Case 1:18-cr-00602-WHP Document 2 Filed 08/21/18 Page 1 of 22

ORRIGINAL

Judge Pauley

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

INFORMATION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18 Cr. \_\_ (WH

V., -

10 CI. \_\_ (WIH)

MICHAEL COHEN,

**18CBIM** 6

Defendant.

DOCUMENT

LELICTRODYCALLY FILED

DOCT:

The United Statess Attorney chargess:

### Background

### The Defendantt

- 1. From in or about 2007 through in or about Januaryy 2017, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, was an attorneyy and employees of a Manhattan-blased real estates company (the "Company"). COHEN held the tittle of "Executive Vice President" and "Special Counsel" to the owner of the Company ("Individual-1-1)").
- 2. In or about January 2017, COHEN left the Company and began holding himself out as the "personal attorney" to Individually, who at that point had become the President of the United States.
- 3. In addition to working for and earning income from the Company, at all times relevant to this information, MICHAFL. COMEN, the defendant, owned taxi medallions in New York City and Chicago worth millions of dollars. COHEN owned these taxi

medallions as investments and leased the medallions to operators who paid COHEN a portion of the operating income.

### Tax Evasion Scheme

- 4. Between tax years 2012 and 2016, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, engaged in a scheme to evade income taxes by failing to report more than \$4 million in income, resulting in the avoidance of taxes of more than \$1.4 million due to the IRS.
- In or about late 2013., MICHAEL COHEN. defendant, retained an accountant ("Accountant!")") for the purpose of handling COHEN'S personall and entity tax returns. After being retained), Accountant±11 filed amended 2011 and 2012 Form 1040 tax returns for COHEN with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). For tax years 2013 through 2016, Accountant 1 prepared individual 1 returnss for COHEN and returnss for COHEN'S mediallion and real estates entitiess. To confirm he had reviewed and approved these returns, both COHEN and his wife signed a Form 8879 for tax years 2013 through 2016, and filed manually for tax year 2012. Each Form 8879 contained an affirmation, "[ul] nder penalties of perjury," that COHEN "examined a copy of [his]] electropic individual Income tax return and accompanying scheduless and statements's and "to the best of [his] knowledge and belieft, it is true, correct, and accurately lists all amounts and sources of income [COHEN] received during the tax year."

- 6. Between 2012 and the end of 2016, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, earned more than \$2.4 million in income from a series of personal loans made by COHEN to a taxii operator to whom COHEN leased certain of his Chicago taxii medallions ("Taxii Operator 1"),), none of which he disclosed to the IRS..
- Specifically, in March 2012, pursuant to a loan 7. agreement, Taxi Operator: 11 solicited a \$2 million personal loan from MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, so that Taxi Operator: 11 could cover various personal and taxi business-relateded expenses. Appril 28, 2014, Taxi Operator: 11 and his wife entered into a new loan agreement with COHEN, increasing the \$2 million loan, the principal of which remained unpaid, to \$5 million. Finally, im 2015, Taxi Operator: 11 and his wife entered into an amended loan agreement with COHEN, increasing the principal amount of the loan to \$6 million. Each loan was interest-toubly, carried an interest rate in excess of 12 percent, and was collateralized by either Chicago taxi medallions or a propertyy in Florida owned by Taxi Operator: 11 and his family. COHEN funded the majority of his loans to Taxi Operator-11 from a line of credit with an interest rate of less than 5 percent.
- 8. For each of the loans, at the direction of MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, Taxi Operator: 11 made the interesst payment checks out to COHEN personally, and the checks were deposited in

COHEN's personal bank account, or an account in the name of his wife. COHEN did not provide records that would have allowed Accountant 11 to reasonably identify this impone.

- 9. Pursuant to the terms of the loam agreements between MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, and Taxi Operator-11, COHEN received more than \$2.4 million in interest payments from Taxi Operator-11 between 2012 and 2016, and reported none of that income to the IRS. COHEN intended to hide the income from the IRS in order to evade taxes.
- 10. As a further part of the scheme to evade paying income taxes, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, also concealed more than \$1.3 million in income he received from another taxi operator to whom COHEN leased certain of his New York medallions ("Taxi Operator-2").). This income took two forms. First, COHEN did not report the substantial majority of a bonus payment of at least \$870,000, which was made by Taxi Operator-22 in or about 2012 to include COHEN to allow Taxi Operator-22 to operate certain of COHEN's medallions. Second, between 2012 and 2016, COHEN concealed substantial additional taxable income he received from Taxi Operator-22's operation of certain of COHEN's taxi medallions.
- 11. To ensure the concediment of this additionall operator income, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, arranged to receive a portion of the medallion income personally, as opposed to having

the income paid to COHEN'S methallion entities. Paying the methallion entities would have alented Accountantel, who prepared the returns for those entities, to the existence of the income such that it would have been included on COHEN'S tax returns.

- 12. As a further part of his scheme to evade taxes, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, also hid the following additional sourcess of irropne from Accountant-11 and the IRS::
- a. A \$100,0000 payment received, in 2014, for brokening the sale of a piece of property in a private aviation community in Ocala, Florita.
- b. Approximately \$30,0000 in profit made, in 2015, for brokening the sale of a Birkiin Bag, a highly coveteel French handbag that retails for between \$11,9000 to \$300,000, depending on the type of leather or animal skim used.
- c. More than \$200,0000 in consulting income earned in 2016 from an assisted living company purportedly for COHEN'ss "consulting" on real estates and other projects.

## (Evasion of Assessment of Income Tax Liability)

The United States Attorney further charges:

13. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.

14. From on or about Jamuany 1 of each of the calendary years set forth below, through the present, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, who during each calendar year set forth below was married, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a substantial part of the income tax due and owing by COHEN and his wife to the United States by various means, including by committing and causing to be committed the following affirmative acts, among others: preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filled with the IRS, in or about the month of Aprill of each said calendar year, a U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for each of the calendar years set forth below, on behalf of himself and his wife, which falsedly omitted substantial amounts of income in or about the years

Count	Tax Year	Unreported Income	Tax Loss
1	2012	\$893,7500	\$192,1888
2	2013	\$499,4000	\$299,22299
3	2014	\$670,6677	\$232,8833
4	2015	\$969,6166	\$375,3900
5	2016	\$1,100,6488	\$395,6155

(Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.))

### False Statements to a Bank

The United Statess Attorneyy further charges:

- through companiess he controlled, executed a \$6.44 million promissory note with a bank ("Bank-II")), collaterabilized by COHEN'S taxi metallions and personally guaranteed by COHEN. A year laten, in 2011, COHEN personally obtained a \$6 million line of creditt from Bank-II (the "Line of Credit"), also collaterabilized by his taxi metallions. By February 2013, COHEN had increased the Line of Credit from \$6 million to \$14 million, thereby increasing COHEN's personal metallion liabilitiess at Bank-II to more than \$20 million.
- 16. In or about Novemberr 2014, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, refinanced his mediallibon debt at Bank-I with another bank ("Bank-2"), which shared the debt with a New York-based credit union (the "Credit Umion"). The transaction was structured as a package of individual loans to the entities that owned COHEN'S New York mediallibons, personally guaranteed by COHEN. Following the loans' closing, COHEN'S mediallibon debt at Bank-I was paid off with funds from Bank-2 and the Credit Union, and the Line of Credit with Bank-I was closed.
- 17. In or about 2013, im connections with a successful applications for a mortgage from another Bank ("Bank-3")) for his

Park Avenue condimination (the "2013 Application"), MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, disclosed only the \$6.4 million medallion loan he had with Bank-11 at the time. As noted above, COHEN also had a larger, \$14 million Line of Credit with Bank-11 secured by his medallions, which COHEN did not disclose in the 2013 Application.

In or around February 2015, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, in an attempt to secure financing from Bank-33 to purchase a summer home for approximately \$8.5 million, again concealed the \$14 million Line of Credit. Specifically, in connection with this proposed transaction, Bank-33 obtained a 2014 personal financial statement COHEN had provided to Bank-2 while reffinancing his medallion debt. Bank-3 questioned COHEN about the \$14 million Line of Credit reflected on that personal financial? statement, because COHEN had omitteed that debt from the 2013 Application to Bank-3. COHEN misled Bank-31, statingg, substance, that the \$14 million Line of Credit was undrawn and that he would close it. In truth and im fact, COHEN had effectively overdigam the Line of Credit, having swapped it out for a fully drawn, larger group of loans shared by Bank-22 and the Credit union upon refinancing his metallion debt. When Bank-33 informed COHEN that it would only provide financing if COHEN closed the Line of Credit, COHEN lied again, misleadingly y statings in an

email: "The medallion line was closed in the middle of November 2014.""

- 19. In or around December 2015, MICHAEL COHEN, time defendant, contacted Bank-33 to apply for a home equity line of credit ("HELOC"). In so doing, COHEN again signifficantlyly understated his methallion debt.
- 20. Specifically, in the HELOC application, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, together with his wife, represented a positive net worth of more than \$40 million, again omitting the \$14 million in medallion debt with Bank-22 and the Credit Union. Because COHEN had previously confirmed in writing to Bank-3 that the \$14 million Line of Credit had been closed, Bank-3 had no reason to question COHEN about the omission of this limbility on the HELOC application. In addition, in seeking the HELOC, COHEN substantially and matterially understanted his monthly expenses to Bank-3 by omitting at least \$70,0000 in monthly interest payments due to Bank-2 on the true amount of his medallion debt.
- 21. In or about April 2016, Bank-33 approved Michael COHEN, the defendant, for a \$500,0000 HELOC. By fraudulently y concealing truthfull information about his financial condition, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, obtained a HELOC that Bank-33 would otherwise not have approxed.

# (False Statements to a Bank)

The United States Attorneyy further charges:

- 22. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 and 15 through 21 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. From at least in or about December 2015 through at least in or about April 2016, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, willfilly and knowingly made false statements for the purpose of infiltrenoing the action of a financial institution, as defined in Title 18, United Statess Code, Section 20, upon an application, advance, discount, purchase, purchase agreement, repurchase agreement, commitment, loan, or insurance agreement or application for insurance or a guarantee, or any change or extension of any of the same, by remewall, deferment of action or otherwise, or the acceptance, release, or substitution of security therefore, to wit, in connection with an application for a home equity line of credit, COHEN made false statements to Bank-3 about his true financial condition, including about debts for which he was personally likelile, and about his cash flow.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1014 and 2.)

### Campaign Finance Violationss

The United Statess Attorneyy further charges:

- 24. The Federall Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, Title 52, United States Code, Section 30101, et seq., (the "Election Act"), regulates the infilmence of money on politics. At all times relevant to the Information, the Election Act set forth the following limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements, which were applicable to MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, Individually, and his campaign:
- a. Individual contributions to any presidential candidate, including expenditures coordinated with a candidate or his political committee, were limited to \$2,700 per election, and presidential candidates and their committees were prohibited from accepting contributions from individuals in excess of this limit.
- b. Corporations were prohibited from making contributions directly to presidential candidates, including expenditures coordinated with candidates or their committees, and candidates were prohibited from accepting corporate contributionss.
- 25. On or about June 16, 2015, Individuall-1 began his presidential campaign. While MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, continued to work at the Company and did not have a formal title with the campaign, he had a campaign email addresss and, at various times, advised the campaign, including on matters of interest to

the press, and made televised and media appearances on behalf of the campaign.

- 26. At all timess relevant to this Information, Corporational was a media company that owns, among other things; a popular tabloid magazine ("Magazine-11").).
- 27. In or about August 2015, the Chairman and Chieff Executive of Corporation 1 ("Chairman-II"), in coordination with MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, and one or more members of the campaign, offered to help deal with negative storiess about Individual-I's's relationships with women by, among other things, assisting the campaign in identifying such storiess so they could be purchased and their publication avoided. Chairman 1 agreed to keep COHEN apprised of any such negative storiess.
- 28. Consistent with the agreement described above, Corporational advised MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, of negative storiess during the course of the campaign, and COHEN, with the assistance of Corporational, was able to arrange for the purchase of two storiess so as to suppress them and prevent them from infilingating the election.
- 29. First, in or about June 2016, a model and actresss ("Woman-1") began attempting to sell her story of her alleged extramarital affair with Individual-1-1 that had taken place in 2006 and 2007, knowing the story would be of considerable value because

of the election. Woman-I retained an attorneyy ("Attorneyy 11),), who in turn contacted the editor-inschiefe of Magazine 11 ("Editor 11),), and offered to sell Woman-I's story to Magazine 11. Chairman 11 and Editor 11 informed MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, of the story. At COHEN'S urging and subject to COHEN'S promise that Corporational would be reimbursed. Editor 11 ultimately began negotiating for the purchase of the story.

- 30. On or about August 5, 2016, Corporational-1 entered into an agreement with Woman-I to acquire her "limited lifte rights?" to the stony of her relationship with "any them-married man," in exchange for \$150,000 and a commitment to feature her on two magazine covers and publish over one hundred magazine articless authored by her. Despite the cover and article features to the agreement, its principal purpose, as understood by those involved, including MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, was to suppress Woman-I's stony so as to prevent it from infiltencing the election.
- 31. Between im or about late August 2016 and September 2016, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, agreed with Chairman 1 to assign the rights to the non-disabsure portion of Corporation—1's agreement with Woman-I to COHEN for \$125,000. COHEN incorporated a shell entity called "Resolution Consultants LLC" for use in the transaction. Both Chairman 1 and COHEN ultimately signed the agreement, and a consultant for Corporation 1, using

his own shell entity, provided COHEN with an invoice for the payment of \$125,000. However, in or about early October 2016, after the assignment agreement was signed but before COHEN had paid the \$125,000, Chairman+1 contacted COHEN and told him, in substance, that the deal was off and that COHEN should tear up the assignment agreement. COHEN did not tear up the agreement, which was later found during a judicially authorized search of his office.

- 32. Second, on or about October 8, 2016, an agent for an adult filh actresss ("Woman-2")) informed Editor-11 that Woman-2 was willing to make public statements and confirm on the record her alleged past affair with Individual1-1. Chairman-11 and Editor-1 then contacted MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, and put him in touch with Attorney-11, who was also representing Woman-2. Over the course of the next few days, COHEN negotiated a \$130,0000 agreement with Attorney-11 to himself purchase Woman-2's silence, and received a signed confidential settlement agreement and a separate side letter agreement from Attorney-11.
- 33. MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, did not immediately execute the agreement, nor did he pay Woman-2. On the evening of October 25, 2016, with no deal with Woman-2 finalized, Attorney-11 told Editor-11 that Woman-2 was close to completing a deal with another outlet to make her story public. Editor-11, in turn, texteed

MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, that "[w] e have to coordinate something on the matter [Attorneyy11 is] calling you about or it could look awfully bad for everyone." Chairman 11 and Editor 11 them called COHEN through an encrypted telephone application. COHEN agreed to make the payment, and them called Attorneyy11 to fimalize the deal.

- the defendant, emailed an incomponenting service to obtain the corporate formation documents for another shell corporation, Essential Consultants LLC, which COHEN had incomponented a few days prion. Latter that afternoon, COHEN drew down \$131,0000 from the fraudulently obtained HELOC, discussed above in paragraphs 19 through 21, and requested that it be deposited into a bank account COHEN had just opened in the name of Essential Consultants. The next morning, on October 27, 2016, COHEN went to Bank-3 and wired approximately \$130,0000 from Essential Consultants to Attorney-11. On the bank form to complete the wire, COHEN falsedly indicated that the "purpose of wire being sent" was "retainer". "On or about November 1, 2016, COHEN received from Attorney-11 copies of the fürall, signed confidential settlement agreement and side letter agreement.
- 35. MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, caused and made the payments described herein in order to influence the 2016

presidential election. In so doing, he coordinated with one or more members of the campaign, including through meetings and phone calls, about the fact, nature, and timing of the payments.

36. As a result of the payments solicited and made by MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, neither Woman-1 nor Woman-2 spoke to the press prior to the election.

37. In or about Jamuary 2017, MICHAEL COHEN, defendant, in seeking reinforsement for election-relateted expenses, presented executives of the Company with a copy of a bank statement from the Essential Consultants bank account, which reflected the \$130,0000 payment COHEN had made to the bank account of Attorneyy11 in order to keep Woman-2 sillert in advance of the election, plus a \$35 wire fee, adding, in handwriting, an additional "\$50,000"." The \$50,000 represented a claimed payment for "tech servicess," which in fact related to work COHEN had solicited from a technology company during and in connections with the campaign. COHEN added these amounts to a sum of \$180,035. After receiving this document, executivess of the Company "grosseed up" for tax purposess COHEN's requested reinflursement of \$180,0000 to \$360,000, and then added a bonus of \$60,0000 so that COHEN would be paid \$420,0000 in total. Executivess of the Company also determined that the \$420,0000 would be paid to COHEN in monthly amounts of \$35,0000 over the course of

twelve months, and that COHEN should send invoicess for these payments.

38. On or about February 14, 2017, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, sent an executive of the Company ("Executive-l')") the first of his monthly invoices, requesting "[p] ursuant to [a] retainer agreement, . . . payment for servicess rendered for the months of January and February, 2017." The invoice listed \$35,000 for each of those two months. Executive-l forwarded the invoice to another executive of the Company ("Executive-2'2") the same day by email, and it was approved. Executive-l forwarded that email to another employee at the Company, starting: "Please pay from the Trust. Post to legal expenses. Put 'metainer for the months of January and February 2017" in the description: "

39. Throughout 2017, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, sent to one or more representativeses of the Company munithly invoicess, which stated, "Pursuant to the retainer agreement, kindly remit payment for servicess remarked for" the relevant month in 2017, and sought \$35,0000 per month. The Company accounted for these payments as legal expenses. In truth and in fact, there was no such retainer agreement, and the monthly invoicess COHEN submitted were not in connection with any legal servicess he had provided in 2017.

40. During 2017, pursuant to the invoicess describedd above, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, received monthly \$35,,000 reinbursement checks, totaling \$420,000.

# COUNT 7 (Causing an Unlawfull Corporate Contribution)

The United Statess Attorneyy further charges:

- 41. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 3, and 24 through 40 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. From in or about June 2016, up to and including in or about October 2016, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, knowingly and willfully caused a corporation to make a contribution and expenditure,e, aggregating \$25,0000 and more during the 2016 calendar year, to the campaign of a candidate for President of the United States, to wit, COHEN caused Corporation: 1-1 to make and advance a \$150,000 Womaan-11. including through the promise to payment reimbursement, so as to ensure that Woman-I did not publicize damaging allegations is before the 2016 presidential election and thereby influence that election.

(Title 52, United States Code, Sections 30118(a) and 30109(d) (1) (A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2(b) .)

## (Excessive Cangaign Contribution)

The United Statess Attorneyy further charges:

- 43. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 3, and 24 through 40 are repeated and realleged as through fully set forth herein.
- District of New York and elsewhere, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made a contribution to Individually, a candidate for Federal office, and his authorized pollitical committee in excess of the limits of the Election Act, which aggregated \$25,000 and more in calendar year 2016, and did so by making and causing to be made an expenditure, in cooperation, consultation, and concert with, and at the request and suggestion of one or more members of the campaign, to wit, COHEN made a \$130,000 payment to Woman-2 to ensure that she did not publicize damaging allegations before the 2016 presidential election and thereby influence that election.

(Title 52, United Statess Code, Sectionss 30116(a) (1) (A), 30116(a) (7), and 30109(d) (1) (A), and Title 18, United Statess Code, Section 2(b) .)

### MOTABUTURE ALLEGATION

45. As a result of committing the offense alleged in Count Six of this Information, MICHAEL COHEN, the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a) (2) (A), any property constituting or derived from proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of the commission of said offense.

### Substitutee Assets Provision

- 46.. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisation of the Court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) and Title 28, United States Code,

Section 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 982;)
Title 21, United States Code, Section 853; and
Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.))

ROBERT KHUZAMI

Acting United States Attorney

### UNITED STATES DISTRUCT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRUCT OF NEW YORK

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. -

MICHAEL CORRN,

Defendant.

### INFORMATION

18 Cr. \_ (WHP)

Acting United States Attorney