

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND
RECORDS ADMINISTRATION, an
agency of the United States,

Defendant.

NO.

COMPLAINT

Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, seeking the release of records unlawfully withheld by the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) related to the proposed sale of the federal facility that houses the National Archives at Seattle. More than six months have passed since the Office of the Attorney General (AGO) filed a FOIA request seeking documents on this important issue, but NARA has still not provided a single document to the State.

2. On January 26, 2020, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved a recommendation of the Public Buildings Reform Board (PBRB) to sell the Federal Archives and Records Center located at 6125 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 (the Seattle facility). The Seattle facility is currently occupied by NARA and houses the National Archives at Seattle.

1 3. The National Archives at Seattle provides public access to permanent records
2 created by Federal agencies and courts in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. It houses a
3 significant body of tribal and treaty records relating to the federally recognized tribes and native
4 corporations in the Pacific Northwest, including records from Bureau of Indian Affairs offices
5 and Indian agencies and schools in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. It also maintains
6 50,000 files related to the Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882, as well as some records related to the
7 internment of Japanese-Americans in World War II. The National Archives at Seattle is routinely
8 used by researchers, historians, genealogists, and tribes in the Pacific Northwest.

9 4. The report recommending the sale of the Seattle facility (the PBRB Report¹)
10 indicates that the federal records and archival materials at the Seattle facility, including the
11 materials at the National Archives at Seattle, would be removed from the Pacific Northwest and
12 relocated to NARA facilities in Kansas City, Missouri, and Riverside, California.

13 5. Neither PBRB nor OMB conducted outreach to state, local, or tribal officials prior
14 to publicly announcing the sale of the facility housing the National Archives at Seattle. As a
15 result, state, local, and tribal officials were not given the opportunity to present information
16 detailing how the pending sale would harm them. There were also no public hearings in
17 Washington, Idaho, Oregon, or Alaska, where members of the public could have provided input
18 and information about the importance of keeping records at the Seattle facility in the Pacific
19 Northwest.

20 6. The PBRB Report states that NARA “indicated its willingness and desire to
21 consolidate operations at more modern existing NARA facilities.” Regarding the effect that
22 closing the facility might have on the public’s “access to services,” the PBRB Report states that
23 “NARA ha[d] determined that it can fulfill its mission needs at the target relocation facilities.”
24

25
26 ¹ <https://www.pbrb.gov/assets/uploads/20191227%20High%20Value%20Assets%20Report%20as%20Required%20by%20FASTA.pdf> (last visited Aug. 13, 2020).

1 7. On February 3, 2020, the Office of the Attorney General for the State of
 2 Washington (the AGO) submitted a request for certain documents to NARA (the FOIA Request),
 3 via both electronic mail and the U.S. Postal Service.

4 8. The AGO's February 3, 2020 FOIA request sought "[a]ll records and
 5 communications" between NARA and PBRB/OMB "related to the Federal Archives and
 6 Records Center located at 6125 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 from May 10, 2019 to
 7 January 28, 2020" as well as certain communications between NARA and the Government
 8 Services Administration related to the Seattle facility.

9 9. On February 6, 2020, the AGO received an electronic mail message from
 10 admin@foiaonline.gov "confirm[ing] your request submission to the FOIA online application"
 11 and listing the "Date Submitted: 02/03/2020." The electronic mail message listed the tracking
 12 number for the FOIA Request as NARA-NGC-2020-000311.

13 10. The AGO has received no communication from NARA regarding the FOIA
 14 Request since the agency's electronic email message confirming receipt.

15 11. In light of the critical regional importance of the records held at the National
 16 Archives of Seattle, Washingtonians and all residents of the Pacific Northwest, including the
 17 many federally-recognized tribes and native corporations in this region, deserve to know how
 18 the decision was made to sell the Seattle facility and to relocate the federal archives records of
 19 the Pacific Northwest to NARA facilities in Missouri and California.

20 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

21 12. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the Freedom of Information
 22 Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action arises under the
 23 laws of the United States, including FOIA and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

24 13. Venue is proper in this court under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), as the FOIA Request
 25 was issued from a State of Washington office in Seattle, in the Western District of Washington.
 26

1 14. Because NARA failed to respond to the FOIA Request, or even to notify the AGO
2 of an extension of time, within 20 business days, no administrative appeal is required under
3 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).

4 III. PARTIES

5 15. Plaintiff State of Washington is a sovereign entity and brings this action to protect
6 its own sovereign and proprietary rights, and as *parens patriae* on behalf of its affected citizens
7 and residents. The Attorney General is the chief legal adviser to the State of Washington. The
8 Attorney General's powers and duties include acting in federal court on matters of public
9 concern. This challenge is brought pursuant to the Attorney General's independent
10 constitutional, statutory, and common law authority to bring suit and obtain relief on behalf of
11 the State of Washington.

12 16. Defendant National Archives and Records Administration is an agency of the
13 United States. NARA has possession of and control over the records sought by the AGO in its
14 February 3, 2020 FOIA Request.

15 IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

16 17. FOIA requires agencies to "make available to the public information." 5 U.S.C.
17 § 552(a). Any information subject to FOIA must be disclosed. "[E]ach agency, upon any request
18 for records . . . shall make the records promptly available to any person." *Id.* § 552(a)(3).

19 18. "[U]pon any request for records made under [§ 552(a)](1), (2), or (3)," an agency
20 has 20 business days to determine whether to comply. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A). NARA's FOIA
21 regulations also require a response within 20 days or notification to the requester that
22 "complicating factors" prevent NARA from making a decision within 20 working days.
23 36 C.F.R. § 1250.26.

24 19. An agency may only withhold documents that fall within one of the enumerated
25 exemptions from FOIA. If an agency withholds documents, it must provide a "*Vaughn* Index"
26 that adequately identifies the specific documents withheld. *See Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820,

1 827 (D.C. Cir. 1973). “A withholding agency must describe *each* document or portion thereof
2 withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the
3 sought-after information.” *King v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987)
4 (emphasis in original). *See Wiener v. FBI*, 943 F.2d 972, 978 (9th Cir. 1991) (requiring a *Vaughn*
5 Index and finding it inadequate); *Andrus v. United States Dep’t of Energy*, 200 F. Supp. 3d 1093,
6 1105 (D. Idaho 2016) (same).

7 20. A court may review an agency’s decision to withhold documents, and “the burden
8 is on the agency to sustain its action.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). An agency cannot justify its
9 withholding by offering nothing more than “[c]onclusory and generalized allegations.”
10 *Nat’l Parks & Conservation Ass’n v. Kleppe*, 547 F.2d 673, 680 (D.C. Cir. 1976). When the
11 agency fails to sustain its burden, the court may “order the production of any agency records
12 improperly withheld from the complainant.” 5 U.S. C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

13 V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

14 21. On February 3, 2020, the AGO submitted a request for certain documents to
15 NARA, via both electronic mail and the U.S. Postal Service.

16 22. The AGO’s February 3, 2020 FOIA Request sought:

17 a. “All records and communications with the Public Buildings Reform
18 Board related to the Federal Archives and Records Center located at 6125 Sand Point
19 Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 from May 10, 2019 to January 28, 2020.”

20 b. “All records and communications with the Office of Management and
21 Budget related to the Federal Archives and Records Center located at 6125 Sand Point
22 Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 from May 10, 2019 to January 28, 2020.”

23 c. “All records and communications with the Government Services
24 Administration to identify “high value” properties in response to the Federal Assets Sale
25 and Transfer Act of 2016 (FASTA) from December 16, 2016 to January 28, 2020.”
26

1 d. “All records and communications with the Government Services
2 Administration related to the Federal Archives and Records Center located at 6125 Sand
3 Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 from December 16, 2016 to January 28, 2020.”

4 23. On February 6, 2020, the AGO received an electronic mail message from
5 admin@foiaonline.gov “confirm[ing] your request submission to the FOIA online application.”

6 The electronic mail message stated as follows:

- 7
- Tracking Number: NARA-NGC-2020-000311
 - Requester Name: Ms. Lauryn K Fraas
 - Date Submitted: 02/03/2020
 - Request Status: Submitted
 - Description: Request for records concerning the approval of the High Value Property Recommendation by the Public Buildings Reform Board concerning NARA Seattle, 6125 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115. See attached letter.
- 8
9
10
11

12 24. NARA was required by FOIA to respond to the FOIA Request by March 4, 2020.

13 25. The AGO has received no communication from NARA regarding the FOIA
14 Request since the initial February 6, 2020 electronic email message confirming receipt.

15 32. NARA has not notified the AGO that additional time is needed to process the
16 FOIA Request, nor has NARA asked the AGO to clarify or modify the FOIA request.

17 **VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Respond
5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)

18 34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth
19 in the preceding paragraphs.

20 35. NARA violated FOIA by failing to respond to the FOIA Request for records
21 within 20 business days.

22 **VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Produce Records
5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)

23 36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth
24 in the preceding paragraphs.
25
26

1 37. NARA further violated FOIA by failing to conduct searches reasonably
2 calculated to locate the requested records, and promptly provide records, or reasonably
3 segregable portions of lawfully exempt responsive records, in response to the AGO's request for
4 records.

5 **VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

6 The State of Washington respectfully requests that this Court:

7 1. Declare that the NARA violated FOIA by failing to make a determination on the
8 FOIA Request within the time period prescribed by law;

9 2. Declare that NARA violated FOIA by failing to conduct searches reasonably
10 calculated to locate the requested records, and promptly provide all responsive records;

11 3. Declare that NARA waived its right to request fees for processing the AGO's
12 request by failing to respond timely to the request;

13 4. Order NARA to provide a determination on the FOIA Request, at no cost to the
14 State;

15 5. Order NARA to conduct searches reasonably calculated to locate the requested
16 records, and release all records responsive to the FOIA Request within 20 days of this Court's
17 order, at no cost to the State of Washington;

18 6. Enjoin NARA from withholding agency records and order the production of all
19 agency records improperly withheld from the State of Washington;

20 7. Retain jurisdiction over this action to ensure the processing of the FOIA Request
21 is in compliance with FOIA and any orders of this Court;

22 8. Award Plaintiff its costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees as
23 provided by FOIA, and any other applicable provision of law; and

24 9. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17th day of August 2020.

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General

/s/ Lauryn K. Fraas
LAURYN K. FRAAS, WSBA #53238
Assistant Attorney General
Lauryn.Fraas@atg.wa.gov

/s/ Nathan K. Bays
NATHAN K. BAYS, WSBA #43025
Assistant Attorney General
Nathan.Bays@atg.wa.gov

Office of the Attorney General
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 464-7744
Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington