

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Alabama										
University of Alabama Hospital, Birmingham (ALUA)	47	82.98	86.38	1.34	0.58	2.64	0.502	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.8	365
Arizona										
University Medical Center, Univ of Arizona, Tucson (AZUA)	61	85.25	87.03	1.18	0.54	2.25	0.704	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.6	365
Arkansas										
Baptist Medical Center, Little Rock (ARBH)	9	55.56	85.24	3.60	0.98	9.22	0.053	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.5	365
Arkansas Childrens Hospital, Little Rock (ARCH)	4	75.00	67.42	0.67	0.02	3.74	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
The University Hospital of Arkansas, Little Rock (ARUA)	9	66.67	87.72	2.79	0.57	8.14	0.190	Not Significantly Different (a)	72.4	365
California										
Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles (CACL)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles (CACS)	70	94.29	86.41	0.40	0.11	1.02	0.059	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Loma Linda University Medical Center, Loma Linda (CALL)	12	100.00	82.86	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.205	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.2	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco (CAPM)	22	95.45	85.95	0.30	0.01	1.66	0.306	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.6	365
University of California San Diego Medical Center, San Diego (CASD)	20	70.00	87.45	2.41	0.88	5.25	0.082	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
University of California San Francisco Medical Center, San Francisco (CASF)	5	80.00	86.80	1.46	0.04	8.12	0.993	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Sutter Memorial Hospital, Sacramento (CASG)	9	100.00	93.57	0.00	0.00	5.01	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Sharp Memorial Hospital, San Diego (CASH)	33	93.94	89.72	0.59	0.07	2.14	0.690	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.7	365
Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford (CASU)	97	83.51	84.05	1.04	0.60	1.69	0.932	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.2	365
St. Vincent Medical Center, Los Angeles (CASV)	31	70.97	80.38	1.75	0.80	3.33	0.154	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365
University of California at Los Angeles Medical Center, Los Angeles (CAUC)	183	86.34	83.56	0.78	0.50	1.14	0.229	Not Significantly Different (a)	81.8	365
University of Southern California University Hospital, Los Angeles (CAUH)	28	92.86	84.92	0.44	0.05	1.58	0.330	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.3	365
Colorado										
The Children's Hospital, Denver (COCH)	4	100.00	83.88	0.00	0.00	4.10	0.963	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
University Hospital University of Colorado Health Science Center, Denver (COUC)	43	74.42	84.69	1.91	0.95	3.42	0.067	Not Significantly Different (a)	88.9	365
Connecticut										
Hartford Hospital, Hartford (CTHH)	24	75.00	78.59	1.09	0.40	2.38	0.938	Not Significantly Different (a)	94.3	365
Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven (CTYN)	33	78.79	84.83	1.35	0.54	2.78	0.531	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.3	365
District of Columbia										
Childrens National Medical Center, Washington (DCCH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington Hospital Center, Washington (DCWH)	11	90.91	91.86	1.18	0.03	6.55	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.2	365
Florida										
All Childrens Hospital, St. Petersburg (FLAC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jackson Memorial Hospital University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami (FLJM)	44	90.91	87.89	0.76	0.21	1.94	0.783	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.0	365
St. Lukes Hospital (Mayo Clinic), Jacksonville (FLSL)	13	92.31	86.32	0.58	0.01	3.22	0.969	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Tampa General Hospital, Tampa (FLTG)	112	84.82	87.61	1.30	0.76	2.08	0.341	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.8	365
Tallahassee Memorial Hospital, Tallahassee (FLTO)	13	69.23	84.94	2.49	0.68	6.37	0.160	Not Significantly Different (a)	49.5	365
Shands Hospital at The University of Florida, Gainesville (FLUF)	61	85.25	86.00	1.00	0.46	1.89	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.2	365
Georgia										
Children's Healthcare of Atlanta at Egleston, Atlanta (GAEH)	2	100.00	84.90	0.00	0.00	9.16	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	50.8	365
Emory University Hospital, Atlanta (GAEM)	57	96.49	88.25	0.28	0.03	1.01	0.054	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.7	365
Saint Joseph's Hospital of Atlanta, Atlanta (GASJ)	13	84.62	87.51	1.24	0.15	4.48	0.959	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.2	365
Hawaii										
St Francis Medical Center, Honolulu (HISF)	4	100.00	92.09	0.00	0.00	9.03	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	92.1	365
Illinois										
Children's Memorial Hospital, Chicago (ILCM)	1	100.00	91.00	0.00	0.00	31.81	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood (ILLU)	58	89.66	88.61	0.90	0.33	1.96	0.997	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365
Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago (ILNM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rush University Medical Center, Chicago (ILPL)	15	80.00	89.10	1.89	0.39	5.51	0.429	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
OSF Saint Francis Medical Center, Peoria (ILSF)	27	88.89	85.04	0.69	0.14	2.03	0.745	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
St. Johns Hospital, Springfield (ILSJ)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago (ILUC)	41	70.73	85.62	2.35	1.22	4.11	0.013	Statistically Lower (b)	99.9	365
Univ of Illinois Hospital and Clinics, Chicago (ILUI)	8	87.50	88.73	1.05	0.03	5.82	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Indiana										
Clarian Health/Methodist/Indiana U/Riley, Indianapolis (INIM)	29	93.10	88.13	0.53	0.06	1.93	0.555	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.2	365
Indiana University Medical Center, Indianapolis (INIU)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lutheran Hospital of Fort Wayne, Ft Wayne (INLH)	27	77.78	87.96	2.08	0.76	4.53	0.145	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.0	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Iowa										
St Vincent Hospital and Health Care Center, Indianapolis (INSV)	25	80.00	89.40	2.08	0.67	4.85	0.193	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City (IAIV)	19	100.00	89.79	0.00	0.00	1.45	0.254	Not Significantly Different (a)	93.7	365
Iowa City VA Medical Center, Iowa City (IAIV)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mercy Medical Center-Des Moines, Des Moines (IAMH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas										
Via Christi Regional Medical Center, St Francis Campus, Wichita (KSFV)	5	40.00	88.43	11.81	2.44	34.53	<0.01	Statistically Lower (b)	98.9	365
Kentucky										
Jewish Hospital, Louisville (KYJH)	52	86.54	84.54	0.93	0.37	1.92	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.4	365
Kosair Childrens Hospital, Louisville (KYKC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Kentucky Medical Center, Lexington (KYUK)	33	75.76	87.93	2.44	1.05	4.81	0.038	Statistically Lower (b)	99.9	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Louisiana										
Ochsner Foundation Hospital, New Orleans (LAOF)	70	90.00	85.63	0.70	0.28	1.44	0.437	Not Significantly Different (a)	95.9	365
Tulane University Medical Center, New Orleans (LATU)	28	85.71	86.92	1.17	0.32	2.99	0.893	Not Significantly Different (a)	94.3	365
Willis Knighton Medical Center, Shreveport (LAWK)	27	81.48	83.46	1.14	0.37	2.66	0.892	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.3	365
Maryland										
Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore (MDJH)	40	80.00	88.40	1.90	0.82	3.74	0.130	Not Significantly Different (a)	93.8	365
University of Maryland Medical System, Baltimore (MDUM)	13	100.00	84.17	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.206	Not Significantly Different (a)	90.5	365
Massachusetts										
Children's Hospital, Boston (MACH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (MAMG)	31	93.55	88.25	0.57	0.07	2.04	0.627	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
New England Medical Center, Boston (MANM)	36	91.67	87.41	0.66	0.14	1.94	0.678	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Brigham and Womens Hospital, Boston (MAPB)	39	84.62	86.23	1.20	0.44	2.61	0.767	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.7	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
University of Massachusetts Memorial Medical Center, Worcester (MAUM)	5	80.00	86.23	1.59	0.04	8.84	0.935	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Michigan										
William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak (MIBH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Children's Hospital of Michigan, Detroit (MICH)	1	100.00	75.72	0.00	0.00	10.79	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit (MIHF)	45	80.00	87.01	1.69	0.77	3.20	0.185	Not Significantly Different (a)	92.1	365
University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor (MIUM)	74	86.49	88.75	1.19	0.57	2.19	0.667	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365
Minnesota										
Abbott Northwestern Hospital, Minneapolis (MNAN)	17	100.00	89.57	0.00	0.00	1.57	0.297	Not Significantly Different (a)	94.7	365
Saint Marys Hospital (Mayo Clinic), Rochester (MNSM)	26	96.15	87.18	0.28	0.01	1.57	0.263	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.2	365
Fairview University Medical Center, Minneapolis (MNUM)	53	84.91	85.71	1.09	0.47	2.16	0.894	Not Significantly Different (a)	95.8	365
Mississippi										

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson (MSUM)	24	87.50	87.60	0.97	0.20	2.83	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Missouri										
Barnes-Jewish Hospital, St. Louis (MOBH)	58	93.10	90.12	0.68	0.19	1.74	0.606	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.1	365
Cardinal Glennon Children's Hospital, St. Louis (MOCG)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St Louis Childrens Hospital at Washington University Medical Center, St Louis (MOCH)	4	50.00	78.96	3.62	0.44	13.07	0.213	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
St Lukes Hospital of Kansas City, Kansas City (MOLH)	32	90.63	89.09	0.81	0.17	2.38	0.994	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
St Louis University Hospital, St Louis (MOSL)	13	61.54	83.53	2.53	0.82	5.90	0.101	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
University of Missouri Hospital and Clinics, Columbia (MOUM)	12	83.33	87.99	1.55	0.19	5.60	0.740	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.7	365
Nebraska										
BryanLGH Medical Center East, Lincoln (NEBM)	14	78.57	88.53	2.19	0.45	6.39	0.320	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.8	365
Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha (NEUN)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
New Jersey										
Newark Beth Israel Medical Center, Newark (NJB1)	75	85.33	85.07	0.93	0.47	1.67	0.968	Not Significantly Different (a)	95.9	365
Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, New Brunswick (NJRW)	11	100.00	82.15	0.00	0.00	1.31	0.202	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.7	365
New Mexico										
Presbyterian Hospital, Albuquerque (NMPH)	2	100.00	92.69	0.00	0.00	19.70	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	7.0	365
New York										
Albany Medical Center Hospital, Albany (NYAM)	64	89.06	82.93	0.59	0.24	1.22	0.193	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.8	365
Buffalo General Hospital/ Children's Hospital, Buffalo (NYBU)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The Presbyterian Hosp in NY City, New York (NYCP)	199	85.93	83.65	0.84	0.56	1.22	0.418	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.8	365
Strong Memorial Hospital, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester (NYFL)	24	91.67	84.08	0.48	0.06	1.73	0.425	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx (NYMA)	18	83.33	83.88	1.01	0.21	2.94	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.6	365
Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York (NYMS)	44	84.09	80.58	0.81	0.32	1.66	0.729	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla (NYWC)	8	62.50	81.27	3.17	0.65	9.28	0.141	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
North Carolina										
North Carolina Baptist Hospital, Winston Salem (NCBG)	7	57.14	79.58	1.90	0.39	5.56	0.422	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte (NCCM)	36	91.67	88.30	0.70	0.15	2.06	0.770	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.0	365
Duke University Medical Center, Durham (NCDU)	141	88.65	84.21	0.68	0.39	1.11	0.139	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.1	365
University of North Carolina Hospitals, Chapel Hill (NCMH)	29	86.21	86.42	0.98	0.27	2.52	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	95.2	365
Ohio										
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland (OHCC)	147	93.20	85.92	0.46	0.22	0.84	0.008	Statistically Higher (b)	100.0	365
Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati (OHCM)	1	100.00	89.17	0.00	0.00	26.17	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.7	365
Medical College Hospitals, Toledo (OHCO)	17	76.47	83.01	1.41	0.38	3.62	0.631	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.6	365
Ohio State University Hospital, Columbus (OHOU)	17	76.47	89.07	2.28	0.62	5.84	0.203	Not Significantly Different (a)	98.8	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
University of Cincinnati Medical Center/ University Hospital, Cincinnati (OHUC)	23	73.91	85.62	1.82	0.67	3.96	0.234	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.5	365
University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland (OHUH)	28	71.43	84.56	1.92	0.83	3.78	0.124	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Oklahoma										
Integrus Baptist Medical Center, Oklahoma City (OKBC)	38	89.47	87.80	0.81	0.22	2.06	0.893	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.1	365
OU Medical Center, Oklahoma City (OKMD)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Saint Francis Hospital, Tulsa (OKSF)	28	85.71	89.67	1.41	0.38	3.61	0.633	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.5	365
Oregon										
Providence Portland Medical Center, Portland (ORPM)	15	93.33	91.14	0.72	0.02	4.02	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.8	365
Oregon Health Sciences, Portland (ORUO)	50	90.00	87.80	0.80	0.26	1.86	0.803	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.7	365
Pennsylvania										
Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh (PAAG)	13	76.92	83.93	1.69	0.35	4.95	0.523	Not Significantly Different (a)	92.5	365
Childrens Hospital of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh (PACH)	1	0.00	64.32	8.26	0.21	46.03	0.228	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	10

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia (PACP)	2	0.00	81.03	21.07	2.55	76.13	0.008	Statistically Lower (b)	100.0	20
Penn State Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Hershey (PAHE)	33	75.76	87.06	1.97	0.85	3.88	0.110	Not Significantly Different (a)	96.1	365
Hahnemann University Hospital Tenet, Philadelphia (PAHM)	43	86.05	81.03	0.68	0.25	1.49	0.458	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh (PAPT)	84	85.71	83.73	0.86	0.44	1.50	0.723	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.1	365
St Christophers Hospital for Children, Philadelphia (PASC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Temple University Hospital, Philadelphia (PATU)	104	74.04	79.02	1.35	0.89	1.97	0.152	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365
Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (PAUP)	115	86.96	85.80	0.96	0.54	1.58	0.995	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.6	365
Puerto Rico										
Cardiovascular Center of Puerto Rico and the Caribbean, San Juan (PRCC)	22	100.00	91.52	0.00	0.00	1.52	0.279	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.7	365
South Carolina										

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston (SCMU)	54	94.44	89.85	0.57	0.12	1.66	0.459	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.5	365
Tennessee										
Baptist Memorial Hospital, Memphis (TNBM)	33	93.94	88.39	0.49	0.06	1.78	0.458	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Le Bonheur Childrens Medical Center, Memphis (TNLB)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Saint Thomas Hospital, Nashville (TNST)	36	91.67	87.16	0.62	0.13	1.82	0.586	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.9	365
Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville (TNVU)	54	75.93	86.55	1.99	1.06	3.41	0.033	Statistically Lower (b)	96.1	365
Texas										
Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston (TXBA)	4	50.00	82.04	4.59	0.56	16.59	0.143	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
University Hospital University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio (TXBC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Children's Medical Center of Dallas, Dallas (TXCM)	3	100.00	79.67	0.00	0.00	4.33	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Seton Medical Center, Austin (TXCT)	37	81.08	86.70	1.63	0.66	3.37	0.285	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.4	365
Columbia Hospital at Medical City Dallas, Dallas (TXHD)	48	89.58	87.78	0.86	0.28	2.00	0.947	Not Significantly Different (a)	97.8	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
St Lukes Episcopal Hospital, Houston (TXHI)	77	77.92	86.75	1.83	1.06	2.92	0.030	Statistically Lower (b)	94.4	365
Methodist Specialty & Transplant Hospital, San Antonio (TXHS)	40	80.00	85.60	1.45	0.63	2.85	0.387	Not Significantly Different (a)	93.7	365
University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston (TXJS)	7	85.71	86.10	1.05	0.03	5.86	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	94.7	365
The Methodist Hospital Baylor College of Medicine, Houston (TXMH)	69	84.06	88.59	1.45	0.73	2.60	0.288	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Christus Santa Rosa Medical Center, San Antonio (TXRM)	26	73.08	88.61	2.56	1.03	5.28	0.044	Statistically Lower (b)	90.3	365
St Paul University Hospital, Dallas (TXSP)	44	93.18	86.91	0.50	0.10	1.46	0.299	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.8	365
Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas (TXTX)	38	92.11	87.93	0.62	0.13	1.80	0.570	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Utah										
LDS Hospital, Salt Lake City (UTLD)	35	94.29	88.09	0.47	0.06	1.68	0.396	Not Significantly Different (a)	94.8	365
University of Utah Medical Center, Salt Lake City (UTMC)	39	94.87	86.48	0.36	0.04	1.29	0.164	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.5	365
Primary Childrens Medical Center, Salt Lake City (UTPC)	2	100.00	81.55	0.00	0.00	7.35	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Virginia										
Inova Fairfax Hospital, Falls Church (VAFH)	31	90.32	90.79	1.04	0.21	3.04	0.999	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
Henrico Doctors Hospital, Richmond (VAHD)	13	84.62	87.96	1.28	0.15	4.62	0.927	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Medical College of Virginia Hospitals, Richmond (VAMC)	11	63.64	84.03	2.72	0.74	6.98	0.123	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
Hunter Holmes McGuire Veterans Administration Medical Center, Richmond (VAMV)	17	82.35	82.36	1.22	0.25	3.58	0.886	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.1	365
Sentara Norfolk General Hospital, Norfolk (VANG)	36	94.44	84.97	0.36	0.04	1.28	0.162	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.6	365
University of Virginia Health Sciences Center, Charlottesville (VAUV)	27	81.48	86.13	1.49	0.48	3.48	0.496	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.6	365
Washington										
Childrens Hospital Medical Center, Seattle (WACH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacred Heart Medical Center, Spokane (WASH)	21	95.24	87.55	0.36	0.01	2.00	0.469	Not Significantly Different (a)	100.0	365
University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle (WAUW)	70	95.71	84.66	0.26	0.05	0.75	0.006	Statistically Higher (b)	99.8	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.

Center Specific Report Table 11 Summary: 1 Year Patient Survival, Adult

For Patients Transplanted between 01/01/2001 - 12/31/2002

Organ: HR: Heart

State and Center	Transplants (n) 1	Patient Survival (%) 2	Expected Patient Survival (%) 3	Ratio of Observed to Expected Failures	95% Confidence Interval 4		P-value (2-sided) 5	How do the rates at this center compare to those in the nation?	Follow-up days reported by center (%) 6	Maximum Days of Follow-up (n)
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
U.S.	4,523	86.03	NA	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.4	365
Wisconsin										
Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Milwaukee (WICH)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Froedtert Memorial Lutheran Hospital, Milwaukee (WISE)	9	55.56	88.38	4.57	1.24	11.69	0.025	Statistically Lower (b)	99.7	365
St. Luke's Medical Center, Milwaukee (WISL)	79	89.87	88.48	0.86	0.37	1.70	0.836	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365
University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics, Madison (WIUW)	48	91.67	85.95	0.61	0.17	1.56	0.437	Not Significantly Different (a)	99.9	365

NA=Not Applicable.

1 Transplants during the time period indicated in the last row of the table.

2 Because different cohorts are followed for each time period, it is possible for reported 3-year survival to exceed 1-year survival.

3 Based on U.S. patient mortality rates adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics as indicated in the Analytical Methods.

4 The 95% confidence interval gives a range of values for the true ratio of deaths at the facility to those expected based on the national experience. The true ratio will be between this lower and upper bound 95% of the time.

5 A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected patient survival is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could possibly be due to random chance.

(a) This difference could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. (b) This difference is unlikely due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected survival.

6 Of days expected to be included in the follow-up period, the percentage of days covered by follow-up reporting by all centers for these transplants. Additional follow-up days included in survival may be covered by SSDMF data. This measures the possibility that events such as failure have occurred without being reported, and it is not a measure of compliance.

Based on data available as of 04/30/2004. Release at www.ustransplant.org on 07/09/2004.