

BuzzFeedNEWS

Documents below are related to a 2015 sexual assault case at the University of Richmond, involving C.C. Carreras as the complainant. The university has denied that a dean, Daniel Fabian, made the comment to Carreras: “I thought it was reasonable for him to penetrate you for a few more minutes if he was going to finish.”

Excerpt from a prepared statement shared with BuzzFeed News, that Carreras read aloud to several administrators, including Dan Fabian, the deputy Title IX coordinator. A witness present at the meeting recalled her reading a part about his comment, and said that Fabian did not respond. The part below was directed at Fabian:

the house and that he later went out for water. I was consistently proven credible throughout this whole process, but you still decided that I consented because even when a girl says stop, a reasonable person might think that they have a couple of more minutes to keep going if they're going to finish soon. Essentially, you told me, in a meeting on September 4, and you told the board, on October 19, that a reasonable man can rape a woman and that a Title IX Coordinator can decide that if the rapist was going to finish soon, then the victim must have consented because she only needed to let her rapist use her vagina against her will for a few more minutes. Did you know that those few more minutes, which we're assuming was a few more minutes since I confirmed I had no gauge for time, led me to have extreme vaginal pain for the next three days?

An excerpt from an email sent to Fabian from Carreras on Sept. 4, 2015, after learning that Fabian was recommending against charging the accused student with violating the school's code of conduct:

Cecilia Carreras <[REDACTED]@richmond.edu>
To: "Fabian, Daniel" <dfabian@richmond.edu>

Fri, Sep 4, 2015 at 11:59 AM

[REDACTED]

had withdrawn consent then i dont think "how close he was to finishing" should even be considered because if i withdrew consent the first time and he was close to

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7ea130c9ea&view=pt&cat=July%2018&search=cat&th=14f98a89e135276b&siml=14f98a89e135276b&siml=14f98e98...> 5/11

11/18/2015

University of Richmond Mail - letter

Carreras sent a number of emails to Fabian that day voicing concern about his decision to close her case. Fabian only responded in the afternoon with the following email:

Fabian, Daniel <dfabian@richmond.edu>
To: "Carreras, CC" <[REDACTED]@richmond.edu>

Fri, Sep 4, 2015 at 3:50 PM

CC,

I am here for you to support and offer you resources. Maura Smith informed me that you have an appointment with her on Monday. She is the best person to talk with about the process and decision.

Please let me know if I can help in anyway. You can also use Westhampton Dean's office as a resource as well.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7ea130c9ea&view=pt&cat=July%2018&search=cat&th=14f98a89e135276b&siml=14f98a89e135276b&siml=14f98e98...> 7/11

In October, a hearing board found the accused student in her case not responsible for violating the school's sexual misconduct code. Carreras appealed, and she wrote in her 75-page appeal that Fabian said he believed the way Carreras withdrew consent was phrased in a way to suggest "a

reasonable person” could continue. According to her appeal file:
consensual. In addition, at 01:41:55, Dean Fabian says, “the way she had described it to me about I’m tired and I’m not going to finish was unclear enough to me because that was her quote. Whether that was a reasonable person would believe, so [REDACTED] in this case, that it should stop immediately, the way she stated it was in a way that well, if you’re not going to finish, so if he was going to finish soon, could a reasonable person think, I have a couple of more minutes to continue.”

The quote was transcribed by Carreras from an audio recording of the hearing, she said. The school declined to provide Carreras with a copy of the recording, she said. An email obtained by BuzzFeed News showed the school also declined to provide her with an official transcript. When the university ultimately denied her appeal in November, the letter she received acknowledged the existence of a recording of the hearing.