1	NYS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS and COMMUNITY SUPERVISION -
2	BOARD OF PAROLE
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	Parole Board Hearing
6	In the Matter
7	- of -
8	JUDITH CLARK
9	DIN # 83G0313 NYSID #
10	*****
11	TYPE OF INTERVIEW: INITIAL
12	LOCATION: Bedford Correctional Facility
13	Video-conferenced to
14	314 West 40th Street
15	New York, New York
16	DATE: April 5, 2017 & April 6, 2017
17	DECISION DATE: April 20, 2017
18	
19	BEFORE: COMMISSIONER STANFORD COMMISSIONER LUDLOW
20	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON
21	ALSO PRESENT: Yesenia Cheverez, SORC;
22	Edna Crespo, APA
23	AT FACILITY: Joseph Greenfield, SORC; Andrea Williams, ORC
24	
25	VERBATIM REPORTER: Susan Fischler

JUDITH	LARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
BY CON	ISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. He	ο.
A. Go	d evening, I guess.
Q. Ye	ma'am. Could you please state your name for the
record	
A. My	name is Judith Clark.
Q. Mi	s Clark, I am Commissioner Stanford and I am
joined	this afternoon by Commissioners Thompson and
Ludlov	for your interview.
	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Good afternoon,
m	am.
	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Good
а	ernoon.
A. Go	d afternoon.
Q. Mi	s Clark, our records indicate that, due to
commut	tion, the time that you have served, and are now
eligik	e for parole consideration, totals 35 years,
5 mont	s and 14 days, making your eligibility date now
March	5th of 2017, which has now passed.
	We are seeing you to interview you related to
two co	nts of Murder in the 2nd Degree and four counts
of Rob	ery in the 1st Degree; is that your
unders	anding?
A. Th	e counts of Murder in the 2nd Degree.
Q. An	four counts of Robbery in the 1st Degree?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 3
1	A. One count of Robbery in the 1st Degree, I believe.
2	Am I correct? Oh, I see. And it does says two counts
3	on Murder in the 2nd and one count of Robbery in the 1st
4	Degree.
5	Q. I'm looking at your reception papers.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And your sentence and commitment. One moment,
8	because I want to make sure the record is correct.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Okay. In your sentencing minutes from October 6,
11	1983, where the Honorable David S. Ritter was presiding
12	and he passed sentence, he passed it on counts 1, 2 and
13	3 of the indictment; those were all Murder in the 2nd
14	Degree. So, I misspoke by saying two; it's actually
15	three.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. And then counts 4, 5, 6 and 7, which would be four
18	counts of Robbery in the 1st Degree.
19	A. Okay, correct.
20	Q. Sound right?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. There was no appellate action that changed the
23	counts?
24	A. No. That is correct.
25	Q. Then, I stand corrected; it's three, and not two

counts of Murder in the 2nd Degree; and four counts of Robbery in the 1st Degree. Those counts, if the records that I have reviewed are accurate, the counts of Murder in the 2nd Degree, basically felony murder counts? A. Correct, yes.

Q. Alright. I want to try to, because there's so much time that has passed and so much information, I want to try to break up my questions with periods of time so that we can get to know you before the crime, during the time of the crime, and certainly in all these years that have passed since the crime. So, I want to start by talking about your early life.

A. Okay.

Q. Again, just so that we can, in this limited venue, get to know you as well as we can, your early years, as I said, that led to these crimes, and then since, but try to do it in some kind of structure because it's so much information.

Why don't you begin by telling us a little about yourself? Were you an only child, where were you born? That kind of thing.

A. I'm grew up in a family. I have a brother who is two years older than me, so I was the youngest child. My parents, ______, we primarily lived in Brooklyn. My very earliest years were spent -- my

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

father was a foreign correspondent and we lived in the Soviet Union, but I was too young to remember that. We were back by the time I was three-and-a-half years old. I grew up in Bensonhurst and then Flatbush, Brooklyn. My parents had been Communists through most of their young lives, and by the time I was a school-age child they had renounced there activism as a Communist. But it was a sort of tense period; my father was blacklisted for a number of years. My mother was the person who said, I want to take this marginalized family into the mainstream of America. And she worked very hard to do I went to public schools. I feel like, overall, that. I had a happy childhood. But I was also -- I identified with my parents early years. What they were giving up on, I somehow still somehow believed in, even as a very young child. And so, by the time I was in junior high school, which was 1964, it was a period there were a number of citywide boycotts demanding quality education, equal education, and I joined those boycotts. And I spent most of my young years active in the Northern Civil Rights Movement.

Q. Northern Civil Rights Movement.

Just so that you know, we've got a court reporter on this end and she's making a transcript of my questions, your answers, and so she had a question about

that last part.

A. Northern. Sure. So....and I think those early years, I participated in a peaceful, progressive, aware way. I was certainly not -- I wasn't a troublemaker, but I was deeply involved and my identity was tied to that, and sometimes that created conflict with my parents, but it's what I pursued.

6

I went to college at University of Chicago in 1969. I'm wrong, I started in 1967, and in '68 is when the students' left really kind of erupted, and I jumped in headfirst into it and I got expelled from college for demonstrations in my second year. And, I think by then I was starting to be much more vehement. And, when I left school my parents desperately tried to get me to go back to a different school, and instead I joined what was then called Weatherman SDS, so, as part of a large organization called Students for Democratic Society but I was aligned with people who were beginning to say, We need a revolution in this country. And I joined the collective that became my total life, my total identity. Q. Okay. Let me stop you there for a moment. About how old were you by the time you were part of the collective?

A. Nineteen.

Q. And you said it was a -- it wasn't strictly just SDS

- Students for Democratic Society - it wasn't strictly the Weathermen, it was a combination? A. Well, at that time, Weatherman was SDS. This is before Weatherman went underground. But they were pushing for SDS to become more militant, and I identified with that and was organized by people older than myself, but also believed in it. I had plenty of people arguing with me to slow down a little. But I was -- I know I had a chip on my shoulder I would say, even back then. So, we were in the collective and it was in Chicago. I was involved in a number of demonstrations which definitely got violent. We certainly argued for militancy I would say, back then. And we got more and more -- I got more and more wrapped up into this being sort of my people, and less and less connected to old friends or my family. I still saw my family, still was in touch with them, but I was less and less honest with them about what I was doing with my life.

7

Q. Now, at that point in time, did the Weathermen go underground or become known by a different name?A. I believe it was at the end of 1969.

Q. Were you still affiliated under this new moniker?
A. Yes, yes, I joined other people and was actually -- I had had charges from the demonstrations in Chicago and I

skipped bail on those charges and went underground and
was arrested in New York in December 1970.
Q. Now, I'm looking at your records and it looks like in
Chicago, Illinois, in '69, September and then October
A yes.
Qof the same year, there were the following
charges: Aggravated battery, aiding escape, mob action,
resisting arrest, aggravated battery, and there was
probation of three years?
A. Yes. Actually, I spent nine months in Cook County
Jail, and three years probation.
Q. Now, was it related to those charges that there was
the arrest in New York in December of 1970 or
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, alright. So, you were arrested in New York?
A. Yes.
Qand brought back to Illinois?
A. Correct.
Q. And then you did the nine months and got the three
years probation?
A. Correct.
Q. Was your probation transferred, or did you serve the
period of probation in Illinois?
A. I served the period of probation in New York and I
successfully completed probation.

Q. Okay. So how would you describe the change in your mindset between a young person involved in civil disobedience, peaceful protest, to the philosophies that it sounds like you embraced later on that allow for the possibility of violence by sort of any means necessary? How did you transition?

A. I think, in part, I transitioned because I became more invested in a very small group that all kind of -we reinforced each other in seeing that nothing was good enough but revolution. I think for me personally, I felt that my parents had kind of failed, and so, if they failed, I had to do something different than them. And while they were committed, they were also very -- they were responsible in their political activities and they were strongly, you know, never believed in violence. Ι think I was attracted to violence as something that answered something to me at the time about how to be willing to commit everything. I think it was a way of trying to overcome being white and with privileges, and I wanted to show I was willing to do what other people were willing to do. I think that I romanticized the revolutions going on around the world and, I sort of felt like I had to show I could do whatever was necessary. And it became almost like -- and I think I was also -- I was not willing to see that people who

25

disagreed with me might have a legitimate perspective, that, I more and more felt like this is the only way. And I wouldn't listen outside of the same people who believed in what I believed in. So, what I said, they believed, and what they said, I believed.

Q. Okay. Now, I want to talk about, a little more about that period of time between let's say the charges and probation, and the crime itself. I want to focus now on that period of time.

But before I move on, I want to ask my colleagues if they have any questions about your early life based on the answers you have already given, or some other questions I did not ask?

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I would like to open up. Miss Clark, I have had the benefit of reviewing an affidavit I believe you completed in support of a 440 motion.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: This relates back to December of 2002.

A. Correct.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I think there's a relevant narrative in here that might sharpen the discussion which you just had with the Chair. I would like to read portions of it and see if it's an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accurate description of that time in your life.

I am reading from paragraph 7 of that motion, and I quote, "After my father left the Communist Party, he was blacklisted and unemployed for a number of years. As I grew older, I came to feel that something very important had been lost in my parents' change of heart and that I needed to retrieve it. I associated the warmth I remembered from their early years with their political involvement and their political disengagement with my own sense of loss. I took on the task of making up for my parents' loss through my own political involvement."

You went on to state in paragraph 9, "Psychologically, I could not tolerate ambivalence and the anxiety which it produced. I felt that if I entertained any doubts, I would become like my parents and 'sell out.'"

A. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: "This led me to seek the security of dogmatic faith, which allowed no room for critical thinking. In my interaction with others, I walled myself off and would not reflect on the impact of my actions on others. I demanded a blind adherence to rigid ideas and

loyalties among individuals in my group. I sought the safety of dogma. My fanaticism became more extreme as popular support for and participation in the radical movements lessened through the decade of the 1970's. Ultimately it cut me off from any moral sensibilities and my own humanity."

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You went on to state, "But my insistence on the need for violence represented a real break from their values," meaning your parents' values, I believe. "This was part of the attraction for me. While I was driven to take up their abandon mission of transforming society, I also felt I had to atone for their failure to sustain their commitment. I believed that violence was more revolutionary precisely because it was anti-intellectual. My attraction to violence was, in part, a way to reject my grassroots and identity as a middle-class Jewish intellectual, to attempt to reinvent myself as more grassroots and thus, to be seen as more reliable as a participant to those in the struggle. My willingness to engage in an escalating pattern of violence over the years was my means of validating my revolutionary credentials and sense of self. On the way to demonstrations or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

actions, I would put myself in a semi-trance state in order to appear fearless and aggressive. I was dependent on being part of 'the group,' -- be it the Weatherman in the early '70s or the May 19th Communist Organization in 1981. I was afraid of being alone and of living as an individual in the I craved the security I felt within the world. cocoon of my group. As a leader, I took my orders and gave orders; I was controlled and in turn, controlled and manipulated others. There was no such thing as partial involvement or critical support; it was all or nothing. I was determined to sustain my loyalty and protect my image and position in the group. I was determined to be a good soldier. By October 20, 1981, when I participated in this crime, I was not a young idealistic innocent, by any means. I was truly out of control. While I did not go out that day intending to harm anyone, I had every reason to recognize the potential dangers involved. But I refused to think of the consequences of my actions. I was incapable of thinking or feeling on any real terms. Thus, though my role in the crime did not involve shooting anyone, I bear responsibility for the loss of life, the injuries and the terror of that day."

Do those excerpts, Miss Clark, correctly and substantially frame your mindset --A. -- absolutely.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW:at the time in question that you discussed with Chair Stanford? A. Absolutely, and, that it grew -- over those years it grew and worsened, yes, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I have nothing further right now, but we will pick up according to the plan we have here to try and manage all this information. Thank you, Miss Clark, for your attention.

A. Absolutely. Thank you.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Miss Clark, what was the approximate size of your intimate group, the group that you cocooned yourself within, that you, in the words of your affidavit, received direction from and gave direction to, that you shared the same mindset in thinking and encouraged one another? What was the approximate size of that active group?

A. Oh, in the early time? Or later on when I was back in New York?

Q. Let's focus for now on the early time.

A. In the early time? One of the many sad things to say

1

is that when Weathermen took over SDS there were
thousands of students in SDS. And by the time
Weatherman demanded this kind of level of revolutionary
discipline, we were down to maybe 200 people, and maybe
a hundred people at the end. I mean, it got smaller and
smaller in the end. And in my collective, which was in
Chicago, at the time probably had, I don't remember
exactly, but eight, 10, 12 people. It was tiny.
Q. So, 8 to 12 that you were interacting with on a
fairly regular basis in Chicago?
A. Yes. And probably another 20 I saw regionally, or
that were leadership in the city of Chicago.
Q. An additional 20?
A. Probably. But I would say the smaller group was who
I woke up with every day and went to sleep with every
day.
Q. So, did you reside together?
A. Yes.
Q. Socialize together?
A Correct

A. Correct.

Q. Plan together?

A. Right.

Q. Can you describe it's makeup? What were those eight
to 12 people like, gender-wise, racially, academically?
A. We were all white, most of us had been students,

college students. I was probably on the younger end of them. While the leadership was majority male, the membership was majority female.

Q. Now, I want to go on to that period of time after Chicago, coming back to New York. You came back to the City in approximately what year?

A. I got out of jail in July 1971 and went to live with my parents in Brooklyn, and I think the time in jail, it made me think about the fact that I had been so enclosed in this small group. And I had said to myself, I need to find new ground for myself. And so, when I first came out, I didn't rush back into whatever was there at that time, but I got a job and I tried to figure out what I was going to do with myself. And -- but soon, very soon afterwards, I felt both internal pressure -basically, a number of events happened: One was the Attica uprising, and another thing was a number of people from the Black Panther Party who were now associated with the Black Liberation Army, were arrested, various people got killed. And I began to say, Oh, I can't go off on my own and rebuild a life, I have to be responsible. And that same sort of mentality and thinking came back to me. And so I would say for the next couple years I did -- I did legitimate political work, I did work work, and I also did

legitimate reasonable work, but at the same time I was more and more feeling like, uh, I had to get more actively involved in a more committed way. There would be people who would come and see me and say, Wait a second, you were involved with the Weathermen, I need your help, we need money because so and so just got arrested. And I spent more and more of my time feeling like I had to re-associate myself with people who were associated with the Weather underground, and people who were associated with what had been the Black Panthers in New York. And, by 1976 -- we formed May 19th sometime around then, I can't remember the exact date. But I was -- so I was kind of -- I was on two different plains in I work for the Women's Bail Fund, I worked on a a way. news letter called The Midnight Special that came out of a legal organization that was doing work. And, so, on the one hand, I was doing that, but on the other hand I was saying, This isn't enough, people are getting -people are going to prison, I have to do more. And people were also saying that to me, and I was saying that to people. So, by '76 I was back in a much more self-enclosed - now it was called May 19th, but we lived together, we worked together, we felt responsible to give solidarity to various organizations, black organizations, Puerto Rican organizations.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner
2	Ludlow has a question.
3	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Miss Clark, your
4	focus on revolution was not limited to the domestic
5	situation in the United States?
6	A. No.
7	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: My understanding
8	is, from your own words, you focused on revolution
9	globally - what was occurring in other parts of the
10	world.
11	You made a statement, and I would like
12	to read what I believe to be that statement. "I
13	read about jailed revolutionary in other countries
14	who had resisted collaborating, and tried to pattern
15	myself on their example." Is that correct?
16	A. Yes. I mean, I have to say, when I hear those words,
17	it is true. One of the things I meant to do is that, I
18	completely didn't look at the fact that the conditions
19	in those countries were completely different. So I was
20	importing a view of the revolution happening in
21	third-world countries, and we literally would say
22	there's war going on in America, even though there was
23	no evidence of it.
24	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You were,
25	apparently, drawing enthusiasm about revolution on a

global scale.

A. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And you went on to say, "My need to project an image of myself as more than as I was, now as a 'captive freedom fighter' was far greater than any thoughts from my legal rights or self-preservation."

A. Certainly once I was arrested, that was my state of mind, yes. I think, in that period leading up to it, it was my state of mind to push me to say whatever it is that I'm asked to do, I have to prove I'm worthy by doing what I am asked to do, correct.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you again. BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Now, please describe the composition of the May 19th collective, as you describe the group, in Chicago.
A. I would say we were even smaller, maybe 30, 40 women.
And again, a lot of the activity was completely legal,
legitimate activity but -- and we were divided into
different areas of focus. So, my area of focus was
supporting political prisoners. I spent a lot of time
working on people's cases, trying to organize support,
writing leaflets. Other people spent their time
supporting the Puerto Rican Independence Movement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

struggle that was going on in southern Africa. But we were living, you know, maybe there was five or six households we were all living in and we would -whatever -- and, you know, people worked, different people worked at different times and would support everyone else. So it was sort of everyone's money was communal. We helped raise each other's children. So, our personal lives were very intertwined with our political affinity, and part of what that meant is if you decided you didn't like what was going on or you disagreed with it, you were not just leaving a political organization; you were leaving your friendship grouping, your household. It had a lot of cult-like qualities to it, I would say.

Q. Now, did you stay in that communal collective, as you have described it, up until the time of the crime in October of 1981?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. In the period closest to October of 1981, who were your roommates or housemates?

A. Right. I lived with three women. One of them is a woman named ______, who actually remains my friend today. I lived another woman named ______, and I am not sure I can even remember her name. A woman named ______

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 21
A. Yes.
Q. How would you spell that?
A
Q. The last name of was was or or or ?
A. My household was less they were women I was
both friends with and they were in the organization.
I'd say I was more in leadership, more engaged than they
were in many ways. I would have to hold myself
responsible for pushing them to be responsible.
Q. Okay. Now, somewhere in your records - it may have
been the presentence investigation report that was
prepared prior to your sentencing - other names were
given as your housemates at the time.
A. What were those names?
Q
A. I lived with Example 1 for many years. I did not
live with her at the time that I was arrested, if my
memory serves me well. I lived with her many years in
Brooklyn, and, I think service and a set that time ,
lived in Chicago. I may be wrong about that. But they
are definitely old friends of mine and definitely was
politically involved as I was.
Q. Okay. Did you ever live under the same roof as
in those days, and a ?
A. Actually, no. When she was in New York, I lived on

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 22
1	98th Street and she lived on 100th-something Street. So
2	we saw each other a lot, but we didn't live under the
3	same roof at that time, I believe. Might be wrong about
4	that, but I believe.
5	Q. I am reading from page 12 of the presentence
6	investigation prepared by the probation department at
7	the time.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. And, I know, by the way, that, when they came to
10	speak with you, you really didn't speak with them.
11	A. No.
12	Q. So, I am certain they must have gotten this
13	information from another source other than you.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. It may have been your parents trying to fill in the
16	blanks of the section of the report called Family
17	Situation. But it says that, from 1979 to October 20,
18	1981, you lived at 📕 West 98th Street, New York City
19	with friends and and , as well as
20	six-year-old son and daughter daughter .
21	"Currently, control resides at the same address with the
22	same people. In addition,
23	six-and-a-half-year-old daughter now live there." So,
24	maybe came in and moved in after your arrest?
25	A. Yes. She moved in because we wanted the children to

I

н

1	be all together. Her daughter is sisters with my
2	daughter. They are half-sisters, they have the same
3	father. So they moved in to have a stronger situation
4	for the kids after I was arrested. So, I was always
5	close to her, but I didn't actually live with her.
6	Q. Okay. And, again, your daughter, her daughter, have
7	the same dad?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. But her daughter was significantly older than your
10	daughter at that time, at the time of the crime?
11	A. Four years older, yes.
12	Q. But you think maybe did live there?
13	A. Yes.
14	Qor, you think this may have been erroneous
15	information?
16	A. That's a good question. The reason it's hard for me
17	to remember is because she and I lived together for a
18	long time in Brooklyn. But I don't think she was living
19	there when I was arrested, I think she moved I think
20	both she and moved moved in so that all the kids could
21	be together.
22	Q. And, the name of her daughter's father and your
23	daughter, dad, what his name?
24	A
25	Q. ?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 24
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Was he involved in any of the groups that you
3	previously described
4	A yes.
5	Qincluding May 19th?
6	A. Yes, he was. And he subsequently spent time in
7	prison.
8	Q. For federal charges?
9	A. No, for state charges in Pennsylvania. He then was
10	released and worked as a doctor. Both he and
11	are doctors.
12	Q. Medical physicians?
13	A. Yes. And he died several years ago.
14	Q. Yeah, I think I read that in the records, too. Now,
15	do you and manage have the same birthday?
16	A. Yes, we do.
17	Q. I know I saw that somewhere and I wanted to ask you
18	about it. The exact same month and day of the month?
19	A. Yes. She was my birthday present.
20	Q. So it seems. What milestone was she experiencing by
21	October of 1981? She was almost a year old?
22	A. She was almost a year old. You know, I had wanted to
23	have a child and I had helped raise other children for a
24	long time. When I had second , I think she was the
25	first thing in my life that pulled me away from being

completely, totally preoccupied with the group and revolution, and my -- and I think people noticed that. I was criticized for being preoccupied with her. I think there was an impulse in me to want to do nothing but be with her, and I felt guilty about that, and I felt like I had to hide that, I felt like it was wrong, and I also knew I was going to get criticized for it, that, it made me sort of under suspicion.

25

Q. Was she walking yet?

A. No, she wasn't. She took her first steps, sadly, when she came to visit me in the federal lockup. When we were first arrested, we were transferred to MCC, and she walked toward me and it was actually her first steps.

Q. Who was caring for her in those initial days after your arrest?

Α.

Q. Did you enjoy being a single mother, or as single in a woman in that setting could be? Because, there were lots of mothers.

A. Right. I loved being a mother, and I also felt very split because I didn't think it was legitimate, I guess, according to my political philosophy and my group commitments. It felt like very individualistic, and so I had incredible desire to just do nothing else but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mother her. I enjoyed infancy, but I didn't feel that that was legitimate.

Q. Tell me, what was the philosophy of the group in terms of the value of children to the group? Was it simply to have new soldiers? Was it -- what would be a motivation for any young woman to have a child in that setting? What was the value of children, I guess I am asking, to the group, if not to be able to shower individual attention?

A. I think that, we certainly were not having children to have little soldiers, but I think we saw ourselves raising our children collectively. So, I shouldn't get too individually connected to my daughter, even though she's my daughter and everyone acknowledged that and recognized that, but I had to temper my feelings of intense intimacy with her with recognizing all our children were being raised together. And so there was a tension I guess.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Miss Clark, you actually felt a conflict --

A. -- absolute conflict.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: -- between being a mother of and your absolute loyalties to the group?

A. Correct.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: The perception at the time, I believe, from what I read, is that, your attentions to the need of the child were individualistic and not committed to the group as a whole.

A. Correct.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And, from the perspective of the group, that was a distraction, shall I say?

A. Correct. And I was criticized for it. But I had that internal conflict, it was mine to have. You know, I could have said, You know what, I'm taking this kid and I'm gonna to raise her. And, I didn't.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I have a quote here that I think speaks to that, in paragraph 38 of your 440 motion, and you state, and I quote, "Because I had agreed that my daughter would stay with my radical friends, I was more dependent than ever on them to validate my relationship with the group and with my daughter. Rather than question this arrangement, I chose to perpetuate my sense of absolute loyalty to the group."

A. Correct.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Do you recall that statement?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
4	
1	A. Yes. I think, at the time, I was talking about my
2	stance after my arrest. But I think it's true before,
3	as well, I didn't want to face the truth of my conflict.
4	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Okay. Thank
5	you.
6	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
7	Q. Did you suffer any after the
8	birth of your daughter
9	A. I had management of the set o
10	for the first month I did, I definitely had some, but I
11	think that, over that year, it became more second , and
12	I had a lot of the second in that period.
13	Q. Alright. Now, I'm coming to the end of my questions
14	about the time before the date of the crime. But I have
15	seen some things in your Department of Corrections, at
16	that time, records that I want to inquire about. Were
17	you in fact pregnant at the time of the crime?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What happened with that pregnancy?
20	A. I had A. I didn't know I was . I
21	actually had wondered if I was
22	, about two or
23	three weeks before. So, about a month after I was
24	arrested,
25	

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
Q. Did that happen in federal custody, or county
custody, state custody?
A. In county custody.
Q. I am going to ask my colleagues if they have any
other questions about this time period we have been
discussing between you returning to New York and the
date of the crime.
COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Any other
follow up?
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Nothing further
for me at this time.
BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. And, of course, you know, you can imagine by the time
we wrap this up, we may be going back to some sections.
But again, just to try to keep some order.
A. Sure.
Qtrying to do it this way.
Now I want to focus on the date of the crime.
Well, maybe even a little bit before the crime, to
explain, you know, the planning and all of that.
I also gathered, from official documentation,
that you're a petit woman?
A. Yes.
Q. Your records say that, at the time of the crime, you
were only - and probably still are - about five-foot

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 30
1	three-inches tall?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. About 115 pounds at that time in your life?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And you were 32 at the time of the crime. Is that
6	accurate?
7	A. A month shy of 32, yes.
8	Q. You would have been, I think I want to say 33 the
9	month after?
10	A. Thirty-two the month after.
11	Q. You were turning 32 the month after?
12	A. Yes, yes, yes.
13	Q. Now, that would make you probably the youngest person
14	of those who were arrested. Because, based on other
15	information about everyone else's ages, you appeared to
16	be the youngest person in that group of people, again,
17	that were arrested.
18	A. Right.
19	Q. How long were you associated with the other known
20	co-defendants and/or co-conspirators for the events that
21	happened on October 21st, 1981?
22	A. I was associated with some of them for a long time,
23	and with some of them not at all. The setup was that, I
24	was a public person, I was doing public political work.
25	I worked with people in the Black Movement who also were

public political people, but all of us were also supporting efforts of people who were not public and doing illegal things. So, I had -- so I knew some of the people that were involved in the crime, and there were other people that I personally didn't know. But I was associated with -- there were public groups that were both politically supporting and sort of materially supporting the building of a Black Liberation Army; and, I saw myself as someone who supported that, and had supported people who had been arrested for that, and most of that work had been public work. But my rhetoric was always you have to do what is necessary, and therefore, you have to be willing to do what's necessary to support it. So I had a strong rhetoric about that. COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner

Ludlow has a question at this point.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: In that regard, again, I would like to refer back to your own words in the 440 motion that I think speaks to the point on the table at this moment.

"My participation in the crime was inexcusable. At the time of my arrest, I was 31 years old, a mother of an 11-month-old baby, and a member of the May 19th Communist organization -- a small, tightly knit self-defined revolutionary anti-

imperialist organization. My political activities, loyalties to the comrades and identity as a revolutionary had been the defining reality of my entire adult life. I did not identify myself with the mainstream culture and values of this country. Bolstered by that viewpoint, I had cut myself off from any real relationships with people outside my closed political circles and refused to recognize any reality that contradicted our collective viewpoint. I was a single-minded fanatic who considered myself 'at war' with America."

A. That is correct, that was my mind.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You relate to those words, Miss Clark, in terms of framing your mindset at the juncture we are at?

A. Yes, yes.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you, ma'am.

A. And, I think I, you know, thought that everyone I supported on trial, it was unfair they were on trial and that, obviously, I experienced the government as repressive and didn't respect that repression.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Now, would you continue to describe yourself at the

point in time when the planning was commencing as you were before as both follower and leader to some extent, or....

A. In May 19th I was a leader. Most of what that meant is telling people they better do more leafleting or fundraising. The leadership part I was involved with was primarily in the political activity. I think I was a follower in the sense I believed I had to follow black leadership, and so, if I was asked to do something, as I was in this case, which was that, money was needed to build a black underground, and the way that money was being raised was through a Brinks truck; and the way to make it happen quickly and easily was to have white people as getaway drivers, and therefore, they needed me as a getaway driver.

Q. So, would it be fair to say, based on your response, that, the composition of the group you aligned yourself with in the planning of this particular robbery, now included black men and/or women?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. And, it was your decision to follow their leadership?A. Absolutely.

Q.to the extent they were involved?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. Describe the meetings that led up to the crime or the

point that it was organized and planned? A. Right. There were very few meetings that I was in. It was actually just one-on-one, someone saying, This needs to happen, here's how it needs to happen, here's where you need to be.

It wasn't like big meetings with everyone there. The way I think perceived it at the time was: I have a support role and I only need to know what I need to know. So, what I needed to know was, I had to go in a car up to Rockland, and I knew, you know, the position I had to be in.

Q. Okay. Who was your primary contact? Was it always the same one individual in these one-on-one conversations, or was it more than one?

A. No. It was primarily a man named M'Tyari.

Q. Can you spell that?

A. I'm not sure I can spell it. M-'-T-y-a-r-i.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Is that the same individual referred to as Mutulu Shakur? A. No. M'Tyari was killed in a shootout after the arrest. So, he was associated with Mutulu, but he was the person I was talking to at the time.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Did you have any direct contact, at any time, with Mutulu Shakur? A. I had a lot of contact with Mutulu; not particularly

about this crime. He and I had done political work together. He was someone who pushed me about being committed, and he and I probably had a long association over a number of years, primarily in terms of supporting the same politics and pushing for them around political prisoners and things like that. But he wasn't the person I was dealing with in this moment.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: In hindsight, would you say Mr. Shakur was in fact the central strategist to the crime in October 1981? A. I can only say that because of what I knew afterwards, to be honest. Because, the way we worked to compartmentalize everything, and the whole picture did not come out until afterwards. But, so, I could say it, but more based on what I understood afterwards than before.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Alright. With regard to preparing for the crime, did you make two trial runs into Rockland County in preparation for the actual crime?

A. No, I was not on the trial runs, I was not on the trial runs.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You made no trial runs into Rockland?

A. I think I went up there once to see where it was, but

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 36
1	there was no trial runs that I was in.
2	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And did you
3	practice with weapons or a weapon such as a
4	.38-caliber pistol?
5	A. No, absolutely not.
6	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: At any time
7	prior to the commission of the crime?
8	A. No, absolutely not, no.
9	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Over what time
10	period would you say you, quote, unquote, "prepared
11	for the crime"? Would it have been days, weeks,
12	months?
13	A. Days, it was days.
14	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Okay. And
15	during that period, I presume - tell me if I am
16	wrong - you had opportunities to exit from the plot?
17	A. Absolutely.
18	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I think you
19	identified in your affidavit that I referenced
20	earlier, or it could have been in your interview
21	with second second in 2011, in one of those you
22	identified there were two exit points which you
23	encountered that you could have withdrawn from the
24	planning of the crime and commission of the crime
25	but you chose not to?

A. I'm not sure what I was referring to there. But what I would say is, when I was asked to do it, everything in my own gut said, You can't do this, you have a baby. And I squashed that impulse. I'm not even saying I questioned the rightness of the crime, I'm not saying I thought they were wrong to do it, I would never be able to say that about myself back then. But just out of a self-protection level, I was scared to put myself in that situation, and I shut that down and said, I have to do it, I am a good soldier.

37

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: But you knew leading up to crime during the -- I think you days or weeks? What was it? In preparation.

A. Days. It was almost immediate, basically.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Did you know that the ultimate goal here was to steal money in significant --

A. -- yes.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: -- amounts, over a million dollars?

A. Yes, yes.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And, that the intent of those funds were to buy bombs, ammunition, weaponry, bulletproof vests, assault weapons? You knew that the ultimate goal was to buy weaponry and

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 38
items of mass destruction, correct?
A. Yes, yes. I knew absolutely that it was going to be
an armed robbery and that I was going to be going into
that armed robbery as a getaway driver.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Did you also
know assault weapons were going to be implemented
and engaged by the perpetrators in the commission of
the crimes?
A. I don't know if I knew what kind of weapons. I just
knew that they were armed. I definitely knew that they
were going to be armed, and I was willing to do that,
absolutely.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: We are talking
about a band of perpetrators, direct perpetrators, I
think it was what, four or five that were armed with
assault weapons?
A. Correct.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And bulletproof
vests and squat type equipment to carry out the
crime, is that right?
A. Correct, absolutely.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you again.
BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. Had you ever held a gun before the day of the crime?
A. The only time I ever held a gun was a rifle, hunting,

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 39
1	probably in 1969. But I was not someone who was
2	involved with guns.
3	Q. Were you armed on the date of the crime?
4	A. No, I was not.
5	Q. The vehicle that you were driving, do you know whose
6	vehicle that was?
7	A. That was the vehicle that I drove up there, and I was
8	the only person who was in it until after the shootout
9	with the police.
10	Q. And, so you drove the vehicle
11	A yes.
12	Qfrom New York City to
13	A yes.
14	Qto Rockland County?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Was this a vehicle that you drove as part of a member
17	of your group? Was this a vehicle owned by the
18	collective? Was this a vehicle rented, loaned?
19	A. It was a vehicle I borrowed from a friend, actually.
20	Q. Okay. Someone not in the collective?
21	A. Someone who was close to us politically but not
22	she didn't know what I was using it for.
23	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Was that car a
24	tan Honda?
25	A. Yes.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And you drove
2	the tan Honda from New York City up to Nanuet?
3	A. Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Did you, at any
5	time, drive the red Chevy van that carried the armed
6	perpetrators?
7	A. No, I didn't.
8	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Were you
9	anywhere near the shooting that occurred that killed
10	?
11	A. Where I was, where I was positioned it's a large
12	shopping mall and it has a large parking lot. I was at
13	the end of the parking lot which was sort of at a higher
14	elevation. So that I could see, I guess it's that car
15	you are referring to, I could see when that car was
16	driving off and I could follow it. So, I was it was
17	quite a ways, I can't say how long it was, but it was
18	quite a ways. But I had a view of that car driving off
19	after the robbery.
20	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Now, there was a
21	third vehicle that was involved described as a white
22	Oldsmobile. What do you know about that? That was
23	reportedly registered to a woman in East Orange, New
24	Jersey.
25	A. I know there was at least one other car that was

involved when we were driving. I can't personally remember if it was a white Oldsmobile; it could've been a white Oldsmobile. But there was at least one other car at the time.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you. BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. From your vantage point in the parking lot at the mall, did you see or hear the shots fired?

A. I definitely didn't see it, and I don't know if I heard it. Because I've spent 35 years knowing about it, I can't remember if I heard it at the time or I remember afterwards. I remember seeing the car speeding away and I think that I must've had to have heard gunshots, because even if I couldn't see it, gunshots are loud and you can hear it. But I don't have a memory of hearing that.

Q. Was there any pre-meeting prior to your parking in that spot at the mall?

A. Only the time when I was shown where they wanted me to be, you know, and that was the only -- it wasn't a meeting; it was a person telling me: This is where we want you to be.

Q. Did someone physically drive you to that location, or did you drive someone and then follow their direction to that location?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 42
1	A. I think we drove together. If my memory serves me,
2	we drove in the same car. And I can't remember I
3	don't think I drove at the time.
4	Q. And who was this person?
5	A. That was M'Tyari.
6	Q. M'Tyari. Okay. So the car drives by and you follow
7	it?
8	A. And I followed it, yes.
9	Q. And, you say you were not armed?
10	A. No.
11	Q. As far as you know, there was no gun in that car; it
12	was a friend's car who wasn't associated, right?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And, was there anything else that was to be used in
15	the commission of any crime in that car?
16	A. No.
17	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Uh, how do you
18	account for a search of the tan Honda that
19	discovered a .38-caliber under the front seat,
20	loaded with a clip?
21	A. By the time we were arrested, there were two other
22	people that came into the car. It was a totally chaotic
23	situation, and I think, you know, something else went
24	on. But personally, I wasn't armed, I wasn't supposed
25	to be armed. My whole role was to be sort of a decoy

getaway driver so....

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: There was an observation made that, when you crashed the car, you attempted to reach behind the seat, and it was presumed you were reaching for that .38. Do you deny that?

A. I've read that, and I definitely deny that. I wouldn't of reached for a gun, not because -- just, I wouldn't of reached for a gun because I wouldn't have thought to reach for a gun. It wasn't what I was prepared to do.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Alright, thank you.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Now, did you consider the possibility, before you set out that day on that drive, did you consider the possibility of being arrested or killed?A. I think I had fear, a sort of general fear, but I would not let myself have those kinds of thoughts. I put myself in that same kind of trance state that I

described about earlier times. I think I just said, you know, Let me get through this and then it will be over and I will proven, you know, that I'm willing, you know, my commitment, and it will give me some space to continue to raise my daughter and do political work,

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 44
1	less risky political work. So, I felt it, I felt the
2	possibility of being arrested but I didn't let myself
3	follow that train of thought. I just kept saying to
4	myself, This is what you have to do, you have to do it,
5	I have to do it.
6	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You were a
7	blinded revolutionary at that time, is that correct,
8	ma'am?
9	A. Yes. And to the extent that I felt fear, I was
10	ashamed of my fear, so I didn't let myself acknowledge
11	my fear.
12	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner
14	Thompson has a question, ma'am.
15	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: You said you
16	met with M'Tyari?
17	A. M'Tyari, yes.
18	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: That day? And
19	you drove to the location or
20	A. Not that day. It had to be the day before.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: The one where
22	you went to that location
23	A right.
24	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON:was with
25	him?

ļ	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 45
1	A. Right.
2	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And that was
3	your only contact?
4	A. Correct.
5	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And you spoke
6	to him on the phone, you said two times, before this
7	occurred?
8	A. Not on the phone. Always in person.
9	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Always met?
10	A. Correct, yes.
11	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Alright. And
12	what car did you drive to that location the day
13	before?
14	A. I honestly don't remember. I don't think I was the
15	driver, I don't think it was my car.
16	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: So he drove
17	you to the location. Was there any tactical plan
18	prior to this?
19	A. He just said, you know, You are just here as a
20	backup, just stay here; you are going to see a car
21	leaving, follow that car. If all goes well, no one will
22	even have to go into your car; but just in case, we need
23	you to be a backup getaway driver and you will follow
24	others out of there.
25	So that's about as much as it was sort of

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
I don't know, maybe he saw my nervousness so he was
reassuring.
COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Now, they used
you as the getaway driver, as you stated, but was
anything planned: Well, what if it goes wrong, what
was your role in that? And, that you knew the
individuals entering your car were going to be
armed? And if they were going to have a
confrontation like happen, did they explain the
outcome? Because it looks like
A right.
COMMISSIONER THOMPSON:they were
shooting to kill and that was their mindset at that
time.
A. Right. I think my feeling was, or my sense from not
a lot of specific information, but just sort of the aura
of this was that these people had, you know if they
do a robbery, they are good at what they do, they go in,
they come out and then it's over with. So I think that
I didn't I certainly didn't engage in any discussion
with anyone about what it if goes wrong. And I
certainly didn't let myself ask that question.
COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Well, being
involved with this group for so long, did you ever
think that they had to carry weapons? This was a

lot of money and they really believe in this cause, that, their mindset was they going to shoot to kill if anyone stands in the way?

A. I didn't see them as that. First of all, most of my contact was with people who were not actually doing the robberies, they were the people who were supporting the people doing the robberies. But my view of them had been, Well, these guys really know what they are doing; they are not going in there to shoot to kill; they are going to go in there to use guns to intimidate people to get the money and then get out fast.

If I let myself question that, I would say, If you are going with guns and there's resistance, someone could get killed -- I absolutely could have said that to myself and said, What do I think of that? And, I didn't let myself ask that question.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Were you aware of any other robberies that went bad and people were shot and killed prior to this?

A. No, no.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Was there a clip found on you? Did you have a clip for that weapon that was found in the vehicle?

A. There was a clip in the bag that I had, yes, and it was not my clip and I don't know how it got there.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 48
1	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And, this is
2	your purse, your personal property?
3	A. Yup, absolutely.
4	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And, was there
5	glass from the officers' vehicle found on your
6	clothing? Were you that close, or how did that come
7	about?
8	A. I know that, at trial, there's testimony there was
9	glass fragments found. The closest I ever was to the
10	U-Haul was down a road that was lower than where the
11	U-Haul was. I don't know how glass fragments carry, so
12	I can't answer that question. But I never left my car,
13	I stayed in that car. I wish I had driven off, but I
14	didn't. I stayed in the car during the time when that
15	when the shootout happened, and was in that car at a
16	sort of side exit road, when two people jumped into my
17	car.
18	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Right. So you
19	did observe that shooting from the U-Haul truck?
20	A. Yes. I certainly heard the shooting. The angle
21	didn't probably allow me to see the shooting, but I
22	certainly knew that there was a shooting at that time,
23	absolutely. And I waited excuse me?
24	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Well, we are
25	talking about, uh, different steps here in terms of

	JUD	OITH CLARKDIN#83G0313NYSID#49
1		vehicles. It was reported that the initial attack
2		on the Brinks truck was facilitated by a red Chevy
3		van.
4	Α.	Correct.
5		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: After the
6		shootings at the Nanuet Mall, the money was a
7		certain amount of money was transferred from the
8		Brinks truck into this red Chevy van?
9	Α.	Correct.
10		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: My understanding
11		of the facts is that the perpetrators proceeded to a
12		second location which was
13	Α.	correct.
14		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: That was some
15		kind of a commercial shopping area. There was a
16		transfer from the red Chevy van to a, call it a
17		U-Haul truck, for lack of a better description?
18	Α.	correct.
19		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Like, a small
20		delivery van?
21	Α.	Correct.
22		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And, I believe
23		Miss Boudin was in that truck.
24	Α.	Correct.
25		COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And there was

JUDI	TH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 50
	was a small Caucasian male driving?
A. (Correct.
	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: That was all
	planned in advance to throw off the police, is that
	correct?
Α. `	Yes.
	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Were you aware
	of that transfer point, and were you at that
	location when the transfer took place?
Α. Ξ	I wasn't aware where that transfer point was going to
be,	beforehand, but I knew I was supposed to follow the
car	to it. And I was at the transfer point. And that,
the	time of the transfer point, that was the point at
whic	h actually a money bag was put in the car that I was
driv	ing. So, there was a money bag put in the, in
the	
	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: in the
	trunk?
A	The trunk, yes, at that time. And then we drove, you
know	, sort of caravan away, and I was at the end of that
cara	van.
	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Did you know
	where you were headed, ultimately, once you left
	with the U-Haul truck?
A	I knew we were going to be going back to the City.
	-

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 51
1	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: By way of
2	Tappan Zee Bridge?
3	A. I don't remember. I am sure I knew then because I
4	am sure I knew that much because I I'm sure I knew
5	that much, but I don't remember how to get there. I
6	just know that the place in which we were going to get
7	on the highway I don't know what highway that is
8	anymore because it's 35 years.
9	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Mountainview.
10	A. Right. But the highway at that entrance was into,
11	was taking us to a highway that was going to take us
12	back to the City.
13	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: New York State
14	Thruway, 87?
15	A. Correct.
16	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Off of
17	Mountainview Boulevard in the town of Nyack,
18	correct?
19	A. Correct. Yes, yes.
20	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Alright, thank
21	you.
22	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
23	Q. Now, so you go to no, let me go back for a second.
24	My last question had to do with you considering the
25	possibility of being injured or arrested.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 52
1	A. Right.
2	Q. Did you, before you left home that day, did you make
3	any arrangements for second ? Did you have a
4	conversation with someone: Hey, listen, if I don't come
5	back this is what I need you to do? Anything like that?
6	A. No, I did not. I was in full, complete denial about
7	that possibility. I left her with a roommate, saying, I
8	have stuff I have to do, I will be back this evening.
9	And, that's all they knew.
10	Q. Okay. So you didn't actually see any shooting at the
11	mall; you did see the transfer of people and/or property
12	at the second location.
13	A. Yes, I did.
14	Q. What exactly did you see? What can you remember
15	seeing at the transfer point?
16	A. I saw people coming out of that red Oldsmobile and
17	going into the U-Haul. Everyone looked very
18	disorganized and frantic, I guess is the way I would say
19	it. For instance, I was pretty shocked when they said
20	to, Open up your trunk and put money in it. Because, I
21	had not been told that was going to happen. And then I
22	saw people getting into the cars and driving away and I
23	followed.
24	Q. How many of the people, at that point, did you know
25	by name, even if it was just first name?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 53
4	A The territery to this Marcha there.
1	A. I'm trying to think. Maybe three.
2	Q. Which three were those?
3	A. I'm trying to think who I even knew. The reason why
4	I am hesitating is because afterwards, I knew much more
5	than I did at the moment. So at the moment, I was not
6	aware of know it was who was doing the actual robbery,
7	you know. So I I and I didn't sort of try to
8	identify who was who at the time.
9	Q. Okay. I guess I'm trying to determine whether or not
10	you knew any of the people who you saw
11	A right.
12	Qgetting into, or already inside, or coming out of
13	vehicles by name? If you knew, for example, Kathy
14	Boudin; if you knew, for example, Samuel Brown? Did you
15	know any of them from your travel or your association?
16	A. Right. I knew Kathy, but not at that time. Kathy
17	was a fugitive at that time; and because I was a public
18	person, we didn't have contact in that period. I had
19	known her beforehand. So, I knew her quite well, but
20	not from this involvement. I knew Sam Brown because he
21	was part of BAAANA.
22	Q. What's that?
23	A. He was part of a black acupuncture program which is
24	where I knew both Mutulu and M'Tyari from. So I knew

them from that context, yes.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 54
4	O Okaw Mu avaatian in fainly simple. It's ivet
1	Q. Okay. My question is fairly simple. It's just,
2	simply, did you see folks that you recognized in that
3	second location, even though you might not have been
4	meeting and talking
5	A right.
6	Qand planning together just before the commission?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. My question is, did you say to yourself, That's
9	Kathy; oh, that's Sam?
10	A. I actually didn't see Kathy at that time. I only saw
11	Kathy later, at the next thing. So, I didn't see her at
12	that time, but I probably saw Sam and I probably saw
13	Kuwasi. But I don't remember seeing anyone and saying,
14	Oh, that's so and so.
15	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: How about Donald
16	Weems?
17	A. That's Kuwasi. Yes, I we saw Donald Weems.
18	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You were
19	acquainted with Donald Weems. And he was known as a
20	alias as what?
21	A. I didn't I actually didn't know him then. I got
22	to know him because he was my co-defendant. But I
23	didn't know him until afterwards, but
24	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: He was a lead or
25	a scout at Nanuet Mall?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 55
1	A. Right.
2	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: He was there
3	ahead of the red truck?
4	A. Right, right. But I know that from what I know
5	afterwards, not actually before. And I got to know him
6	because we were co-defendants, but I wouldn't of
7	recognized him at the scene.
8	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Okay, alright.
9	Thank you.
10	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
11	Q. So, at the transfer point, you did recognize Sam,
12	Samuel Brown?
13	A. Yup.
14	Q. And that's it?
15	A. I don't know if I I don't know who it was such
16	a chaotic moment, I don't remember who I saw. I just
17	saw figures moving. I definitely remember him because I
18	had just seen him up there. I probably saw M'Tyari. I
19	think probably the only other person that I saw,
20	actually, was a white woman, Marilyn Buck.
21	Q. And this is at the scene of the transfer?
22	A. Yes. No, I don't think I saw her there. Well, maybe
23	I did. I don't remember, I'm sorry.
24	Q. That's okay. And that was a long time ago. And our
25	records don't have the details that we are seeking from

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#	56
you.	
A. Right, right, right. And I feel like a lot of de	tail
I know comes from later. So, how much do I remember,	
and how much have I pieced together, sort of backward	l
memory, rather than what I saw at that moment.	
Q. Okay, fair enough. That's a fair comment.	
I just wanted to clarify. You said M'Tyari.	
So, he was there and he was a participant that day?	
A. I believe so. Again, I am trying to remember who	Ι
saw, and I'm thinking, Oh, did I see M'Tyari that day	?
And I think I did. But, you know, do I remember that	-
because later on it came out or because I saw it ther	·e?
When I think back at it, it was pure chaos that day.	
And I don't remember sort of seeing individuals; I so	ort
of remember being in the car, not knowing why things	
seemed chaotic, being shocked when I had and then	
moving along.	
Q. Well, it might be reasonable for you to expect ch	aos
if you heard gunfire and cars speeding away.	
A. Right, right.	
Q. Okay. So who put the money in the trunk, in the	
trunk of the car you were driving?	
A. I think it was M'Tyari. I was saying that whe	n
I'm trying to remember it, I think it was probably	
M'Tyari.	

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 57
1	Q. Did you have to give him the key so that he could do
2	that?
3	A. No, I think I did it from, you know, something you
4	pulled from inside, or I got out. I don't actually
5	remember, but I don't think I gave anyone the keys.
6	Q. Now, I'm not a car expert and I don't know what the
7	features the Hondas had in those years.
8	A. Right.
9	Q. But I wouldn't of expected it to have some interior
10	way that you could've let
11	A I actually I mean, I'm sort of making it up now
12	because I can't remember if I got out then and did it or
13	gave the keys to anyone to do it.
14	Q. But you believe that the person that put the money in
15	the trunk was M'Tyari?
16	A. I think so.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. But honestly, I'm not sure.
19	COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner
20	Ludlow?
21	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: If I can
22	interject, I think we jumped over some salient facts
23	here that deserve focus that occurred at Nanuet,
24	before we move on to the Korvette store, Korvette
25	spelled with a K.

And, uh, at Nanuet Mall, Brinks guard , he didn't have a chance. Those automatic weapons absolutely overwhelmed him. I believe he died at the scene. He had, I assume, a revolver that was no match for the assault weapons that were trained on him.

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: In addition, the other Brinks guard, was wounded and he took some pretty good hits; not as bad as but he was wounded. He was able to pull himself into the Brinks truck for some degree of cover from the assault gunfire. was the third Brinks employee associated and assigned to that truck. reportedly stayed behind in the truck, remained in the passenger seat, and it was, uh, either Donald Weems or Samuel Brown trained a shotgun on the windows of that Brinks truck. had to dive down to the floor, or nearly so, to protect himself from shattering glass.

And I think before we move on to Korvette, we should recognize the horrendous, unbelievable assault that cost the life of

who, as you know, ironically he was killed in the

September 11th World Trade Center. These are three distinguished Brinks employees who were trying to do a legitimate job, trying to protect other people's assets. The monies from the stores, they were going to transport to a depository somewhere, and they were absolutely overwhelmed by the force that was thrust upon them with no notice.

I think when I think about the crime that day, I Α. don't think about all the specifics of, you know, where I was and what I did. What I think about is exactly what you are speaking of which is that -- that, at that moment in that first part, was shot to was shot and could have died death, and just as easily, and there was no thought about human life at that point, and, that I'm appalled at myself for having a direct role in what happened to them, and later on what happened to the police officers. And I agree with you, that that's -- that's what I think about now when I think about the crime, I think about what's happened to them.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Well, I believe it's very important that we focus on those facts that I presented so that the chronology is true and accurate before we move on, and to pay respect to the memory of **Community**, and to the memory of

25

[JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 60
1	and the trauma that went
2	through.
3	A. Absolutely.
4	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And
5	additionally, there where spectators who were
6	passersby who gathered. And my understanding of the
7	facts is that one of the perpetrators actually
8	trained a weapon on passersby to keep them at bay,
9	presumably so that no one would intervene with the
10	plot. So, this was a multidimensional thing that
11	happened directly to Brinks and indirectly to the
12	passersby.
13	A. Right.
14	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Okay, thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Now, were you
16	at that location as well?
17	A. I was at the I was in the parking lot above that.
18	So, I couldn't actually see the actual movement of what
19	was going on during that time.
20	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: But you were
21	instructed to follow that vehicle once it left that
22	location?
23	A. Correct.
24	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And that's
25	what you did?

A. And that's what I did.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: And you didn't observe anything that was there: The Brinks trucks with bullet holes, any bodies on the ground? You didn't observe any of that as you passed by to follow this car -- that van?

A. I didn't pass by them. The car drove in the opposite direction, and I followed the car in the opposite direction. So I didn't actually observe -- I didn't get closer to the murder that just happened, I drove further away from it. But I heard it.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: You heard it? A. I'm sure I -- I only know that because there's no way you can hear gunshots and not hear it. So that's how I know I heard those gunshots, even though I didn't know exactly what happened.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: What did you think happened when you heard the gunshots? A. Excuse me?

think happened when you heard the gunshots?
A. I don't think I was thinking, I think I was on
automatic drive. I think I was not letting myself think
anything except do what you are supposed to do.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON:

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: How many

What did you

JUDITH	CLARK	DIN#83G0313	NYSID#

individuals were involved, do you think, that you saw that day besides, you know, the co-defendants? Did you see -- was it 10, 12? How many people did you see?

A. No. I think that there were probably five people, I would say. I mean, again, I only know what I know from afterwards, but I'm sure there were at least five people involved in the robbery and there were at least three cars.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Do you know of anyone that got away?

A. No, absolutely not.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Okay.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. How long were you at the second scene when money was placed in the trunk of the car you were driving and vehicles were abandon and other vehicles occupied?
A. I don't know how long it was. It probably felt like much longer than it was. It was probably minutes.
That's what I would say, it was probably minutes, but I don't know, really, how long it was.

Q. And when you drove away, where were you? What was your position in the caravan - as you described it?
A. There was at least one car between me and the U-Haul.
Q. And you were in front or behind?

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#

A. I was behind, I was in back of that.

Q. So at least three vehicles, with the U-Haul typetruck - if it was a U-Haul type truck - in the middle?A. No, I think the U-Haul was in front. I think therewas another car between me and the U-Haul and then therewas me.

Q. Okay. Now, how long were you driving before the -before the U-Haul was stopped?

A. I don't know how long it was. I think it was only a few minutes, actually. But I know that when we -- when I was driving, following them to the entrance to the highway, there was already a roadblock. And the U-Haul drove up to the roadblock and I turned on that side road, I guess Mountainview Road, and I just drove a little ways up and then stopped my car and waited.
Q. So did you have to pass by the car that was ahead of you and the U-Haul to get to the on-ramp?
A. They went on the on-ramp and I didn't.
Q. You stayed on the road that were you traveling on?

A. No, there's like -- there were two roads to turn into; one was the entrance to the highway and the other was a road. I went to the road and the U-Haul went to the entrance to the highway where the roadblock was.Q. What about the car in the middle?

A. I think that it must have been behind the U-Haul,

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 64
1	must have been where the U-Haul was. I know it wasn't
2	where I was, so I'm not sure where it could've been.
3	Q. And at this point in time, as far as you know, the
4	only proceeds from the crime is one bag of money in your
5	trunk?
6	A. Right, right.
7	Q. And as far as you know, there are no weapons in your
8	vehicle?
9	A. Right.
10	Q. And you're the only occupant?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And you can't see what's happening up ahead?
13	A. I mean I can see the U-Haul, I can see it. Because
14	it's up a hill, I couldn't see everything going on. But
15	I definitely heard all those shots when that happened,
16	and I saw people running from that and I saw you the two
17	people that got into my car.
18	Q. Who ran from that into your car?
19	A. Samuel Brown and David Gilbert.
20	Q. Did you recognize David Gilbert? Had you ever seen,
21	met
22	A yes.
23	Qspoken with him?
24	A. Yes, yes, we were in SDS together.
25	Q. Now, as far as you knew, did he have any relationship

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 65
1	to Miss Boudin?
2	A. I don't know if I knew back then, but I know he did
3	have a relationship to Miss Boudin. They were in a
4	relationship.
5	Q. Did they have any children together?
6	A. They have a child together, yes. They, also, had an
7	infant son.
8	Q. So only the two of them came to where you were
9	parked?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And did they have anything with them, any weapons,
12	any money?
13	A. I don't know. I assumed that Solomon had a weapon on
14	him, but only because he was part of the actual robbery
15	team.
16	Q. Did you say Samuel or Solomon?
17	A. I'm sorry, he was known by both names.
18	Q. But it's the same person?
19	A. The same person, yes.
20	Q. Samuel Brown, also known as Solomon?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. You didn't see rifles or long guns, weapons?
23	A. No, I don't remember him carrying anything like that,
24	but I also wasn't looking that carefully. They just ran
25	into the car, jumped into the car, he was in the back

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 66
1	and said, Drive.
2	Q. Where did David Gilbert enter the car?
3	A. In the front seat, with me.
4	Q. And you saw them running from up ahead?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you saw them from a distance from where they
7	started to your car?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Which doesn't sound like it was very close, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. But you didn't notice if they had long guns?
12	A. I don't remember I don't think that Solomon had
13	any I don't think they had long guns on them, no. I
14	didn't see them carrying them.
15	Q. Did you discover, later on, that there were guns in
16	the car that you know weren't there before?
17	A. Only once we were arrested.
18	Q. And, once you were arrested, what guns were found in
19	the vehicle?
20	A. There was a gun, a I think a pistol or a .380 is
21	what I heard it. But I know that from the trial, I
22	don't know that from then. But there was a gun found in
23	that car.
24	Q. Did you see it recovered at the scene of your arrest?
25	A. No.

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 67
Q. Again, you had a purse?
A. Correct.
Q. And, ammunition was found in the purse?
A. Correct.
Q. Was there an opportunity, that you can think of,
where someone could have put it in your purse or
A. I think that it was a very chaotic scene and it could
very well have ended up there. I just know I didn't
leave with it, because I wasn't one of the arms people,
I was one of the getaway drivers. So the only
explanation I have is that one of the two people that
ran into the car ditched it there. That's the only
thing I can imagine, but I don't know.
Q. And, as far as you were to learn later, was the
ammunition found in your purse suitable for the weapon
that was found in the car?
A. In the evidence that is what it said, correct.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: We spoke about a
bag of money that was put in the trunk of the tan
Honda.
A. Yes.
COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: My
understanding is that bag of money contained in
excess of \$800,000.
A. Correct, that's my understanding too.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 68
1	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you again.
2	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
3	Q. Okay. So, Samuel Brown, David Gilbert come and get
4	in your car; David Gilbert's up front, Samuel Brown's in
5	the back, and Samuel says, Drive?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. So, which way did you drive? Did you drive in such a
8	way you had to pass that scene?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Or, in a different direction?
11	A. In a different direction. I was facing the opposite
12	direction that whole time. You know, I was sitting
13	saying to myself, I should just leave. And, I wouldn't
14	let myself leave, I just stayed there. But when they
15	said, Drive, I just kept driving along the same road
16	that I was facing. And by then I was lost, to be
17	honest.
18	Q. And how far did you get? How long did you drive
19	before you were stopped again?
20	A. It was not very far. I kept driving along that road.
21	And, at a certain point, a police car that was driving
22	in the opposite direction turned around and started
23	following me. And I continued to drive, and drove
24	faster, and made a left turn and crashed into a
25	retaining wall.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Before I go on any further to what happened after that, Commissioner Ludlow, would you care to commemorate for the record what happened at that the second scene? Are you able to do that?

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: We are speaking about the entrance to the Thruway?

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: My understanding of the facts, Miss Clark, and, if I misstate something, please correct me. The scene at the Thruway was absolutely devastating. There was a detective, Detective , arrived on the scene. The radio traffic, uh, for the police was chaotic; one call was interrupting another call, a third call was stepping on yet another call. But, Detective

was there, Officer , Officer

Detective

was not

sure that they had the vehicle that was identified in the radio traffic. The report from Nanuet Mall to the Clarkstown police was that there were black male perpetrators of whom they were looking for. And, just as the plot that was reportedly determined by Mr. Shakur almost worked because the police were thrown off, they said, Is this really the correct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vehicle? Because the description of the perpetrator did not match the driver, and the female passenger, Detective had doubts. Miss Boudin. Detective went around, and, I believe the door on that U-Haul was like a garage door, it recoiled, lifted up. tried to lift the door. The U-Haul was stopped, the people in the cab were apparently still in the cab. **Example 1** tried to lift the door, the door would not go up. I think one could infer, knowing what happened momentarily thereafter, that, perhaps had the perpetrators had their feet on a foot stop on the inside of that door and wouldn't let it go up. If you got four or five guys in there with their feet on that door, the detective could not lift it. But he went around, told the driver, I want that door open. Close in time, that door opened of his own volition, and out jumped, essentially, a terrorist SWAT team; the same group that had perpetrated at Nanuet Mall were ready to fire again. They jumped out of the vehicle. 0ne of the shotguns belonging to Nyack police was actually restored to the patrol car because they felt they had the wrong vehicle, so that particular officer was at a disadvantage, he didn't have a shotgun. Officer tried to return

fire with his revolver. He took cover behind one of the police cars, he emptied his revolver. He was able to mostly reload and return fire but he was hit was tragically -- if he several times. didn't die at that moment at the entrance to the Thruway, he died shortly thereafter.

Officer attempted to return fire. He, likewise, had no match of weaponry for the assault rifles that were trained on him. Again, tragically, a very admirable police officer

, Officer was fatally

hit. Is that your understanding of the facts? That's my understanding of the facts from what I Α. understood afterwards. And, I think -- I think many things, but one thing about when you describe it that I can remember in my own experience, I can remember once they took me into custody, I think my sharpest memory of that day is actually at that moment because the officers who were driving the police car I was in were traumatized. And I remember sort of finally realizing that I wasn't the only person who was feeling completely traumatized in moment; that they were clearly overwhelmed and traumatized.

The other thing I want to say is that, you know, it took me more time than before my trial to ever really

14

like |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think about the toll of lives that day. But, I'd say for the last 30, more than 30 years, I think about what they, you know, went through that day; that here were small-town policemen who left their home that day, expecting a pretty calm day, and instead found themselves completely outgunned, outmaneuvered and overwhelmed by people intent on killing them.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: If we reflect on the three who were killed, there are some common denominators here that I think we should recognize. All three of these honorable gentlemen -

served in the greatest military in the world, the United States military. In particular, **March** was a Navy veteran, serve admirably in that branch of the service. **March**, Semper Fidelis: Once a Marine, always a Marine.

The weapons that were trained on them that day were the weapons they may have had some experience with while in the military, an active duty military. They did not have the benefit of protecting themselves with those weapons on the day in question. It was a slaughter, it was a slaughter by a terrorist group that you helped to facilitate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Uh, and I would say, I know in later years at Bedford, I think you stated to in that March 2011 interview, that you always live with hope, hope that a new puppy can help a veteran from the puppies program that you have assisted, and commendably assisted, which is very noble to help our veterans. But ironically, the three who died that you helped to facilitate were notable, distinguished military veterans of our country. It's a great irony to me as a member of the Board of Parole as I look at these facts, draw comparisons, draw analogies. You are helping veterans today, which is very noble, Miss Clark. But three great veterans, distinguished police and a distinguished police officer for Brinks, they paid the ultimate price, the ultimate price.

A. I agree that it is deeply ironic and deeply tragic. And I also think about the fact that all three of those men had children, young children, who were left without their fathers; that each of them had a wife that's lived their life ever since without their husbands. I know that both Sergeant and Officer were responsible for larger, you know, for extended families, that they were the people that really kept whole communities together. And I am as ashamed and as

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
appalled at my participation today as I have ever been.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: You received an
aggregate sentence originally, by Judge Ritter,
Rockland County, 75-to-life.
A. Correct.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: The surviving
spouses, the surviving children, they indirectly
received a life sentence of sorrow, pain,
disruption, tragedy, of proportions, I believe, that
we can not fathom.
A. I think that's true. I absolutely know that that's
true and I would never want to minimize any of that in
my efforts to get out. That's always going to be the
truth that I have to live with.
The only one thing I want to sayyou said
it's an irony that I help veterans. I think one of the
reasons I do the puppy program is for the recognition
that I am responsible for the death of veterans, and I
am responsible for the chaos we created. Both raising
dogs that went to veterans and also raising explosive
detection dogs has one of the ways I have tried to say I
am responsible, how do I repair. And, that's where it
comes from, rather than nobility
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I appreciate
that, and I appreciate your comments in that regard.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: I'm going to ask at this point, because we have going about two hours now, would it benefit everyone if we took maybe five minutes? I want to give our reporter a brief moment to rest her fingers, maybe stretch our legs, if you have to go to the ladies' room, something like that, okay? Maybe pause for about five minutes, and when we see you back in the chair, we will get going in about five, okay? OFFENDER: Alright. COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Thank you. (A six-minute recess was held, after which the following took place:) BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Q. Okay, we are back. Α. Okay. Q. Alright. I just want to say, too, that I found it ironic that one of the men killed was, I believe, the first African-American officer of his department. Right, correct. Α. Q. And I wonder if you have ever reflected on that, the fact that so much of your movement and activity was for the uplift of black Americans, and yet, by all accounts,

this fine, loved black man, head of his family was one

24 25

of the victims?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. I have reflected on that many, many times. And I'll say, my father was the first person who saw me after my arrest and -- I was in Rockland County, and we saw each other through glass, and he screamed at me about that very point. He said, How do you claim yourself supporting a black revolution, and kill a black officer in the line of duty?

I think it's both ironic and tragic and kinda shows the bankruptcy of my politics and my actions at the time. So, absolutely.

I wanted to say one other thing, because I was thinking during the break. You know, when you were asking me the specifics, I realize -- I just want to, you know, I really don't know who it was that put that money bag in the car. I'm trying to be as accountable and specific as I can, yet I feel a little bit like a bad eye witness that is trying to put together the story that I don't know. And, what I remember from that day, it's so chaotic, it makes sense to me it might have been him, but I really don't know the particulars. That idea that I sort of saw -- my experience was much more cut off than that, and, so, I don't want to be inaccurate, either, and I just wanted to say that.

Q. Thank you. One other request that I am making is, because the reporter can really only capture one person

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 77
1	at a time, wait until you hear a pause before you answer
2	so that she doesn't get caught in a situation where
3	she's trying to account for more than one person
4	talking.
5	A. Thank you, I will.
6	Q. And we will try to follow that as well. We will wait
7	until you pause significantly enough before we talk.
8	0kay?
9	A. Thank you. Yes.
10	Q. Were any of the people who were later to become
11	co-defendants and/or co-conspirators killed that day?
12	A. No.
13	Q. At the scene, at the mall or on the highway?
14	A. No. The only people killed that day was Officer
15	, Sergeant and .
16	Q. We talked about the fact that second about , the late
17	, the father of your daughter was
18	charged. Was he charged in connection to this crime?
19	A. He was originally accused of being of treating
20	someone of a gunshot wound after the crime, but I think
21	he eventually was not tried for that. He was tried for
22	separate charges.
23	Q. Okay. As far as you know, there was no direct
24	connection to him that was proven in any court of law?
25	A. No, absolutely not, no.
25	A. No, absolutely not, no.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: I am going to ask my colleagues if they have any questions about that time frame, the crime itself? Because, now I am about to move to the arrest and trial.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Nothing further on the crime.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: No further.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Okay, alright. BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Now, you described the scene as chaotic, you described so many of the people, yourself included, who you encountered after the second crime scene as in some form of shock.

How would you describe your behavior at the point of your arrest? Not necessarily with a mind to what was motivating you at the time, necessarily, but looking back, what can you accurately recall about your behavior?

A. Oh, I think my behavior, as soon as I was arrested, was to -- one, I think personally, I was relieved, to be honest. But I think I immediately took a rather resistant militant stance. You know, I was certainly not forthcoming with the officers who talked to me. I wasn't uncooperative in that moment, there was nothing to be uncooperative about. But I was quickly adopting a

kind of resistance stance in the face of my arrest. Q. So, is it fair to say you may not have been experienced by others, law enforcement in particular, as having remorse or having concern for the officers, or

79

A. I feel ashamed to say this, but I have to say it if I'm going to be truthful, that, at that time, I did not feel remorse. I did not let myself think about the fact that three people were killed. I think there were moments when I heard that someone died when I -- when it caught me, you know, but I didn't let myself feel bad about it. I shut myself off from any real feeling about the fact that people were killed, at that point. Q. In the moment when your father made that statement that he made, that you shared with us about Officer

, did that -- did you express anything to him in that moment where, perhaps, you had some privacy? I don't know, but did you express anything to him that he might have interpreted as remorse, or shame or empathy?

A. I think that if I had any kernels of shame, which I think I had, I suppressed them for at least two years, more than two years. I responded to the disaster that happened not by saying this disaster happened and I am responsible for the three deaths and the mayhem that

affected so many people; I responded by saying, I did a lousy job as a getaway driver, let me be a strong, you know, political prisoner. You know, that was where I went. I am going to justify this complete disaster on everyone's part by justifying it politically, and I think I shut off any real feelings, even about my daughter, immediately after that.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner Ludlow has a follow up.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Again, I am going to reference the affidavit that I referenced earlier. I think it speaks directly to the point that we are focusing on now. If you disagree, please tell me.

I am quoting from the affidavit, paragraph 42.

"I wish I could say honestly that I thought about the three men who had died, and those who were injured and traumatized, during the Brinks robbery, but the truth is that I did not begin to face that for many years, long after my trial was over. I pushed away any thought of the human toll by framing the events abstractly, as a revolutionary expropriation."

A. Correct.

1

2

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: "I am ashamed that I rationalized the deaths as casualties of war in America. I used such abstractions to protect myself from thinking about the victims on human terms and imagining the loss and pain of the survivors."

You sought to represent yourself to appear as your own attorney so that you could continue a political struggle and present political sentiment in court. Quoting yourself, you said, "We felt like soldiers in a battle. I had no sense of my own self-interest, but saw every action as part of the politics of the situation."

A. I would stand by that statement today, having written it quite awhile ago. I think it accurately describes my state of mind, and it accurately describes how I feel about that now which is enormous shame about it. But it is, honestly, what my state of mind was.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you, Miss Clark. BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Were you offered a plea prior to going to trial?A. No, I was not.

Q. Did you testify at your trial?

A. No.

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	Q. Okay. Did you call a co-defendant or co-conspirator
2	as a witness at your trial?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who was that?
5	A. Uh, I believe I called Sekou Odinga. Am I correct?
6	Q. I think that's what my records reflect. And, the
7	spelling of the first name, from my records anyway, is
8	S-e-k-o-u, last name O-d-i-n-g-a, also known as
9	Nathaneal Brown. Nathaneal is spelled N-a-t-h-a-n-e-a-l
10	Burns, not Brown, Burns. And, what did he testify to
11	that you felt warranted his being called as a witness?
12	A. I can not remember any specifics of his testimony. I
13	think that we were I think his testimony was to try
14	to argue that this was a legitimate political action and
15	that we part of a legitimate political movement.
16	Q. Did he testify from a point of view of first-person
17	knowledge of the crime, it's commission, or aftermath or
18	planning?
19	A. I don't believe so. I think he testified as a
20	representative of the politics of the Black Liberation
21	Army, and I think we attempted to just ask political
22	questions rather than talk about the crime.
23	Q. And, the prosecutor did not attempt any cross-
24	examination to get to his involvement or knowledge of
25	the crime?

A. I don't remember, but I can't believe he didn't. But I will be honest, I don't remember that he did.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Miss Clark, who was Susan Tipograph, T-i-p-o-g-r-a-p-h? A. She was initially my lawyer before I chose to represent myself. And she was a lawyer, but she also was involved with our overall political group, so she functioned -- she didn't function as a real defense counsel, but she was my lawyer.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: In that regard, in your affidavit, I'd like to refer to again, you state, quote, "While technically a lawyer, she was part of our political circle and saw her role primarily as a political supporter. In our legal meetings, we rarely discussed legal issues and options, but focused on political discussions and friendly banter. Ms. Tipograph never offered any practical legal advice, nor recommended to me that I seek proper representation, and I never asked her to recommend another lawyer." Do you recall that statement?

A. Absolutely. And that's true, I was not at all interested in fighting my case legally, even though I had the example of someone who did so and was therefore able to get a lesser sentence. But I was not able to do

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 84
that at that time, I was not able to realize that that
was what I needed to do.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: If we could back
up to clarify the meaning of the organization May
19th?
A. Where it gets its name?
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Yes, the origin
of that name. Could you explain that to us?
A. Yes. May 19th was the birthday of Ho Chi Minh and
Malcolm X, so we chose that name because we were
commemorating them; we were supporting the movements
that they were a part of.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: That's my
understanding of the facts as well. Thank you.
A. Yes, yes.
BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. Did you learn what you now know about
, , and , and others who
were wounded, did you learn what you now know about them
primarily at the trial, in terms of their families,
their family composition, information about them?
A. No. I really I didn't follow the newspapers, I
didn't think about them yet, at that time, during the
trial. I can honestly say, unfortunately, I didn't
think about the fact that their families were probably

in the courtroom when I was making political speeches and justifying the deaths of their loved ones. It was afterwards, you know, in the years when I began to rethink that crime, that I went back and looked at some of the articles from the early period, and got to realize the scope of what I had caused, the death and destruction and loss that I had caused. Q. I do want to turn my attention to the sentencing. It's clear that the prosecutor didn't feel that

rehabilitation was possible. In fact, he said specifically, "Your Honor, rehabilitation is impossible in this particular case." That was -- was that Kenneth Gribetz, who was actually the district attorney of Rockland County at that time?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you recall if he was the prosecutor of the case?A. Yes.

Q. And Gribetz is G-r-i-b-e-t-z?

A. Yes.

Q. He goes on to say..... "for rehabilitation imports a demonstrated ability to conform and a capacity to accept society's disciplines and limits." And he goes on, "By their own admissions, each of these defendants are incapable of developing such qualities. In this case, deterrence and the protection of society must be the

sole and paramount goal, and for that reason I recommend the sentence that be imposed must be one which will ensure that these defendants are removed from the streets of society for the balance of their natural lives." That was his request of the court and, in fact, a recommendation that he learned the court later followed.

A little further in, he's speaking specifically about you, talks about your history in Illinois, talks about your characterization in the presentence report, talks about Mr. Gilbert and you, and says on page 13 of the sentencing... and I'm not sure if he was referring to comments that you made during closing, or Mr. Gilbert. But it almost sounds like he's attributing it to you because he says, "In her closing argument, told this court," and then concludes that comment to say, "This defendant told us that revolutionary violence is a necessity."

Did you say that in your closing argument, or is it likely or possible that you said in that your closing argument?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And again, he repeated, "It is obvious that this defendant Clark is beyond all hope of rehabilitation."

Then, of course, you did have an opportunity to

speak and you did speak at length, and you described yourself on page 22 of the sentencing minutes by saying, quote, "I am a revolutionary. I am not a criminal. I am a anti-imperialist freedom fighter."

Even at that point, how would you describe the fact that you didn't see yourself as being a criminal, though men were killed, a robbery occurred? A. I was -- I shut down any real thought and any real feeling in order to maintain this very strong militant And I think I did know, even by our standards, stance. what I have called then the action, what I now call the crime, was a disaster and, so, I saw myself trying to -trying to, uh, reassert the politics of it, and in order to do that, I had to not think about the fact of people and the lives lost; I had to think in these very general, abstract terms. And that's what I did during that whole period, and it really didn't change until I came to Bedford. I maintained that and was vehement about it, was thoughtlessly vehement about it. Q. Do you recall the sentiments of any of the family members at the time of your sentencing? Do you recall

A. Not at the time. One of the things that happened is that I came into the courtroom to make my statement and

being aware of their views about what should happen to

vou?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 88
1	then I left, as I had for most of the trial. And I
2	think that I protected myself from really opening up to
3	hearing the feelings and sentiments of those who were
4	actually harmed.
5	Q. Did you review the presentence investigation that was
6	prepared in your case for the judge, before your
7	sentencing?
8	A. I probably read it, but that's it. I was a non-
9	participant in every form of the word.
10	Q. And again, I do realize that and I think it's
11	reported in the presentence investigation, that you may
12	have said something like, With all due respect, I don't
13	want to talk to you. Or something like that.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. I think it happened in the creation of the
16	presentence investigation. But the presentence
17	investigation includes no, it was to be specific,
18	you are alleged to have said to Probation Officer Audrey
19	Coleman, quote, "Nothing personal, I don't want to talk
20	to you." And that was it.
21	But there are victim statements in this document
22	from a representative of the control family who was an
23	attorney. , the wife of spoke;
24	she said, well, it says, quote, " Contract of felt the
25	defendants deserved to be put up against a wall and

shot, they don't deserve jail." She also related she was aware this was not an option available to the court. She did state that she wanted the defendants to be incarcerated quote, "forever, and never be eligible for parole." She stated, "I know it (incarceration) won't bring my husband back, but it will prevent them from killing someone else."

And then of course there were extensive statements by Judge Ritter as he passed sentence. The part of his sentence saying -- that I think bears mentioning in this record is -- begins with, quote, "I harbor no illusions about any of the defendants. Thev hold society in contempt and have no respect for human life. Indeed, they probably declare that their criminal enterprise was justified because it was part of a selfproclaimed war against those perceived to be enemies. Everything that the defendants have done and said compel the conclusion they are prepared to repeat their lawless conduct in furtherance of their extremist views, irrespective of the cost in lives and without remorse or repentance. There is no reason to believe that any of the defendants will change despite the understandable hopes of their parents and families."

And, at that point, I'm pausing to say, it's clear people wrote in on your behalf specifically,

25

90

including ______, President of the Graduate School and University Center of the City University of New York, in a letter to the judge, the Honorable David S. Ritter, dated September 20th of 1983.

And he goes on to say, "Each defendant represents a clear and present danger to society because each believes that he or she is justified in deciding whether there will be more violence and death to further their radical philosophy. Society is entitled to be protected from these defendants and the violence they That can be accomplished by imposing the represent. longest minimum sentence permitted by law for each murder, with each minimum to run consecutive to the other minimum sentences imposed. It is my intention to impose the maximum term of imprisonment that the law allows and to make the defendants ineligible for parole until they have served the longest consecutive minimum term permitted by law," which we learn later on ended up being a 75-year minimum.

Q. I am going to ask my colleagues if they have any more questions about the trial, and sentencing, and arrest phase?

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: No.

A. Can I just make a comment?

Q. Sure.

A. I understand why anyone looking at my behavior in that room would assume that I would never change, because I really was a shutdown, mindless zealot that was not allowing myself to be affected by the actual events that I was a part of, not even my own situation. And, I think my behavior in that courtroom almost begged for the sentence that I received at the time because it was disrespectful to the court, it was clearly disrespectful to that community that suffered so grievously, it was disrespectful to my own family and certainly to my child. And the only thing that has changed is that, I was able to change and I'm grateful that that happened. Do I wish it had happened earlier, do I wish I would have allowed myself to think of things earlier? Yes, but I didn't.

Q. Thank you, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Miss Clark, I would like to refer back to your interview with

that occurred, I think it was March 25th, 2011. You said in that interview, in part, that, "Mercy produces mercy; violence shuts down mercy."

A. That's absolutely true. And in that situation, my

1

2

3

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 92
1	violence certainly gave no opportunity for anyone to
2	distinguish my role or think about anything other than
3	protecting themselves from me.
4	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: There was no
5	mercy shown for the victims who were killed.
6	A. Absolutely.
7	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And indeed, no
8	mercy extended to the spouses and the children of
9	the three families.
10	A. Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: There was no
12	mercy to, there was no mercy to
13	who was sprayed with glass while he was
14	sprayed in the Brinks truck.
15	A. Correct.
16	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: We think about
17	mercy today, but there was no mercy back in October
18	21st, 1981. Would you agree?
19	A. I absolutely would agree with that. I could define
20	my stance and my hold to mean it as merciless. And,
21	it's a terrible vision to have to remember, but it is
22	who I was at that time. It's how I responded to being
23	in crisis was to not allow myself to feel anything, and
24	then certainly could not feel any mercy, absolutely.
25	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Okay. Thank

you.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. How did things work out for the others? How many of the participants, that you're aware of, are still living, how many are deceased, how many are still incarcerated? What do you know about your other co-defendants or co-conspirators?

A. Well, the three of us were tried by the state and found guilty and given 75-to-life sentence. Kathy Boudin fought her case and ultimately pled guilty to one count and got 20-to-life and got out. Samuel Brown was convicted in a separate trial and I actually don't know what happened to him. I mean, he was sentenced to the same sentence. I don't know what happened to him. Everyone else was tried in a federal RICO case, and the only people that were convicted of being -- that RICO case had a number of robberies and at least one other murder as part of the conspiracy. And I think the only people who were convicted there was Mutulu Shakur and Marilyn Buck. Mutulu is still in prison, and Marilyn Buck received a sentence, that she got out of federal prison, but subsequently died.

Q. So, do you believe as you speak with us today, that she and Mr. Shakur were actively involved in the events of October 1981?

25

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	A. I think so.
2	Q. And the other person that was sentenced with you was
3	Mr. Gilbert?
4	A. Yes. I'm sorry, yes, Mr. Gilbert and Kuwasi Balagoon
5	were both sentenced with me.
6	Q. Kuwasi Balagoon, does he have a different name?
7	A. Donald Weems.
8	Q. Alright. Now I would like to turn my attention to
9	prison and the things that you did and experienced in
10	all of these years. And as we have said at the
11	beginning, you've now served over 35 years?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Describe your early years.
14	A. When I got to Bedford, I think I was a very split
15	person, so more than one thing was going on for me. On
16	the one hand, I was getting used to figuring out how to
17	maintain a life in here. I spent the first few months,
18	I had to fight my way I actually went to court to get
19	out of solitary confinement. And, once I was in regular
20	population I got a job. I was seeing my daughter and my
21	family quite regularly. My daughter was living with my
22	friends, my parents were getting very actively involved
23	in her life. They were urging me to let them take her,
24	and my friends were urging me to tell my parents to be
25	less involved. So I was once again in a conflict over

my child. And I was being criticized by my political friends for, once again, being individualist for questioning what should happen to my child and giving my parents too much power. So I was desperately trying to keep my stance, and it was starting to fray, I guess is what I would say. And certainly, day-to-day in here, I was able to kind of work, get along with staff. But below the surface, I was still in turmoil. Two years into my sentence --

Q. -- one second. Below the surface you were still in turmoil; is that what you said?

A. Yes. I was writing political tracts, still supporting things, but also very, very worried about my daughter.

Two years into my sentence several things happened. One was that, my parents took me to court for custody of my child which meant taking her away from my friends. And that kind of burst a certain bubble of the reality that I had lost my child and I had abandon my child. I also got a disciplinary ticket for writing letters to fugitives that was found in their safe house, and those letters were the basis of my spending two years in SHU for my conspiracy to escape. And I was beginning to talk to a sociologist who was interested in trying to understand why I did what I did, and was for

the first time in conversations that were not abstract slogans, but were actually reflective. The combination of all those things, including the time in SHU and having to reflect, is the time I began to realize -- I think the first thing I realized was that I had abandon my daughter; I think my first experience of remorse was about that. But once I began to think about that, I had to begin to think about the three men who were killed. That's the period when I went back and started to read some of the original articles and realized the scope of devastation, what had happened to people, and the losses, and the trauma and the ongoing losses. So I began to -- I guess what I began to do is realize I had no way of understanding what I did as an individual, that, I had always thought of myself as we: We have to make a revolution, we have to do this. And, that, I had to find myself as an individual if I was going to take responsibility for what I had done and what I needed to do then. So, I went into a period that was much more self-reflective. I stopped trying to be a public political person. I winnowed down who was visiting me to the people who were -- that I had real friendships with rather than just political alliances with, some of whom I had political alliances with, but they were also friends. I began to see my father on a regular basis.

He came with my daughter every week until she started school, and he and I began talking. And I continued with my conversations with a woman named **second data**, who was the sociologist. And, you know, her questions and our conversation was the first time I was willing to cry, be ashamed, think about what I had done.

97

I think the other thing that was happening in that period was that I was -- in SHU you spend a lot of time with officers, that's who you are in contact with. And I spent a lot of time with officers in talking to them, and realized that they were just like the officers who were killed that day. So that was the beginning of my reflecting and realizing that I had to begin to become a person and responsible for myself, and pull back from my political identity.

Q. Do you remember the year or the specific period of time you were in Special Housing, what years that encompassed?

A. Yes, from 1985 to 1987.

Q. I do have some of the documentation from your early years. This particular documentation is entitled "Program and Security Assessment Summary" of what was then State of New York Department of Correctional Services. In terms of custodial adjustment in a period covering February '85 to August 6, '85, it says, "Her

custodial adjustment for this period is outstanding. She continues to relate well to both staff and peers." And then in terms of program involvement, it says, "Her program involvement is acceptable." Then we have got -go ahead.

98

A. No, you can finish.

Q. Then we've got the same document for a period of August '84 to February 4, '85, which would have been the period before the last. And it says -- for custodial adjustment, "Her adjustment is acceptable." So, you improved from this period to the next. This was the prior period. "Her adjustment is acceptable, with the exception of the above charge," and it was some, I don't know, 15 five days for following staff direction charge. "She relates well to staff and peers." And you weren't not in programs yet, for program involvement assessment. And then the period before that, February '84 -August '84, "Judith's custodial adjustment has improved. She has not received any infractions for the past six months. She relates well to both staff and peers." But it's marked as outstanding for custodial adjustment and outstanding for program involvement. "Judith's program attendance and participation are outstanding. Her program evaluation states that her work is exemplary." So, we are going backwards in time. The one before that

is the last one of this kind that I have -- actually, I've got some for a later period, but let me focus on this one. This is October 6th, '83 to March 12th, '84. "Custody adjustment acceptable. Program involvement acceptable." And then there's one for the period between May '87 and February '89. So, I'm sorry, would that have been during the same period as Special Housing, or just after?

A. No, after.

Q. Just after, okay. Or near after. And your custodial adjustment is noted as acceptable and your program involvement is noted as acceptable. It says that you were a member of NOW, NAACP, and the Parenting -- I think that's what it is, it's very faint. "She attends church regularly." I'm not sure what this other notation is about, something she was at the federal correctional institution at -- I can't read what it Was there some -- did you have an outside court says. appearance or something between '87 and '88, perhaps? A. Oh, actually what happened was, I got out of SHU in the fall of '87. In December '87 I was transferred to federal custody and I was at Tucson FCI for a year. And, when my parents and lawyers questioned what happened, they were told that it was nothing I had done - as I had previously - but they were doing security

work that meant they wanted me out of the facility for the year. And a year later I was brought back to Bedford.

Q. Alright. Now, some of the other documentation in your records from those early years includes a letter that you received -- actually, two letters, that you received early April of 1990 from **community**,

, who was a member of the Senate at the time, and in fact Chairman of Crime and Corrections Senate Committee. And it was sort of an honorable mention letter about two of your pieces of artwork. One was entitled *Self-Portrait*, and then there was another one as well. But he signed off on these letters and sent them to you to let you know your artwork was featured in an art show, *Correction on Canvas, 24 Art Show and Sale*, held in the Legislative Office Building in Albany, New York, letting you know you were awarded honorable mention in mixed media category. "Congratulations on a job well done," he says in both letters.

So, hopefully that gives us a little bit of flavor for what your life was like in those first few years when you were received in State custody. My records reflect October 6 of '83, at least through 1990 or so.

A. Yes. I think I was able to adjust well. I think

that, for me, when I think of that period, what's significant is when I began to reckon with my crime. Before then I was able to cooperate with staff, participate in programs. The real shift that happened was waking up to the fact that I had participated in the murder of three innocent people, and a crime that caused so much loss and so much chaos. And that -- and also waking up to the fact that I had abandon my daughter, and wanting to rebuild a relationship to my parents so we could cooperate with each other with my daughter and for her needs; and also, they had been there telling me the truth and I had to reckon with it. So, in a way, those are the things I think about most about that period.

Q. Okay. Again, what year would you say stands out in your mind? Did you say '92, or are we talking --A. -- no. In terms of beginning to think about the crime, I would say 1985 and '6, when I began to do the interviews with ______.

Q. And how do you spell her name? Do you recall?

Q. Okay. Now, we have in your advocacy materials, your attorney, Mr. Zeidman, shared the *Fortune News* piece from '94 and the *Journal News* piece from 2002. What was *Fortune News*? I didn't bother to try to Goggle and see

Α.

what it was. I'm not really familiar with what it was at the time.

A. Fortune News was a newsletter by an organization called The Fortune Society which does work on behalf of prisoners and helps people in their re-entry work. It put out a newsletter called Fortune News. And they had a issue that was devoted to women in prison and they asked us to write things, and I wrote a poem which they printed. In the editorial, the editor talks about women in prison and made a distinction that said, Political prisoners, you know, unlike others who are ashamed of what brings them to prison, political prisoners are proud of what they did. And I realized that my changes had been -- I had purposely not been involved in any media, or publicity or anything. But that I had to begin to be public about not being a political prisoner, and, my remorse for the crime. That was the first time I wrote a letter that was public and announced.

Q. Okay. And I am familiar with Fortune Society but I didn't make the connection. I wonder, do they still publish *Fortune News*?

A. I haven't seen it in a very long time.

Q. Okay. I highlighted a lot of what you said in the submissions from Mr. Zeidman for our consideration, which is several volumes. It's called the "*Parole*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Advocacy Packet." There's, like, six bound volumes, the first being the lead document with its own exhibits. And then is a breakdown of other exhibits, all the way 1 through 17. So, I have the piece, highlighted quite a bit of it. I would like to quote some of it. Specifically, you say in 1994, you say, "It took me many years to open myself up to genuine feelings of loss and remorse." And then you talk about the starting point, by realizing that your daughter needed something more from you than unspoken paralyzing guilt. You also say in another place, "I'm not at all proud of the actions for which I am in prison. As a woman who has lived in prison for over 12 years, witnessing the toll of violence, and broken lives and families, and as a mother whose child had to grow up without me, I can not feel pride in actions which left three men dead, others injured, three grieving widows and many fatherless children. I feel only enormous regret, sorrow and remorse."

And then you say, at the end, "While I know that there is no way that I can take back the consequences of my past actions or recover the lives that were lost and maimed, I feel responsibility and desire to extend myself to victims and survivors. It is for this reason that I now break with the long public silence and move

beyond what has been an essentially private process of change to express my remorse publically here and elsewhere. Respectfully, Judith Clark."

Was this your first statement of a public nature?

A. Yes. This was in -- in 1994. I probably sent it to them end of 1993. When I say *public*, I mean publicly published. I think everyone who knew me in here and outside, knew that I felt remorseful, that I had really changed my attitudes towards almost everything, and certainly violence. But this was the first time I put it in writing and published it somewhere outside.
Q. You probably wouldn't know what the circulation of what *Fortune News* was, or who in the public domain would be likely to get it? I imagine people had to subscribe, perhaps, or be donors or something?

A. Yes. I think it is small. But it became a practice of mine, if anyone called me a political prisoner, even if I got a letter -- I still get letters that say that, and I always respond I am not a political prisoner. That implies a justification of my crime; I do not justify crime, I do not justify violence. And that was sort of the first time I did it, and I have tried do it pretty consistently since.

Q. Now, the next document I have is this piece in the

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 105
Journal News. Now, this was a publicly circulated
newspaper, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know what region the Journal News is
published in?
A. I sent it to the Journal News because it's read by
people in Rockland. And I realized, while I have been
public for many years, I wanted to directly apologize to
the community that I had harmed. And I did it in the
wake of the killing of second second and the World
Trade Center bombing.
Q. Alright. Now, the published date of this, if the
caption is correct it's not in the type of the
article, but it's typed on top: March 31st of 2002.
A. Correct.
Q. There's a piece of this I want to ask you about.
Pardon me while I just look for it, because it was the
piece where okay, I think this is it. "I dread
having to claim kindredness with those who perpetrated
the carnage of September 11, 2011, but my shame and
remorse do not diminish my responsibility to examine the
long knotted thread that connects my actions with the
recent attacks."
Now, what did you mean by the connection
statement?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I meant that, I had to realize that, while the scope of what I did was certainly not the scope of what happened in the World Trade Center bombing, that my zealotry and that group dynamic of only being internally loyal to ourselves and not thinking about other people as human being on their own terms, that that having been propelled by that state of mind to do with an action that essentially terrorized people, I had to try to understand the motivation, my motivation, and realize it was no better than their's; that that way of operating was devastating. And I owed it to society to acknowledge what it was, you know, that what that state of mind where you only think about your cause and people are not important unless they are part of your cause, can lead to actions that create enormous loss. So that's what I meant by the *kindredness*. Not that I felt connected to their cause, but that the same madness that I think drove them, happened to me. And I to be responsible for that.

Q. Have you, in all these years, had an opportunity to counsel - for lack of a better word - anyone else who came in your presence, either by wanting to be pen pals with you, or visitors, or even other women who came to spend time at Bedford, who might have at one time conceived of themselves or been thought of, quote,

unquote, "political prisoners"? Have you had an opportunity to counsel someone who may have felt like you felt at the time of your participation? A. I do that probably almost every day of my life in my work in here. Because once I took off that armor of political prisoner -- I was someone who was motivated by the need to belong, by the need to show that I was tough, to participate in violence. And I work with women in here whose circumstances are very different, but who also often times have been caught up in violence in that kind of way of not -- of trying to compensate for their sense of weakness by pardoning themselves and propelling themselves into violence. And I work with the woman in the nursery and I talk to them, and it goes on in terms of their day-to-day arguments with each other. So sometimes it's just about you have to remember the person that you just were having that argument with feels as strongly about what they think as you do. I think when you take away the politics of it, one of the things I've learned and one of the things I feel so strongly is that everyone has as much of a sense of themselves and that they are right as I do, in any kind of argument. I think I also do try to -- I get lots of letters. And I people ask me all the time, Tell me about the Black Panthers; Oh, you were really down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I always try to say, Actually, my crime was a terrible crime, be grateful you are here for far less than the loss that I caused; and there was nothing courageous about it; and there were people involved in a movement and all we did was hurt those people as much as any other action could have hurt them. So, I think goes on kind of as part of my life in here. I have had many conversations with officers about it as well, you know, who I feel like I have to be responsible to them about what I came to feel. And I also get letters from young people who call themselves anarchists. I am a terrible correspondent, but I try to write back and say, You can be passionate about what you feel, but please remember everyone has a right to life, and to their point of view, and liberty, and don't trample on other people for what they believe.

You know, it's changed the course of who I am close to and my own identity, which I am grateful for. Q. I have been presented, as part of the many documents that we have received in preparation of this interview, a book called *Spirit on the Inside*, *Reflections on Doing Time* with Judith Clark, a photo essay by Sarah Bennett. And I just want to share one of the brief comments with a photograph. They all have comments from ladies who have served time with you. This one is by a woman named

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Donna, who served almost 27 years and was released in 2012. She said, "I started out in SHU, solitary confinement, and Judy was in the cell next door. From that day on she was my mentor, my mother, my big sister. Judy tells you the truth about yourself that you don't want to know or see. At first, she pissed me off for doing that, but I am forever grateful to Judy for being one of the catalyst who allowed me to work on 'me' and who instigated my changing into the person I am today. I love her for her humanity, she truly cares." A. Thank you. I think that that work is the only way I can live with myself in the face of the crime, you know. It's the only way to take what I did and redeem myself. And it has allowed me to live a life in here that I can have pride in, in the face of feeling really, really

terrible about both my participation in the murders and in the crime, and also in my stance in court.

Q. What do you anticipate will be your opportunities to continue to do that if you were granted release to community supervision?

A. Well, one thing is, both of two organizations I work the closest with which is Hour Children which runs the nursery program I work in, and Puppies Behind Bars, were looking forward to my continuing to work with them outside. A lot of women I work with in here in the

nursery go on to live at Hour Children. And I work with them and I hope to continue to be that person that says things that maybe they don't want to hear, and maybe I don't want to hear, but is necessary. And I absolutely want to continue to help PBB raise their dogs. So those are two of the things. But I also hope to do something new. I mean, I have a job offer that I'm excited by, working with an organization called Brightpoint Health, and they provide primary care to underserved communities. And it allows me to broaden also the places where I can have an impact, hopefully. Q. We will talk about more about that. I've got a whole other section to deal with your future plans and prospects.

I think you did speak to what led to your change of heart. Did you appeal your conviction? A. I did, quite awhile afterwards. I think when I first started to have both feel remorse about my crime, I also felt remorse for having thrown away any opportunity of getting a lesser sentence. I was doing time with someone who was facing a lesser sentence, whose child was the same the age of mine. And I had to face the fact that ______ -- that I threw away the chance of being with her ever. But I also felt so guilty and ashamed of the crime, I couldn't figure out how I could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenge the case if I was feeling, you know, if what I did was wrong. And it took me a long time to sort that out. Certainly my parents urged me to try to go back to court. And eventually I was in touch with a lawyer who said, Listen, you were a lunatic, but the court erred in not having someone - above your objections - to defend you. He said, I want to take your case back to court. And, so, he took my case back to court and I actually won my federal habe, and then that was reversed by the next higher level. But in a way, I think of that as also part of my reparative efforts, because I was coming back into court with respect for the court. You know, I've been in court around a number of things, with respect to the court.

Q. There are some who might consider your delayed appellate efforts as a further sign of disregard or lack of remorse. Is that an accurate way for anyone to interpret your appeal efforts?

A. What I was trying to do -- what I tried do in my appeal was the affidavit that you've been reading from, and which was to be very clear that I was -- I responsible for the crime and I was responsible for not participating, and that that was the basis upon which I was going back into court, that, I did believe the court erred in not appointing me standby counsel. And I also

felt that, at that point, a court would be looking at a very different person than they were looking at at the time. So, to me, it was not lack of remorse; it came out of a time of great remorse and trying to be honest and say, I hope in being able to be clear about my remorse for the crime, I can -- I can address the stance that I took of disrespect at the time of my trial. So no, I don't think it came out of lack of remorse. I think it came out of believing you should participate in the system, and believing I owed it to my daughter to see if I could repair the damage I caused legally to myself.

Q. Did your appeals end when the federal decision was overturned?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. How did your pursuit for clemency begin?

A. When I won my habe, you know, there was a year when my conviction was overturned and we were waiting, you know, to see what the next court did. And I think it was a time when I could begin to imagine that, having redeemed myself, I could ask the system to recognize that and redeem me in some way. So I think that it allowed me to imagine that there was -- that I could say that the judge had every right to believe that I would never change at the time. But, it turned out that I

did. And, if part of his sentence was based on saying I was who I was and there's no reason to think that I was ever going to change, that, I wanted it to be known that I had changed and was asking to have a second look 25 years later, or by then 30 years later. So, I decided to go for clemency. And at first it seemed like a fool's errant, to be honest. But I felt like for me, it's been part of a journey, I guess, to change what I could change and acknowledge what I could.

Q. How many separate applications did you make for clemency?

A. I put in the first application when Governor Patterson was the governor, in his last year, working with a lawyer, Sara Bennett. And he considered it to the end of his term and then decided against it. So, either the first or second year of Governor Cuomo's office, I resubmitted an application. And, the way his office worked, once the application was in, you -- he may not have given it to anyone, but he didn't deny it. So that's been the same application, and all I've done since is sort of build, is add to it in terms of letters and other material.

Q. Now, you mentioned Sara Bennett. She was the same author of the book that I read from, *Spirit on the Inside*?

25

[JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 114
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And it says on the back, "Sara Bennett is the pro
3	bono attorney for Judith Clark."
4	A. Right.
5	Q. Now, she represented you through the first clemency
6	application. Did she cease to represent or be part of
7	the team representing you for the second application
8	that you continued to build on?
9	A. No, she remained my attorney until about a
10	year-and-a-half ago. And she had stopped being a lawyer
11	herself and this was the one case she was a single
12	practitioner and she needed to continue she has
13	continued to advocate for me, but she really wanted
14	someone else to take over. So, she was very happy when
15	Professor Zeidman took on the case.
16	Q. And clearly, from the volumes that we have, he's put
17	a lot of work into your application, and now your Parole
18	Advocacy Packet, some of which is the same material, but
19	some is new and updated.
20	And, so, how would you describe your direct
21	involvement in your clemency work, the clemency effort?
22	A. The primary thing it did for me is that I met an
23	enormous number of people, people who were supportive of
24	me, would talk to other people. And, it often times
25	takes a direct contact. You know, otherwise, I am like

one more case. And, so, a lot of people who I did not know came to visit me, and I got to speak about my crime and my remorse, and answer questions for the next five years. And I think that was good for me. Aside from ultimately getting clemency, I think it allowed me to live the process of taking responsibility for my crime, because that's the only basis that I could ask for clemency. I did some, you know, writing to people and things like that, but I spoke to a lot of people in person, answered questions, encouraged people to work. Q. In fact, one of your supporters, or person who would become one of your supporters, was one of the witnesses in your trial who was a woman whose car was commandeered during the flight --

A. -- yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.from the second scene, the second crime scene shall I say?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. And her information is documented within your advocacy binder.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: I am going to pause for a moment and ask my colleagues if they have any further questions about this period of time, your prison years, leading up to today, appeals and clemency?

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 116
1	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Nothing further
2	at this time, on this portion.
3	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: No further
4	questions, thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER STANFORD: We are going
6	to pause for just a moment. Just stay where you
7	are. We will just pause for a moment.
8	(A five-minute recess was held, after
9	which the following took place:)
10	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
11	Q. We are back on the record.
12	I had a brief conference with my colleagues. We
13	still have quite a ways to go. And, in the interest of
14	fairness and making sure that we all get back to our
15	destinations safely, and for the benefit of the record
16	which is considerable, we are going to stop now and pick
17	it up in the morning. Okay?
18	A. Okay.
19	Q. Is that alright with you, ma'am?
20	A. Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER STANFORD: We are going
22	to reconvene at 8:30 tomorrow.
23	(Offender was excused.)
24	(The parole hearing was adjourned and
25	reconvened Thursday, April 6, 2017 at 8:42 a.m.)

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 117
BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. We are going to try to pick up where we left off, and
I want to move into more recent times.
A. Can I say one thing about the last thing that you
said, the last point you made about
COMMISSIONER STANFORD: One moment,
please.
OFFENDER: Yes.
(Whereupon, the videocamera was
re-adjusted, and the following took place:)
BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
Q. I want to discuss more recent times, and certainly
the volume of input that's come in on this case over the
years. It seems to me that, it began in earnest from a
variety of sources, in support and opposition, with the
clemency activity. Does that sound reasonable to you as
well; would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And so, we have of course your clemency records from
down through the years. In fact, we have numerous boxes
of clemency records, we have all the clemency records in
our possession presently. So, we have the application -
the petition, and the supporting documents from the

original petition to Governor Patterson. And then we have the follow-up petition and application that was -would the 2009 have been the first, or the 2010 have been the first?

A. I can't remember dates. 2009 probably was the first.
I don't remember when Governor Cuomo came into office.
It was the year before he came into office that I put in my first application, when Governor Patterson was....
Q. Okay. Because, we have records from 2009, 2010 and then 2012.

A. Correct.

Q. So I'm thinking 2012 was when the petition was directed to our now present governor.

A. Correct.

Q. And, of course those petitions include reams of documents to form the basis for the petition, and other media as well, and a lot of it is bound and some of it's the form, as I say, media, DVDs. There's even a PBS DVD as part of the 2012 materials. So, we have all the boxes of materials that have been submitted over the years, beginning in 2009, and, in fact, successive years, at least beginning in 2013, because we've got 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, to the present. And then, of course, the 2017 parole advocacy materials.

So I am going to try to go through a lot of that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and, as you may or may not know, the factors that the law authorizes us to consider speak primarily to official position taken, and of course we do have that information as well and we will talk about that. And then, as well as any victim impact. And we briefly discussed have some victim impact known at the time of sentencing, through the presentence investigation when we were speaking yesterday.

I want to just take a step back for a moment and try to be clear in our record, what the status is of others who were involved with you at the time. Now, I'm looking at our Parole Board report that was submitted for this interview and prepared by the Bedford Hills staff. It lists known co-defendants, and it tells us that David Gilbert is still incarcerated, or at least was still incarcerated at the time of the preparation of this report which was signed off on the end of March. Donald Weems, our records reflect, is now deceased.

A. Correct.

Q. Katherine Boudin was paroled in 2003, according to this record. Samuel Brown is still incarcerated, as is Mr. Gilbert, in New York State Correctional Facility. Nathanel Burns, also known as Odinga Sekou, O-d-i-n-g-a, or Sekou Odinga, our records reflect was paroled in 2014. And then, ______ - who we really haven't

spoken about – also known as , our records reflect, is also deceased. What can you tell us about him? His name didn't come up at all yesterday, and I thought I read at some point, charges were dismissed against him. Do you know where he was in the events, what vehicle? What can you tell us? A. I think he was originally charged in the state's case, and then those charges were dismissed and he ended up being tried and convicted on completely separate charges, you know, that were not related to this crime. So I think he was in prison at the time when he died, but not for this crime, it was for another crime. Was he prosecuted in state court? Q. A. I think he was prosecuted in state court, but for an older case, is my memory. So, in the very beginning when we were indicted, he was on the first indictment; and then over the first year there was a change in that indictment and he was no longer on the state indictment. Was he a witness at your trial? Q. No, no. I think once they took him off the case, he Α. no longer had anything to do with the case. Q. And, based on what you told us yesterday, as I said his name did not come up in our conversation yesterday.... you weren't able to provide any real

JUDITH	CLARK	DIN#83G0313	NYSID#

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

details who might have been where in other vehicles or on other scenes. Is it similar with him? In other words, are you unable to say, of your own knowledge, whether or not he was even there?

A. Right. I think they decided he wasn't there. I think he was associated with the Black Liberation Army in their minds, and when he was arrested - because it was right after our crime, they assumed it. And then when they did their investigation, they charged him with other crimes, not with this crime.

Q. And are you able to say, as far as you know, he was not there?

A. I don't think he was there, but I'm only saying that from what I know afterwards. Yes, I don't think he was there.

Q. Alright. Is there anyone else, that you know of, again, of your own knowledge, who was there whose name has not been mentioned?

A. No, no, I think I mentioned every name that I knew was there.

Q. Have you had any contact, perhaps through third parties, visitors, people you speak to on the phone, people who write you letters, any contact with any of the known co-defendants?

A. I've had a little contact with Kathy Boudin. We did

22 years together here. When she got out, she was on parole so we weren't in touch at all. But she's been off parole for a long time. When she got out she was doing work that meant she did not want to be in touch with anyone in prison, but a few years ago she got cancer, and at that time I reached out to her just with cards. So, we are on that level of contact. That's the only person I have been in contact with.

Q. Now, I suppose you know she's teaching and she's at Columbia, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you hope to do any work with her in the community, should you be released?

A. I think that -- I don't think I will exactly follow her path, I guess. Our paths will cross and we care about each other, our children grew up together, but I don't see myself joining her in the work she does at Columbia. I think that's what I would say. But I am sure we will see each other, if that's possible, at times. All of that has to be seen in terms of my parole stipulations.

Q. Okay. Now I want to address some of the materials in your advocacy packet which, as I said earlier, a lot of it tracks and repeats some of the documentation and positions stated in your clemency documents.

25

NYSID#

A. Right.

I want to make sure I reference the most recent Q. materials contained within your advocacy packet that was refreshed by professor and attorney Zeidman this year. I will start with his letter, and -- he's written it on the City of University New York CUNY School of Law letterhead, and addresses the title as "Parole Advocacy Letter Submitted on Behalf of Judith Clark," and he says, in part, before he lays out the procedural posture, reasonable probability release in terms of deprecating the seriousness, he says in the introduction, in part, quote, "As is detailed in the numerous letters submitted on Ms. Clark's behalf, upon meeting her it becomes apparent that her acceptance of responsibility, her contrition and her desire to repair are genuine."

And he really frames this in terms of a legal argument in some ways, in addition to character references, looking at the standard that governs us. And, when he speaks about the reasonable probability, it's specific to the standard that requires a finding of reasonable probability that, if such inmate is released, they will live and remain at liberty without violating the law, and that release is not incompatible with the welfare of society. And then, attempting to make a case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that your release would not be incompatible with the welfare of society. And then he addresses the will not so deprecate the seriousness of, and he puts in parentheses, bracket [her crime] as to undermine respect for the law; and, that standard calls upon us to consider in whose mind are we considering that this idea of deprecating the seriousness of the crime as to undermine respect for the law; whose respect for the law would be undermined by a release decision?

He does say - and this is noteworthy - and that's how he starts the sentence. "It is noteworthy that Ms. Clark was convicted of felony murder. She was not alleged to have had the intent to take any lives. Many of the others involved in the crime, whether alleged to have actually fired weapons or to have played secondary roles, received substantially shorter sentences and have been out of prison for many years." He follows with, "Most germane is the sentence meted out to Ms. Clark's co-defendant, Kathy Boudin. The prosecution alleged that Ms. Boudin caused the police officers on the scene to put down their weapons moments before the perpetrators jumped out of a U-Haul van, and began firing and ultimately killing two police officers. The trial judge, Honorable David Ritter concluded that," and this is in the sentencing minutes, Ms. Boudin's

minutes, "....in my judgment, there is evidence of honest contrition, and remorse and abhorrence of violence as a technique to further goals, however noble those goals may be. Judge Ritter stated, 'I settled upon a period of time that I honestly believe was right, that represented a just result. I did that fully recognizing the likelihood that the parole authorities would release Ms. Boudin at the expiration of that period.' "In Judge Ritter's view," which is Mr. Zeidman's words, "Ms. Boudin's 'contrition and remorse' was such that 20 years in prison was a just result." This is, of course, information taken from the sentencing minutes of Ms. Boudin. "In contrast," Mr. Zeidman says, "....an unrepentant and combative Judith Clark led Judge Ritter to conclude that...." and I had this is some of our record yesterday, "I harbor no illusions about any of the defendants," and later, "There is no reason to believe that any of the defendants will change, despite the understandable hopes of their parents and families." And then again, Mr. Zeidman says, "As a result, he sentenced Ms. Clark to 25-to-life, the maximum permissible sentence." He goes on to say, "Judy Clark in 2017 bears little resemblance to Judy Clark of 1981. She long ago denounced the idea that she was any kind of 'political prisoner,' and

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 126 acknowledged her role, accepted responsibility and expressed profound contrition for the deaths of 1000 , 1000 and 1000 and 1000 and 1000 and 1000 and 1000 and situations, release to parole can certainly deprecate the seriousness of the offender's crime. That is surely the case if the individual shows no awareness of the harm caused and lacks any meaningful remorse."

He highlights your *Fortune News* letter submission, and also the public apology in the Journal News piece.

He's leading into a sort of highlight in his letter of the support you garnered down through the years, and he begins with a statement, "Perhaps no one is better situated to comment on Ms. Clark's remorse than **management**, the former superintendent at Bedford Hills Correctional Facility." And he pulls from **management**

submission, which is also one of the exhibits in your packet, where writes, "She has come to terms with the harm she did. She has done everything possible to atone for that crime. She takes full responsibility for her role in the crime, understands how terrible it was, the agony and suffering it caused. No one needs to fear Judy Clark. Instead, she has much to offer society and I believe she will do this with humility and wisdom, never forgetting the nature of her

crime and the damage she caused, always acting in a way that will compensate, help, build and sustain wherever and whenever she can."

Do you know approximately how many years you were serving while was superintendent? A. Twenty years. She became superintendent in 1984 and I think she retired in 2004. And she was a very hands-on superintendent, so she really knew us. And it was not immediate that she felt that about me. She had to deal with my security issues in the beginning; she took me out of programs at times when she felt I had gone beyond my boundaries. And I think she was witness to a change that wasn't just what I said, but a kind of deeper wisdom, I guess, about the fact that the world does not revolve around me and that my view is one of many. And ultimately, I think we -- I was able to work with her and her staff in a cooperative way to build programs. And she experienced my respect for the authority of the, you know, big institution in the larger sense. And I think she's a very insightful longtime Corrections person who I think saw that change. And I have always been grateful to her for giving me and others an opportunity to do cooperative work in here, and for her support and feedback when I needed it. Q. Now, before we go further afield and a way from the

activities that you were involved in that we really didn't spend much time yesterday discussing, let's go through some of the significant programs that you participated in because they were recommended, and then transition to some that you may have helped to found within Bedford, to the present.

A. Okay.

Q. So, where would you like to start? Do you want to go to mandated programs first?

A. Sure. We can start with that.

The programs have changed over the years I have been here, so from the early years on through, there have been a number of programs that addressed violent crime in particular, some of which still exist and some don't. I think the earliest program that no longer exists was called Down on Violence, which I participated in, and Alternative to Violence which still exists which I found was very meaningful and it's run by Quakers. It talks about peaceful, transformative change, which was really important for me to think about. And then moved into the more recent time when ART was established as a program for those of us here on violent crimes. So, I took all of those mandated programs.

I also started out being a member of the early Parenting classes. As a mother of a young child, I

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	utilized the services and the wisdom of The Parenting
2	Center, which for those 20 years, was headed by
3	
4	Q. Can you spell the Sister's last name?
5	A
6	Q. Thank you.
7	A. A. was here before I was here, and she was
8	the director of The Children's Center until 2000-
9	something, and then she became the chaplain of the RMU
10	when it opened here - the Regional Medical Unit.
11	, I think, was one of the most important mentors I
12	ever had in my life. She helped me realize that my goal
13	needed to be reconciliation with those I harmed in my
14	family, with those I harmed in the Rockland community.
15	And she built a relationship to me and my daughter, and
16	my parents, and friends who were helping to raise my
17	child, and urged me to participate in all those
18	programs. While they are not official DOCCS' programs,
19	to take on being responsible for my child was the
20	framework that made me I realize, as my child got older,
21	I had to be able to explain to her why I left her when
22	she was 11 months old, why I went off to commit a crime
23	that led to such death and destruction, why I threw away
24	chances of getting out sooner. Those programs helped me
25	come to terms with being responsible for my family and

also responsible for my crime.

I had left college my second year, as I talked about. So, I went back to college when I first got Mercy College was available here as -- at that here. point it was still a publicly funded college program. And I discovered that I did not know everything. College was the place where I realized if you think you know everything, then, you can't learn anything. You have to open your mind, and I think college really was a place that that happened. It was also a place where I once took a philosophy class in the early '80s, yet I remember it. I had a professor who wanted us to think about do we have free will. He said, We do have free will; it doesn't mean we chose our circumstances, it means we chose our attitudes towards our circumstances. And that became kind of my motto, that, I did choose my circumstances, I committed a crime that brought me here. And now I had to choose what my attitude towards what my life in here was going to be. It was both an intellectual process but also, I think, a personal process for me, and that was very, very important.

And, during all that time I also worked. I worked in the library for a number of years, I then worked in the print shop for a number of years. I actually loved working in the print shop and got a lot

of skills, and also just liked doing something different with my life. And then I started working in The Parenting Center.

When I finished my Bachelor's degree, I went on to get a Master's degree in psychology, and that was independent study. Actually, the job I got before The Parenting Center was one of the programs we built which is -- when I got out of SHU, it was kind of the beginning of the AIDS epidemic, and it created a real crisis in here of fear, and stigma and also unmet needs. And we met with the superintendent and asked her to -if we could help address the problem. And ultimately, our efforts led to the building of a program called ACE - AIDS Counseling and Education. And I worked with ACE for a number of years through the beginning of the And in that work I did a lot of educational 1990's. work with people and also did -- I did care work with people who were dying. We were allowed to spend time with the women in what was then the inpatient care unit. I think that it was a time when I had to think about life and death, and was another way I had to think about the death of and

death, I have to look back at the deaths that I feel responsible for. And being with a close friend when she

died in here was an honor in a certain way, but also was a way to think about what's at stake when we are reckless.

And then by about 1992, I think by then the superintendent felt more comfortable with my being responsible and transparent and allowed me to work with

in The Parenting Center and my work started out -- for 10 years I thought a prenatal class for all the women that come in here pregnant.

When I had done my Master's degree, my thesis was looking at the impact on the prison environment on long-term mothers and their children, something that was close to my heart. And in that work I reflected on how could I have loved my baby as much as I did and yet left her to commit this crime. And I identified, sort of at a psychological level, the way which often times we escape the conflict we recognize of by splitting and not reckoning with that conflict, not acknowledging the conflict and acknowledging our responsibility to make choices. And in the work I did with the pregnant women and ultimately......

Q. We lost the connection for a moment. The last thing you said was "....with pregnant women."

A.with the pregnant women, and ultimately the nursing mothers who I came to work with. They're living

that first year that I had with my daughter, and they also are facing conflicts: They love their children and they are facing addiction, or they are facing other issues. And I tried to bring my own experience and the academic work I did to working with them to bring together those split parts and look at themselves and -most of those women are short-termers and we have a short time with them to help them realize the most important thing they can do is not get out of prison, but to do the work they need to in here so they leave in a safer way, and stay with their children and crime free. And I've have continued to work in the nursery for a lot of years since then.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. There's two other things I want to talk about. During in, I don't know, 2001 or '2, -- I have gone to Jewish services the whole time I have been here. I don't come from a religious family but I needed to, as part of my process of becoming an individual and knowing who I was, I had to -- it was important for me to grapple with my identity as a Jew. And ultimately, that led to a much more spiritual practice than I thought I would ever have. That's been very important to me. In that process I participated in a training that the Christian chaplain did in here called Clinical Pastoral

Education, which is basically the training chaplains do. For me, that was incredibly important for me because I think my efforts to change were in part a cognitive understanding of what I did but -- and certainly involved a psychological understanding. But for me, I felt like what I needed to understand and live out was the kind of practice you do in that kind of work where you meet someone fully, embracing they have their own truth and their own reality. And it gave me words to sort of experience what I was experiencing, and it gave me a way to sort of move through this prison.

Before I got here today, I was talking to a woman who has done 11 years and has a long sentence. And she said to me, You know, you have an aura about you that always makes me feel peaceful.

And I said to her that, in the face of what the damage most of us have done to ourselves and others, the one thing we can give each other is exactly that, a sense of respect and good will.

And that's true for my peers and for the staff here. And so, that work was important.

The final thing I will just mention was the DVD you talked about, is from a writing group that a number of us did with a woman named **constant**, where we used our writing to explore many things. But a lot of what

we explored was our feelings about our crimes and coming to contrition and to express contrition. And in that same period was the period we helped to build a college program we have now that's -- that is a college program available to all women here that's run by Marymount Manhattan, but started out as an effort to bring a consortium of colleges. We worked with administration, and the staff here and many volunteers to bring academic resources back to Bedford when public funding was eliminated. And I continue to be a mentor in that program.

135

Q. The PBS DVD - and it's not the only DVD, but one of several that were in the clemency materials - was entitled *What I Want You to Do?* Is that it, or is that something else?

A. What I Want My Words to Do to You.

Q. Okay. So this isn't titled right on this content summary I have here. What I want my words to do to you? A. Yes.

Q. I have in your primary folder from the facility, a statement of remorse authored by you. It doesn't have a date on it, and that's also in Mr. Zeidman's advocacy packet. What the date on this statement that you wrote, or what it the approximate date? When did you write it? A. I wrote it when I did my first -- when I did my first

risk assessment; is that correct? I was asked to -- my counselor asked for a statement of contrition. So I would say it was in December, I think it was in December of this year.

Q. Okay. December of 2016, you think?

A. Yes. Wait, December of last year, yes.

Q. Okay. So we have that. And as I said, it's contained within your advocacy documents and it's also contained within your primary folder.

I have copy of several certificates including your diplomas. There's The PASS Award from 2003 from The National Council on Crime and Delinquency, to the writing group of Bedford Hills Correctional Facility. Then there's a certificate, Workshops For Training in Nonviolence, Alternative to Violence Project Incorporated, and this is the Quakers' program you spoke about.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. This was certifying that you've satisfactorily completed the second level course in nonviolent conflict resolution in 1994.

Volunteer Services Award for participation in the -- I think this might have been Down on Violence, DOV program?

A. Yes.

Q. 1994. Your successful completion of 100 hours of Aggression Replacement Training in '07. A certificate of achievement based on successful completion of 1995 through '96 Clemente Course in the Humanities, May 21, 1996.

Then your pastoral education successful completion certificate, August 3rd, 2005. Then there's one again for a different unit in clinical pastoral education, April 30th, 2006. Many other certificates in pastoral work.

And then we have a copy of your associate clinical chaplain certification from The College of Pastoral Supervision and Psychotherapy, April 12th, 2010.

I am not going to read all of the acknowledgments, certificates and awards. I am going to try to focus on certain ones.

Professional development credit from the Bedford Hills Correctional Facility, The Children's Center, October 6, 2011. You got dean's lists acknowledgments in here. Norwich University Vermont College, conferring you the degree of Master of Arts in '93, May '93. Parenting Education certificate of participation from '92 and one from '91 in Parent Education. Doula Training Course. What's a Doula, d-o-u-l-a?

A. A doula is someone who is there for a new mother. 0n the outside they would be there also during the birth. But here we use that training to -- we were trained to both be able to help the mother practically speaking, but also kind of as a emotional support in the first weeks afterwards, and it allowed us to, -- myself and a number of the caregivers did that training. It was a great training. One of the things I do with the new mothers, I always talk to them about their birth experience, because it's difficult to do it away from home, and they need to process it in order to move on and do what they have to for their babies and themselves. So, that training was done by a midwife from outside. She was really great. It was really a great training.

Q. That was awarded to you October 4th, 2002.

Then there's one I would imagine would be particularly significant for mothers in your situation. This was a certificate of acknowledgment from the Caregiver Staff Development Program of The Children's Center, certifying your contribution as a teacher in the area of infant development, and separation and connection. I want to say this looks like it could be '89, but it could be '99, too. I can't make out the numbers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

A. '99.

Q. And that's signed by **Example 1**, among others. Many certificates from the nursery program. HIV Test Counselor Training Program in 2000.

Then, we spoke about Puppies Behind Bars. We won't spend a lot of time talking about them. But you got a certificate for many of the dogs. How many, how many dogs did you train, and are you still training? A. I am still training. I have a dog at present, named Legend, who we hope will graduate soon. I raised and trained 11 dogs over 15, 16 years. And some of those dogs became -- in the early years we trained guide dogs, and a number of them became explosive detection dogs and went to law enforcement. I remember the first time one of my dogs became a ADC, I think the superintendent was there at the time, and I said like I felt it was karmic justice. And I do feel it's been one of the ways I've acknowledged trying to change my relationship to law enforcement and protection of society.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: Commissioner Ludlow has a question on that point.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Miss Clark, we touched on puppies behind bars yesterday and I commended you on your work on that. That is a wonderful program. I think you made a very positive

contribution, especially with that. And, I have noticed an article from the New York Times. I was going to ask you this before the Chair commenced the last question, but I will pick up on it.

Article from the New York Times January 3rd, 2017, authored by **Sector**. I am going to quote a paragraph from that. "Seated at a small table with Governor Cuomo and Miss Clark were the prison superintendent and Mr. Cuomo's chief counsel. A black Labrador, Legend, being trained by Miss Clark as a service dog for returning veterans lay at her feet."

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And, I find that especially attractive. You testified that you have fostered 11 dogs, a majority of which have been Labrador Retrievers?

A. Yes, one Golden, the rest have all been Labradors. COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I have had Labradors over the years. The loyalty of those animals is unsurpassed; the intelligence level is amazing; the bond they create with humans is wonderful, wonderful. And I think the dogs that you have trained that are helping veterans -- I believe with PTSD?

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 141
A. Yes.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW:afflictions
is highly commendable. The work those dogs do with
law enforcement, searching out drugs, explosive
materials, also extremely commendable.
Just a question. Well, another
observation about those dogs that I think comes into
play is the memory factor that an animal has,
especially those dogs.
A. Uh-huh.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Their memory is
not to be underestimated in any respect. I said a
moment ago about the bond generated. Anybody who
has had the pleasure, the privilege of having an
animal of that quality, you know the bond is
unbelievable.
When Legend was with you and Governor
Cuomo, I am going to guess something. Tell me if I
am correct. Legend lied on the floor and he was
touching your feet throughout the meeting.
A. You are so correct, you are so correct, and that is
what he does. That tactile grounding, it really helps
me and I know it's going to help the veteran.
I think the other part of that work that has
been incredibly profound for me is that, in the last two

years we have been able to do a series of team training, which is when the veterans come in here as a group and our class-ready dogs are here, and we work as a team. Those of us that are in Puppies Behind Bars work with our trainers over two weeks to train the veterans about how to utilize the dogs and all of the commands, but also to give them a sense to the dogs as living beings. I was lucky enough that the first training, I had a dog that was Honor, who was there, and was class ready, and I got to see her shift her connection from me to her veteran. And then he was kind enough to come back several times over the next year and talk about how it changed his life. And I think that those trainings are with people who have sacrificed so much and who bear both visible and invisible wounds, have experienced a lot of trauma. And so for me, I see them, and again, always in the back of my mind is all the people who were killed and traumatized in my crime. That's what's in my head. But to be able to sort of say in this moment, Here is someone whose life gets changed. And they talk about the bond that the dog did, and what changes them is the bond that they have with that dog. And, it's great.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: The fact Legend was lying on the floor, touching your feet, was not

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	coincidental or accidental.
2	A. Exactly.
3	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Anyone who has
4	had those dogs knows it's a trademark of the bond
5	generated.
6	A. Yes, it's really, really true. To me it's been such
7	a privilege to be able to live with dogs.
8	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: As I read this
9	paragraph, I immediately thought of my own
10	experience and I wanted to ask you if that was what
11	you experienced. And I suspected that I was going
12	to be correct. Thank you very much.
13	A. Yes, yes. I sort of wish I had him with me now, but
14	I didn't think it was appropriate.
15	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: And those dogs
16	understand English, too, don't they, very well?
17	A. They do, you are so right. Thank you so much.
18	BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:
19	Q. I had the privilege of attending a graduation
20	ceremony, at your facility, in fact.
21	A. Yup, I remember.
22	Q. It must have been one of the last graduating classes
23	of dogs. And to hear the stories of servicemen in that
24	group who were receiving those beautiful animals was
25	very moving. And it occurred to me, the work that you

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#	144
and athens in Connections and dains is part of a thru	aad
and others in Corrections are doing is part of a three	Jau
that really connects people to healing.	
A. Yes.	
Q. Connects those of you who are participating to	
healing, to those who are hopefully receiving the	
healing in receiving these beautiful animals, and we	
hope spreads the healing beyond. Because, people who	C
are healed don't hurt other people.	
A. Correct.	
Q. And certainly, I've come to notice over the years	i
that hurt people hurt people. So, if you have fewer	
hurt people, then you will have fewer people who are	in
turn hurt. And that may also be a way that you can	
honor the memories of the people who have been so	
profoundly hurt.	
A. Absolutely.	
Q. Because, you know, some of these babies that are	born
to the mothers incarcerated, through your efforts	
again and this is separate from the dogs and maybe	е

again -- and this is separate from the dogs and maybe more significant because we are talking about human beings now who have power and choices to do great damage if they are hurting themselves. And certainly, a child whose mother is in incarcerated can take a very bad turn in life, there's a lot of hurt there. So, if you're able to reconnect mothers to these children, then maybe

we are not seeing at least not just one individual, but a generation worth of individuals who then, in turn, won't have the empathy required to not hurt other people, to not commit the kind of violent crime that you are serving time for. I think there's real power in that, real hope in that. So for me, that's a great part of the significance of the work you are doing with mothers and their children.

And I just wanted to highlight a couple of the Spirit on the Inside photo essay collection. This was presented by an . It's a photo of her and her son who was two in the photos. She only served one year. She says, "When I gave birth to _____ in prison I still had the urge to get high, but Judy told me that nobody in this world will love your child like you do, and no one will do as good a job of raising him as you will and, that, I better take a good hard look at what I was doing and who I wanted to be. She said, 'You are going home one of these days; a lot of us aren't, but you are.' And thanks to a lot of all of advice and care I got from Judy, I'm not getting high. I'm in college, I'm off parole, and I have and I love him so much."

Then there was an interesting one because this one was a mother and daughter who had both been

incarcerated at the same time at one point. So who was 65, at lease at the point of this writing, had served almost 25 years. And ____, who was 45, with 's grandson and was 11 months in the photograph, who served almost 10 years. says, "Judy helped me grow personally and educationally. We were both inmate advocates for the pregnant women and I learned so much by working side by side with her. She also tutored me when I was in college. She was my friend as well as my mentor. When my daughter got convicted and came to Bedford, it was really difficult. mediated disputes between us and she was very supportive of us and of three children. She helped all of us cope with prison life and helped us move in a more positive direction. Today my daughter, three grand-kids and my great-grandson have a close, loving relationship. I give thanks to Judy for this."

And then says, "Any time I needed to talk about anything, Judy was always present, and I mean present in every sense of the word. She listened, she was attentive, she was caring, she was resourceful. At one time I had a physical altercation and she advocated for me, even though she was also friends with the other person. She stepped in, she got letters from the other inmates, and the disciplinary I had received was

overturned. I would never have made clemency if that ticket had remained on my record. Judy played a big role in that."

So again, here's a great example how hurt people continue to hurt themselves and sometimes other people. And these two women, mother and daughter, and certainly, I would think, reasonable to think that, part of the daughter's struggles were maybe as a result of not having her mom, maybe her example not being the best it could have been, or their relationship being strained, committed her own crime that had her serving almost 10 years. So it couldn't have been some minor little thing. And yet they found healing through your intervention.

A. Yes.

Q.and your wisdom. And so, I want to ask you about wisdom. You talk about the role that mending the split in your own life in terms of your feelings about motherhood and group thinking, how that started you on a path toward healing, and reconciliation and other positive things. Current events, September 11th, the death of ______, and so many, seems to me critical moments where things happened, realizations occurred that led you to grow. What role do you think just maturity has to do with where you are today? How old

are you today, ma'am?

A. I'm 67. And certainly, I think maturing is part of it, though I would say that each of us in here has a choice, and an ongoing choice. So, I know people who are in my age in here who haven't yet decided to open up to themselves, though I think that people do that and, you know, people move forward and backwards.

But, I think for me, the chance to do all the work that we have just talked about and the opportunity to be honest about myself with other people, to say, I'm not special, my case is not a special case. My sentence may be longer than other people's sentences, but I did violence, I allowed myself to not take responsibility for my own inner sensibility, I didn't respect my fear, I thought being tough was the stance I needed to be. But those ways of being meant that I could empathize with women in here who may come from different backgrounds than I do, but who essentially have some of those issues. I think it gave me a certain humility about where people were at in their change and a sense that -- I think when I first reckoned with how terrible my crime was, like most of us I just got frozen in quilt. And, I had really wise people urge me that guilt wasn't going to get me very far; I had to take my guilt and use it to enliven my life in new ways. And, so, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think when I have done the work that you have talked about, whether it's with puppies, or with the mothers, or my peers, or the relationships I have with respect to staff, in each of those instances I learned to open up to other people, and to know they have the spark of divine in them that I have in me. I think I eliminated the idea that there are enemies, you know. And this is what I say all the time to nursery mothers: You are fighting with someone who you have more in common with than you have differences with. You know, you may not like this new rule, but the officer may not like it either, but this is our reality. The wisdom I have now is that we are all interconnected, and anything I do on any day is going to affect all sorts of people that I might not know of. So, you know when I read Spirit, the book you are quoting from, it moved me. You know, it's not like I am happy every day I am in prison, but that, those connections we built made a difference in my life and it made a difference in their life. And so, if I am in a bad mode, that makes a difference too. And I don't want that to be the difference I make; I have done that already, I caused the harm.

The other wisdom, I think my daughter has taught me an enormous amount. The one thing I knew really early on is that I wanted her to not identify with my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wanted her to love me, but I didn't want her crime. to identify with my crime. And I wanted her to know me fully so that she could hold me to account for what I did to her. And I think I learned from her the power of being vulnerable and transparent. I think that people think you are powerful when you are tough, you know, I thought I was powerful by shutting off. But when I look back, the idea I couldn't even see the people in that courtroom and their hurt, that's not power, you know, that's the total opposite. And I watched the power of being transparent and vulnerable with my own daughter, so that, you know, I think she has hurt in her life, she faces anxiety, she faces grief, she faces the burden of worrying about me now that she's an adult. But she knows deeply that I've committed my life to change, and she says it makes her to have courage to know when she makes mistakes in her life - hopefully far less grievous than my own - that she can learn from those mistakes and build a life. She's my bellwether in a certain way.

You talked yesterday about **Example 1** letter. Before **Example** could write a letter in my support, several years ago she came and visited me and said, I need you to hear my experience that day.

That was very important for me, to have a person who was terrorized and whose whole life was changed

because she had to spend a whole number of years in her life defined by that crime and all of the, you know, all of the trials. And, to look her in the eye to say, I'm sorry. And then I learned from her willingness to accept my apology, I think in the same way I learned from Mr. Ludlow talking about the victims in the passionate way that he did and what they experienced Each I'm hear that, each time I think about, that day. that there's more to learn from it, there's more of a sense of my own need for compassion. I think it's true I can't ask for compassion if I'm not living out compassion, to anyone, especially to people I might be upset with, or who are doing things that might affect me or upset me. And that has allowed me to live a decent life in this prison, you know, and would hopefully allow me to live a decent life on the outside as well.

And I have also learned from people who have been victims of other crimes who have come to see me. There's a woman named **Construction** whose brother was killed in 911, and she was talking to someone she is close to and saying her frustration that she could never meet the people responsible for his death and understand how could they do that. And that person had said, I know the person who can talk to you about their experience.

In talking to her has really been a profound experience for me because it's both -- it's helped me reckon with the humanity of how the pain and loss is forever, and it makes me that much more aware that, in my crime -- you know, this case is a big case and right now I'm sort of the focus of it. But I'm not the center of it. The center of this story and tragedy and all the years since are the families that have gone on to live their lives in the face of the losses that they experienced, and it's their experience that's at the core of this story. And that's who I have to look to, to reckon with myself.

Q. Thank you. I want to go through some of the standard documents that we have at our disposal that help us to make our decision.

There's no disciplinary history, record of disciplinary history for you, within New York State records. You talked about Special Housing in the early days based on some letters that were written, and that might have been before they were recording those disciplinary incidents.

A. Correct.

Q. Did they even have them categorized the way they do now in tiers, at that time? Do you know?A. Yes, it was a Tier-III, it was a Tier-III ticket, my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

only Tier-III ticket. And after that, there were no more.

Q. The nature of the letters, for someone else to characterize it as an escape sort of communication, were you soliciting help? Were you making plans? What was the nature of the correspondence?

A. I guess I had descriptions of, like, the layout of the place. I don't think there was any -- and the people I was writing to were fugitives, and I think they were sort of saying, you know, maybe we can break you I never thought these people could break me out, I out. never thought I would ever want to do something like that. Not because I thought it was wrong at that point, but I thought it was impossible. But I had this desire to keep in communication. In a way it was -- when I ended up in SHU for those letters is when I began to say, What I am willing to risk for the sake of a fraudulent dream and being connected to people? Who am I and who are they and how do I start to get my own ground? It was realizing that I had written these incendiary letters just for sake of being connected and not wanting to be seen, you know, as giving up. That. once again, put me a terrible situation and made it harder for my daughter to visit. And sometimes I think we do something in here that kind of repeats some of the

same aspects of our crimes, not necessarily as devastating a level, but which is the first thing that wakes us to the fact that something is wrong. For me, it was that, the stupidity of that, that made me say, I have to stop caring about my affinity to a group, and start discovering and examining who I am.

Q. What years were those letters written?

A. 1984.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What period of time were you in Special Housing, do you recall?

A. From September 1985 to September 1987.

Q. Was there any more communication with any of those fugitives or others more radical persons after your Special Housing experience?

A. No, that was it. Actually, at a personal level -first of all, back then you could have more visits than you can now. And I said, you know, I don't want people to come in political solidarity. I had friends who came from my same political background, but they were busy rebuilding their lives. Many other lives were disrupted and shattered and people had to examine their priorities. I stayed friends with some of those people, especially the people helping to raise the children I was close to, as well as my own. But I cut off all contact with, sort of, that whole political prisoner

scene.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did you recognize at the time of the writing, or in the aftermath when you were left to think about the potential consequences, was there a moment at least where you recognized if someone had tried to help to help you to escape who was on the outside, more violence could have ensued with prison authorities, that, if someone came armed using the information you were providing, more people could have been injured or killed?

A. Absolutely. I think -- I actually remember a long conversation with an officer that was a SHU officer at the time who asked me -- because there were a lot of rumors about why I was in SHU. And in some ways you got -- many stories that were not true got blown up about it. And so that officer asked me, What really happened? And I explained. And I remember that conversation, I had probably been there about six months by then. And she looked at me, and said, You know, you are so cooperative, and I can't even imagine that. And I said, I am, but I am only beginning to realize I can't be cooperative on the one hand and then still think in certain ways, or stay connected or be impulsive for the sake of my group connection because, there can be consequences. And that officer was someone who is long

gone, but they had been involved in something, an incident in a prison and they told me about the incident. And they said, I want to hear what it was like. And I took that in, and I really swore to myself I would never, ever, ever do anything inside, outside or anywhere else that could spin out of control in any way, and that I -- it was when I began to realize that just violent rhetoric - forget about the use of violence the violent rhetoric can lead to terrible consequences. And that's when I stopped doing public writing. And also because I got to know officers and the difficulties of their job in that situation, and it began to change my attitude toward people in uniform.

Q. We have your risk assessment. I think it's noteworthy to say the assessment is built in such a way that it sort of has internal mechanism to test certain things. There's something on the assessment risk probability and summary part of it that's titled *Defensiveness Scale*. And, as a result of your assessment, on the Defensiveness Scale it states, "No potential faking concerned." I imagine if there's certain answers that fall a certain way, the instrument would send up a flag to suggest that perhaps this person is faking, and it pulls that out of certain replies.

On the "Recommended Supervision," you're

recommended without an override at the moment, to be supervised as a status 4 case, which is the lowest level of supervision that the community supervision provides for. Of course, community supervision can override that, if they see a reason, for whatever reason they deem appropriate.

In terms of *Random Response*, it states, "No inconsistent response concern." Again, another sort of internal mechanism that the instrument uses to sort of validate and be able to make statements about the quality of the responses.

On the assessment itself, it says that you are a low risk of felony violence, 1 out of 10; low risk of arrest, 1 out of 10; for absconding, 1 out of 10. This is the lowest risk possible by this tool. Criminal involvement is rated at a 3 out of 10, which is characterized as low; history of violence is rated as medium, 6 out of 10; prison misconduct is rated as low; and you are unlikely to have criminogenic needs which statistically, through the use of the instrument, would tend to lead a person back, or to make a person more likely to commit new crimes or have some vulnerability because of these need areas. So, you are unlikely to have criminogenic needs that this tool looks at.

We also have your Case Plan. Your plans in this

25

1

2

3

4

5

instrument are unique in that they are completely forward looking. Obviously, you have done a lot of recommended programs and beyond over the period of 35 years that you have been serving. So your Case Plan looks beyond prison, in my opinion. You can correct me if you think my assessment is wrong. But, you have a goal of obtaining employment; another goal of continuing to work with the Hour Children, and PPB upon release --I presume, Puppies Behind Bars?

A. Yes.

Q. It should be PBB?

A. Yes.

Q. ...obtain your Doctorate of education; develop positive peer support.

And then it goes back. It looks like you have the forward looking at the front of the document, and toward the end of it are goals you had leading up to this moment and leading up to potential release, because you had a goal of working on clemency -- this start date was 2013; and to live with family is another goal. And then "to continue to work in the nursery and raise my dog." So those clearly are older goals.

We have the sentencing minutes which we referenced at great length yesterday. And at your sentencing, appearing as legal advocates for the

25

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#)
defendants present which included you, Mr. Gilbert and	
first name Kuwasi Balagoon, also known as	
A. Donald Weems.	
Q. That's Mr. Weems' also known as.	
So, present for the three of you, and listed	
here as legal advisors for the defendant because I	
don't think they were acting in the more traditional	
legal attorney capacity for you?	
A. Correct.	
Qare listed, Judith Holmes, Susan Tipograph, and	
Lynn Stewart. And I recall Commissioner Ludlow	
mentioning Miss Tipograph's relationship not being a	
more traditional attorney/client relationship.	
Nonetheless, because we do reach out for	
official statements from attorneys, particularly looking	
for statements from attorneys who represented the person	
at the time, to the extent that she was counsel provided	
by the court to do something for you, she did respond to	
a letter requesting official statement. This letter	
returned to the Department dated February 14th, 2017. I	
am just going to read just portions of it. "While I	
have not seen Ms. Clark in many years, we have continued	
to exchange holiday and other greetings. I have	
followed the progress of her case and I have been	
friends with her lawyer, Steven Zeidman," Z-e-i-d-m-a-n,	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"....for many years. I have also remained in contact with her daughter . I was heartened by Governor Cuomo's decision to commute her sentence so that the Board of Parole can decide whether Ms. Clark should be released. I strongly urge you to grant her release under parole supervision. After practicing criminal law over 40 years, I am mindful on the terrible toll that crime and violence have on communities, victims, families and even on the accused. I do not minimize the suffering that has been experienced by the families, friends and colleagues of the three men who were killed, nor am I in any position to suggest to any of them as to how they should grieve or how best to achieve 'closure' for their terrible loss. The determination of this board must be made, however, on your own guidelines as to whether Ms. Clark has taken full responsibility for her crimes and whether she will live a law-abing life were she to be granted her release on parole. By all accounts, the answer to both questions is an unequivocal yes." She talks about you taking responsibility for your actions leading up to and including the events of October 20, 1981. "She has done so publically, privately and unequivocally." And there's more, but I think that's the most significant.

I do want to address some of the information,

S a

other information, contained within your advocacy packet. It's so much information, we can't possibly review it all on the record, but I want to let you know the breadth of documentation that we have received, that the three of us are reviewing, and to create some record of it so that the record stands for itself in terms of the scope of it all.

One of the things that Professor Zeidman cited in his letter was I think of note. He says, "Thirteen of the fourteen former living presidents of the New York City Bar Association urge Ms. Clark's release. These leaders of the legal community include former state and federal prosecutors, judges, law school deans, counsel to then Governor Mario Cuomo, law firm partners, the first African-American and the first female president of the City Bar, and corporation counsel for the city of New York. In there words, and he's quoting their letter, "The undisputed horrendous nature of Ms. Clark's action 35 years ago, can not be the sole reason for denying her release. An examination of the record demonstrates there are *no*, " and it's emphasized in bold, "other reasons of which to base a denial of parole."

And then he goes through recent letters of support. He cites **Example 1**, who is cofounder of the Nobel Peace Prize Nominated Organization;

25

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

September 11th, Families for Peaceful Tomorrows, and includes their Website. Her brother . was killed in the 911 attacks. She talks about, in her letter, which we have a copy of in the exhibits, wanting to meet you to be able to talk to someone who had once been in the position of a zealot that might help her to understand the crime that was committed against her brother and hundreds of others, in fact, over a couple But she says -- where he quotes is, this thousand. section is bolded, "Judy's life is the embodiment of the very outcome we strive for in our criminal justice No one can ever bring back our deceased loved system. ones, but there remains an opportunity to bring some solace to the living, and some redemption from a senseless and tragic crime. Releasing Judy Clark is a courageous step in that direction and I strongly support the board in doing so."

And then **Mathematical**, whose car was commandeered by a Brink's perpetrator right after the shooting on the bridge, and testified against you at the trial. She describes herself as survivor of the Brinks robbery. She goes on to say, "I spent the next several years testifying to what happened. I felt a huge responsibility to see that justice was done to make sure that the perpetrators were punished."

She goes on later in her letter to say, "I commend Governor Cuomo for the courageous decision he has made in commuting Judy Clark's sentence. I have followed Judy's life in prison through friends who work in Bedford Hills, and my own experience as a volunteer."

163

Later she says, "She has work hard to atone for her crime. We send people to prison for rehabilitation, to correct their behavior, to atone for their crimes, not to be warehoused for the rest of their lives. Judy Clark is a perfect example that the prison system can work."

I found another one very interesting, among the many letters of support, from **support**,

, an educator, whose son is a police officer. And she writes as the mother of a New York City Police Officer. "I realize full well the unmitigated grief experienced by the families whose innocent loved ones have died as a result of this 1981 crime. I have nothing but empathy for them, and I am not sure that I could ever endorse the parole of anyone who harmed my son who works so diligently to protect the citizens of NYC. But perhaps this is why Parole Boards are charge with these making decisions, decisions too fraught with emotions for the families of victims. I know that your role is a weighty one. For as you

undertake your deliberations, I hope that you find that it is now time to grant parole to Judy Clark. By granting her parole," she goes on later to say, "....you will affirm the evidence of her rehabilitation and provide her with the opportunity to expand her work to help others behind the walls of prison."

And then there was **presentations**, who is a resident and homeowner in Nyack, New York, in Rockland County. In addition to her own letter, she has submitted a letter signed by a hundred fifty Nyack residents supporting the commutation, and a letter signed by 75 residents of Rockland County urging that you be granted parole. She states, "We are all united in our hearts as we recognize the tragedy of the Brinks crime. Over the past years I have talked to hundreds of residents about Brinks and about Judy Clark."

Later she says, "I realize how many in my community share my sadness and frustration that some of our neighbors want to keep this tragedy alive and the vengeance deep. Nyack is progressive community. So many feel that Judy Clark is an amazing example of redemption and that recognizing her redemption would do more to honor the lives that were lost than the unending anger and vengeance."

So, we do have boxes of petitions with

signatures. Of course I don't know if you know this, if you are able to keep up with current events, but we received, just in the last few days, a petition signed by nearly 10,000 concerned citizens, presented to us by New York State Senators, urging us to deny your release, but acknowledging you as a driver of a getaway car. Four boxes, in fact, of signatures were delivered to our central offices.

Additionally, we've received the clemency materials, which are in their own right, boxes of materials in support; and random submissions, as well, online by letter to the facility, through the Website.

In terms of the nature of your support in the communities who support you, of course we've got letters from your own family members, which include your daughter, letters from friends and family; letters from people who know you through your work at Bedford Hills and the programs that we've described; letters from formally or currently incarcerated women; letters from people who have been influenced by you not already mentioned in other places; letters from survivors of violent crime, and this section includes the letter from

and she appears in a documentary film, *The Power of*

and

, as well as

Forgiveness; letters and petitions from residents, and I think those are part of the ones referenced by

and Mr. Zeidman; letters from religious and spiritual leaders, both in the Jewish community and Protestant community, and I think even the Catholic community is represented here; letters from people who work in criminal justice, California Coalition for Women Prisoners; Transition to Freedom Mentor, Riverhead Correctional Facility; a former chairman of the New York State Parole Board; Amnesty International; Osborne Association; another former member of the New York State Parole Board; former NYPD deputy inspector; Touro Law Center professor, medical doctor; , who I referenced earlier, retired superintendent at Bedford Hills; and professor emerita from CUNY, two letters; letters from the legal community -- former New York City Bar Association presidents that I referenced a moment ago from Mr. Zeidman's letter; Women's Bar Association of the State of New York; New York County Lawyers Association, Criminal Justice Section and Civil Rights and Liberties Committee; deans and directors of clinical programs at every law school in New York State; Sara Bennett, who was your pro bono clemency attorney between 2008 and 2015 and the author of the book we've referenced; two individuals who represented and worked

with you in a class action concerning the treatment of mentally ill inmates; and a retired attorney from the New York City Law Department; letters from writers and artists; letters from community members, I think I referenced that, it looks like a familiar list; and then letter from elected officials.

167

This is one I wanted to specifically reference. This is dated March 28th, 2017. "We the undersigned elected officials write to express support for Governor Andrew Cuomo's recent grant of clemency and to urge the Parole Board to follow suit toward a more just and compassionate criminal justice system." And, it says, toward the end, "At age 67 and after 35 years in prison, Judy Clark is among the oldest and longest serving women in New York State prison. We ask you consider who she is today in 2017 and not who she was in 1981, and employ you to grant her release." Among the signatures are Congress members, _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, ____, _____, ____, ____, _

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 168
Then you have several Assembly members which
include , , , , , , , , , , ;
Brooklyn Borough President ; , ,
Manhattan Borough President; New York
County Democratic Committee Chair;
Controller for the City; former Mayor
I said, and many, many others.
And then people sent letters on their own,
unconnected to more lengthy signers on other letters.
Yes, how could I forget? We recently received a
letter from Mayor example and as well .
A. Oh.
Q. Again, on the other side and in opposition as well,
letters from officials from every strata of government.
COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: We acknowledge a
letter from the Monroe County Legislature, in
particular the Clerk of the Legislature,
, a letter of opposition to your release,
Ms. Clark, signed by a number of members of the
Monroe County Legislature. Monroe County is greater
Rochester. I think there are 19 of 29 members
opposed. We have official correspondence in that
regard, various e-mailed statements of opposition.
And, as the Chair has referenced, likewise, numerous
letters of support.

This panel has a very large and serious task before it. The amount of material for us to review is - to say it's large would be an understatement.

A. I understand.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: But under the Executive Law, as you probably know, Executive Law 259, we are required to consider the breadth and scope of all of this material. The weight that we give any particular item is allowed by law, allowed by statute, to be within the discretion of the panel.

A. I understand that.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. On the letterhead of the Office of the Sheriff, County of Rockland, where **constant of the sheriff**, **constant of the sheriff**, **constant of the sheriff**, **constant of the sheriff**, **a** letter authored by Undersheriff

was sent, and he is one that alleges that after your vehicle was crashed, you were reaching for a .38-caliber pistol, and, that a handbook found in the vehicle with your identification also contained an additional loaded magazine for that pistol, "So make no mistake, she was he was not simply a driver, but an active participant in this heinous crime." And you had

an opportunity to address that claim yesterday when we were speaking.

We also did get official statement from Rockland County District Attorneys' Office. As you can imagine, with cases with lengths of sentence such as yours, even under normal circumstances - not even including your situation that includes a commutation - often times the DA's office that was actively involved in the trial and prosecution is not in power by the time the day comes for the interview. Thomas Zugibe, Z-u-g-i-b-e, is the DA now in Rockland County and wrote a letter on behalf of his office.

Additionally, the judge -- I don't know personally if Judge Ritter is deceased, I believe he may be -- but the administrative judge for his district authorized and designated another judge of Orange County Court to submit a statement on the behalf of the court. And, in the language of the law, it simply requires official statement from the court or the district attorney, so it doesn't have to be the specific person, but a representative of that body. And so, the Honorable Nicholas De Rosa was designated for that purpose in your case. He talks about his experience working with Judge Ritter for many years and even having opportunity to talk about the case with Judge Ritter

over the years. And he notes in his reply to the request for official comment, that Judge Ritter was very specific and very clear as to the reasons for his sentence, and he refers to pages in the sentencing minutes. He says -- Judge De Rosa says, "Those statements by the sentencing judge more than 33 years ago were logical, relevant and appropriate and just, and those thoughts are no less logical, relevant, and appropriate and just today." He says, "Having been a colleague of Judge Ritter for nearly two decades, I can safely say that his opinion as to this case and his sentence never wavered or changed."

I think I have reviewed the comments from all of the officials, past and present, that we have that are specifically referenced in Executive Law, for our consideration.

Something that said stood out in my mind, as we close out this section of our interview. She said, in a letter dated January 13, 2017 -- and I think this was a -- fairly certain this was a letter of support for you, **She references the** importance of liberation, if not of the body, then of the mind.

And, I often think of liberation something bigger than where you are physically, especially in the

25

context of this work that we do. And, my question to you is, are you free?

A. It's interesting, you know, we are about to celebrate Passover which is a celebration of liberation. And, when we have our seder in here, there's always the irony we are celebrating liberation in a prison. I think my answer to that goes back to what that professor said all those years ago which is I have the freedom to choose how to approach each day of my life, and I have that whether I am in here or outside. I am burdened by my remorse, and by my regrets and shame for the damage I caused each day, living in here and living outside -- or living outside. I think freedom is never absolute, that, the freedom I have now is that I am more responsible for myself, which allows me to be flexible, which allows me to live with the kind of ambiguity and honesty, the self-honesty that made me very unfree, and allows me to be responsible for myself rather than look to others to make me feel secure because of their opinions. So in those ways I am free. On the other hand, I live in an institution that's very, very contained, and very, very structured and is in the great way about separation. And I feel that, and my family feels that, and it's not a small deal, you know, it's not -- and many people have said to me -- or, people who

have said to me, people who are in opposition of my getting out, have said, Well, we agree, she does good work, let her continue that work.

And I think about what it would be like to live in a larger society and to be more responsible for myself than when you are in an institutional setting. I think, in a way, it's greater freedom but it's also greater responsibility. I look forward to it and I hope that happens. I think that, my state of mind will remain very similar whether I am in here or out there in terms of wanting to live openly, live honestly and generously, and wanting to continue to reach out to, and apologize and feel indebted to all those that were harmed, and specially to the families of and And also to those who were injured, to . I certainly

think about those who lost lives, but I know that those who were injured and experienced the trauma of that day live with that trauma forever. So I'm always connected to them, which in a way is freedom and is in a way a yoke that I carry whether I'm in here or out there.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: I want to move on to future plans, release plans, opportunities and then some closing. But before I move on, is there anything my colleagues would like to ask about the section we have been dealing with in terms of known opposition and support?

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I think, Chair, you have covered that extensively and there's nothing further I can add on that topic.

COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: Nothing further.

BY COMMISSIONER STANFORD:

Q. Well, we will move on, then, and talk about the future.

We know the organization that you reference -and there's a letter of support from both an individual that works for that entity, as well as a letter from them directly, Brightpoint Health, President and CEO, says, "I am able to offer her," speaking of you, "....employment at Brightpoint Health when she is able to return to the community. She would be a valuable addition to our organizational cultural department as an assistant to the director. This position would entail working with our employees throughout the organization. This involves leading group trainings, conducting surveys, organizing and promoting special events and programs. I very much look forward to having Judith Clark to be a part of Brightpoint Health and contribute to our mission and

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 175
1	values in the coming years."
2	Now, who is the employee who also works for
3	Brightpoint Health who is a supporter?
4	A
5	Q. ?
6	A. She's their medical director.
7	Q. ?
8	A
9	Q. And, Manager and is also your friend from the early
10	days whose daughter is half-sister of your daughter?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And she is also making a residence available for you?
13	A. Correct, correct.
14	Q. Does she live at the residence that you would be
15	residing at?
16	A. Yes, she lives there. It's a large apartment that
17	several other people in the family used to live and now
18	they are grown and gone. And she lives there alone, and
19	it has three bedrooms. So she has room available to me
20	and is there to support me, as she has been in these
21	35 years.
22	Q. Now, I know she was part of the larger extended
23	community that you associated with and were friends with
24	in the in the late '70s, early '80s. Did she have
25	views, at that time, that have changed over these many

decades?

A. I think she always -- yes, her views have changed as well. I think because she was then and always a doctor, she was able to maintain a more independent life that gave a little more personal balance so that she might have argued some of the same views, but did not become as embroiled as I did in the more internalized dynamics of it. But like a number of my friends who I have stayed close to, they too had to reckon with politics that were destructive, and had to figure out a way to regain a more positive way to contribute to their communities.

Before Brightpoint Health was Brightpoint Health, it was an agency that ran a number of drug programs, residential drug programs, and nursing homes for people with AIDS who were also addicts. And she has done that work for the last 30 years. And it's really been the heart of what she's done, along with raising first her child, and then helping her daughter raise her grandson,

Q. Now, I realize you can't speak one hundred percent for her, but I am curious.... I know part of the doctors' oath is to do no harm. As far as you know, at the time of your crime, had she embraced violence in terms of a possible solution to social problems?

A. Certainly not in her own life. I think she maintained her oath of do no harm. She worked in an emergency room back then and I think she -- she supported a lot of the same causes, but in a much more measured way is what I would say. And she's also changed her viewpoint; she would also say that the rhetoric of the '60s is totally not appropriate to her life today or her thinking today, and, she has certainly shown that in her work. She received as a statewide award given to a medical doctor that works in community health, and she's received that award and been acknowledged for her positive contribution as a doctor. And that's really been the basis of her life.

Q. I am glad to hear that, because it's important to us as we consider the possibility of releasing you to make sure we are not releasing you to a community of people who might have old thinking.

A. Absolutely.

Q. ...or shared some of the thinking that caused this horrible tragedy in the first place.

A. I tried to sort through my old associates, and there are people that still think -- I mean, they are living completely legitimate and legal lives, but their rhetoric and thinking still is very familiar to me, and they know that I don't ascribe to it and don't really

want to involve myself in it. And the people who I have, you know, maintained closer ties with, are the people like me, you know, despite not having been responsible for any direct harm, also feel like I was in a state of mind and frantic activity that is unsustainable and led to the destruction that I might not been responsible for, but certainly have a connection to. So, I think those people have also been on their own form of a journey that I've been on. did not have the same distance to go as I did, but I think she's a very moderate, stable presence in my life over all these years, and in the lives of our children.

Q. Okay. Do you plan to gain financially from the crime by selling your story?

A. Absolutely not, absolutely not. First of all, I don't really want to sell my story. I am fighting back people who want, you know -- I am continuously saying no to lots of people interested, and I would never put myself in that situation. All I want to do is work.
Q. Why not?

A. If I do any writing, I think of it -- as I've done, you know, I have written articles examining aspects of certainly the mother/child experience, or how do we end up doing crime, and all of those kinds of issues, but

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're not juicy, make money off of my story kind of writing. And I also think that I have to respect the fact that the victim community will continue to hurt, and I don't want to add to that hurt by kind of puffing myself up in public. I think that would not be helpful to them. So, I think that's probably the thing I am going to be most conscious of, is how to rebuild a life, and obviously enjoy freedom without being -- but staying mindful of the impact I continue to have on others who continue to be hurt by the crime and may be hurt by seeing me out. So, I guess that's what I would say. I think if I ever did any writing, it would be to try to share some of the lessons of my remorse, and I can't see making profit from that. If any money came from anything, it would go to the victims bureau; not to make profit off of it.

Q. Thank you. Beyond Brightpoint Health, where else do you hope to work and make a contribution?

A. I have already talk to **provide the set of**, who is the head of the Puppies Behind Bars, and she's very happy to think about the fact I will be living in the City and therefore can take dogs -- one of the things we try to do is bring our dogs in the City and we need to see how they can work in a more urban area. And to continue to help the organization socialize dogs in that situation,

and to assess them. I know that Puppies Behind Bars is going to be one of my first stops. I did try to tell her I didn't think two weeks out would be enough for me to be stabilized enough to start taking the dogs. But she said, They will help you. And, that's true but I have to be a little more stable, learn to negotiate life out there.

And I am also really looking forward to reconnecting to Hour Children. While they do the work in here, the bulk of their work is outside with women I have worked with in here, and both long-timers I did time with, and nursery mothers I did work with, and find some way to be continue to be useful to them. I say all that, knowing it'll take some time. I have been away for 35 years and I don't want to rush into anything, I want to take my time if I get out, and know I am on solid ground.

Q. Okay. As a final letter, I want to reference the letter as part of your advocacy packet -- let me do two. One was from **Exercise 1999** This is the professor emerita from City University of New York, and President of Veteran Feminists of America. She talks about the great pleasure of knowing you for close to three decades and how you met while she was working in the Inmate Education Program and director of the Domestic Violence

Center at John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New York. She says in her letter of support dated January 17, 2017, "For much of my professional life I have worked in the area of criminal justice. I have served on two think tanks with the FBI, been an eight-year member of Mayor Rudolph Giuliani's Commissioner to Combat Family Violence, and a professor of City University of New York for 34 years. During the course of my career, I have met many hardened criminals with few redemptive qualities. Judith Clark is not one of them."

Your daughter wrote a letter. She says, "Commissioners, what my mother has lost by being in prison for her entire adult life, she has lost forever. Releasing her after 35 years is not revoking her punishment. Her release now will not return to her, or to me, what her punishment took from us over the past four decades. Nobody can, or should, give that back to us. I don't say that as a complaint, I say it as a promise. As much as anyone can, I have lived this sentence alongside my mother, so I can speak from my experience as well as hers when I say 35 years in prison is a serious punishment. It does what the law requests - it reflects and honors the seriousness of her crime. What I will not say here, and what I have never said is

that my mother has 'paid her debt to society,' or, that she has 'done her time.' There is wrapped up tit for tat tone in those phrases that is so at odds with my own experience of grief and feels disrespectful to the suffering to the and families. Ι . think of the children whose fathers were killed and I know that their loss is a forever loss. That's why, if there a people who take solace in knowing my mother will be punished forever, I want them to have that solace. I want them to know that if mother is released, I will not be getting back the mother I lost. That woman is gone, those years are gone. The life we would have had together is gone. And the child she lost, that child is also gone. I am 36 years old now."

What would be among your most significant goals if released?

A. I'd say two things -- well, three. One is to adjust and take the experience of living in here and go -- and change and adjust in the way it takes all of those lessons and that way of life to the outside. And that's a very general goal, but it's -- it's to say when I leave here, I continue essentially sustained by the state of mind I have in here. And in that sense, everything I do is going to be conditioned by continuing to be responsible for my crime. One of the things I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

always felt is when I have apologized for my crime, that has always been understood, Well, of course she's apologizing, she wants to get out. And I think it's going to be important for me to be able to apologize directly, in whatever way possible within the framework I can legally do, as a free woman, as someone where there is nothing at stake as there is now. I think that's probably for me one, of the most important things about getting out in terms of my goal.

And I think my daughter's and I entire relationship has been through prison, and I think rebuilding or building -- continuing to build a relationship with her, once on the outside, is going to be a lot of adjustment for both of us. She lives in California. I want her to continue to live her life, and I want her to continue to see me as a resource for that, and to have my being outside help free her from some of her concerns rather than add new concerns to her life. So those are two really important goals I have.

And, I think there are a lot of people I have to thank. I have come this far with an enormous amount of help and support. And I owe organizations, communities and individuals my indebtedness, as well as owing the victim community a different kind of indebtedness. And my goal is to help make decisions

about what I am going to do based on all that. Obviously, I do want to start working and I am going to have to learn to be a self-supporting person, which is quite a challenge when you are 67 years old, but I luckily I have education and skills to do that. Q. And health, do you have good health presently? A. I do have good health. I committed myself to staying healthy in here. And one of the things I get out of getting a job is being able to get health insurance. Ι have minor chronic conditions, but I want to -- I eat healthy even though I am in prison, and I do yoga, I exercise and the dogs keep me healthy. And the work I do is keeping me healthy because it keeps me connected to change. So far that's worked and I am going to keep that up.

Q. And mental health, do you feel you have good mental health? You talked about bouts of

, and what one might imagine, and related to your passion and committed to your politics in the early days. So how are you feeling that way today? A. Overall I feel great. And I think one of the things I always say when I am going to sort of say things are tough in here or something terrible just happened, I say, Okay, something terrible happened but I know I am not depressed.

And I taught it so often, I have to follow what I taught, which is even good changes bring high And I will definitely look to some of the people who have helped me through this period of my life to help process the change both psychologically and spiritually.

I already talked to one of the rabbis I have been connected to about doing a ritual if I get out that -- I believe in the power of ritual to go through transformations, and I need that when I get out.

Q. Sort of a spiritual cleansing?

A. Sort of a mikva: In the waters.

Q. Can you spell that?

A. Mikva? M-i-k-v-a. It's a spiritual cleansing in water that goes with various rituals. And I think that, sustaining that relationship to -- in some form to the Jewish community has really been one of the sustaining parts of my life in here and will be important to me. Q. What do you think would be among the most significant messages this board would be sending by not releasing you?

A. I think that, when the Governor gave me clemency, the prison felt electric, and they were also ecstatic that

he gave other people clemency, including one other woman who was here on a domestic violence case as many women are. But I think what they felt when I got clemency is that, I represent an approach to doing -- to living in here that is having to be positive, having to have hope, having to take responsibility, having to work on your attitude and relationship to authority. People always remark, How do you walk around with a smile every day? And I always say, That's part of my responsibility because I put myself here and this is who I am now.

So, I think when they heard I have chance of getting out, it gave them hope that, they, too, if they did the right thing, they could get out. That, if they went through the changes, were honest, apologized for their crimes and repaired what could be repaired, their lives would not have to be defined by the worst moments of their lives, or the worst choices in their lives. And, I think that if I did not get out, it would be a source of cynicism some people have about what does it matter anyway? And, I think I would tell people that, if the boars decided they had to hit me, I would handle that situation and remember that Governor Cuomo gave me the gift of 40 years, and I would continue to try to get out, and I am not going to change who I am, I'm not going to become angry or start criticizing. I'm going

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to hold true to my values. But I think -- I think hope is a really important part of change and taking responsibility. When you feel hopeless, you build up those kinds of defenses, and, that the women in here, and people outside who are in similar situations, have to feel that their actions over time matter, and, that the imprint we make on this earth can change, you know, that if it's been a negative imprint, it can be positive. So I think that, if I am released, it will engender the kind of hope we want. Not like, Ooh, if get over long enough, I will make my way out. But no, actually, that won't work. What will work will be to land in our reality, to be truthful to ourselves and others, and realize we can stretch and change in maybe ways we did not realize. So that's what I think would be lost if I'm not released. But I will continue to express that in either case, just as I will continue to be responsible in either case.

Q. And, is the opposite, then, and what you spoke about to a certain extent in your answer to my last question, is the opposite, then, among the most significant messages we would be sending if we did grant you where release?

A. Yes.

Q.in other words, that, good behavior, realignment

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#	188
with one values at, perhaps birth and childhood, resp	ect
of authority, and rules of society and civil living,	
that those things matter? Would that be among the	
message?	
A. Absolutely. Yes, I think so. I think my getting	out
I think what my daughter said is true:	
Thirty-five years is a long time. It's not my	
getting out is not somehow, Oh, I got away with it.	I
didn't want to ultimately get away with it, I wanted	to
own it and change, and feel accountable to the famili	es
whose loved ones were lost, and, that my getting out	
represents a sense that it matters for us to go throu	ıgh
what is not a simple process, and it's not easy. And	I
you can't fake it; it's either real or not. I think,	
hopefully it also represents I think because as I'	ve
been as open as I could be about disdaining violence	at
a time out there when there is, you know, a lot of	
contention, that I come out not representing the	
violence that I committed, but representing someone w	/ho
changed from that and believes deeply in nonviolence	and
respect for the law. And I am going to say that when	I
leave as much as I say it in here, because I believe	it,
and I believe it's more necessary than ever in our	
society. That's what I hope to communicate.	
COMMICCIONED CIANEODD. I'm acting t	

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: I'm going to

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	ask my colleagues if they have any questions?
2	COMMISSIONER THOMPSON: No, I don't
3	have any questions.
4	COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: I just want to
5	state again, we have a very large task before us, I
6	think that's obvious.
7	But in closing, I want to express
8	absolute respect and a sensitive memory for
9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11	other law enforcement who were there October 20th,
12	1981, members of the public who were held at bay at
13	gunpoint, fortunately not wounded. And of course,
14	as we close, we have a heavy heart for three
15	surviving spouses of the deceased, nine children,
16	and now 35 years later, the next generation, grand-
17	children of the deceased. And we remember all of
18	them among the variety of factors we must consider.
19	Thank you, Miss Clark.
20	A. Thank you. And I want to say I sit here also
21	remembering those men as well and I think of them today.
22	I spent all last night thinking about everything that
23	came up in this interview.
24	I want to thank all of you for this interview
25	which has been thorough, and deep and thoughtful, and I

JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 190 want to thank the Governor for giving me an opportunity to have this moment to apologize, and therefore say that my last words, also, are to apologize to the families of and to and remember who is still alive, and who I know just recently died, and the family of . as well, and And to also know that many, many other people live with the scars of that day. And, that I promise, that is going to be at the heart of my life no matter what, for the rest of my life. I owe them that debt, and I respect them in their efforts to build their lives. Q. If a mediated opportunity to meet with family members or survivors of that day is possible, working with victim specialists to prepare for such a thing to see if survivors are interested in such a thing and to help to bring such or more meetings like that about were possible, would you be amenable? A. I would. I think when you asked me my goals, if I felt that was possible - and I certainly have communicated that I would like to - that would be my number one goal. I would very much like to do that, understanding they have to feel it's something that would be helpful to them. I owe that to them, to offer that to them over and over. And I know I will always

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

offer that to anyone who wants to who was both directly and indirectly impacted, because I know there were so many people who were, and I would be happy to do a thing like that.

Q. Well, we do still have a lot to review and to discuss and to consider, but I think the time has come for us to begin that work among the three of us. Thank you for your answers to our questions and your comments to help us to get the place where we can start to do our work. So, thank you and you will get our decision in writing. I can't guarantee it will be right away, but you will certainly get it within the statutory period.

A. Thank you again. I feel honored to be before you.

COMMISSIONER LUDLOW: Thank you, Miss Clark.

OFFENDER: Be well.

COMMISSIONER STANFORD: You too.

(Offender was excused.)

(After due deliberation by the Parole Board panel, the following decision has been rendered:)

	J
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DECISION:

Denied. Hold 24 months. Next appearance 4/2019.

The Board of Parole commends your personal growth and productive use of time, however discretionary release on parole shall not be granted merely as a reward for good conduct or efficient performance of duties while confined, but after <u>considering if there is a reasonable probability</u> that, if you are released, you will live and remain at liberty without violating the law, and that your release is not incompatible with the welfare of society and will not so deprecate the seriousness of your crimes as to undermine respect for the law. The significant time spent with your records and <u>interviewing you enabled us to learn a great deal</u> about your crimes and about you. Following written procedures, we considered information regarding relevant factors and applied the standard above. <u>Upon deliberation, we unanimously voted to deny your</u> release to community supervision at this time. We learned that you had a criminal history in Illinois of aggravated battery, aiding escape, mob action and resisting arrest prior to your crimes in New York that resulting in convictions for multiple

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

counts of murder in the second degree and robbery in the first degree. You were attracted to violence to demonstrate total commitment to revolutionary ideas and objectives for a period that spanned more than a decade between your twenties and thirties. By the time of the crime you were not "a young idealistic innocent, by any means." In fact, you described yourself as a "single-minded fanatic ... at war with <u>America", a "blinded revolutionary", "cut off" from</u> your "humanity". In part, you described the crimes as "policemen completely out-gunned, out-maneuvered and overwhelmed by people intent on killing them." Further you admit that you did not question your participation before, during, or for a significant period after the crime, or allow yourself to feel the remorse and shame you would feel years later. <u>At sentencing, District Attorney Kenneth Gribetz and</u> Judge David Ritter, noted your statements and behavior during trial and did not believe you could be rehabilitated. Your original sentence was intended to serve the goals of deterrence and protection of society.

<u>Upon being incarcerated you began to participate in</u> programs, but continued to correspond with people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you described as "fugitives" and received two years in special housing between 1985 and 1987 for giving descriptions of the correctional facility to these persons to "break" you out. You would also spend about a year in Federal custody for what you told us were unrelated reasons.

Your rehabilitative efforts and institutional record include: Down on Violence, Alternatives to Violence, <u>Aggression Replacement (ART), helping to create AIDS</u> <u>Counseling and Education (ACE) and college programs</u> for female inmates. You earned Bachelor and Master degrees, trained eleven dogs for service, law enforcement and therapeutic purposes, received <u>Clinical Pastoral Education and worked with mothers</u> and their children within the correctional facility. We considered a favorable risk and needs assessment and stated goals within a recent case plan. Your release plans include solid employment offers, residence with a physician friend who is one of few former associates from your days in the May 19th <u>collective</u>, and the continuation of some of the efforts described above. We noted that when you began to become accountable

for your role as getaway driver and "opened up" to others, you "eliminated the idea that there are

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID# 195
1	<u>enemies," and understood that at "the center of this</u>
2	story and tragedy, and all the years since, are the
3	<u>families" of the victims.</u>
4	We reviewed boxes of public support and boxes of
5	public opposition. These records included
6	significant submissions from attorneys on your
7	behalf, clemency and parole exhibits and letters
8	from your family, friends and supporters. Being
9	governed by the law, while we considered and weighed
10	<u>support, we were persuaded against release by</u>
11	opposing information that includes statements from
12	former and current officials, and statements from
13	survivors and affected parties found in pre-sentence
14	records, sentencing minutes and other public
15	<u>records.</u>
16	We noted that you want to apologize directly to any
17	interested victims or family members. While you
18	believe that personal apologies would be most
19	credible once you are released, apologies extended
20	before you are released may be beneficial to all
21	parties. In any event, the Board of Parole does not
22	mandate or facilitate such meetings or dictate the
23	terms or circumstances, and any such meeting would
24	only be possible if desired by survivors of the
25	<u>crimes or victims' family members.</u>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also noted your appreciation for the Governor's commutation of your sentence and for our independent process. We respect and understand the Governor's <u>lawful decision to exercise his unique discretion in</u> your case. By the time of our interview, we had substantial additional information that was created and submitted pursuant to our unique process. Finally, it is noteworthy that you said that you would not change if you weren't released, but would hold true to your asserted values, continue to encourage positive change in others and try to get released. We do not depart from your favorable risk assessment; however, we do find that your release at this time is incompatible with the welfare of society as expressed directly by relevant officials and thousands of its members, and that it would deprecate the seriousness of your crimes as to undermine respect for the law. You are still a symbol of violent and terroristic crime. Perhaps the

<u>transcript of our interview will allow parties,</u> whose statements we must consider, to read about

your ongoing personal evolution for the first time.

<u>Until your next appearance, we urge you to continue</u>

to "communicate nonviolence and respect for the law"

	JUDITH CLARK DIN#83G0313 NYSID#
1	and to believe and warn others in your own words
2	<u>that "everyone has a right to life" and "violent</u>
3	rhetoric can lead to terrible consequences" and to
4	share what it feels like to "bear responsibility for
5	the loss of life, the injuries and the terror"
6	caused by violent criminal actions.
7	
8	(All commissioners concur.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the above cause and that this is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Susan Fischler