Case 1:19-cv-01915 Document 1-3 Filed 06/26/19 Page 1 of 4



Pursuing Freedom & Opportunity through Justice & Accountability[™]

May 24, 2019

VIA E-MAIL

Export-Import Bank of the United States Freedom of Information and Privacy Office 811 Vermont Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20571 E-mail: foia@exim.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

I write on behalf of Cause of Action Institute ("CoA Institute"), a 501(c)(3) nonpartisan government oversight organization that uses investigative, legal, and communications tools to educate the public about how government accountability, transparency, and the rule of law protect individual liberty and economic opportunity.¹

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, CoA Institute hereby requests the following records:² All communications to or from the following Export-Import Bank ("EXIM") employees referring to the Government Accountability Office, GAO, Seto Bagdoyan, System for Award Management, or Delinquent Federal Debt:

- 1. Jeffrey Goettman, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
- 2. Nicole M. Behrendt, Vice President and Deputy Chief Operating Officer
- 3. Margaux Matter, Senior Vice President and Chief of Staff
- 4. David Slade, Senior Vice President and General Counsel
- 5. Kenneth M. Tinsley, Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer

The time period for this request is October 1, 2017 to the present.³

For the purposes of this request, please omit daily news clippings or other mass mailings unless there is commentary related to them. For all items of this request, if the agency uncovers responsive email records, CoA Institute's request specifically seeks the entirety of any email chain, any portion of which contains an individual email message responsive to this request, *i.e.*, the

¹ See Cause of Action Institute, About, www.causeofaction.org/about/.

² The term "record" means the entirety of the record any portion of which contains responsive information. *See Am. Immigration Lawyers Ass'n v. Exec. Office for Immigration Review*, 830 F.3d 667, 677–78 (D.C. Cir. 2016) (admonishing agency for withholding information as "non-responsive" because "nothing in the statute suggests that the agency may parse a responsive record to redact specific information within it even if none of the statutory exemptions shields that information from disclosure").

³ For purposes of this request, the term "present" should be construed as the date on which the agency begins its search for responsive records. *See Pub. Citizen v. Dep't of State*, 276 F.3d 634 (D.C. Cir. 2002). The term "record" means the entirety of the record any portion of which contains responsive information.

Case 1:19-cv-01915 Document 1-3 Filed 06/26/19 Page 2 of 4

Export-Import Bank of the United States May 24, 2019 Page 2

entire email chain is responsive. If the agency identifies responsive records that it deems outside its legal control (e.g., congressional records), CoA Institute requests that the agency inform it that such records exist. If responsive records already have been made public in response to another FOIA request, CoA Institute requests that those records be produced first, while the agency conducts its search and review for additional records. If EXIM has any questions about this request, CoA Institute is happy to discuss the matter with the FOIA officer processing the request.

Request for a Public Interest Fee Waiver

CoA Institute requests a waiver of any and all applicable fees. The FOIA and applicable regulations provide that the agency shall furnish requested records without or at reduced charge if "disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." In this case, the requested records unquestionably shed light on the "operations or activities of the government" as they relate to the Government Accountability Office's ("GAO") oversight of EXIM. Responsive records will significant contribute to public understanding because, to date, EXIM has not been released a substantive response to the recent report from the GAO. The public deserves to know if EXIM is taking serious action in response to the deficiencies identified in that report.

CoA Institute has both the intent and ability to make the results of this request available to a reasonably broad public audience through various media. Its staff has significant experience and expertise in government oversight, investigative reporting, and federal public interest litigation. These professionals will analyze the information responsive to this request, use their editorial skills to turn raw materials into a distinct work, and share the resulting analysis with the public, whether through the Institute's regularly published online newsletter, memoranda, reports, or press releases.⁵ In addition, as CoA Institute is a non-profit organization as defined under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, it has no commercial interest in making this request.

Request to Be Classified as a Representative of the News Media

As the D.C. Circuit recently held, the "representative of the news media" test is properly focused on the requestor, not the specific FOIA request at issue. CoA Institute satisfies this test because it gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience. Although it is not required by the statute, CoA Institute gathers the news it regularly publishes from a variety of sources, including FOIA requests, whistleblowers/insiders, and scholarly works. It does not merely make raw information available to the public, but rather distributes distinct work products, including articles, blog posts, investigative reports, newsletters, and congressional testimony and

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); see also Cause of Action v. Fed. Trade Comm'n, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115–19 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (discussing proper application of public-interest fee waiver test).

⁵ See also Cause of Action, 799 F.3d at 1125–26 (holding that public interest advocacy organizations may partner with others to disseminate their work).

⁶ See id. at 1121.

Export-Import Bank of the United States May 24, 2019 Page 3

statements for the record.⁷ These distinct works are distributed to the public through various media, including CoA Institute's website, Twitter, and Facebook. CoA Institute also provides news updates to subscribers via e-mail.

The statutory definition of a "representative of the news media" contemplates that organizations such as CoA Institute, which electronically disseminate information and publications via "alternative media[,] shall be considered to be news-media entities." In light of the foregoing, numerous federal agencies have appropriately recognized CoA Institute's news media status in connection with its FOIA requests.⁹

⁷ See, e.g., CoA Institute, Evading Oversight: The Origins and Implications of the IRM Claim That Its RULES DO NOT HAVE AN ECONOMIC IMPACT (Jan. 2018), http://coainst.org/2mgpYAu; CoA Institute, Documents Reveal Special Interest Groups Lobbied HUD for Mortgage Settlement Funds (Aug. 8, 2017), http://coainst.org/2yLaTyF; CoA Institute, The GSA Has No Records on its New Policy for Congressional Oversight Requests (July 26, 2017), http://coainst.org/2eHooVq; CoA Institute, Sensitive Case Reports: A Hidden Cause OF THE IRS TARGETING SCANDAL (Mar. 2017), http://coainst.org/2y0fbOH; CoA Institute, Sec. Vilsack followed ethics guidelines when negotiating his future employment, (Feb. 3, 2017), http://coainst.org/2mJljJe; COA INSTITUTE, REPORT: PRESIDENTIAL ACCESS TO TAXPAYER INFORMATION INVESTIGATIVE http://coainst.org/2d7qTRY; James Valvo, There is No Tenth Exemption (Aug. 17, 2016), http://coainst.org/2doJhBt; COA INSTITUTE, MEMORANDUM: LEGAL ANALYSIS OF FORMER SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY CLINTON'S USE OF A PRIVATE SERVER TO STORE EMAIL RECORDS (Aug. 24, 2015), http://coainst.org/2eXhXe1; CoA Institute, CIA too busy for transparency (Aug. 11, 2016), http://coainst.org/2mtzhhP; Hearing on Revisiting IRS Targeting: Progress of Agency Reforms and Congressional Options Before the Subcomm. on Oversight, Agency Action, Fed. Rights & Fed. Courts of the S. Comm. on the Judiciary, 114th Cong. (Aug. 5, 2015) (statement of Erica L. Marshall, Counsel, CoA Inst.), http://coainst.org/2mJC8DH; Hearing on Watchdogs Needed: Top Government Investigator Positions Left Unfilled for Years Before the S. Comm. on Homeland Sec. & Gov't Affairs, 114th Cong. (June 3, 2015) (statement of Daniel Z. Epstein, Exec. Dir., CoA Inst.), http://coainst.org/2mrwHr1; Hearing on Ongoing Oversight: Monitoring the Activities of the Justice Department's Civil, Tax and Environmental and Natural Resources Divisions and the U.S. Trustee Program Before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 114th Cong. (May 19, 2015) (statement of Daniel Z. Epstein, Exec. Dir., CoA Inst.), http://coainst.org/2n7LxWG; CoA Institute, 2015 GRADING THE GOVERNMENT REPORT CARD (Mar. 16, 2015), http://coainst.org/2as088a; Hearing on Potential Reforms to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Before the H. Comm. on Oversight & Gov't Reform, 114th Cong. (Feb. 27, 2015) (statement of Daniel Z. Epstein, Exec. Dir., CoA Inst.), http://coainst.org/2lLsph8; CoA Institute, Grading the Government: How the WHITE HOUSE TARGETS DOCUMENT REQUESTERS (Mar. 18, 2014), http://coainst.org/2aFWxUZ.

⁸ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

⁹ See, e.g., FOIA Request No. HQ-2019-00123-F, Dep't of Energy (Nov. 26, 2018); FOIA Request No. OS-2019-00118, Dep't of the Interior (Oct. 31, 2018); FOIA Request No. 2018-HQFO-01215, Dep't of Homeland Sec. (July 10, 2018); FOIA Request No. CFA2018-05, U.S. Comm'n for Fine Arts (June 25, 2018); FOIA Request F-133-18, U.S. Agency for Int'l Dev. (Apr. 11, 2018); FOIA Request 18-HQ-F-487, Nat'l Aeronautics & Space Admin. (Apr. 11, 2018); FOIA Request 1403076-000, Fed. Bureau of Investigation (Apr. 11, 2018); FOIA Request 201800050F, Exp.-Imp. Bank (Apr. 11, 2018); FOIA Request 2016-11-008, Dep't of the Treasury (Nov. 7, 2016); FOIA Request OS-2017-00057 & OS-2017-00060, Dep't of Interior (Oct. 31, 2016); FOIA Request 2017-00497, Office of Personnel Mgmt. (Oct. 21, 2016); FOIA Request 092320167031, Ctrs. for Medicare & Medicaid Servs. (Oct. 17, 2016); FOIA Request 17-00054-F, Dep't of Educ. (Oct. 6, 2016); FOIA Request DOC-OS-2016-001753, Dept. of Commerce (Sept. 27, 2016); FOIA Request 2016-366-F, Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau (Aug. 11, 2016); FOIA Request F-2016-09406, Dept. of State (Aug. 11, 2016); FOIA Request 2016-00896, Bureau of Land Mgmt. (Aug. 10, 2016); FOIA Request 796939, Dep't of Labor (Mar. 7, 2016); FOIA Request 2015-HQFO-00691, Dep't of Homeland Sec. (Sept. 22, 2015); FOIA Request HQ-2015-01689-F, Dep't of Energy (Aug. 7, 2015); FOIA Request 2015-OSEC-04996-F, Dep't of Agric. (Aug. 6, 2015); FOIA Request 15-05002, Sec. & Exch. Comm'n (July 23, 2015); FOIA Request 145-FOI-13785, Dep't of Justice (Jun. 16, 2015); FOIA Request 2015-26, Fed. Energy Regulatory Comm'n (Feb. 13, 2015).

Case 1:19-cv-01915 Document 1-3 Filed 06/26/19 Page 4 of 4

Export-Import Bank of the United States May 24, 2019 Page 4

Record Preservation Requirement

CoA Institute requests that the disclosure officer responsible for the processing of this request issue an immediate hold on all records responsive, or potentially responsive, to this request, so as to prevent their disposal until such time as a final determination has been issued on the request and any administrative remedies for appeal have been exhausted. It is unlawful for an agency to destroy or dispose of any record subject to a FOIA request.¹⁰

Record Production and Contact Information

In an effort to facilitate document review, please provide the responsive documents in electronic form in lieu of a paper production. If a certain portion of responsive records can be produced more readily, CoA Institute requests that those records be produced first and the remaining records be produced on a rolling basis as circumstances permit.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact me by telephone at (202) 499-2422 or by e-mail at kevin.schmidt@causeofaction.org. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

KEVIN SCHMIDT

Kevin Schmidt

DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATIONS

¹⁰ See 36 C.F.R. § 1230.3(b) ("Unlawful or accidental destruction (also called unauthorized destruction) means . . . disposal of a record subject to a FOIA request, litigation hold, or any other hold requirement to retain the records."); Chambers v. Dep't of the Interior, 568 F.3d 998, 1004-05 (D.C. Cir. 2009) ("[A]n agency is not shielded from liability if it intentionally transfers or destroys a document after it has been requested under the FOIA or the Privacy Act."); Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Dep't of Commerce, 34 F. Supp. 2d 28, 41-44 (D.D.C. 1998).