



Western Tradition Partnership

**2010 Election Year Program
Executive Briefing**

Western Tradition Partnership Montana Tour Script

Well Mr. XXXX, I really appreciate you taking time out of your schedule to meet with me today.

As you know, you and I are entering the heart of a critical election year, with control of the Montana Legislature up for grabs.

There's no doubt about it – radical environmentalists have been on the march here in Montana in recent years. And with Brian Schweitzer in the Governor's Mansion, a razor-thin majority in the State House and control of the State Senate well within their grasp, they believe they're just months away from cashing in – if the 2010 elections go the way they want them to.

Needless to say, that would be bad news for you and me.

When it comes to business taxes, licensing requirements, industry and labor regulations, energy development and a host of other issues critical to Montana businesses, decisions made at the state and local government level can often be more important – and more damaging – than those coming out of Washington, D.C.

So what I'm here to show you is the Western Tradition Partnership's plan to fight back and begin the hard work of changing the face our state government.

In fact, I'm so convinced you'll be impressed with what we have planned this year that I hope by the time I've finished giving you this short presentation, you'll agree to help make this program possible . . .

[Show Ask Sheet]

. . . with a contribution of \$XXX.

Now, I know I have my work cut out for me, so we'll talk about that a little later, but I just wanted to let you know right up front why I'm here.

Before I go any farther, I want you to know this presentation will come in three brief parts.

First, I just want to quickly hit the high points of exactly why WTP was formed.

Second, I'll lay out our basic strategies and principles and how we've applied them very effectively in the past.

Finally, and most importantly, you will see what WTP has planned for Montana in the next few months – with your help.

[#1 – Why WTP Formed]

Liberal Environmental Groups

Alliance for Climate Protection
Alliance to Save Energy
America the Beautiful Fund
American Farmland Trust
Association of Environmental Professionals
Center for a New American Dream
Center for Progressive Reform
Citizens Campaign for the Environment
Clear the Air)
Defenders of Wildlife
Earth First!
Environmental Defense Fund
Environmental Law Institute
Environmental Life Force (ELF)
Forest Guardians
Friends of the Earth
Global Green USA
Greenpeace
Green Worlds Coalition
Keep America Beautiful
League of Conservation Voters
National Wildlife Federation

Natural Resources Defense Council
Negative Population Growth
New Progressive Coalition
Go Green USA
Ocean Champions
Our Earth
Trees Instead
Population Connection
Population-Environment Balance
Rainforest Action Network
Protection
Rising Tide North America
Sierra Club
Student Conservation Association
Student Environmental Action Coalition
(SEAC)
The Center for Climate Change
Strategies
The School for Field Studies
TreePeople
Union of Concerned Scientists
Waterkeeper Alliance

This right here is why Western Tradition Partnership was formed a few years back.

This is a very short abbreviated list of environmental groups across the country. These folks have been fighting and winning at every level of government for years.

In the past, if our side chose to fight them at all, it was always done on an ad hoc basis.

Or worse, major industry became the face of the radical environmentalists' opposition.

Well, the radical environmentalists always claim they represent "the people" versus the evil corporations.

So more often than you and I would probably like to admit, our side played right into their hands.

Not anymore.

“Green” Support Shrinking



[#2 – Green Support Shrinking]

In reality, the radical environmentalists are a very small, but very vocal and organized segment of the population – and they're support is shrinking.

Sure, people like clean air and water. So do I.

But folks are also getting fed up at the lost jobs, high energy prices, taxes and new infringements on their private property rights.

And for good reason too.

[#3 – Jefferson City Mine Closed]

Not long ago, radical environmentalists forced the closure of a mine in Jefferson City, resulting in over 100 displaced workers.

Even besides the cost of the loss of valuable natural resources, the mine closure cost taxpayers nearly \$650,000 in training and financial assistance to the workers and their families.

Hayes
said
wrong

~~Hayes~~

Jefferson City Mine Closed



[#4 -- Wind Turbine Project Halted]

Then, according to the Great Falls Tribune (February 24, 2008), a company named GreenHunter wanted to build a 500 megawatt wind power project near Glasgow only to have a special interest group come in and object on the grounds that the turbines will be visible from a wilderness area.

In fact, the radical environmentalists are still fighting the project – even though it has

been cut by 98%!

In other words, despite all their harping, many in the zero-growth crowd doesn't even really want so-called alternative energy solutions to succeed.

I guess they don't want the elk to have their view obstructed by a turbine or something.

Wind Turbine Project Halted



[#5 – Per Capita Income]

Of course, the radical environmentalists' real enemy has always been traditional energy sources and resource extraction.

So it shouldn't be a surprise that according to the U.S. Department of Labor, several of Montana's industries like oil and gas, timber, endured the largest decline in employment seen in decades.

And the results for average Montanans has been devastating.

In the 1950's and 1960's, Montana was in the top 10 in per capita income in the nation.

By 1985, Montana had the lowest.

In 2008, we were still ranked 42nd.

Montana Per Capita Income

Year

Rank

1950's-1960's

Top 10

1985

50

2008

42

[#6 – Target Industries Average Pay]

But the average pay in the radical environmentalists' target industries is nearly double the wage of the average Montanan – and these are the exact industries on the radical environmentalists' target list.

Average Pay in Target Industries

Employment Type


Average Pay

- Oil & Gas Extraction \$54,775
- Refining \$80,502
- Transportation \$60,761
- Montana's Average Wage \$29,156

[#7 – Tax benefits]

Even government – the one institution in America the radical environmentalists get all warm and fuzzy about – is losing an incredible amount of tax revenue that could be used to fund schools and decrease property taxes.

Tax Benefits from Montana's Extractive Industries



Montana Natural Resource Tax Revenues


Fiscal Year	Oil & Gas	Coal	Metals	US Royalties	State Royalties	Total Revenue	Dollar Change
2000	\$43.773	\$35.470	\$4.661	\$19.243	\$11.351	\$114.498	
2001	92.396	32.337	5.924	31.008	20.388	182.053	67.555
2002	50.304	31.614	5.740	19.772	9.123	116.553	(65.500)
2003	73.389	29.424	7.056	25.990	11.846	147.705	31.152
2004	92.676	31.545	5.572	28.736	15.249	173.778	26.073
2005	137.754	37.635	9.076	36.392	22.957	243.814	70.036
2006	203.681	35.822	12.435	39.071	41.811	332.820	89.006
2007	<u>209.946</u>	<u>40.759</u>	<u>15.774</u>	<u>37.628</u>	<u>29.174</u>	<u>333.281</u>	0.461
Totals	\$903.919	\$274.606	\$66.238	\$237.840	\$161.899	\$1,644,502	

[#8 -- Making the Recession Worse]

All in all, when you get down to the real issues, it's not the self-styled "greens" ~~representing the people versus the evil corporations.~~

It's the radical environmentalists against the hardworking, decent people of Montana.

Radical Environmentalists: Making the Recession Worse



[#9 – Key]

That's why the key to victory for you and me is to mobilize the grassroots.

Once we inform folks about how the radical environmentalists' agenda is affecting them, and mobilize them to take action on our issues, we have it made.

Overall, our program is designed to use issues to take the radical environmentalists head on and beat them at their own game.

There are more of us than there are of them. And when you get down to it, politics is really a numbers game.

Well, that's the end of the first section, so let me show you how we've applied these principles very successfully in the past.

Key to Victory is Mobilization



[#10– Dead Elephant]


If you're a group like ours, and you're going to kick off an election-year program, it'd be difficult to pick a worse year than 2008.

Political pundits nationwide were saying it was the worse political climate for Republicans since Watergate.

Races at all levels of government throughout the country that were supposed to be safe for the GOP were suddenly becoming competitive.

Of course, WTP is a non-partisan organization concerned solely about the issues, but we still had very low expectations going in.

2008 Political Climate





[#11 – CO and MT program]

In 2008, we decided to get involved in State Legislative races in Montana and in a more limited basis in Colorado.

Both states are energy producers, are split roughly down the middle in partisan make up, citizens of both states take pride in their heritage of rugged individualism and independence . . .

. . . and, of course, they're two states where radical environmentalists have made massive inroads in recent years.

2008 Target States



[#12 – 2008 Primaries]

We kicked off our 2008 program ~~right~~ before the Montana primaries, sending out short two-page survey to all candidates for the State House and Senate.

We quickly found in nine hotly contested ~~Republican~~ ^{Pro Christian} primaries, not every candidate was willing to go on record against the radical environmentalists' agenda.

This really wasn't a big surprise to us.

The zero-growth crowd had been running similar election-year programs in Montana for years, and they'd succeeded to some extent to browbeating politicians in both political parties into supporting or at least remaining silent on their issues.

2008 Montana Primaries

007-01-2008 REP 12100 RT SLEBDS 01111828

FORM NO. 1908002/10/02

P. 01/05



Western Tradition Partnership

2008 Candidate Survey for Douglas Kery, Candidate for House District 48

1. Most experts agree that as our population grows along with energy needs, a "woblesn think" approach to energy development and siting must be employed. However, developers are not about to change their minds until they are forced to. Do you support legislation that would encourage siting of energy development and siting while subsidizing more costly alternatives with taxpayer dollars, including traditional fleets while subsidizing more costly alternatives with taxpayer dollars?

A. WILL YOU OPPOSE LEGISLATION WHICH ATTEMPTS TO CATEGORICALLY LIMIT ACCESS TO AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN ENERGY RESOURCES?

YES NO

B. WILL YOU OPPOSE ANY ATTEMPT TO RESTRICT CONSUMER ACCESS TO A MARKET-BASED LOW-COST ENERGY PORTFOLIO?

YES NO

C. WILL YOU OPPOSE ANY LEGISLATION OR ATTEMPT TO INCREASE TAXES OR TAXPAYER-FUNDED SUBSIDIES FOR "ALTERNATIVE" ENERGY PROJECTS?

YES NO

2. Currently, the judicial system is being manipulated in order to block responsible energy development and development and even forest siting projects, often without any real justification for the suit. Such suits are designed to use legal fees as a tool to prohibit or hinder - rather than merit of the case - to stop the targeted development or project. Two of the solutions which present themselves appear below (these are not mutually exclusive):

a) Bonding the suit, which requires the plaintiff to "guarantee" the lawsuit and places a monetary value upon the work-stoppage related losses to the developer. In case the suit is found frivolous:

A. WILL YOU SPONSOR, CO-SPONSOR OR SUPPORT A BILL TO REQUIRE BONDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL LAWSUITS AT A LEVEL THAT MAINTAINS SUITABLE WITH THE DAMAGES TO THE DEVELOPER RESULTING FROM THE STOPPAGE?

YES NO

b) A "loser pay" arrangement where the plaintiff must show sufficient resources to cover legal fees and damages to the developer, to be paid by the plaintiff in cases of a suit found lacking merit.

B. WILL YOU SPONSOR, CO-SPONSOR OR SUPPORT A BILL TO REINFORCE "LOSER PAY" FOR ENVIRONMENTAL LAWSUITS FOUND FRIVOLOUS OR WITHOUT MERIT?

YES NO

3. Currently, plaintiffs are allowed to cherry-pick "friendly" court venues and judges (often choosing federal courts) to stop best practices and development projects - issues far outside the affected area. The judges in these areas do not represent citizens and interests affected by the lawsuits. WILL YOU SPONSOR, CO-SPONSOR OR SUPPORT A BILL REQUIRING ENVIRONMENTAL LAWSUITS TO BE HEARD IN THE DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT AND/OR THE ALLEGED DAMAGES OCCUR?

YES NO

4. The Board of Environmental Review (BER) is working to change emission standards - which do not reflect current science and technology - in the state of Montana. Do you support legislation that would allow the BER to review and approve or disapprove of new coal-fired electric generating projects in the state of Montana, such as siting, design, construction, operation, and maintenance? These projects have far-reaching effects on our environment, economy and jobs, and energy availability. The BER is empowered, not elected - therefore, such legislation is needed.

YES NO

SP048 Douglas Kery - Please Initial Here



State Capital


[#13 – Nine Races]

Democratic

But in those nine contested ~~Republican~~ primaries, we did have at least one candidate who answered survey in 100% opposition to the radical environmentalist's agenda.

And we made sure folks knew about it.

Targeted Primary Races



[#14 – Survey Results]

In a series of two separate mailings mailed just before the June Primary, we flooded each of these nine districts with thousands of pieces of direct mail, highlighting the differences between the candidates on our issues.

The results surprised even us.

Eight of the nine contested primaries were won by pro-development candidates.

Survey Results Mail



Western Tradition Partnership

Tuesday morning

Dear friend,

I guess shipping Montanans jobs to Wyoming isn't as popular as it used to be.

You see, despite all your letters and phone calls Democrat Brad Hummel STILL

only refuses to share his views with voters.

In fact, he is going to even further limit the title his class lives with the job-holders at "Conservation Voters?"

It's one thing to accept campaign cash and support from a radical group based in Washington, D.C. whose founder was quoted in a 1994 book bragging about the number of people he was putting on the employment line.

But it's just plain wrong to let the hair to out-of-control job-holders like that, and then try to hide it from voters.

Right before an election is when candidates are most likely to listen to us voters. So could I ask you to please contact Brad Hummel again?

He just doesn't seem to care about the opinions of Montanans who could lose their ability to make a living in this tough economy if his Washington, D.C. friends get their way in Helena.

Those so-called "Conservation Voters" claim to oppose dumping, but that sure hasn't stopped them from unloading tanks of cash into the campaign accounts of candidates who agree to obey whatever orders are handed down from D.C.

50 12

50 13

asks him to return his completed Western Survey to reject the cash and support of the job-

in Jim Whitaker and thank him for filling the Survey books in favor of a healthy future for Montanans.

your job is tied to our energy industry one

Tradition Partnership is so important to

see themselves as "conservation voters" look a lot like Oklahoma during the Great Plains driving north, south, east and west

environment is to eliminate the economy.

We know we can have good jobs AND a

for State House could you also enclose them. So if whatever you can afford?

be greatly appreciated and put to good use to live, work and explore.

nership


jobs to other states would make a

[#15 – 2008 General Election]

Of course, not all issues that are winners in Republican primaries translate into winning issues in the General Election.

And with the poor political climate, combined with the Obama campaign juggernaut, we weren't sure we'd even be able to get a good read from the results of all our efforts.

Obama Surges to Lead



[#16 Survey Results Mail]

But in the weeks leading up to Election Day, we selected the most hotly contested, competitive races we could find where there was a real difference between the candidates and once again flooded the districts with mail.


We told folks how their candidates answered survey and we told folks how their candidates have voted in the past on critical growth and development issues.

We explained the real agenda behind left-wing groups like the "Conservation Voters," making their endorsements and financial support radioactive in the voters' mind.

And we told folks exactly how politician's support for the zero-growth crowd's agenda is affecting them.

The results speak for themselves.

General Election Program



STEVE CARTER
TRYING TO T
GARFIELD CO

WESTERN INDIAN PARTNERSHIP
Colorado

Tuesday evening
Dear friend,

I guess skipping the owner's job in Wyoming isn't as popular as it used to be. But we do hope all your letters and phone calls, Western Rural Handout still at the intersection of...

It's one thing to accept competition and to help the state with the industries of Washington, D.C. as those numbers was printed in a 1984 book, "Tragging about the number that had been taken to the ground at the time of the state's last election. Right before an election is when candidates are most likely to be seen to us voters. It just doesn't seem to care about the opinions of those who would lose their way in the state. Those so-called "conservation voters" claim to oppose "dumping" but that sure hasn't stopped them from unloading trucks of each into the campaign accounts of candidates who were in charge when voters are handed down from D.C.

DON'T BE FO
BY STEVE CARTER AND STEPHEN
REFUSAL TO COME

Garfield Citizens want to know why like Steve Carter and Stephen Bershteyn REFUSAL TO COME to support common-sense efforts including:

- LOWER TAXES
- PROPER FOREST MANAGEMENT
- SUSTAINABLE ROAD FUNDING
- LOCAL CONTROL OF WATER

Over and over again, REFUSAL TO COME to support the Western Indian Partnership and the Western Indian Partnership. However, neither of these groups are endorsed by the state. But they are endorsed by the state. Don't let our message be hidden over to the state.

Call Steve Carter and Stephen Bershteyn and send them to support the Western Indian Partnership and the Western Indian Partnership. However, neither of these groups are endorsed by the state. But they are endorsed by the state. Don't let our message be hidden over to the state.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,
1. Daniel Pearl
Executive Director, Western Indian Partnership

P.S. Who knew that wanting to skip Montana's jobs to other states would make a candidate president in such a voters?



What makes Garfield County such a GREAT PLACE?

BALANCE

[#17 – 2008 Election Results]

Even despite the Democrat sweep nationwide, pro-responsible growth candidates won 14 of 19 State House and Senate races in Montana and 7 of 9 races we targeted in Colorado.

In Montana, where we ran the most intensive program, the Republicans even took control of the State Senate – ~~virtually the only state where Republicans didn't get completely walloped by the Democrats on Election Day.~~

I'm sure you'll agree, that's quite an impressive start for a group who didn't even exist on paper three years ago.

But we recognize our success is due to the fact that our issue ^{are} is powerful. We need to continue building on our initial success.

That means expanding our program in 2010. So here's what we have planned for Montana.

2008 Election Results

Montana

- **Pro-responsible growth candidates win 14 of 19 targeted races**
- **Majority of Republican candidates pledge 100% support for responsible growth policies**
- **Republicans take control of State Senate**

Colorado

- **Pro-responsible growth candidates win 7 of 9 races incl. Garfield County, where 40% of drilling permits issued**

[#18 - Primary Race Mail Program]

First off, of course, are the Montana primaries. We'll begin well before the primaries by surveying all the candidates running for office.

~~Ideally, every candidate will answer their surveys, pledging 100% opposition the radical environmentalists' agenda before the primaries.~~

~~But we know that's not going to happen.~~

Partially ^{5 in the} due to term limits, there will be 14 open seat ~~House races this year~~ and 15 open seat ^{Senate races} ~~Senate races~~ -- not counting any unexpected retirements. _{this yr.}

~~Considering the high number of open seats - not to mention the high probability that some weak-kneed incumbents will face some strong competition from political newcomers upset at the direction of the country - we're gearing up for a very busy primary season.~~

We're expecting to be involved in about 8 ~~state~~ house races and 7 ~~state~~ Senate races at a cost of about \$77,000.

First off - MT Pzim
Due to term
We're Exp. to be inv.

Montana Primary Program

1. Eight House Races

(mail program @ \$3,500/district)

\$28,000

2. Seven Senate Races

(mail program @ \$15,000/district)

\$49,000

? ask

*Please
change
slide
(11)*

7,000.00



Total Cost

\$77,000

[#19 – General Election Mail Program]

Based on election results over the past four election cycles, we've identified these 49 House and 10 Senate districts as the most competitive in the state going into 2010.

As you'll see, the yellow ^{stars} represent House ⁱⁿ districts, the green stars represent Senate.

Yellow ★ represent House Dist Green ★s Rep. Senate

Obviously, this far out, there's no telling how many of these races will be competitive as we approach Election Day. — Filing deadline actually — not old day!

But there's no doubt about it, what happens in these races will determine who controls the Montana State Legislature this year.

We'd like to be able to fund a full-scale program in each of these districts for a cost of \$197,000. — ~~each district~~ ~~together~~

Now that's the very bare bones of our program.

But if we can raise the resources, there's much, much more we can do.

[#21 - Hydro]

As I'm sure you know, Montana law mandates that currently 10% of all electricity produced by public utilities has to be from ~~quote~~ "renewable sources" ~~endquote~~. That number will be forced to 15% in just five years.

Well, one of the main sources for power generation here in Montana has always been hydro-electric power - one of the cleanest and most inexpensive methods of energy production available.

But due to a special provision in the law, only pre-existing hydro-electric plants received credit for being renewable energy, which ultimately works to force electricity bills higher.

You see, newly-constructed hydro plants that are "fish-friendly," more efficient and produce more power do not currently get credit as renewable energy - even if they're constructed to replace old plants.

Re write

Why?

New
Verbage

SB257
would have included
Hydro in the

overwhelming
Passed
House
&
Senate

over
+ 2/3's
Vote

BS -
Vetoed


[#22 – Al Gore]

My guess is that Al Gore doesn't financially benefit from hydro power.

But all joking aside, like I mentioned before, the radical environmentalists goal is to make all forms of energy production expensive and ultimately shut it down.

By not counting hydro as renewable energy, it forces public utility companies to use other more expensive and less reliable forms of ~~quote~~ "alternative" energy, ~~massively~~ driving up ^{the} costs to consumers along the way -- and probably padding Al Gore's pockets, as well.

Driving Up Energy Costs



[#24 – Statewide Mail]

... but if it's going to be successful, we are going to have to expand our mail program statewide, which would increase our mail cost to about \$375,000.

But the good news is, not only would we be able to explain to folks exactly why our ~~referendum~~ is a good idea, but we'd also be able to give them information about their House and Senate races, as well, allowing us to hit two birds with one stone.

PSC Raes

Total General Election Program

1. Statewide Mail Program

(State House, Senate and Referendum)

\$375,000

[#25 – Earned Media]

If we have the resources, we also would like to utilize paid and earned media to complement our survey program and support our referendum.

First off, we'll do press release, do media interviews, write guest editorials and work the call in talk radio shows which we can staff and run for about \$10,000.

Total General Election Program

1. Statewide Mail Program

\$375,000

(State House, Senate and Referendum)

2. Earned Media Program


\$10,000

(press releases, press conferences, editorials, etc.)

[#26 – Paid Media]

And a few days before the election, we'd like to be able to fund a \$75,000 television, newspaper and radio ad blitz to help dispel the lies and distortions that you can guarantee will be coming from the opposition.

We don't believe we need to flood the airwaves with ads, but we do need to have some presence because you can guarantee the radical environmentalists will be on the attack.



Total General Election Program

1. Statewide Mail Program

(State House, Senate and Referendum)

\$375,000

2. Earned Media Program

(press releases, press conferences, editorials, etc.)

\$10,000

3. Ad Blitz

(radio, newspaper and TV ads.)

\$75,000

Total Cost

\$460,000

[#27 – Total Budget]

All in all, that brings our 2010 budget to approximately \$537,000

Considering everything that's at stake this year in Montana, I believe that's a real bargain. Especially considering the radical environmentalists will no doubt spend millions to win this fight.

Now let me show you some of the very real and unique benefits of this program.

2010 Total Budget

1. Primary Program

(X House targets, X Senate targets)

\$77,000

2. General Election Program

(all State House/Senate Targets, referendum, media)

\$460,000

Total Cost

\$537,000

[Slide 28 – #1 --“It works”]

First, and most importantly, it works! Our staff has utilized these same methods on other issues, with great success. The zero-growth crowd has been using these kind of tactics for years. So can we.

Our success in 2008 shows we can run a successful program against the radical environmentalists and beat them ^{IN} out their own game – even in a tough political climate.

Just imagine what we can do this year.

Advantages of Supporting the Program

✓ **It works**

[Slide 29 – #2 “It’s about the issues”]

As a 501(c)(4), WTP is a non-partisan lobbying organization so we’re not attached at the hip to any political party. We’re concerned only with the issues.

Because of that, once we begin moving public opinion our way on critical issues important to land, resource, and energy developers, the radical environmentalists will be in big trouble.

Our success won’t depend on which party is in power. Politicians get the message loud and clear when their colleagues get beaten at the ballot box. And believe me, that message crosses party lines – although it looks as though Republicans are not as beholden to the Greenpeaces and George Soros types who put the Sage Grouse above Sally .

Advantages of Supporting the Program

- ✓ **It works**
- ✓ **It's about the issues**

[Slide 30 -- #3 Corporate Contributions]

Thirdly, because we're a 501(c)(4) organization, corporate contributions are completely legal under this program.

This is one of the rare programs you will find where that's the case.

Advantages of Supporting the Program

- ✓ **It works**
- ✓ **It's about the issues**
- ✓ **Corporate contributions
allowed**

[Slide 31 -- #4 No limit]

There's no limit to how much you can give.

As you know, Montana has very strict limits on contributions to candidates, but there is no limit to how much you give to this program.

You can give whatever you're comfortable with and make as big of an impact as you wish.

[Slide 32 – #3 Confidential]

Finally, unlike every other type of political advocacy organization, we're not required to report the name or the amount of any contribution that we receive. The Supreme Court has repeatedly affirmed the right to lobby on the issues anonymously.

So, if you decide to support this program, no politician, no bureaucrat, and no radical environmentalist will ever know you helped make this program possible.

The only thing we plan on reporting is our success to contributors like you who can see the benefits of a program like this.

You can just sit back on election night and see what a difference you've made.

Mr. XXX, we can make a big difference this year in Montana.

Will you help make our efforts possible by becoming a partner with a contribution of \$XXXXX?

Advantages of Supporting the Program

- ✓ **It works**
- ✓ **It's about the issues**
- ✓ **Corporate contributions
allowed**
- ✓ **No contribution limit**
- ✓ **It's confidential**

Advantages of Supporting the Program

- ✓ **It works**
- ✓ **It's about the issues**
- ✓ **Corporate contributions
allowed**
- ✓ **No contribution limit**