IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

JARED TUIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT and MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE,

Defendant.

COPY
Original Received
OCT 30 2019

Clerk of the Trial Courts

Case No. 3AN-19-10867 Civil

COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff, Jared Tuia, by and through counsel, Flanigan & Bataille, and for his Complaint against the Defendants, states and alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is an action for relief, pursuant to AS 18.80.220(a)(1) and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC §2000e-2 and the Common Law of Alaska.
- 2. This Court has subject jurisdiction pursuant to AS 22.10.01 (i) 28 USC 1331.
 - 3. Jared Tuia, is a resident of Anchorage, Alaska.
- 4. Jared Tuia is a person of color, ½ Samoan, and classified as a Pacific Islander. As such he is part of a protected minority class.
- 5. Defendant, Municipality of Anchorage is a municipality chartered by the State of Alaska.

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-1050 -CIVIL - PAGE 1 OF 8

- 6. Defendant, Anchorage, Police Department is an agency of the Municipality of Anchorage.
- 7. The Anchorage Police Department is a predominantly white police force, despite a significant amount of non-white individuals (33%) living in Anchorage, Alaska,
- 8. Jared Tuia became a police officer on the Anchorage Police Force in 1999. He will have been a police officer in the Anchorage Police Department for 20 years as of November, 2019.
- 9. During his tenure with the Anchorage Police Department, Tuia has advanced to the rank of Lieutenant and has been recognized as performing excellent service in the various assignments he was given.
- 10. Those assignments included: coordinator/instructor at the police academy; mentoring program for new officers; patrol officer supervisor, patrol officer lieutenant; commander of domestic violence unit; commander of K9 unit; commander of emergency operations; commander to community action policing; commander traffic unit, commander inspections unit, commander property crimes unit; commander of detectives vice unit and APD representative at the Kennedy v MOA trial.
- 11. Jared Tuia has also excelled academically, having obtained a Masters

 Degree in criminology and a diploma from the Police Staff and Command school at

 Northwestern University.

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-10007 -CIVIL - PAGE 2 OF 8

12. However, despite an excellent service record, academic achievements, more than many years of seniority and years in grade as a lieutenant on the Anchorage Police Force, Jared Tuia was denied a promotion to Captain in three occasions in 2015, 2017 and 2018.

13. Instead Lieutenants with lesser time in grade and lesser qualifications were promoted instead.

14. When Tuia asked for a debriefing about why he was not promoted in 2015, he was told he could accomplish great things, "somewhere other than the APD."

When Tuia asked for a debriefing about why he was not promoted in 2017, no debriefing was provided. Instead he was transferred to the "property crimes division, which was struggling with a sharp increase in these types of crimes. Nevertheless, under Tuia's direction, the unit made excellent progress in finding and breaking up car theft rings, which caused a reduction in the amount of property crimes.

16. After Tuia started inquiring why he had not been promoted he started experiencing frequent transfers. Between 2015 and 2018 he was transferred more than any other Lieutenant at the Anchorage Police Department.

17. In April, 2018, other Lieutenants were promoted instead of Jared Tuia, despite the fact that his time in grade, experience and qualifications were far superior to the Lieutenants that were promoted at that time.

18. When Tuia ask for a debriefing to explain why he was not promoted for the third time, none was provided.

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-10 00 -CIVIL - PAGE 3 OF 8

19. The promotions to Captain were not based on performance evaluations because the Anchorage Police Department does not have performance evaluations.

- 20. Instead the evaluation process for promotion to Captain is limited to an interview process, which consists of 10 questions and is entirely subjective in nature, lending itself to discriminatory bias.
- 21. Recently retired Lieutenant John McKinnon asked Deputy Chief Ken McCoy why the particular Lieutenants in the 2018 promotion to Captain process were selected and was told the Chief of Police knew who he was going to promote before applications were even solicited.
- 22. This manner of promotion to Captain is contrary to the Municipality of Anchorage's September 1, 2015 EEO/AA Policy statement, which states in part:

In order to achieve its EEO goals, MOA will utilize AA policies to aggressively recruit and employ qualified minorities, women, veternas, persons with disabilities and other disadvantaged groups that are underutilized in the MOA workforce. MOA will also ensure that its overall employment practices and procedures are nondiscriminatory and do not adversely exclude any qualified individual from a municipal position, training of development opportunity based on factors other than the individuals merit, ability and other factors related to job performance.

All MOA employees are obligated to comply with the MOA's Policy/Procedure 40-16 and the EEO/AA Program to ensure that MOA employees and

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-10807 -CIVIL - PAGE 4 OF 8

customers are treated in a nondiscriminatory manner. All MOA management and supervisory personnel have a responsibility to help ensure that MOA/AA policy and program is effectively implemented and that EEO matters within their respective area(s) of responsibility are promptly and appropriately addressed....

- 23. Based on the circumstances of the promotions to Captain in 2015, 2017 and 2018, and the information obtained by Lieutenant John McKinnon, the Anchorage Police Department is engaging in employment practices which are either intended or have the disparate effect of violating the Municipalities 9/1/15 anti-discrimination policy.
- 24. The APD's violation of the MOA 9/1/15 policy has the disparate effect of discriminating against police officers on the basis of color, race and ethnicity.
- 25. The complained of actions of the defendants in this case caused the Plaintiff to suffer past and future economic damages and emotional distress, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in any case to exceed the jurisdictional requirements of this Court.
- 26. The Municipality of Anchorage, is vicariously liable for the actions of the members of the Anchorage Police Department, who are involved in the promotion to Captain process in the department and the disparate effect of the promotion process to Captain being utilized by the Anchorage Police Department.
- 27. The Plaintiff timely filed a complaint with the U.S. Equal Opportunity Commission (EEOC) in Seattle, Washington, with jurisdiction over Alaska EEOC

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-10867 -CIVIL - PAGE 5 OF 8

complaints, regarding the actions of the defendants complained of in this case on September 24, 2018.

- 28. After the defendants refused to mediate the dispute, the Plaintiff obtained a "right to sue letter" from the EEOC dated August 2nd, 2019.
- 29. This suit was filed less than 90 days following receipt of that letter, satisfying the procedural requirements to file this suit pursuant to 42 USC 2000e-2.
- 30. Therefore the Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedy set forth in 42 USC 2000e-2 and is entitled to bring this action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (DISCRIMINATION)

- 31. Plaintiff realleges all previous allegations
- 32. The Defendants had a legal duty to not engage in discrimination in the process of promoting Lieutenants to Captains, pursuant to 42 USC 2000e-2.
- 33. Defendants, by and through the actions of the officials involved in the promotions of Anchorage Police Officers from Lieutenant to Captain engaged in practices that either intentionally or had the disparate effect of discriminating against qualified applicants on the basis of color, ethnicity, or race.
- 34. The complained of practices of the Defendants were a substantial cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (RETALIATION)

35. Plaintiff realleges all previous allegations.

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19- \0607 -CIVIL - PAGE 6 OF 8

36. Defendants has a legal duty to not retaliate against Anchorage Police Officers who complained that the promotion practices of the Anchorage Police officers were discriminatory, 42 USC 2000e-2.

37. Defendants, by and through the actions of the officials of Anchorage Police Department, engaged in retaliatory actions due his complaints of the promotion from Lieutenant to Captain process, which were a substantial cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges all previous allegations.
- 39. Defendants owed a duty of good faith and fair dealing to the Plaintiff.
- 40. The Defendants breached that duty in regard to the promotion to Captain process and the actions taken against Plaintiff after he complained he was not being treated fairly in that process.
- 41. The breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing by the Defendants was a substantial cause of harm to the Plaintiff.

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally in an amount to be proven at trial, but in any case to exceed the jurisdictional requirements of this Court, plus costs, interest and attorneys fees and other equitable relief as the court deems just.

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-10867 -CIVIL - PAGE 7 OF 8

FLANIGAN & BATAILLE 1007 West 3rd Ave., Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Phone 907-279-9999 Fax 907-258-3804 DATED at this _____day of October, 2019, at Anchorage, Alaska.

FLANIGAN & BATAILLE Attorneys for Plaintiff

3y:_

Michael W. Flanigan Alaska Bar No. 7710114

Complaint

Tuia v. MOA; et. al.; CASE NO. 3AN-19-1000 -CIVIL - PAGE 8 OF 8