From Mike Browne, Deputy Director of One Wisconsin Now

From an email sent March 2, 2020

**So far, Dan Kelly’s ruled for WILL 100% of the time**
Thus far, Kelly has sat on a case in which WILL represented a litigant, Kelly has ruled in consistent with WILL's client.

- [Vincent Milewski v. Town of Dover, 2015AP1523](https://wiappellate.org/case/2015ap1523/) - wrote lead opinion in ruling for WILL
- [Voters with Facts v. City of Eau Claire, 2015AP1858](https://wiappellate.org/case/2015ap1858/) - dissented, would have ruled in WILL's favor
- [E. Glen Porter, III v. State of Wisconsin, 2016AP1599](https://wiappellate.org/case/2016ap1599/) - dissented, would have ruled in WILL's favor
- [John McAdams v. Marquette University, 2017AP1240](https://wiappellate.org/case/2017ap1240/) - wrote lead opinion and wrote concurrence in ruling for WILL
- [Kristi Koschkee v. Carolyn Stanford Taylor, 2017AP2278](https://wiappellate.org/case/2017ap2278/) (re: authority, not re: attorney choice) - joined majority opinion in ruling for WILL & reversing precedent from just a few years prior
- [Nancy Bartlett v. Tony Evers, 2019AP1376](https://wiappellate.org/case/2019ap1376/) - pending case about Evers' veto power, no rulings yet

This includes the two cases in which WILL has submitted an amicus brief as well.

- [Tetra Tech](https://wiappellate.org/case/2017ap1240/) - Kelly wrote the majority/lead opinion (it was a majority as to some parts of his analysis and conclusions, and a lead as to others).
- [DWD v. LIRC](https://wiappellate.org/case/2017ap1240/) - Kelly joined the unanimous ruling in this case, which was decided after Tetra Tech.