

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Case Master Report 17-4176

Date Initiated 06/19/2017

Primary Information

Agency: **WI Department of Justice**
Division: **Div. of Criminal Investigation (DCI)**
Sensitive Cases: **Div. of Criminal Investigation (DCI)**
Bureau: **DCI Field Operations Bureau**
Section: **DCI Madison Field Office**
Office: **Madison Public Integrity DCI**
Lead LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Type Of Case: **Public Integrity**
Case Sub Type: **PI-Public Int -Election Law Violation**
Case Description: **Project Veritas Allegations of Election Law Violations**

Authorized Operators

Name
Sheridan, Ann M (Madison SAKI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)
Twing, Jeffrey A (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)
Schneider, Randall L (WI Department of Justice / Wisconsin Department of Justice)

Case Status

Case Status: **Closed**
Case Status Date: **05/02/2018**
Case Referral Code: **Assistant Attorney General**
Disposition Code: **Crime Not Substantiated**
Disposition Date: **05/02/2018**
Dissemination: **System Wide**

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Initiating Case Report 17-4176

Report Date: 06/19/2017

Primary Information

Description: **Allegations of Election Fraud**
Occurrence From: **05/17/2017 00:00**
Occurrence To: **05/17/2017 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **12/08/2017**
Approved By: **Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)**

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Complainant	Project Veritas (Business)		---

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

On 05/17/2017, S/A Dorinda Freymiller was assigned an investigation to determine whether or not Election Laws had been violated. DCI Deputy Administrator Jason Smith, Director Jody Wormet and SAC James Engels took part in a conversation with S/A Freymiller whereby they indicated S/A Freymiller was to watch three videos, which had an accompanying transcript (although the transcript was believed to have some errors) and to form an opinion as to whether or not there was information indicating Election Law violations had occurred.

A business called Project Veritas provided information to the WI DOJ involving the undercover recording of a political operative. Project Veritas also provided access to the WI DOJ to view the recordings.

Dir. Wormet provided the following web addresses to S/A Freymiller so she could access the videos:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vP80g1VIKnQ>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sDsAx1bj8ng&feature=youtu.be>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0gWoGfL5NRI&feature=youtu.be>

In addition, Dir. Wormet provided a copy of three transcripts, each of which corresponded to one of the videos.

Lastly, Dir. Wormet provided S/A Freymiller with a copy of a memo written by DOJ Director Roy Korte on 01/31/2017 with the subject "Veritas election fraud complaint." Originally, S/A Freymiller was instructed to read the memo, but was later told not to read it until after she had completed her review of the videos and formed an opinion regarding the content.

S/A Freymiller was told that she may also be required to conduct interviews related to the allegation.

It is hereby recommended a case file be opened in order to determine whether any Election Laws were violated in relation to the information provided by Project Veritas.

S/A Freymiller downloaded copies of the video onto a DVD and placed them in the main DCIR file. A copy of the three transcripts and Director Korte's memo are electronically attached to this report.

Date: January 31, 2017

To: File

From: Roy Korte
Director, Criminal Litigation Unit

Subject: Veritas election fraud complaint

In October of 2016 a 16.31 minute video was released by Project Veritas consisting of various recordings which it claimed showed individuals planning or discussing voter fraud by bringing out of state voters into Wisconsin and other states to vote.

APPLICABLE LAW:

12.13 Election fraud.

(1) ELECTORS. Whoever intentionally does any of the following violates this chapter:

(a) Votes at any election or meeting if that person does not have the necessary elector qualifications and residence requirements.

(b) Falsely procures registration or makes false statements to the municipal clerk, board of election commissioners or any other election official whether or not under oath.

(c) Registers as an elector in more than one place for the same election.

(d) Impersonates a registered elector or poses as another person for the purpose of voting at an election.

(e) Votes more than once in the same election.

(h) Procures, assists or advises someone to do any of the acts prohibited by this subsection.

(3) PROHIBITED ACTS. No person may:

(g) Falsify any statement relating to voter registration under chs. 5 to 12.

(i) Falsely make any statement for the purpose of obtaining or voting an absentee ballot under ss. 6.85 to 6.87.

(u) Provide false documentation of identity for the purpose of inducing an election official to permit the person or another person to vote.

12.60 Penalties.

(1)

(a) Whoever violates s. 12.09, 12.11 or 12.13 (1), (2)(b) 1. to 7. or (3) (a), (e), (f), (j), (k), (L), (m), (y) or (z) is guilty of a Class I felony.

(b) Whoever violates s. 12.03, 12.05, 12.07, 12.08 or 12.13 (2) (b) 8., (3)

(b), (c), (d), (g), (i), (n) to (x), (ze), (zm) or (zn) may be fined not more than \$1,000, or imprisoned not more than 6 months or both.

(bm) Whoever violates s. 12.13 (5) may be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned for not more than 9 months or both.

(c) Whoever violates s. 12.13 (3) (am) may be required to forfeit not more than \$500.

(d) Whoever violates s. 12.035 or 12.13 (3) (h) may be required to forfeit not more than \$100.

File

January 31, 2017

Page 2

TAPE REVIEW:

The originally available recording consisted of excerpts of what appeared to be six recordings with audio commentary stating that the discussions involved voter fraud. The recording primarily focused on conversations with a Scott Foval of "Americans United for Change." At least some of the conversations appear to occur in Wisconsin in a bar by more than one reporter at different times and locations. Two other individuals, Bob Creamer and Cesar Vargas (New York), also appear in the video in recordings that occur on separate dates and locations. The conversation with Mr. Vargas occurred in New York and did not appear to reference Wisconsin related acts. The conversations with Mr. Creamer appear to have taken place at more than one location and time and do not reference any Wisconsin related activity. The interviews on the tape was obviously edited and the full recordings were not available on the Project Veritas website.

The tape opens with the issue on Mr. Foval stating that "it's a very easy thing for republicans to say we are bussing people in. Well you know what, we have been bussing people in for 50 years to deal with you assholes and we're not going to stop now. We're just going to find a different way to do it." The context of this statement is not at all clear. Arguably one could conclude that it might refer to campaign volunteers, etc. The added narration to the tape, and thus not part of the actual conversation, is that the conversation related to bussing in people to vote. Again, that is never specifically stated by Mr. Foval or the other participant in the conversation at any time on the tape. There is then what appears to be a general discussion about voter fraud with a discussion of hypotheticals including having people drive into the state with private cars. Some of this is simply nonsensical such as stating that buying a used car from an auto auction will conceal residency. Mr. Foval talks about how someone could do things to avoid investigation of voter fraud. The context of the discussion is absent. Another narrator then adds there was then a discussion to "brainstorm" how to come up with phony addresses. The discussion of how this would work is confusing but at one point it is mentioned that this is how someone "could" do it and even used the phrase "in theory." At the end of this part of the tape Mr. Foval states Mr. Creamer came up with "a lot of these ideas." Again, as the tape is edited we do not know what ideas are being referenced or the context.

A different person is then seen talking with Mr. Creamer. The person starts talking about what you need to register to vote such as an ID and a pay stub showing a local address. He then makes some vague statement about getting around voter

File

January 31, 2017

Page 3

registration for Hispanics. Finally, the person mentions that he got that information from Scott (apparently Mr. Foval) and that "we" could register huge numbers of people that way and mentioning hiring people from out of state to work in Michigan and then getting them an ID. How this would work and its relationship to voter fraud is unclear. Mr. Creamer then states he will discuss with Hispanic voter registration people to see what their views are and that "turnout is huge." The video then shifts to a second meeting with Mr. Creamer which the video commentary states is set up in order to put "this voter fraud plan into motion." The person again makes vague statements about employing people for a day or even a month and issue them a "corporate ID." (NOTE: one need only be a resident for 30 days to vote and a corporate ID is meaningless as it does not act as a voting ID). Only a brief discussion is on the tape and Mr. Creamer responds that he would have to run it by his lawyers and that he feared that this was a voter fraud scheme. The video commentator then states that Mr. Creamer hesitated to help the "donor" engage in the voter fraud scheme that Mr. Foval had created.

The video then cuts to Mr. Foval recounting a conversation with Mr. Creamer about what had been discussed and that Creamer was not going to touch that with a ten foot pole. Mr. Foval then stated that he told Mr. Creamer that other people could make things happen that he did not need to know about and then vague statements about still having to let Mr. Creamer know. Again, no context was provided.

The next video segment was that of a conversation with Mr. Vargas in New York where there is a discussion of a bus full of people who can legally vote and then getting a work permit under a different name and "again voting on behalf of people who cannot vote." Mr. Vargas is shown as being generally agreeable to the comments being made in the recording but says not in this election and refers to possibly 2018. The video of the conversation is also clearly edited and you cannot see the face of Mr. Vargas and confirm that his statements, which appear as text at the bottom of the screen, are actually what was being said at the time, or in response to the questions which appear on the recording, or the context. Without an unedited recording these questions could not be answered. Mr. Vargas also is heard saying that lets see who becomes president and that if it is Trump, "The issue will be more credible and much more opportunity for us to jump into this." The statement is vague and seems inconsistent with discussing a future voter fraud scheme. The transcript that appears on the screen indicates that the person involved stated that the information should not go to others because technically it was "voter fraud" which Mr. Vargas appears to agree with. I did not hear the phrase "voter fraud" being said on the recording.

File

January 31, 2017

Page 4

Two other recordings, which were purported to be complete recordings of two conversations referenced in the original video described above, were later made available. Instead of original recordings youtube links were provided. However, there was no additional video provided regarding the other four recordings. The first recording is 47 minutes and purports to have occurred on 4/5/16. The second recording is 30 minutes long and purports to have been recorded on 9/23/16. The two recordings clearly occurred at different locations. The recordings also contained number counters in the upper left hand corner. In addition, partial transcripts were included. The provider of the transcripts stated they were not represented as complete. They were not. They are incomplete, there are errors in identifying the person speaking and in what is said. There is also one section of the first conversation that is missing.

The supplemental recordings provided are suspect. First, there are none of the usual signs of a complete recording. For example, the recordings start in the middle of discussion. The usual introductory statements of parties to a conversation are absent. Second, the second recording contain gaps at several points. The time counter is also completely useless as it is inaccurate and changes several times in both recordings. I do not believe the recordings can be relied upon as complete or accurate.

In regards to the substance, the additional portions of the recordings do not provide any clarity. The conversations remain best described as vague and theoretical in many respects. There are no clear or direct statements indicating that voter fraud (bringing in out of state voters) was planned or had occurred. Many of the references in the first conversation, even if involving illegal conduct, were alleged to have occurred in the 90s or early 2000s, which is well beyond any applicable statute of limitations.

The second conversation related solely to having people record candidate statements at fundraisers and disruptive conduct at campaign rallies. Examples of disruption discussed include having people's cell phones all ring at the same time with the sound of a duck call, somehow inserting extremist messaging at events, having people disrupt events one after the other to presumably prolong the disruption, and "birddogging" by having people confront Trump at events and asking questions such as why he won't release his tax returns in the hope of getting a response. I do not believe that such conduct is limited to any one party or that it is illegal absent other conduct such as engaging in violence. I am not aware of any law

File

January 31, 2017

Page 5

making this conduct criminal. The recording is not clear whether the conversation occurred in Wisconsin which would be necessary for any potential venue. While there is also some reference to conversations that might be coordination with a campaign, this would be a federal law violation.

I do not believe the additional information provided provides any clarification or additional support to the original referral. Based on a review of the original excerpted recordings and the additional expanded recordings that were provided I also believe it is unlikely that a review of expanded or complete versions of the other four recordings will materially affect the conclusions reached. The original video suggested that the most concerning statements were made in the two videos for which we have now received expanded videos. There is nothing in the additional video footage that clarifies the ambiguous nature of the discussions. The other original video excerpts for which no additional footage was provided either rejects suggestions of voter fraud, involves conduct that is not illegal in Wisconsin or does not have a Wisconsin nexus.

Based on all the available facts I do not believe there is any basis to conclude that the videos demonstrate or suggest violations of Wisconsin criminal laws.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Examination of Records 17-4176/1

Report Date: 06/20/2017


Primary Information

Description: **Review of three videos provided by Project Veritas**
Occurrence From: **05/19/2017 00:00**
Occurrence To: **05/19/2017 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **12/08/2017**
Approved By: **Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)**

Synopsis

Review of three videos and transcripts.

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Complainant	Project Veritas (Business)		---
Mentioned	Garfields 502 (Business)		---
Mentioned	Hyatt Place Madison (Business)		---
Mentioned	ITALIAN COMMUNITY CENTER (Business)		---
Mentioned	Foval, Scott B (Person)	45 yr. old, White, Male	

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/1

On 05/19/2017 S/A Dorinda Freymiller reviewed three videos and three corresponding transcripts. Project Veritas previously provided web links to the videos and the web addresses were then given to S/A Freymiller as:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sDsAx1bj8ng&feature=youtu.be>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vP80g1VIKnQ>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0gWoGfL5NRI&feature=youtu.be>

S/A Freymiller also downloaded the videos and saved them on a DVD. The DVD was placed in the main DCIR casefile as noted in the initiating case report. A copy of the transcript was also electronically attached to the initiating case report.

S/A Freymiller watched the videos and made the following observations:

1. "WI 4 5 16 Scott Foval, Veritas Draft"

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sDsAx1bj8ng&feature=youtu.be>

The video shows a "counter" in the upper left hand corner of the screen and at the beginning, the counter is at 008236 and at the end it is 81516. The video length is 1:26:53. (Note: Based on information obtained during the investigation, the location of the video is Garfield's 502, Milwaukee, WI.) The person who is videotaping does not show his face in the video.

When the video begins, a discussion is occurring in a bar with several people in attendance.

The discussion is mostly between the person who is videotaping it, and states his name as [REDACTED], and another man who states his name as Scott. (Note: The individual who identified himself as Scott is known to be Scott Foval.) When the video ends, there is no verbal indication that the conversation has concluded.

At 40:49 the counter resets after reaching 82000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video, however, there is a brief second where the video seems to pause and then continues without an obvious break in the conversation.

2. "Foval 9-15-16 RAW, Veritas Draft"

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vP80g1VIKnQ>

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/1

There is no “counter” in the video. The video length is 2:38:29. (Note: Based on information obtained during the investigation, the location of the video is the Hyatt Place Hotel, Madison, WI.) The person who is videotaping does not show his face in the video.

When the video begins, it appears to be inside a hotel room and the only person present is the person who is videotaping. As the video continues, the person proceeds to the lobby area of the hotel and is joined by a blonde woman whose face is edited out and a man known to be Foval.

At 2:34, Foval shakes hands and then leaves while the female and the person recording continue their conversation. The female then says she needs to use a restroom and the person recording rides an elevator to a hotel room and the recording ends after he enters.

3. “09 23 16 Foval Export, Veritas Draft”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0gWoGfL5NRI&feature=youtu.be>

The video shows a “counter” in the upper left hand corner of the screen and at the beginning, the counter is at “027486” and ends at “26627.” The video length is 29:51. (Note: Based on information obtained during the investigation, the location of the video is The Italian Community Center, Milwaukee, WI.) The person who is videotaping does not show his face in the video.

When the video begins, the person who is videotaping is already engaged in a conversation with Foval. They are seated at a table together. When the video ends, they are still talking and there is no verbal indication that the conversation has concluded.

At 5:28 minutes into the video, the counter reads 38100 and at 5:29 the counter resets to zero and starts again. There does not appear to be a gap in the events in the video at this time.

At 8:32 minutes into the video, the counter shows 6605, and Foval is wearing his glasses and talking. At 8:33 minutes into the video, the counter shows 8408, and Foval is leaning back with his glasses off. There is an obvious gap in the video.

(Note: S/A Freymiller’s review of the videos was not a forensic analysis.)

S/A Freymiller also reviewed the transcripts and noted a number of errors in each transcript ranging from typing errors to attributing statements to the wrong person.

After her review of the videos and transcripts, S/A Freymiller was unable to identify any clear violations of Wisconsin Election laws.

End of report.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI


Interview 17-4176/2

Report Date: 06/22/2017

Primary Information

Description: **Scott Foval**
Occurrence From: **06/07/2017 00:00**
Occurrence To: **06/07/2017 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **12/08/2017**
Approved By: **Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)**

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Mentioned	Garfields 502 (Business)		---
Mentioned	Hyatt Place Madison (Business)		---
Mentioned	ITALIAN COMMUNITY CENTER (Business)		---
Mentioned	Foval, Scott B (Person)	45 yr. old, White, Male	

Documents

Document
New Yorker article re O'Keefe

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/2

On 06/07/2017 S/A Dorinda M. Freymiller had telephone contact with Scott Foval. S/A Freymiller explained she is a special agent with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Division of Criminal Investigation and that she wanted to ask Foval about contacts he had with individuals affiliated with Project Veritas.

Foval explained that he no longer lived in Wisconsin and that he was reluctant to travel back there. Foval said he was willing to speak with S/A Freymiller, however, he wanted to have an attorney present when he did so. S/A Freymiller said that would be fine and that Foval should have his attorney contact S/A Freymiller and they could schedule something.

Foval continued to talk to S/A Freymiller and explained the reason he did not want to travel to Wisconsin was that after Project Veritas released video footage of him to the media in the fall of 2016, Foval received death threats, was harassed by people whom he believes were affiliated with then presidential candidate Donald Trump's security detail and his vehicle was vandalized.

Foval denied all of the voter fraud allegations made by Project Veritas and said he "did not violate the law" and welcomed the opportunity to explain what happened.

Foval explained he has seen seven versions of the three different videos taken when he met with Project Veritas affiliates. Foval also participated in at least ten telephone calls with whom he now knows were Project Veritas affiliates. Foval believes the white male whom he met with when the videos were taken was [REDACTED]. The female who joined them for one meeting may be Allison last name unknown, a woman who was described as trying to "infiltrate One Wisconsin Now."

Foval said his three in person encounters with [REDACTED] occurred at a bar during a consolation party for then candidate Chris Larson, at the Hyatt Place, Madison and at a Voces de la Fronterra Gala in the Italian Community Center, Milwaukee.

The telephone conversation concluded with Foval stating he would reach out to his attorney and then be in touch with S/A Freymiller.

Throughout June/July 2017, Foval initiated contact with S/A Freymiller. Originally, there were brief discussions about plans for S/A Freymiller and SAC Jim Engels to fly to Tucson to interview Foval. Before that could happen, however, Foval contacted S/A Freymiller on 06/21/2017 to let her know his home had been burglarized. Foval asked to postpone any plans for the agents to fly to Tucson until he determined whether or not he might be moving.

In July, Foval contacted S/A Freymiller and said his home had been burglarized a second time and that he was definitely relocating. Foval said he reconsidered the offer to fly to Wisconsin for an interview, and was now willing to do so. Foval said he would make arrangements with an attorney in Wisconsin to represent him during the interview. Arrangements were being made for Foval to fly to Wisconsin when he left another message for S/A Freymiller and explained that he

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/2

was experiencing a personal [REDACTED]. Due to the need for [REDACTED], Foval was no longer available to fly to Wisconsin for an interview. Foval stated that he would have an attorney contact S/A Freymiller, after Foval's [REDACTED], to schedule an interview.

On 08/08/2017 Foval contacted S/A Freymiller and forwarded a link to a New Yorker magazine article with the title: "Has James O'Keefe Accidentally Stung Himself Again?" [REDACTED]

In the article provided by Foval, the League of Conservation Voters asked California Department of Justice Attorney General Xavier Becerra to "open a criminal investigation into the operatives for potential fraud, racketeering, unfair business practices, trespassing, invasion of privacy and possible violation of the state's two-way-consent eavesdropping laws." [REDACTED]

A copy of the New Yorker article is electronically attached to this report.

Foval also indicated he was still pursuing [REDACTED] at this time.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Interview 17-4176/3

Report Date: 06/22/2017

Primary Information

Description: **Intrv:** [REDACTED]
Occurrence From: **06/19/2017 00:00**
Occurrence To: **06/19/2017 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Backup LEO: **Engels, James D (DCI Administrative Services / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **12/08/2017**
Approved By: **Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)**

Synopsis

Interview of [REDACTED] who recorded meetings between himself and Scott Foval and provided the recordings to Project Veritas.

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Complainant	Project Veritas (Business)		---
Mentioned	Garfields 502 (Business)		---
Mentioned	Hyatt Place Madison (Business)		---
Mentioned	ITALIAN COMMUNITY CENTER (Business)		---
Attorney	Calli, Paul (Person)	Unknown, Male	---
Attorney	Short, Charles (Chas) (Person)	White, Male	---
Interviewed	[REDACTED] (Person)	30 yr. old, White, Male	[REDACTED]
Mentioned	Foval, Scott B (Person)	45 yr. old, White, Male	[REDACTED]

Documents

Document
audio recording of interview placed in DCIR

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

On 06/1/2017 S/A Dorinda M. Freymiller received information from Deputy Attorney General Paul Connell that he had been in contact with Attorney Paul Calli, who represented Project Veritas. Connell provided S/A Freymiller's contact information to Atty Calli so he and S/A Freymiller could make arrangements for S/A Freymiller to interview the individual who wore the video camera in the previously provided videos from Project Veritas. Atty Calli contacted S/A Freymiller and an interview was then scheduled.

On 06/19/2017 S/A Freymiller and SAC James Engels conducted a telephone interview with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was represented by Attorney Paul Calli and his associate, Attorney Charles (Chas) Short, during the telephone call. The call took place from approximately 4:00 p.m. – 4:54 p.m.

Prior to asking [REDACTED] any questions, S/A Freymiller reminded everyone that she and SAC Engels are law enforcement officers and conduct criminal investigations. She informed [REDACTED] he did not have to answer their questions and he could discontinue the interview at any time.

S/A Freymiller also informed everyone that the interview was being audio recorded.

Atty Calli asked about DCI's open record policy and the potential release of [REDACTED]'s identity. S/A Freymiller explained that all of DCI's records were subject to release. She further explained that while records were not typically released pending the conclusion of an investigation, there was no guaranty that would not happen. She continued and stated there were several considerations the WI Department of Justice used when they determined whether records would be released. She did not guarantee [REDACTED]'s identity and the information he provided would not be released.

Atty Calli also asked whether [REDACTED] was considered a witness in the investigation. S/A Freymiller explained it was too soon in the investigation to make a determination as to whom was a witness or whom may be a person of interest.

Atty Calli and [REDACTED] agreed to let the interview proceed.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

██████████ provided his full name, date of birth and address.

S/A Freymiller asked ██████████ when he first had contact with Scott Foval, the person featured in the videos. ██████████ said he was “acquainted with” Foval and met Foval approximately one week prior to the Wisconsin 2016 primary election, which ██████████ believed was at the end of March 2016. They met at Voces de la Frontera in Milwaukee, WI. The meeting was recorded, ██████████ did not retain possession of it, rather, he gave it to Project Veritas. Foval was not aware he was being recorded. ██████████ told Foval his name was ██████████. ██████████ denied having any online contact or telephone contact with Foval prior to their first meeting and said he had not planned the meeting.

The next time ██████████ met Foval was in a bar in Milwaukee. ██████████ could not recall the name of the bar. This encounter took place on the night of the Wisconsin 2016 primary election. ██████████ made an audio/video recording of his conversation with Foval without Foval’s knowledge.

S/A Freymiller asked what the purpose of ██████████’s meeting with Foval in the bar was. ██████████ answered that he was “conducting an investigation in to potential voter fraud and election malfeasance in Wisconsin.” S/A Freymiller asked ██████████ whether he knew who Foval was. ██████████ said no, he did not know what capacity Foval served or which company Foval worked for, rather, he knew Foval from their “prior acquaintance.” ██████████ said Foval was the only person he spoke with that night and the only person ██████████ recorded.

After the encounter, ██████████ provided a copy of the recording to Project Veritas and kept a copy for himself. S/A Freymiller asked whether ██████████ knew if the copy provided to the WI DOJ was the same as the copy he provided to Project Veritas. ██████████ said he was told by Project Veritas that they provided all of the “raw tape” to the WI DOJ and he did not edit the video prior to providing to Project Veritas.

██████████ said he had two additional in-person encounters with Foval.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

The next encounter was September 16, 2016. ██████ met Foval at the Hyatt in Madison, WI. A female colleague of ██████ was also present. When asked for the colleague's name, ██████ said he would check on getting it. (Note: as of the date of this report, ██████ has not provided the name of his colleague to S/A Freymiller or SAC Engels.)

This meeting was also audio/video recorded by ██████ without Foval's knowledge. ██████'s colleague was aware the meeting was being recorded. ██████ gave Project Veritas a copy of the video and kept a copy for himself. ██████ did not edit the recording and is under the impression Project Veritas provided the recording in its entirety to the WI DOJ.

The third meeting ██████ had with Foval was in late September 2016, in Milwaukee, WI, during a gala for Voces de la Frontera. ██████ also audio/video recorded this encounter. He provided a copy to Project Veritas and kept a copy for himself. ██████ did not edit the recording. ██████ further explained that he kept the SD card which held the recording and uploaded the recording from the card to a service used by Project Veritas as a means of providing the recording to them. ██████ utilized this process for all three recordings he provided. Project Veritas told ██████ they provided the recording, in its entirety, to the WI DOJ.

██████ was asked whether he had any recording problems during any of the three audio/video recordings he said he made, such as the recorder stopping and he had to restart it. ██████ said he had one problem. It was during the night of the Voces de la Frontera gala. When ██████ went to the bathroom to check whether his device was running, he realized it was not. ██████ put a new battery in the device or used a back up battery or console. However, this was prior to his meeting with Foval that night, not during their encounter. ██████ maintained he recorded his entire encounter with Foval that night.

Regarding other discussions between himself and Foval, separate from the ones just described, ██████ said there were three telephone conversations and one was recorded. The recording was made by Project Veritas, not ██████, and ██████ does not know whether the recording was maintained by Project Veritas.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

S/A Freymiller asked [REDACTED] whether he had other people contact Foval on his behalf. [REDACTED] said he did. [REDACTED] is aware of at least one such contact, which took place in the fall of 2016. The contact was recorded and remains in Project Veritas' possession.

S/A Freymiller then asked [REDACTED] specific questions about topics which were discussed between him and Foval in the recordings.

S/A Freymiller asked [REDACTED] whether he was aware of or participated in the busing of non-eligible voters to Wisconsin to vote. [REDACTED] said he did not participate in busing people into Wisconsin to vote. Regarding his knowledge of others doing so, [REDACTED] responded, "I only know what Foval said...not anything beyond that."

S/A Freymiller asked [REDACTED] whether he was aware of or participated in issuing fictitious employee ID's and/or pays stubs to non-Wisconsin residents so they could vote in Wisconsin. [REDACTED] stated he never did this and is not aware of anyone who did.

S/A Freymiller asked [REDACTED] whether he was aware of or participated in using the addresses of foreclosed homes to establish residences for non-eligible voters to vote. [REDACTED] said he never did this and does not know of anyone who did.

S/A Freymiller asked [REDACTED] whether he was aware of any other potential criminal violations which he believed DCI should investigate. [REDACTED] did not provide any. Rather, Atty Calli asked S/A Freymiller to clarify the question. S/A Freymiller asked whether there are other potential criminal violations that [REDACTED] is aware of, that S/A Freymiller and SAC Engels had not asked him about, so they could look into them. Atty Calli said he would ask [REDACTED] about it. (Note: as of the date of this report, neither Atty Calli nor [REDACTED] have contacted DCI to describe any further potential criminal violations.)

[REDACTED] was then asked whether there were other potential criminal violations discussed during his telephone calls with Foval. [REDACTED] said the telephone calls were "logistical" and no potential criminal violations were discussed during them.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176

A copy of the audio recording of the interview was placed in the DCIR case file.

End of Report.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Examination of Records 17-4176/4

Report Date: 12/05/2017

Primary Information

Description: **Receipt and review of Video: 07-15-16 and 10-12-16**
Occurrence From: **09/12/2017 00:00**
Occurrence To: **12/05/2017 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **12/08/2017**
Approved By: **Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)**

Synopsis

A list of additional videos was provided by Atty Calli and DCI was given the direction to review the videos. This report summarizes the review of two of the videos.

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Complainant	Project Veritas (Business)		---
Attorney	Calli, Paul (Person)	Unknown, Male	---
Attorney	Short, Charles (Chas) (Person)	White, Male	---

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/4

On 09/12/2017 DCI Deputy Administrator Jason Smith met with S/A Dorinda M. Freymiller and SAC Jim Engels and provided a copy of an email to them. The email was from Paul Calli, sent on 08/21/2017 to DOJ Deputy Attorney General Paul Connell, copied to Liangy Calli and Charles Short. The subject of the email was "Robert Creamer."

The body of the email contained the following:

"Pursuant to the Attorney General's recent request for the continued voluntary cooperation of Project Veritas, below please find six (6) Youtube links of raw footage of recordings of Mr. Creamer. The Youtube links are not available to the public. I request that you treat them as confidential."

The email then listed the following :

Date & Location: DC, 07-15-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/6LjbyY7IEbk>

Date & Location: DC, 08-17-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/gPRHcpux2Xg>

Date & Location: DC, 08-18-16

Youtube Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O_Nj4WihErI

Date & Location: Washington, DC

09-20 & 21-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/utDCsdulYRU>

10-06-16

Youtube Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CEfgqFCEtc>

10-12-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/JzFiud-Reig>

S/A Freymiller provided the list of videos to DCI Analyst J. Spencer Gustafson who then downloaded the videos and saved them to a thumb drive.

On 12/06/2017, S/A Freymiller reviewed two of the videos : 07-15-16 and 10-12-2016. Each of these videos had previously been reviewed by other staff. S/A Freymiller conducted a secondary review of each video and made the following observations:

07-15-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/6LjbyY7IEbk>

The video shows a counter in the upper left hand corner of the screen, a time stamp at the bottom of the screen, and there is also the Windows Media Player time. At the start, the counter is at 012555 and at the end is at 008354. At the start, the time stamp is at 11:00:43, and at the end is at

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/4

12:13:30. At the start, the Windows Media Player time is 0:00, and at the end is at 1:12:40. There is a difference of 7 seconds between time stamp total and Windows Media Player time.

There is a date stamp of "2016.07.15" in the bottom left hand corner of the video.

The person who is videotaping does not show his face in the video.

When the video begins, a man wearing ear buds removes the ear buds, apologizes to the man videotaping, and both sit down at a table. No introductions were made. They are in a public location at what appears to be a shopping mall, with several people in attendance and walking around, but none are in close vicinity to the two male subjects.

A discussion takes place between the person who is videotaping, addressed as Bill, and another man who is addressed as Bob. Bill's face is never seen on the video; however, his arm is shown and he appears to be a white male, who later in the video claims to be 54 years old. Bob is a white male with gray hair, who claims to be 69 years old. Near the end of the video, Bill says he will email Bob all of his contact information, and Bob gives Bill his business card. Bill mentions "Scott" and Bob asks how Bill got to know Scott. Bill responds that he met Scott through [REDACTED].

At Player time 8:00, the counter resets after reaching 027000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video; however, by looking at the time stamp there is a brief second where the video seems to cut out and then back in with no obvious break in the conversation.

At Player time 23:01, the counter resets after reaching 027000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video; however, by looking at the time stamp there is 3 seconds where the video seems to cut out. When it cuts back in there was no obvious break in conversation.

At Player time 38:01, the counter resets after reaching 027000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video; however, by looking at the time stamp there is a brief second where the video seems to cut out and then right back in with no obvious break in the conversation.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/4

At Player time 38:05, discussion of the Hispanic community and Hispanic voter registration begins. At Player time 38:43, Bill brings up the new voter laws and how the new laws “could put Trump in office.” Bob states ways to increase the amount of Hispanic voters. At Player time 39:38, Bob says, “On the one hand we gotta change whatever laws we can, on the other hand there are a lot of laws we ain’t going to change ... (inaudible) the election, we gotta figure out workarounds in each case.” Bill then brings up what is necessary to register to vote: ID card and pay stub showing payment at a local address. Bill continues on to talk about how one could get around voter registration laws for Hispanics if one had a business and hired people as day laborers, in order to write them checks. Bill says how they could register huge numbers of people that way. Bob says he will chat with people/organizations who are most involved with Hispanic voter registration to see what their thoughts are. At Player time 43:13, Bill brings up figuring out how to get addresses, and how to pair them with people. Bob responds by stating data targeting analytics are sophisticated.

At Player time 44:00 Bob offers other suggestions which include voter mobilization and messaging, Bill says messaging and research “doesn’t thrill me.” Bob then mentioned communication and Bill described examples.

At Player time 53:01, the counter resets after reaching 027000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video; however, by looking at the time stamp there is a brief second where the video seems to cut out and then right back in with no obvious break in the conversation.

At Player time 1:08:01, the counter resets after reaching 027000. There does not appear to be a gap in the video; however, by looking at the time stamp there is a brief second where the video seems to cut out and then right back in with no obvious break in the conversation.

End of Video

10-12-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/JzFiud-Reig>

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/4

The video shows a counter in the upper left hand corner of the screen, a time and date stamp at the bottom of the screen, and there is also the Windows Media Player time. At the start the counter is at 014796 and at the end is at 029328. At the start the time stamp is at 22:56:13, and the date stamp is 10/11/16. At the end, the time stamp is at 05:18:23 and the date stamp is at 10/12/16. At the start, the Windows Media Player time is 0:00, and at the end is at 03:43. There are a many breaks in the video, as well as footage from two different days, and therefore many gaps in time. The location of the video appears to be an office, and the person who is videotaping is sounds like a female, but does not show her face in the video.

When the video begins, the female videotaping is in an office, talking to a man who is sitting at his desk. No introductions were made at the beginning of the video.

At Player time 00:46, counter time is 016188 and time stamp is 22:56:59. The video jumps from the female walking out of an office, to the female sitting at a desk. With this jump, the Player time is still 00:46, but the counter time is now 030607 and the time stamp is now at 01:57:12. This is a 20:59:47 reverse time difference in the time stamp.

At Player time 00:54, counter time is 030863 and time stamp is 01:57:20. The video jumps from the female at a desk to the female still at a desk, but now facing a different direction where there are two men standing. With this jump, the Player time is still 00:54, but the counter time is now 006421 and the time stamp is now at 02:27:08. This is a 0:29:48 forward difference in the time stamp.

At Player time 01:16, counter time is 007074 and time stamp is 02:27:29. The video jumps from the female at the desk facing the two males (and at least one more non-visible person) that are talking, to a table with her and two males (and maybe more non-visible people) that are having a conversation. With this jump, the Player time is still at 01:16, but the counter is now at 002790 and the time stamp is now at 03:20:17. This is a 0:52:48 forward difference in the time stamp.

At Player time 01:43, counter time is 003577 and time stamp is 03:20:43. The video jumps from the female videotaping along with two males (and at least one more non-visible person)

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/4

conversing at a table in the initial office the video file starts in. In this office, the female videotaping is standing and talking to a male sitting at a desk. With this jump, the Player time is still 01:43, but the counter is now at 025752 and the time stamp is now at 05:16:24. This is a 1:55:41 forward difference in the time stamp.

End of Video

A copy of all six videos saved to the thumb drive was submitted to the main DCIR case file.

End of the report.

Connell, Paul W.

From: Paul Calli <pcalli@calli-law.com>
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:59 PM
To: Connell, Paul W.
Cc: Liangy Calli; Charles Short
Subject: Robert Creamer

Paul,

Pursuant to the Attorney General's recent request for the continued voluntary cooperation of Project Veritas, below please find six (6) Youtube links of raw footage of recordings of Mr. Creamer. The Youtube links are not available to the public. I request that you treat them as confidential.

Date & Location: DC, 07-15-16
Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/6Ljby7IEbk>

Date & Location: DC, 08-17-16
Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/gPRHcpux2Xg>

Date & Location: DC, 08-18-16
Youtube Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O_Nj4WihErI

Date & Location: Washington, DC
09-20 & 21-16
Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/utDCsduIYRU>

10-06-16
Youtube Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CEfgqFCEtc>

10-12-16
Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/JzFiud-Reig>

Sincerely,

Paul Calli



Paul A. Calli, Esq.

CALLI LAW, LLC
pcalli@calli-law.com
C 305.401.8994
D 786.504.0919
F 786.504.0912
One Flagler Building, Suite 1100
14 Northeast 1st Avenue
Miami, Florida 33132

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Investigative 17-4176/5

Report Date: 12/05/2017

Primary Information

Description:	Review of three videos provided by Project Veritas
Reporting LEO:	Twing, Jeffrey A (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)
Approval Status:	Approved
Approved Date:	12/08/2017
Approved By:	Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/5

On 09/12/2017, DCI Deputy Administrator Jason Smith met with S/A Dorinda M. Freymiller and SAC Jim Engels and provided a copy of an email to them. The email was from Paul Calli, sent on 08/21/2017 to DOJ Deputy Attorney General Paul Connell, copied to Liangy Calli and Charles Short. The subject of the email was "Robert Creamer."

The body of the email contained the following:

"Pursuant to the Attorney General's recent request for the continued voluntary cooperation of Project Veritas, below please find six (6) Youtube links of raw footage of recordings of Mr. Creamer. The Youtube links are not available to the public. I request that you treat them as confidential."

The email then listed the following :

Date & Location: DC, 07-15-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/6LjbyY7IEbk>

Date & Location: DC, 08-17-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/gPRHcpux2Xg>

Date & Location: DC, 08-18-16

Youtube Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0_Nj4WihErI

Date & Location: Washington, DC

09-20 & 21-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/utDCsdulYRU>

10-06-16

Youtube Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CEfgqFCEtc>

10-12-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/JzFiud-Reig>

S/A Freymiller provided the list of videos to DCI Analyst J. Spencer Gustafson who then downloaded the videos and saved them to a thumb drive.

On 12/05/2017, S/A Freymiller reviewed two of the videos: 07-15-16 and 10-12-16.

On Tuesday, December 5th, 2017, Wisconsin Department of Justice - Division of Criminal Investigation (DCI) Special Agent (S/A) Jeff Twing reviewed three of the videos; 08-18-16, 09-20 & 21-16 and 10-06-16.

S/A Twing watched the video 08-18-16 and made the following observations:

The video was titled "08 18 16 DC Creamer Meeting"

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/5

The video date and time stamp located in the lower left corner of the screen showed 2016.08.18 14:55:33 at the start of the video. The video counter located in the upper left corner of the screen showed 017946 at the start of the video.

The person who is videotaping appears to be a male who does not show his face on the video. The person he videotaped is an elderly white male with gray hair. The conversation occurs in a lobby and the gray hair gentleman is walking to the elevator. There is no conversation about voter fraud or the State of Wisconsin.

The video date and time stamp stops at 2016.08.18 14:56:26 and the counter stops at 019367.

S/A Twing watch the video 09-20 & 21-16 and made the following observations:

The video was titled "09 20 21 16 Dem Partners Internship day 1 2"

The video date and time stamp located in the lower left corner of the screen showed 2015.08.20 19:23:56 at the start of the video. The video counter located in the upper left corner of the screen showed 023470 at the start of the video.

The person who is videotaping appears to be a female who does not show her face on the video. The person she videotaped is an elderly white male with gray hair. The conversation occurs in an office. The video has a break at 19:25:33, counter 026374 to 19:25:49, counter 026852. There is no conversation about voter fraud or the State of Wisconsin.

The video date and time stamp stops at 2015.08.20 19:26:23 and the counter stops at 027892.

The video continues to a different location and the date and time is 2015.08.20 19:56:02, counter 031632. The person who is videotaping appears to be a female who does not show her face on the video. The person she videotaped is a middle-aged white male wearing a baseball cap. They appear to be in a storage room. There is no conversation about voter fraud or the State of Wisconsin.

The video date and time stamp stops at 2015.08.20 19:58:58 and the counter stops at 036922

The video continues to a different location and the date and time is 2015.08.21 03:24:00, counter 027381. The person who is videotaping appears to be a female who does not show her face on the video. The person she videotaped is an elderly white male with gray hair. The conversation occurs in an office. The video has a break at 03:27:25, counter 033530 to 03:27:35, counter 033835. There is no conversation about voter fraud or the State of Wisconsin.

The video date and time stamp stops at 2015.08.21 03:27:47 and the counter stops at 034190.

S/A Twing watch the video 10-06-16 and made the following observations:

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-4176/5

The video was titled "10 6 16 Dem Partners raw"

The video date and time stamp located in the lower left corner of the screen showed 2015.09.05 02:39:23 at the start of the video. The video counter located in the upper left corner of the screen showed 004931 at the start of the video.

The person who is videotaping appears to be a female who does not show her face on the video. The person she videotaped is an elderly white male with gray hair. The conversation occurs in an office. There is no conversation about voter fraud or the State of Wisconsin.

The video date and time stamp stops at 2015.09.05 02:45:05 and the counter the stops at 015180.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Investigative 17-4176/6

Report Date: 12/05/2017

Primary Information

Description:	Review of Video 08-17-16
Occurrence From:	12/05/2017 00:00
Occurrence To:	12/05/2017 00:00
Reporting LEO:	Sheridan, Ann M (Madison SAKI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)
Approval Status:	Approved
Approved Date:	12/08/2017
Approved By:	Wormet, Jody R (DCI Administrative Section)

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number:

17-4176/6

On 09/12/2017 DCI Deputy Administrator Jason Smith met with S/A Dorinda M. Freymiller and SAC Jim Engels and provided a copy of an email to them. The email was from Paul Calli, sent on 08/21/2017 to DOJ Deputy Attorney General Paul Connell, copied to Liangy Calli and Charles Short. The subject of the email was "Robert Creamer."

The body of the email contained the following:

"Pursuant to the Attorney General's recent request for the continued voluntary cooperation of Project Veritas, below please find six (6) YouTube links of raw footage of recordings of Mr. Creamer. The YouTube links are not available to the public. I request that you treat them as confidential."

The email then listed the following:

Date & Location: DC, 07-15-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/6Ljby7IEbk>

Date & Location: DC, 08-17-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/gPRHcpux2Xg>

Date & Location: DC, 08-18-16

Youtube Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0_Nj4WihErI

Date & Location: Washington, DC

09-20 & 21-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/utDCsdulYRU>

10-06-16

Youtube Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CEfgqFCEtc>

10-12-16

Youtube Link: <https://youtu.be/JzFiud-Reig>

S/A Freymiller provided the list of videos to DCI Analyst J. Spencer Gustafson who then downloaded the videos and saved them to a thumb drive.

On 12/05/2017, S/A Freymiller reviewed two of the videos: 07-15-16 and 10-12-2016.

On 12/05/17, S/A Ann Sheridan was requested by SAC Engels to review one video: DC, 08-17-16. This video is listed above and is in regards to Robert Creamer.

The video shows a counter in the upper left hand corner of the screen, a time stamp at the bottom of the screen, and there is also the Windows Media Player time. At the start the counter is at 017368 and at the end is at 026787. At the start the time stamp is at 19:00:29, and at the end is at 21:50:56. At the start, the Windows Media Player time is 0:00, and at the end is at 2:50:25. There

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number:

is a difference of 2 seconds between time stamp total and Windows Media Player time. Based on some of the discussion, this interaction is taking place in Washington D.C. (*in 2 places one says "Massachusetts Ave., and the other is referenced as the Dignitary Bar). The person who is videotaping does not show his face in the video.

The individual who is being video recorded is presumed to be the subject of the email, "Robert Creamer." This individual is a male white individual with white hair and no facial hair. Creamer stated in the video he is 69 years of age. Creamer engages in conversation with the male subject video recording. These two individuals seem to have met on a prior occasion reference campaigning for the Democratic Party. The conversation is in regards to both Creamer and the unknown individual to be in support of the Democratic Party and to have negative feelings towards Donald Trump and the Republican Party. The two discuss a speech Trump made in Wisconsin on 08/16/17. From 19:15 to 19:18 the unknown subject makes several comments regarding "taking action" and his distaste for Trump. At 19:20:52 the video cuts to a different angle, however the audio continues without disruption.

At 19:49:25 to 19:50:06 there is no audio, however appears to be in conjunction with the server taking the two individual's orders. At 19:50:42 Creamer presents a draft to promote the democratic agenda. Creamer stated he is a consultant for the "American's United for Change" non-profit organization. He lays out his plan which consists of 1. Press Operations 2. Field Operations for Nevada, Florida, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania and Ohio and 3. Bus Tour, which he described as a rolling media operation to target economic opposition to highlight the Republican Party's economic downfalls. This would cover twelve states, including Wisconsin.

At 20:10:40 Creamer approaches the unknown individual regarding becoming a part of the team and requests financial backing for the project. The unknown subject stated he would like to be a part of the project, however would like to focus on immigrant votes in "key states such as Wisconsin." Creamer tells this individual all voters have to be citizens of the United States and this individual responds, stating it is "kind of a formality" for individuals to be citizens. He states at 20:21:40 the voter registration laws are too heavy handed. During this conversation the unknown individual becomes heated about undocumented workers not being able to vote. This individual stated he owns a company and would be able to move a large number of undocumented workers to Wisconsin under the ruse they worked for his company. He went on to say he would be able to provide these individuals with work identification cards, as well as paystubs they could use in order to register to vote. He references Wisconsin's same day voter registration law. This individual states this is one of "Steve's" ideas. Later Creamer states Steve Foval is also on the project through "American's United for Change." Creamer stated he would need to present these ideas to his lawyers, stating something like this would have to be done quietly otherwise could become a public issue. Creamer stated several times individuals needed to be citizens in order to vote. The unknown subject it was a "technicality." Creamer then stated he does consult for a company based out of Chicago, IL that naturalizes citizens. Creamer stated

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number:

if this unknown subject wished to support the company monetarily, that might be a way to get immigrants to vote for this election.

At 20:41:53, the unknown individual stated “Steve” had an idea in the past to document foreclosed houses in Wisconsin in order to use the addresses in order for undocumented workers to have legitimate addresses. At 20:51:14 Creamer asks why this individual is so interested in Wisconsin and he replied that WI is a swing state and has same day registration. Each time these suggestions of voter fraud were presented to Creamer, he did not agree to these proposals and referenced voter empowerment and finding ways to legitimately have people vote for the Democratic Party.

At 21:23:25 both subjects leave the restaurant and walk to the “Dignitary” bar. Only personal conversations were heard during this time. The recording ended at 21:50:56 as the two subjects were leaving the bar.

S/A Sheridan’s review of the video was not a forensic analysis however the audio seemed to be consistent with the video.

After review of the video, S/A Sheridan was unable to identify any clear violations of Wisconsin Election laws other than the suggestions from the individual recording the interaction with Robert Creamer.

Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI

Case Closure 17-4176/7

Report Date: 12/06/2017

Primary Information

Description: **Case Closing**
Occurrence From: **05/02/2018 00:00**
Occurrence To: **05/02/2018 00:00**
Reporting LEO: **Freymler, Dorinda M (Madison Public Integrity DCI / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**
Approval Status: **Approved**
Approved Date: **05/02/2018**
Approved By: **Engels, James D (DCI Administrative Services / Wisconsin Department of Justice DCI)**

Synopsis

Recommend case closure: no further follow up necessary at this time.

Subjects

<u>Relationship</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bio</u>	<u>DOB</u>
Complainant	Project Veritas (Business)		---
Mentioned	Foval, Scott B (Person)	46 yr. old, White, Male	

Narrative begins on the following page.

Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation Case Report
Case/Report Number: 17-417677

This case was initiated in order to investigate Project Veritas claims that they had video evidence of campaign finance law violations which took place in Wisconsin and centered around an individual named Scott Foval.

Special Agents and Assistant Attorney Generals reviewed several videos provided by Project Veritas and were unable to identify evidence of Wisconsin-based campaign finance law violations.

Numerous attempts were made to interview Foval, but the attempts were unsuccessful.

Based on the information provided to the DCI, and the lack of additional information at this time, it is hereby recommended this casefile be closed.

End of Report.