Case: 1:95-cv-00973-SSH As of: 03/03/2016 04:38 PM EST 1 of 2

CLOSED, TYPE-I

U.S. District Court District of Columbia (Washington, DC) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:95-cv-00973-SSH

CAPPAS v. US CUSTOMS SERVICE Assigned to: Stanley S. Harris Demand: \$1,000 Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act Date Filed: 05/24/1995 Date Terminated: 06/17/1996 Jury Demand: None Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of Information Act Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

represented by JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS

R98876–024 I–Unit SANDSTONE FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION P.O. Box 1000 Sandstone, MN 55072 PRO SE

V.

Plaintiff

Defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE

JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS

represented by Sherri Evans Harris

FREDDIE MAC Legal Division 8200 Jones Branch Drive M.S. 202 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 903–2680 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
05/24/1995	1	COMPLAINT filed by plaintiff JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS (bh) (Entered: 05/25/1995)
05/24/1995		SUMMONS (3) issued to federal party(s) federal defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE , and non-parties: U.S. Attorney and U.S. Attorney General. (bh) (Entered: 05/25/1995)
05/24/1995	2	APPLICATION by plaintiff JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS to proceed in forma pauperis (bh) (Entered: 05/25/1995)
05/24/1995		ORDER by Judge Stanley S. Harris granting motion to proceed in forma pauperis [2–1] by JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS (fiat) (N) (bh) (Entered: 05/25/1995)
06/19/1995	3	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed on 6/8/95 upon federal defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE (mlp) (Entered: 06/20/1995)
06/19/1995	4	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed upon U.S. Attorney General on 6/7/95 (mlp) (Entered: 06/20/1995)
06/26/1995	5	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of summons and complaint executed upon U.S. Attorney on 6/13/95 (mlp) (Entered: 06/27/1995)
07/13/1995	6	MOTION filed by federal defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE to extend time to $07/20/95$ to answer complaint [1–1] (cjp) (Entered: $07/14/1995$)
07/17/1995	7	ORDER by Judge Stanley S. Harris : granting motion to extend time to $07/20/95$ to answer complaint [1–1] [6–1] by US CUSTOMS SERVICE (N) (emh) (Entered: $07/18/1995$)

Case: 1:95-cv-00973-SSH As of: 03/03/2016 04:38 PM EST 2 of 2

07/20/1995	8	MOTION filed by federal defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE to extend time to $7/25/95$ to answer complaint [1–1] (mlp) (Entered: $07/21/1995$)
07/25/1995	9	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT [1–1] by federal defendant US CUSTOMS SERVICE . (mlp) (Entered: 07/27/1995)
07/27/1995	10	ORDER,nunc pro tunc, by Judge Stanley S. Harris : granting motion to extend time to 7/25/95 to answer complaint [1–1] [8–1] by CUSTOMS SERVICE ; Answer extended to 7/25/95 for US CUSTOMS SERVICE (N) (mon) (Entered: 07/31/1995)
02/13/1996	11	STATUS REPORT by federal defendant U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE (mlp) (Entered: 02/14/1996)
02/15/1996	12	MOTION filed by plaintiff JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS for partial summary judgment ; attachments (mlp) (Entered: 02/16/1996)
03/04/1996	13	MOTION filed by federal defendant U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE for summary judgment, Exhibits (6) (lpp) (Entered: 03/05/1996)
03/11/1996	14	MOTION filed by federal defendant U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE to extend time to 3/11/96 within which to file defendant's opposition to plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment ; EXHIBIT (defendant's opposition) (bjsp) (Entered: 03/12/1996)
03/18/1996	15	ORDER by Judge Stanley S. Harris : granting nunc pro tunc motion to extend time to 3/11/96 within which to file defendant's opposition to plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment [14–1] by U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE (N) (emh) (Entered: 03/19/1996)
03/18/1996	18	RESPONSE (OPPOSITIONS) by federal defendant U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE to motion for partial summary judgment [12–1] by JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS (JMF) (Entered: 10/14/1997)
04/03/1996	16	ORDER by Judge Stanley S. Harris : directing plaintiff to respond to defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment within 28 days or the motion will be treated as conceded and the Court will enter judgment in favor of defendant. (N) (emh) (Entered: 04/03/1996)
05/03/1996	17	ORDER by Judge Stanley S. Harris : denying motion for partial summary judgment [12–1] by JOHN LOUIS CAPPAS; due to plaintiff's failure to respond to defendant's motion for summary judgment, defendant's motion is treated as conceded and judgment is entered for defendant. (N) (emh) (Entered: 05/08/1996)