UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 18-CR-204(NGG)

-against-

United States Courthouse

Brooklyn, New York

LAUREN SALZMAN,

Monday, March 25, 2019

4:00 p.m.

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR GUILTY PLEA BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

RICHARD P. DONOGHUE, ESQ.

United States Attorney

Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

BY: MOIRA KIM PENZA. ESQ.

TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorneys

For the Defendant:

QUARLES & BRADY, LLP

Attorneys for the Defendant -

Lauren Salzman

Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004

BY: HECTOR DIAZ, ESQ.

ANDREA S. TAZIOLI, ESQ.

1 (In open court.) 2 (Defendant present in open court.) COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. The United States 3 4 District Court for the Eastern District of New York is now in 5 session. The Honorable Nicholas G. Garaufis is now presiding. (Honorable Nicholas G. Garaufis takes the bench.) 6 7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling criminal cause for guilty plea in Docket No. 18-CR-204, United States of America against 8 Lauren Salzman. 9 10 Counsel, please note your appearances for the 11 record. 12 MS. PENZA: For the United States of America, 13 Assistant United States Attorney Moira Kim Penza and Tanya 14 Hajjar. 15 Good morning, your Honor. 16 MR. DIAZ: Hector Diaz for Lauren Salzman. 17 Good morning, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Before we start with this, let me advise 19 the Government that the Court has filed on ECF a decision 20 regarding holding a suppression hearing. We also have an 21 issue with regard to counsel for Ms. Bronfman. We have to 22 have a status conference Wednesday. 23 MS. PENZA: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: In connection with Ms. Bronfman, please 25 contact Ms. Bronfman's counsel so that we can set this all up.

1 We don't have time to waste here.

MS. PENZA: We understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Sorry to interrupt. The reason we're all here today --

MR. DIAZ: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Appearances, please.

MS. HAJJAR: Tanya Hajjar and Moira Kim Penza for the Government.

MR. DIAZ: Hector Diaz and Andrea Tazioli for Ms. Salzman.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, I understand that your client wishes to withdraw her previously entered pleas to Counts One and Two of the indictment in which she is charged, and to enter a plea of guilty pursuant to an agreement; is that right?

MR. DIAZ: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Salzman, I understand from your attorney that you wish to plead guilty to Counts One and Two of the indictment of the superseding indictment (S-2) in 18-CR-204 which you are charged.

This is a serious decision and I must be certain that you make it with a full understanding of your rights and the consequences of your plea. I am going to explain certain rights to you and then ask you questions. I want your answers to be under oath. The deputy clerk will swear you in.

5 Guilty Plea COURTROOM DEPUTY: 1 Raise your right hand, please. 2 (Defendant sworn.) THE DEFENDANT: 3 I do. 4 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. 5 Thank you. Now, Ms. Salzman, you understand that having been 6 7 sworn to tell the truth you must do so. If you were to 8 deliberately lie in response to any question I ask you, you 9 could face further criminal charges for perjury. 10 Do you understand that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. If I say anything that you do not 13 understand, or if you need me to repeat anything, just ask. 14 It is important that you understand everything that goes on into these proceedings. 15 16 Is that clear? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Salzman, how old are you? 19 THE DEFENDANT: 42. 20 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree. 22 THE COURT: Where did you go to school? 23 THE DEFENDANT: SUNY Oswego. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And what country are you a

citizen?

THE DEFENDANT: The United States. 1

2 THE COURT: And is English your primary language?

> THE DEFENDANT: It is, yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, have you had any difficulty communicating with your client in English?

MR. DIAZ: None, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Salzman, I must be certain that whatever decision you make today you make with a clear head, so I'm going to ask you some questions about your health.

Are you currently, or have you recently been, under the care of a doctor or psychiatrist for any reason?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any pills or drugs or medicine of any kind?

> THE DEFENDANT: I have not.

In the past 24 hours, have you drunk any THE COURT: alcoholic beverages?

THE DEFENDANT: I had one glass of wine almost 24 hours ago.

THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or treated for any drug-related problem?

THE DEFENDANT: I have not.

THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you stand here

25 today?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 2 THE COURT: And do you understand everything being 3 said to you? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: All right. 5 Mr. Diaz, have you discussed the question of a 6 7 guilty plea with your client? 8 MR. DIAZ: We have, your Honor. In your view, does she 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 understand the rights she would be waiving by pleading guilty? 11 MR. DIAZ: She absolutely does, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Do you have any question as to your 13 client's competency to proceed? 14 MR. DIAZ: I have none. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 Ms. Salzman, you have a right to be represented by 17 counsel at trial and at every other stage of the proceedings 18 in this case. 19 Do you understand? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 21 THE COURT: If at any time in the future it becomes 22 necessary to do so, the Court will appoint counsel for you. 23 Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT:

Ms. Salzman, are you are satisfied with

the assistance your attorneys have you given you thus far in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Do you feel you need any more time to discuss with them the question of a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: No thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you are pleading guilty to Counts One and Two of the indictment, superseding indictment (S-2), in 18-CR-204.

Count One charges you with a racketeering conspiracy and Count Two charges you with racketeering. What I'm going to do is I'm going to ask the Government to set forth the charge in Count One as it relates to this defendant and to provide the defendant with the elements of the crime that the Government would have to prove to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt and unanimously in order to convict her if she decided to go to trial.

So why don't we hear from the Government on that. Please listen carefully, ma'am.

MS. HAJJAR: Yes, your Honor.

For Counts One and Two, that's racketeering conspiracy and racketeering, the Government would prove as set forth in the second superseding indictment that in or about and between 2003 and March 2018, in the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere:

1

10 11

9

21

22

20

23 24

25

First, that an enterprise existed. And for this element, the Government would prove that the enterprise was a group of individuals associated-in-fact and was an ongoing organization that operated in the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere. Whose members functioned as a continuing unit for the common purpose of achieving the objectives of the enterprise which included obtaining financial and personal benefits from members of the enterprise by promoting Keith Ranieri and recruiting others into pyramid organizations he created as alleged in the second superseding indictment.

The second element is that the enterprise or its activities affected interstate or foreign commerce. And here, the Government would prove that the enterprise engaged in various types of conduct that affected enterprise and foreign commerce including offering classes to individuals in Brooklyn, Queens, other states and outside the United States that promoted Ranieri's teachings and recruiting individuals who traveled in interstate commerce to reach Albany, New York including through JFK International Airport in Queens as described in the second superseding indictment.

Third, that the defendant was associated with or employed by that enterprise. And for this element, the Government would prove the defendant was a member of the charged enterprise.

And finally, that the defendant agreed to

participate, and did participate, in the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity with the knowledge and intent that she and other co-conspirators would commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the enterprise.

Among other things, the defendant agreed to commit and committed Racketeering Acts 9, Racketeering 10, and 13 of the second superseding indictment which we anticipate the defendant will allocute to today.

In addition, to the elements that I have already set forth, the Government would prove the elements in each of those racketeering acts.

With respect to Racketeering Act 9, trafficking in document servitude of Jane Doe 4. The Government would prove that in or about and between March 2010 and April 2012, in the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant did knowingly recruit, harbor, transport, provide, or obtain a person for labor and services and aid and abet the same; and that such labor and services were to be obtained in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1589 and 18 U.S.C. 1592. That's forced labor and document servitude.

Here, the Government will prove the defendant knowingly participated in a scheme to confine Jane Doe 4 to a room in order for, among other reasons, to require her to perform labor and services including book reports and various writings for Keith Ranieri knowing that Jane Doe 4 was

instructed that if she left the room she would be taken to Mexico without her consent.

with respect to Racketeering Act 10, state law extortion, the Government would prove that in or about and between January 2016 and June 2017, in the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant compelled and induced Jane Does 6 and 10 to deliver personal property by instilling in them a fear that if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would expose secret or publicize an asserted fact whether true or false tending to subject some person to hatred, contempt, or ridicule and perform an act which would not in itself materially benefit the defendant but which was calculated to harm other persons materially with respect to their health, safety, business, calling, career, financial condition, reputation, and personal relationships.

And here, the Government would prove the defendant obtained property, include sexually explicit photographs and videos, credit card authorizations, and rights to assets from her lower-ranked DOS slaves including Jane Does 6 and 11. Having instilled in them the fear that if they did not, she and others would release their collateral thereby exposing secrets about themselves and loved ones whether true or false tending to subject them to hatred, contempt, or ridicule or harm them materially with respect to, among other things, their reputation and personal relationships.

1
 2
 3

With respect to Racketeering Act 13, the Government would prove that in or about and between January 2016 and June 2017, in the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant provided or obtained the labor and services of Jane Doe 6 and 11 by means of serious harm or threats of serious harm to those persons or other persons by means of any scheme, plan, or pattern intended to cause Jane Does 6 and 11 to believe that if they did not perform such labor and services, they would suffer serious harm.

And here, the Government would prove the defendant required those lower-ranking DOS slaves including Jane Does 6 and 11 to perform labor and services including tasks that would otherwise be compensable under theft serious harm including the release of their collateral.

And lastly, the Government would prove that in or about and between January 2016 and June 2017, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property from lower-ranking DOS members by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises; that the defendant knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud. And that in the execution of the scheme, the defendant used or caused to be used the interstate wires, or caused the use of the interstate

1 | wires.

And here, the Government would prove the defendant received property and other things of value from lower-ranking DOS members by falsely representing that DOS was an organization comprised of women and by deliberately concealing Keith Ranieri's role in DOS and that the scheme involved the sending of electronic messages.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Salzman, do you understand the charges in Counts One and Two of the racketeering conspiracy in Count One and racketeering in Count Two as they apply to you in this indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand the elements of the crimes that the Government would have to prove to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt and unanimously if you decided to go to trial in order to convict you of these crimes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. DIAZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, at this point, I'm going to go over your rights. I'm sure that your attorneys have gone over your rights with you, but I'm going to go over them as well.

You have a right to plead not guilty to these charges. No one can be forced to plead guilty.

1 Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If you do plead not guilty to these charges, or persist in your plea of not guilty, you have a right under the constitution and laws of the United States to a speedy and public trial before a jury with the assistance of your attorneys.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: At any trial, you would be presumed to be innocent. You would not have to prove that you were innocent. This is because under our system law, it is the Government that must come forward with proof that establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that you were guilty of the crimes charged. If the Government failed to meet this burden of proof, the jury would have a duty to find you not guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I do.

THE COURT: In the course of a trial, witnesses for the Government would have to come here to court and testify in your presence. Your attorneys would have the right to cross-examine these witnesses. They could raise legal objections to evidence the Government sought to offer against you. They could offer evidence on your behalf and compel witnesses to come to court and testify if you or your

attorneys thought there was evidence that might help you in this case.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: At a trial, you would have the right to testify in your own behalf if you wished to do so. On the other hand, you could not be forced to be a witness at your trial. This is because under the constitution and laws of the United States, no person can be compelled to be a witness against yourself. If you wish to go to trial, or chose not to testify, I would instruct the jury that they could not hold that against you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If instead of going to trial you plead guilty to the crimes charged, and if I accept your pleas of guilty, you will be giving up your right to a trial and all the other rights I've just discussed. There will be no trial in this case as far as you are concerned. There will be no appeal on the question of whether you did or did not commit these crimes. The only reason that you could appeal if you thought I did not properly follow the law in sentencing you. Otherwise, I will simply enter a judgment of guilty based on your plea of guilty.

Do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If you do plead guilty, I would have to ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you were guilty of these charges. You'll have to answer my questions and acknowledge your guilty and if you do this, you will be giving up your right not to incriminate yourself.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Salzman, are you willing to give up your right to a trial and all the other rights that I've just discussed with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I am.

agreement in the United States District Court

Eastern District of New York. The case of United States of

America against Lauren Salzman, 18-CR-204 (S-2). It is marked

as Court Exhibit Number 1 dated today March 25, 2019. The

agreement consists of ten pages plus a one-page Exhibit A

attached to it.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I have in front of me a

And I just want you to initial when I give this to initial as everyone should initial Exhibit A as well, all right? But I'm going to hand this document to you and ask you some questions. Just initial the exhibit.

THE DEFENDANT: (Initialing).

	Guilty Plea 17
1	THE COURT: At the bottom.
2	Ms. Salzman, have you read this agreement?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
4	THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your
5	attorneys?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Have they answered any and all questions
8	that you had about it?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they have.
10	THE COURT: Do you understand your rights and your
11	obligations under this agreement?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I believe I do, yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Turn to Page 10 which is the last
14	page of the agreement.
15	Now, is that your signature by your name.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
17	THE COURT: (Signing) it today?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
19	THE COURT: Counsel has also executed the agreement?
20	MR. DIAZ: I have, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: And as has the Government?
22	MS. HAJJAR: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Please return the agreement to me.
24	I have an important question for you, ma'am. Is
25	there any other promise that's been made to you to get you to

plead guilty that is not contained in this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: I'm going to go over the statutory penalties associated with pleading guilty to Counts One and Two, racketeering conspiracy and racketeering. And they're set forth in Paragraph 1 on Page 2 of the agreement. Maximum term of imprisonment is 20 years. There is no minimum term of imprisonment.

The maximum supervised release term is three years which would follow any term of imprisonment. If a condition of release is violated, you could be sentenced to up to two years in jail without credit for the time you previously served in jail or the time you previously served on supervised release.

The maximum fine is the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross profits or other proceedings of the enterprise.

Restitution is mandatory in the full amount of each victim's losses as determined by the Court.

There is a \$100 special assessment for each of the two counts which is a total of \$200.

And you're also subject to criminal forfeiture as set forth elsewhere in the agreement in Paragraphs 8 through 13.

In addition, the sentence imposed on each count may run consecutively, that is, one after the other.

1	So do you understand the statutory penalties
2	associated with pleading guilty to these two crimes?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Diaz, have you discussed the
5	sentencing process with your client?
6	MR. DIAZ: I have, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And, in your view, does your client
8	understand how sentencing would work in her case basically?
9	MR. DIAZ: Basically, your Honor, she does.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Salzman, have you had a
11	discussion with your attorneys about sentencing?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
13	THE COURT: Are you satisfied that you have a basic
14	understanding about how sentencing would work in your case?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
16	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to discuss it with you
17	as well as I'm required to.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: In sentencing you, I'm required to take
20	into consideration a number of things about you and about the
21	crimes to which you are pleading guilty.
22	Oh, by the way, if at any time I discuss this with
23	you, you wish to stop and talk to your attorneys about
24	something you might not understand that I'm telling you, just

let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: 1 Great. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: We have plenty of time here. So when I do that, I will be directed to a guideline 3 4 that will provide a sentencing range. I'm not required to 5 sentence you within the range provided by the guideline, but I am required to carefully consider the guideline 6 7 recommendation, among other things, in deciding what would 8 constitute a reasonable sentence in your case. 9 It is my experience that a sentence within the 10 guideline range is often reasonable and appropriate but this 11 is not always the case. In determining an appropriate 12 sentence for your case, I will consider possible departures 13 from that range under the sentencing guidelines as well as 14 other statutory sentencing factors. I may ultimately decide 15 to impose a sentence that is more lenient or more severe than 16 the one recommended by the guidelines. If I do so, I will 17 explain the reasons for the sentence that I have selected. 18 Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 20 THE COURT: It is important to understand that no 21 one knows today what your exact guidelines range will be. 22 23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorneys, I may also view this case differently.

If so, I may not impose sentence they have predicted or recommended. Even if I sentence you differently from what the attorneys or anyone else has estimate order predicted, you would still be bound by your guilty pleas and you will not be

1	allowed to withdraw them.
2	Do you understand?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: If after I impose sentence, you or your
5	attorneys think I have not properly the followed the law in
6	essential you, you can appeal your sentence to the
7	United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.
8	Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on sentencing from
11	the Government?
12	MS. HAJJAR: No, your Honor. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: From the defense?
14	MR. DIAZ: No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions you
16	would like to ask me with the charges, your rights, or
17	anything else related to this matter that might not be clear?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No thank you.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, is there anything you would
20	like me to discuss with your client in further detail before I
21	proceed to formal allocution?
22	MR. DIAZ: None, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why your
24	client should not enter a plea of guilty to these two charges?
25	MR. DIAZ: I do not.

1	THE COURT: Are you aware any viable legal defense
2	to the charges?
3	MR. DIAZ: I am not, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Salzman, are you ready to
5	plead?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I am.
7	THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge contained
8	in Count One of the superseding indictment (S-2) charging with
9	you racketeering procedure guilty or not guilty?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.
11	THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge contained
12	as Count Two of the superseding indictment charging you with
13	racketeering, guilty or not guilty?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.
15	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and
16	of your own free will?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor I am.
18	THE COURT: Had gone anyone threatened or forced you
19	to you plead guilty?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
21	THE COURT: Other than the agreement with the
22	Government, has anyone made you a promise that caused you to
23	plead guilty?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
25	THE COURT: Has anyone made you a promise about the

sentence that you will receive?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: So, at this time, I would like you to tell me in your own words what you did to commit these crimes. If you're reading from a prepared statement, please do so slowly.

I take it the statement is the statement that you prepared in consultation with your attorneys; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, correct.

THE COURT: Okay. So just read slowly so that we can get it all down. And if the Court has any additional questions, or if the Government asks that I ask you additional questions, we can do so at the end of your prepared conclusion.

MR. DIAZ: Your Honor, if I might?

Just in terms of her statement, we're also stipulating to the Government's statement in terms of the enterprise. Her allocution is going to touch on it, but I think we stipulated to the statement that was put on the record.

THE COURT: The existence of the enterprise --

MR. DIAZ: The existence of the enterprise yes, your

Honor.

THE COURT: -- in which she was a member and

25 | participant?

MR. DIAZ: Correct. 1 2 THE COURT: Is that satisfactory? MS. HAJJAR: Yes, your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm accepting that as part of -- is that right, ma'am? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, correct. 6 7 THE COURT: You have to say yes. 8 So I'm accepting that portion of your allocution 9 based upon the description of the enterprise and your 10 involvement in the enterprise as a member and participant. So 11 that part of your allocution is taken care of so let's move on 12 to the specifics all right. Go ahead. 13 Slowly. THE DEFENDANT: I am pleading guilty today after a 14 careful and thorough review of the criminal allegations 15 16 against me. The conclusion of this review was acknowledging 17 that I committed acts which I knew or should have known were 18 absolutely wrong. 19 Over the years, through my association with Nexium, 20 I formed many relationships with members of this organization. 21 Through these associations, I truly believed that I was 22 helping to empower people to live their left lives. 23 However, I and others engaged in criminal conduct, 24 which I blindly followed and rationalized as furthering the

underlying principles in which Nexium was formed.

rationalization led to my agreement to associate with an enterprise of individuals as alleged in the second superseding indictment.

The common purpose of the enterprise included obtaining financial and personal benefits for its members for members of the enterprise including myself by furthering and promoting the objectives of Keith Ranieri and recruiting others into organizations created by Keith Ranieri.

Between 1999 and 2017, I was aware of and participated in some of the criminal objectives of the enterprise and I conspired and agreed that others who were also part of our conspiracy would commit at least two acts of racketeering in furtherance of this enterprise.

Between 1999 and 2017, I also knowingly and intentionally conducted and participated directly and indirectly in the conduct of the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering acts which affect interstate and foreign commerce.

Specifically, I committed the following acts.

On or about March 2010 through April 2012, I knowingly and intentionally harbored Jane Doe 4, a woman whose identity is known to me, in a room in the home in the Northern District of New York and threatened to deport Jane Doe 4 back to Mexico if she did not complete labor requested by myself and others.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

On or about January 2017 through June 2017, within the Northern District of New York and elsewhere. I was a member of a secret organization developed by Nexium members that has been identified in the indictment as DOS.

Pursuant to my association in DOS, I knowingly and intentionally took and withheld property from Jane Doe 6 and Jane Doe 11, two women who are known to me and who were enrolled as lower-ranking DOS members.

This property was referred to by members in DOS as "collateral" which consisted of material or information that belonged to these lower-ranking DOS members and which such lower-ranking DOS members would not want revealed because it could be personally damaging or ruinous.

I induced Jane Doe 6 and Jane Doe 11 to deliver this collateral to me by instilling them a fear that if the property was not delivered, I could expose this collateral which could have been embarrassing and personally damaging to themselves or others if released.

From January 2017 to June 2017, within the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, I knowingly and intentionally obtained the labor and services in the form of acts of care from Jane Doe 6 and Jane Doe 11 who were lower-ranking DOS members.

Acts of care included having these women perform services for me that would have otherwise been compensable. Ι obtained these labor and services from Jane Doe 6 and Jane Doe 11 by causing them to believe that if they did not perform requested acts of care, then they could suffer serious harm. The serious harm in this case would be the threat of the release of their collateral.

From January 2017 to June 2017, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, I knowingly and intentionally worked with others and devised a scheme to make materially false representations and omissions regarding DOS in order to obtain property from lower-ranking DOS members. Specifically, I concealed Keith Ranieri's role as the head of DOS and characterized DOS as a women's organization knowing that Keith Ranieri was the head of this organization.

The property obtained which was considered collateral included credit card authorizations, sexually explicit photos and videos, and rights to assets and property. Such property was transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce via e-mail, telephone, text messages and telegram.

Your Honor, in light of reviewing all the discovery and having many months to reflect, I came to the conclusion that the most moral and the most just course of action for me was to take full responsibility for my conduct and that is why I am plead guilty today and I'm very sorry far my poor decision-making and decisions that result in the harm to others and not the just victims in this case but to hundreds

of members of our community and their friends and families as well.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Are there other questions for the defendant?

MS. HAJJAR: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. DIAZ: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Salzman, I find you were acting voluntarily. That you fully understand rights and consequences of your pleas. There is, moreover, a factual basis for your pleas. I, therefore, accept your pleas of guilty to Counts One and Two of the second superseding indictment.

I'm going to set a sentencing date for Wednesday,
September 11, 2019, at 11:00 a.m. You're going to be contacted
by a probation officer eventually for a presentence interview.
Your attorneys will want to be present for that interview.
The purpose of this interview is for the probation officer to
prepare a presentence investigation report of which we'll
memorialize your background, your education, your family
background, your health, and other details that the Court will
want to know about at the time of your sentencing. And please
assist the probation officer with the information.

In addition, once you have the presentence report, you and your attorneys will have the opportunity to review it.

If there's anything in the report that's erroneous, or if there is anything about you that I should know about that isn't found in the report, your attorneys will provide that information and any corrections will provide that in writing to the probation officer to the Government and to the Court.

When you come to court, I will have read all the materials. Your attorneys will have an opportunity to make a submission. The Government will have an opportunity to make a submission. And then, at the sentencing, you will have the opportunity along with your attorneys and the Government to speak to the Court.

If, for any reason, you remember something at the last minute that you think would be important for me to know at sentencing please tell your attorneys first before you tell me.

All right?

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: And I'm going to give the agreement to the Government and request a photostatic copy for the Court.

MS. HAJJAR: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And now it may be that the sentencing will not take place at exactly that time, but I need to set down a marker so we know that we have a date and that we may decide after you consult with both sides that the date should be changed. You'll let me know about that as well, okay?

1	Is there anything else from the Government for
2	today?
3	MS. HAJJAR: Not from the Government.
4	THE COURT: From the defense?
5	MR. DIAZ: Not from the defense.
6	MS. TAZIOLI: Your Honor, we move to seal the
7	proceedings today.
8	THE COURT: Sure. You may move. Are you moving?
9	MS. TAZIOLI: I am moving, yes. Thank you, your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Motion is granted.
12	MR. DIAZ: There was something else, I apologize.
13	THE COURT: I'm just wondering if there was anything
14	else because.
15	MS. HAJJAR: Your Honor, we'll provide the Court
16	we can provide a redacted copy of today's transcript.
17	THE COURT: If you're required to provide the
18	transcript in connection with the discovery rights of anyone
19	else involved in this case, you're at liberty to do so.
20	MS. HAJJAR: Thank you, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Notwithstanding that I've sealed the
22	transcript.
23	MS. HAJJAR: Thank you, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. But this proceeding took
25	place in open court as you know. So I just want you to

understand that it took place in open court. I couldn't close 1 2 the courtroom for there unless there was a motion made and we 3 conducted an entire proceeding under the appropriate 4 Second Circuit precedent. 5 MS. HAJJAR: Yes, your Honor. We understand. Okay. Good to know. All right. THE COURT: 6 7 Anything more? 8 We just wanted to clarify, your Honor, MS. PENZA: 9 for Wednesday. Did you want us to just set a time, find times 10 with Ms. Bronfman's counsel, and I wanted to clarify if you 11 wanted us to include her Curcio counsel. 12 THE COURT: I want everybody. Curcio counsel is --13 MS. PENZA: Donna Newman. 14 THE COURT: If she's available. I think we may need Curcio counsel. 15 16 MS. PENZA: I think we may. 17 THE COURT: But the mission is that you need to talk 18 to everybody and come up with a time when everyone can be 19 there. 20 MS. PENZA: Just to clarify as to Ms. Bronfman or 21 all parties? 22 Ms. Bronfman, her attorneys, her Curcio THE COURT: 23 counsel. 24 MS. PENZA: Yes.

And you.

THE COURT: