Case: 1:00-cv-01494-PLF As of: 05/28/2013 12:18 PM EDT 1 of 2

CLOSED, TYPE-I

## U.S. District Court District of Columbia (Washington, DC) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:00-cv-01494-PLF

WOODS v. FDIC
Assigned to: Judge Paul L. Friedman
Date Filed: 06/23/2000
Date Terminated: 11/30/2000

Demand: \$0 Jury Demand: None

Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act

Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of

Information Act

Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

**Plaintiff** 

LUTHER WOODS represented by LUTHER WOODS

R17752-018

BEAUMONT U.S. PENITENTIARY

P.O. Box 26030 Beaumont, TX 77720

PRO SE

V.

**Defendant** 

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

represented by Jonathan Bruce Taylor

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE

CORPORATION 550 17th Street, NW Room H–2141 Washington, DC 20429

(202) 736–0202 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
06/23/2000	1	COMPLAINT filed by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS; attachment (1) (bm) (Entered: 06/27/2000)
06/23/2000		SUMMONS (3) issued to federal party federal defendant FDIC and non–parties: U.S. Attorney and U.S. Attorney General. (bm) (Entered: 06/27/2000)
06/23/2000	2	APPLICATION by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS to proceed in forma pauperis (bm) (Entered: 06/27/2000)
06/23/2000	3	TRUST FUND ACCOUNT filed by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS (bm) (Entered: 06/27/2000)
06/23/2000	4	ORDER by Judge Paul L. Friedman: directing that monthly payments be made from the prisoner account of LUTHER WOODS granting motion to proceed in forma pauperis [2–1] by LUTHER WOODS (N) (bm) (Entered: 06/27/2000)
07/21/2000	5	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed upon U.S. Attorney on 7/19/00 (td) (Entered: 07/28/2000)
08/02/2000	6	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed upon U.S. Attorney General on 7/21/00 (td) (Entered: 08/03/2000)
08/02/2000	7	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed on 7/27/00 upon federal defendant FDIC (td) (Entered: 08/03/2000)
08/11/2000	8	ATTORNEY APPEARANCE for federal defendant FDIC by Jonathan Bruce Taylor (tb) (Entered: 08/14/2000)

## Case: 1:00-cv-01494-PLF As of: 05/28/2013 12:18 PM EDT 2 of 2

08/11/2000	9	MOTION filed by federal defendant FDIC to extend time to 09/18/00 to answer complaint [1–1] (tb) (Entered: 08/14/2000)
08/14/2000	10	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM by federal defendant FDIC to motion to extend time to 09/18/00 to answer complaint [1–1] [9–1] by FDIC (tb) (Entered: 08/17/2000)
08/21/2000	11	ORDER by Judge Paul L. Friedman: granting motion to extend time to 09/18/00 to answer complaint [1–1] [9–1] by FDIC; Answer extended to 9/18/00 for FDIC (N) (bm) (Entered: 08/21/2000)
09/18/2000	12	MOTION filed by federal defendant FDIC to dismiss and for summary judgment; Attachments; Exhibits (17). (pob) (Entered: 09/19/2000)
09/26/2000	13	ORDER by Judge Paul L. Friedman: plaintiff shall respond to defendant's motion to dismiss and for summary judgment due 10/23/00 (N) (bm) (Entered: 09/26/2000)
10/12/2000	14	MOTION filed by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS to extend time to 10/31/00 to respond to defendant's motion to dismiss and for summary judgment (jdm) Modified on 10/13/2000 (Entered: 10/13/2000)
10/23/2000	<u>15</u>	ORDER by Judge Paul L. Friedman: plaintiff shall respond to defendant's motion to dismiss and for summary judgment due 10/31/00 (N) (bm) (Entered: 10/23/2000)
11/01/2000	16	MOTION filed by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS in traverse to defendant's motion for summary judgment. (td) (Entered: 11/06/2000)
11/01/2000	17	MOTION filed by plaintiff LUTHER WOODS for Vaughn Index (td) (Entered: 11/06/2000)
11/02/2000	18	REPLY by federal defendant FDIC to response to motion for summary judgment; [12–2] by FDIC; attachments (aet) (Entered: 11/07/2000)
11/30/2000	<u>19</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION by Judge Paul L. Friedman (N) (bm) (Entered: 12/01/2000)
11/30/2000	20	JUDGMENT by Judge Paul L. Friedman: granting motion to dismiss [12–1] and for summary judgment [12–2] by FDIC; denying motion in traverse to defendant's motion for summary judgment [16–1] by LUTHER WOODS; denying motion for Vaughn Index [17–1] by LUTHER WOODS; entering judgment in favor of defendant; denying as moot any pending motions; this is a final appealable order. (N) (bm) Modified on 12/01/2000 (Entered: 12/01/2000)