Exhibit D



Scott Anderson <scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com>

eFOIA Request Received

efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov <efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov> To: scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com

Mon, Aug 19, 2019 at 1:24 PM

Organization Name	Lawfare
Prefix	
FIEIIX	
First Name	Scott
Middle Name	
Last Name	Anderson
Suffix	
Email	scott.anderson@lawfareblog.com
Phone	
Location	United States
_	c Address

Address Line 2

Case 1:1	9-cv-03773-ABJ Document 1-4 Filed 12/18/19 Page 3 of 6
City	Washington
State	District of Columbia
Postal	20033
Aarooma	ent to Pay
Agreenie	shi to r dy
How you will pay	I am requesting a fee waiver for my request and have reviewed the FOIA reference guide. If my fee waiver is denied, I am willing to pay additional fees and will enter that maximum amount in the box below.
Allow up to \$	200
Proof Of	Affiliation for Fee Waiver
Waiver Explanation	FOIA provides that any fees associated with a request are waived if
	$\hat{a}{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}}{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{c}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} }}} et} } be a balance and the set of the $
	likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations
	or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial
	interest of the requester.†5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

We are the editor-in-chief and senior editor for Lawfare, an online publication dedicated to informing public understanding on operations and activities of the government. Lawfare is published by The Lawfare Institute, a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit educational organization, and in cooperation with The Brookings Institution, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit public policy organization. This request is submitted in connection with Lawfare's mission to publish information that is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of federal agency activities related to law and

national securityâ€"specifically, the extent to which FBI personnel are facing inappropriate political pressures. A former senior FBI

```
Case 1:19-cv-03773-ABJ Document 1-4 Filed 12/18/19 Page 4 of 6
official who was the
subject of disciplinary action due to certain private
communications
recently accused the FBI and Justice Department of
"treat[ing] [him] more
harshly than they would have treated similar communications
because the
content of [his] communications was critical of President
Trump.†This
request is intended to help determine whether this allegation
is true and
FBI personnel may be under inappropriate political pressures
as a result.
In addition to satisfying the requirements for a waiver of
fees associated
with the search and processing of records, we are entitled to
a waiver of
all fees except "reasonable standard charges for document
duplication.†5
U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Federal law mandates that fees
be limited to
document duplication costs for any requester that qualifies as
"a
representative of the news media.†Id. Lawfare is a "news
media
organization[]†that "gathers information of potential
interest to a segment
of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw
materials into a
distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.â€
Nat'l Sec. Archive
v. Dep't of Defense, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).
We intend to give
the public access to documents transmitted via FOIA on our
website,
https://www.lawfareblog.com, and to provide information about and
analysis
of those documents as appropriate.
```

Documentation Files

Non-Individual FOIA Request

Request Information	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. \hat{A} § 552, we hereby
	request that your office produce the following records within
	20 business
	days:
	1. Any records in the FBI's "Case Management System†for
	disciplinary
	measures,* or any successor system, indicating that an FBI
	employee was
	accused of misconduct relating to positive statements he or
	she had made
	about Barack H. Obama.
	This request is for documents dating from November 20, 2011,

Case 1:19-cv-03773-ABJ Document 1-4 Filed 12/18/19 Page 5 of 6

to the present. We are not requesting the names or any other personally identifiable information about the subjects of these notices and do not object if such information is redacted. We do not believe this request falls within the scope of any existing FOIA exemptions. See Department of the Air Force v. Rose, 425 U.S. 352 (1976). We also request any records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request. If you make a determination that any responsive record, or any segment within a record is exempt from disclosure, we ask that you provide an index of those records at the time you transmit all other responsive records. In the index, please include a description of the record and the reason for exclusion with respect to each individual exempt record or exempt portion of a record, as provided by Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). When you deem a portion of a record exempt, we ask that the remainder of the record to be provided, as required by 5 U.S.C. §Â§ 552(a)(8)(A)(ii) & 552(b). * In a May 2009 report entitled "Review of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Disciplinary System†(available at https://oig.justice.gov/reports/FBI/e0902/final.pdf), the Justice Department's Office of the Inspector General described the FBI's use of the Case Management System as follows: "The FBI implemented the Case Management System to track allegations, investigations, adjudications, and appeals in a database in November 2004. One section of the Case Management System tracks precedent decisions for each offense.†(p. 28)

Expedite	
Expound	,
Expedite Reason	FOIA provides for expedited processing where a requestor
	"demonstrates a
	compelling need.†5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(i). It defines "compelling needâ€
	to include situations where a "request [is] made by a person
	primarily
	engaged in disseminating information $\hat{\epsilon}$ and there exists an
	"urgency to inform
	the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government
	activity.†Id. § 552(a)(6)(E)(V).
	552(a)(b)(b)(v).
	Lawfare is an organization primarily engaged in the
	dissemination of
	information. If FBI personnel are being disproportionately
	punished due to
	their political opinions, then this risks compromising a range of important
	law enforcement and national security investigations. There is
	an urgent
	need to inform the public whether these allegations are
	accurate so that
	they may encourage their political leaders to correct this
	behavior and
	avoid further compromising any ongoing investigations.

**

Please be advised that efoia@subscriptions.fbi.gov is a no-reply email address. Questions regarding your FOIA request may be directed to foipaquestions@fbi.gov. If you have received a FOIPA request number, please include this in all correspondence concerning your request. Please note eFOIPA requests are processed in the order that they are received. If you have not received a FOIPA request number, your request is in the process of being opened at which time it will be assigned a FOIPA request number and correspondence will be forthcoming.

**

Upon receipt of your FOIPA request number, you may check the status of your FOIPA request on the FBI's electronic FOIA Library (The Vault) on the FBI's public website, http://vault.fbi.gov by clicking on the â€~Check Status of Your FOI/PA Request tool' link. Status updates are performed on a weekly basis. If you receive a comment that your FOIPA request number was not located in the database, please check back at a later date.