1	Alexander H. Fuqua, CA SBN 276045				
2	Sevens Legal, APLC 3555 Fourth Avenue				
3	San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 297-2800				
4	Facsimile: (619) 346-4226 Email: <u>alexander@sevenslegal.com</u>				
5	Attorney for Defendant WEI SING GOH				
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	(HONORABLE JUDGE ALLISON H. GODDARD)				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 19-MJ-3409-AHG-GPC			
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION OF COURT TRANSCRIPTS OF TWO			
13	VS.) BOND HEARINGS FOR AUGUST) 16, 2019 AND AUGUST 22, 2019.			
14	WEI SING GOH,) 10, 2019 AND AUGUST 22, 2019.			
15	Defendant.	{			
16		,			
17	TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND	D ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:			
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the defendant, WEI SING GOH, by and				
19	through his counsel, ALEXANDER H. FUQUA, hereby submits the two bond				
20	hearing transcripts, August 16, 2019 and August 22, 2019, for the court to consider				
21	in the instant matter.				
22					
23	Dated: September 13, 2019	Respectfully submitted,			
24		/s/ Alexander H. Fuqua			
25		Alexander H. Fuqua Attorney for Defendant WEI SING GOH			
26		WEI SING GOII			
27					
28					

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Α,)	
5	Plaintiff,)	No. 19-mj-3409-AHG-GPC
6	V.)	August 16, 2019
7	WEI SING GOH,)	10:28 a.m.
8	Defendant.)	San Diego, California
9				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON H. GODDARD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
12	APPEARANCES:			
13	For the Plaintiff:			S ATTORNEYS OFFICE
14		By: JACLYN 880 Front S	Sti	reet
15	For the Defendant:			alifornia 92101
16	For the Derendant:	SEVENS LEGA By: ALEXAN 3555 Fourth	IDE	ER FUQUA, ESQ.
17				alifornia 92103
18				
19	Court Transcriber:	CYNTHIA R. OTT, RDR, CRR District Court Clerk's Office		
20		333 West Br	02	adway, Suite 420
21				alifornia, 92101 casd.uscourts.gov
22				
23				
24				
25	Recorded by Electronic S	Sound Record	in	g, Transcribed by Computer

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, AUGUST 16, 2019, 10:28 A.M. 1 2 3 THE CLERK: Calling matter number six, 19-mj-3409, United States of America versus Wei Sing Goh. MS. STAHL: Good morning, Your Honor, Jaclyn Stahl for the United States. 6 7 MR. FUQUA: Good morning, Your Honor. Your Honor, Alexander Fuqua making a general appearance on behalf of 8 9 Mr. Goh. And if I could have a brief moment to discuss with 10 him waiving PE. 11 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine, but just make it brief, 12 because we've got a busy calendar. 13 MR. FUQUA: Absolutely. Absolutely. (Counsel conferred with client.) 14 15 MR. FUQUA: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Goh. We're here today 17 for your detention hearing. We'll hear from the government 18 first. 19 MS. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 The government believes that there is no condition or 21 combination of conditions that would reasonably assure this 22 defendant's appearance in court. He's charged with violating 23 49 USC 46504, which has a base offense level of up to 30, which 24 comes to 97 to 121 months. While the specific circumstances of 25 the case will determine ultimately his offense level, that is

the sentence which he currently is facing and up to 20 years.

2.2

This was an assault of at least two people. He interfered with the flight crew for approximately two hours. This endangered the lives of approximately 182 passengers and 11 crew members. There was only one pilot landing the plane when standard operating procedure is two pilots attending to the landing.

During different times throughout the disturbance, the flight crew had to contact the cockpit and talk to the pilots numerous times. This took the pilot's attention away from flying the aircraft and endangered the safety of all on board. It took three passengers and two flight attendants two attempts at restraining the defendant because he was so aggressive.

The weight of the evidence is strong. The defendant acted in this way and numerous witnesses saw it. There are recorded interviews which we will be producing to the defense next week that documents all his behavior.

Most importantly, the defendant has no legal status to be or remain in the United States. His F1 visa was immediately revoked upon his arrest for this case, and while he did have that visa for the last year to study here, it does not appear that he has any significant ties to the United States. He maybe has one friend who he maybe can live with, but he was going to be residing at an Airbnb before that. His finances are unknown.

We know that he is supported by his parents who live in Malaysia. We don't have any information about whether there's any assets here in the United States, that if bond were set the United States would be able to recover if he absconded.

A \$2,000 cash deposit, for example, would a flight ticket of going back to Malaysia, maybe a little bit -- the flight ticket would be a little bit less. I understand from pretrial services that they might be amending their recommendation to also recommend detention based on the defendant's lack of status here in the United States.

Ultimately, given the seriousness of the crime, the evidence, and the defendant's lack of status, we believe that detention is appropriate.

THE COURT: Can you confirm that his passport's been seized?

MS. STAHL: It has by the FBI, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fuqua.

MR. FUQUA: Thank you, Your Honor. Just to point out that the one friend that was mentioned by the government is actually here in court today, it's Ms. Justina Cryder. She has known Mr. Goh for the past three years where they resided together in Malaysia and they both go to school out here as well.

Mr. Goh had been attending Mesa College here in San Diego for the past year and the purpose of him coming back to

the United States was to continue his education at Mesa

College. I've been informed by both pretrial and the

government regarding the passport issue, and I've asked if

there's been any documentation that I can see or that they've

seen that the F1 visa has actually been revoked. Nobody can

provide that information at this time.

It's just what I've been told by pretrial. I do believe that there are a set of combination of circumstances that would ensure Mr. Goh return to court, and I would ask the Court to follow the recommendation of pretrial services.

This case essentially is an individual who had a little too much to drink on an airplane. That's what this all boils down to, and nothing more. The behavior that has been described by the government portrayed by Mr. Goh, he has no recollection due to the alcohol that he consumed that day.

So I understand the seriousness of the offense. I understand that there are 182 passengers on that plane and that the pilots were taken away in flight, not while landing but during flight at about five hours into the flight, that's when the incident occurred.

Mr. Goh is not a danger to the community. He was a danger in that situation, absolutely, but not to the community at large. He has no reason to flee. His passport has been seized by the FBI, and he has no means to exit the United States.

```
So I'd ask the Court, follow the recommendation of
 1
 2
    pretrial at this time.
             MS. STAHL: Your Honor, if I may clarify.
 3
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
              MS. STAHL: I have an FBI printout that shows a final
 5
    order of removal was issued on August 13th, 2019, which was the
 6
    offense date, if defense counsel and Your Honor would like to
 7
 8
    see a copy.
              THE COURT: That's fine. That's probably the least,
10
    I'm concerned about his ties but --
11
              MR. FUQUA:
                         If I could say one more thing, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
13
              MR. FUQUA: As far as a surety in this case,
    Ms. Cryder's mother and Ms. Cryder herself were the individuals
14
15
    responsible for obtaining legal representation for Mr. Goh and
16
    they would also be amenable and agree to be a surety in this
17
    case. And Ms. Cryder's mother does reside in the State of
18
    Kentucky, so if the Court would want an examination at any
19
    point I'd ask it be telephonic.
20
              THE COURT: Do either of them own any property?
21
                         If I may just have a quick moment, Your
              MR. FUQUA:
22
            I've been informed by Ms. Cryder that her mother does
23
    own property in Kentucky.
24
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
25
              MR. FUQUA: Ms. Cryder has informed me that her mother
```

- 1 does own property in Kentucky.
- 2 THE COURT: Do you know -- do we know how much and
- 3 what the equity is?
- 4 MR. FUQUA: Not at this time, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: So I'm going to grant the government's
- 6 motion. Is this a presumption case?
- MS. STAHL: No, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. The Court finds by a preponderance
- 9 of evidence that there's a serious risk the defendant would
- 10 | flee if released pending trial and that no condition or
- 11 | combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure
- 12 his appearance as required.
- 13 Mr. Goh, my order will be without prejudice. I need
- 14 | more information about the property, because so far I've heard
- 15 he just has some very tenuous ties to the United States and I
- 16 | need -- if we're going to release him with such a lack of ties,
- 17 he needs to have very substantial amount of bond that's secured
- 18 by real property.
- In making my order, I've relied -- I've considered the
- 20 | factors set forth in section 3142. I've considered the
- 21 | following factors weigh in favor of detention: The weight of
- 22 | the evidence, although it is the least important factor, the
- 23 lack of significant community or family ties in the district or
- 24 in the United States.
- 25 Based on that, that would be the order of the Court.

And, again, that order will be without prejudice. Mr. Fuqua, 1 do you have any request as to dates? 2 MR. FUQUA: Your Honor, I'd ask to waive the 3 preliminary examination to the arraignment date and I'd ask to 5 confirm the arraignment date. THE COURT: Mr. Goh, your attorney's asked to move 6 7 your preliminary hearing date to continue it to September 12th, currently it's set for August 27th. Your preliminary hearing 8 9 is a time when the government has to present evidence and the 10 Court makes the determination over whether it shows probable 11 cause to hold you over for trial. 12 Understanding that, do you wish to waive -- I'm sorry, 13 understanding that, do you agree to continue your preliminary 14 hearing to September 12th? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: For good cause shown, I will continue 17 Mr. Goh's preliminary hearing to the same date as his 18 arraignment on September 12th, 2019 at 9:30 a.m. And Mr. Goh, you're ordered to appear in court at that 19 20 time. 21 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. FUQUA: 22 MS. STAHL: Your Honor, would you like the government 23 to prepare an order?

THE COURT: I have an order prepared.

25 MS. STAHL: Thank you.

24

1	(The proceedings concluded at 10:39 a.m., August 16, 2019.)
2	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, CYNTHIA R. OTT, Official Court Transcriber, United
5	States District Court, Southern District of California, do
6	hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753 the foregoing is
7	a true, complete and correct transcript from the electronic
8	sound recording of the proceedings had in connection with the
9	above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
10	conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
11	the United States.
12	DATED at San Diego, California, September 7, 2019.
13	DATED at San Diego, California, September 7, 2019.
14	
15	/s/ CYNTHIA R. OTT CYNTHIA R. OTT, RDR, CRR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
5	Plaintiff,)	No. 19-mj-3409-AHG-GPC
6	V .)	August 22, 2019
7	WEI SING GOH,)	9:37 a.m.
8	Defendant.)	San Diego, California
9			,	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON H. GODDARD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
12	APPEARANCES:			
13	For the Plaintiff:	UNITED STAT By: JACLYN		S ATTORNEYS OFFICE
14		880 Front S	Str	
15	For the Defendant:	SEVENS LEGA		
16	ror the berendant.		IDE	ER FUQUA, ESQ.
17				alifornia 92103
18				
19	Court Transcriber:	CYNTHIA R. OTT, RDR, CRR District Court Clerk's Office		
20		333 West Br	08	adway, Suite 420 Alifornia, 92101
21				casd.uscourts.gov
22				
23				
24				
25	Recorded by Electronic S	Sound Record:	in	g, Transcribed by Computer

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, AUGUST 22, 2019, 9:37 A.M. 1 2 THE CLERK: Calling matter number 12, 19-mj-3409, 3 United States of America versus Wei Sing Goh. MS. STAHL: Good morning, Your Honor. Jaclyn Stahl for the United States. 6 7 MR. FUQUA: Good morning, Your Honor, Alexander Fuqua on behalf of Mr. Goh, he is present in custody. 8 MS. CRYDER: Good afternoon (inaudible). 10 MR. FUQUA: And on the phone is the proposed surety, 11 Audrone Cryder, A-U-D-R-O-N-E, last name Cryder, C-R-Y-D-E-R. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. So Mr. Fugua, why don't you 13 explain to us what's happened since the last time we saw you. 14 MR. FUQUA: Thank you, Your Honor. Since the last 15 time, I had a chance to speak to Mr. Goh and also Ms. Cryder 16 regarding providing the Court with a proposed bond in this 17 case. 18 At the last hearing, pretrial services had recommended 19 a \$20,000 personal surety bond. At this time, we are proposing 20 to the Court a hundred thousand dollar personal surety bond with 10 percent down. The surety is not related to Mr. Goh, 21 however, they do have significant ties. 22 23 Ms. Cryder, Ms. Audrone Cryder's daughter, Justina 24 Cryder who, again, is present in court today and Mr. Goh were 25 at some point in a dating relationship. But not only that,

when Mr. Goh was living in Malaysia, Ms. Cryder, Justina Cryder resided with Mr. Goh's family. They sponsored her while she was studying in Malaysia.

The proposed request today also includes Audrone Cryder providing housing for Mr. Goh. Audrone Cryder has agreed to and is willing to sign a six month lease for Mr. Goh to reside in San Diego, and that six month lease could be renewable depending on how long this case takes.

At the initial detention hearing, the government had stated that Mr. Goh is facing a base level offense of 30. I've had a chance to review the sentencing guidelines in this case and I'd like to point the Court's attention from the guideline manual section 2A5.2, which does discuss the offense with which Mr. Goh has been charged with.

It is true that he could be facing a base level offense 30, however, that would require an intentional act. As the Court is aware through the probable cause statement in this case, all these acts that are alleged against Mr. Goh occurred after he had consumed about seven bottles of wine, the individual sized bottles on an airplane.

At best, based on these guidelines, Mr. Goh would be facing a base offense level of 18, which is more about the reckless acts and behavior that was allegedly committed on the plane.

So that really brings down what he's facing quite a

1 bit. Additionally, Your Honor --

2.2

THE COURT: What would that be, Mr. Fuqua,

3 | approximately? What's a likely sentence?

MR. FUQUA: 27 to 33 months. But it could go as low as nine, which would be a sentence of four to 10 months. And if we were to -- if he's safety valve eligible, that would even reduce it further.

So there are things, you know, in front of us that could reduce a potential sentence for Mr. Goh where this could result in possible probation. Straight probation.

The Court is well aware that individuals who come into this courtroom with charges of 1325 and 1326 are given bond. They have the opportunity to make bond. And that's what we're asking for in this case.

Mr. Goh has a right to defend these charges. Keeping him detained to defend these charges makes this case much more difficult given the circumstances. We have received from the government a detention -- I'm sorry, a removal order. That can easily be overcome by a parol letter from the government.

Not only that but Mr. Goh has retained immigration counsel to contest that order. So he does want to contest these charges facing him here in this court. He is contesting the removal order. And it is very much possible for the government to issue a parole letter to Mr. Goh so that he is able to defend these charges rather than having him just

1 deported and banned from this country for five years.

2.2

And if he were to return in six years, for example, if he doesn't face these charges, now he's got a warrant out for his arrest and we're going to be back here at stage one all over again. So I do believe in this case a hundred thousand dollar personal surety bond secured by the signature of Audrone Cryder would be more than sufficient to ensure that Mr. Goh comes back to court and faces these charges in this case.

THE COURT: And there was some -- my recollection is that when you were before me before, there was some discussion of possibly like secured by real property. Is that a possibility?

MR. FUQUA: Not -- no. Because the property is in Kentucky.

THE COURT: Kentucky, that's right.

MR. FUQUA: So it's out of state, so that's not possible. That's why we are offering five times more than what was originally requested. And if the Court is concerned that 10 percent is not enough, I believe we could go a bit higher than that to assure the Court that Mr. Goh does return to court.

THE COURT: And let's hear from Ms. Stahl, please.

MS. STAHL: Your Honor, I don't believe there's been any change in circumstance. I do believe you may have an amended report from pretrial services. They are recommending

detention.

2.2

The defendant has no legal status here. He's subject to an expedited removal from which he has no right of appeal. He simply has no status. He can not obtain any status. The government can request a parole letter to keep him here pending criminal charges, however, that will not put him in custody. He will be at large. And as, you know, given that we are in San Diego, he can walk into Mexico, obtain a new passport for Malaysia and leave our jurisdiction permanently.

He has no significant ties here in San Diego. He knows one person, one friend, ex-girlfriend. Her mother lives in Kentucky, does not live here. I've spoken with individuals in my office who are part of the financial litigation unit, and they have stated that we can use real property outside of this state, it just depends on the other state's rules, which I have not had the chance to research.

However, I don't believe that property from someone who is not legally tied to the defendant that's in another state would be sufficient. He has no obligations to that individual. He's not married to her daughter. They're simply just not tied, and I do not believe there's a significant nexus between her putting up any real property and his staying here.

The weight of the evidence is strong here. He has no stable residence and while there could be signed a lease, he does not have somewhere where he would be residing, for

example, with family members, which we often see in 1325s or 26 context.

Here, I do agree that the base offense level may be 18 for recklessness. Safety valve does not apply in this case but I think defense counsel may be referencing acceptance of responsibility. This is still facing over a year in jail and we believe that there are no combination of circumstances that would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance in court.

THE COURT: Ms. Cryder.

MS. CRYDER: Yes, ma'am.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you for being here today. Have you met Mr. Goh personally?

MS. CRYDER: Yes, I have, not only met him personally, he has visited us in Kentucky on at least three, possibly four occasions, I'm trying to remember how many times, for a week, two weeks at a time.

He has traveled with us on family vacations to Europe. I have visited his family in Penang when I was visiting my daughter in college and met them there. And I've known the boy for three years.

THE COURT: And what's your current relationship to him?

MS. CRYDER: So without really going into very personal details, Wei Sing has been -- and please forgive me for getting emotional, he has been an integral part of my

daughter's life and really just helping her get through a lot of personal issues that she was having. Without him, she probably wouldn't be where she is today.

2.2

I have had nothing but appreciation and gratitude for him for having done that for her. I understand that I don't know exactly what happened but something happened, otherwise he wouldn't be where he is today. But I have absolutely no doubt that he is — he is the most honest person and person of integrity. And a hundred thousand dollars to me is a lot of money. It's not all I have, but it's a very significant amount for me.

And I am willing to put it up, because I don't believe there is even the slightest chance that I will lose that money. There's not a chance that he would ever do something like (inaudible) and have me there (inaudible). He isn't that kind of person.

THE COURT: Okay. And I apologize, Ms. Cryder, we should have put you under oath before you started talking. So I'm going to have my clerk put you under oath now and I'm going to ask you to reconfirm what you just told me once you're under oath. Go ahead, Trina.

AUDRONE CRYDER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURT: And Ms. Cryder, having now been placed under oath, can you confirm that all the statements that you made prior to the Court are true and correct?

1 MS. CRYDER: I do confirm that, yes. 2 THE COURT: And can I hear from pretrial services? 3 The recommendation has changed. Is there any other information or? MR. ORTIZ: Your Honor, good morning, Jose Ortiz, pretrial services. I submitted a report. We did go ahead and 6 7 confirm that the defendant has no -- clearly has no legal status and he is under removal proceedings. Initially when we 8 9 interviewed the defendant, we believed he had legal status here 10 in the United States. 11 Upon further verification, we realized that he does 12 not. So our recommendation changed to detention based off of 13 that and the foreign ties that we see. But other than that, 14 Your Honor, no further information at this time. 15

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fuqua?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FUQUA: Your Honor, just to state that there's -- there's no risk that Mr. Goh is going to go into Mexico and obtain a new passport through whatever possible illegal means to fly back to Malaysia. He does have ties here in the sense that Justina Cryder is here. He goes to school here.

He was coming back here to go to school specifically. He does have other friends from school, but I mean, they're not as close nor as important as Justina is to him.

THE COURT: And anything else from you, Ms. Stahl?

```
MS. STAHL: Your Honor, I think his family, his whole
 1
 2
    life is back in Malaysia. He studied here for one year.
    hasn't made significant ties or friendships besides the one.
 3
                                                                   Ι
    don't doubt that they are close, that he knows her family as
 5
    the proposed surety has said, but that simply does not satisfy
    the government that he would be willing to stay here to face
 6
 7
    these very serious charges.
 8
              THE COURT: On August 16th, 2019, the Court ordered
 9
    Mr. Goh detained pending trial. The Court has considered all
10
    the information presented today by counsel and by Ms. Cryder.
    The Court finds that there are no changed circumstances to
11
12
    support modifying the existing order of detention.
13
              So Mr. Goh will remain under detention.
                                                       There'll be
14
    no modification to that order.
15
              MS. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
17
              MR. FUQUA:
                          Is that still without prejudice, Your
18
    Honor?
19
              THE COURT: It's still without prejudice, correct.
20
              MR. FUQUA: Okay. Thank you.
21
     (The proceedings concluded at 9:50 a.m., August 22, 2019.)
2.2
23
24
25
```

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE I, CYNTHIA R. OTT, Official Court Transcriber, United States District Court, Southern District of California, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753 the foregoing is a true, complete and correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings had in connection with the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. DATED at San Diego, California, September 7, 2019. /s/ CYNTHIA R. OTT CYNTHIA R. OTT, RDR, CRR 2.2

1 2 3	Alexander H. Fuqua, SBN 163089 Sevens Legal, APLC 3555 Fourth Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 297-2800			
4	Telephone: (619) 297-2800 Facsimile: (619) 346-42261 Email: <u>alexander@sevenslegal.com</u>			
5	Attorney for Defendant WEI SING GOH			
6	WEI SING GOII			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	(HONORABLE JUDGE ALLISON H. GODDARD)			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 19-MJ-3409-AHG-GPC		
12	Plaintiff,			
13	VS.	PROOF OF SERVICE		
14	WEI SING GOH,			
15	Defendant.			
16		,		
17	Counsel for Defendant certifies that	at the following document:		
18				
19	Notice of Transcript Submission.			
20	2. Transcripts of Two Bond Hearings.			
21				
22	was filed electronically and was caused to be sent electronically per			
23	CM/ECF policies to the U.S. Attorney, on the same day of the electronic filing.			
24	Dated: September 13, 2019 Re	espectfully submitted,		
25	<u>/s/</u>	Alexander H. Fuqua		
26	Al De	exander H. Fuqua, Attorney for efendant WEI SING GOH		
27				
28				