HD 9545 U030

# ENERGY R&D AND NATIONAL PROGRESS

Prepared for the Interdepartmental Energy Study by the Energy Study Group under the direction of Ali Bulent Cambel

DISCARDED FROM
BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE LIBRARY

**SYNOPSIS** 

Page

**Environmental Considerations** 

Page

1. With the predicted increase in energy demands, certain environmental problems need immediate attention and will require very much more in the future.

364

2. Additional R&D is needed to devise economic methods for adequate control of particulate and gaseous air pollution from sulfur and carbon dioxides, oxides of nitrogen, and incompletely burned hydrocarbons. The only sure way to prevent increased air pollution by fossil fuels is to decrease emissions.

367; 370

3. The pollution of water by processes related to coal, oil, and nuclear fuels will increase as energy industries demand more water. The problems of effective control are susceptible to R&D.

381

4. Concern for public safety has imposed economic penalties on nuclear-power reactors. R&D could resolve the uncertainties and reduce the extra costs of conservative design. Better and more economical methods are needed for the processing, concentrating, and ultimate disposal of nuclear wastes.

381; 387

5. Qualitatively, there appears little cause for concern regarding possible effects upon the weather by the increased concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. In the absence of quantitative knowledge, however, research aimed at defining this problem is needed, together with watchful measurement of world temperatures and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

369

#### **Economics**

1. From economic considerations, a simple criterion for R&D funding decisions is that the benefits (public and private) should exceed the costs (public and private).

57

2. Analyses of economic cost-benefit problems for energy R&D must involve the whole energy sector, not one particular segment, and analytical techniques are available for the task. A major barrier to such analyses is the scarcity of statistical inputs that show how the various factors are interrelated. Such statistical data should be developed.

62 54

66

3. Conservation, per se, is not an economic necessity.

4. Benefits which can be sought through research or development can sometimes be equaled—or offset—by changes of a non-technical nature such as actions of regulatory bodies, taxation, or labor-management agreements. Decisions on whether to undertake research or development need to be made in the light of these external factors.

5. Available economic techniques can be used to optimize current R&D programs and might enable curtailment of present total outlays. The savings thus realizable might be applied to support of programs that need new or additional effort. To exploit these and other opportunities, it is desirable to pursue research in econometrics, programing studies, models, and optimum rates for all phases of R&D programs.

58; 62; 75

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS OF ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

This chapter considers what R&D will be required on physical, chemical, or biological agents that may be released to the environment as energy resources are developed in the future. None of the existing or envisioned energy sources imposes prohibitive health or safety hazards, but there are problems of environmental health that should be studied now and that will require even more attention as space, air, water, and energy demands increase with the Nation's population and economic growth.

The Report of the Committee on Environmental Health Problems submitted to the Surgeon General, U.S. Public Health Service, on 1 November 1961 adequately covers general R&D and manpower needs relative to environmental health. Health problems of energy development are not significantly different from those encountered in everyday industrial and community life. Just as thousands of new substances will have to be toxicologically tested as they are brought into use, so will the biological effects of existing energy forms need continued measurement and evaluation; means must be devised to evaluate effects of new ways of utilizing energy, along with development of acceptable control measures where warranted. This concern with the environment fits into four basic levels, in decreasing order of importance and increasing order of breadth:

- 1. Insuring the elements of simple survival.
- 2. Prevention of disease and poisoning.
- 3. Maintaining an environment suited to man's efficient performance.
- 4. Preservation of comfort and the enjoyment of life.

Where preventive medicine seeks to alter man's resistance to his environment, the objective of environmental health is to change or control the environment to prevent adverse effects on man and his community; the primary problems, therefore, are of an engineering and scientific nature, with some important medical aspects.

#### Air Pollution

The air-pollution potential of various energy sources is appraised in table 8–1, which shows fossil fuels as the significant sources of air pollution in 1963, 1980, and 2000.

#### Table 8-1.—Air-pollution potential of various energy sources

Energy source	Year			Remarks	
	1963	1980	2000		
Coal Oil (including shale oil). Natural gas Hydropower Fission	High do Medium_ None Low	High do Medium_ None Low	High do Medium None Low	Processing and combustion of fuel is the principal source of air pollution in 1965 and, it is believed, will be the principal source in 1980 and 2000.  No air pollution produced.  Primarily due to possible accident or im proper plant operation at reactor or fue processing plant, assuming no future air	
Geothermal Solar Tidal Wind Biochemical Fusion	Nonedo	Nonedo	Nonedo.	cooled reactors. Some dust and noncondensible gases may be discharged. No air pollution produced. Do. Do. Some gases may be discharged. Primarily due to possible accident at fusion powerplant.	

#### AIR POLLUTION BY FOSSIL FUELS

Fossil fuels release two types of pollutants, gases and particulate matter. The presence of these in the atmosphere causes chemical reactions that produce additional pollutants (hydrocarbon emission is of particular concern here). Three of the gaseous pollutants—carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, and sulfur dioxide—are specifically toxic in excessive quantity. Particulate matter causes dirtying, soiling, and loss of visibility and also carries absorbed and adsorbed gaseous and liquid pollutants into the lungs and onto surfaces of plants, materials, and structures. Some of the specifically toxic and carcinogenic airborne substances, such as lead and benz(a)-pyrene, exist in the air as solid particles. Most of these pollutants disperse and settle from the air naturally, or eventually are washed from the atmosphere by rain, but in certain congested industrial areas pollutants sometimes accumulate in sufficient amounts to damage property and affect living conditions.

#### Pollutant Production

Gaseous. Carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons result from the incomplete combustion of all fossil fuels. Nitrogen dioxide results from atmospheric conversion of the nitric oxide formed when nitrogen is combined with oxygen in the high-temperature zone of furnaces and internal combustion engines. Since nitrogen and oxygen are present regardless of the kind of fuel burned, all high-temperature fuel-burning processes yield nitrogen oxide. Sulfur dioxide also is produced by fuel combustion, and in proportion to the sulfur content of the fuel. Carbon dioxide results from the com-

plete combustion of fossil fuels and may have widespread low-level environmental implications.

**Particulate Matter.** Fly ash, soot, and other liquid or solid particles are produced in combustion processes by two means: (1) entrainment of ash and unburned or partially burned fuel particles, and (2) formation of organic particles by fractionation, pyrolysis, or synthesis in the furnace. The form of the fuel and its method of burning are influential: natural gas has essentially no ash, oil <sup>1</sup> has very little, and coal has large amounts. Coal burned on grates releases less ash to the flue gases than burning pulverized coal. Burning without formation of organic particles is easier with gas than with oil and easier with oil than with coal.

#### Technology of Pollutant Control

Fly ash is controlled by settling chambers, cyclone separators, water scrubbers, electrostatic precipitators, or filters. Current practice in steam-generating plants is to use electrostatic precipitators alone or in combination with cyclone separators. The efficiency of particulate removal ranges from 20 to 99 percent (see table 8-2). At present, filters are not extensively used for fly-ash collection, although experimental units have been tried; a decision as to their competitive position, particularly with electrostatic precipitators, must await further study. Glass-fiber bags can be used commercially at temperatures exceeding 500° F with an efficiency of greater than 99 percent. Wet scrubbers are not used, because the acidic nature of the gas stream may pose a water-pollution problem, and the cooling effects on the flue gas reduce effective stack height. Fly ash is being controlled by improved-design boilers; e.g., cyclone-furnace, slag-tap, and wet-bottom boilers. These operate at temperatures above the softening point of the ash, inducing melting and the formation of a slag that represents up to 85 percent of the fuel's ash content.

The gaseous emissions from burning fossil fuel are not commercially treated. In firing gas, oil, and pulverized coal, about 95 to 98 percent of the sulfur in the fuel is converted to sulfur dioxide, and about 1 percent goes to SO<sub>3</sub>. When coal is fired in a stoker, some of the sulfur reacts with the retained ash and only 60 to 75 percent is emitted as sulfur oxides.

Some of the methods for sulfur oxides removal are adsorption on charcoal or on recirculated fly ash; oxidation on vanadium catalysts; injection of additives such as limestones, calcium oxide, calcium carbonate, gaseous ammonia, and dolomite before precipitation; and scrubbing of the flue gas with sodium and ammonia bisulfite solutions. A promising process uses

Table 8-2.—Collection efficiencies for particulate matter from burning fossil fuels

	Type of collector				
	Mechanical			Electrical precipi-	
	Settling chambers	Cyclones	Electrical precipita- tor	tators and cyclone collectors in combina- tion	Filter
Collection efficiencypercent_	20-30	35–75	75–98	85-99+	95-99+

a moving bed of alkalized alumina. The alternative to removing of sulfur from flue gases is removing it from the fuel prior to burning.

No current technology can economically remove carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, and oxides of nitrogen from flue gases of stationary combustion sources, although the amount of carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons in flue gases is reduced by the more efficient modern combustion apparatus. Some work is in progress on developing a means to treat the objectionable gases from motor-vehicle exhausts.

#### Potential Pollutant Production

It is reasonable to expect that by 1980—certainly by 2000—most large industrial cities will have standards to limit the concentration of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, hydrocarbons, and particulate matter in the atmosphere. Such regulations may affect the kinds of fuels burned in these cities and may accelerate the use of natural and manufactured gas. If solid and liquid fuels are used in large amounts in congested areas, cleaning of their effluent gases will be required by 1980. These conclusions assume that energy demands will be satisfied without marked changes in the relative contributions of oil, coal, and natural gas, at least through the 1970's. Toward the end of the century, however, the patterns may change as nuclear energy rises, and oil and gas decline, in relative importance.

Carbon Monoxide and Hydrocarbons. The present trend towards larger units aids pollutant control, because these pollutants result from incomplete combustion and because completeness of combustion increases generally with size of combustion apparatus. Except perhaps for the motor vehicle, this trend should continue to the year 2000. Concurrently, combustion should improve in small units and motor vehicles, largely as a result of present and future regulations to control air pollution. Thus, the quantity of CO and hydrocarbons emitted to the atmosphere per million Btu of fuel burned should decrease between 1963 and 1980 and between 1980 and 2000,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The air-pollution potential of oil does not differ significantly with respect to the source of the oil; therefore, oil from oil shale is not considered separately.

369

and this trend probably will counterbalance the trend in the number of million Btu burned; hence, the total quantity of CO and hydrocarbons emitted in 1980 and 2000 will be about the same as in 1963.

Oxides of Nitrogen. The trend to larger combustion units, except for motor vehicles, tends to increase both mean combustion temperature and production of nitrogen oxides. Hence it can be anticipated that multistage combustion, designed to limit maximum flame temperature, will prevail in large units by 1980. However, the rate of increase in fuel consumption in large units is likely to be more rapid than the technological improvement, necessitating development, before the year 2000, of techniques to remove nitrogen oxides from effluent gas streams. Widespread use of devices or techniques to limit production of nitrogen oxide in small units may not be needed between now and 1980, but may be required before 2000.

Sulfur Dioxide. Unless the fuels or the effluent gases are treated, the emission of sulfur dioxide is in direct proportion to the total sulfur content of the raw fuels. Thus, if sulfur content in 1980 and 2000 remained the same as in 1963, the potential sulfur dioxide emission would increase in the ratios predicted for uncontrolled emissions of CO, hydrocarbons, and nitrogen oxide. The assumption on sulfur content, however, is uncertain. Sulfur now is removed almost entirely from natural gas, house-heating oils, and motor fuels before they are burned; partially from coal that is washed; and not at all from unwashed coal and residual fuel oil. No present technology can economically remove sulfur dioxide from powerplant flue gases, although removal may be practical when the need becomes acute. Without control measures, the sulfur-emission potential of burning unwashed coal and untreated residual oil would, by 1980, be almost two and one-half times that in 1963, and from four to six times the 1963 value by 2000. The intolerable pollution problem created by this amount of sulfur will undoubtedly force development and application of improved techniques for sulfur removal. Since this technology will develop slowly, sulfur emissions in 1980 may well be double those for 1963, but the rate of increase should be arrested and probably reversed by 2000, with the reasonable expectation of lower sulfur emissions in that year than in 1963.

Carbon Dioxide. The possible effects upon weather of increased carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere by the year 2000 have aroused some concern. The nature of this problem can be estimated by considering  $CO_2$  production on a global basis and viewing the world as a closed ecological system. In 1960, world production of energy from coal and crude oil combined was  $110 \times 10^{15}$  Btu, and the  $CO_2$  liberation was about  $10 \times 10^9$  tons. The annual respiratory exchange of the  $3 \times 10^9$  people living on earth during 1960 contributed an estimated  $1.0 \times 10^9$  tons of

CO<sub>2</sub>. Without counterbalancing by reductive processes such as photosynthesis, the annual CO<sub>2</sub> contribution to the world's effective atmospheric envelope would be about 1.5 parts per million (ppm) from these two energy sources alone. The present concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the earth's atmosphere is 300 ppm by volume. Oxidative energy sources other than fossil fuels and humans will add considerably to CO<sub>2</sub> production in the world. Considering only human and fossil-fuel energy sources, the cause for concern is evident when it is realized that the current doubling time for world population is about 30 years, and about 20 years for world fossilfuel combustion.

The problem hinges on the infrared-absorption properties of CO<sub>2</sub>, causing outgoing radiant heat from the earth to be trapped near the surface. According to recent calculations, doubling of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere would cause a rise of from 2.5° to 6.5° F in the surface temperature. An average temperature shift of this magnitude, in either direction, would have far-reaching meteorological effects. The carbon dioxide theory has provided plausible explanations of the climatic oscillations of geologic time and the coming and going of glacial periods. Unfortunately, satisfactory evidence of CO2 concentration in the atmosphere during earlier geological epochs has not been discovered; in fact, direct measurements during the past 50 years fail to indicate reliably whether the atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> has increased. Plass indicated that a plot of such measurements could be fitted with a linear curve that increased by about 30 ppm during the half century; he stated, however, that the probable error for most of the measurements was so large that this result was not firmly established.

On one side, it must be realized that the current rate of population growth, with its concurrent disturbance of the carbon cycle through combustion of fossil fuels, represents the greatest ecological upheaval in human history. On the other side, it must be noted that worldwide photosynthetic reduction by plants assimilates some  $60 \times 10^9$  tons of  $CO_2$  per year. Obviously, with an estimable sink this large, and with qualitative considerations of the generation time of various forms of plant life (especially the algae), weathering of rocks, absorption of  $CO_2$  in the oceans, and deposition as carbonates, etc., the onset of a serious imbalance in world ecology, detrimental to man, does not appear to be a problem of alarming magnitude. On a quantitative basis, however, knowledge is lacking. Therefore, research aimed at defining this problem is needed together with watchful measurement of world temperatures and atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations, using instruments and techniques with the required sensitivity and reliability.

Particulate Matter. The technology for removing particulate matter from effluent gas streams is well developed, and undoubtedly will be further

successful because of the close cooperation and active participation of various Federal agencies and scientific disciplines. From such studies will come more effective long-term monitoring procedures and criteria for future waste treatment.

Physical dispersion of radioactive effluents in estuarine and coastal waters has been studied by the Chesapeake Bay Institute (CBI) of Johns Hopkins University for AEC. Field measurements of currents, temperature, and salinity in New York Harbor by the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey and data analyses by CBI have provided means for evaluating the safety of nuclear-ship operations within the harbor. A major technical breakthrough by CBI—development of a rhodamine B fluorescent technique with a field sensitivity of 0.2 parts per billion—allows direct measurement of the dispersion of a controlled contaminant introduced into natural water bodies, on a significant time and space scale and at reasonable material cost. A series of dye studies in coastal waters off Cape Kennedy, which are part of the aerospace nuclear space program, utilizes this technique.

Studies in the sea-disposal areas of the Atlantic and Pacific coasts have examined the oceanic environment to see if the discharge of solid, packaged, low-activity waste caused any adverse effect. Seasonal surveys have included the collection of plankton, bottom sediments, fish, and sea water to the thousand-fathom depth. The results of alpha, beta, and gamma low-level counting analyses showed that radioactivity in bottom sediment, benthonic organisms, and bottom fish did not exceed that of the natural background.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Over \$200 million has been spent in the AEC complex on capital facilities for collection, handling, treatment, and disposal of radioactive waste; for these, annual operating costs are estimated at over \$6 million. At present, a greater part of the capital cost is associated with handling and storage of high-activity liquid waste. The economics of ultimate disposal of highly radioactive waste is uncertain, however, because there has been no actual operating experience.

Long-range engineering and economic studies at ORNL are aimed at supplying some indication of the magnitude of waste-management costs in a future nuclear-power economy. The work completed so far estimates total cost in the range 0.026 to 0.03 mill per kwh of electricity, for interim liquid storage, calcination, interim solids storage, shipment (1,000-mile round trip) to an ultimate disposal site, and storage in salt deposits. Detailed cost breakdowns of these estimates, as a function of interim liquid-storage time, are given in table 8–10.

Table 8-10.—Management costs in mills per kilowatt-hour of electricity for Purex <sup>1</sup> and Thorex wastes

	Interim liquid storage time (years)				
Management operation	0	1	3	10	30
	Mills per kilowatt-hour				
Interim liquid storage	0 . 0161 . 0046 . 0035 . 0061	0. 0027 . 0124 . 0046 . 0035 . 0057	0. 0040 . 0091 . 0044 . 0028 . 0060	0.0064 .0087 .0039 .0025 .0073	0. 0095 . 0087 0 . 0025 . 0075
Total	. 0303	. 0289	. 0263	. 0288	. 0286

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fuel exposures taken as 10 Mwd per kg U and 20 Mwd per kg Th.

Economic analysis and data from laboratory and engineering-scale operations foster a firm belief that waste-management operations should not be a major obstacle to development of economical nuclear power.

## Bibliography

- 1. Berger, B. B. Does the Production of Power Pollute Our Nation's Rivers? Power Eng., v. 65, No. 3, March 1961, pp. 60-61.
- Blomeke, J. O., et al. Estimated Costs for Management of High-Activity Power Reactor Processing Wastes. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL-TM-559, 1963.
- Cottrell, Wm. B., W. H. Jordan, and Associates. Nuclear Safety—A Quarterly Technical Progress Review prepared for Division of Technical Information, USAEC. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Available from U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20402.
- Edison Electric Institute. Report of Technical Task Force, Radioactive Waste Handling in the Nuclear Power Industry. New York, EEI 60-46, 1960.
- International Atomic Energy Agency. Proceedings of Symposium on Treatment and Storage of High-Level Radioactive Waste. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, 1963.
- 6. Report of Panel, Technology of Radioactive Waste Management Avoiding Environmental Disposal. Vienna, Austria, Tech. Rept. Ser. 27, 1964.
- Plass, G. N. Carbon Dioxide and the Climate. Am. Scientist, v. 44, July 1956, pp. 302-316.
- Stern, A. C. (editor). Air Pollution. Academic Press, New York, v. I and II. 1961.
- Thompson, T. J., and J. G. Beckerley (editors). The Technology of Nuclear Reactor Safety. V. I: Reactor Physics and Control, 1964; v. II: Reactor Materials and Engineering, 1964.

- Criteria for A-C Transmission System Performance. Advisory Comm. Rept. No. 6, February 1963.
- Estimated Installed Cost for EHV Transmission Facilities. Advisory Comm. Rept. No. 10, May 1963.
- Extra-High Voltage Levels Recommended as Standards and Maximum Voltages Recommended in Survey. Advisory Comm. Rept. No. 9, May 1963.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Technical and Economic Considerations of High-Voltage Alternating-Current Intertie Lines. June 1962.

#### PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE

# THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

February 15, 1963

#### MEMORANDUM FOR

The Secretary of the Interior
The Secretary of Commerce
Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission
Chairman, Council of Economic Advisers
Chairman, Federal Power Commission
Director, Bureau of the Budget
Director, National Science Foundation
Director, Office of Science and Technology
Director, Office of Emergency Planning

#### SUBJECT: Interdepartmental Energy Study

- 1. Following receipt of the Atomic Energy Commission report, dated November 20, 1962, on the subject of Civilian Nuclear Power, I asked the Director of the Bureau of the Budget for his recommendations as to the most effective way to deal with the question, which the Commission considered inappropriate to cover in its report, of the possible effects of major research efforts on the economics of non-nuclear energy sources, or on improved transmission methods for either nuclear or non-nuclear produced energy. In addition, I requested recommendations for dealing with the broader economic questions which are implicit in the Commission's report, such as the size and characteristics of future demands for energy, possible price trends for fuels, and available alternative technical approaches to the problem of supplying the long-term energy requirements of the nation.
- 2. The significance of energy resources to our society has again been underscored by recent studies of the National Academy of Sciences and the Federal Council on Science and Technology, and by the Report of the National Fuels and Energy Study Group to the Senate Interior and Insular Affairs Committee. These studies also stress the need for balanced, comprehensive development of energy sources, improved generation and trans-

mission facilities, and greater efficiency in the utilization of energy. The report by the Atomic Energy Commission focused attention on the role of civilian nuclear power in our total supply of energy over the future decades. Each of these reports, in whole or in part, discusses the impact of research and development on the cost and availability of energy to the nation. A study of electric power requirements has also been undertaken by the Federal Power Commission.

- 3. The amount and allocation of Federal research and development in the energy field will affect the efficiency of various components of our energy system, and, consequently, the rate and pattern of our national economic growth.
- 4. Acting upon the recommendations of the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, I direct that a comprehensive study be undertaken of the development and utilization of our total energy resources to aid in determining the most effective allocation of our research and development resources. I would expect the Department of the Interior and the Federal Power Commission to provide significant assistance in the conduct of the study.
- 5. The study is to be undertaken under the direction of a committee comprised of the above listed officers, with the Director of the Office of Science and Technology as Chairman and the Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers as Vice Chairman. Where appropriate, departments and agencies not represented on the committee should participate in the development of the study and be consulted regarding it.
  - 6. An interim report should be submitted by September 1, 1963.

(S) John F. Kennedy

#### SPECIAL CONTRIBUTORS

Banks, Robert B. Choudhury, P. R.

Clark, James

Cook, Charles D.

Delehanty, George

Duclos, Donald P.

Fowler, T. K.

Fuhs, A. E.

Hermann, Edward R.

Hoglund, Richard F.

Lane, James A.

McCredie, John W.

McGowen, John P.

McKinnon, Ronald

Netschert, Bruce

Ordung, Philip

Provinelli, Louis A.

Rothenberg, Thomas J.

Simon, Albert

Smith, David Clark

Thornton, John

Vallance, John M.

Warder, Richard C., Jr.

Wendt, John F.

Williams, Forman A.

# AUTHORS OF TECHNICAL PAPERS

Author	Organization	
Adler, Hans H.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Raw Materials	
Averitt, Paul	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey	
Barnhill, John	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service	
Barton, H. J.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas	
Baumgartner, Donald	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service	
Belter, Walter G.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Bickel, Herbert J.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation	
Botsford, Charles W.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Boyd, William T.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Bruce, Albert W.	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	
Burman, Lawrence M.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Butler, Arthur P., Jr.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey	
Cohn, Ernst M.	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Advanced Research Technology	
Congress, A. Eugene	U.S. Department of the Navy Bureau of Yards and Docks	
Crentz, William L.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Cybulskis, Peter	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Devine, William, Jr.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Production	

Dowling, Francis R.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Production
Droessler, Earl G.	National Science Foundation
Dubrow, Morgan D.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Assistant Secretary—Water and Power Development
Duncan, Donald C.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Ellerbrake, Earle G.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas
Friedman, Irving	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Gabelman, John W.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Raw Materials
Gerber, Abraham	American Electric Power Company, Inc.
Gillam, W. Sherman	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Saline Water
Gooding, Richard M.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Grabrowski, Edward J.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Production
Grayson, L. E.	Consultant
Guidry, Joseph E.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Assistant Secretary—Water and Power Development
Hara, Edward E.	U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Prisons
Heller, Austin N.	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service
Hendricks, Thomas A.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Higgins, Edward L.	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service
Huth, J. H.	U.S. Department of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
Katell, Sidney	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Kilbourne, C. E.	General Electric Company
Kingston, Robert H.	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Lincoln Laboratory
Lof, George O. G.	Consultant

|--|

Lowry, Homer H.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Lund, Theodore J.	U.S. Department of the Navy Bureau of Ships
Manowitz, Bernard	Brookhaven National Laboratory
Mansfield, Edwin	Harvard University
Marks, A. M.	Marks Polarized Corporation
Mather, R. John	Federal Power Commission Bureau of Power
McDonald, S. L.	University of Texas
McKelvey, Vincent	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Mintzer, David	Northwestern University
Moore, Charles L.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas
Murphy, Zane E.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Myers, James W.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Newman, Louis L.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Nininger, Robert D.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Raw Materials
Norton, Joseph J.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Olson, Jerry C.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
Oltman, Roy E.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey
O'Riordan, Patrick A.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development
Patton, A. D.	Westinghouse Electric Corporation Electric Utility Systems Engineering
Phillips, Jack E.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines
Rettie, James C.	U.S. Department of the Interior Resources Program Staff
Roberts, H. E.	Federal Power Commission Bureau of Power
Rosenbaum, Bernard B.	U.S. Department of the Navy Bureau of Ships

Roth, Orville T.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Ruark, Arthur E.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Research	
Ryan, John	Humble Oil and Refining Company	
Schramm, Lester W.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Scott, Walter	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Advanced Research Technology	
Searl, Milton F.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Operations Analysis and Forecasting	
Simmons, Julius M.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Stern, Arthur C.	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service	
Stetson, Nathaniel P.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Production	
Stewart, Arthur J., Jr.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Szego, George C.	Institute for Defense Analysis	
Thrall, Fred	U.S. Department of the Army Corps of Engineers	
Tosh, John S.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Trantham, Forest F.	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas	
Vallance, John M.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Reactor Development	
Van Blarcom, Ernest C.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Raw Materials	
Van Ness, J. E.	Northwestern University	
Vogely, William A.	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Watkins, J. Wade	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines	
Weinberger, Leon	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service	
Wheeler, R. Phillips	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas	
White, Donald E.	U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey	

Wilhelm, Clarence J.

U.S. Department of the Interior

Bureau of Mines

Wolfson, David E.

U.S. Department of the Interior

Bureau of Mines

Zimmer, Harry J.

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

Division of Production

American Gas Association

Edison Electric Institute

# REVIEWERS OF TECHNICAL PAPERS

Reviewers

Allsman, Paul T.

Anderson, John S. Aude, T. R.

Axe, W. Nelson

Bacon, T. S. Baker, B. S. Ball, Douglas

Barnet, W. I. Batchelder, H. R. Benedict, Manson

Bentz, Keith

Bettersworth, Thomas A.

Beverly, Robert Bickel, Herbert J. Block, Fred G. Bordwell, F. G.

Brittan, Raymond O. Brogan, Thomas R.

Brown, F. B.
Brown, William C.

Buckley, Stuart E.

Chambers, Fred Chaney, N. K.

Churchill, M. A. Clebsch, Alfred

Cochran, Neal P.

Cohen, Karl Cohn, Ernst M. Cole, Julian D.

Coltman, J. N. Cottrell, William B.

Cregan, R. J. Creutz, E.

Culver, William

Organization

U.S. Department of the Interior

Bureau of Mines

Utah Construction and Mining Company

Service Pipe Line Company Phillips Petroleum Company

Lone Star Gas Company Institute of Gas Technology Ball Associates, Ltd.

ball Associates, Ltd.

Union Oil Company of California

Battelle Memorial Institute

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Union Carbide Nuclear Company
Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

Radio Corporation of America Northwestern University Argonne National Laboratory Avco-Everett Research Laboratory Union Carbide Olefins Company

Raytheon Corporation Petroleum Consultant

Tennessee Valley Authority American Gas Association Tennessee Valley Authority U.S. Department of the Interior

Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Coal Research

General Electric Company

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Harvard University

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Oak Ridge National Laboratory Westinghouse Electric Corporation General Dynamics Corporation Institute for Defense Analysis Daniels, Farrington Davis, Nelson L. Davis, W. K. Dean, William E. Delicate, Donald T. Dietz, A. G. H. DiNunno, Joseph J. Dodge, Barnett F.

Egli, Paul H.

Elliott, Martin A.

Feldman, Karl Fitch, Albert Fraser, Thomas

Gamble, George P. Garvey, James R. Gaston, E. C. Gebhardt, R. C. Gerber, Abraham Geyer, John C. Gilliam, W. S.

Given, Peter H. Guthrie, Boyd

Hall, E. P.
Hardy, Carroll
Hartley, Fred L.
Hassialis, Menelaos D.
Hatch, L. P.
Hatsopoulos, George N.
Hawkins, George A.
Haywood, P. G.
Hazen, Wayne C.
Henry, Hall M.
Hottel, H. C.
Huebner, George
Hurwitz, Henry, Jr.
Huth, J. H.

Irish, E. R.

Jensen, D. A.

Jones, John B. Jordan, R. C. Joyce, Thomas J.

Kalhe, Loren

University of Wisconsin
Nelson L. Davis Company
Bechtel Corporation
Tennessee Valley Authority
Homestake-Sapin Partners
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Yale University

U.S. Department of the Navy Naval Research Laboratory Illinois Institute of Technology

Colonial Pipe Line Company The Anaconda Company Consultant

Babcock and Wilcox Company
Bituminous Coal Research, Inc.
Southern Services, Inc.
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
American Electric Power Company, Inc.
Johns Hopkins University
U.S. Department of the Interior
Office of Saline Water
Pennsylvania State University
Socony Mobil Oil Company

Consolidation Coal Company
National Coal Association
Union Oil Company of California
Columbia University
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Thermo-Electron Engineering Corporation
Purdue University
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Hazen Research, Inc.
NEGEA Service Corporation
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Chrysler Motor Corporation
General Electric Company
U.S. Department of Defense
Advanced Research Projects Agency

General Electric Company

Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Board, Los Angeles, Calif. Cameron and Jones, Inc. University of Minnesota Institute of Gas Technology

Standard Oil Company of New Jersey

Landsberg, Hans Lasky, Samuel G.

Lawroski, S. Levin, Harry Lewis, William A. Linden, Henry R. Lowry, Homer H.

MacNaughton, Lewis W. Marshall, S. McEwen, L. H. McKelvey, Vincent

McKenzie, D. E.
McMorris, William L.
Mengers, Charles F.
Merritt, Phillip L.
Migra, Robert P.
Miller, Park H., Jr.
Montgomery, W. G.
Morris, H. B.
Murphy, George W.
Murray, James V.

Natland, M. L. Neuworth, Martin B. Newman, L. L.

Nininger, Robert D.

O'Brien, Jerome I.

Ogorzaly, H. J. O'Leary, W. J.

Page, L. R.

Palo, George P.
Pasternack, David S.
Phelps, E. R.
Potter, Charles J.
Prien, Charles H.

Reas, William H.
Reed, William B.
Reid, W. T.
Richards, John Y.
Riley, Warren
Roddis, L. H., Jr.
Rosa, Richard J.
Ross, Bernd
Ryan, John

Resources for the Future, Inc.
U.S. Department of the Interior
Office of Coal Research
Argonne National Laboratory
Texaco, Inc.
Illinois Institute of Technology
Institute of Gas Technology
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines

Degolyer and MacNaughton
National Lead Company of Ohio
General Electric Company
U.S. Department of the Interior
Geological Survey
Atomics International
United States Steel Corporation
Philadelphia Electric Company
Atlas International
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
General Dynamics Corporation
The Jeffrey Manufacturing Company
Bechtel Corporation
Heidelberg University
Union Carbide Olefins Company

Richfield Oil Corporation
Consolidation Coal Company
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Oil and Gas Esso Research and Engineering Company Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company

U.S. Department of the Interior Geological Survey Tennessee Valley Authority University of Alberta Peabody Coal Company Rochester and Pittsburgh Coal Company Denver Research Institute

Hanford Atomic Products Operation General Electric Company Battelle Memorial Institute Joy Manufacturing Company Koppers Company, Inc. Pennsylvania Electric Company Avco-Everett Research Laboratory Hoffman Electronics Humble Oil and Refining Company Sawyer, J. W.

Schiller, J. C.
Schurr, Samuel
Searl, Milton F.
Sens, W. H.
Seymour, Allen
Shair, R. C.
Shappert, L. B.
Sherwood, Thomas K.
Silverman, Leslie

Spiewak, K. Stevenson, C. E. Stoller, S. M.

Sisk, F. J.

Sutton, George W. Szego, George C.

Talaat, M. W.
Taylor, J. Edward
Teitelbaum, Perry
Thompson, J. T.
Twole, Charles C., Jr.
Tschinkel, Johann G.

Underwood, A. F.

Van Atta, C. M. Vann, Harold E. Vogely, William A.

Vorum, Donald A.

Watkins, Dean Watson, Robert

Way, Stewart Wilson, Volney C. Wright, J. K.

Yeager, J. H. Yellott, John U.S. Department of the Navy Bureau of Ships

Humble Oil and Refining Company Resources for the Future, Inc. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Corporation

University of Washington Gulton Industries, Inc.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Harvard University U.S. Department of the Navy

Bureau of Ships Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Argonne National Laboratory S. M. Stoller Associates

General Electric Company Institute for Defense Analysis

Martin Company
Thompson Ramo Wooldridge, Inc.
Resources for the Future, Inc.
Johns Hopkins University
United Nuclear Corporation
Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Corporation

General Motors Corporation

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Jackson & Moreland, Inc.
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
Consulting Chemical Engineer

Watkins-Johnson Company
U.S. Department of the Army
Army Research Office
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
General Electric Company

Central Electricity Research Laboratories

Great Britain

Mallinckrodt Chemical Works

John Yellott Engineering Associates, Inc.

American Boiler Manufacturers Association American Gas Association American Petroleum Institute Independent Petroleum Association of America National Coal Association Nation Coal Policy Conference, Inc.

### INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels

Coal Extraction Techniques

Coal Transportation

Education

**Energy Economics** 

Fission Reactors

Fuel Cells

Fusion

Gas Transportation

Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation

Oil and Gas Utilization

Oil Exploration and Extraction

Oil Shale

Oil Transportation

Physics and Basic Science

Pollution Problems

Solar Energy

Thermal Power Generation

Thermionic Power Generation

Thermoelectric Power Generation

Transmission and Storage of Electricity

Water Desalination

APPENDIX F

### MEMBERS OF INDUSTRY ADVISORY **COMMITTEES**

Committee Member	Committee	Affiliation
Agruss, B	Solar Energy	General Motors Corporation.
Allen, George	Oil Shale	Union Oil Company of California.
Altman, M	Thermionic Power Generation.	University of Pennsylvania.
Anderson, Gaylord	Pollution Problems	University of Minnesota.
Archie, Gustave E	Oil Shale	Shell Development Company.
Baker, William O	Physics and Basic Science.	Bell Telephone Laboratories.
Barmat, M	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	General Instruments Corporation.
Barriger, John W	Coal Transportation	Pittsburgh & Lake Erie Rail- road.
Batchelder, Howard R	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	Battelle Memorial Institute.
Beattie, K. W	Thermal Power Generation.	Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company.
Benedict, Manson	Fission Reactors	Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
Bentz, Keith	Gas Transportation	Natural Gas Pipeline Company.
Blair, John	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
Block, F	Thermionic Power Generation.	Whittier Electronic Devices.
Bogstahl, Morris	Oil Transportation	Shell Oil Company.
Bond, P. J.	Oil Transportation	Pure Oil Company.
Boyd, William T	Coal Extraction Tech- niques.	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
Brosius, A. J	Coal Transportation	Union Barge Line Corporation.
Calhoun, John C., Jr	Water Desalination	U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of the Secretary.
Calvert, J. G	Solar Energy	Ohio State University.
Capron, William	Energy Economics	Council of Economic Advisors.
Carr, Braxton	Coal Transportation	American Waterways Operators, Inc.
Clark, Richard L	Water Desalination	U.S. Department of the Interior.
Cleary, Edward J	Pollution Problems	Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission.

Committee Member Cochran, Neal P	Committee Coal Conversion to	Affiliation U.S. Department of the Interior,
20011 an, 110at 2	Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	Office of Coal Research.
Cohen, Karl	Fission Reactors	General Electric Company.  National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
Colgate, Sterling	Fusion	University of California.
Cousins, M. V	Gas Transportation	United Gas Pipeline Company.
Crawford, Clarence	Oil Shale	Oil Shale Corporation.
Crowe, R. H	Gas Transportation	Trancontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation.
de Hoffman, Frederic	Fission Reactors, Physics and Basic Science.	General Atomic Division, General Dynamics Corporation.
Delahanty, George	Energy Economics	Northwestern University.
Demetriades, S. T	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Northrop Corporation.
Dennis, Frank	Oil Transportation	American Petroleum Institute.
Dickey, Parke A	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	University of Tulsa.
Disbrow, G. Ward	Oil Transportation	Ashland Oil and Refining Company.
Donaldson, Coleman	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Aeronautical Research Associates of Princeton.
Dreisen, Alexander	Oil and Gas Utilization	Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company.
Droightmueller, E. A	Oil and Gas Utilization	Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Corporation.
Duffie, John A	Solar Energy	University of Wisconsin.
Eckaus, Richard S	Energy Economics	Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
Edlund, Milton	Fission Reactors	Babcock & Wilcox Company.
Egli, Paul H	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Research Laboratory.
Elliott, Martin A	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels,	Illinois Institute of Technology.
Ertl, Tell	Oil Shale	General Meters.
Evans, George E	Fuel Cells	Union Carbide Corporation.
Fancher, George	Oil Shale, Oil Explora- tion and Extraction.	Sinclair Research, Inc.
Faull, R. F	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	California Research Corporation.
Fiala, S. N	Thermal Power Generation.	American Electric Power Service Corporation.
Field, J. H	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
Fowler, T. K	Fusion	Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
Fritts, R. W	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company.

	Q in	A#II ation
Committee Member	Committee	Affiliation  Lawrence Radiation Labora-
Furth, Harold	Fusion	tory.
Garvey, James R	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	Bituminous Coal Research, Inc.
Gatlin, Carl	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	University of Texas.
Geiger, C. D	Oil Shale	Humble Oil and Refining Company.
Gilley, James E	Coal Extraction Techniques.	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
Godwin, Richard P	Water Desalination	Bechtel Corporation.
Goglia, Mario J	Education	Georgia Institute of Technology.
Goldmann, Jacob	Physics and Basic Science.	Ford Motor Company.
Gough, William C	Fusion	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
Gourdine, M. C	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Curtiss-Wright Corporation.
Graham, John W	Education	University of Rochester.
Grant, Bruce	Oil Shale	Sinclair Research, Inc.
Green, Cecil H	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	Texas Instruments, Inc.
Griscom, E. W	Oil Transportation	Sinclair Refining Company.
Grover, George M	Thermionic Power Generation.	Los Alamos Scientific La- boratory.
Guthrie, Boyd	Oil Shale	Socony Mobil Oil Company.
Hadley, R. F	Oil Transportation	Sun Pipeline Company.
Hall, Newman A	Education	Commission on Engineering
Han, Newman 71	Lucation	Education.
Hammond, R. Philip	Water Desalination	Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
Heath, C. E	Fuel Cells	Esso Research and Engineering Company.
Heinen, C. M	Oil and Gas Utilization .	Chrysler Corporation.
Hemmingway, H. L	Oil and Gas Utilization .	Pure Oil Company.
Henderson, Robert E	Fuel Cells	General Motors Corporation.
Herbst, W. A	Oil and Gas Utilization	Esso Research and Development
Herbst, W. A	On and Gas Communion	Company.
Hocott, C. R	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	Humble Oil and Refining Company.
Hodges, D. B	Oil Transportation	Shell Oil Company.
Hoover, Edgar	Energy Economics	University of Pittsburgh.
Huber, Paul	Oil and Gas Utilization	Ford Motor Company.
Hunger, Herbert	Fuel Cells	U.S. Army Electronics Command.
Hurwitz, Henry, Jr	Fusion	~

Committee Member	Committee	Affiliation
Huth, J. H	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation, Fuel Cells.	U.S. Department of Defense, Advanced Research Projects Agency.
Innis, Joe T	Gas Transportation	Northern Natural Gas Company.
Irgens, F. T	Oil and Gas Utilization	Outboard Marine Corporation.
Jensen, A	Thermionic Power Generation.	Electro-Optical Systems, Inc.
Jessel, Joseph	Water Desalination	Federal Power Commission.
Jones, D. C	Coal Extraction Techniques.	Pennsylvania State University
Jones, John B	Oil Shale	Cameron and Jones, Inc.
Josephson, Vernal	Fusion	Aerospace Corporation.
Joslin, Murray	Transmission and Storage of Electricity.	Commonwealth Edison Company.
Kac, Mark	Physics and Basic Science.	Rockefeller Institute.
Katell, Sidney	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels, Coal Trans- portation.	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
Katz, D. L	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	University of Michigan.
Kerley, R. V	Oil and Gas Utilization	Ethyl Corporation.
Kerrebrock, J. L	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Avco-Everett Research Laboratory.
Kilbourne, C. E	Thermal Power Generation.	General Electric Company.
Kilgore, Lee A	Thermal Power Generation.	Westinghouse Electric Corporation.
Kirkland, T. G	Fuel Cells	Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company.
Klem, Robert L	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Radio Corporation of America.
Kolb, Alan	Fusion	U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Research Laboratory.
Krasik, Sidney	Fission Reactors	Westinghouse Electric Corporation.
Kreitzer, W. H	Oil Transportation	Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc.
Kunen, Alfred E	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Republic Aviation Corporation.
Lerner, Joseph	Energy Economics	Office of Emergency Planning.
Lewis, Bennet W	Fission Reactors	Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd.
Lewis, Marvin B	Physics and Basic Science.	Northwestern University.
Linden, Henry R	Gas Transportation, Oil Shale, Fuel Cells.	Institute of Gas Technology.
Lindseth, Emil	Water Desalination	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation.
Loeferski, J. L	Solar Energy	Brown University.
Lof, George O. G	Solar Energy	Consultant,

Committee Member	Committee	Affiliation			
Logan, John A	Pollution Problems	Rose Polytechnic Institute.			
Long, Jack	Coal Extraction Techniques.	Long-Airdox Company.			
Lott, H. A	Transmission and Storage of Electricity.	Southern California Edison Company.			
Lougher, E. H	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Battelle Memorial Institute.			
Luce, John S	Fusion	Aerojet General Nucleonics.			
Luntz, Horace	Oil Shale	Continental Oil Company.			
Lynch, R. H	Oil Transportation	Atlantic Refining Company.			
MacLarem, D. D	Oil Shale	Esso Research & Engineering.			
McClure, George	Gas Transportation	Battelle Memorial Institute.			
McClure, J. B	Thermal Power Generation.	General Electric Company.			
McCurdy, Wayne A	Coal Extraction Tech-	U.S. Department of the Interior,			
	niques.	Office of Coal Research.			
McKean, Roland	Energy Economics	Rand Corporation.			
McKinnon, Ronald	Energy Economics	Stanford University.			
Marks, A. M	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	Marks Polarized Corporation.			
Maxwell, C. R	Oil and Gas Utilization.	Caterpillar Tractor Company.			
Metzler, Dwight	Pollution	Kansas Division of Water Resources.			
Migra, Robert P	Thermionic Power Generation.	National Aeronautics and Space Administration.			
Miller, Carl E	Thermal Power Genera- tion.	Combustion Engineering, Inc.			
Montgomery, W. G	Coal Extraction Tech- niques.	Jeffrey Manufacturing Company.			
Moore, D. L	Coal Extraction Tech- niques.	Consolidation Coal Company.			
Morgan, K. Z	Pollution	Oak Ridge National Labora- tory.			
Morrison, M. K	Thermal Power Generation.	General Electric Company.			
Morse, Richard A	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	Gulf Research and Develop- ment Company.			
Murray, James V		Union Carbide Olefins Company.			
Nanz, Robert H., Jr		Shell Development Company.			
Nelson, Richard		Rand Corporation.			
Newman, L. L	0 10	U.S. Department of the Interior,			
1(0111111111111111111111111111111111111	Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	Bureau of Mines.			
Nottingham, Wayne	1907-407 190 IPO IPO IPO	Massachusetts Institute of Tech- nology.			
O'Brien, M. P	. Education				
Oppenheim, A. K	. Education	. University of California.			
O'Riordan, Patrick A.	. Thermionic Power	U.S. Atomic Energy Com-			
	Generation.	mission.			

	Committee	Affiliation
Committee Member	Gas Transportation	Columbia Gas System Service
Orlofsky, S	Ous Transportation	Corporation.
Oster, Eugene A	Fuel Cells	General Electric Company.
Palo, George P	Thermal Power	Tennessee Valley Authority.
	Generation.	U.S. Department of the Interior,
Palowitch, Eugene R	Coal Extraction	Bureau of Mines.
n C. I	Techniques. Solar Energy	Stanford University.
Pearson, G. L	Fuel Cells	Texas Instruments, Inc.
Penner, Sol	Education	Institute for Defense Analysis.
Perry, L	Thermoelectric Power	General Atomic Division, Gen-
1611), -	Generation.	eral Dynamics Corporation.
Peters, Max S	Education	University of Colorado.
Phelps, E. R	Coal Extraction	Peabody Coal Company.
	Techniques.	General Atomic Division, Gen-
Pidd, Robert W	Thermionic Power Generation.	eral Dynamics Corporation.
Pittman, Frank K	Fission Reactors	U.S. Atomic Energy Commis-
Pittinan, Frank K	Tission Teacors	sion.
Pittman, R. R	Transmission and Storage	Arkansas Power and Light
7	of Electricity.	Company.
Plowman, E. G	Coal Transportation	U.S. Department of Commerce. Lawrence Radiation Labora-
Post, Richard	Fusion	tory.
D: CL 1-11	Oil Shale	Denver Research Institute.
Prien, Charles H Prince, Morton	Solar Energy	Electro-Optical Systems, Inc.
Prince, Morton	Gas Transportation	Southern California Gas Com-
110001, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	•	pany.
Rappaport, Paul	Solar Energy	Radio Corporation of America
		Laboratories. Thermo Electron Engineering
Rasor, Ned	Thermionic Power	Corporation.
- · · ·	Generation. Oil Exploration and	Socony Mobil Oil Company.
Reichertz, Paul	Extraction.	, ,
Reichl, Eric	17000000000000000000000000000000000000	Consolidation Coal Company.
Reieni, Erie	Liquid and Gaseous	
	Fuels, Coal Extraction	
	Techniques, Coal	
	Transportation.	University of California.
Revelle, Roger		Joy Manufacturing Company.
Richards, John Y	niques.	Joy 2.22
Riley, Warren	•	Koppers Company, Inc.
Ringland, William L		Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eration.	Company.
Ritching, Frank	. Thermal Power Gen-	Ebasco Services, Inc.
	eration.	U.S. Atomic Energy Commis-
Ritzmann, R. W	. Water Desalination	sion.
Debents H E	. Thermal Power Gen-	Federal Power Commission.
Roberts, H. E	eration.	

Committee Member Committee		Af filiation			
Robey, T. L	Gas Transportation	American Gas Association.			
Robinson, Myles E	Coal Transportation	National Coal Association.			
Roddis, L. H., Jr	Fission Reactors	Pennsylvania Electric Com-			
D D I	Massatahudaadamamia	pany. Avco-Everett Research Labora-			
Rosa, R. J	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.				
B - D - 11	Fusion	tory.  Massachusetts Institute of Tech-			
Rose, David	rusion	nology.			
Rosenbaum, B. B	Thermoelectric Power	U.S. Department of the Navy,			
Rosenbaum, 2, 2, , , , , ,	Generation.	Bureau of Ships.			
Rosenberg, N	Solar Energy	U.S. Department of the Air			
3,	,	Force, Cambridge Research Laboratory.			
Rosenbluth, Marshall	Fusion	General Atomic Division, Gen-			
110001101101111111111111111111111111111		eral Dynamics Corporation.			
Rowand, W. H	Thermal Power Generation.	Babcock & Wilcox Company.			
Ruark, Arthur E	Fusion	U.S. Atomic Energy Commis-			
		sion.			
Ruina, Jack	Physics and Basic	Communications Satellite Cor-			
	Science.	poration.			
Savage, John	Oil Shale	Consultant.			
Schambra, W. P	Oil Shale	The Dow Chemical Company.			
Schurr, Samuel	Energy Economics	Resources for the Future, Inc.			
Scott, Walter	Solar Energy	National Aeronautics and Space Administration.			
Sharrah, Marion L	Oil Shale	Continental Oil Company.			
Sheckler, Addison C	Thermoelectric Power	Carrier Research & Develop-			
	Generation.	ment Company.			
Sherwood, T. K	Water Desalination	Massachusetts Institute of			
		Technology.			
Sieder, E. M	Water Desalination	U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Saline Water.			
Silverman, Daniel	Oil Exploration and	Pan American Petroleum Cor-			
	Extraction.	poration.			
Silverman, Leslie	Pollution Problems	Harvard University.			
Simon, Albert	Fusion	General Atomic Division, General Dynamics Corporation.			
Singer, Fred		U.S. Department of Commerce,			
	Science.	Weather Bureau.			
Slawsky, Milton		U.S. Department of the Air			
	Power Generation.	Force, Office of Scientific Research.			
Smith, S. B		Ebasco Services, Inc.			
C II I!-	Storage of Electricity.	Massachusetts Institute of			
Smullen, Louis		Technology.			
Soderberg, Carl R	Education	Massachusetts Institute of Technology.			
Spinrad, Bernard I	Fission Reactors	Argonne National Laboratory.			
Spitzer, Lyman		Princeton Observatory.			

Committee Member	Committee	Affiliation				
Spokes, Ernest M	Coal Extraction Techniques.	University of Kentucky.				
Sporn, Phillip	Transmission and Storage of Electricity.	American Electric Power Company, Inc.				
61	Fission Reactors	Atomics International.				
Starr, Chauncey Stever, H. G	Education	Massachusetts Institute of Technology.				
Strotz, RobertSullivan, J. A	Energy Economics Coal Transportation	Northwestern University.  Island Creek Fuel Transportation Company.				
Sutton, G. W	Magnetohydrodynamic Power Generation.	General Electric Company.				
Szego, George C Tallman, Woods	Fuel Cells Institute for Defense A					
Taylor, J. Edward	Fuel Cells	Thompson Ramo Wooldridge, Inc.				
Thagard, W. T	Oil Transportation, Gas Transportation.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.				
Thurston, Paul A	Magnetohydrodynamic, Power Generation.	U.S. Department of the Air Force, Office of Scientific Research.				
Tonks, Lewi	Water Desalination Fuel Cells	Consultant. Pratt & Whitney Aircraft.				
Tuck, James	Fusion	University of California.				
Turnbull, Douglas	Coal Transportation	Baltimore & Ohio Railroad.				
Underwood, A. F	Oil and Gas Utilization.	General Motors Corporation.				
Van Atta, C. M	Fusion	Lawrence Radiation Laboratory.				
Vorum, Donald A	Coal Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels.	Consultant.				
Wagner, H. A	Thermal Power Generation.	Detriot Edison Company.				
Wallis, William E	15-21 U U U	Standard Oil Company.				
Way, Stewart	Power Generation.	Westinghouse Electric Corporation.				
Weast, Robert	Gas Transportation	Con-Gas Service Corporation.				
Weaver, W. P	. Oil and Gas Utilization.					
Weber, Edward R						
Weeks, N. E		tion.				
Weiman, Irving	Solar Energy, Thermo- electric Power Genera- tion, Thermionic Power Generation.	Electro-Optical Systems, Inc.				
Weinaug, C. F		. University of Kansas.				
Weinberg, A. M.						

	Committee Member	Committee	Af filiation		
6	Wessenauer, G. O	Transmission and Storage of Electricity.	Tennessee Valley Authority.		
	Whorton, L. P	Oil Exploration and Extraction.	Atlantic Refining Company.		
	Williamson, Gerald V	Thermal Power Generation.	Union Electric Company.		
	Wilson, Volney	Thermionic Power Generation.	General Electric Company.		
	Winger, J. A	Oil Transportation	Texaco, Inc.		
	Wolfe, Ray	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Bell Telephone Laboratories.		
	Worthington, Hood	Fission Reactors	E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company.		
	Yeager, Ernst M	Fuel Cells	Western Reserve University.		
	Yellott, John I	Education	John Yellott Engineering Associates, Inc.		
	Young, Gale	Water Desalination	Oak Ridge National Laboratory.		
	Zarem, A. M	Thermoelectric Power Generation, Solar Energy, Thermionic Power Generation.	Electro-Optical Systems, Inc.		
	Zener, C. M	Thermoelectric Power Generation.	Westinghouse Electronics Corporation.		
	Zinn, Walter	Fission Reactors	Combustion Engineering, Inc.		

# DISTRIBUTION OF CONTRIBUTORS

,	Technical papers	Reviews	Advisory commit- tees	Staff and special contributors	Total	Percent of total
Industry	5	85	130	8	228	46
Government	71	39	48	6	164	33
University	2	20	37	11	76	15
Other	2	15	10	1	28	6
Totals	80	159	225	31	496	100

# ENERGY R&D AND NATIONAL PROGRESS:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

An Interdepartmental Study • September 1966

#### PRINCIPAL GOVERNMENT R&D ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Nuclear Power

The major energy issue is the size and direction of the atomic power program, both in magnitude of Government involvement and in overall national significance. The most economical program should be pursued which will insure flexibility and reap the benefits of lower energy costs. Past Government encouragement and participation was necessary to develop light-water reactors. Now, private industry is investing additional R&D in further improvements which will undoubtedly lower energy costs.

In the long run R&D should be directed towards improving reactor fuel utilization (e.g., higher conversion ratios and power densities), or providing additional supplies of low-cost fissile fuel. Even with the expected advent of breeder reactors (with conversion ratios greater than one) which would produce more fissile material than that consumed, the continued growth of nuclear power will probably be faster than the production rate of bred fissile material; therefore some fissile material will have to be supplied from natural resources. Ultimately, breeding will provide most of the fissile material for system requirements.

While private industry will probably concentrate on improving existing commercial reactors, the Government should play a key role in developing more advanced reactors with better fuel utilization. Present development schedules should be maintained so as to accomplish development and final commercial application within the normally expected 15-year time period. Concurrently, the Government should encourage development of more than one breeder or near-breeder concept as a hedge against possible failure of any one approach.

#### Crude Oil and Natural Gas Substitutes

Domestic reserves of gas and petroleum are relatively small enough to warrant governmental interest in the timely availability of additional resources and economical substitutes. Imports from other countries with large reserves and lower production costs, or substitutes in the form of fuel cells

or storage batteries, will tend to offset the impact of declining domestic reserves; but it is still desirable to retain the flexibility which domestic liquid and gaseous fuels provide.

The vast supply of shale oil represents one domestic substitute for petroleum. Both private and Government R&D have decreased processing costs. The Government should encourage R&D in extraction techniques, basic geology, and processing and utilization. Advanced mining systems deserve emphasis—particularly in situ and other extraction methods which might reduce both costs and damage to environment.

Government support of research in the conversion of coal to petroleum products is desirable as a feasible future substitute. Also, R&D should be encouraged to lower production and other costs of coal processing in general.

#### Environmental Pollution Abatement

An important energy issue lies in the extent to which the increasing atmospheric pollution from fossil fuel combustion may require imposition of further regulations on the use of these fuels. Although such a development does not appear imminent, present understanding of environmental pollution problems is rudimentary. Consideration is being given to the research recommendations contained in the report of the Environmental Pollution Panel of the President's Science Advisory Committee (October 1965), and many of these are being implemented. It is entirely possible that our overall R&D program may have to be substantially altered to control pollution.

The trend of pollution regulatory policy will stimulate substantial private research and development directed at cleansing conventional pollution sources, but unfortunately practical and economical results through this approach may not reduce pollution quickly enough. Therefore, the Government should accept its share of responsibility for increasing R&D aimed at reducing damage from ongoing activities as well as developing substitute energy sources and processes that do not pose environmental problems.

The long-run pollution problems—such as heating of streams by power-plants, acid mine drainage, and radioactive and other contamination of water and air—require continuing Government research and surveillance. Existing programs in these areas should be strongly supported.

The aesthetic "pollution" caused by open wire electric transmission in many localities is a special area for Government concern. Except for encouragement via regulation or direct Government purchase, there appears to be sparse private incentive for R&D in overhead direct current or advanced underground high-voltage transmission. Support for R&D in this technology may have to come from the Government.

Effective coordination of energy research and development programs, within the larger framework of national energy policy, will require continued attention. The committee has considered various suggestions for new coordinating mechanisms, but makes no specific recommendations at this time in the belief that questions related to research and development can either be handled by existing mechanisms, such as the Federal Council for Science and Technology, or should be looked at in a broader national context than energy alone.