

DATE: [] APR 03 (b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

TO: DIRECTOR

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - [] APRIL 2003 INTERROGATION OF KHALID SHAYKH MUHAMMAD

(b)(1) ----- BODY -----
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct ~~TOP SECRET~~ (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct PAGE 001 [] APR 03

(b)(1) ~~TOP SECRET~~ [] APR 03 STAFF (b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR.

FOR: (b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - [] APRIL 2003 INTERROGATION OF KHALID SHAYKH MUHAMMAD

REF: A. DIRECTOR (b)(1)
B. DIRECTOR (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

TEXT:

1. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE, FYI.

2. ATMOSPHERICS:

A. ON [] APRIL 2003, ((KHALID SHAYKH MUHAMMAD)) (KSM) WAS

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) CIAAct INTERROGATED/DEBRIEFED BY HVT INTERROGATORS [redacted] WITH ALEC SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT [redacted] (b)(1)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

[redacted] MOST SIGNIFICANT WAS KSM'S ADMISSION THAT AL-QA'IDA WAS INVOLVED IN THE DANIEL PEARL KIDNAPPING AND ASSASSINATION INCLUDING THE FACT THAT HE (KSM) WAS THE INDIVIDUAL WHO KILLED DANIEL PEARL (REPORTED SEPTEL).

3. ENHANCED MEASURES: NONE.

4. ASSESSMENT: KSM'S REVELATION THAT HE WAS THE INDIVIDUAL WHO KILLED DANIEL PEARL WAS A SIGNIFICANT ADMISSION. THIS ADMISSION DEMONSTRATED THAT KSM HAS PROGRESSED TO THE POINT THAT HE IS WILLING TO DIVULGE TRUTHFUL INFORMATION EVEN WHEN THIS INFORMATION IS ASSOCIATED WITH A POTENTIALLY HIGH DEGREE OF PERSONAL RISK AND EXPOSURE. THE HVT INTERROGATION TEAM INTENDS TO LEVERAGE THIS IMPORTANT BEHAVIORAL SHIFT BY CONTINUING TO FOCUS ON CRITICAL THREAT INFORMATION TO INCLUDE RETURNING TO CRITICAL AREAS WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN ADDRESSED.

5. MEDICAL: KSM REMAINS STABLE WITHOUT MEDICAL RESTRICTIONS THAT WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY LIMIT INTERROGATION.

6. PLANS: CONTINUE TO DEBRIEF AND TO DECONFLICT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED INCONSISTENCIES.

7. HVT PSYCHOLOGIST COMMENTS: AS NOTED IN YESTERDAY'S REPORT PLAN, HVT PSYCHOLOGIST WAS GOING TO DISCONTINUE WRITING THIS SECTION OF THE KSM INTERROGATION REPORT TODAY. HOWEVER, DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY REVELATION IN TODAY'S SESSION, HVT PSYCHOLOGIST HAS INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING OBSERVATIONS. AT THE COMPLETION OF THE MORNING DEBRIEFING SESSION, THE INTERROGATOR REMAINED IN THE SUBJECT'S CELL AFTER THE DEPARTURE OF TDY DEBRIEFER AND SPOKE WITH THE SUBJECT ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCE TO DATE AT [redacted] THE INTERROGATOR UNDERSCORED THAT SUBJECT HAD BEEN DEALT WITH IN A WAY THAT WAS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE INTERROGATORS HAD PROMISED FROM THE BEGINNING. KSM ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE WAS AWARE THE INTERROGATORS HAD TREATED HIM ACCORDING TO THEIR WORD. KSM INQUIRED ABOUT HOW WELL HE WAS DOING AND SEEMED DISTRESSED WHEN THE INTERROGATOR INDICATED THAT HE HAD A CONSIDERABLE WAY TO GO AND STILL HAD TO DEMONSTRATE THAT HE COULD BE CONSISTENTLY TRUTHFUL. THE INTERROGATOR REMINDED HIM THAT IN TODAY'S SESSION HE (KSM) HAD STATED THAT HE (KSM) WAS TRUTHFUL BUT DIDN'T READILY VOLUNTEER INFORMATION. AFTER A FURTHER QUIET EXCHANGE OF WORDS, KSM SAID THAT HE HAD SOMETHING MORE TO TELL. HE THEN ASKED IF THE TDY DEBRIEFER SHOULD BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE ROOM TO HEAR WHAT HE HAD TO SAY. WHEN THE TDY DEBRIEFER RETURNED, KSM BEGAN HIS STORY

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

STARTING WITH EVENTS IN [REDACTED] THAT PRECEDED DANIEL PEARL'S KIDNAPPING. KSM SPOKE WITH SOMEWHAT LOW VOLUME AND APPEARED INCREASINGLY SOLEMN AS HIS STORY UNFOLDED. HIS VOICE REMAINED GENERALLY STEADY BUT HE BROKE EYE-CONTACT WITH TDY DEBRIEFER AND INTERROGATOR AND FREQUENTLY LOOKED DOWN AT HIS LAP AS HE ADDRESSED THE ACTUAL KILLING OF DANIEL PEARL. KSM SAID THAT HE WANTED TO TELL THE INTERROGATOR AND TDY DEBRIEFER THIS BECAUSE HE HAD NOT BEEN TRUTHFUL ABOUT THE TOPIC WHEN QUESTIONED IN THE PAST. HE WANTED TO SHOW THAT HE INTENDED TO BE "TRUTHFUL ABOUT EVERYTHING." KSM APPEARS TO HAVE TAKEN A SIGNIFICANT STEP IN THE DIRECTION OF BEING TRUTHFUL. HIS DISCLOSURE ABOUT HIS ROLE IN DANIEL PEARL'S DEATH MAY HAVE DEMONSTRATED THAT HE DOES INDEED TRUST THAT HE WILL BE TREATED FAIRLY WHEN HE IS TRUTHFUL EVEN WHEN DIVULGING INCRIMINATING AND DISTURBING INFORMATION. PER TODAY'S OBSERVATIONS, THERE ARE NO INDICATIONS OF ANY PROFOUND AND PERMANENT EMOTIONAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM.

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

END OF MESSAGE

~~TOP SECRET~~