FILED

17 APR 19 AM 9:00

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK E-FILED

CASE NUMBER: 17-2-09152-9 SEA

3

1

2

4

6

7

9

D.H.

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

25

26

The only correction in this Complaint is spelling "Delvonn" with two Ns.

For the related privacy principles, see R.P. v. Seattle School District, WL 639408 (Feb 18, 2014) (holding that sex abuse victim's identity is protected from public disclosure). Delvonn is spelled with two n's.

AMENDED COMPLAINT (CORRECTED) FOR DAMAGES: CHILD SEX
ABUSE & ILLEGAL CHILD PROSTITUTION - 1 of 9
CONNELLY LAW OFFICES, PLLC

2301 North 30* Street Tacoma, WA 98403 (253) 593-5100 Phone - (253) 593-0380 Fax

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY

Plaintiff,

v.

MAYOR EDWARD MURRAY,

Defendant.

NO. 17-2-09152-9 SEA

AMENDED COMPLAINT (CORRECTED) FOR DAMAGES: CHILD SEX ABUSE & ILLEGAL CHILD PROSTITUTION

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys of record, and by way of claim allege, and upon information and belief upon all other matters, as follows:

I. PARTIES

Plaintiff D.H. (real name; Delvonn¹ Heckard) is an adult male born in February
of 1971 and is the child sex victim Ed Murray.² D.H. is an openly gay man with no real
political inclinations. Prior to filing this lawsuit, D.H. visited with other lawyers within the
local legal community (who could/would not pursue the case) before retaining the Connelly
Law Offices upon the invitation of his original lawyer, Lawand Anderson. D.H. is not a pawn

in any conspiracy, as alleged by Mr. Murray and his hit team. However, D.H.'s claims are politically motivated in that he does not think a man who abuses children, and then lies about it in office, should be in high office without the public being afforded full information.

 Defendant the Honorable Mayor Edward Murray, now age 61, is a Seattle resident and at the time of most of these incidents previously resided at 303 Harvard Avenue E, Apt 304, Seattle, Washington.

II. FACTS

- 3. As a young child, at the age of fifteen (15), the plaintiff, D.H., would frequently ride the Metro Bus Number-7 in the Capitol Hill area. D.H. had recently dropped out of Nathan Hale high school during the 9th grade. D.H. was homeless and his parents were also on drugs. Young and curious, D.H. encountered Ed Murray upon the bus and developed a friendly interaction. Mr. Murray was approximately age thirty-two (32) at the time, and propositioned D.H. for private visits at his Capitol Hill apartment. D.H. recalls Mr. Murray's old phone number: 206-325-8294. D.H. recalls that as you enter the apartment, the bathroom is to the right, and across from the bathroom was the sole bedroom.
- 4. The interaction turned sexual. Prior to the sex acts, Mr. Murray asked D.H. his age, and he responded truthfully, age 15. Mr. Murray propositioned D.H. in the form of sex acts for money a form of child prostitution. Addicted to drugs at the time, D.H. was willing do whatever Mr. Murray asked for as little as \$10-20 dollars. The sex acts included various forms of intercourse anal of course and oral sex acts, with Mr. Murray always on the receiving end of oral interactions. At times, the sex turned aggressive, beyond a point to which D.H. was comfortable and/or felt that to which he had agreed. During the relevant

time-frame back 1986, D.H. recalls discussing the sexual encounters with his friend, F.W. Eventually, D.H. came to understand that Mr. Murray was doing work in politics at a location "across the street from the King County Jail" at the time.

- 5. D.H. recalls that Mr. Murray most enjoyed having his nipples pinched during sex Mr. Murray has a very freckled chest. At the time, and likely still so, Mr. Murray had a distinctive genital region including reddish pubic hair and a unique mole on his scrotum it is a small bump. Mr. Murray indicated that he enjoyed sex more if D.H. was dirty literally unclean and told D.H. not to bathe prior to sex. The sexual interactions at issue underage sex for small-amounts of money continued for an extended period of time. Admittedly, D.H. was convicted of various charges that include an extensive drug addiction, and acts of prostitution in 1990 during unrelated sting operation.
- 6. On at least one occasion, D.H. was at Mr. Murray's home when another apparently under-aged boy was at the apartment. D.H. was of the understanding that Mr. Murray was having sex with the other boy for money at the same time. D.H. recalled the other light-skinned boy from the Broadway area, where everyone would hang out. Mr. Murray wanted D.H. to participate in the sex acts as a group. D.H. participated indirectly, but "did not fully indulge" out of embarrassment at the proposition.
- 7. As an independent contention that can be expressly admitted or denied: Mr. Murray has had sex with at least one (1) underage boy for money. This question should be easy to answer and not require any investigation by Mr. Murray. Mr. Murray has either (1) had sex with an underage boy for money, or (2) Mr. Murray has not. To the extent that Mr. Murray suggests an inability to respond to this overall Complaint based upon D.H. being

referenced solely by his initials, Mr. Murray can still respond to this contention. Mr. Murray cannot reasonably respond, "which boy" to this contention.

- 8. Only within the immediate past was it that D.H.'s father died. This event, the death of D.H.'s father, prompted moments of reflection and introspection that included counseling at Sound Mental Health. These moments of reflection, and awareness that Mr. Murray maintains a position of authority, prompted the filing of this lawsuit in an attempt at accountability, and to hopefully give courage for other potential victims to come forward and speak out. According to D.H., he and Mr. Murray have had a few brief telephone interactions over the years. D.H. would be shocked if Mr. Murray does not recall exactly who he was. D.H. is currently participating in the Reach Program and trying to stay clean and move his life in a positive direction.
- 9. An early step in this lawsuit will be deposing Mr. Murray, which should occur within the first ninety (90) days of filing. D.H. believes that it will be hard, if not nearly impossible for Mr. Murray to deny the abuse. Notably, Mr. Murray has accepted collect calls at his home from D.H. over the years. Natural speculation would lead some people to believe that D.H.'s actions are politically motived which is not exactly true. In this regard, D.H. is disturbed that Mr. Murray maintains a position of trust and authority, and believes that the public has a right to full information when a trusted official exploits a child. To the extent that D.H. has any political motivations for outing Mr. Murray, they stop there. It should be noted that at no point in time, not even prior to filing this lawsuit, did D.H. make any financial demands of Mr. Murray other than trading sex acts for money as described herein. D.H. has counseling records.

Anderson. D.H. learned of Mr. Simpson and Mr. Anderson through the Seattle Times. Mr. Murray was almost charged with sodomizing Mr. Simpson back in 1984. Mr. Simpson fits the description of the other boy in the room as alleged within this Complaint. D.H. does not recognize Mr. Simpson and/or Mr. Anderson by name, or as adults, but feels affirmed in knowing the similarities of their recollection of events. Mr. Murray has admitted having relationships with Mr. Simpson and Mr. Anderson. As Mr. Simpson and Mr. Anderson have been courageous in stepping forward publicly, D.H. feels as though he should not proceed in anonymity

11. As of the filing of this Amended Complaint, Mr. Murray has proclaimed a lack of knowledge as to D.H.³ Mr. Murray has undergone multiple public interviews, made public statements, and even rushed off to his gastroenterologist to have his genitals examined. In that regard, it should be noted that D.H. alleges that Mr. Murray had the noted genital distinctions thirty years ago and not at present. Oddly, Mr. Murray's lawyers assisted Mr. Murray in hosting press conferences, making public statements, and having his genitals examined, but have not bothered, as of this day, to ask about D.H.'s real identity. Mr. Murray is entitled to this information, and his lawyers could have asked for the first day the lawsuit was filed. Instead, Mr. Murray has put on a public charade. Any normal person would have asked his accusers name before having his genitals examined. D.H.'s real name is Delvonn Heckard.

³ Mr. Murray's lawyers have still not followed normal protocol and filed a Notice of Appearance.

III. CHILDHOOD SEX ABUSE

 Mr. Murray repeatedly and criminally raped and molested D.H. when he was
legally unable to consent. Mr. Murray's violations were repugnant and unlawful under
chapter 9A.44 RCW and/or RCW 9.68A,040. RCW 9.68A,005 explains that "The legislature
finds that the prevention of sexual exploitation and abuse of children constitutes a government
objective of surpassing importance. The care of children is a sacred trust and should not be
abused by those who seek commercial gain or personal gratification based on the exploitation
of children. The legislature further finds that the protection of children from sexual
exploitation can be accomplished without infringing on a constitutionally protected activity.
The definition of 'sexually explicit conduct' and other operative definitions demarcate a line
between protected and prohibited conduct and should not inhibit legitimate scientific,
medical, or educational activities. The legislature further finds that children engaged in
sexual conduct for financial compensation are frequently the victims of sexual abuse.
Approximately eighty to ninety percent of children engaged in sexual activity for financial
compensation have a history of sexual abuse victimization. It is the intent of the legislature to
encourage these children to engage in prevention and intervention services and to hold those
who pay to engage in the sexual abuse of children accountable for the trauma they inflict on
children." According to RCW 9.68A.100, "(1) A person is guilty of commercial sexual abuse
of a minor if: (a) He or she pays a fee to a minor or a third person as compensation for a
minor having engaged in sexual conduct with him or her" RCW 9.68A.102(3) explains
that "Consent of a minor to the travel for commercial sexual abuse, or the sexually explicit act
or sexual conduct itself, does not constitute a defense to any offense listed in this section.

13

- According to RCW 4.16.340, (1) All claims or causes of action based on 13. intentional conduct brought by any person for recovery of damages for injury suffered as a result of childhood sexual abuse shall be commenced within the later of the following periods: (a) Within three years of the act alleged to have caused the injury or condition; (b) Within three years of the time the victim discovered or reasonably should have discovered that the injury or condition was caused by said act; or (c) Within three years of the time the victim discovered that the act caused the injury for which the claim is brought: PROVIDED, That the time limit for commencement of an action under this section is tolled for a child until the child reaches the age of eighteen years. (2) The victim need not establish which act in a series of continuing sexual abuse or exploitation incidents caused the injury complained of, but may compute the date of discovery from the date of discovery of the last act by the same perpetrator which is part of a common scheme or plan of sexual abuse or exploitation. (3) The knowledge of a custodial parent or guardian shall not be imputed to a person under the age of eighteen years. (4) For purposes of this section, "child" means a person under the age of eighteen years. (5) As used in this section, "childhood sexual abuse" means any act committed by the defendant against a complainant who was less than eighteen years of age at the time of the act and which act would have been a violation of chapter 9A.44 RCW or RCW 9.68A.040 or prior laws of similar effect at the time the act was committed.
- Finding—Intent—1991 c 212: "The legislature finds that: (1) Childhood sexual abuse is a pervasive problem that affects the safety and well-being of many of our

AMENDED COMPLAINT (CORRECTED) FOR DAMAGES: CHILD SEX
ABUSE & ILLEGAL CHILD PROSTITUTION - 7 of 9 CONNELLY LAW OFFICES, PLLC

citizens. (2) Childhood sexual abuse is a traumatic experience for the victim causing longlasting damage. (3) The victim of childhood sexual abuse may repress the memory of the abuse or be unable to connect the abuse to any injury until after the statute of limitations has run. (4) The victim of childhood sexual abuse may be unable to understand or make the connection between childhood sexual abuse and emotional harm or damage until many years after the abuse occurs. (5) Even though victims may be aware of injuries related to the childhood sexual abuse, more serious injuries may be discovered many years later. (6) The legislature enacted RCW 4.16.340 to clarify the application of the discovery rule to childhood sexual abuse cases. At that time the legislature intended to reverse the Washington supreme court decision in Tyson v. Tyson, 107 Wn.2d 72, 727 P.2d 226 (1986). It is still the legislature's intention that Tyson v. Tyson, 107 Wn.2d 72, 727 P.2d 226 (1986) be reversed, as well as the line of cases that state that discovery of any injury whatsoever caused by an act of childhood sexual abuse commences the statute of limitations. The legislature intends that the earlier discovery of less serious injuries should not affect the statute of limitations for injuries that are discovered later." D.H.'s statute of limitations is preserved under these assorted provisions. By and through this civil litigation process, D.H. intends to seek answers regarding the abuse, and the impact upon his life and personal well-being.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests a judgment against Defendant:

- (a) Awarding Plaintiff general damages including loss of consortium and special damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
 - (b) Awarding him reasonable attorney's fees and costs as available under law;

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

(c) Awarding him any and all applicable interest on the judgment; and

(d) Awarding him such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances of this case.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of April, 2017.

CONNELLY LAW OFFICES, PLLC

Lincoln C. Beauregard

By

Lincoln C. Beauregard, WSBA No. 32878 Julie A. Kays, WSBA No. WSBA No. 30385 Attorney for Plaintiff

L.A. LAW & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

Lawand Anderson

By

Lawand Anderson, WSBA No. 49012 Attorney for Plaintiff

NEWS

AMENDED COMPLAINT (CORRECTED) FOR DAMAGES: CHILD SEX
ABUSE & ILLEGAL CHILD PROSTITUTION - 9 of 9 CONNELLY LAW OFFICES, PLLC

2301 North 30th Street Tacoma, WA 98403 (253) 593-5100 Phone - (253) 593-0380 Fax