

Dear CIGIE FOIA Officer:

My name is Irvin McCullough IV and I handle national security investigations for the Government Accountability Project. To clarify, as this has come up before, I am **not** the former IC IG. I should be classified as a member of the news media (discussed below).

Request:

I request the following records:

(1) Correspondence, including but not limited to electronic mail, to and from Congress explicitly regarding the IC IG or IC IG personnel from July 2017 to the date of this filing.

(Don't worry about letters outside this scope, e.g., letters in which the IC IG is merely mentioned as one of three dozen IG's, etc. Happy to clarify over the phone.)

If any of the material covered by this request has been destroyed or removed, please provide all surrounding documentation including, but not limited to, a description of the action taken regarding the materials and justification for those actions taken.

For any documents or portions you deny due to a specific FOIA exemption, please provide a detailed justification of your grounds for claiming such exemption, explaining why the exemption is relevant to the document or portion of the document withheld.

I consent to the deletion or exemption/redaction of any material that would violate an individual's rights under the Privacy Act. We will work with your office to prioritize responsive data for this request, further refine the request if you find any terms too imprecise, conduct searches for unclassified responsive records, or engage in any other reasonable activities that would lessen the agency's burden and costs.

Fee Waiver:

I request that all fees incurred in connection with the attached request be waived, because "disclosure of the information is in the public interest and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. §552 (a)(4)(A)(iii).

I am a member of the media. I have published and quoted in a wide variety of news outlets including the Washington Post, Just Security, The Daily Beast, Government Executive, and Foreign Policy Magazine.

Whatever I write has a reasonable expectation of publication. My editorial and writing skills are well established.

My requests are made as part of my news gathering process. While my research is not limited to this, a great deal of it, including this, focuses on the activities and attitudes of the government itself and disseminating it to the public.

It is unnecessary for me to demonstrate the relevance of this particular subject in advance. Additionally, despite my past record, case law states that "proof of the ability to disseminate the released information to a broad cross-section of the public is not required." *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Dep't of Justice*, 365 F.3d 1108, 1126 (D.C. Cir. 2004); see *Carney v. U.S. Dep't of Justice*, 19 F.3d 807, 814-15 (2d Cir. 1994). Further, courts have held that "qualified because it also had "firm" plans to "publish a number of . . . 'document sets'" concerning

United States foreign and national security policy." Under this criteria, as well, I qualify as a member of the news media. Additionally, courts have held that the news media status "focuses on the nature of the requester, not its request. The provision requires that the request be "made by" a representative of the news media. Id. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). A newspaper reporter, for example, is a representative of the news media regardless of how much interest there is in the story for which he or she is requesting information." As such, the details of the request itself are moot for the purposes of determining the appropriate fee category.

The disclosure is "likely to contribute" to an understanding of government operations or activities.

While I do not need to explain the specifics of why I make each individual request, these documents will help me to better inform the public about the breakdown of the Intelligence Community Whistleblowing and Source Protection program, given the widely-reported allegations against Wayne Stone and Jeanette McMillian.

On top of this, the organization I work for, the Government Accountability Project, runs a news blog, where newsworthy information can be published.

The Government Accountability Project's Public Interest Status and History

GAP is a non-profit, non-partisan, public interest organization chartered under IRS Code §501 (C)(3) as a non-profit, educational and charitable organization. We seek to serve the public through achieving governmental accountability by protecting and encouraging federal and corporate employees who observe or are victimized by wrongdoing, gross waste of public funds, threats to public health and safety, environmental contamination, corruption, abuse of the public trust and other abuses of power.

GAP accomplishes these goals primarily by conducting advocacy campaigns before Congress, through the media, and for the general public and by providing legal representation to whistleblowers to combat the retaliation they have suffered in exercising their right of occupational free speech. Our twin aims are to promote corporate and government accountability and to expose, investigate, and correct substantive problems that formed the basis of protected whistleblowing disclosures. GAP's role is well-recognized by the courts and, as an organization, has informational standing under the First Amendment protecting its receipt of disclosed information. *Taylor v. RTC*, 56 F.3d 1437 (D.C. 1995); *United States v. Garde*, 573 F. Supp. 604 (D.D.C. 1987); ~ *Generally Virginia Pharmacy Bd. v. Virginia Consumer Council*, 425 U.S. 748, 756-57 (1976).

GAP has a 20-year history of working in the public interest. GAP does not take individual cases based on the client's ability to pay, how much money GAP believes it can recover in legal fees through litigation or any other commercial interest.

GAP takes cases of legitimately harassed whistleblowers, often pro-bono (without charge), that further public policy or legislative changes that make the law stronger for workers who witness and choose to tell the truth about corporate and taxpayer-financed wrongdoing and to pursue exposure and resolution of the wrongdoing.

GAP has, among other things, pushed for enactment of several whistleblower protection statutes, exposed unhealthy food at supermarket chains, pushed for independent reviews of the safety of the Alaska pipeline, exposed the threat of explosion in waste tanks at the Hanford nuclear site, and pushed for policy reform within numerous executive agencies. All this activity is done primarily with the interest of the public in mind.

Non-commercial use of the Requested Information

Disclosure of this information by GAP is in no way connected with any commercial interest since GAP is a non-profit, tax-exempt organization under § 501 (c)(3) of the IRS Code. The information we are seeking is crucial to advance public knowledge and will not be put to any commercial use.

Expedited Processing:

I do not request expedited processing.

Reducing Request Workload:

Please begin working on the request while processing the request for a fee waiver. If you disallow my fee-waiver request, I pledge to pay the price of the FOIA request up to \$25. Alert me if it exceeds this price.

Please send me documents electronically.

Please be reminded that under the Freedom of Information Act, we are entitled to a response to this request within twenty working days. Should this request be denied for any reason, we ask that a detailed explanation be provided along with the name of the person to whom administrative appeals should be addressed.

If my request is taking a large amount of time, please process it in tranches so I may begin processing the documents while waiting for the request to be filled.