

# **EXHIBIT Q**

May 2nd

Thank you for your patience and consideration of our questions and requests. Despite our question from this morning we are still not unanimous on any of the plain tiffs. We are also not unanimous about our interpretations of the borrowed servant doctrine, scope of employment, and various pieces of evidence from both the plaintiff and defendant. We believe that the borrowed servant doctrine and scope of employment are fundamental pieces of this case along ~~with~~ with the field manual and statement of work. It is our interpretations and judgement of these documents/ evidence that bring us to this deadlock/impasse that is impossible to get through. We have reached this conclusion after examining/judging all exhibits and talking through a plethora of perspectives, view points, and ideas. Unless there is new evidence that will be introduced to us, we will not be able to reach a unanimous verdict about this case.

