

EXHIBIT G



Washington, D.C. 20505

21 May 2015

Mr. Mattathias Schwartz
The New Yorker
1 World Trade Center
New York, NY 10007-2915

Reference: F-2015-01264

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

We received your 22 April 2015 letter, submitted on behalf of The New Yorker, appealing our full denial, based on the unlikelihood of receiving a response within 20 working days, to your 9 March 2015 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, and our denial of [your] request for expedited processing. Specifically, your request was for the following:

- 1. Records sufficient to disclose any and all guidance that has been given to the Office of Military Commissions, presiding officers, counsel, or any other person(s) in the courtroom about what may not be said in open public sessions or included in written submissions in prosecutions before the military commissions at the Guantanamo Bay Naval Station;**
- 2. Records sufficient to disclose the means by which any original classifying authority can monitor or interrupt the 40-second delayed audio-video transmission of military commission proceedings to prevent the public disclosure of classified information or other information of the kinds covered by Rule 506 of the Military Commission Rules of Evidence; and**
- 3. Records sufficient to disclose the number of security officers assigned to the military commissions, including the security officers detailed to the specific defense teams, together with the duties and authorities of those security officers, the total annual cost of those security officers, and any instructions or training provided to those officers.**

Your appeal regarding Item 1 of your request has been accepted, and appropriate arrangements will be made for its consideration. You will be advised of the determinations made.

In order to afford requesters the most equitable treatment possible, we have adopted the policy of handling appeals on a first-received, first-out basis. Every reasonable effort will be made to respond as soon as possible.

We reconsidered your petition for expedited processing and determined it fails to meet the compelling need criteria, per the rules outlined in the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended. We therefore reaffirm our prior denial of your petition for expedited processing.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michael Lavergne".

Michael Lavergne
Information and Privacy Coordinator