Exhibit M



Scott Anderson <scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com>

eFOIA Request Received

efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov <efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov> To: scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com

Mon, Aug 19, 2019 at 12:21 PM

Organiza	tion Representative Information
Organization Name	Lawfare
Prefix	
First Name	Scott
Middle Name	
Last Name	Anderson
Suffix	
Email	scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com
Phone	
Location	United States
Domost:	
Domesti	c Address
Address Line 1	P.O. Box 33226
Address Line 2	

Case 1:19-cv-03773-ABJ Document 1-13 Filed 12/18/19 Page 3 of 6

City Washington

State District of Columbia

Postal 20033

Agreement to Pay

How you will pay

I am requesting a fee waiver for my request and have reviewed the FOIA reference guide. If my fee waiver is denied, I am willing to pay additional fees and will enter that maximum amount in the box below.

Allow up to \$

200

Proof Of Affiliation for Fee Waiver

Waiver Explanation

 ${\tt FOIA}$ provides that any fees associated with a request are waived if

 $\hat{\mathbf{a}} {\in} \mathbf{m} \text{ disclosure}$ of the information is in the public interest because it is

likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial

interest of the requester.†5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

We are the editor-in-chief and senior editor for Lawfare, an online

publication dedicated to informing public understanding on operations and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

activities of the government. Lawfare is published by The Lawfare Institute,

a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit educational organization, and in cooperation with

The Brookings Institution, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit public policy organization.

This request is submitted in connection with Lawfareâ $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathtt{m}}s$ mission to publish

information that is likely to contribute significantly to the public

understanding of federal agency activities related to law and $\operatorname{national}$

security $\hat{a} \in \text{"specifically,}$ the extent to which FBI personnel are facing

inappropriate political pressures. A former senior FBI

et tala a

Case 1:19-cv-03773-ABJ Document 1-13 Filed 12/18/19 Page 4 of 6

official who was the

subject of disciplinary action due to certain private communications

recently accused the FBI and Justice Department of $\hat{a} \! \in \! \text{ctreat[ing] [him] more}$

harshly than they would have treated similar communications because the

content of [his] communications was critical of President Trump. $\hat{a} \in \text{This}$

request is intended to help determine whether this allegation is true and

FBI personnel may be under inappropriate political pressures as a result.

In addition to satisfying the requirements for a waiver of fees associated

with the search and processing of records, we are entitled to a waiver of $\ensuremath{\text{a}}$

all fees except $\hat{\mathbf{a}} {\in} \mathbf{meas}$ charges for document duplication. $\hat{\mathbf{a}} {\in} \mathbf{c}$

U.S.C. \hat{A} § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Federal law mandates that fees be limited to

document duplication costs for any requester that qualifies as $\hat{\mathbf{a}} {\in} \mathbf{ma}$

representative of the news media. $\hat{a} {\in}$ Id. Lawfare is a $\hat{a} {\in} \text{cenews}$ media

organization[] $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ athers information of potential interest to a segment

of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.â§ Natâ§ $^{\text{TM}}1$ Sec. Archive

v. Depâ
€ The formation of Defense, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). We intend to give

the public access to documents transmitted via FOIA on our website, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} https://www.lawfareblog.com, and to provide information about and analysis \end{tabular}$

of those documents as appropriate.

D		4 - 42 - 1		
Docu	ımen	tatio	n Fi	ıes

Non-Individual FOIA Request

Request Information

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. \hat{A} \$ 552, we hereby

request that your office produce the following records within $20\ \mathrm{business}$

days:

1. Any records in the FBlâ€ $^{\text{TM}}$ s â€ $^{\text{CC}}$ case Management System†for disciplinary

measures,* or any successor system, indicating that an FBI employee was the $\,$

subject of an oral reprimand, letter of censure, suspension, demotion,

removal, or any other adverse or non-adverse action for

```
alleged misconduct
```

relating to negative statements he or she had made about Willard $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Mitt}}$

Romney.

This request is for documents dating from November 20, 2011, to the present.

We are not requesting the names or any other personally identifiable $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

information about the subjects of these notices and do not object if such $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

information is redacted. We do not believe this request falls within the $\,$

scope of any existing FOIA exemptions. See Department of the $\mathop{\hbox{Air}}\nolimits$ Force v.

Rose, 425 U.S. 352 (1976).

We also request any records describing the processing of this request, $\$

including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and

custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of

this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications

completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they

possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we

also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

If you make a determination that any responsive record, or any $\ensuremath{\operatorname{segment}}$

within a record is exempt from disclosure, we ask that you provide an index $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

of those records at the time you transmit all other responsive records. In

the index, please include a description of the record and the reason for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

exclusion with respect to each individual exempt record or exempt portion of

a record, as provided by Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), $\,$

cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). When you deem a portion of a record $\,$

exempt, we ask that the remainder of the record to be provided, as required $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

by 5 U.S.C. \hat{A} § \hat{A} § 552(a)(8)(A)(ii) & 552(b).

* In a May 2009 report entitled $\hat{a} {\in} ceReview$ of the Federal Bureau of

Investigation $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}$ S Disciplinary System $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}$ (available at https://oig.justice.gov/reports/FBI/e0902/final.pdf), the Justice

Departmentâ \in **s Office of the Inspector General described the FBIâ \in **s use of the

Case Management System as follows: $\hat{\mathbf{a}}\mathfrak{S}$ The FBI implemented the Case Management

System to track allegations, investigations, adjudications, and appeals in a

database in November 2004. One section of the Case Management System tracks

precedent decisions for each offense.†(p. 28)

Expedite

Expedite Reason

FOIA provides for expedited processing where a requestor "demonstrates a compelling need.†5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(i). It defines "compelling needâ€ to include situations where a "request [is] made by a person engaged in disseminating information†and there exists an "urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government activity.†Id. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v). Lawfare is an organization primarily engaged in the information. If FBI personnel are being disproportionately punished due to their political opinions, then this risks compromising a range of important law enforcement and national security investigations. There is an urgent need to inform the public whether these allegations are accurate so that they may encourage their political leaders to correct this behavior and avoid further compromising any ongoing investigations.

Please be advised that efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov is a no-reply email address. Questions regarding your FOIA request may be directed to foipaquestions@fbi.gov. If you have received a FOIPA request number, please include this in all correspondence concerning your request. Please note eFOIPA requests are processed in the order that they are received. If you have not received a FOIPA request number, your request is in the process of being opened at which time it will be assigned a FOIPA request number and correspondence will be forthcoming.

**

Upon receipt of your FOIPA request number, you may check the status of your FOIPA request on the FBI's electronic FOIA Library (The Vault) on the FBI's public website, http://vault.fbi.gov by clicking on the â€~Check Status of Your FOI/PA Request tool' link. Status updates are performed on a weekly basis. If you receive a comment that your FOIPA request number was not located in the database, please check back at a later date.