SOUTHERN DI	ES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF NEW YORK	
Carleto Allen,	X	
	Plaintiff,	16 CIVIL 3403 (PGG)
-agair	nst-	<u>JUDGMENT</u>
Williams, et al.,	Defendants. X	
It is hereby (ORDERED, ADJUDGED	AND DECREED: That after a Jury
	orable Paul G. Gardephe, United favor of Defendants, and the Co	d States District Judge, the jury having emplaint is hereby dismissed.
DATED: New York	k, New York 2 , 2019	
		RUBY J. KRAJICK
So Ordered:		Clerk of Court
U.S.D.J.	noff	BY: Deputy Clerk

	ED STATES DISTRICT COU THERN DISTRICT OF NEW				
	LETO ALLEN,				
	Plainti	f,			
-against-					
JEREMIAH S. WILLIAMS, NYPD, SHIELD # 07878; JOZSEF A. TASS, NYPD, SHIELD # 28671; BRANDON A. RAVELO, NYPD, SHIELD # 26010; MIGUEL E. SANCHEZ, NYPD, SHIELD # 4645, Defendants.		N 16 Civ. 3403 (PGG)			
The jury hereby unanimously makes the following findings:					
<u>LIABILITY</u>					
Section 1983 – Excessive Force					
1.	Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 excessive force claim against Detective Jeremiah Williams?				
	YES	NO <u>X</u>			
2.	Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 excessive force claim against Detective Brandon Ravelo?				
	YES	NO <u>X</u>			
3.	Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 excessive force claim against Sergeant Miguel Sanchez?				
	YES	NO X			
4.	Has Carleto Allen proven, excessive force claim agains	by a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 Officer Jozsef Tass?			
	YES	NO X			

If <u>all</u> of your answers to Questions 1 through 4 are "NO," your deliberations are at an end. The foreperson should sign and date this verdict sheet, place it in an envelope, and tell the Marshal that the jury has reached a verdict.

If you answered "YES" to <u>any</u> of Questions 1 through 4, you must answer Questions 5 through 6, <u>except</u> if you answered "YES" to Question 3, you need not answer Question 5 and/or if you answered "YES" to Question 4, you need not answer Question 6.

Section 1983 - Failure to Intervene

5.	las Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 failure to ntervene claim against Sergeant Miguel Sanchez?	
	YES	NO <u>X</u>
6.	Has Carleto Allen proven, by intervene claim against Offic	a preponderance of the evidence, his Section 1983 failure to er Jozsef Tass?
	YES	NO X
remain then y	ning questions on this form. our deliberations are at an e	Questions 1 through 6, you must proceed to answer the If you answered "NO" to <u>all</u> of Questions 1 through 6, and, and the foreperson should sign and date the verdict inform the Marshal that the jury has reached a verdict.
INTE	RROGATORIES	
7.	Did the Defendants prove, by arrest?	a preponderance of the evidence, that Carleto Allen resisted
	YES	NO
8.	Did the Defendants prove, by gun in his jacket at the time of	y a preponderance of the evidence, that Carleto Allen had a of his arrest?
	YES	NO
<u>DAM</u>	AGES	
9.	· •	a preponderance of the evidence, that he suffered actual respect to his excessive force and/or failure to intervene
	YES	NO

Case 1:16-cv-03403-PGG Document 99 Filed 04/12/19 Page 4 of 5

10.		Question 9, state the amount that should be awarded as Carleto Allen with respect to that claim:		
	\$			
	-	uestion 9, what amount of nominal damages, not to exceed Carleto Allen with respect to that claim?		
	\$			
11.	Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that punitive damages should be awarded against Detective Jeremiah Williams?			
	YES	NO		
	If YES, state the amount that should be awarded as punitive damages:			
	\$			
12.	-	Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that punitive damages should be awarded against Detective Brandon Ravelo?		
	YES	NO		
	If YES, state the amount the	at should be awarded as punitive damages:		
	\$			
13.		Has Carleto Allen proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that punitive damages should be awarded against Sergeant Miguel Sanchez?		
	YES	NO		
	If YES, state the amount that should be awarded as punitive damages:			
	\$			
14.	Has Carleto Allen proven, should be awarded against	by a preponderance of the evidence, that punitive damages Officer Jozsef Tass?		
	YES	NO		
	If YES, state the amount th	at should be awarded as punitive damages:		
	\$			

Case 1:16-cv-03403-PGG Document 99 Filed 04/12/19 Page 5 of 5

After completing this form, the Foreperson should sign and date it, place it in an envelope, and inform the Marshal that the jury has have reached a verdict.

Dated: 4 10 17

Signature of Foreperson