

1 **FITZGERALD MONROE FLYNN PC**
 2 JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370)
 3 *jfitzgerald@fmfpc.com*
 4 MELANIE R. MONROE (SBN 275423)
 5 *mmonroe@fmfpc.com*
 6 TREVOR FLYNN (SBN 253362)
 7 *tflynn@fmfpc.com*
 8 CAROLINE S. EMHARDT (SBN 321222)
 9 *cemhardt@fmfpc.com*
 10 PETER GRAZUL (SBN 342735)
 11 *pgrazul@fmfpc.com*
 12 2341 Jefferson Street, Suite 200
 13 San Diego, California 92110
 14 Phone: (619) 215-1741
 15 ***Counsel for Plaintiff***

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 STELLA TATOLA, on behalf of herself, all
 14 others similarly situated, and the general public,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BARNABY LTD., LLC, and MICROSOFT
 18 CORPORATION,

19 Defendants.

Case No: 24-cv-3789

CLASS ACTION

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Stella Tatola, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, by
2 and through her undersigned counsel, brings this action against defendants Barnaby Ltd., LLC (“Barnaby”)
3 and Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) and alleges the following upon her own knowledge, or where she
4 lacks personal knowledge, upon information and belief, including the investigation of her counsel.

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 1. Through at least two different websites, Barnaby sells adult toys and products aimed at
7 enhancing sexual wellbeing and pleasure. Barnaby’s websites allow consumers to view a diverse catalogue
8 of these adult toys and products, and consumers like Plaintiff visit the websites to confidentially shop for
9 such adult toys and products.

10 2. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff and other Barnaby website users, and constituting the ultimate
11 violation of privacy, Barnaby allows an undisclosed third-party, Microsoft, to intercept, read, and utilize for
12 commercial gain consumers’ private information about their sexual practices and preferences, gleaned from
13 their activity on Barnaby’s websites. This information includes but is not limited to product searches and
14 purchase initiations, as well as the consumer’s unique Microsoft identifier.

15 3. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, brings this class action to enjoin
16 Barnaby’s disclosure and communication to Microsoft of private and confidential information without
17 consent, and to recover compensation for injured Class Members.

18 **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

19 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) (The Class
20 Action Fairness Act) because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive
21 of interest and costs, and at least one member of the class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from
22 Defendants. In addition, more than two-thirds of the members of the Class reside in a state other than the
23 state in which Defendants are citizens, and therefore any exceptions to jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)
24 do not apply. The Court also has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because it is a
25 civil action arising under the laws of the United States (the Federal Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510, *et seq.*).

26 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they have purposely availed
27 themselves of the benefits and privileges of conducting business activities within California by making
28 Barnaby’s websites, embedded with Microsoft’s tracking tool, Clarity, available to California consumers.

1 and “Lingerie.”¹ Selecting among these categories reveals preferences and practices and has the capacity and
2 tendency to reveal users’ sexual orientation.

3 14. The Websites’ home pages also feature articles on various topics such as masturbation, level
4 of sexual experience, “tips & tricks,” expert advice, and sexual health, all of which would, if a user browses
5 that information, further reveal PPSI of the user. As Barnaby states on its homepages, it “has been helping
6 people discover and celebrate pleasure since 1977. Whatever your gender, identity, kink, fantasy, or desire
7 to explore, [Barnaby is] here to help on your sexual journey” and “be your guide.”²

8 **II. Users of the Websites Have a Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in their PPSI**

9 15. When consumers communicate their PPSI to Barnaby, they reasonably believe Barnaby
10 maintains the confidentiality of that information. This is due to both the extremely sensitive and private
11 nature of the information involved, and affirmative representations by Barnaby.

12 16. On the Websites’ “Help” pages, under “Privacy and Security,” Barnaby states “[w]e are
13 committed to protecting your privacy. We NEVER share our customers’ personal information with anyone.”³
14 This representation is directly followed by the statement and link to “Read [Barnaby’s] Privacy Policy.”

15 **Privacy and Security**

16 **Privacy**

17 We are committed to protecting your privacy. We NEVER share our customers' personal information with anyone. [Read our Privacy Policy](#).

18
19 17. Clicking on “**Read our Privacy Policy**” brings the user to Barnaby’s Privacy Policy, which
20 is substantively identical on both Websites.⁴

21 18. In its Privacy Policies, Barnaby represents it “undertake[s] substantial efforts to protect the
22 confidentiality of the identity, preferences, and other information it has collected about individual [users]
23 and, except as otherwise set forth in this Privacy Policy, will not knowingly allow access to this information
24 to anyone outside [Barnaby].”

25 _____
26 ¹ See Websites.

27 ² *Id.*

28 ³ www.goodvibes.com/help and www.babeland.com/help (the “Help Pages”).

⁴ See www.goodvibes.com/privacy-policy and www.babeland.com/privacy-policy (the “Privacy Policies”).

1 19. In addressing “Usage Data and Site Activity,” the Privacy Policies also twice reassure users
2 that Barnaby collects only “certain limited non-personally identifying information” and “[t]his information
3 does not include . . . [Personally Identifying Information (“PII”).”

4 20. Regarding “Marketing,” the Privacy Policies further represent Barnaby “may share with third
5 parties” only “certain non-personally identifying log information your browser makes available whenever
6 you visit the Website[s].”

7 21. Finally, in response to the question “How Does [Barnaby] Use Your PII?,” the Privacy
8 Policies represent Barnaby “will not give, rent, loan or otherwise make available [users’] PII to third parties
9 without your consent.”

10 **III. Barnaby Permits Microsoft to Eavesdrop on Users’ Website Sessions through the Undisclosed**
11 **and Pervasive Embedding of Microsoft’s Clarity Tool Throughout the Websites**

12 **A. Microsoft Clarity**

13 22. Microsoft owns, maintains, and allows use of an analytics tool called Clarity, which was
14 launched in approximately October 2020 and permits website owners to track visitors’ actions by providing
15 “Recordings in Real Time” so that website owners can “view[] live recordings that recreate user interactions
16 with [their] website/app. These include mouse movements, clicks/taps, scrolls, navigation, and many more.”⁵
17 Website owners can receive these Clarity services merely by adding the tracker’s source code to their
18 websites.

19 23. Once the Clarity tracker is embedded in a website’s source code, in addition to providing
20 website owners these services, it sends data regarding specific user actions, and their unique identifiers, to
21 Microsoft.

22 24. Clarity’s tracking code uses a cookie to obtain user session data. More specifically, a Machine
23 Unique Identifier (“MUID”) is a unique identifier generated by Microsoft and assigned to a specific user to
24 track the user’s activity across the internet, and Microsoft Clarify employs the use of MUID cookies to
25 “[i]dentif[y] unique web browsers visiting Microsoft sites.”⁶

26
27 ⁵ <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/clarity/session-recordings/clarity-real-time>.

28 ⁶ <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/clarity/setup-and-installation/cookie-list>.


1 25. Microsoft uses the data it obtains through Clarity to “gain insights” that allow it to better target
 2 advertisements and “improve machine learning models”—*i.e.*, artificial intelligence—“that power many of
 3 [its] products and services. Clarity is one of the ways Microsoft gathers this important data”⁷

4 26. Because Microsoft knows that “website publisher[s] . . . might be subject to a law that requires
 5 [them] to obtain consent before setting cookies on a user’s browser or collecting users’ personal information,”
 6 Clarity can be instructed not to place a cookie until consent is obtained from a website user.⁸ Clarity’s cookies
 7 inform Microsoft whether Clarity has been so instructed on a given website.

8 **B. Barnaby Allows Microsoft to Eavesdrop on Website Users Without Their Consent**

9 27. Defendants’ use of Clarity is persistent throughout Barnaby’s Websites. When users interact
 10 with the Websites, Barnaby shares their PPSI directly with Microsoft, including their MUID, immediately
 11 and automatically. Both Websites operate identically in this regard.

12 28. Users of the Websites can choose between different categories of products such as, for
 13 example, the “Anal Beads” category, depicted on the GoodVibes website below.



⁷ <https://clarity.microsoft.com/pricing>.


⁸ <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/clarity/setup-and-installation/cookie-consent?source=recommendations>.

29. When a user selects a category to browse on the Websites, that information is transmitted immediately and automatically to Microsoft by Barnaby, through operation of the Clarity code embedded in the Websites. Below shows a “traffic capture” demonstrating Barnaby’s automatic transmission of category information and unique MUIDs to Microsoft.


```

64 https://bat.bing.com GET /action/0?ti=187002367&Ver=2&mid... 204
Request
Pretty Raw Hex
1 GET /action/0?ti=187002367&Ver=2&mid=8e0671e2-b731-44a2-93a7-f71335a60d82&sid=
a9c9fa50e13111eeaca281a03b276516&vid=42bb1f60deb311ee922927368710be21&vids=0&
msclkid=N&pi=918639831&lg=en-US&sw=1920&sh=1080&sc=24&tl=
Anal%20Beads%20-%20Good%20Vibrations&p=
https%3A%2F%2Fwww.goodvibes.com%2Fsex-toys%2Fc%2Fgv122%2Fanal-toys%2Fanal-beads&r
=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.goodvibes.com%2F&lt=682&evt=pageLoad&sv=1&rn=137265 HTTP/2
2 Host: bat.bing.com
3 Cookie: MUID=026454E25E33695D254C40D65FA36841; MSPTC=
cMY9VI9_U0Jx9u4v9y8HhPEb_Uo08w4RLIxctVWmyjY; MR=0
4 Sec-Ch-Ua: "Chromium";v="122", "Not(A:Brand";v="24", "Google Chrome";v="122"
5 Sec-Ch-Ua-Mobile: ?0
6 User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_15_7) AppleWebKit/537.36
(KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/122.0.0.0 Safari/537.36
7 Sec-Ch-Ua-Platform: "macOS"
8 Accept: image/avif,image/webp,image/apng,image/svg+xml,image/*,*/*;q=0.8
9 Sec-Fetch-Site: cross-site
10 Sec-Fetch-Mode: no-cors
11 Sec-Fetch-Dest: image
12 Referer: https://www.goodvibes.com/
13 Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate, br
14 Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.9
15
    
```


30. Additionally, any text used in the search bar on the Websites is shared in the same manner, as demonstrated in the search results page and associated traffic capture below.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



31. Barnaby also shares with Microsoft, immediately and automatically, when a user selects a specific product for viewing, including the page of the specific item selected and its name, as demonstrated with respect to the “Trans Masc Pump” shown below.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

```

448 https://bat.bing.com GET /action/0?ti=187002371&Ver=2&mid... ✓ 204 578
Request
Pretty Raw Hex
1 GET /action/0?ti=187002371&Ver=2&mid=68ffc867-76cc-4450-aa37-b8098f1c816b&sid=
d4430a20e1f411eea16541b2c551bf17&vid=b7fd4bf0deed11eeb188a950ba620b3f&vids=0&msslkid=N&pi=918639831&
lg=en-US&sw=1920&sh=1080&sc=24&tl=Trans%20Masc%20Pump%202.0%20%7C%20Babeland%20Toy%20Store&p=
https%3A%2F%2Fwww.babeland.com%2Fsex-toys%2F%2FBL46588%2Fnew-york-toy-collective-%2Ftrans-masc-pump
-20%3Flref%3DSrch%7Cnull%7Ca%7C14%7Cc%7C0%7Cnull%7Ctoy_category%7C0&r=
https%3A%2F%2Fwww.babeland.com%2Fsex-toys%2F%2F97%2Fpenis-toys%2Fpenis-pumps&lt=444&evt=pageLoad&sv
=1&rn=913634 HTTP/2
2 Host: bat.bing.com
3 Cookie: MUID=026454E25E33695D254C40D65FA36841; MR=0; MSPTC=
cYXGZnip8-Pd4a933gopFxjqgBIQgHUo_u8ewwiDMwM
4 Sec-Ch-Ua: "Chromium";v="122", "Not(A:Brand";v="24", "Google Chrome";v="122"
5 Sec-Ch-Ua-Mobile: ?0
6 User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_15_7) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/122.0.0.0 Safari/537.36
7 Sec-Ch-Ua-Platform: "macOS"
8 Accept: image/avif,image/webp,image/apng,image/svg+xml,image/*,*/*;q=0.8
9 Sec-Fetch-Site: cross-site
10 Sec-Fetch-Mode: no-cors
11 Sec-Fetch-Dest: image
12 Referer: https://www.babeland.com/
13 Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate, br
14 Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.9
15
    
```

32. Barnaby also shares with Microsoft when a user adds a product to the cart, as shown below.

The screenshot shows the Good Vibes website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the text "BIG PLEASURE & BIG SAVINGS + UP TO 70% OFF". Below this is a search bar and the "GOOD VIBES" logo. The main navigation menu includes categories like "BEST SELLERS", "VIBRATORS", "DILDOS", "LUBE", "PENIS TOYS", "ANAL TOYS", "BDSM FETISH", "HARNESSES", "LINGERIE", "BLOG", "E-GIFT CARD", "MORE", and "SALE".

The cart section shows "Cart (1 Item)". A "SAVINGS ALERT!" banner indicates that adding \$40.07 more qualifies for free shipping. The cart contains one item: "Trans Masc Pump 2.0", which is ready to ship and priced at \$44.99. Below the item are "Add To Wishlist" and "Remove" buttons.

The "Order Summary" on the right shows a sub-total of \$44.99 and a saver of \$8.95 (7-10 business days), resulting in an "Estimated Total" of \$53.94. Payment options include "Checkout", "G Pay", "afterpay", "PayPal Checkout", and "venmo Checkout".

At the bottom, there is a "Staff Picks" section featuring "Good Vibes Please Arousal Cream" for \$16.00 and "Electra Slimline Waterproof Vibrator Pink" for \$29.99 (discounted to \$23.99).


```

METHOD: GET +
URL
+ https://bat.bing.com/action/0?ti=187002367&Ver=26mid=52ce894e-f899-409d-b396-53b9fd1ab133&sid=552b0db01
8e311ef98016d9db85969be&vid=552b350018e311ef912a53ef1bac7a3a&vids=06msclkid=NBoc-Ecommerce&ol-Purchase&ov
=16.96gv=16.96gc=USD6ea-Purchase&an=Y6p=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.goodvibes.com%2F%3Fthank-you&sw=1
920&sh=1080&sc=24&evt=custom&rn=45720

HEADERS
+ Accept: image/avif,image/webp,image/apng,image/svg+xml,image/*,*/*;q=0.8
+ Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate, br, zstd
+ Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.9
+ Connection: keep-alive
+ Cookie: MUID=27A5049686B561DB259E101E87256093; MSPTC=SAw-5XofvPALKB3cWW446vq-Z8hyBR-w-
JhFCJk0NRw
+ Host: bat.bing.com
+ Referer: https://www.goodvibes.com/
  sec-ch-ua: "Chromium";v="124", "Google Chrome";v="124", "Not-A.Brand";v="99"
  sec-ch-ua-mobile: ?0
  sec-ch-ua-platform: "Windows"
+ Sec-Fetch-Dest: image
+ Sec-Fetch-Mode: no-cors
+ Sec-Fetch-Site: cross-site
+ User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/124.0.0.0 Safari/537.36

```

34. As shown above, the pervasive nature of the code embedded throughout Barnaby's Websites functions as a wiretap that simultaneously redirects users' communications to third-party Microsoft while they browse and shop on Barnaby's Websites.

35. Microsoft's eavesdropping is unknown to and without the consent of the Websites' users.

36. Upon entering the Websites, users are presented with a banner at the bottom of the page representing that Barnaby uses cookies "[i]n order to give you a better service," and that "[b]y continuing to browse the site, you are agreeing to our use of cookies." Users do not need to affirmatively click the "I Agree" button to continue using the Websites and cookies will be employed regardless of whether a user affirmatively agrees.

In order to give you a better service we use cookies. By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of [cookies](#).

37. The "cookies" hyperlink brings users to one of Barnaby's Privacy Policies.⁹

38. In the Privacy Policies, Barnaby states it uses cookies for "authenticating users, remembering user preferences and settings, delivering and measuring the effectiveness of advertising campaigns, [and] analyzing site traffic and trends."

⁹ Privacy Policies, *supra* n.4.

1 39. However, nowhere in the Privacy Policies does Barnaby disclose the specific tracking
2 technology it is using, all of the purposes of that technology, or that the technology permits an unidentified
3 third-party, Microsoft, to eavesdrop on users’ PPSI-related communications with Barnaby—communications
4 Barnaby elsewhere ensures users it would “NEVER share . . . with anyone.”¹⁰

5 40. Notwithstanding the lack of any mechanism for opting out of or preventing the use of cookies
6 and the Microsoft Clarity tracking technology embedded across the Websites, the Privacy Policies
7 misleadingly tell users they “have the right to choose whether or not to accept cookies.”

8 41. Further, although Barnaby could have instructed Clarity not to place cookies until Website
9 users consented to their use, it chose not to. As such, Clarity was operating in its default tracking mode,
10 meaning that “[i]t loads immediately,” and “before [a] user can indicate whether they consent to [the
11 Websites’] use of cookies.”¹¹ This was known to Microsoft through the cookies it received from Barnaby
12 through Clarity.

13 **C. Microsoft’s Undisclosed Eavesdropping Violates Barnaby’s Privacy Policies and its**
14 **Website Users’ Rights to Privacy**

15 42. The pervasive nature of Clarity, and the consistent transmission of each user’s
16 communications associated with a unique MUID, enables Microsoft to identify the person who has
17 communicated with Barnaby through the Websites and thus to connect a Website user’s PPSI to their identity.

18 43. By allowing undisclosed third party Microsoft to eavesdrop and intercept users’ PPSI in such
19 a manner—including their sexual orientation, preferences, and desires, among other highly sensitive,
20 protected information—Barnaby violates its Privacy Policies, which state it will never share such information
21 with third parties.

22 44. Further, by intercepting website users’ PPSI, Microsoft violates users’ rights to privacy in
23 their PPSI.

24 45. Microsoft’s interception of the Barnaby Website users’ PPSI exemplifies the utmost invasion
25 of privacy and would be highly offensive to any reasonable person. Microsoft’s invasion of users’ privacy is

26
27 ¹⁰ See Help Pages, *supra* n.3.

28 ¹¹ <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/clarity/setup-and-installation/cookie-consent?source=recommendations>.

1 particularly offensive given that Microsoft intentionally intercepts users' PPSI with the intent to use it for
2 financial gain through better targeting of advertisements to those users and others, and through improvements
3 to the machine learning models (AI) that power many of its products and services.

4 **IV. Privacy Laws**

5 **A. California Invasion of Privacy Act (CIPA)**

6 46. The California State Legislature passed CIPA "to protect the right of privacy of the people of
7 this state." Cal. Penal Code § 630.

8 47. Section 631(a) of CIPA prohibits, *inter alia*:

9 a. Aiding or permitting a third party to read or learn the contents of any message, report,
10 or communication that is in transit or passing over any wire, line, or cable, or is being sent from or
11 received at any place within California, without the consent of all parties to the message, report, or
12 communication;

13 b. Reading or attempting to read or to learn the contents of any message, report or
14 communication while the same is in transit or passing over any wire line, or cable without the consent
15 of all parties to the message, report or communication;

16 c. Using or attempting to use, in any manner or for any purpose, any information
17 obtained from any message, report or communication read while the same is in transit or passing over
18 any wire line, or cable without the consent of all parties to the message, report or communication;
19 and

20 d. Aiding, agreeing with, employing or conspiring with any person or persons to
21 unlawfully do, or permit, or cause the disclosure, learning, reading, and usage of any communications
22 set by wire in California without the consent of all parties to the message, report or communication.

23 48. In sum, under Section 631 of CIPA ("§ 631"), it is prohibited to aid or permit another person
24 to willfully and without the consent of all parties to a communication, read, attempt to read, or learn the
25 content or meaning of any message, report, or communication, while the same is in transit or passing over
26 any wire, line, or cable, or is being sent from or received at any place within California.

27 49. Using a website and entering information on a website constitutes messages, reports, and/or
28 communications between the website user and website developer, owner and/or operator. Internet

1 communications pass over a wire, line, or cable. Users' messages, reports, and/or communications on the
2 Websites are thus transmitted and passed over a wire, line, or cable.

3 50. Where, as here, a website user or a website developer, owner, or operator is based in
4 California, the message, report, or communication is sent from and/or received in California.

5 51. When someone uses a website and enters information on that website, the sole parties to that
6 message, report, or communication are the website user and the website developer, owner, or operator.

7 52. It is a violation of § 631 to allow someone other than the website user or website developer,
8 owner, or operator to read or learn the contents of messages, reports, or communications between those
9 parties without the consent of all parties.

10 53. It is a violation of § 631 to read, attempt to read, or learn the contents of messages, reports, or
11 communications between website users and developers, owners, or operators without the consent of all
12 parties.

13 54. It is a violation of § 631 to use information contained in messages, reports, or communications
14 between website users and developers, owners, or operators without the consent of all parties.

15 55. It is a violation of § 631 to aid, agree with, employ, or conspire with another to unlawfully
16 read, learn, or use information contained in messages, reports, or communications between website users and
17 developers, owners, or operators without the consent of all parties.

18 56. When someone violates § 631, the aggrieved party may bring a civil action for \$5,000 per
19 violation, pursuant to section 637.2(a)(1). Pursuant to section 637.2(b), the aggrieved party may also seek
20 injunctive relief to enjoin and restrain the violative conduct. Pursuant to section 637.2(c), "it is not a
21 necessary prerequisite to an action pursuant to this section that the plaintiff has suffered, or be threatened
22 with, actual damages."

23 57. By using Clarity on the Websites, Barnaby, which is based in Concord, California, violated
24 and continues to violate § 631 each time it allows Microsoft to read users' online communications through
25 its Websites, without notifying users, and without obtaining their consent.

26 58. Microsoft violated § 631 each time it read, attempted to read, or learned from and/or utilized
27 Barnaby Website users' PPSI without their consent.

1 59. Both Defendants violated § 631 by operating under an agreement whereby Barnaby installed
2 Clarity to disclose users' PPSI to Microsoft without their consent, in exchange for access to and the ability
3 to use the PPSI of Plaintiff and other Website users for its own commercial purposes, such as to improve the
4 machine learning models (AI) that power many of its products and services.

5 **B. Federal Wiretap Act**

6 60. “[T]he Wiretap Act’s legislative history evidences Congress’s intent to prevent the acquisition
7 of the contents of a message by an unauthorized third-party or ‘an unseen auditor.’” *In re Facebook, Inc.*
8 *Internet Tracking Litig.*, 956 F.3d 589, 608 (9th Cir. 2020) (quoting S. Rep. No. 90-1097, reprinted in 1986
9 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2112, 2154, 2182).

10 61. The Federal Wiretap Act prohibits, with specified exceptions, both: (1) the intentional
11 interception of “any wire, oral, or electronic communication,” 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(a); and (2) the intentional
12 disclosure or use of the contents of any such illegally intercepted communication if the persons who disclose
13 or use it did so “knowing, or having reason to know,” the communication was intercepted in violation of the
14 Federal Wiretap Act, *id.* §§ 2511(1)(c), (d).

15 62. “Intercept” is defined in the statute as “the aural or other acquisition of the contents of any
16 wire, electronic, or oral communication through the use of any electronic, mechanical, or other device.” *Id.*
17 § 2510(4).

18 63. Under the Federal Wiretap Act, conduct is intentional when it, or the results thereof, are the
19 person’s conscious objective.

20 64. One exception to the Act’s prohibition against interception of communications is the “one-
21 party consent” rule. *See id.* § 2511(2)(d). Under this exception, interception of a communication by or with
22 the consent of one party to the communication is not unlawful, unless the interception is “for the purpose of
23 committing any criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or of any
24 State.” *Id.*

25 65. Microsoft violates the Federal Wiretap Act by employing Clarity on the Websites with the
26 conscious objective of intercepting users’ private communications, including the PPSI and by using that
27 intercepted information for financial gain in developing and improving its commercial products. Moreover,
28 Microsoft cannot rely on the one party consent rule exception because, although Barnaby consented to

1 Microsoft’s interception, Microsoft’s interception was for the purpose of violating Website *users*’ right to
2 privacy, and they did not consent.

3 **C. Common Law Invasion of Privacy**

4 66. “Invasion of privacy has been recognized [in California] as a common law tort for over a
5 century.” *Matera v. Google Inc.*, 2016 WL 5339806, at *10 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 2016) (citing Restatement
6 (Second) of Torts §§ 652A-I for the proposition “that the right to privacy was first accepted by an American
7 court in 1905, and ‘a right to privacy is now recognized in the great majority of the American jurisdictions
8 that have considered the question’”). “The common law secures to each individual the right of determining,
9 ordinarily, to what extent his thoughts, sentiments, and emotions shall be communicated to others.” Samuel
10 D. Warren & Louis Brandeis, *The Right to Privacy*, 4 Harv. L. Rev. 193, 198 (1890).

11 67. The Restatement (Second) of Torts recognizes the same privacy rights through its tort of
12 intrusion upon seclusion, explaining that “[o]ne who intentionally intrudes, physically or otherwise, upon the
13 solitude or seclusion of another or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion
14 of his privacy.” Restatement (Second) of Torts § 652B (1977). The Supreme Court has similarly recognized
15 the primacy of privacy rights, explaining that the Constitution operates in the shadow of a “right to privacy
16 older than the Bill of Rights.” *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 486 (1965).

17 68. Microsoft violates Website users’ right to privacy every time it eavesdrops and intercepts
18 users’ PPSI and private communications with Barnaby without their knowledge or consent.

19 **D. California Constitution**

20 69. California amended its constitution in 1972 to specifically enumerate a right to privacy in its
21 first section, and courts have recognized this affords individuals a private right of action for invasions of their
22 privacy. *See* CAL. CONST. ART. I, § 1. The California Supreme Court has recognized the fundamental injuries
23 at stake in privacy violations, explaining:

24 [A] measure of personal isolation and personal control over the conditions of its abandonment
25 is of the very essence of personal freedom and dignity A [person] . . . whose conversations
26 may be overhead at the will of another . . . is less of a [person], has less human dignity, on that
account. He who may intrude upon another at will is the master of the other and, in fact,
intrusion is a primary weapon of the tyrant.

27 *Shulman v. Group W Prods., Inc.*, 18 Cal. 4th 200, 231 (1998) (quoting Edward J. Bloustein, *Privacy as an*
28 *Aspect of Human Dignity: An Answer to Dean Prosser*, 39 N.Y.U. L. REV. 962, 973-74 (1964)); *see also Gill*

1 *v. Curtis Pub. Co.*, 38 Cal. 2d 273, 276 (1952) (“Recognition has been given of a right of privacy, independent
2 of the common rights to property, contract, reputation and physical integrity In short, it is the right to
3 be let alone.” (internal quotation marks omitted)).

4 **V. Plaintiff’s Use of Barnaby’s Websites**

5 70. Plaintiff is a consumer who has periodically over the past few years, including since Barnaby
6 installed Clarity, visited, browsed, and purchased adult products from one of Barnaby’s Websites,
7 www.goodvibes.com. In doing so, she communicated her PPSI to Barnaby.

8 71. Plaintiff’s use of the Website constitutes internet messages, reports and/or communications
9 between her and Barnaby, which were transmitted and passed over a wire, line, or cable.

10 72. Plaintiff’s messages, reports and/or communications were sent from California.

11 73. Plaintiff’s messages, reports and/or communications were instantly available to Microsoft
12 when Plaintiff entered the Website; everything Plaintiff did on the Website was tracked and disclosed to
13 Microsoft instantly and automatically as it occurred.

14 74. Each time Plaintiff used the Website, Barnaby allowed Microsoft to intercept, learn the
15 contents of, and utilize for commercial gain Plaintiff’s messages, reports and/or communications. Because
16 Plaintiff’s messages, reports and/or communications were intercepted simultaneously as they were being
17 communicated, Microsoft’s interception occurred while the messages, reports and/or communications were
18 in transit.

19 75. Microsoft is not a party to the messages, reports or communications between Plaintiff and
20 Barnaby.

21 76. Plaintiff did not know the messages, reports, or communications between herself and Barnaby
22 would be intercepted by Microsoft.

23 77. Plaintiff did not know that her PPSI and MUID would be shared, used, sold, or otherwise
24 disclosed to Microsoft.

25 78. Plaintiff did not consent to the messages, reports, or communications between herself and
26 Barnaby being shared with Microsoft.

27 79. Plaintiff reasonably believed the messages, reports and/or communications between herself
28 and Barnaby, including the PPSI therein, were private.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

1
2 80. While reserving the right to redefine or amend the class definitions prior to or as part of a
3 motion seeking class certification, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Plaintiff seeks to represent
4 a class of all persons in the United States who, at any time from four years preceding the date of the filing of
5 this Complaint to the time a class is notified (the “Class Period”), interacted with the Barnaby Websites
6 embedded with Clarity (the “Class”); and a subclass of all persons in California who, at any time during the
7 Class Period, interacted with the Barnaby Websites embedded with Clarity (the “California Subclass”).

8 81. The members in the proposed Class (including its Subclass) are so numerous that individual
9 joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of the claims of the Class in a single action will
10 provide substantial benefits to the parties and Court.

11 82. Questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and the Class include but are not limited to:

- 12 a. Whether Barnaby collected information about Class Members;
- 13 b. Whether that information constitutes PPSI;
- 14 c. Whether that information constitutes messages, reports, or communications under
15 CIPA § 631;
- 16 d. Whether Barnaby disclosed to Microsoft the PPSI and/or messages, reports or
17 communications between Barnaby and Class Members who accessed the Websites;
- 18 e. Whether Barnaby and Microsoft had an agreement whereby Barnaby installed Clarity
19 to disclose the Class Members’ search history and other information in exchange for consideration;
- 20 f. Whether Microsoft read, attempted to read, or learned and/or utilized the information
21 it obtained from Barnaby about Class Members’ use of Barnaby’s Websites;
- 22 g. Whether Microsoft intentionally intercepted Class Members’ wire, oral, or electronic
23 communications;
- 24 h. Whether Microsoft invaded Class Members’ privacy by intercepting their PPSI;
- 25 i. How Class Members’ messages, reports, communications, and PPSI were disclosed,
26 and to whom; and
- 27 j. Whether Barnaby obtained consent or authorization before disclosing to Microsoft the
28 messages, reports, communications, or PPSI of Class Members.

1 83. These common questions of law and fact predominate over questions that affect only
2 individual members of the Class.

3 84. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class's claims because they are based on the same
4 underlying facts, events, and circumstances relating to Defendants' conduct. Specifically, all Class Members,
5 including Plaintiff, were subjected to the same violation of privacy, and unfair business practices as a result
6 of using the Websites.

7 85. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class, has no
8 interests incompatible with the interests of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in
9 class action litigation who will vigorously prosecute this action and will otherwise protect and fairly and
10 adequately represent Plaintiff and the Class.

11 86. Class treatment is superior to other options for resolution of the controversy because the relief
12 sought for each Class Member is small, such that, absent representative litigation, it would be infeasible for
13 each Class Member to redress the wrongs done to them.

14 87. Defendants have acted on grounds applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final
15 injunctive and declaratory relief concerning the Class as a whole.

16 88. As a result of the foregoing, class treatment is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a),
17 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).

18 **CAUSES OF ACTION**

19 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **Violation of the California Invasion of Privacy Act (CIPA)**

21 **(Against Barnaby and Microsoft on behalf of the California Subclass)**

22 89. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
23 fully herein.

24 90. Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members are located in California.

25 91. Microsoft is the owner and operator of Clarity, a platform that collects data from websites to
26 create reports that provide insights into a website's business.

27 92. Barnaby, through its Websites, is engaged in the business of selling adult products to Plaintiff
28 and other California Subclass Members.

1 93. Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members used Barnaby’s Websites to search for and/or
2 purchase adult products.

3 94. Barnaby and Microsoft had an agreement whereby Clarity was installed onto Barnaby’s
4 Websites in exchange for access to and use of the PPSI of Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members.

5 95. When Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members used the Websites, they were
6 messaging, reporting, and/or communicating with Barnaby. Those messages, reports and/or communications
7 were transmitted or passed over a wire, line, or cable, and were sent and/or received within California.

8 96. Barnaby willfully disclosed the messages, reports and/or communications with Microsoft via
9 Clarity. By doing so, Barnaby willfully aided and permitted Microsoft, a third-party, to read and/or learn of
10 the messages, reports and/or communications between Barnaby and California Subclass Members, including
11 Plaintiff.

12 97. Microsoft willfully obtained the messages, reports and/or communications of Plaintiff and
13 other California Subclass Members via Clarity without their knowledge and consent.

14 98. Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members were not aware Barnaby was disclosing their
15 messages, reports and/or communications to Microsoft.

16 99. Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members did not consent to Barnaby sending or
17 permitting Microsoft to read or learn about the messages, reports, or communications between them and
18 Barnaby.

19 100. Microsoft read, attempted to read and/or learned from, and utilized the messages, reports
20 and/or communications of Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members with Barnaby via Clarity, without
21 their knowledge or consent.

22 101. Barnaby is liable to Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members for statutory damages of
23 \$5,000 for each time it disclosed without consent a message, report, or communication to Microsoft.

24 102. Microsoft is liable to Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members for statutory damages
25 of \$5,000 for each time it read, learned from, or utilized a Barnaby-originated message, report, or
26 communication without consent.

1 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Violation of the Federal Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510, *et seq.***

3 **(Against Microsoft on behalf of the Class)**

4 103. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
5 fully herein.

6 104. The Wiretap Act prohibits the intentional “interception” of “wire, oral, or electronic
7 communications.” 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1).

8 105. By designing and programming Clarity to contemporaneously monitor, intercept, and transmit
9 the contents of electronic communications that Plaintiff and Class Members sent to Barnaby, including those
10 containing PPSI, Microsoft intentionally intercepted and/or endeavored to intercept the contents of electronic
11 communications, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1).

12 106. Further, Microsoft intentionally used, or endeavored to use, the contents of such electronic
13 communications while knowing or having reason to know that the data was obtained through the interception
14 of an electronic communication.

15 107. Plaintiff and other Class Members did not consent to Microsoft’s interception of their private
16 communications with Barnaby. Nor could they have, as they were unaware of Microsoft’s interceptions,
17 which occurred concurrently as they used the Websites. Moreover, Microsoft was never a party to any of the
18 communications sent and/or received by Plaintiff and other Class Members.

19 108. Plaintiff and the Class suffered harm as a result of Microsoft’s violations of the Wiretap Act,
20 and therefore seek (a) preliminary, equitable and declaratory relief as may be appropriate, (b) the sum of the
21 actual damages suffered and the profits obtained by Microsoft as a result of its unlawful conduct, or statutory
22 damages as authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 2520(2)(B), whichever is greater, (c) punitive damages, and (d)
23 reasonable costs and attorneys’ fees.

24 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **Intrusion Upon Seclusion**

26 **(Against Microsoft on behalf of the Class)**

27 109. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
28 fully herein.

1 110. Plaintiff and other Class Members have reasonable expectations of privacy in using the
2 Websites and in their PPSI, generally. The reasonable expectation of privacy in their PPSI is intrinsic and
3 further stems from and is reinforced by representations in the Websites' Privacy Policies and Help Pages.

4 111. Members of the public also have a reasonable expectation that their PPSI will not be captured
5 by Microsoft without their consent and subsequently used for Microsoft's economic gain.

6 112. Microsoft's intrusions by viewing and utilizing for economic gain Class Members' PPSI, are
7 highly offensive to a reasonable person. This is evidenced by, *inter alia*, Barnaby taking efforts to assure
8 Website users their PPSI will not be shared. It is also self-evident from the highly personal and protected
9 nature of the information being taken and utilized without consent, including users' sexual orientation.

10 113. Plaintiff, other Class Members, and the public were harmed by the intrusion by Microsoft into
11 their private affairs as detailed throughout this Complaint. Microsoft's actions and conduct complained of
12 herein were a substantial factor in causing the harm suffered by Plaintiff, other Class Members, and the
13 general public.

14 114. As a result, Plaintiff and Class Members seek injunctive relief prohibiting any further
15 intrusions into their privacy by Microsoft, the destruction of any information obtained as a result of its past
16 intrusions, and damages in a sum to be determined at trial.

17 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **Violation of California's Constitutional Right to Privacy, Cal. Const. Art. 1, § 1**

19 **(Against Microsoft on behalf of the California Subclass)**

20 115. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
21 fully herein.

22 116. Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members' private affairs include their PPSI that
23 Microsoft captures from eavesdropping on Plaintiff and California Subclass Members' private
24 communications with Barnaby.

25 117. Microsoft intentionally intruded on and into Plaintiff's and other California Subclass
26 Members' private affairs by intentionally capturing their PPSI as described herein. These intrusions are
27 highly offensive to a reasonable person.

1 118. Societal expectations and laws created a duty that Microsoft owed to Plaintiff and other
2 California Subclass Members, to protect and respect their privacy. Microsoft breached that duty by
3 implementing a system that eavesdrops on private communications and captures the PPSI of Plaintiff and
4 other California Subclass Members without their consent, and without legitimate justification, as described
5 herein.

6 119. Microsoft's conduct described herein violated Plaintiff's and other California Subclass
7 Members' right to privacy, as guaranteed by ART. 1, § 1 of the California Constitution. Microsoft's actions
8 and conduct complained of herein were a substantial factor in causing the harm suffered by Plaintiff and
9 other California Subclass Members.

10 120. As a result, Plaintiff and Class Members seek injunctive relief prohibiting any further
11 intrusions into their privacy by Microsoft, destruction of any information obtained as a result of its past
12 intrusions, and damages in a sum to be determined at trial.

13 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.***

15 **(Against Barnaby and Microsoft on Behalf of the California Subclass)**

16 121. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
17 fully herein.

18 122. The UCL prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice." Cal. Bus. &
19 Prof. Code § 17200.

20 123. The acts, omissions, practices, and non-disclosures of Defendants alleged herein constitute
21 business acts and practices.

22 124. The acts of Defendants alleged herein are "unlawful" under the UCL in that, as alleged herein,
23 they violate at least California's Invasion of Privacy Act. The acts of Barnaby alleged herein further constitute
24 negligence, and the acts of Microsoft alleged herein further constitute intrusions upon seclusion, violations
25 of the Federal Wiretap Act and California Constitution, and unjust enrichment.

26 125. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of law that constitute other unlawful
27 business acts or practices.
28

1 126. Defendants' conduct was unfair because it was immoral, unethical, unscrupulous, or
2 substantially injurious to consumers, and the utility of its conduct, if any, did not outweigh the gravity of the
3 harm to its consumers.

4 127. Defendants' conduct was also unfair because it violates public policy as declared by specific
5 constitutional, statutory or regulatory provisions, including but not necessarily limited to California's
6 Constitution and Invasion of Privacy Act.

7 128. Defendants' conduct was also unfair because the consumer injury was substantial, not
8 outweighed by benefits to consumers or competition, and not one consumers themselves could reasonably
9 have avoided.

10 129. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendants' legitimate business
11 interests, other than the conduct described herein.

12 130. Defendants profited from, and Plaintiff and other California Subclass Members suffered
13 injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants' unlawful and unfair conduct.

14 131. In accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order enjoining Defendants
15 from continuing to conduct business through unlawful and/or unfair acts and practices.

16 132. Plaintiff and the California Subclass also seek an order for the restitution of all monies
17 Defendants unjustly acquired through acts of unlawful competition.

18 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **Unjust Enrichment**

20 **(Against Microsoft on behalf of the Class)**

21 133. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
22 fully herein.

23 134. Plaintiff and the Class conferred an economic benefit on Microsoft in the form of profits
24 resulting from the utilization of Plaintiff and Class Members' PPSI to gain marketing insights and improve
25 machine learning models (AI) that power many of its products and services.

26 135. Microsoft's financial benefits resulting from its unlawful and inequitable conduct are
27 economically traceable to Plaintiff's and Class Members' PPSI being obtained without their consent. Plaintiff
28 and other Class Members have been injured because they have not been compensated for the benefits they

1 conferred on Microsoft. Plaintiff and other Class Members' legal remedies are inadequate to address this
2 injury.

3 136. It would be inequitable, unconscionable, and unjust for Microsoft to be permitted to retain
4 these economic benefits because the benefits were procured as a direct and proximate result of its wrongful
5 conduct.

6 137. As a result, Plaintiff and other Class Members are entitled to equitable relief including
7 restitution and/or disgorgement of all revenues, earnings, profits, compensation, and benefits which may
8 have been obtained by Microsoft as a result of such business practices.

9 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **Negligence**

11 **(Against Barnaby on behalf of the Class)**

12 138. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations elsewhere in the Complaint as if set forth
13 fully herein.

14 139. Barnaby owed Plaintiff and other Class Members a duty of care. In violation of that duty,
15 Barnaby negligently, carelessly, recklessly and/or unlawfully transmitted to and permitted Microsoft to
16 access Plaintiff and other Class Members' PPSI.

17 140. As a direct and legal result of Barnaby's wrongful conduct and omissions, Plaintiff and other
18 Class Members have sustained damages in a sum to be determined at trial.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 141. Wherefore, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the proposed Class, prays for judgment as
21 follows:

- 22 a. An Order declaring this action to be a proper class action, appointing Plaintiff as Class
23 Representative, and appointing Plaintiff's undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
- 24 b. An Order requiring Defendants to bear the cost of Class Notice;
- 25 c. An Order requiring Defendants to disgorge all monies, revenues, and profits obtained
26 by means of any wrongful act or practice;
- 27 d. An Order requiring Defendants to pay restitution to restore all funds acquired by
28 means of any act or practice declared by this Court to be an unlawful or unfair business act or practice;

1 e. An Order requiring Defendants to pay statutory, compensatory and punitive damages
2 as permitted by law;

3 f. An Order enjoining Microsoft from intercepting, learning the contents of, and
4 profiting from private communications between Barnaby and users of its Websites without the users'
5 consent;

6 g. An Order enjoining Barnaby from allowing Microsoft to intercept, learn the contents
7 of, and profit from private communications between Barnaby and users of its Websites without the
8 users' consent;

9 h. An Order compelling Microsoft's destruction of all data obtained from
10 communications between Barnaby and the Class without Class Members' consent;

11 i. A judgment awarding any and all further equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief
12 as may be appropriate;

13 j. Pre- and post-judgment interest, as permitted by law;

14 k. An award of attorney fees and costs; and

15 l. Such further relief as the Court deems necessary, just, or proper.

16 **JURY DEMAND**

17 142. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

18
19 Dated: June 25, 2024

/s/ Melanie R. Monroe

20 **FITZGERALD MONROE FLYNN PC**

JACK FITZGERALD

jfitzgerald@fmfpc.com

MELANIE R. MONROE

mmonroe@fmfpc.com

TREVOR FLYNN

tflynn@fmfpc.com

CAROLINE S. EMHARDT

cemhardt@fmfpc.com

PETER GRAZUL

pgrazul@fmfpc.com

2341 Jefferson Street, Suite 200

San Diego, California 92110

Phone: (619) 215-1741

Counsel for Plaintiff