



members involuntarily withdrew their applications for admission and waived their statutory and constitutional rights. Upon information and belief, Petitioner is scheduled to be deported on a flight at 11AM this morning. **She seeks an emergency stay of removal.**

In support of their motion, Petitioner and others similarly situated would show the following:

1. Petitioner Shahin Hassanpour is a 70 year-old Iranian national who landed in the Dallas/Ft. Worth International Airport (“DFW”) on or about January 28, 2017. In September 2016, the United States Department of State (DOS) approved Ms. Hassanpour's application for an immigrant visa to come and live in the United States with her United States citizen son, who petitioned for her visa. Prior to the issuance of her visa, the DOS reviewed Ms. Hassanpour's criminal and immigration background and found her eligible for an immigrant visa.

2. On or about January 27, 2017, Ms. Hassanpour departed from Esfahan on Emirates Airlines.

3. On or about January 28, 2017, Ms. Hassanpour landed at DFW Airport.

4. Pursuant to the January 27, 2017 executive order, Respondents are not allowing Ms. Hassanpour to exit DFW Airport.

5. Respondents are not permitting Ms. Hassanpour to meet with her attorneys who are in Dallas or her United States citizen son was at the DFW Airport.

6. Ms. Hassanpour is an elderly woman who must take cancer and heart medication on a regular basis. The long flight, the stress of detention, and the lack of her medication present unnecessary health risks to Ms. Hassanpour.

7. Upon information and belief, Respondents coerced Ms. Hassanpour to withdraw her application for admission. Respondents told Ms. Hassanpour that she would be permanently

banned from the United States and sent to jail if she did not sign the form withdrawing her admission. Respondents did not translate or interpret the waiver form. Ms. Hassanpour, however, does not speak English, has no knowledge of United States laws, and was denied the opportunity to communicate with her attorneys.

8. Ms. Hassanpour has valid documents to enter the United States. She was previously interviewed and investigated by the State Department. The State Department and the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services previously determined that Ms. Hassanpour was not a national security risk. Respondents are detaining Ms. Hassanpour solely because of her national origin and her religion as required by the January 27, 2017 executive order.

9. Upon information and belief, Respondents intend to remove her and others and other similarly situated immigrant and nonimmigrant visa holders from Iran, Iraq, Syria, Yemen, Somalia, Sudan or Libya landed in the United States at the DFW Airport and presented themselves for inspection and admission, notwithstanding the nationwide stay issued in *Darweesh and Alshawi v. Trump et. al.*, Cause No. 17 Civ. 480 (AMD) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York on January 28, 2017, relying upon the illegal waivers obtained from class members.

10. Because the executive order is unlawful as applied to Ms. Hassanpour and class members, their continued detention and the denial of admission based solely on the executive order violates their Fifth Amendment procedural and substantive due process, violates the First Amendment Establishment Clause, is ultra vires under the immigration statutes, and violates the Administrative Procedure Act and Religious Freedom Restoration Act. *See* Petitioner's Habeas Petition, ¶¶ 40 – 57. Further, Ms. Hassanpour's and class members continued unlawful detention is part of a widespread policy, pattern and practice applied to many refugees and arriving

noncitizens detained after the issuance of the January 27, 2017 executive order. Therefore, on behalf of herself and a class of similarly situated immigrant and nonimmigrant holders, Ms. Hassanpour respectfully applies to this Court for a stay of removal.

11. As indicated by the nationwide stay issued in *Darweesh and Alshawi v. Trump et. al.*, Cause No. 17 Civ. 480 (AMD) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York on January 28, 2017, Petitioner has a strong likelihood of success in establishing that the removal of Petitioner and others similarly situated violates their rights to Due Process and Equal Protection guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

12. As indicated by the nationwide stay, there is imminent danger that, absent the stay of removal, there will be substantial and irreparable injury to Petitioner and others similarly situated.

13. As indicated by the nationwide stay, the issuance of the stay of removal will not injure the other parties interested in the proceeding.

14. A preliminary injunction is appropriate if the potential harm to the plaintiff outweighs the cost of the injunction, and the injunction “does not disserve the public interest.” *Jackson Women’s Health Org. Ctr.*, 760 F.3d 448, 452 (5th Cir. 2014). In this case, the potential harm to the Petitioner is clearly outweighed by any harm to the defendants.

### **Conclusion**

15. Petitioner and others similarly situated face imminent removal in a few hours. The United States District Court in *Hameed Khalid Darweesh and Haider Sameer Abdulkhaleq Alshawi v. Donald Trump, et. al.*, Case No. 17 Civ. 480, has determined that Petitioner and class members have a strong likelihood of success in the litigation, that there is imminent danger that, absent a stay of removal, there will be substantial and irreparable injury to Petitioner and class members

Therefore, , the Court should grant her Motion for a Temporary Preliminary Injunction.

**Emergency Hearing**

16. Petitioner considers that the facts and law in this matter permit resolution of the Petition without an evidentiary hearing. In the alternative, Petitioner asks for an emergency hearing this morning to have her arguments heard.

**Prayer**

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Petitioner respectfully ask this court to GRANT her **Motion for a Temporary Preliminary Injunction** and to issue a preliminary injunction ordering Defendants to:

1. Stay her removal which would be contrary to law;
2. Grant any other and further relief that this Court may deem fit and proper.

Petitioner further requests that they be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with the litigation of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

JAVIER N. MALDONADO  
LAW OFFICE OF JAVIER N. MALDONADO, PC  
8918 Tesoro Dr., Ste. 575  
San Antonio, Texas 78217  
Tel.: 210-277-1603  
Fax: 210-587-4001  
Email: jmaldonado.law@gmail.com

SEJAL R. ZOTA  
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION PROJECT OF THE  
NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD  
14 Beacon Street, Suite 602  
Boston, Massachusetts 02108  
Tel.: 617-227-9727

Fax: 617-227-5497  
Email: [sejal@nipnl.org](mailto:sejal@nipnl.org)

VINESH PATEL  
2730 N. Stemmons Freeway, Ste. 1103  
Dallas, TX 75207  
Tel.: [\(972\) 310-3835](tel:(972)310-3835)  
Fax: [\(214\) 960-4151](tel:(214)960-4151)  
Email: [vinesh@vpatellaw.com](mailto:vinesh@vpatellaw.com)

DONALD E. ULOTH  
18208 Preston Rd. Suite D-9 # 261  
Dallas, TX 75252  
Tel.: [\(214\) 725-0260](tel:(214)725-0260)  
Fax: [\(866\) 462-6179](tel:(866)462-6179)  
Email: [don.uloth@uloth.pro](mailto:don.uloth@uloth.pro)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS**

By:           /s/ Javier N. Maldonado            
          Javier N. Maldonado  
          Texas State Bar No. 00794216

By:           /s/ Seja R. Zota            
          Sejal R. Zota  
          North Carolina State Bar No. 36535

By:           /s/ Vinesh Patel            
          Vinesh Patel  
          Texas State Bar No. 24068668

By:           /s/ Donald E. Uloth            
          Donald E. Uloth  
          Texas State Bar No. 20374200