

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General  
2 SCOTT G. STEWART  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
3 WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director  
4 Office of Immigration Litigation  
5 WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
Assistant Director  
6 Office of Immigration Litigation  
7 SARAH B. FABIAN  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
8 NICOLE MURLEY  
Trial Attorney  
9 Office of Immigration Litigation  
10 U.S. Department of Justice  
11 Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
12 Washington, DC 20442  
13 Telephone: (202) 532-4824  
14 Fax: (202) 616-8962  
15 ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
United States Attorney  
16 SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
17 California Bar No. 94918  
18 Office of the U.S. Attorney  
19 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
20 San Diego, CA 92101-8893  
21 619-546-7125  
619-546-7751 (fax)  
22 *Attorneys for Federal Respondents-*  
23 *Defendants*

Lee Gelernt\*  
Judy Rabinovitz\*  
Anand Balakrishnan\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
125 Broad St., 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
T: (212) 549-2660  
F: (212) 549-2654  
*lgelernt@aclu.org*  
*jrabinovitz@aclu.org*  
*abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL  
COUNTIES  
P.O. Box 87131  
San Diego, CA 92138-7131  
T: (619) 398-4485  
F: (619) 232-0036  
*bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)  
Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
T: (415) 343-1198  
F: (415) 395-0950  
*skang@aclu.org*  
*samdur@aclu.org*

*Attorneys for Petitioners-*  
*Plaintiffs*  
*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 MS. L, et al.,

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

4 Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

5 **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

6 vs.

7 U.S. IMMIGRATION AND  
8 CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, et  
9 al.,

10 Respondents-Defendants.  
11

12 The Court ordered the parties to file a joint status report on September 13,  
13 2018, in anticipation of the telephonic status conference scheduled for September  
14 14, 2018, at 1:00 p.m. PST. The parties submit this joint status report in accordance  
15 with the Court’s instruction.  
16

17  
18 **I. DEFENDANTS’ POSITIONS**

19 **A. Update on Reunifications: Defendants are discharging children**  
20 **appropriately and expeditiously**

21 Defendants have appropriately discharged an additional 36 children since the  
22 last Joint Status Report, for a total of 2,217 children.  
23

24 Looking ahead, there are 211 children proceeding towards reunification or  
25 another appropriate discharge. Specifically, there are:  
26  
27  
28

1 • 46 children in ORR care with a parent who is in the United States and  
2 presently in the class. Of the 46 children, 7 cannot be reunified at this time  
3 because their parents are in other federal, state, or local custody (e.g., state  
4 criminal detention). One of the 46 children cannot be reunified at this time  
5 because Defendants are still reviewing the parent’s “red flag.” Defendants  
6 are working to appropriately discharge the remaining 38 of 46 children.  
7  
8 *See* Table 1: Reunification Update.

10 • 58 children in ORR care who have parents presently departed from the  
11 United States, who have cleared Processes 1 through 3 of the court-  
12 approved reunification plan, and who are proceeding towards reunification  
13 with their parents in their home country. *See* Table 2: Reunification of  
14 Removed Class Members.

16 • 107 children in ORR care who have parents presently departed from the  
17 United States, and for whom the ACLU has not yet provided notice of  
18 parental intent regarding reunification (or declination of reunification).  
19 Defendants are supporting the efforts of the ACLU to obtain statements of  
20 intent from those parents, as described in subsequent sections of this Joint  
21 Status Report. Once Defendants receive the notices from the ACLU,  
22 Defendants will either reunify the children or move them into the TVPRA  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 sponsorship process, consistent with the intent of the parent. *See* Table 2:  
2 Reunification of Removed Class Members.

3 Four groups of children in ORR care are not in the reunification process  
4 because Defendants have concluded that their parents are out of the class. Those  
5 groups include children who Defendants determined were not separated (55  
6 children), children whose parents have final red flag determinations (29 children),  
7 children with parents who are presently departed from the United States and have  
8 declined reunification through the ACLU (114 children), and children with parents  
9 who are in the United States and have indicated an intent not to reunify (28 children).  
10 As discussed below, the parties are meeting and conferring regarding the status of  
11 some of the children in some of those groups.  
12

13 The current reunification status for children ages 0 through 17 is further  
14 summarized in Table 1 below. The data in Table 1 reflects approximate numbers  
15 maintained by ORR at least as of September 10, 2018. These numbers are dynamic  
16 and continue to change as more reunifications or discharges occur. Changes in  
17 format from last week's reporting are explained further below.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Table 1: Reunification Update**

<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Phase 1 (Under 5)</u></b>	<b><u>Phase 2 (5 and above)</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
Total number of possible children of potential class members originally identified	103	2,551	2,654
<b><u>Discharged Children</u></b>			
Total children discharged from ORR care:	84	2,133	2,217
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Children discharged by being reunified with separated parent</li> </ul>	72	1,913	1,985
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Children discharged under other appropriate circumstances (these include discharges to other sponsors [such as situations where the child's separated parent is not eligible for reunification] or children that turned 18)</li> </ul>	12	220	232
<b><u>Children in ORR Care, Parent in Class</u></b>			
Children in care where the parent is not eligible for reunification <u>or</u> is not available for discharge at this time:	6	205	211
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parent presently outside the U.S.</li> </ul>	5	160	165
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parent presently inside the U.S.</li> </ul>	1	45	46
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Parent in other federal, state, or local custody</li> </ul>	0	7	7
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Parent red flag case review ongoing – safety and well being</li> </ul>	0	1	1
<b><u>Children in ORR Care, Parent out of Class</u></b>			
Children in care where further review shows they were not separated from parents by DHS	5	50	55
Children in care where a final determination has been made they cannot be reunified because the parent is unfit or presents a danger to the child	7	22	29
Children in care with parent presently departed from the United States whose intent not to reunify has been confirmed by the ACLU	1	113	114
Children in care with parent in the United States who has indicated an intent not to reunify	0	28	28

1 As discussed above, Table 1 contains three new groups of children in ORR  
2 care who are no longer in the reunification process because Defendants have  
3 determined that the parents are out of the class.  
4

5 The first new group is “Children in care where a final determination has been  
6 made they cannot be reunified because the parent is unfit or presents a danger to the  
7 child.” This group consists of cases where Defendants have resolved previous “red  
8 flags” to reunification and made final determinations for exclusions based on  
9 criminal history, parental fitness, or child safety. See Dkt. No 82 at 17 (defining  
10 class). Defendants provided Plaintiffs with a line list of those cases on September  
11 7, and are meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs about the same.  
12  
13

14 The second new group is “Children in care with parent presently departed  
15 from the United States whose intent not to reunify has been confirmed by the  
16 ACLU.” This group corresponds to the cohort of children reported in “Table 2:  
17 Reunification of Removed Class Members” as “Children whose parents waived  
18 reunification.” For each of these children, Plaintiffs have confirmed, supported by  
19 declarations under penalty of perjury, that their parents have “affirmatively,  
20 knowingly, and voluntary waive[d] reunification and have the child proceed through  
21 the standard TVPRA-governed ORR sponsorship process.” This group is now in  
22 the TVPRA sponsorship process.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 The third new group is “Children in care with parent in the United States who  
 2 has indicated an intent not to reunify.” Under the court-approved reunification plan,  
 3 the parents of these children are not in the class. Defendants have nevertheless  
 4 agreed to meet and confer with Plaintiffs about this group  
 5

6 **B. Update on Removed Class Members: Defendants are working**  
 7 **with Plaintiffs’ counsel to implement parental intent**

8 The current reunification status of removed class members is set forth in Table  
 9 2 below. The data presented in this Table 2 reflects approximate numbers  
 10 maintained by ORR as of at least September 10, 2018. These numbers are dynamic  
 11 and continue to change as the reunification process moves forward.  
 12

13 **Table 2: Reunification of Removed Class Members**

<b><u>REUNIFICATION PROCESS</u></b>	<b><u>REPORTING METRIC</u></b>	<b><u>NO.</u></b>	<b><u>REPORTING PARTY</u></b>
<b>STARTING POPULATION</b>	Children in ORR care with parents presently departed from the U.S.	279	Def’s.
<b>PROCESS 1: Identify &amp; Resolve Safety/Parentage Concerns</b>	Children with no “red flags” for safety or parentage	279	Def’s.
<b>PROCESS 2: Establish Contact with Parents in Country of Origin</b>	Children with parent contact information identified	279	Def’s.
	Children with no contact issues identified by plaintiff or defendant	279	Def’s. & Pl.’s
	Children with parent contact information provided to ACLU by Government	279	Def’s.

<b>PROCESS 3: Determine Parental Intention for Minor</b>	Children for whom ACLU has communicated parental intent for minor:	172	Pl's.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children whose parents waived reunification</li> </ul>	114	Pl's.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children whose parents chose reunification in country of origin</li> </ul>	58	Pl's.
	Children for whom ACLU has not yet communicated parental intent for minor:	107	Pl's.
<b>PROCESS 4: Resolve Immigration Status of Minors to Allow Reunification</b>	Total children cleared Processes 1-3 with confirmed intent for reunification in country of origin	58	Pl's.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children in ORR care with orders of voluntary departure</li> </ul>	19	Def's.

### C. Locating Removed Parents

According to the latest weekly list provided to Defendants by Plaintiffs there are zero children currently in care with removed parents for whom Plaintiffs' data shows that contact was unsuccessful or no phone number was provided. Today, Plaintiffs informed Defendants that there are 60 parents remaining for which Plaintiffs have phone numbers, but with whom Plaintiffs have not yet made contact. They are continuing to reach out the phone numbers that they have for those parents, and hope that contact will be made. Plaintiffs have not yet provided Defendants with a list of those 60 parents.



1 Given that ORR programs have had consistent success contacting all parents  
2 for whom Defendants have phone numbers (which would likely include all 60  
3 parents whom Plaintiffs are still seeking to contact), when the parties met and  
4 conferred on September 12, 2018, the Government suggested that the best next step  
5 to facilitate communication with those remaining parents would be for the  
6 Government to coordinate three-way calls between the child, parent and Steering  
7 Committee. As the Declaration of Commander Jonathan White (being filed  
8 concurrently herewith) explains, in the Government's experience, contact with  
9 parents through the ORR shelter care centers in which their children are housed is  
10 the most expeditious and effective means to establish contact with parents in home  
11 country. Put simply, where parents may not always be responsive to other  
12 individuals, they are responsive to the ORR shelter programs. Plaintiffs agreed that  
13 this would be helpful.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 The government also will continue to work to ensure that the most up-to-date  
19 contact information for those cases is provided to Plaintiffs. Moreover, as  
20 Defendants further explained to Plaintiffs when the parties spoke, the Government  
21 remains open to taking additional steps when there is an indication that a phone  
22 number is legitimately inoperative. Such additional steps, however, should be  
23 tailored to the individual case. That is, additional steps should be geographically and  
24 strategically targeted to reach the specific parents who are not reachable  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 telephonically. Plaintiffs have suggested methods that they believe should be tried if  
2 necessary for these cases, and Defendants are willing to consider whether any of  
3 those methods (or others) would be effective once they receive information from  
4 Plaintiffs regarding individuals for whom efforts to make contact have failed.  
5

6 When the parties spoke, Plaintiffs also raised questions about the coordination  
7 between Defendants and foreign governments to facilitate contact and reunifications.  
8 Commander White's declaration explains the coordination that occurs between the  
9 United States government and foreign embassies, and between ICE attachés and the  
10 agencies of foreign governments on the ground. Defendants will meet and confer  
11 with Plaintiffs further on this subject as the process moved forward.  
12  
13

#### 14 **D. Information Sharing**

15 Defendants are now producing to Plaintiffs on a weekly basis spreadsheets  
16 that identify the individuals who make up a majority of the numbers reported by  
17 Defendants each week in Sections A and B of the Joint Status Report. Defendants  
18 also are producing information on a weekly basis to Plaintiffs regarding waivers of  
19 reunification and reunifications of families in ICE custody. Defendants also have  
20 provided over the last few weeks many additional sets of information in response to  
21 inquiries from Plaintiffs about data that was previously provided.  
22  
23  
24

25 Defendants met and conferred with Plaintiffs on September 12, 2018, and  
26 Defendants are following up regarding some further inquiries made by Plaintiffs on  
27  
28

1 that call. However, based on that discussion Defendants believe that the data that  
2 they have provided, in conjunction with the weekly production, largely meets all of  
3 Plaintiffs' needs for data that they have expressed to date. The parties will continue  
4 to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs' data needs.  
5

## 6 **II. PLAINTIFFS' POSITIONS**

### 7 **A. Steering Committee Progress**

8 The Steering Committee has continued to make significant progress in  
9 contacting parents and confirming parent and child wishes with respect to  
10 reunifications. As of Wednesday, September 12, the Committee delivered final  
11 preferences for 185<sup>1</sup> parents to the Government. As requested by the Court,  
12 Plaintiffs' counsel and the Steering Committee have also met and conferred with  
13 the Government regarding on-the-ground efforts in Central America, and are  
14 working together to implement ideas for those efforts, as outlined below.

15 First, we report the status of our efforts based on the Government's  
16 September 7 list of 304 children in ORR custody with removed parents. With  
17 respect to those children and parents, the Steering Committee's progress in  
18 contacting parents and delivering preferences to the Government is as follows:  
19

---

20 <sup>1</sup> This figure is based on the Government's September 7 report of 304  
21 children remaining in ORR custody. Since the creation of the Steering Committee,  
22 the Government first provided lists of children in ORR care with removed parents  
23 on August 7<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup>. Both lists combined included a total number of 412  
24 children in ORR care with removed parents. On Fridays since this time, the  
25 Government has sent new (shorter) lists of children in ORR care with removed  
26 parents. Its August 24<sup>th</sup> list included one additional child, for a total of 413. Its  
27 September 7<sup>th</sup> list included a further additional child in ORR care, for a total of  
28 414. As noted *infra*, the Steering Committee has delivered the final preferences for  
206 parents to the Government of 414 children reported by the Government in the  
aggregate to have been in ORR custody.

1		
2	Removed parents identified by the Government to Steering Committee	304 (reported to Steering Committee on 9/7/2018)
3		
4		
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents for whom Committee has no phone number (and hasn't otherwise contacted)</li> </ul>	0
6		
7	Steering Committee called phone number for parent (using Government-provided number or number otherwise obtained by Steering Committee)	304
8		
9	Steering Committee spoke to parent (either by phone or in person)	237
10		
11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents successfully reached through phone contact</li> </ul>	230
12		
13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents found through outreach by NGOs</li> </ul>	7
14		
15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parents called and not reached (and not reached through NGO efforts)</li> </ul>	679 <sup>2</sup>
16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Phone number determined to be inoperable or ineffective</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0
17		
18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contact efforts ongoing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	679
19		
20	Parents reached by phone or NGO outreach	237
21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reunified: confirmed reunifications in home country</li> </ul>	1
22		

23

24 <sup>2</sup> Since last week's Status Conference, the Government has provided additional contact information for several of these parents. The Steering

25 Committee is actively using this information to attempt to make contact with those

26 parents (recognizing that multiple call attempts may be required for each parent)

27 and is meeting and conferring with the Government about any parents for whom contact has not been possible, after exhausting repeated attempts.

28

1	• Parent's preference with respect to reunification has been confirmed to match child's	189
2		
3	• Preliminary indication of parent's wishes with respect to reunification	23
4		
5	• Ongoing discussions with parent about reunification	24
6		
7	• Parent's final preference has been communicated to government	185
8		

9 As discussed further below, the Steering Committee is meeting and  
10 conferring with the government to clarify the bases for which children and parents  
11 have been removed from the Government's operative list, and has continued to  
12 reach out to parents who were on the Government's previous lists, but who have  
13 been omitted Government's current list.<sup>3</sup> The Government has provided  
14 information that has clarified the status of a number of families, and we continue to  
15 work with the Government and anticipate receiving additional information from  
16 the Government relating to this issue.

17 Where the Steering Committee has identified parents and children who  
18 remain separated and wish to be reunified, it has reported those family's decisions  
19 to the Government, irrespective of whether the children appear on the  
20 Government's most recent list. As of Wednesday, September 12, the Steering  
21 Committee had reported on the preferences of an additional 21 families who were  
22 on the Government's earlier lists, but are not on the September 7 list. The Steering  
23 Committee's progress for this larger group of 414 is reported at the end of the  
24 Steering Committee Report, so that the Court may compare progress in the past

---

25  
26 <sup>3</sup> As noted above, the total number of children in ORR care with removed  
27 parents who at some point have been identified by the Government to the Steering  
28 Committee is 414.

1 week using the same baseline group.

2 **B. Effectuation of the Court-Approved Plan**

3 This week, the Steering Committee raised concerns with the Government  
4 regarding its compliance with the Court-approved plan (the “Plan”). (ECF No.  
5 189-1.) As set forth in the Plan, where a Notice to Appear (“NTA”) has been filed  
6 in a child’s immigration proceedings and the Steering Committee has conveyed to  
7 the Government a parent’s desire to be reunified with that child, DHS is required to  
8 “move to dismiss proceedings without prejudice, noting in such motion that it is  
9 based on *Ms. L* reunification” and the reunification should proceed accordingly in  
10 the Country of Origin. (*Id.* at 6.)

11 The Steering Committee has learned of several instances where the Steering  
12 Committee has submitted a declaration attesting to a parent’s wishes for  
13 reunification but the child’s attorney was advised by DHS officials that it would  
14 not dismiss the case (as called for in the Reunification Plan) and that a child must  
15 instead request a Voluntary Departure order, which, among other things, has the  
16 practical effect of delaying reunification. The Government has indicated, in  
17 response, that it had been finalizing certain “documentation necessary for children  
18 to be returned under the Plan” and that Voluntary Departure orders were being  
19 pursued in the interim but that the documentation in question has now been  
20 finalized and all future proceedings should occur with dismissals, as required under  
21 the Plan. Plaintiffs therefore request that Voluntary Departure orders that were  
22 previously obtained before this documentation was finalized be converted into  
23 dismissals, as they should have been once the Reunification Plan went into effect,  
24 and that the Government expeditiously move to terminate all proceedings that are  
25 pending (where a Voluntary Departure order has not yet been obtained).

26 The Steering Committee has also brought to the Government’s attention  
27 certain cases of very young children and children in distress that it asks be treated  
28

1 with special care and expediency, and we expect to meet and confer with the  
2 Government to ensure that these cases are appropriately addressed.

3 **C. On-the-Ground Efforts**

4 At last week's Status Conference, the Court asked the Steering Committee to  
5 develop suggestions for steps the Government can take to assist with on-the-  
6 ground efforts to locate and communicate with parents. The Steering Committee  
7 has since met and conferred with the Government about the following ways in  
8 which the Government may be able to assist with these efforts:

9 • Outreach Support

- 10 ○ The Steering Committee has suggested that Government place notices  
11 in the countries of origin as outlined in Process 2 of the Plan,  
12 specifically radio announcements, billboards, and notices in local  
13 churches.
- 14 ○ The Steering Committee believes that some parents may not be  
15 answering calls that appear to originate from the U.S. out of fear. Thus,  
16 the Steering Committee requested that the Government procure and  
17 provide local Guatemalan and Honduran telephone numbers/telephones  
18 that the Steering Committee can use to contact parents.
- 19 ○ The Government has offered to coordinate three-way calls between the  
20 child, parent and Steering Committee. As noted below, the Steering  
21 Committee agrees that this would be helpful.

22 • Logistical Support

- 23 ○ To help address the innumerable logistical challenges on the ground,  
24 the Steering Committee asks that the Government designate an  
25 Ombudsman to work out of the U.S. Embassies/Consulates in  
26 Guatemala and Honduras to assist with efforts to locate parents and  
27 repatriate their children. This person would also be tasked with being  
28

1 a point person for parents on logistical issues, such as ensuring  
2 documents are in order, that parents are aware of the timing of  
3 reunification, and coordinating travel by parents and children to the  
4 place of reunification. Many parents do not have the means of making  
5 this journey on their own. Moreover, because it may often take the  
6 parents days to reach the location of the child's drop-off, it is critical  
7 that the parents be given sufficient advance notice that their child is on  
8 his or her way home. This coordination work is currently being done  
9 largely by non-profits or by the governments of Guatemala and  
10 Honduras. Non-profits are also operating at an information  
11 disadvantage, because they do not receive advance notice of when  
12 children are to be repatriated.

13 • Travel Support:

- 14 ○ The Steering Committee has also inquired about the possibility of the  
15 Government making available helicopter and car transportation for  
16 investigators and advocates on the ground to facilitate travel to locate  
17 and meet with parents in remote locations.

18 **D. Outstanding Data-Related Issues for the Steering Committee**

19 The Steering Committee continues to meet and confer with the Government  
20 regarding data requested from the Government in order to ensure that all parents  
21 are accounted for and reunified with their children imminently, if that is the  
22 parent's wish.

23 The parties have made progress regarding a number of issues previously  
24 reported to the Court, and the Steering Committee continues to hope that these  
25 issues will be resolved informally.

26 **2. Removals from Government Lists**

27 The Steering Committee continues to meet and confer with the Government  
28



1 regarding information explaining why parents and children have been removed  
 2 from or added to the lists of class members or children in ORR custody previously  
 3 produced by the Government (in a desire to understand changes made to the  
 4 Government’s “Starting Population” documented in each week’s Joint Status  
 5 Report). The Parties have made progress with respect to these data discrepancies  
 6 and the Steering Committee hopes the remaining issues can be resolved without  
 7 Court intervention.

8 **3. Inoperative/Ineffective/Lack of Phone Numbers**

9 The Steering Committee continues to meet and confer with the Government  
 10 over the apparent discrepancy in the Steering Committee’s inability to contact  
 11 certain parents due to inoperative or ineffective phone numbers, as compared to the  
 12 Government’s reported ability to contact these same parents. The Government has  
 13 provided additional information in the form of new phone numbers, which has  
 14 allowed the Steering Committee to make progress in contacting some parents. For  
 15 parents the Steering Committee is still unable to reach, the Parties have agreed that  
 16 the Steering Committee will identify such parents to the Government, and the  
 17 Government will work to facilitate three-way calls among the child, parent and the  
 18 Steering Committee.

19 **Steering Committee Progress on Total Reported Parents/Children**  
 20 **(414)**

21 Removed parents identified by the Government to Steering Committee (8/7/28, 8/10/18 and 8/24/18 lists)	414
22 • Parents for whom Committee has no phone 23 number (and hasn’t otherwise contacted)	19
24 Steering Committee called phone number for parent 25 (using Government-provided number or number 26 otherwise obtained by Steering Committee)	395

27  
 28

1	Steering Committee spoke to parent (either by phone or in person)	302
2		
3	• Parents called and successfully reached	289
4	• Parents found through outreach by NGOs	13
5	• Parents called and not reached (and not reached through NGO efforts)	93
6		
7	○ Phone number inoperable or ineffective	9
8	○ Contact efforts ongoing	84
9		
10	Parents reached by phone or NGO outreach	302
11	Reunified: confirmed reunifications in home country	21
12	Parent's preference with respect to reunification has been confirmed to match child's	213
13		
14	Preliminary indication of parent's wishes with respect to reunification	31
15		
16	Ongoing discussions with parent about reunification	37
17	Parent's final preference has been communicated to government	206
18		

### 19 E. Information Sharing Regarding Government's JSR Numbers

20 Plaintiffs have now received A#s identifying the cases described in the  
 21 Government's September 6 status report for most categories that the Government  
 22 reports. The parties have met and conferred on remaining issues, including timely  
 23 updates of those A#s underlying the Government's numbers reported in weekly  
 24 status reports, and Plaintiffs' request for updated data to show which Class  
 25 members are subject to final removal orders. Plaintiffs will continue to meet and  
 26 confer with the Government on these issues.

27

28

1  
2 DATED: September 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

3 /s/ Lee Gelernt

4 Lee Gelernt\*

Judy Rabinovitz\*

5 Anand Balakrishnan\*

6 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

7 125 Broad St., 18th Floor

8 New York, NY 10004

T: (212) 549-2660

9 F: (212) 549-2654

*lgelernt@aclu.org*

10 *jrabinovitz@aclu.org*

11 *abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

12 Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)

13 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO  
& IMPERIAL COUNTIES

14 P.O. Box 87131

15 San Diego, CA 92138-7131

16 T: (619) 398-4485

F: (619) 232-0036

17 *bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

18 Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)

19 Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)

20 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

21 39 Drumm Street

22 San Francisco, CA 94111

T: (415) 343-1198

23 F: (415) 395-0950

24 *skang@aclu.org*

*samdur@aclu.org*

25  
26 *Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs*

*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General  
SCOTT G. STEWART  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director  
WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
Assistant Director

/s/ Sarah B. Fabian  
SARAH B. FABIAN  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
NICOLE MURLEY  
Trial Attorney  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
(202) 532-4824  
(202) 616-8962 (facsimile)  
sarah.b.fabian@usdoj.gov

ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
United States Attorney  
SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for Respondents-Defendants*