Case 1:16-cv-02226-RBW Document 1-6 Filed 11/08/16 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT

6

James Valvo

From: Sent: To: Subject: Banerjee, Carmen M. (TAX) <Carmen.M.Banerjee@usdoj.gov> Monday, August 15, 2016 1:46 PM James Valvo RE: FOIA #11018 - Valvo

Thank you, James.

From: James Valvo [mailto:james.valvo@causeofaction.org] Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 1:41 PM To: Banerjee, Carmen M. (TAX) Subject: RE: FOIA #11018 - Valvo

Ms. Banerjee,

This emails summarizes our phone call today regarding the scope of FOIA #11018.

I explained that CoA Institute is seeking the entirety of the two records I sent you previous to the call. Those records are (1) an email chain on or about May 22, 2014 between, among others, Norah Bringer and Gretchen Wolfinger, and (2) a November 2011 report entitled Current Practices for Attorney Assignments, Transfers, and Details.

DOJ-Tax had previously released versions of these records to CoA Institute in response to a different FOIA request, but with significant information withheld as "non-responsive." I explained to you that CoA Institute's position is that these were improper uses of "non-responsive" as a withholding tool and that this current request, FOIA #11018, requested the entirety of these records. CoA Institute does not envision DOJ-Tax needing to conduct any additional searches for responsive records; we only seek the entirety of these two records.

After I explained the scope and intent of our request, you asked if I had any materials to support our position. I then sent you a link to a recent case from the D.C. Circuit, *American Immigration Lawyers Association v. Executive Office for Immigration Review.* In that case, the Circuit wrote: "if the government identifies a record as responsive to a FOIA request, can the government nonetheless redact particular information within the responsive record on the basis that the information is non-responsive? We find no authority in the statute for the government to do so."

I hope that this email and our phone call help clarify CoA Institute's request. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have further questions.

Best,

James Valvo | Counsel & Senior Policy Advisor | Cause of Action Institute 1875 Eye Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006 james.valvo@causeofaction.org 202-417-3576

Click here to subscribe to our alerts!



Case 1:16-cv-02226-RBW Document 1-6 Filed 11/08/16 Page 3 of 3

Confidentiality: The information contained in, and attached to, this communication may be confidential, and is intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.