T			
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 4:24C	R3021	
4	Plaintiff, )		
5	vs. )		
6	VYACHESLAV IGOREVICH PENCHUKOV, )  Lincoln, Nebra:	ak a	
7	Defendant. ) February 15, 2		
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. DeLUCA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
10	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S		
11	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. John E. Higgins		
12	U.S. Attorney's Office -  1620 Dodge Street	Omaha	
13	Suite 1400	<u> </u>	
14			
15	Department of Justice - C	Department of Justice - Criminal 1301 New York Avenue	
16	Suite 600 Washington, DC 20530	uite 600	
17			
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Stuart J. Dornan  Dornan Troia Law Firm  1403 Farnam Street		
19	Suite 232 Omaha, NE 68102	te 232	
20	Omana, NE 00102		
21	TRANSCRIBER: Ms. Lisa Grimminger, RDR, 100 Centennial Mall North	·	
22	Room 587		
23	Lincoln, NE 68508 (402) 437-1908		
24			
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, trapproduced with computer.	anscript	

```
(At 1:22 p.m. on February 15, 2024; with counsel and the
1
2
      defendant present:)
 3
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: We are on the record.
                THE COURT: We're on the record in Case
 4
 5
      Number 4:11CR3074 and Case Number 4:24CR3021, United States of
 6
      America versus --
 7
           And I apologize, sir.
           -- Vyacheslav, Vyacheslav Igorevich Penchukov.
 8
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                THE COURT: I hope that's close enough.
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Vyacheslav Igorevich Penchukov.
12
                THE COURT: Now, first off, I've -- I've heard that
13
      you can speak English, Mr. --
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
15
                THE COURT: And can I call you Mr. Slava? That's
16
      what your counsel told me.
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Thank you for this.
                THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Slava --
18
19
                THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate it.
20
                THE COURT: No problem. So, Mr. Slava, my
21
      understanding is you -- you do speak English.
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. So we have a Russian interpreter
24
      on the line for you right now.
25
                THE DEFENDANT: I know. Thank you for this option.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. And would you like to use that
 2
      interpreter?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: If I will need his help, I will tell
      him.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. So what I want to make
 5
 6
      very clear to you is we -- we have that available for you, and
      if at any point in these proceedings you don't understand what
 7
 8
      I'm saying or what's going on, please speak up, and we will go
9
      ahead and get that Russian interpreter to -- to tell you
      what's -- what we're saying.
10
11
                THE DEFENDANT: I will try to do my best.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
14
                THE COURT: All right. So can counsel go ahead and
15
      please enter their appearance.
16
                MR. HIGGINS: Good afternoon, Judge. Do you prefer
17
      that we stay seated for the sake of the record?
18
                THE COURT: Yes, please.
19
                MR. HIGGINS: Please show the appearance of John
20
      Higgins for the United States, and with me at counsel table --
                MR. LIN: Frank Lin for the United States as well.
21
22
      Thank you.
23
                MR. DORNAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Stu Dornan
      on behalf of Mr. Slava, who is present.
24
25
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Slava, we're here today
```

1.3

on a number of issues. The first issue that I want to address is -- is we've received what's called a Rule 20 -- 20 transfer from the Eastern District of North Carolina regarding an indictment that was filed there. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: So we received that document, and it's my

understanding that you want to plead guilty to charges that
were filed against you in North Carolina as well as charges
that have been filed with -- against you in Nebraska. Do you
got that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So what we're going to do is we're going to first kind of go over this North Carolina issue to make sure you understand that, and then we're going to go over the charges in North Carolina, and then we'll go -- move forward with the plea. Understood?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

enter a plea of guilty to the charges that are in the -pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina. What I'm
first going to do is I'm going to have the government explain
to you the charges that are pending in the North -- in the
Eastern District of North Carolina as well as the possible
penalties for those charges. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

1.3

MR. HIGGINS: Judge, do you wish me to summarize all of the counts or only the count to which he intends to plead quilty today?

THE COURT: Because it's unclear from the docket in the Eastern District of North Carolina, let's go ahead and summarize all of them, because it's unclear to me as to whether he's been arraigned on those.

MR. HIGGINS: Of course. Judge, the following is a summary.

Mr. Penchukov, you were charged by indictment by a grand jury in the Eastern District of North Carolina under the name Vyacheslav Igorevich Andreev. That indictment charges you with two counts.

Count I alleges a conspiracy, and if you were convicted of that count, you would face a penalty of not more than five years of imprisonment, a fine of up to \$250,000 or twice the amount of monetary gain or loss, whichever is greater. You could be sentenced to both the fine and the imprisonment, any term of imprisonment to be followed by a term of supervised release of no more than three years. You'd also be subject to a \$100 special assessment and an order of restitution. That is as to Count I, and Count I concerns the date range from an unknown date beginning no later than in or about November 2018 and continuing through in or about April '22. So that's Count I.

Count II of the indictment in the Eastern District of
North Carolina likewise alleges a conspiracy. That conspiracy
is specifically one to commit wire fraud. It's alleged to have
occurred from an unknown date but beginning no later than in or
about November 2018 and continuing through in or about April of
2022.

If you were convicted of that count, you would face a penalty of not more than 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of up to \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater. You could be subjected to both the fine and the imprisonment, any term of imprisonment to be followed by a term of supervised release of no more than three years. You'd also be subject to a \$100 special assessment and to an order of restitution.

Judge, that's the summary of the charges and of the possible penalties that apply. Do you wish me to inquire of the defendant any further as to his understanding?

THE COURT: No thank you. Thank you, Mr. Higgins.

So, Mr. Penchukov, do you understand the charges and the possible penalties for those charges that are -- that are pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina?

THE DEFENDANT: Of course I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And it -- and do you want to go ahead and waive your trial rights in the East- -- Eastern

District of North Carolina and have those moved to the District

```
of Nebraska for dis- -- disposition?
1
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: As you want.
 3
                THE COURT: Well, it's not really as I want,
      Mr. Slava. It's as you want. So if you want to do that, you
 4
 5
      can, because otherwise --
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: I -- I think I don't need it. We can
 7
      do --
                           Say that one more time.
 8
                THE COURT:
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: We can go on Nebraska case.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. So you'd like to go ahead and move
11
      them -- move the Eastern District of North Carolina over to
12
      Nebraska for disposition. Is that correct?
1.3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. So -- and what -- what we're doing
15
      that for is for purposes of you pleading quilty to certain
16
      charges in the Eastern District of North Carolina indictment.
17
      Okay? And do you understand that?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Of course.
19
                THE COURT: And do you also understand that that
20
      would be for sentencing on those charges that are currently
21
      pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat once again, please.
23
                THE COURT:
                           Sure. And do you understanding [sic]
24
      that you'd also be sentenced in the District of Nebraska for
25
      the charges that are pending in the Eastern District of North
```

```
1
      Carolina?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, is anyone forcing,
      threatening, or coercing you to waive your trial rights in the
 4
      Eastern District of North Carolina and have these -- these
 5
 6
      issues disposed of in Nebraska?
            (Discussion off the record.)
 7
                THE DEFENDANT:
 8
                               No.
 9
                THE COURT: Okay.
10
                THE DEFENDANT: It's my -- my own decision.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. So we'll hear --
12
                THE DEFENDANT: I have -- I know I am not feeling
13
      pressure.
14
                THE COURT: Wonderful. So given that, we're going to
      go ahead and transfer this case from the Eastern District of
15
16
      North Carolina to Nebraska for disposition so we can talk about
      your plea and move on for that. Okay?
17
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. Now I need to make sure that you
20
      understand what the allegations in the indictment are and those
21
      possible penalt- -- penalties are. Mr. Higgins already
22
      explained those to you, and you indicated that you understood
23
      those. Correct?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, exactly.
24
25
                THE COURT: Okay. So now, this Court previously
```

```
1
      advised you of your constitutional rights. Do you want a
 2
      reminder of your right to remain silent?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
 4
                THE COURT: Do you -- do you want a reminder of your
 5
      right to counsel?
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. And just so you know, we've
      gone -- gone ahead and appointed Mr. Dornan, who represents you
 8
 9
      in the case ending in 3074 which was pending in Nebraska, to
10
      also represent you in the new case which was originally pending
11
      in the Eastern District of North Carolina which ends in 3021.
12
      Do you understand that?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to be re- -- reminded
15
      of your right to notify a consular officer from your country of
16
      nationality?
                THE DEFENDANT: I don't need it.
17
18
                THE COURT: Okay. And you have the same trial rights
19
      in the Eastern District of North Carolina as you do in the
20
      District of Nebraska. Do you want to be reminded of your trial
21
      rights at all?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: No thank you.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, I need to explain to you that
24
      I'm not your sentencing judge and I'm not the judge who will
25
      decide whether your quilty plea is accepted or whether your
```

1 plea agreement is accepted. Those matters will be taken up by 2 Judge Gerrard, who is your sentencing judge. What I can do 3 today is I can gather some information from you and make a recommendation to Judge Gerrard on those issues. Do you 4 5 pro- -- agree to proceed in front of me? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course. 7 THE COURT: Okay. (Defendant sworn.) 8 9 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Slava, you're under oath which 10 means that you have sworn to tell the truth, so if you lie, you 11 can be separately prosecuted for the crime of perjury. Do you 12 understand that? 1.3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Now, at this time I'm going to have the 15 government explain to you the charges to which you intend to 16 plead guilty and the possible penalties for those charges. 17 And, Mr. Higgins, maybe start with the Nebraska case and 18 the counts to which he's intending to plead guilty and then 19 move on to just the North Carolina counts that he's intending 20 to plead quilty to. 21 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. 22 Mr. Slava, the count to which you've agreed to plead 23 guilty is called a conspiracy to participate in racketeering 24 activity, and that's alleged to have occurred from in or about

May of 2009 and continuing to on or about September 29 of 2010.

25

In the event of your conviction on that count, you face a penalty of up to 20 years in prison, a fine of up to \$250,000, any term of imprisonment to be followed by a term of supervised release of no more than three years. You would also be required to pay a special assessment of \$100, and an order of restitution could also be entered. That's the -- the charge and the penalties as to Count I of the indictment pending in the District of Nebraska.

Turning then to the matters from the Eastern District of North Carolina, you have agreed to plead guilty to Count II of that indictment, and by way of reminder, I told you a little while ago that's the conspiracy to commit wire fraud, and if you're convicted of that count, you would face a penalty of not more than 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or twice the gross gra- -- gain or loss, whichever is greater. You'd also face a penalty of -- excuse me. After any term of imprisonment, you'd also be subject to a term of supervised release of no more than three years. You would also be required to pay a \$100 special assessment, and you could also be ordered to pay restitution.

Your Honor, anything further you require?

THE COURT: No. Thank you very much, Mr. Higgins.

Mr. Slava, do you understand the nature of those charges and the possible penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.

```
1
                THE COURT: Having heard that information again, is
2
      it still your intent to plead quilty?
 3
            (Discussion off the record.)
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. Can you please state your full
 5
      name for the record.
 6
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: My whole name is Penchukov,
      Vyacheslav Igorevich.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: And are you the same Vyacheslav Igor- --
10
      Igorevich Penchukov --
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: -- that is named in the indictment that
12
1.3
      is pending in Nebraska ending in 3074?
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: And are you also the same Vyacheslav
16
      Igorevich Penchukov that is named in the indictment that was
17
      pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina that has now
      been moved over to the District of Nebraska?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. So in North Carolina case I
19
20
      have a different last name, but I can confirm that it's I.
21
                THE COURT: That you are the same person?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am same person.
23
                THE COURT:
                           And just for the record, the North
24
      Carolina case has you as Vyacheslav Igorevich Andreev.
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, exactly.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And you --
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: I can confirm it.
 3
                THE COURT: And you are that individual; correct?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. So are you under the
 6
      influence of anything right now?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
                THE COURT: Is there anything that is affecting your
 8
 9
      mind in any ability -- and your ability to make decisions?
10
                THE DEFENDANT: No. I understand all what is going
11
      on right now.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Are you --
13
                THE DEFENDANT: I'm -- I am in my mind. Thank you.
14
                THE COURT: Good. Are you having any difficulty
15
      hearing, understanding, or answering my questions?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't.
17
                THE COURT: Now, I have in front of me a petition to
18
      enter a plea of guilty and a plea agreement, both of which
19
      appear to have been signed by you. Have you gone over these
20
      with your lawyer, Mr. Dornan?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
22
                THE COURT: Did Mr. Dornan explain these documents to
23
      you?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. We went through this plea
25
      agreement with Mr. Dornan before.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And did Mr. Dornan [sic] have any
2
      questions regarding these documents that he was not able to
 3
      answer?
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
 4
                THE COURT: Now, let's go ahead and start with the
 5
 6
      petition. It has several questions and answers in it. Did you
 7
      answer each of those questions truthfully?
 8
                THE DEFENDANT: Okav.
 9
                THE COURT: Sorry. Say that -- say your answer
10
      again. Did you answer each of those questions truthfully in
11
      that petition?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
13
                THE COURT: Were your answers written down correctly?
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: And after going through that document,
16
      did you sign it?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: Now let's go ahead and move on to the
19
      plea agreement. Did you read that plea agreement?
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
                THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Dornan go through this
22
      plea agreement?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                THE COURT: Was there anything that Mr. Dornan was
25
      not able to explain to you?
```

```
1
                THE DEFENDANT: No. Everything was understandable
 2
      and correct.
 3
                THE COURT: And after going through this document,
 4
      did you sign it?
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 6
                THE COURT: When you were going through these
 7
      documents, were you under the influence of any drugs, alcohol,
      or having any difficulty thinking?
 8
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: No. I was sober.
10
                THE COURT: And was there anything that you did not
11
      understand about those documents when you went through them?
12
                               Nothing.
                THE DEFENDANT:
13
                THE COURT: Has anyone forced you to plead quilty?
14
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
15
                THE COURT: And --
16
                THE DEFENDANT: It was my own decision.
17
                THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way to
18
      get you to plead guilty?
19
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
20
                THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you that
21
      are not in the written plea agreement?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: No.
23
                THE COURT:
                           Do you understand that if the Court
24
      accepts your plea of quilty that you'll be found quilty of a
25
      felony?
```

```
1
                THE DEFENDANT: Once again?
 2
                THE COURT: Do you understand that if we accept your
 3
      plea of quilty that you'll be found quilty of a felony?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: And do you understand that you have the
 6
      right to plead not guilty and make the government try to prove
      its case at trial?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right
10
      to a speedy and public trial?
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
12
                           Do you understand that you are giving up
                THE COURT:
1.3
      your right rights by pleading guilty?
                THE DEFENDANT: Of course.
14
15
                THE COURT: You've been represented in this case by
16
      Mr. Dornan; correct?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: And do you believe that Mr. Dornan has
19
      sufficiently investigated this case so you know what to do
20
      today?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Of course.
22
                THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Dornan's
23
      representation?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: I am.
25
                THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you go to
```

```
trial, you would have the right to counsel representation at
1
2
      the trial and any other stage of the proceedings at no cost to
 3
      you?
                THE DEFENDANT: I understand this, but I am not going
 4
 5
      to trial.
 6
                THE COURT: And do you understand that you would have
 7
      a jury trial if you were to go to trial?
 8
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
 9
                THE COURT: Do you understand that at that trial you
10
      would have the right to see and hear the witnesses who testify
11
      against you and to have them cross-examined on your behalf?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: And do you understand that you would have
14
      the right to call your own witnesses to come testify and if
      they wouldn't come voluntarily you could get a court order
15
16
      called a subpoena to make them come and testify?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
18
                THE COURT: Do you understand that if you chose to
19
      trial [sic], you could testify yourself or you could stay
20
      silent?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                THE COURT: Do you understand that if you stayed
23
      silent at the trial that the jury would not be able to consider
      your silence when determining your guilt?
24
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Do you understand that Judge Gerrard is
 2
      not bound by this plea agreement?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: You explained me this later --
      before.
 4
               Sorry.
 5
                THE COURT: Say that one more time.
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: You explained this to me before.
 7
                THE COURT: And so you do understand that; correct?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you understand that if you
10
      chose to go to trial, the government would not be able to get a
11
      conviction against you unless it was able to prove to every
12
      single juror that you were quilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. And you're willing to give up all
15
      of those rights and plead quilty in this -- these cases;
16
      correct?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, with a guilty plea comes a
19
      felony record. With a felony record comes the loss of civil
20
      rights. Those rights include the right to vote, the right to
21
      serve on a jury, the right to hold a public office, and the
22
      right to carry a weapon. You can also lose federal benefits,
23
      but you can lose rights. Do you understand that?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, but I am not
25
      American citizen unfortunately --
```

1 THE COURT: Yeah. 2 THE DEFENDANT: -- probably. 3 THE COURT: Knowing that you'll -- that you could lose those civil rights, are you still willing to plead guilty? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course. 6 THE COURT: Have you and Mr. Dornan have -- had a 7 chance to talk about how your conviction may affect your immigration status? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Not in details, but I think we will 10 have time to discuss this question. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Let me go through just a few 12 things for you just in case, okay --1.3 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 14 THE COURT: -- to make sure we're buttoned up. 15 you understand that if you're not a U.S. citizen, which you 16 indicated that, that you might not be, that in addition to any 17 other possible penalties you're facing, a plea of guilty may 18 subject you to the removal from the United States, denial of 19 citizenship, and denial of admission to the United States in 20 the future? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Now, you're looking up for -- with 23 regard to the Neb- -- the Nebraska count, the one ending in 24 3074, you're looking at a sentence in this case of up to 20 25 years in prison, a possible fine of up to \$250,000 or the

greater twice -- or the greater or twice the gross gain or trice -- twice the gross loss could be imposed in addition to any term of imprisonment, supervised release of -- of up to three years, and a special assessment of \$100. Is that your understanding of what you're facing with regard to the Nebraska case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

THE COURT: Okay. Now, with regard to the North Carolina case which was transferred over today, you're looking at a sentence of up to 20 years in prison, possible fine of up to \$250,000 or greater -- or the greater of twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss could be imposed in addition to any term of imprisonment, supervised release of up to three years, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you have what's called an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement. Now, in other words, that's an agreement with the government regarding what your sentence ought to be. Under that plea agreement you're agreeing to serve a specific sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, that agreement's between you and the government, and as I indicated earlier, Judge Gerrard will have to decide whether he agrees with that as well. Do you

```
1
      understand that?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Has your attorney explained the
      sentencing guidelines to you?
 4
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
                THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to talk a little
 7
      bit about those, 'cause that's what Judge Gerrard's going to
      look at when determining your sentence. Okay?
 8
9
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
10
                THE COURT: Do you understand that Judge Gerrard will
11
      consider all of your relevant conduct when determining your
12
      sentence?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
                THE COURT: So he'll consider things like your
15
      criminal history, the types of crimes that are in that criminal
16
      history, the amount of money stolen, your role in that
17
      enterprise, those types of things. Do you understand that?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: Now, once Judge Gerrard considers all of
20
      his rele- -- all of the relevant conduct and makes his
21
      findings, he will then determine what your sentence ought to
22
      be, and do you understand that his decision may -- may or may
23
      not be within that term of imprisonment set forth in your plea
24
      agreement?
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. And if he decides that it's not,
2
      he will allow you to withdraw your plea of guilty, and you can
 3
      decide whether you're going to plead guilty without a plea
      agreement or with a different plea agreement or go to trial.
 4
      Do you understand that?
 5
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, of course.
 7
                THE COURT: But if you decide your sentence should be
      within the range set forth in your plea agreement, you will be
 8
 9
      required to serve all of that time, and the most you can get
10
      off of your sentence is 54 days per year for good time served
11
      and that's only if you earn good time. Do you understand that?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but in my -- in my opinion
13
      probably I will -- I will be able to use some kind of federal
14
      programs when I will be in federal prison.
15
                THE COURT:
                           Sorry. That you'll be able to use some
16
      federal programs when you're in federal prison?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Like First Step Act.
18
                THE COURT: Okay.
19
                THE DEFENDANT: So basically, yes, I understand that
20
      my good time is 54 days per -- per year.
21
                THE COURT: Right. I just want to make sure that
22
      once -- that you understand that when Judge Gerrard imposes
23
      your sentence that you will need to serve the entirety of that
24
      sentence with the exception of any good time that you earn.
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And you --
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Thank you for explanation.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. And you understand that
      the most that you can get off of that sentence is 54 days per
 4
 5
      year for good time served?
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
 7
                THE COURT: Understood?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, and once Judge Gerrard
10
      determines -- determines your time -- or do you understand that
11
      after you serve your time in prison, you may be deported?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
13
                THE COURT: And do you understand that with this plea
14
      you will have a felony record in the United States?
15
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
16
                           And has Mr. Dornan explained to you that
                THE COURT:
17
      with a felony record, after being deported it's unlikely that
18
      you will ever be able to legally enter the U.S. again?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: And even though you'll be deported, you
21
      may be subject to a sentencing order after you're released
22
      in -- from prison. Do you understand that?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
24
                THE COURT: And after you --
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me. Because I will be on
```

```
probation; yes?
1
 2
                THE COURT: Or supervised release --
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, yeah.
                THE COURT: -- with Judge Gerrard.
 4
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Thank you.
 6
                THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, after you -- we're going to
 8
 9
      talk about that supervised release right now, because after you
10
      serve your time in prison, Judge Gerrard may order that you --
11
      you -- you do what is called supervised release, and I need to
12
      make sure you understand what that means. Okay, Mr. Slava?
1.3
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               Okay.
14
                THE COURT: So at the time of sentencing, Judge
15
      Gerrard may include in your sentencing order a list of rules
16
      called conditions of release that you must follow under court
17
      order after you get out of prison. Do you understand that?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: And do you understand that if you attempt
20
      to enter the U.S. without permission while subject to the
21
      conditions of release that you could go to jail?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: And do you understand that if you violate
24
      those conditions of release, including attempting to illegally
25
      reenter the U.S. during the time of your supervision, that you
```

```
1
      can be separately charged for those violations and the penalty
2
      for those crimes would be greater merely because you were still
 3
      serving a sentence on this crime today?
                THE DEFENDANT: Mm-hmm. Yes, I understand.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that if you
 5
 6
      violate those conditions, you can be brought back to court and
 7
      sent back to jail?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that if
10
      you -- if you violate the conditions of your release by
11
      committing another crime, your penalty or sentence on that new
12
      crime may be more than it would have been merely because you
13
      were still serving a sentence on that crime?
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: You'll be required to pay a $100
16
      mandatory special assessment with regard to both of the counts.
17
      Were you aware of that?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. And there's no restitution,
20
      correct, Mr. Higgins?
21
                MR. HIGGINS: Well, Judge, there's not a specific
22
      dollar amount, but the parties have agreed that the defendant
23
      will pay restitution as may be ordered by the Court in an
      amount to be determined by the Court, and that's with respect
24
25
      to both of the cases.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I'm -- I'm going to 2 explain some stuff to you, Mr. Slava. Do you understand that 3 if you are convicted of this crime charged, the Court can also order that you pay restitution to any victim? Essentially what 4 5 that is that you -- order you to make good on any loss 6 sustained by any victim of the crime. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 7 And do you understand that at the time of 8 THE COURT: 9 sentencing, the government may present evidence to the judge 10 and request the judge order you to pay a certain amount? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you agree that the \$70,000 1.3 referenced in the Nebraska superseding indictment should be forfeited to the United States as proceeds of illegal activity 14 15 with regard to the Nebraska matter? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And do you also agree that any money obtained as a result of your actions described in the North 18 Carolina indictment should also be forfeited to the United 19 20 States government as proceeds of your illegal activity? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Now, the offense charged against 23 you involves the element of fraud or other intentionally 24 deceptive practice. Do you understand the Court can order --25 might -- may order you to notify victims that you've been

convicted of the crime charged in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

THE COURT: Now, you have a plea agreement with the government which outlines the agreement regarding what should happen at the time of sentencing. At this time I'm going to have Mr. Higgins explain that plea agreement to you. Please listen.

MR. HIGGINS: Judge, I'm prepared to provide a summary of the plea agreement. I want to take this opportunity, though, to follow up on something you just said a moment ago, if I may.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. HIGGINS: And you referred to the Nebraska indictment and a request for restitution of \$70,000. There is a forfeiture allegation in the Nebraska indictment and in the Eastern District of North Carolina indictment. Defendant has agreed to a money judgment in both of those cases in an amount equal to proceeds that were obtained, so it's a different approach than with respect to restitution, but they can overlap because you're measuring in some ways the same things. So the reference to the money judgment in the District of Nebraska is up to seven -- or excuse me. At least 70 million is what was alleged in the indictment.

Now, that's subject to proof, but I wanted to make that clarification because the rest's with respect to the money

```
1
      judgment as opposed to restitution.
2
                THE COURT: Okay. I want a clarification to make
 3
      sure I'm totally following you, Mr. Higgins.
                MR. HIGGINS: Sure.
 4
                THE COURT: Is the $70 million with regard to the
 5
 6
      restitution or with regard to the forfeiture that's
      specifically set forth in the -- in the indictment?
 7
 8
                MR. HIGGINS: It's in respect to the forfeiture
 9
      that's specifically set forth in the indictment as a money
10
      judgment.
11
                THE COURT: Okay.
12
                MR. HIGGINS: So there's not a sum of 70,000 or 70
13
      million that we found somewhere he's agreeing to -- to forfeit.
14
      It's we're seeking a money judgment against him for that on
15
      that basis.
16
                THE COURT: For 70 million?
17
                MR. HIGGINS: Well, that's what's alleged in the
18
      indictment --
19
                THE COURT: Okay.
20
                MR. HIGGINS: -- Judge.
21
                THE COURT: I must have misread it. I apologize.
22
           So I want to go back and -- and reclarify --
23
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
24
                THE COURT: -- something. So with regard to the
25
      forfeiture allegation in the Nebraska -- in the Nebraska
```

```
1
      indictment, do you understand that you may be required to
2
      forfeit any amount of proceeds up to $70 million that were
 3
      proceeds of your illegal actions?
                THE DEFENDANT: I understand this, but I don't have
 4
 5
      such amounts of money.
 6
                THE COURT: Okay. But you understand that that could
 7
      be a requirement?
 8
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I understand.
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. Any other clarifications there,
10
      Mr. Higgins?
11
                MR. HIGGINS: -- no, not on that issue, Judge.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. And with that I think you can go
1.3
      ahead and go into the plea agreement.
14
                MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Judge.
15
           Here's a summary of the terms of the plea agreement that's
16
      applicable to the case here in the District of Nebraska and the
17
      one that was just transferred from the Eastern District of
      North Carolina.
18
19
           So I'm referring to the plea agreement starting on page 1.
20
      The defendant agrees to plead quilty to Count I of the third
21
      superseding indictment that's filed here in the District of
22
      Nebraska and to Count II of the indictment that's [sic] was on
23
      file in the Eastern District of North Carolina. The defendant
24
      further agrees to admit the forfeiture allegations set forth in
25
      the third superseding indictment here in Nebraska and the
```

forfeiture allegation in the indictment filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina.

Still on the -- page 1, there at the very bottom, the
United States in turns agrees that it will move to dismiss as
to the defendant the indictment, the superseding and second
superseding indictments, and the remaining counts in the third
superseding indictment, all with respect to the matters filed
in the District of Nebraska; and with respect to the indictment
filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina, we agree to
move to dismiss as to the defendant the remaining count in that
indictment, all of that at the time of sentencing.

Then on page 2, there's an agreement by the parties to transfer the charges pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina here to Nebraska for purposes of plea and sentencing. You've already gone over that with the defendant.

Turning then, Judge, to page 8, there are a series of agreements concerning sentencing issues. So as to Count I of the third superseding indictment here in the District of Nebraska, the parties agree that — turning over to page 9— the base offense level is either 19 or the offense level applicable to the underlying racketeering activity, whichever is greater, and more specifically that the offense level under the applicable guideline is greater than 19.

And that's described in the following calculations on that same page, the first part of which is that the base offense

1.3

level for the underlying racketeering activity is 7 under the guidelines for the reasons stated.

Next, the parties agree the defendant should receive a 20-offense level increase based on the amount of the intended loss under the guidelines.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense involved ten or more victims, again, pursuant to the referenced guidelines.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because a substantial part of the scheme was committed from the out- -- from outside the United States and was otherwise involving sophisticated means.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense involved the trafficking of unauthorized access devices.

And then that the defendant should also receive a 4-offense level increase based on his role as an organizer or leader in a criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive.

As to Count II of the indictment in the Eastern District

North -- North Carolina, the parties have the following

agreements with respect to the guidelines there, and those, in

substance, are on page 10.

First off, the base offense level is 7 under the guidelines.

Next, the parties agree that the gain resulted from the offense should be used as an alternative measure of loss because the offense caused a loss but the loss cannot be reasonably determined.

Next, the parties agree the defendant should receive a 20-offense level increase because the gain that resulted was more than 9.5 million but less than 25 million.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense involved ten or more victims.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because a substantial part of the fraudulent scheme was committed from outside the United States and the offense otherwise involved sophisticated means.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense involved the traffic in -- trafficking of unauthorized access devices.

Next that the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense involved the conscious or reckless risk of death or serious bodily injury.

Next that the defendant should receive a 4-offense level increase based on his role as an organizer or leader of criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was -- were -- or was otherwise extensive.

That the defendant should receive a 2-offense level increase because the offense is a felony and the defendant or

1.3

conspirator knowingly falsely registered a domain name and knowingly used that domain name in the course of the offense.

Judge, in addition to that, as to both of the -- the pending cases, the United States makes a conditional motion for a third level of reduction to his offense level for acceptance of responsibility provided that the other requirements for that reduction are met.

Judge, the bottom of page 11 provides that the parties agree the defendant may not request or recommend additional downward adjustments, departures, however those might be described. If they're not set forth in the agreement above, then the defendant can't make them.

Turning over to page 12, there's a series of detailed agreements regarding restitution, the lead of which is that the amount of restitution ordered by the Court will include all the defendant's relevant conduct, including charged and uncharged criminal conduct alleged in the third super- -- superseding indictment filed here in the District of Nebraska and also in the indictment filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina, and that relevant conduct is not limited to the counts of conviction.

The parties also agree that the defendant shall pay restitution in an amount to be determined by the Court. As I said, there's a series of other detailed agreements with regard to the details of collection, payment, and assessment, et

1 cetera. Turning over to page 15, and finally, Judge, the defendant 2 3 waives his right to appeal his conviction and his sentence. He also waives the right to seek post-conviction relief. There 4 are limited exceptions to both of those waivers that are set 5 6 out in the body of the agreement. 7 That's the summary of the plea agreement, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Higgins. 8 9 Mr. Dornan, do you agree with that as- -- with that 10 summary? 11 MR. DORNAN: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And, Mr. Slava, did you listen as the government described the plea agreement? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Did that match your understanding of your 16 agreement with the government? 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Now, under the terms of the plea 20 agreement, you're giving up your right to appeal and collateral 21 attack with certain exceptions. I need to make sure you 22 understand what you're giving up. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Everything that's done by this court is 25 being subject to be -- being looked at by another court to make

sure it was done right. That process is called an appeal, and the court that looks at it is called the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

THE COURT: Under the terms of this plea agreement, you're giving up your right to the appeal process with two exceptions. You can claim you had ineffective assistance of counsel and you can request compassionate release and appeal that request, but in all other respects you are giving up your right to appeal. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, collateral attack is different than an appeal. That proceeding is filed after the appeals process is over, and it allows you to challenge your conviction and your sentence by claiming your constitutional rights were violated. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Under the terms of this plea agreement, you're giving up your right to that type of proceeding as well, again with -- again with two exceptions. You can claim you had ineffective assistance of counsel or you can claim what you're admitting here today is not a crime, but in all other respects you're giving up your right to collateral attack. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
1
                THE COURT: Do you understand that your waiver of
 2
      appeal and your waiver of collateral attack apply both to your
 3
      conviction and to the sentence that you have not yet received?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                THE COURT: Have you talked to Mr. Dornan about your
 5
 6
      appeals rights and your collateral attack rights?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we discussed this.
                            And after having those discussions and
 8
                THE COURT:
 9
      considering your opinions [sic], have you decided to give up
10
      your right to appeal and collateral attack with the exceptions
11
      listed in the plea agreement?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
1.3
                THE COURT: Do you understand that there is no
14
      quarantee that your sentence will be less because you plead
15
      quilty instead of being found quilty by a jury?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand this.
17
                THE COURT: Now, there's a factual basis in the plea
18
      agreement that starts at page 3 and goes into page 7. Have you
19
      gone over these facts with your attorney?
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
                THE COURT: Are these facts true?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, these facts are true.
23
                THE COURT: Those facts are true; is that what you
24
      said?
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Huh?
```

37 1 THE COURT: I had a little trouble hearing. 2 THE DEFENDANT: These facts are true. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. You're just a little soft-spoken, and I'm a little hard of hearing too. 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me. 6 THE COURT: Now, at this time I'm going to have the 7 government explain the key facts that they would present at trial if this case were to go to trial. Please listen, 'cause 8 9 I'm going to ask you some questions about that. Okay? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 11 MR. HIGGINS: Judge, my intention is to provide 12 essentially a recitation of what is in the plea agreement that 1.3 he admitted was true so.... 14 THE COURT: Perfect. 15 MR. HIGGINS: If the case were to go to trial here in 16 the District of Nebraska, I expect our evidence would show that 17 the defendant was part of a racketeering enterprise that United States authorities referred to as the Jabber Zeus Crew. 18 19 And the way that it worked was that the defendant and his 20 co-conspirators employed malicious software, which was known as 21 Zeus or Zbot, to infect thousands of computers with malicious

software and thereby to obtain information that was necessary to access bank accounts of victim companies throughout the Typically those were small businesses or United States. nonprofit organizations. So when a computer got infected with

22

23

24

25

malware such as Zeus, it kept track of usernames, passwords, and other information that was entered into a bank's login web page. So then when employees of the victims entered this kind of information, the Zeus malware collected it and sent it to members of the enterprise who were located, among other places, in Ukraine.

So the defendant and his co-conspirators would then use that stolen information to log in to the websites of victims' banks themselves, often posing as employees of the victims.

And with that access, defendant and his co-conspirators would make electronic payments from the victims' accounts using the Automated Clearinghouse, or ACH, network, in some cases emptying those victim accounts completely. The ACH network is a special-purpose computer network used only by banks that is used to clear checks and process other kinds of payments here in the United States.

So the defendant and his co-conspirators typically sent the ACH payments to individuals who were acting as so-called money mules. The mules received the ACH payments in their own accounts, typically here in the United States, and then wired that money to overseas accounts that were controlled by the defendant and his con- -- co-conspirators. The defendant played a crucial role, a leadership role, in this scheme by directing and coordinating the exchange of stolen banking credentials and money mules. The defendant also received alert

messages which provided notification once a bank account had been compromised, thereby facilitating his ability to quickly direct and coordinate the response of the enterprise to transfer funds from the victims' accounts and into the control of the participants in the conspiracy.

So beginning sometime in about May of 2009, ending in about May of 2010, the defendant and his co-conspirators communicated using their own instant messaging service -- server known as the incomeet server, and that was after its domain name. So the defendant used that server to receive so-called alert messages from infected machines that contained compromised account credentials.

So that incomeet server, which it turns out was located here in the United States, was configured to log the text of every message that went through it and to associate all of those messages with the nickname of the person who sent it and the precise date and time. And through the use of several search warrants executed at different times during the course of the investigation, the government obtained those logs. And participants in the logged chat identified themselves by nicknames, as I mentioned, and the defendant's nickname was Tank.

So on or about July 28 of 2009, the defendant and a co-conspirator, using these nicknames, exchanged chat messages regarding transfers of funds from a bank account that belonged

Distributing. On or about July 29, 2009, Doll
Distributing reported that two fraudulent ACH payments totaling
\$59,222 were, in fact, made from its bank account. And that
account was maintained with a bank headquartered in Omaha,
Nebraska, First National Bank of Omaha, and that was a
financial institution that was insured by the FDIC at the time,
and it offered online banking services through computers -- or
a series of computer servers, rather, that were located in
Nebraska. So the ACH payments from the First National Bank of
Omaha maintained accounts that were owned by Doll Distributing.
They were made using that online banking system, which meant
that someone falsely claiming to be a Doll employee had
initiated the payments. And the money transferred from Doll's
bank account was sent to two money mules in the United States.

In addition, Judge, on or about September 28 of 2009, defendant and his co-conspirators caused malicious software to be installed without authorization on a computer used by Parago, Inc. And on or about September 28, 2009, defendant and his co-conspirators used stolen bank -- rather, stolen access information to attempt to cause First National Bank of Omaha to transfer funds out of a bank account belonging to Parago and into one or more bank accounts that were designated by the conspiracy. Also on or about September 28, 2009, the defendant transmitted login credentials for an employee of Parago to a co-conspirators -- -conspirator. Those credentials included

the employee's username, full password, and the answers to two different security questions.

In addition, Judge, on or about March 3 of 2010, defendant and his co-conspirators caused malicious software to be installed without authorization on a computer used by Husker AG, LLC, a business located in Plainview, Nebraska. Now, on or about March 3 of 2010, defendant and his co-conspirators received the username and password of an employee of Husker and used those stolen credentials to attempt to cause Union Bank and Trust, a financial institution that was insured by the FDIC at the time located here in Lincoln, Nebraska, to transfer funds out of a bank account that belonged to Husker to two money mules in the United States.

After the defendant was initially char- -- charged for his role as described in the Jabber -- Jabber Zeus Crew in or about 2015, the defendant changed his legal name to Vyacheslav Igorevich Andreev.

Judge, with respect to the Eastern District of North

Carolina, if that case were called to trial, we expect that the

evidence would show that defendant and his co-conspirators

distributed spam emails containing malicious attachments that,

when clicked, provided unauthorized access to a victim

computer.

So those attachments contained a form of malicious software described as IcedID, also known as Bokbot, and that

1.3

malicious software collected and transmitted personal information from users of infected computers, including information necessary to enters user -- excuse me, enter users' bank accounts. IcedID also provided access to infected computers for other forms of malicious software, including ransomware.

So defendant and his co-conspirators, through IcedID, identified when victims attempted to communicate with websites of interest, such as websites for major financial institutions, and then redirected those victims to different websites, ones that were controlled by members of the conspiracy and that appeared to be the legitimate websites.

So defendant and his co-conspirators used those redirected websites to fraudulently obtain personal information from the users of infected computers, such as information necessary to enter users' bank accounts.

Defendant and his co-conspirators also transmitted and stored personal information from the users of infected computers to one of a number of online panels that were controlled by the conspirators.

Defendant and his co-conspirators also used the victims' personal information to obtain access to financial and other victim-owned accounts and to transfer money from those accounts to accounts that were controlled by the conspirators.

Defendant and his co-conspirators also used access to

1.3

victim computers to download onto them other forms of malicious software, including ransomware, onto those computers.

So from an unknown date but no later than in or about November of 2018 until in or about February of 2021, the defendant managed a botnet that consisted of victim computers that had been infected with this malicious software, IcedID, and as part of the defendant's management of that botnet for IcedID, the defendant maintained a spreadsheet of the income and expenses from IcedID in 2021, and that spreadsheet included a total income of over 19.9 million United States dollars for that year.

Also from an unknown date but not later than in or about November '18 until in or about November 2021, the defendant used IcedID panel information to steal money from victim accounts at two different financial services firms.

So those IcedID panels were accessible at domain names which were knowingly registered in a manner that perfected -- prevented, rather, the effective identification of or contact with the person who registered the domain names, including false names.

Judge, also on or about August 10 of 2020, a member of the conspiracy used the IcedID malware to be transmitted to an email account belonging to a victim, which was received in Wilmington, North Carolina.

And on or about October 28, 2020, the IcedID malware was

```
1
      used to obtain unauthorized access to the University of Vermont
2
      Medical Center, which I will refer to as UVMMC. That IcedID
 3
      malware distributed ransomware that encrypted significant
      portions of the hospital's computers -- computer networks.
 4
 5
      as a result, the hospital was unable to provide many critical
 6
      patient services for over two weeks, which created a risk of
 7
      death or serious bodily injury for patients. The cost to UVMMC
      of responding to the incident, which included conducting a
 8
 9
      damage assessment, restoring its data, its programs, systems,
10
      and information prior -- to its condition prior to the
11
      incident, and the revenue lost, costs incurred, and other
12
      damages incurred because of the interruption of services,
1.3
      amounted to at least $30 million.
14
           And in addition, Judge, on or about February 25 of 2021, a
15
      member of the conspiracy caused IcedID malware to be
16
      transmitted to an email account belonging to a victim, which
17
      was received in Cary, North Carolina.
18
           Judge, those are our factual bases for the two cases.
19
                THE COURT: Mr. Dornan, do you agree that if this
20
      case went to trial, that evidence would go before a jury?
21
                MR. DORNAN: I do, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: Mr. Slava, did you listen as the
23
      government described the evidence against you?
24
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
25
                THE COURT: Is everything the government said the
```

```
1
      truth?
2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. So did you work with a group of
      individuals to infect computers used by others with malicious
 4
      software in order to obtain other individuals' financial and
 5
 6
      personal information?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
 7
                THE COURT: And the individuals' computers that you
 8
 9
      installed this malicious software on did not consent to this
10
      installation; correct?
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, correct.
12
                           And through the use of this software, did
                THE COURT:
1.3
      you and this group of other individuals work together to
      transfer money from these individuals to accounts outside of
14
15
      the U.S.?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                THE COURT: And these transfers were not consented to
18
      by the individuals; correct?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's correct.
20
                THE COURT: And when I say the in- -- individuals, I
21
      mean the ones who owned that money.
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: And this group of individuals -- and you
24
      stole money from bank accounts?
25
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's correct.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And you knew these actions were
2
      occurring; correct?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                THE COURT: And you agreed to participate in these
      actions with other individuals?
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: You agreed to do so voluntarily?
                THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: And these actions occurred from about May
10
      of 2009 until about September of 20- -- 2010?
11
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but not on regular basis.
12
                THE COURT:
                           Understand. But those actions did occur
13
      during that time frame --
14
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: -- correct?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                THE COURT: And some of these -- some of these
      actions occurred and affected accounts in Nebraska?
18
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you agree that these actions
21
      affected interstate and foreign commerce?
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: Now I'm going to talk about the North
24
      Carolina -- or I'm going to talk about the North Carolina
25
      charge as well. Okay? With regard to the North Carolina
```

```
1
      charge, did you work with a group of individuals to obtain
2
      money and property from individuals using malicious software?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's correct.
                THE COURT: And this software allowed you and the
 4
 5
      group of individuals with whom you were working to obtain
      access to the bank accounts of others?
 6
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: And the owners of these bank accounts,
 8
 9
      again, did not voluntarily allow you to ac- -- access their
10
      bank accounts?
11
                THE DEFENDANT: It's correct.
12
                THE COURT: The software allowed you and this group
1.3
      of individuals with whom you were working to transfer the funds
      outside of those individuals' bank accounts; correct?
14
15
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
                THE COURT: And they did not consent to those fund
17
      transfers?
18
                THE DEFENDANT: No, they didn't.
19
                THE COURT: And you also worked with others to use
20
      malware, including ransomware, to defraud individuals?
21
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                THE COURT: You worked with a group of individuals in
23
      this scheme to steal money from others?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                THE COURT: And you voluntarily joined in that
```

```
1
      scheme?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
                THE COURT: And you knew that when you were working
      with this group of individuals that the purpose of the scheme
 4
      was to defraud other individuals?
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: And to obtain money and property from
      others without their consent?
 8
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                THE COURT: When engaging in this plan with these
11
      group of individuals, did you communicate with them via wire
      communications?
12
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: And those wire communications crossed
14
      state lines or went outside -- from outside of the U.S. to a
15
16
      foreign country?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. And these actions occurred from
      approximately November of 2018 to April of 2022?
19
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
                THE COURT: Do you agree that these actions occurred
22
      and affected accounts in the Eastern District of North
23
      Carolina?
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I agree with this.
25
                THE COURT: Do you agree that all of the funds
```

```
1
      obtained from these actions both that you did -- that are --
2
      you've been indicted in both Nebraska and North Carolina, all
 3
      of those funds should be forfeited to the United States as
      proceeds of your illegal activity?
 4
 5
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
                THE COURT: Up to and including $70 million, should
      those funds be found?
 7
 8
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
 9
                THE COURT: Is there any additional questions from
10
      the government, Mr. Higgins?
11
                MR. HIGGINS: Not with respect to the facts or the
12
      elements, Judge.
1.3
                THE COURT: Okay.
14
                MR. HIGGINS: The only additional question I had
15
      would be -- that I think the Court ought to pose is that when
16
      the defendant reviewed the plea agreement and the petition, he
17
      had the assistance of an interpreter available at those times
      as well. That was the only other thing. I thought I should
18
      mention it now.
19
20
                THE COURT: That's -- that's a very good point.
21
           Mr. Slava, so we've been talking in English throughout
22
      this entire proceeding; correct?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                THE COURT: And as I mentioned to you at the
25
      beginning, there was the ability for us to use a Russian
```

```
1
      interpreter, should you need so.
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but I didn't ask for it because
 3
      I don't need it.
                THE COURT: Correct. And when Mr. Dornan was going
 4
 5
      over the plea agreement and the petition with you --
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: We -- we were presented with a
 7
      interpreter, and I was using his help.
 8
                THE COURT: Okay. So you used an interpreter then?
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                THE COURT: And you were able to understand
11
      everything in both the petition --
12
                THE DEFENDANT: I was able to understand everything.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. And you don't feel like
14
      you needed any additional help to understand what was in the
15
      petition or the plea agreement?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't need additional help.
17
      Thank you.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you want any clarifications on
19
      that, Mr. Higgins?
20
                MR. HIGGINS: None further, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. Any additional questions regarding
22
      the factual basis or the elements from you, Mr. Dornan?
23
                MR. DORNAN: No, Your Honor.
24
                THE COURT: Mr. Higgins, do you believe the guilty
25
      plea is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary and that there is a
```

```
factual basis for it?
1
 2
                MR. HIGGINS: I do, Judge.
 3
                THE COURT: Mr. Dornan, do you agree?
                MR. DORNAN: I do, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Mr. Slava, do you want the Court to
 6
      accept your plea of guilty?
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                THE COURT: Do you have any questions of me before I
 8
 9
      proceed?
10
                THE DEFENDANT: Not now.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to start with
12
      Count I of the Nebraska indictment. Bear with me for a second.
13
      To Count I of the Nebraska indictment which alleges that you
      violated Section 1962(d) of Title 18 of the United States Code,
14
      name -- or namely that you engaged in -- in a conspiracy to
15
16
      participate in racketeering activity, what do you plea?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                THE COURT: What -- what do you plea?
           (Discussion off the record.)
19
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. I plead guilty.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. And then let's move on to Count II
22
      of the North Carolina indictment. To Count II of the North
23
      Carolina indictment which alleges that you violated Title 18,
24
      United States Code, Section 1349, which is, I believe --
25
      is that the wire fraud?
```

1 MR. HIGGINS: Conspiracy to commit wire fraud, yes, 2 Judge.

THE COURT: -- which alleges that you committed a conspiracy to commit wire fraud, what do you plead, Mr. Slava?

THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. I do find that you -- do you agree that any money obtained as your [sic] result in your actions described in the North Carolina indictment and the Nebraska indictment should be forfeited to the United States government as proceeds of your illegal activities?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I do find that you fully understand your rights and that you freely, voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive your rights and that you understand the consequences of waiving your rights. I also find that your guilty plea is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary and that you're competent to plead and that you understand the nature of the charges filed against you in this case. I find that you understand the possible penalties which may be imposed and that there is a factual basis for your plea.

I will recommend to Judge Gerrard that he accept your plea of guilty and he find you guilty. I'll further recommend to Judge Gerrard that he not accept the written plea agreement at this time as it contains a provision under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) but for him to reserve acceptance of the plea agreement until the

```
time of sentencing. We're looking at a sentencing date of --
1
2
           Nicki, when's the sentencing date going to be?
 3
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: (No audible response.)
                THE COURT: May 9th at 1:30. Does that work for
 4
 5
      everyone?
 6
                MR. DORNAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
                MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Judge.
                           Is the interpreter still on the line?
 8
                THE COURT:
 9
                THE INTERPRETER: Yes, interpreter is on line.
10
                THE COURT: Does May 9th at 1:30 work for you, sir,
11
      for us to re- -- reengage you pursuant to TIP?
12
           [Overlapping speakers]
13
                COURTROOM DEPUTY: [Unintelligible] TIP?
14
                THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. So we'll go ahead and
16
      reconvene, then, at that point in time.
17
           Until then, Mr. Slava, you will be remanded to the custody
      of the U.S. Marshals.
18
           Is there anything further from the government?
19
20
                MR. HIGGINS: Nothing further, Judge.
21
                THE COURT: Anything further from the defendant?
22
                MR. DORNAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.
23
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have a nice day.
24
                THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
25
           (Adjourned at 2:38 p.m.)
```

```
1
            I, Lisa G. Grimminger, certify that the foregoing is a
      correct transcription to the best of my ability from the
 2
 3
      digital recording of the proceedings held in the above-entitled
 4
      matter.
 5
                /s/Lisa G. Grimminger
 6
                                                     March 1, 2024
                Lisa G. Grimminger, RDR, CRR, CRC Date
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```