EXHIBIT D

Case 1:19-cv-03421-CJN Document 1-8 Filed 11/13/19 Page 2 of 9



September 27, 2019

VIA EMAIL

Jill Eggleston FOIA Officer/Public Liaison National Records Center, FOIA/PA Office P.O. Box 648010 Lee's Summit, MO 64064-8010 uscis.foia@uscis.dhs.gov

Re: Expedited Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Ms. Eggleston:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 6 C.F.R. Part 5, American Oversight makes the following expedited request for records.

Requested Records

American Oversight seeks expedited review of this request for the reasons identified below and requests that USCIS produce the following records as soon as practicable, and at least within twenty business days:

- 1. Records sufficient to identify all employees who entered into a position at USCIS as "political appointees" since June 1, 2019, and the title or position of each employee (to the extent that such individuals have held multiple titles or positions since June 1, 2019, identify each title or position). For purposes of this request, please consider any employee in a PAS position, a presidentially-appointed position, a non-career SES position, or a Schedule C position a "political appointee." This request includes any individual who had been a political appointee prior to June 1, 2019, but changed positions following that time.
- 2. Records sufficient to identify all career employees who have been detailed into the Office of the Director since June 1, 2019; the title or position of each employee while on detail; and each employee's originating agency or component, and prior title (to the extent that such individuals have held multiple titles or positions since June 1, 2019, identify each title or position).

- 3. For each individual identified in response to Parts 1 and 2 of this request:
 - a. The resume provided by the individual to the agency in connection with determining the appropriate salary for the individual, or, if that is not available, a recent resume contained within the agency's records. We have no objection to the redaction of contact information (addresses, telephone numbers, e-mail addresses) for the employee or references, or to the redaction of past salary information. Employment, education, and professional association information is not exempt, and we object to any redactions of such information.
 - b. Any conflicts or ethics waivers or authorizations issued for the individual, including authorizations pursuant to 5 C.F.R. § 2635.502.
 - c. Records reflecting any recusal determination made or issued for the individual.
 - d. Copies of any SF-50 forms for the individual reflecting any change in position or title, including when the employee enters or leaves a position. We have no objection to the redaction of home addresses, telephone numbers, or social security numbers from the SF-50s.
- 4. Records sufficient to show any changes in USCIS leadership since June 1, 2019, including but not limited to any new or updated USCIS organizational charts.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a) (4) (A) (iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."¹ The requested records directly concern identifiable operations or activities of the government—namely, the background and qualifications of appointed and career employees working for the agency. Since January 20, 2017, the Trump administration has been appointing or assigning individuals to play significant roles in shaping the agenda of every federal agency, with frequent changes along the way. Identifying who these people are, and the background and perspectives that they bring to their jobs as federal employees, is essential

¹ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a) (4) (A) (iii).

to informing the public regarding the operations and decisionmaking of the federal government. In particular, only with clarity regarding the identity and background of these appointees can the public make informed assessments regarding whether decisions might have been influenced by conflicts of interest among the decisionmakers and whether those employees have personal or private interests affected by their policy actions. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.² As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.³

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.⁴ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;⁵ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;⁶ posting

³ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,500 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK,

² See id.

<u>https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/</u> (last visited Aug. 27, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <u>https://twitter.com/weareoversight</u> (last visited Aug. 27, 2019).

⁴ News, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/blog</u>.

⁵ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance</u>; Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents</u>.

⁶ See generally Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; see, e.g., Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;⁷ posting records and analysis relating to the federal government's efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;⁸ posting records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice's decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President's political opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.⁹

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Application for Expedited Processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(1) and 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e), American Oversight requests that your agency expedite the processing of this request.

I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information requested is urgently needed in order to inform the public concerning actual or alleged government activity. President Trump appointed Mr. Cuccinelli as acting director of USCIS in June 2019.¹⁰ Since that time, Acting Director Cuccinelli has made substantial and controversial changes to USCIS policies and operations, including asking USCIS staffers to volunteer to help U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) implement a new program that keeps asylum-seekers in Mexico,¹¹ and introducing a new rule that will allow the Trump administration to deny permanent residency to immigrants who use or are

https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-nofunding-no-timeline-no-wall.

⁷ Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.'s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-</u> jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business.

⁸ Investigating the Trump Administration's Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia</u>.

⁹ Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted On Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter</u>.

¹⁰ See Julia Ainsley & Jonathan Allen, *Trump Taps Conservative Ken Cuccinelli to Head Citizenship Agency*, NBC NEWS (June 10, 2019, 1:11 PM),

https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/immigration/trump-taps-conservative-ken-cuccinellihead-citizenship-agency-n1015801.

¹¹ Hamed Aleaziz, *Civil Servants Who Process Immigration Applications Are Being Asked to Help ICE Instead*, BUZZFEED NEWS (July 17, 2019, 2:30 PM),

https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/hamedaleaziz/uscis-immigration-applicationsbacklog-ice.

likely to use welfare benefits.¹² Acting Director Cuccinelli has additionally signaled that the public should expect further significant changes to USCIS policies and operations.¹³ However, there is still much that the American public does not know about how Acting Director Cuccinelli has changed USCIS. The records that American Oversight seeks go directly to whether and to what extent Acting Director Cuccinelli has significantly changed the leadership of USCIS.

Moreover, I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that there is an urgent need to inform the public about the Acting Director's decisions as the head of USCIS. Questions linger over the propriety of Mr. Cuccinelli's appointment pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act,¹⁴ and any high-level decisions with regard to USCIS leadership that he has made following his appointment are critical context for informing the public discussion on that topic. Moreover, the Trump administration's immigration policy is a matter of significant public debate,¹⁵ and ensuring that citizens are able to participate in a public debate in an informed manner was one of Congress's central purposes in enacting FOIA. *See NLRB v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co.*, 437 U.S. 214, 242 (1978) ("The basic purpose of [the] FOIA is to ensure an informed citizenry, vital to the functioning of a democratic society, needed to check against corruption and to hold the governors accountable to the governed."). It is imperative that the public have access to the records that American Oversight seeks so that discussion around the nation's immigration policy and operations, especially as they concern USCIS, may proceed on a fully-informed basis.

I further certify that American Oversight is primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. Similar to other organizations that have been found

Act, HOMELAND SEC. TODAY, June 19, 2019, <u>https://www.hstoday.us/federal-pages/dhs/uscis-dhs-federal-pages/house-chairmen-question-whether-cuccinelli-appointment-was-lawful-under-vacancies-act/</u>.

¹² Hamed Aleaziz, A New Trump Policy Could Deny Green Cards to Immigrants Who Use Public Benefits, BUZZFEED NEWS (Aug. 12, 2019, 8:54 AM),

https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/hamedaleaziz/trump-policy-green-cards-food-stamps.

¹³ Ken Cuccinelli (@USCISCuccinelli), TWITTER (Aug 14, 2019, 3:39 PM), https://twitter.com/USCISCuccinelli/status/1161723956077957120.

¹⁴ See, e.g., Alexandra Villarreal, USCIS Head Cuccinelli Unlawfully Appointed by Trump, Lawsuit Says, NBC MIAMI (Sept. 6, 11:36 AM), <u>https://www.nbcmiami.com/news/politics/USCIS-Head-Cuccinelli-Unlawfully-Appointed-by-Trump-Lawsuit-559568251.html</u>; Bridget Johnson, House Chairmen Question Whether Cuccinelli Appointment Was Lawful Under Vacancies

¹⁵ See, e.g., Michael D. Shear et al., *Trump's Policy Could Alter the Face of the American Immigrant*, N.Y. TIMES, Aug. 14, 2019,

https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/14/us/immigration-public-charge-welfare.html.

to satisfy the criteria necessary to qualify for expedition,¹⁶ American Oversight "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."¹⁷ American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, and other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.¹⁸ As discussed previously, American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content.¹⁹

Accordingly, American Oversight's request satisfies the criteria for expedition.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight

¹⁶ See ACLU v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30–31 (D.D.C. 2004); EPIC v. Dep't of Defense, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 15 (D.D.C. 2003).

¹⁷ ACLU, 321 F. Supp. 2d at 29 n.5 (quoting EPIC, 241 F. Supp. 2d at 11).

¹⁸ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,500 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK,

⁽last visited Aug. 27, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <u>https://twitter.com/weareoversight</u> (last visited Aug. 27, 2019).

¹⁹ See generally News, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/blog</u>; see, e.g., DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-</u> <u>compliance</u>; Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-</u>

learned-from-the-doj-documents; Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-

<u>funding-no-timeline-no-wall</u>; Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.'s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-usehis-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business; Investigating the Trump Administration's Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-

<u>sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia</u>; Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted On Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,

https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter.

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.²⁰ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.²¹
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,²² and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)
 Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

 ²⁰ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).
 ²¹ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

²² Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <u>https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-</u>

office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Hart Wood at <u>foia@americanoversight.org</u> or 202.873.1743. Also, if American Oversight's request for expedition is not granted or its request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

ustr Reus

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight