# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,	)	
425 Third Street, S.W., Suite 800	)	
Washington, DC 20024,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
Tiamuit,	)	Civil Action No.
v.	Ć	
	)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,	)	
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	)	
Washington, DC 20530-0001,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

HIDICIAI WATCH INC

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. ("Plaintiff") brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of Justice ("Defendant") to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

#### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, accountability, and integrity in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Plaintiff regularly requests records from federal agencies pursuant to FOIA. Plaintiff analyzes the

responses and disseminates its findings and the requested records to the American public to inform them about "what their government is up to."

4. Defendant U.S. Department of Justice is an agency of the United States

Government. Defendant has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access. Defendant is headquartered at 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 5. On October 12, 2016, Plaintiff submitted a FOIA request, by certified mail, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, a component of Defendant, seeking the following:
  - 1. Any and all records, including but not limited to emails or text messages (SMSs, MMSs, BBMs, iMessages, etc.), discovered, recovered, retrieved from, or found on any Datto device, equipment, or hardware connected to or used to backup or support former U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton's clintonemail.com email system.
  - 2. Any and all records concerning or relating to the FBI's efforts to discover, recover, retrieve, or find emails or text messages stored on the Datto device, equipment, or hardware referenced in Request No. 1.
- 6. According to U.S. Postal Service Records, Defendant received Plaintiff's request on October 17, 2016.
- 7. In an October 26, 2016 letter, Defendant acknowledged receiving Plaintiff's FOIA request and assigned the request FOIPA Request No. 1360145-000.
- 8. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) produce the requested records or demonstrate that the requested records are lawfully exempt from production; (ii) notify Plaintiff of the scope of any responsive records Defendant intends to

produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings; or (iii) inform Plaintiff that it may appeal any adequately specific, adverse determination.

# COUNT I (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 9. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as if fully stated herein.
- 10. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by Defendant's violation of FOIA, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with FOIA.
- 11. To trigger FOIA's administrative exhaustion requirement, Defendant was required to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request within the time limits set by FOIA. Accordingly, Defendant's determination was due on or about November 30, 2016. At a minimum, Defendant was obligated to: (i) gather and review the requested documents; (ii) determine and communicate to Plaintiff the scope of any responsive records Defendant intended to produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings; and (iii) inform Plaintiff that it may appeal any adequately specific, adverse determination. *See*, *e.g.*, *Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington v. Federal Election Commission*, 711 F.3d 180, 188-89 (D.C. Cir. 2013).
- 12. Because Defendant failed to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request and/or determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's appeal within the time required by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-

exempt records to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a Vaughn index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 5, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

/s/David F. Rothstein

David F. Rothstein
D.C. Bar No. 450035
JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.
425 Third Street SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

Tel: (202) 646-5172

Email: drothstein@judicialwatch.org

Counsel for Plaintiff