

2005 Dec-23 AM 11:06 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA



## U.S. Department of Justice

Alice II. Martin United States Attorney Northern District of Alabama

## VAX COVER SHEET

United States Attorney's Office 1801 Fourth Avenue, North Birmingham, Al. 35203 (205) 244-2001 FAX (205) 244-2181

DATE: August 3, 2005

TO: David A. Kimberley

CUSIMANO, REENER, ROBERTS, KIMBERLEY & MILES, P.C.

FAX # (256) 543-0488

FROM: ALICE II. MARTIN, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Edward Q. Ragland, Assistant United States Attorney

United States Attorney's Office

Birmingham, Alabama

PHONE: (205) 244-2109; FAX (205) 244-2181

CONTENTS: Response to Subpoena to FBI in case of Hammontree, et al. v.

Tom Williams Automotive

NUMBER OF PAGES: Cover Page + 2

If you do not receive the correct number of pages, please call the telephone number above.

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

THE INFORMATION TRANSMITTED IN THIS TELEFAX MAY CONTAIN LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION DELONGING TO THE SENDER. THIS TELEFAX TRANSMISSION OF PRIVILEGED INFORMATION IS INTENDED TO BE USED ONLY BY THE PERSON OR AGENCY NOTED ABOVE.

IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TELEFAX IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TELETAX IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY. YOUR CONCERN AND ATTENTION IS GREATLY APPRECIATED.



## U.S. Department of Justice

Alice H. Martin United States Attorney Northern District of Alabama

Edward Q. Rugland Oxistant U.S. Attoines (205) 244-3109 Ed daglan Libusdof, gav Civil Division 1801 Fourth Avenue North Lirmingham, Al. 35203-2101

(205) 244-2001 FAX (205) 244-2181

August 3, 2005

David A. Kimberley, Esq. CUSIMANO, KEENER, ROBERTS, KIMBERLEY & MILES, P.C. 153 South Ninth Street Godsden, Alabama 35901

Re: Civil Subpoena in *Hammontree*, et al. v. Tom Williams Automotive (Circuit Court of Jefferson County CV-2004-4724)

Dear Mr. Kimberley:

This is in response to your letters received by our office on June 14, 2005 and July 14, 2005, and the accompanying "Civil Subpoena For Production of Documents, etc Under Rule 45, ARCP," which directs Scott Balcom of the Pederal Bureau of Investigation (PBI) to produce certain investigatory records.

Presenant to 28 C.F.R. § 16.24 and in consideration of the factors specified in 28 C.F.R. § 16.26(b), we have determined that the FBI may not comply with your state subpoena. The scope of the records sought is broad and includes "any file contents of the investigation of a Craig T. Wurzbacher." Thus, the subpoena seeks records and items protected by the law enforcement privilege. 28 C.F.R. § 16.26 (a)(2). Additionally, the demanded disclosure would reveal investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, and would interfere with enforcement proceedings or disclose investigative techniques and procedures the effectiveness of which would thereby be impaired. 28 C.F.R. § 16.26(b)(5).

Even if the scope of the subpoena is limited to a notebook containing names, addresses, copies of driver's licenses, and credit cards, etc. of fraud victims, we have determined that the FBI may not comply with the state subpoena.. See 28 C.F.R. § 16.26(b)(1). The demanded disclosure would violate the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b), which prohibits federal agencies from producing any material from its files concerning any individuals without those individuals' written consent or without a valid order of a court of "competent jurisdiction" authorizing the federal agency to disclose the material. 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(11). A subpoena is not effective to anthorize disclosure of such records under the Privacy Act. 5 U.S.C. § 552A(B)(11); Stiles v.

FAX:

David'A. Kimberley, Esq.
Re: Civil Subpoena in Hammontree, et al. v. Tom Williams Automotive
August 3, 2005
Page Two

Atlanta Gas Light Co., 453 F.Supp. 798 (N.D. Ga. 1978). Furthermore, as the FBI is a non-party and has not waived its sovereign immunity, a state court order does not constitute a court of competent jurisdiction under the Privacy Act in this case. See Moore v. Armour Pharmaceutical Co., 129 B.R.D. 551 (11th Cir. 1990) (eiting cases upholding quashing state court subpoenas of federal employees on grounds of sovereign immunity).

Mr. Balcom is hereby instructed, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § § 16.22(a) and 16.24(b), not to comply with the subpoena. By regulation, the subpoenaed federal employee may not produce any of the requested materials without the United States Attorney's prior approval. 28 C.F.R. § 16.22(a). If any action is taken to compel the FBI employee's compliance with the subpoena, please serve a copy of any notice, motion or other process on this office and on the FBI. Please feel free to telephone the undersigned at (205)244-2109 with any further questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

ALICE H. MARTIN United States Attorney

Edward Q. Ragland Assistant U.S. Attorney

ce: Ray Zicarelli, Esq.