:21, 96:4,
 158:24
Thomas [5] - 90:11,
 102:12, 104:4,
 105:4, 105:16
thousand [1] - 194:20
thread [1] - 140:13
threatened [1] -
 209:22
three [31] - 8:16,
 43:21, 44:6, 50:1,
 51:10, 65:7, 85:8,
 86:9, 86:11, 94:6,
 110:24, 122:1,
 129:11, 129:14,
 129:16, 129:21,
 141:6, 166:17,
 166:18, 180:1,
 181:4, 181:5,
 181:18, 182:24,
 183:1, 204:3, 211:2,
 226:23, 235:24,
 235:25, 236:15
threshold [5] - 82:19,
 83:10, 83:12, 91:13,
 236:20
throughout [1] - 27:21
tickler [1] - 148:7
timing [3] - 174:11,
 232:22, 238:17
title [5] - 56:16,
 170:15, 171:8,
 189:14, 231:15
titled [1] - 33:4
today [21] - 15:2, 30:2,
 34:16, 36:23, 54:2,
 54:17, 54:24, 67:8,
 78:18, 87:6, 88:1,
 122:12, 127:19,
 131:2, 131:5,
 132:18, 161:23,
 162:2, 162:11,
 162:14, 191:9
today's [1] - 4:14
together [5] - 19:13,
```

```
62:1, 131:15,
                             174:23, 192:8,
 186:18, 190:17
                            204:25, 220:8, 225:8
Tom [31] - 20:17, 21:5,
                           tries [3] - 175:22,
 29:13, 30:1, 49:17,
 52:19, 53:2, 53:9,
 53:20, 54:18, 55:20,
 73:10, 73:14, 73:20,
 74:9, 74:13, 74:23,
 76:24. 77:10. 88:7.
 88:11, 88:18, 88:21,
 103:3, 104:11,
 104:18, 104:22,
 169:20, 170:6,
 170:20, 170:23
Tom's [2] - 52:21,
 171:1
tongue [1] - 160:8
took [9] - 30:20,
 55:13, 68:23, 82:6,
 140:15, 205:22,
 206:11, 229:16,
 240:14
top [6] - 8:20, 64:17,
 111:23, 134:10,
 149:1, 165:18
total [8] - 25:16,
 43:23, 59:22, 85:5,
 86:11, 117:2,
 154:23, 160:10
totally [2] - 61:1, 98:24
touch [2] - 22:10,
 96:24
tours [1] - 78:11
track [1] - 34:12
trains [1] - 234:7
transaction [4] -
 99:19, 103:11,
 179:4, 186:2
TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:4
transcript [2] - 7:4,
 244:2
transcription [2] -
 243:17, 243:18
transfer [10] - 146:21,
 185:13, 233:4,
 233:11, 235:6,
 235:14, 236:25,
 237:2, 238:25
transmittal [1] - 163:9
treated [6] - 113:19,
 114:2, 114:5,
 114:13, 114:17,
 208:4
treatment [2] - 207:9,
 207:13
tremendous [3] -
 214:2, 214:7, 234:20
Trenton [1] - 226:14
trials [1] - 21:10
tried [6] - 101:19,
```

```
175:23, 176:2
trip [3] - 5:2, 31:20,
 31:22
true [7] - 7:4, 7:8,
 36:6, 161:8, 161:19,
 243:18, 244:3
Trust [9] - 203:10,
 203:19, 205:12,
 206:1, 208:17,
 214:20, 216:2,
 226:11, 227:4
trust [2] - 16:5, 16:9
truth [1] - 125:14
truthfully [1] - 103:21
try [19] - 5:10, 5:13,
 5:14, 26:7, 53:1,
 53:15, 61:25, 71:4,
 86:5, 104:1, 104:17,
 129:11, 191:25,
 197:13, 209:18,
 216:12, 216:13,
 217:24
trying [14] - 21:15,
 36:2, 37:8, 37:9,
 40:23, 54:3, 54:6,
 71:5, 71:9, 73:7,
 101:18, 105:10,
 141:25, 183:9
tumult [1] - 232:13
turn [19] - 8:4, 8:19,
 9:6, 81:14, 90:8,
 108:6, 110:22,
 111:8, 111:22,
 119:24, 133:21,
 134:10, 138:25,
 152:13, 157:25,
 206:22, 229:20,
 230:23, 231:19
turned [1] - 22:5
twice [1] - 72:5
twister [1] - 160:8
two [22] - 20:21,
 33:10, 43:21, 45:23,
 51:10, 64:15, 64:16,
 64:19, 64:20, 78:13,
 78:14, 91:6, 93:23,
 106:17, 118:24,
 161:2, 169:19,
 204:3, 209:1,
 211:12, 239:19,
 239:20
type [6] - 5:3, 22:7,
 40:6, 59:11, 141:19,
 208:25
types [1] - 127:14
typical [2] - 42:4, 85:1
typically [22] - 14:22,
```

50:10, 50:20, 51:2, 52:16, 63:14, 70:5, 70:22, 83:18, 106:6, 106:10, 106:13, 109:17, 136:15, 142:19, 166:4, 167:21, 181:15, 183:4, 184:6, 184:20, 195:6

U

ultimately [9] - 55:23, 103:3, 171:16, 171:19, 205:9, 208:22, 218:8, 228:3, 228:5 umbrella [1] - 41:2 un-remediated [1] -231:15 unbeknownst [1] -216:9 uncertainty [2] -48:24, 232:10 undecipherable [1] -179:2 under [51] - 7:3, 10:7, 11:21, 17:9, 19:3, 21:18, 23:10, 25:2, 25:6, 26:14, 26:20, 27:11, 35:22, 41:2, 46:25, 47:7, 48:20, 56:23, 59:3, 82:16, 99:24, 106:19, 110:17, 111:22, 116:16, 117:19, 118:7, 118:10, 118:18, 124:1, 129:9, 132:17, 139:3, 146:4, 156:22, 159:13, 159:23, 161:2, 162:2, 167:14, 171:8, 185:24, 187:22, 194:14, 194:17, 213:17, 214:2, 214:7, 215:7, 215:10, 231:5 understood [17] -5:16, 6:4, 6:17, 17:22, 22:19, 22:24, 23:1, 32:14, 35:6, 49:19, 49:21, 60:12, 90:4, 92:2, 148:23, 179:25, 219:2 undertake [1] - 240:16 undertook [3] - 73:21, 190:15, 220:11

universe [1] - 75:8

unless [4] - 71:1,

243:19

191:18, 202:16, 219:7 unprofitable [2] -49:5, 49:8 unquote [1] - 231:12 unreasonable [1] -49.4 unstable [2] - 21:13, 22:13 unthinkable [1] -207:5 untimely [1] - 207:4 unusual [1] - 192:8 **up** [40] - 20:10, 20:23, 23:16, 26:20, 43:20, 43:21, 46:6, 55:18, 55:22, 71:14, 73:22, 77:6, 92:10, 92:17, 97:23, 121:17, 128:19, 131:1, 131:5, 134:7, 137:13, 162:6, 171:23, 190:17, 196:19, 198:13, 199:3, 205:3, 208:21, 209:21, 210:14, 213:6, 214:3, 214:22, 222:2, 226:13, 226:15, 232:9, 232:18, 237:24 upgrades [1] - 230:16 upward [1] - 209:16 Urban [64] - 3:13, 7:13, 7:25, 8:9, 9:10, 9:17, 10:4, 10:9, 10:12, 10:25, 11:19, 14:15, 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 16:14, 17:4, 19:7, 20:3, 24:21, 25:24, 25:25, 32:22, 33:5, 33:9, 41:24, 44:19, 45:1, 45:24, 46:18, 86:7, 107:9, 115:2, 115:18, 116:11, 117:12, 117:17, 117:22, 118:13, 118:20, 120:14, 120:17, 120:22, 121:9, 122:3, 123:21, 128:23, 130:2, 130:20, 131:4, 131:7, 131:11, 141:1, 141:14, 141:24, 142:10, 143:20, 144:9, 152:10, 163:15, 175:9, 229:4,

URBAN [1] - 1:7 urban [1] - 240:17 usual [1] - 77:3 utilities [2] - 85:4, 101:22 utilize [1] - 57:12

V

V-shaped [1] - 169:23 vacant [5] - 20:24, 21:17, 74:12 vague [1] - 170:11 validation [1] - 140:18 valuable [1] - 205:25 valuation [1] - 184:25 value [14] - 182:5, 182:10, 182:12, 183:5, 183:12, 183:14, 183:17, 183:20, 184:3, 184:6, 184:12, 205:5, 218:5, 218:9 valued [1] - 183:4 various [12] - 7:6, 19:23, 21:24, 41:20, 42:17, 43:6, 43:17, 83:19, 98:8, 153:13, 196:6, 221:23 vendetta [5] - 239:24, 240:1, 240:5, 241:3, 242:5 vendors [2] - 84:11, 198:22 venture [1] - 216:22 verbal [6] - 5:19, 75:11, 79:3, 79:7, 80:25, 89:21 verbally [1] - 75:4 version [2] - 134:14, 134:15 versus [2] - 86:11, 108:16 via [1] - 143:19 Victor [229] - 3:13, 3:13, 3:14, 7:13, 7:25, 8:9, 8:11, 8:13, 9:10, 9:17, 10:4, 10:9, 10:12, 10:25, 11:19, 12:5, 14:15, 14:16, 14:20, 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 15:7, 15:10, 15:12, 15:15, 15:16, 16:2, 16:3, 16:14, 16:19, 16:20, 16:25, 17:4, 20:3, 20:16, 20:20, 20:23, 22:2, 24:20, 25:25,

26:6, 32:1, 32:22,

33:5, 33:9, 33:19,

41:13, 41:20, 41:24, 41:25, 42:1, 42:17, 43:6, 43:17, 44:18, 44:19, 44:25, 45:1, 45:24, 46:17, 46:21, 46:23, 47:1, 47:18, 48:6, 48:12, 48:20, 53:11, 56:1, 56:6, 56:12, 56:17, 57:1, 58:20, 58:24, 61:10, 62:5, 64:4, 72:8, 72:12, 72:16, 72:23, 72:24, 73:4, 73:5, 73:21, 74:4, 74:8, 75:12, 75:16, 78:11, 84:16, 85:25, 86:7, 98:4, 100:25, 107:5, 107:7, 107:9, 109:6, 110:22, 111:8, 111:19, 111:24, 112:1, 112:4, 112:6, 112:7, 112:9, 112:10, 112:18, 113:16, 115:1, 115:16, 116:11, 117:12, 117:16, 117:22, 118:13, 118:15, 118:19, 119:14, 120:1, 120:14, 120:17, 120:22, 121:9, 122:3, 123:18, 123:21, 123:25, 124:15, 124:21, 128:17, 128:23, 129:7, 129:12, 130:2, 130:6, 130:8, 130:16, 130:19, 130:20, 130:21, 130:23, 131:4, 131:7, 131:11, 136:3, 138:10, 139:13, 141:1, 141:5, 141:10, 141:14, 141:24, 142:10, 143:8, 143:20, 144:9, 146:5, 146:20, 147:1, 147:6, 147:11, 150:1, 150:2, 152:10, 157:5, 160:10, 163:15, 166:2, 166:11, 166:16, 167:2, 167:24, 168:20, 169:3, 169:17, 169:23, 172:16, 172:18,

179:17, 184:4, 184:18, 185:22, 187:10, 189:10, 189:13, 191:17, 203:11, 212:6, 216:19, 225:2, 229:4, 229:5, 229:11, 229:12, 230:2, 230:5, 233:10, 233:12, 234:20, 235:13, 235:21, 236:24, 237:3, 240:16, 240:21 VICTOR [3] - 1:7, 1:8, 1:8 video [1] - 190:17 view [40] - 26:19, 169:2, 169:5, 169:21, 170:4, 170:9, 171:4, 171:19, 172:1, 172:2, 172:6, 172:19, 173:10, 173:16, 173:19, 203:22, 205:5, 205:21, 205:24, 206:3, 208:14, 209:3, 209:7, 209:11, 209:20, 213:21, 214:16, 214:19, 215:22, 216:6, 216:18, 217:10, 217:11, 218:2, 218:5, 218:10, 228:4, 239:15, 240:24, 242:4 views [1] - 169:16 vindictive [1] - 208:25 virtually [3] - 5:10, 136:21, 239:7 visits [1] - 75:7 voluntarily [5] -209:10, 212:24, 214:1, 214:4, 214:5 voluntary [1] - 214:6 **VP** [6] - 137:9, 141:20, 142:18, 146:11, 148:22, 163:1 vs [1] - 1:6 W

Wait [1] - 175:14 wait [2] - 5:14, 110:6 waive [1] - 172:19 waiving [1] - 172:6 walk [1] - 214:18 wall [1] - 209:22

Washington [1] -234:7 Waterfront [1] - 50:11 waterfront [28] -21:17, 29:14, 30:12, 52:3, 169:14, 169:16, 170:3, 170:17, 171:7, 171:10, 203:6, 203:12, 203:21, 204:14, 206:13, 206:16, 209:13, 210:10, 210:15, 210:25, 211:12, 214:3, 214:19, 225:10, 227:16, 233:21, 234:12 **WATSON** [1] - 2:3 weapons [1] - 210:3 week [2] - 184:2 West [1] - 1:23 Westmont [1] - 2:4 whatsoever [2] -225:21, 238:17 whereas [1] - 60:9 whereby [1] - 148:19 wherefore [1] - 231:23 whichever [2] - 94:3, 95:11 whole [9] - 44:10, 69:10, 102:18, 117:3, 128:19, 152:17, 204:13, 219:1, 232:10 wide [1] - 98:22 WILLIAM [1] - 2:3 William [1] - 123:13 willing [9] - 82:21, 92:15, 101:6, 184:7, 184:8, 184:23, 205:7, 219:2, 219:4 wish [1] - 63:9 witness [4] - 14:2, 242:19, 243:13, 243:15 WITNESS [1] - 3:3 won [1] - 206:20 word [6] - 6:1, 52:21, 61:1, 124:11, 218:21, 240:1 words [7] - 5:13, 25:15, 53:17, 115:23, 116:1, 118:8, 231:18 workable [2] - 205:1, 227:6 works [2] - 183:3, 214:22

173:2, 173:5, 173:9,

173:10, 173:25,

174:17, 175:9,

229:11, 230:2

worry [1] - 98:24

worth [4] - 169:10,

183:22, 208:24, 215:1 write [1] - 136:15 writing [4] - 136:19, 136:23, 140:19, 180:25 written [10] - 41:19, 96:11, 97:10, 97:11, 97:16, 98:4, 98:11, 98:13, 136:24, 146:8 wrote [1] - 91:6

Z

zero [10] - 26:4, 26:21, 42:23, 46:8, 46:18, 86:17, 114:8, 114:23, 115:4, 137:17 zeroed [4] - 37:4, 113:21, 113:23, 114:18 zoning [4] - 79:23, 219:5, 220:24

Υ

year [32] - 9:12, 10:6, 10:13, 20:13, 42:25, 43:24, 66:20, 67:14, 94:24, 95:8, 95:25, 96:17, 113:14, 114:23, 122:17, 128:18, 133:1, 133:2, 148:24, 159:25, 168:5, 168:20, 172:20, 174:3, 181:12, 211:11, 211:13, 211:18, 212:2, 212:4, 212:16 years [58] - 5:1, 20:21, 20:25, 23:14, 23:16, 27:25, 35:20, 50:2, 51:7, 51:10, 58:22, 67:13, 70:19, 91:19, 92:1, 92:6, 92:9, 92:11, 92:16, 92:18, 92:24, 93:2, 93:7, 93:8, 93:18, 93:25, 95:7, 95:22, 115:3, 125:16, 129:18, 130:3, 130:7, 130:17, 144:18, 146:15, 147:17, 150:9, 150:12, 153:6, 154:4, 155:7, 158:19, 159:2, 159:19, 163:16, 170:12, 191:8, 192:3, 198:15, 198:17, 203:10, 209:1, 209:17, 211:2, 211:11, 233:20, 239:20 yourself [9] - 16:3, 36:7, 65:13, 72:7, 93:13, 111:2, 143:23, 144:8, 229:22 yourselves [1] -142:17