1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER, PC KATHERINE MESNER-HAGE, State Bar No. 3 kmesnerhage@koskoff.com 350 Fairfield Avenue, Suite 501 Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604 Telephone: 203.336.4421 Facsimile: 203.368.3244 Attorneys for Plaintiffs SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF I	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	VERONICA MATA, Individually, and as	Case No.
11	Successor-In-Interest to TESS MARIE MATA, deceased minor; JERRY MATA,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
12	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to TESS MARIE MATA, deceased minor;	 NEGLIGENCE GROSS NEGLIGENCE
13 14	SANTA GLORIA CAZARES, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JACKLYN	3. STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY – DESIGN DEFECT
14 15	JAYLEN CAZARES, deceased minor; JAVIER CAZARES, Individually, and as	4. STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY – FAILURE TO WARN
15	Successor-In-Interest to JACKLYN JAYLEN	5. PRODUCT LIABILITY – NEGLIGENCE
17	CAZARES, deceased minor; RACHEL GARZA, Esq., as Successor-in-Interest to	 6. NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN 7. UNJUST ENRICHMENT
18	AMERIE JO GARZA, deceased minor; KIMBERLY GARCIA, Individually, and as	8. VIOLATION OF UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (UCL), CAL.
19	Successor-In-Interest to AMERIE JO GARZA, deceased minor; ANA RODRIGUEZ,	BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 9. NEGLIGENCE
20	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to MAITE YULEANA RODRIGUEZ, deceased	10. GROSS NEGLIGENCE 11. STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY –
21	minor; MONICA GALLEGOS, Individually,	DESIGN DEFECT 12. STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY –
22	and as Successor-In-Interest to ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ, deceased	FAILURE TO WARN 13. PRODUCT LIABILITY –
23	minor; JESSIE RODRIGUEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ANNABELL	NEGLIGENCE 14. NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN
24	GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ, deceased minor; DEANNA GORNTO, Individually, and	15. UNJUST ENRICHMENT 16. VIOLATION OF UNFAIR
25	as Successor-In-Interest to MARANDA GAIL	COMPETITION LAW (UCL), CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200
26	MATHIS, deceased minor; MARIA MAGDALENE GARCIA, Individually, and as	17. AIDING AND ABETTING 18. WRONGFUL DEATH
27	Successor-In-Interest to NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO, deceased minor; JUAN JULIAN	19. SURVIVAL ACTION
28	BRAVO, Individually, and as Successor-In-	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

	.	
1	Interest to NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO,	
2	deceased minor; VERONICA LUEVANOS,	
	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JAILAH NICOLE SILGUERO, deceased	
3	minor; JACOB SILGUERO, Individually, and	
4	as Successor-In-Interest to JAILAH NICOLE	
	SILGUERO, deceased minor; APRIL ELROD,	
5	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to	
6	MAKENNA LEE ELROD, deceased minor;	
_	KIMBERLY RUBIO, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ALEXANDRIA	
7	ANIYAH RUBIO, deceased minor; FELIX	
8	RUBIO, Individually, and as Successor-In-	
0	Interest to ALEXANDRIA ANIYAH RUBIO,	
9	deceased minor; JOSE LUEVANOS,	
10	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to	
11	JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS, deceased minor; CHRISTINA LUEVANOS,	
11	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to	
12	JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS, deceased	
13	minor; JENNIFER LUGO, Individually, and as	
15	Successor-In-Interest to ELIAHNA AMYAH	
14	GARCIA, deceased minor; STEVEN GARCIA, Individually, and as Successor-In-	
15	Interest to ELIAHNA AMYAH GARCIA,	
	deceased minor; JOSE MANUEL FLORES,	
16	SR., Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest	
17	to JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR., deceased	
10	minor; ALYSSA RODRIGUEZ, Individually,	
18	and as Successor-In-Interest to JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR., deceased minor;	
19	EVADULIA ORTA, Individually, and as	
20	Successor-In-Interest to ROJELIO	
20	FERNANDEZ TORRES, deceased minor;	
21	DAVID BALMER, ESQ., as Successor-in-	
22	Interest to UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA, deceased minor; MANDY MARIE RENFRO,	
	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to	
23	UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA, deceased minor;	
24	ELI TORRES, Individually, and as Successor-	
	In-Interest to ELIAHNA TORRES, deceased	
25	minor; VINCENT SALAZAR, III, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to	
26	LAYLA MARIE SALAZAR, deceased minor;	
27	MELINDA ALEJANDRO, Individually, and	
27	as Successor-In-Interest to LAYLA MARIE	
28	SALAZAR, deceased minor; FELICHA	
	COMPLAINT F	OR DAMAGES

1	NICOLE MARTINEZ, Individually, and as
2	Successor-In-Interest to XAVIER LOPEZ, deceased minor; ABEL CUELLAR LOPEZ,
	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to
3	XAVIER LOPEZ, deceased minor; RYAN
4	RAMIREZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-
5	Interest to ALITHIA HAVEN RAMIREZ,
5	deceased minor; JESSICA HERNANDEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to
6	ALITHIA HAVEN RAMIREZ, deceased
7	minor; ALEJANDRO GARCIA, Individually,
	and as Successor-In-Interest to of IRMA
8	GARCIA, deceased; LYLIANA GARCIA,
9	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to IRMA GARCIA, deceased; MANUEL
10	LOZANO, Individually and as Successor-in-
10	Interest to IRMA GARCIA, deceased; PAULA
11	MIRELES, Individually, and as Successor-In-
12	Interest to EVA MIRELES, deceased; ADALYNN RUIZ Individually, and as
	Successor-In-Interest to EVA MIRELES,
13	deceased; MIGUEL CERRILLO, Individually,
14	and as Guardian Ad Litem of MIAH ISABEL
15	CERRILLO, minor; ABIGALE VELOZ, Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem of
	MIAH ISABEL CERRILLO, minor; JOSE
16	MARTINEZ, Individually, and as Guardian
17	Ad Litem of A.J. MARTINEZ, minor;
18	KASSANDRA CHAVEZ, Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem of A.J. MARTINEZ,
10	minor; CHRISTINA OLIVAREZ,
19	Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem of
20	KENDALL OLIVAREZ, minor; OSCAR
21	ORONA, Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem of NOAH ORONA, minor; ANGELICA
21	RODRIGUEZ, Individually, and as Guardian
22	Ad Litem of LEANN GARCIA, minor; and
23	ELSA AVILA, Individually,
	Plaintiffs,
24	
25	v.
26	META PLATFORMS, INC., a corporation;
27	INSTAGRAM, LLC, a business entity;
	ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC., a
28	corporation; ACTIVISION PUBLISHING,
	2
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	INC., a corporation; MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a corporation;
2	INFINITY WARD, INC., a business entity;
3	TREYARCH CORPORATION, a business entity; SLEDGEHAMMER GAMES, INC.,
4	a business entity; RAVEN SOFTWARE
5	CORPORATION, a business entity; BRANDON LEE ELROD, a Nominal
6	Defendant; BRIAN MATHIS, a Nominal Defendant; CHRISTIAN GARCIA, a Nominal
7	Defendant; SANDRA TORRES, a Nominal
8	Defendant; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	Plaintiffs, VERONICA MATA, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to TESS MARIE
12	MATA, deceased minor; JERRY MATA, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to TESS
13	MARIE MATA, deceased minor; SANTA GLORIA CAZARES, Individually, and as Successor-
14	In-Interest to JACKLYN JAYLEN CAZARES, deceased minor; JAVIER CAZARES, Individually,
15	and as Successor-In-Interest to JACKLYN JAYLEN CAZARES, deceased minor; RACHEL
16	GARZA, Esq., as Successor-in-Interest to AMERIE JO GARZA, deceased minor; KIMBERLY
17	GARCIA, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to AMERIE JO GARZA, deceased minor;
18	ANA RODRIGUEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to MAITE YULEANA
19	RODRIGUEZ, deceased minor; MONICA GALLEGOS, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest
20	to ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ, deceased minor; JESSIE RODRIGUEZ,
21	Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ, deceased
22	minor; DEANNA GORNTO, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to MARANDA GAIL
23	MATHIS, deceased minor; MARIA MAGDALENE GARCIA, Individually, and as Successor-In-
24	Interest to NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO, deceased minor; JUAN JULIAN BRAVO, Individually,
25	and as Successor-In-Interest to NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO, deceased minor; VERONICA
26	LUEVANOS, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JAILAH NICOLE SILGUERO,
27	deceased minor; JACOB SILGUERO, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JAILAH
28	NICOLE SILGUERO, deceased minor; APRIL ELROD, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest
	4
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

to MAKENNA LEE ELROD, deceased minor; KIMBERLY RUBIO, Individually, and as 1 2 Successor-In-Interest to ALEXANDRIA ANIYAH RUBIO, deceased minor; FELIX RUBIO, 3 Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ALEXANDRIA ANIYAH RUBIO, deceased minor; JOSE LUEVANOS, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JAYCE CARMELO 4 5 LUEVANOS, deceased minor; CHRISTINA LUEVANOS, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS, deceased minor; JENNIFER LUGO, Individually, 6 and as Successor-In-Interest to ELIAHNA AMYAH GARCIA, deceased minor; STEVEN 7 8 GARCIA, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ELIAHNA AMYAH GARCIA, deceased 9 minor; JOSE MANUEL FLORES, SR., Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR., deceased minor; ALYSSA RODRIGUEZ, Individually, and as 10 Successor-In-Interest to JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR., deceased minor; EVADULIA ORTA, 11 Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ROJELIO FERNANDEZ TORRES, deceased minor; 12 13 DAVID BALMER, Esq., as Successor-in-Interest to UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA, deceased minor; 14 MANDY MARIE RENFRO, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to UZIYAH SERGIO 15 GARCIA, deceased minor; ELI TORRES, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ELIAHNA 16 TORRES, deceased minor; VINCENT SALAZAR, III, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest 17 to LAYLA MARIE SALAZAR, deceased minor; MELINDA ALEJANDRO, Individually, and as 18 Successor-In-Interest to LAYLA MARIE SALAZAR, deceased minor; FELICHA NICOLE 19 MARTINEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to XAVIER LOPEZ, deceased minor; 20 ABEL CUELLAR LOPEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to XAVIER LOPEZ, 21 deceased minor; RYAN RAMIREZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-Interest to ALITHIA 22 HAVEN RAMIREZ, deceased minor; JESSICA HERNANDEZ, Individually, and as Successor-In-23 Interest to ALITHIA HAVEN RAMIREZ, deceased minor; ALEJANDRO GARCIA, Individually, 24 and as Successor-In-Interest to of IRMA GARCIA, deceased; LYLIANA GARCIA, Individually, 25 and as Successor-In-Interest to IRMA GARCIA, deceased; MANUEL LOZANO, Individually and as Successor-in-Interest to IRMA GARCIA, deceased; PAULA MIRELES, Individually, and as 26 27 Successor-In-Interest to EVA MIRELES, deceased; ADALYNN RUIZ Individually, and as 28 Successor-In-Interest to EVA MIRELES, deceased; MIGUEL CERRILLO, Individually, and as

1	Guardian Ad Litem of MIAH ISABEL CERRILLO, minor; ABIGALE VELOZ, Individually, and
2	as Guardian Ad Litem of MIAH ISABEL CERRILLO, minor; JOSE MARTINEZ, Individually,
3	and as Guardian Ad Litem of A.J. MARTINEZ, minor; KASSANDRA CHAVEZ, Individually, and
4	as Guardian Ad Litem of A.J. MARTINEZ, minor; CHRISTINA OLIVAREZ, Individually, and as
5	Guardian Ad Litem of KENDALL OLIVAREZ, minor; OSCAR ORONA, Individually, and as
6	Guardian Ad Litem of NOAH ORONA, minor; JESSICA DIAZ, Individually, and as Guardian Ad
7	Litem of NOAH ORONA, minor; ANGELICA RODRIGUEZ, Individually, and as Guardian Ad
8	Litem of LEANN GARCIA, minor; and ELSA AVILA, Individually ("Plaintiffs") allege the
9	following upon personal knowledge and information and belief, based upon, inter alia, the
10	investigation made by and through their attorneys as to all other matters.
11	INTRODUCTION
12	1. In 1997, children's health advocates released a statement applauding the federal
13	government's decision to take action against "an insidious advertising campaign" designed to "prey
14	upon America's children."
15	2. The insidious campaign in question was a series of posters and billboards featuring
16	a cartoon camel smoking a cigarette.
17	3. Gone are the halcyon days of Joe Camel.
18	4. Over the last 15 years, two of America's largest technology companies—Defendants
19	Activision and Meta—have collaborated with the firearms industry in a scheme that makes the Joe
20	Camel campaign look laughably harmless, even quaint.
21	5. Defendants, through products that count millions of teenagers and pre-teens among
22	their users, have aided and abetted firearm manufacturers' efforts to expand the market for their
23	weapons by granting unprecedented, direct, 24/7 access to children.
24	6. Activision is in the wildly lucrative business of training adolescents to become
25	gunmen. Its first-person shooter franchise, Call of Duty, creates a vividly realistic and addicting
26	theater of violence in which teenage boys learn to kill with frightening skill and ease. Call of Duty
27	is a simulation, not a game. It teaches players how to aim, reload, and fire accurately, while
28	habituating the teenage nervous system to inflict repeated, graphic violence. And though the killing
	6

is virtual, the weapons are authentic; they are designed to perfectly imitate their real-life counterparts
 in look, feel, recoil, and accuracy. This cunning form of marketing has helped cultivate a new,
 youthful consumer base for the AR-15 assault rifle, the weapon of choice for most *Call of Duty* players—and mass shooters.

5

6

7

7. Meanwhile, Meta's social media app Instagram, now an essential accessory for every teen, offers firearm manufacturers something even *Call of Duty* cannot: an unsupervised channel to speak directly to minors, in their homes, at school, even in the middle of the night.

8 8. The platform knowingly promulgates flimsy, easily circumvented rules that
9 ostensibly prohibit firearm advertising; in fact, these rules function as a playbook for the gun
10 industry. With Instagram's blessing and assistance, purveyors of assault weapons can inundate teens
11 with content that promotes crime, exalts the lone gunman, exploits tropes of hypermasculinity and
12 revenge, and directs them where to buy their *Call of Duty*-tested weapon of choice.

13

14

9. Parents don't stand a chance. This hijacking of children's lives has sidelined them, obliterating their ability to serve as either gatekeeper or protector.

15 10. It was not so long ago that children's vocabularies did not include the word "AR16 15"—the generic term for the world's superlative assault rifle—despite the weapon's availability to
17 civilians for half a century.

18 11. Now, well before an American teenager turns 18, there is a good chance he can
19 identify and differentiate a Daniel Defense DDM4V7 AR-15 from an FN SCAR 17 from a Barrett
20 M107A1. He'll be fluent in each weapon's relative advantages, whether it be mechanical reliability
21 and versatility (the SCAR), extreme power at long range (the Barrett), or remarkable accuracy and
22 lethality in a lightweight package (the DDM4V7).

12. He has a feel for how much trigger pressure to apply; his body instinctively braces
for varying levels of recoil; and he's learned whether it will take one or two or three shots to kill.
He's excellent at head shots, because who has the patience to fire off three rounds per person?

13. In other words, before this teenager is old enough to purchase a firearm (and years
before he's deemed mature enough to rent a car), he knows which type of weapon, of the hundreds
on the market, is best suited to commit rapid, unspeakable violence in close quarters.

1 14. The Defendants bear responsibility for this profound corruption of our children. In 2 concert with certain firearm manufacturers, they have groomed a generation of young men who are 3 socially vulnerable, insecure about their masculinity, and eager to show strength and assert 4 dominance. Defendants have spent years positioning their counterparts in the gun industry as the 5 answer to those problems.

6 15. To put a finer point on it: Defendants are chewing up alienated teenage boys and 7 spitting out mass shooters. Before the Uvalde school shooter, there was the Parkland school shooter, 8 and before him, the Sandy Hook school shooter. These were the three most deadly K-12 school 9 shootings in American history. In each one, the shooter was between the ages of 18 and 21 years 10 old; in each one, the shooter was a devoted player of *Call of Duty*; and in each one, the shooter 11 committed their attack in tactical gear, wielding an assault rifle.

12 16. There are others, of course; so many that our collective memory cannot possibly hold
13 space for all of them. There was the El Paso Walmart shooter and the Highland Park parade shooter.
14 And who among us remembers the 15-year-old who murdered his entire family in New Mexico and
15 then chatted with the police about *Call of Duty*?

16 17. There is a direct line, as set forth in this Complaint, between the conduct of these 17 California corporations—who rake in billions from the comfort of Menlo Park and Santa Monica— 18 and the deaths, injuries, and trauma suffered at Robb Elementary School on May 24, 2022, in the 19 tightknit but threadbare town of Uvalde, Texas.

20 18. We already know how the story goes: another adolescent found solace and strength
21 in a combat weapon and reenacted a violent fantasy in another American town. Only the particulars
22 vary.

19. The weapon this time was a DDM4V7. It is an AR-15 style assault rifle manufactured
by Daniel Defense, a privately held company with a market share of less than one percent. The
DDM4V7 is an upscale version of the AR-15; its price tag is more than double other AR-15s on the
market.

- 27
- 28

20. How, then, did the Uvalde Shooter—a poor and isolated teenager in a small town in
 Texas—set his sights on the DDM4V7 as his weapon of choice? How, for that matter, did he learn
 what an AR-15 is and what it excels at?

3 4

5

21. The answer lies in the conduct of Defendants, who knowingly exposed the Shooter to the weapon, conditioned him to see it as the solution to his problems, and trained him to use it.

6 22. In November of 2021, the Shooter downloaded *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare*, a
7 wildly popular offshoot of the franchise. This version, which was released in 2019, featured the
8 DDM4V7 on its opening title page—a marketing coup for Daniel Defense and a teaser for players
9 eager to try out the weapon.

Simultaneously, on Instagram, the Shooter was being courted through explicit,
aggressive marketing. In addition to hundreds of images depicting and glorifying the thrill of
combat, Daniel Defense used Instagram to extol the illegal, murderous use of its weapons.

13 24. In one image of soldiers on patrol, with no animal in sight, the caption reads:
14 "Hunters Hunt." Another advertisement shows a Daniel Defense rifle equipped with a holographic
15 battle sight—the same brand used by the Shooter—and dubs the configuration "totally murdered
16 out." Yet another depicts the view through a rifle's scope, looking down from a rooftop; the setting
17 looks like an urban American street and the windshield of a parked car is in the crosshairs.

18 25. The Shooter was watching, listening, absorbing, playing. Within weeks of
19 downloading *Modern Warfare*, the Shooter was browsing assault weapons, acquiring firearm
20 attachments popularized by the game, and returning repeatedly to Daniel Defense's website.

21 26. The Shooter tracked down items for which he had no need—two different rifle sights,
22 a smoke grenade, an AR-15 "weapon skin"—because of their connection to *Call of Duty*.

23 27. Mere minutes after midnight on May 16—the Shooter's 18th birthday—he purchased
24 a DDM4V7.

25 28. Eight days later, the Shooter inflicted unspeakable violence at Robb Elementary
26 School, killing 21 and injuring and traumatizing many more.

- 27
- 28

1	29. Daniel Defense's marketing and sales strategy is contemptible, reckless, and at times
2	unlawful; but it cannot reach its target demographic, teenagers and young men, without the
3	substantial and critical assistance of the Defendants.
4	30. It is the Defendants who gave Daniel Defense a direct line into children's homes and
5	heads; who wrote a playbook for how to peddle firearms while circumventing parents and the law;
6	who created a simulation with real-life weapons and applauded children for refining their ability to
7	kill.
8	31. Defendants made those choices with full knowledge of the close relationship
9	between the dominance of their products and the national epidemic of mass shootings, the dramatic
10	drop in the average age of mass shooters, and the consistent use by those young assailants of assault
11	weapons.
12	32. Plaintiffs seek nothing more and nothing less than accountability for the
13	consequences of those choices.
14	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
15	33. This Court has jurisdiction because this case is a civil action wherein the matter in
16	controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Court.
17	34. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they have their
18	principal places of business in California and/or because they have contacts with California that are
19	so continuous and systematic that they are essentially at home in this state.
20	35. Venue is proper because Defendant Activision Publishing, Inc. has its principal place
21	of business at 2701 Olympic Blvd., Building B, Santa Monica, California 90404.
22	PARTIES
23	36. Plaintiff, VERONICA MATA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
24	individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
25	TESS MARIE MATA. Plaintiff VERONICA MATA was appointed by the Texas Probate Court,
26	County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent TESS MARIE MATA and is the
27	
28	Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff VERONICA
	10
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Π

1

MATA will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

37. Plaintiff, JERRY MATA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual 4 residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, TESS MARIE 5 MATA. Plaintiff JERRY MATA is Decedent TESSA MARIE MATA's Successor-in-Interest 6 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff JERRY MATA will execute and file 7 a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 8 9 38. Plaintiff, SANTA GLORIA CAZARES, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, 10 an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 11 JACKLYN JAYLEN CAZARES. Plaintiff SANTA GLORIA CAZARES was appointed by the 12

Texas Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent JACKLYN
 JAYLEN CAZARES and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section
 377.11. Plaintiff SANTA GLORIA CAZARES will execute and file a declaration under penalty of
 perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

17 39. Plaintiff, JAVIER CAZARES, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
18 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent,
19 JACKLYN JAYLEN CAZARES. Plaintiff JAVIER CAZARES is Decedent JACKLYN JAYLEN
20 CAZARES's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff
21 JAVIER CAZARES will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code
23 of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

40. Plaintiff, KIMBERLY GARCIA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
 AMERIE JO GARZA. Plaintiff KIMBERLY GARCIA is Decedent AMERIE JO GARZA's
 Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff KIMBERLY

5

6

7

8

1

GARCIA will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

41. Plaintiff, RACHEL GARZA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual 4 residing in San Antonio, Texas. She is the Texas Probate Court Appointed Representative of Decedent, AMERIE JO GARZA. Plaintiff RACHEL GARZA is Decedent AMERIE JO GARZA's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff RACHEL GARZA will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil 9 Procedure section 377.32.

10 42. Plaintiff, ANA RODRIGUEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 11 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 12 MAITE YULEANA RODRIGUEZ. Plaintiff ANA RODRIGUEZ was appointed by the Texas 13 Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent MAITE 14 YULEANA RODRIGUEZ and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure 15 16 section 377.11. Plaintiff ANA RODRIGUEZ will execute and file a declaration under penalty of 17 perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

18 43. Plaintiff, MONICA GALLEGOS, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 19 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 20 ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ. Plaintiff MONICA GALLEGOS has filed an 21 application with the Texas Probate Court to be appointed as the Representative of the Estate of 22 Decedent ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to 23 24 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff MONICA GALLEGOS will execute and file a 25 declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

26 44. Plaintiff, JESSIE RODRIGUEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 27 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, 28

ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ. Plaintiff JESSIE RODRIGUEZ is Decedent
 ANNABELL GUADALUPE RODRIGUEZ's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil
 Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff JESSIE RODRIGUEZ will execute and file a declaration under
 penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

45. Plaintiff, DEANNA GORNTO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
MARANDA GAIL MATHIS. Plaintiff DEANNA GORNTO was appointed by the Texas Probate
Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent MARANDA GAIL
MATHIS and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11.
Plaintiff DEANNA GORNTO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant
to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

46. Plaintiffs are informed that additional potential wrongful death heirs of Decedent
MARANDA GAIL MATHIS may exist, named BRIAN MATHIS. Upon information and belief,
consent could not be obtained from these potential heirs to join in this action prior to filing of this
lawsuit. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382, BRIAN MATHIS is hereby named as Nominal
Defendant.

19 47. Plaintiff, MARIA MAGDALENE GARCIA, is, and at all times mentioned herein 20 was, an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of 21 Decedent, NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO. Plaintiff MARIA MAGDALENE GARCIA was 22 appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of 23 24 Decedent NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil 25 Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff MARIA MAGDALENE GARCIA will execute and file a 26 declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

27

5

13

28

48. Plaintiff, JUAN JULIAN BRAVO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO. Plaintiff JUAN JULIAN BRAVO is Decedent NEVAEH ALYSSA BRAVO's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff JUAN JULIAN BRAVO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

49. Plaintiff, VERONICA LUEVANOS, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 8 9 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 10 JAILAH NICOLE SILGUERO. VERONICA LUEVANOS was appointed by the Texas Probate 11 Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent JAILAH NICOLE 12 SILGUERO and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. 13 Plaintiff VERONICA LUEVANOS will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury 14 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 15

50. Plaintiff, JACOB SILGUERO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent,
 JAILAH NICOLE SILGUERO. Plaintiff JACOB SILGUERO is Decedent JAILAH NICOLE
 SILGUERO's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff
 JACOB SILGUERO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code
 of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

51. Plaintiff, APRIL ELROD, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual
 residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, MAKENNA
 LEE ELROD. Plaintiff APRIL ELROD was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County of
 Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent MAKENNA LEE ELROD and is the
 Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff APRIL ELROD

will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
 section 377.32.

3

4

5

6

7

8

52. Plaintiffs are informed that additional potential wrongful death heirs of Decedent MAKENNA LEE ELROD may exist, named BRANDON LEE ELROD. Upon information and belief, consent could not be obtained from these potential heirs to join in this action prior to filing of this lawsuit. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382, BRANDON LEE ELROD is hereby named as a Nominal Defendant.

9 53. Plaintiff, KIMBERLY RUBIO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 10 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 11 ALEXANDRIA ANIYAH RUBIO. Plaintiff KIMBERLY RUBIO was appointed by the Texas 12 Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent ALEXANDRIA 13 ANIYAH RUBIO and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 14 377.11. Plaintiff KIMBERLY RUBIO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury 15 16 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

17 54. Plaintiff, FELIX RUBIO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual
18 residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent,
19 ALEXANDRIA ANIYAH RUBIO. Plaintiff FELIX RUBIO is Decedent ALEXANDRIA
20 ANIYAH RUBIO's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11.
21 Plaintiff FELIX RUBIO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to
23 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

S5. Plaintiff, CHRISTINA LUEVANOS, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
 JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS. Plaintiff CHRISTINA LUEVANOS is Decedent JAYCE
 CARMELO LUEVANOS's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section

- 377.11. Plaintiff CHRISTINA LUEVANOS will execute and file a declaration under penalty of
 perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.
- 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

56. Plaintiff, JOSE LUEVANOS, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS. Plaintiff JOSE LUEVANOS was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent JAYCE CARMELO LUEVANOS and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff JOSE LUEVANOS will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

11 57. Plaintiff, JENNIFER LUGO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual 12 residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, ELIAHNA 13 AMYAH GARCIA. Plaintiff JENNIFER LUGO was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County 14 of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent ELIAHNA AMYAH GARCIA and is 15 16 the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff JENNIFER 17 LUGO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil 18 Procedure section 377.32.

19 58. Plaintiff, STEVEN GARCIA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual
20 residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, ELIAHNA
21 AMYAH GARCIA. Plaintiff STEVEN GARCIA is Decedent ELIAHNA AMYAH GARCIA's
23 Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff STEVEN
24 GARCIA will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil
25 Procedure section 377.32.

26
59. Plaintiff, ALYSSA RODRIGUEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,

1 JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR. Plaintiff ALYSSA RODRIGUEZ is Decedent JOSE MANUEL 2 FLORES, JR.'s Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff 3 ALYSSA RODRIGUEZ will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to 4 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 5 60. Plaintiff, JOSE MANUEL FLORES, SR., is, and at all times mentioned herein was, 6 an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, 7 JOSE MANUEL FLORES, JR. Plaintiff JOSE MANUEL FLORES, SR. was appointed by the 8 9 Texas Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent JOSE 10 MANUEL FLORES, JR. and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure 11 section 377.11. Plaintiff JOSE MANUEL FLORES, SR. will execute and file a declaration under 12 penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 13 61. Plaintiff, EVADULIA ORTA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 14 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 15 16 ROJELIO FERNANDEZ TORRES. EVADULIA ORTA was appointed by the Texas Probate 17 Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent ROJELIO FERNANDEZ 18 TORRES and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. 19 Plaintiff EVADULIA ORTA will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant 20 to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 21 62. Plaintiff, MANDY MARIE RENFRO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 22 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, 23 24 UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA. Plaintiff MANDY MARIE RENFRO is Decedent UZIYAH SERGIO 25 GARCIA's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff 26 MANDY MARIE RENFRO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to 27 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 28 17

6

7

13

1

63. Plaintiff, DAVID BALMER, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual residing in San Antonio, Texas. He is the Texas Probate Court Appointed Representative of Decedent, UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA. Plaintiff DAVID BALMER is Decedent UZIYAH SERGIO GARCIA's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff DAVID BALMER will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

8 64. Plaintiff, ELI TORRES, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual
 9 residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, ELIAHNA
 10 TORRES. Plaintiff ELI TORRES is Decedent ELIAHNA TORRES's Successor-in-Interest
 11 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff ELI TORRES will execute and file a
 12 declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

65. Plaintiffs are informed that an additional potential wrongful death heir of Decedent
ELIAHNA TORRES may exist named SANDRA TORRES. Upon information and belief, consent
could not be obtained from this potential heir to join in this action prior to filing of this lawsuit.
Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382, SANDRA TORRES is hereby named as a Nominal
Defendant.

19 66. Plaintiff, MELINDA ALEJANDRO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
20
21 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
22 LAYLA MARIE SALAZAR. Plaintiff MELINDA ALEJANDRO is Decedent LAYLA MARIE
23 SALAZAR's Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff
24 MELINDA ALEJANDRO will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to
25 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

26
67. Plaintiff, VINCENT SALAZAR III, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
27
individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent,
28

LAYLA MARIE SALAZAR. Plaintiff VINCENT SALAZAR III was appointed by the Texas
 Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent LAYLA MARIE
 SALAZAR and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11.
 Plaintiff VINCENT SALAZAR III will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury
 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

68. Plaintiff, FELICHA NICOLE MARTINEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein 7 was, an individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of 8 9 Decedent, XAVIER LOPEZ. Plaintiff FELICHA NICOLE MARTINEZ was appointed by the 10 Texas Probate Court, County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent XAVIER 11 LOPEZ and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. 12 Plaintiff FELICHA NICOLE MARTINEZ will execute and file a declaration under penalty of 13 perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32. 14

69. Plaintiff, ABEL CUELLAR LOPEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent,
 XAVIER LOPEZ. Plaintiff ABEL CUELLAR LOPEZ is Decedent XAVIER LOPEZ's Successor in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff ABEL CUELLAR LOPEZ
 will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
 section 377.32.

Plaintiff, JESSICA HERNANDEZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an
 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent,
 ALITHIA HAVEN RAMIREZ. Plaintiff JESSICA HERNANDEZ is Decedent ALITHIA HAVEN
 RAMIREZ'S Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff
 JESSICA HERNANDEZ will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to
 Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.

21

19 PLAINT FOR D

71. 1 Plaintiff, RYAN RAMIREZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual 2 residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, ALITHIA 3 HAVEN RAMIREZ. Plaintiff RYAN RAMIREZ was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, 4 County of Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent ALITHIA HAVEN RAMIREZ 5 and is the Successor-in-Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff RYAN 6 RAMIREZ will execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil 7 Procedure section 377.32. 8 9 72. Plaintiff, ALEJANDRO GARCIA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 10 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological son and surviving heir of Decedent, IRMA 11 GARCIA. Plaintiff ALEJANDRO GARCIA was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County of 12 Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Decedent IRMA GARCIA and is the Successor-in-13 Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff ALEJANDRO GARCIA will 14 execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 15 377.32. 16 17 73. Plaintiff, LYLIANA GARCIA, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 18 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological daughter and surviving heir of Decedent, 19 IRMA GARCIA. Plaintiff LYLIANA GARCIA is Decedent IRMA GARCIA's Successor-in-20 Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff LYLIANA GARCIA will 21 execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 22 377.32. 23 24 74. Plaintiff, MANUEL LOZANO, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an 25 individual residing in Uvalde, Texas. He is the biological father and surviving heir of Decedent, 26 IRMA GARCIA. Plaintiff MANUEL LOZANO is Decedent IRMA GARCIA's Successor-in-27 Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff MANUEL LOZANO will 28 20

execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section
 377.32.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

75. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Nominal Defendant CHRISTIAN GARCIA, is a competent adult living in the City of Uvalde, County of Uvalde, State of Texas. Nominal Defendant CHRISTIAN GARCIA was the son of the Decedent IRMA GARCIA and is therefore a necessary party to this action under Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 377.60(a). Nominal Defendant CHRISTIAN GARCIA is a party whose interest is so aligned and united with those of Plaintiffs that he is a proper plaintiff; however, Nominal Defendant CHRISTIAN GARCIA has exercised his option to not join as a plaintiff in this action and has not filed a separate lawsuit. Therefore, Plaintiffs name and serve CHRISTIAN GARCIA as a Nominal Defendant pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 382.

76. Plaintiff, PAULA MIRELES, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual 14 residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological mother and surviving heir of Decedent, EVA 15 16 MIRELES. Plaintiff PAULA MIRELES was appointed by the Texas Probate Court, County of 17 Uvalde, as the Representative of the Estate of Deceased EVA MIRELES and is the Successor-in-18 Interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff PAULA MIRELES will 19 execute and file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 20 377.32. 21

- Plaintiff, ADALYNN RUIZ, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual
 residing in Uvalde, Texas. She is the biological daughter and surviving heir of Decedent, EVA
 MIRELES. Plaintiff ADALYNN RUIZ is Decedent EVA MIRELES's Successor-in-Interest
 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11. Plaintiff ADALYNN RUIZ will execute and
 file a declaration under penalty of perjury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 377.32.
- 27 28

1	78.	Plaintiff JOSE MARTINEZ brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem
2	of AJ MART	INEZ.
3	79.	Plaintiff KASSANDRA CHAVEZ brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad
4	Litem of AJ I	MARTINEZ.
5	80.	Plaintiff ABIGALE VELOZ brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem
6	of MIAH ISA	ABEL CERRILLO.
7		
8	81.	Plaintiff MIGUEL CERRILLO brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad
9	Litem of MIA	AH ISABEL CERRILLO.
10	82.	Plaintiff ANGELICA RODRIGUEZ brings claims Individually, and as Guardian
11	Ad Litem of I	LEANN GARCIA.
12	83.	Plaintiff CHRISTINA OLIVAREZ brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad
13	Litem of KE	NDALL OLIVAREZ.
14		
15	84.	Plaintiff OSCAR ORONA brings claims Individually, and as Guardian Ad Litem
16	of NOAH OF	RONA.
17	85.	Plaintiff ELSA AVILA brings claims Individually.
18	86.	Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. ("Meta") is a Delaware corporation and
19	multinational	technology conglomerate. Meta is registered to transact business in the state of
20	California, and its principal place of business is located at 1 Meta Way, Menlo Park, California	
21	94025.	
22	87.	Defendant Instagram, LLC ("Instagram") launched an app called Instagram in
23	October 2010	0. On or around April 7, 2012, Meta Platforms purchased Instagram, LLC for over one
24	billion dollars and reincorporated the company in Delaware. Meta Platforms is the sole member of	
25	this LLC, wh	ose principal place of business is also located at 1 Meta Way, Menlo Park, California
26	94025. Instag	gram is registered to transact business in the state of California.
27	88.	The term "Meta" or "Meta Defendants," as used in this Complaint, refers to
28	Defendants Meta and Instagram.	
		22

89. Defendant Activision Blizzard, Inc. ("Activision Blizzard") is a Delaware
 corporation, with a principal place of business located at 1 Microsoft Way, Redmond, Washington
 98052. Activision Blizzard is registered to conduct business in the state of California and is a wholly
 owned subsidiary of Microsoft.

5 90. Defendant Activision Publishing, Inc. ("Activision") is a Delaware corporation, with 6 a principal place of business located at 2701 Olympic Blvd., Building B, Santa Monica, California 7 90404. Activision is registered to conduct business in the state of California. Activision was formerly 8 known as Activision, Inc. prior to the merger with Blizzard Entertainment, and is the entity 9 responsible for developing, producing, and distributing games for Activision Blizzard. It is a wholly 10 owned subsidiary of Activision Blizzard.

11 91. Defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") is a Washington corporation, with a
12 principal place of business located at 1 Microsoft Way, Redmond, Washington 98052.

92. Defendant Infinity Ward, Inc. ("Infinity Ward") is a Delaware corporation, with a
principal place of business located at 21255 Burbank Blvd., Ste. 600, Woodland Hills, California
91367. Infinity Ward is registered to conduct business in the state of California and is a wholly
owned subsidiary of Activision. Infinity Ward created the original *Call of Duty* first-person shooter
game and at least eight subsequent titles in the series, including *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare*.

93. Defendant Treyarch Corporation ("Treyarch") is a Delaware corporation, with a
principal place of business located at 3420 Ocean Park Blvd., Santa Monica, California 90405.
Treyarch is registered to conduct business in the state of California and is a wholly owned subsidiary
of Activision. Treyarch was involved in the production of approximately seven *Call of Duty* titles,
most notably the five titles of the *Call of Duty: Black Ops* series.

94. Defendant Sledgehammer Games, Inc. ("Sledgehammer") is a Delaware corporation,
with a principal place of business located at 1001 E. Hillsdale Blvd., Ste. 610, Foster City, California
94404. Sledgehammer is registered to conduct business in the state of California and is a wholly
owned subsidiary of Activision. Sledgehammer was involved in the production of at least five *Call*of *Duty* titles.

28

95. Defendant Raven Software Corporation ("Raven") was incorporated in Wisconsin
 and its principal place of business is located at 8496 Greenway Blvd., Middleton, Wisconsin 53562.
 Raven is a wholly owned subsidiary of Activision. Raven was involved in the production of two
 Call of Duty titles.

5 96. The term "Activision" or "Activision Defendants," as used in this Complaint, refers
6 to Defendants Activision Blizzard, Activision, Microsoft, Infinity Ward, Treyarch, Sledgehammer,
7 and Raven.

97. 8 The true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate, partnership, 9 associate, or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore 10 sue said defendants by such fictitious names. The full extent of the facts linking such fictitiously 11 sued defendants is unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, 12 that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE was, and is, negligent, or in some other 13 actionable manner, responsible for the events and happenings hereafter referred to, and thereby 14 negligently, or in some other actionable manner, legally, actually, and proximately caused the 15 hereafter described injuries and damages to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will hereafter seek leave of the 16 Court to amend this Complaint to show the defendants' true names and capacities after the same has 17 been ascertained.

18 98. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants META PLATFORMS, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, 19 20 INC.; ACTIVISION PUBLISHING, INC.; MICROSOFT CORPORATION; INFINITY WARD, 21 INC.; TREYARCH CORPORATION; SLEDGEHAMMER GAMES, INC.; RAVEN SOFTWARE 22 CORPORATION; and Nominal Defendants, BRANDON LEE ELROD; BRIAN MATHIS; and 23 SANDRA TORRES; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive, and each of them, were agents, servants, 24 employees, successors in interest, and/or joint venturers of their co-defendants, and were, as such, 25 acting within the course, scope, and authority of said agency, employment, and/or venture, and that 26 each and every defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection 27 and hiring of each and every other defendant as an agent, servant, employee, successor in interest, 28 and/or joint venturer.

3

4

5

6

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

99. Generation Z, those Americans born between roughly 1997 and 2012, holds the unique and tragic distinction of having grown up exclusively in the era of mass shootings.
Bookended by Columbine and Sandy Hook, Gen Z has never known a time when schools, movie theaters, offices, stores, and places of worship were safe, when they did not routinely become sites of mass death.

7 100. Gen Z's version of the fire drill is the active shooter drill. Children are now taught to
8 prepare for the day when an assailant wielding a combat rifle walks into their school with the goal
9 of killing as many of them as possible. An entire generation has been conditioned to accept this
10 madness as the status quo, an unfathomable betrayal.

11 101. But though our children do not know another world, we do. We know that it was not
12 always this way. Sandy Hook and Parkland and Uvalde were not predestined tragedies; they were
13 the foreseeable outcome of reckless choices, of corporate greed, and of a callous disregard for public
14 safety, particularly the safety of children.

15 102. The AR-15, a weapon developed for America's armed forces after WWII and its
16 standard-issue combat rifle ever since, has been available to civilians since the 1970s. Yet nearly
17 fifty years passed before it became synonymous with the routine, senseless slaughter of Americans.

18 103. This Complaint seeks to explain how and why that occurred. The answer lies in
19 Defendants' harnessing of the power of technology and the internet to revolutionize a wholly new
20 kind of marketing—one with no scruples about celebrating violence, exalting combat weapons, and
21 preying upon the vulnerabilities of youth.

104. In Greek mythology, Cerberus is the three-headed dog that guards the gates of the
underworld, admitting the dead but permitting no one to leave. This Complaint seeks to unmask the
three heads of our modern-day Cerberus—Defendant Activision, Defendant Meta, and their gun
industry accomplices—and hold two of them to account.

26

- 27
- 28

CALL OF DUTY: TRAINING CAMP FOR MASS SHOOTERS

I.

The Evolution of Call of Duty

105. Activision was founded in 1979, but commercial success eluded it until the launch
of *Call of Duty* in 2003. (The AR-15 followed a similar trajectory: the patent for the AR-15, once
held by Colt, expired in 1978, but it wasn't until about 2006 that AR-15s started to become
commercially successful.)

7 106. *Call of Duty* revolutionized the "first-person shooter" or "FPS" genre of video
8 games. Whereas previous video games allowed a player to manipulate a character on screen, in *Call*9 *of Duty* the player assumes the field of vision of the protagonist, centering the players' screen on the
10 barrel of the weapon he is holding.

107. The original *Call of Duty* was in 1944. It featured the Allied invasion of Normandy,
as well as a Soviet soldier fighting in the Battle of Stalingrad. The game introduced features that are
now synonymous with the series: cinematic set-pieces, multiple playable characters, and sensory
distortion effects designed to mimic the combat experience.

15 108. The next two installments of the game continued to tell the story of WWII. *Call of*16 *Duty 2* featured the battlegrounds of Stalingrad and El Alamein and ended with the Allied push into
17 Germany. *Call of Duty 3* jumped ahead, following European and U.S. forces across France after
18 Normandy.

19 109. The games invited and fostered immersion—startling at the sound of explosions or
20 instinctively ducking at enemy fire. But the line between game and reality was not blurred. And
21 while enemy soldiers were gunned down, the level of gore was minimal.

110. These first three installments of *Call of Duty* were given a "T" (for "teen") rating by
the Entertainment Software Rating Board (ESRB), the self-regulatory body for the video game
industry. Games may also be rated "M" for Mature (aged 17+) or AO for Adults Only (aged 18+).

111. The ESRB assigns ratings to video games to inform sellers, consumers, and parents
of the content and age-appropriateness of a game. Although adhering to ESRB ratings has always
been voluntary, the brick-and-mortar retailers that previously dominated the video game market,
such as GameStop and Walmart, employed age verification policies to enforce the ratings.

26

1 112. In a 2009 court filing, Activision cited data that all major video game retailers
 2 employed age verification policies and were very effective at "preventing the sale of M-rated games
 3 to minors."

Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare, which launched in 2007, marked the beginning of
a shift in the franchise toward more realistic and darker content. For the first time, game developers
took players out of WWII and dropped them into the Middle East, where American military
operations were ongoing, as well as a fictitious civil war in Russia.

8 114. Among other developments, 2007's *Modern Warfare* introduced the concept of
9 rewarding players for "killstreaks." Players could call in increasing levels of assistance based on
10 securing three, five, or seven kills. At the time, the game was described as the "new standard" by
11 which military-based shooters would be judged.

12 115. The game also added real-life combat touches, such as replicating night vision and13 thermal imaging devices.

14 116. According to Activision's 2008 Annual Report, *Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare* "was
15 the #1 best-selling title worldwide in calendar [year] 2007."

16 117. *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare* was rated "M" for "Mature-17+" by the ESRB. No
17 *Call of Duty* game would ever again be rated appropriate for all teens.

18 118. In 2009, buoyed by the success of *Modern Warfare*, Activision pushed players into
19 disturbing new territory.

20 119. *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 2*, released in 2009, contained a level known as the
21 "No Russian" mission. In the mission, the player controls an undercover CIA operative tasked with
22 infiltrating a Russian ultranationalist terrorist organization. To do so, he must participate in the mass
23 shooting of unarmed civilians at an airport.

120. "No Russian" was not only grotesque from a narrative standpoint; it was also
considerably more graphic than any level in the game's history. Civilians' screams can be heard
throughout, and the wounded are shown crawling away, leaving blood-trails behind them. Players
can follow the injured and execute them. A baby cries at one point if a player approaches the airport's
restroom.

27

1	121.	The lead writer for "No Russian" stated that the reality of mass shootings influenced
2		o create the scene, including the Columbine school shooting. He has been quoted as
3		Il wanted to make it something that would be upsetting, disturbing, but also something
4	people relate	
5	122.	It turns out disturbing violence sells; Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 2 was the first
6	video game e	ver to surpass \$550 million in retail sales in its first five days of release. It generated
7	more than \$1	billion in global retail sales in nine weeks.
8	123.	Activision, realizing it had a golden ticket, doubled down. It has released 17 different
9	versions of C	all of Duty since Modern Warfare 2, the majority of which have been best sellers.
10	124.	But retaining the loyalty of teen boys—one of Call of Duty's core demographics—is
11	no easy task.	Activision has noted in its Annual Reports that it must "continuously develop new
12	products or ne	ew content for, or other enhancements to, our existing products" to "remain competitive
13	and maximize	e the chances that consumers select our products."
14	125.	In striving to meet that goal, the creators of Call of Duty have continuously pushed
15	the boundarie	s of realism and escalated the violent intensity of the player experience.
16	II.	The Modern Era of Call of Duty
17		<u>Video Game</u> (noun): An electronic game in which players control images on a video screen. (Merriam-Webster)
18		inages on a video screen. (Wierrani-webster)
19		<u>Simulator</u> (noun): A device that enables the operator to reproduce or represent under test conditions phenomena likely to occur in actual
20		performance. (Merriam-Webster)
21	126.	The evolution of <i>Call of Duty</i> is best described as the transformation from a video
22	game into a s	imulation. This transformation involved two key shifts.
23	127.	First, Call of Duty has become a 360-degree, full body immersive experience-
24	allowing the t	eenager behind the screen to feel as though he is truly in the building, holding the gun,
25	scanning for 1	human targets and eliminating them. The desensitization associated with that level of
26	realism has b	een further heightened by the breakdown of the concept of "good guys v. bad guys"
27	(today, player	rs can kill each other), as well as a significant shift in where the violence takes place
28	(now, it is often familiar, civilian settings, not the theater of war).	
		28

128. Second, Call of Duty has integrated real-life, readily available weapons into the 1 2 franchise that players can practice with and aspire to own. 3 A. Unparalleled Realism 129. In 2019, Activision released yet another revamped version of Call of Duty: Modern 4 5 *Warfare*, marking another inflection point in the franchise. 6 130. The 2019 version of Modern Warfare took advantage of every technological 7 development to enhance the realism of the experience. According to one Activision engineer, "it 8 became clear that it would have been impossible with the previous technology to build a game with 9 this ambition." 10 131. The ambition was to transform *Call of Duty* into a true simulation—to train players 11 how to handle real weapons, under varying conditions, and still be effective at killing. 12 132. The studio employed, for the first time, a technique called photogrammetry, a process 13 that captures high-resolution photos of an object from every angle, then stitches them together to 14 generate a three-dimensional digital replica. The end result is a photo-realistic digital item that is far 15 more lifelike than any computer-generated object. 16 133. Photogrammetry is a powerful technology; the Army uses it to turn aerial images into 17 accurate 3D surface maps in near real time without any human oversight. It was tested by the 101st 18 Airborne Division and was deployed for the first time in Iraq. 19 134. Extensive work was done to mimic real-life night vision and thermal imaging 20 devices; engineers said they "decided to go all the way and replicate the physics behind it" in order 21 to "translat[e] the experience to our player." 22 135. Other advancements allowed designers to scale the theater of action from tiny 23 backyard alleys all the way to city-scale maps without sacrificing any level of detail or realism. 24 According to an article in the Washington Post, the quality is the same whether the player is in "a 25 massive battle on a wide-open field [or in] a claustrophobic, close-quarters encounter." 26 In reality, the wide-open battlefield is now something of a rarity in Call of Duty. Over 136. 27 time, its creators have increasingly rejected the theater of war in favor of settings that evoke 28 everyday American life. Pitched battles take place in malls, airports, and restaurants. Characters are

blown apart on the streets of suburban neighborhoods and excessive blood stains the walls of
 government buildings.

137. This not only serves to blur the line between fantasy and reality; it introduces players
to the idea of fighting a "civilian war," and it desensitizes them to killing and death in a familiar
environment.

6 138. For the first time, the 2019 *Modern Warfare* also permits players to angle their
7 weapons independently of the direction the character's body so they can make better use of available
8 cover while firing. This is a real-life tactic used by special forces when breaching a room.

9 139. The addition of this new and tactically sound firing capability is not coincidental.
10 Defendants brought in retired Navy SEALs to act as consultants on *Modern Warfare*, who they kept
11 "practically on speed dial."

12 140. The SEALs helped the developers create the most realistic combat characters and
13 scenarios. They also assisted in crafting narrative arcs and choices that are, by design, "morally
14 gray."

15 141. The goal, according to an article with extensive interviews with *Modern Warfare*'s
16 creators, was to create "a complex and confusing battleground in which life-and-death decisions
17 must be rendered in seconds and where morality is continually questioned."

18 142. The game is replete with such scenarios, such as when a player is confronted with a
19 civilian woman who is believed to be reaching for a gun, but then grabs her baby from a crib.

20 143. The creators and their special forces consultants are self-congratulatory about such
21 scenes, touting the parallels to the real world of war. They are, in turn, dismissive of FPS
22 entertainment that is more fantasy than reality, or where "it's perfect and clean."

144. There is no discussion of whether there might be a meaningful difference between
trained members of the armed forces and high-school-aged boys with developing brains—
particularly when it comes to navigating morally complex situations with an assault weapon.

26 145. *Modern Warfare*'s creators have tried to suggest that their commitment to realism is
27 about restraint as well as violence; as one of their SEAL consultants put it: "it's just as important to
28 know when not to pull the trigger as to know when to pull the trigger."

30

146. This is good PR, but it doesn't jive with the experience of being in the simulation.

147. It is difficult to describe the astonishing level of violence that players are exposed to, and required to inflict, in *Call of Duty*.

3

4

5

6

7

1

2

148. The ESRB's summary of *Modern Warfare* describes the action as follows: "Characters use machine guns, shotguns, sniper rifles, and explosives to kill enemies in frenetic combat. . . . Combat is highlighted by realistic gunfire, screams of pain, and large blood-splatter effects. A sniper rifle causes increased damage (e.g., decapitation, dismemberment)."

8 149. The ESRB summary goes on to describe other, specific disturbing elements—the
9 player's character being tortured via waterboarding, watching a bound prisoner be executed,
10 children being killed by a gas attack, watching a terrorist shoot a young boy, and so on.

11 150. But no matter how graphic, there is no description that can do justice to the player
12 experience. Words simply can't capture the adrenaline rush of stalking someone down a hallway in
13 an abandoned building; the thrill of turning a corner and opening fire; the rush when a shot hits; and
14 the dopamine hit for every successful kill, every head shot, every blood splatter across the screen.

15 151. This is not hyperbole. A teen boy's navigation of the *Call of Duty* world—every
movement, every shot fired, every kill streak, every reward—is accompanied by real-life physical
and neurological responses. The player's muscles tighten, his pulse quickens, and his brain's
prefrontal cortex, its pleasure center, is activated.

19 152. One study published in *Nature*, a leading scientific journal, demonstrated that video
20 games raise the level of dopamine in the brain by about 100 percent, roughly the same increase
21 triggered by sex.

153. That study is more than 25 years old. In other words, these findings were established
when the level of immersion and realism in video games was a fraction of what *Call of Duty* has
since accomplished.

25 154. Defendants maximally exploit the connection between killing and pleasure. Players
26 do not kill merely to survive and fight on; they kill because the game rewards them for killing—
27 killing more, killing faster, killing more efficiently.

28

155. *Call of Duty*'s exploitation of the dopamine reward system is particularly alarming 2 in the context of the game's "multiplayer mode," which has become the mainstay of player 3 engagement in the modern versions. In multiplayer mode, players are matched with real people from 4 all over the world, typically on a team of 6, to face off against another team.

5

6

7

8

1

156. The composition of these teams is purportedly determined by an algorithm that matches players based on their proficiency at killing. Call of Duty tracks this statistic; it is known as the k/d ratio, shorthand for kill/death, and it measures how many kills the player has accomplished compared to the number of times he has been killed.

9 157. The multiplayer experience has multiple types of engagement; the most popular is called "Team Deathmatch," in which players attempt to rack up as many kills as possible for their 10 11 team. The cooperation is nominal; individual killing prowess is the point. The team that achieves a 12 certain number of collective kills first wins the match. The "maps" used in multiplayer are, as alleged 13 above, strikingly realistic and evocative of everyday settings.

14 158. The upshot of all this is that players are no longer primarily killing "bad guys;" they 15 are not squaring off against a fictitious enemy army or terrorist organization. Players are killing each 16 other, and they are doing so in malls, office buildings, and on residential streets.

17 159. Tellingly, Call of Duty contains one nod to fantasy, one concession that this 18 simulation is not real life: the dead can come back to life, or "respawn" in game lingo.

19 160. The contrast between the sensory, hyper realistic experience of killing with the 20 fantastical element of respawning is a unique—and uniquely dangerous—aspect of the modern 21 iterations of *Call of Duty*. Killing is fun and addictive and rewarding, but death is not real.

- 22
- 23

161. This juxtaposition deepens players' desensitization to violence.

B. <u>Real Life Weapons</u>

The other essential component of Call of Duty's transformation into a simulation-24 162. 25 and its success at winning the loyalty of its young male consumer base—is its relentless focus on 26 realistic weaponry.

- 27
- 28

1 163. Beginning in the late 2000s and early 2010s, just as *Modern Warfare* was revealing
 2 itself to be a moneymaker, Activision began working directly with the firearms industry to place
 3 real-life weapons into *Call of Duty*.

3 4

164. At least some of those product placements were the result of explicit licensing agreements between Activision and firearm manufacturers.

6

7

8

5

165. Documents unearthed in a lawsuit brought by the undersigned Connecticut counsel on behalf of families who lost family members in the Sandy Hook school shooting sheds light on what the deals looked like—and what each side stood to gain from them.

9 166. Beginning in the 1990s, gun companies began to face an uncomfortable reality: their
10 customer base was aging, gun ownership was dropping nationally, and young people were less
11 interested in shooting and purchasing firearms.

12 167. The rise in popularity of FPS games—and *Call of Duty* in particular—presented an 13 irresistible solution: using real, identifiable assault weapons would enhance the authenticity of the 14 game, a perk for companies like Activision, and in return, gun makers would receive unparalleled 15 brand exposure among a younger demographic.

16 168. The deal struck between Activision and Freedom Group—the maker of the
17 Bushmaster AR-15 used at Sandy Hook Elementary School—is illustrative.

18 169. Freedom Group was a private-equity-backed gun conglomerate formed in 2007 with
a big idea: it would unite several firearm brands under one umbrella, introduce sophisticated
20 marketing and business practices to a relatively unsavvy industry, and reignite America's passion
21 for guns.

22

23

170. By 2010, Freedom Group had acquired enough brands to corner 48% of the market for AR-15-style rifles. At the time, it was an interesting choice of specialization.

24 171. The AR-15 dates back to the 1950s. Built in response to the military's specifications
25 for a new combat rifle after WWII, it was field tested to great acclaim in Vietnam and subsequently
26 adopted by the military as its standard-issue weapon.

27 172. But though versions of the AR-15 had been available for civilian purchase since the
28 mid-1960s, there had been scant interest in the intervening 40 years. Freedom Group sought to

change that by appealing to soldiers returning home from the war in Iraq—who possessed first-hand
 knowledge of the weapon's attributes—and by exploiting fear among gun owners that the election
 of President Obama would lead to restrictive firearm regulation.

4

5

6

173. This strategy was reasonably effective for a time, but it did not drive the kind of sales volume Freedom Group was hoping for, in large part because it had failed to generate interest in AR-15s among younger people.

7

174. That's where Activision came in.

8 175. A memo produced by Freedom Group explained the rationale succinctly: "With
9 increasing urbanization and access to shooting/hunting areas in decline, a primary means for young
10 potential shooters to come into contact with firearms and ammunition is through virtual gaming
11 scenarios."

12 176. The memo was explicit that fostering brand loyalty at a young age was the goal;
13 inserting their weapons into first-person shooter games would "help create brand preference among
14 the next generation," and allow the company to "win our fair-share of these young consumers."

15 177. Not all video game creators thought marketing weapons to children was a good or16 moral business.

17 178. In an internal company email from 2011, a vice president in the defense division at
18 Remington—one of Freedom Groups' brands—wrote to a marketing executive that his pitch to Red
19 Storm, a video game creator in the FPS genre, was unsuccessful. Red Storm was "reluctant to
20 'market guns to kids.'"

21 179. Activision, however, had no such compunction. According to the same 2011 email,
22 *Call of Duty*'s creators were "excited about working something out."

180. The eventual agreement allowed for the placement of two of Freedom Group's rifles
in *Call of Duty*, including Remington's Adaptive Combat Rifle (or ACR for short)

181. The ACR gained a devoted following among players of *Call of Duty*. And
Activision's commitment to authenticity—i.e., ensuring that the weapon looked and functioned
exactly like its real-life counterpart—meant players' use of the weapon in the game became a form
of training.

1 182. When a Remington executive learned of the ACR's popularity among FPS gamers,
 2 he reported gleefully to a colleague: "The reason the guys love it is because of its 'low recoil' in the
 3 game, which allows the player to maintain target acquisition." The colleague responded: "It's
 4 amazing how a game can sell a real world product attribute."

5

6

7

183. Upon information and belief, Activision entered into a similar agreement with Barrett Firearms for placement of the M82, a large and exceptionally powerful rifle designed to penetrate military equipment at long range. It has been called the "weapon of choice" by Mexican drug cartels.

8 184. The M82 was featured in Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare, Call of Duty: Modern
9 Warfare 2, Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 3, Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 3: Defiance, Call of
10 Duty: Black Ops II, Call of Duty: Modern Warfare (Remastered) and Call of Duty: Black Ops Cold
11 War.

12 185. Though the M82 is an anti-material rifle, meaning it was designed to destroy or
13 debilitate equipment, in *Call of Duty* it is repurposed as a sniper rifle. A weapon that isn't used to
14 kill has no place in the *Call of Duty* franchise.

15 186. In an article published in 2012, a Barrett employee who negotiates deals with game
16 developers is quoted as saying: "video games expose our brand to a young audience who are
17 considered possible future owners."

18 187. These examples represent a small fraction of the assault weapon brands that19 Activison has featured and marketed over the years.

20 188. Upon information and belief, Activision has entered into licensing agreements with
21 other firearm companies for the purpose of using replicas of their weapons and/or Activision has
22 used replicas of certain weapons without a licensing agreement.

23 189. Upon information and belief, Activision either entered into a licensing agreement
24 with Daniel Defense or replicated one of their assault rifles without such an agreement.

190. When Defendants launched the rebooted *Modern Warfare* on October 25, 2019, the
image that splashed across millions of screens in America as teenagers rushed to download the game
was of a soldier in silhouette holding the Daniel Defense M4V7S, a nearly identical version of the
weapon used by the Uvalde Shooter.

- 191. Daniel Defense is a privately held company and small player in the gun market, a 2 fraction of the size of rivals like Smith & Wesson or Colt. It manufactured around 53,000 guns in 3 2020, giving it a less than one percent (1%) share of the market.
- 4

6

7

1

Daniel Defense has attempted to carve out a niche position within the industry-a 192. smaller company that specializes in a high-quality product worth paying a premium for. The average cost of an AR-15 is between \$800-\$1,200, but a Daniel Defense AR-15 starts at around \$2,000 and goes up from there.

8 193. Adult firearm enthusiasts who are knowledgeable about the market and already own 9 an AR-15 are most likely to be receptive to Daniel Defense's pitch that the hefty price tag connotes 10 quality and craftsmanship. But this messaging is significantly less likely to draw in a first-time 11 purchaser.

12 194. The benefit to Daniel Defense of a placement in Call of Duty was therefore 13 incalculable. Not only would millions of young men be exposed to their product, that exposure 14 would come with the imprimatur of the *Call of Duty* franchise and the implication that the DDM4V7 15 is an exceptional, battle-tested weapon.

16 195. Daniel Defense posted a still of the loading screen with the caption, "@callofduty 17 Modern Warfare launched today! Anyone else had a chance to play it yet? The title screen pictured 18 here features a Daniel Defense DDM4V7S 🔻 us".

19 196. In the last ten years, Activision has, through relationships like the ones described above, become the most prolific and effective marketer of assault weapons in the United States. 20

21 197. Modern Warfare contains ten types of weapons available to players, which are 22 classified as either a primary or secondary weapon. Primary firearms fall into one of six categories: assault rifles, submachineguns, shotguns, light machineguns, marksman rifles, and sniper rifles; 23 24 secondary weapons include handguns and launchers.

25 198. Within each category, players face a bevy of choices; the assault rifle category alone contains some thirty variants, the vast majority of which are replicas of real-life firearms. 26

27 199. Call of Duty used to use brand names on weapons in their arsenal and then abandoned 28 the practice. But this makes no difference from a marketing standpoint. The authenticity of

36

Activision's depiction—in look, feel, and function—is such that players can identify and seek out
 the real-life firearm.

200. As an internal Freedom Group memo explained, in reference to the use of an
unbranded Remington rifle in *Call of Duty*: "Previous experience tells us people will seek out the
brands of the guns."

201. There are hundreds, if not thousands, of threads and articles on the internet dedicated
to the topic of labeling each *Call of Duty* weapon by its true brand name and model and discussing
the various pros and cons of each (in the game and in real life—which, by design, are one and the
same).

10 202. In addition to content on various online gaming forums, fans have created a *Call of* 11 *Duty*-specific version of Wikipedia, a massive database containing detailed information on every
 12 weapon that has ever appeared in the game.

13 203. There are also countless YouTube videos where gun enthusiasts assemble a subset of
14 the most popular weapons from *Call of Duty* and show viewers what it's like to shoot them—usually
15 by rapidly emptying a large magazine. These videos narrate the experience of using the weapons,
16 often commenting on how similar they feel and operate in real life as compared to the game.

17 204. In one such video, the host fires all of the sample weapons at a bulletproof vest and
18 combat shield, noting that only the AR-15, or the M4 as it's called in *Call of Duty*, is able to penetrate
19 both. The narrator describes the rifle as "the most balanced gun," "really controllable," and reports
20 that it penetrates the vest "with ease."

21 205. Other videos teach players how to take advantage of *Call of Duty*'s extensive
22 customization system to build a perfect replica of their preferred weapon—including the DDM4V7.

23 206. Unsurprisingly, gun sellers have eagerly entered the fray as well, using *Call of Duty*24 as a hook to sell real firearms. Classic Firearms, for example, an online retailer of firearms and
25 ammunition, promotes videos on its website of an employee shooting (or "mag dumping") weapons
26 featured in *Call of Duty*, particularly *Modern Warfare*. For each weapon, the video host first
27 identifies the name of the weapon in *Call of Duty*, then names the "real" weapon it mimics.

28

<u>37</u> ι aint for γ

207. Several of the weapons are identified as ones the seller has given away in the past as 1 2 a promotional, and others are teased as potential future giveaways. 3 208. The documents from the Sandy Hook litigation confirm that branding is unnecessary; 4 players will "seek out the brands of the guns." 5 209. The memo goes on to explain the benefits of no branding: "A lack of direct branding 6 helps to shield us from implications of a direct endorsement while still receiving benefit from 7 inclusion in the game." 8 Given the appalling level of assaultive violence in Call of Duty, it is understandable 210. 9 why gun companies prefer to retain plausible deniability. 10 211. In the absence of explicit branding, how does Activision achieve such a high degree 11 of realism in weaponry? 12 212. According to a Freedom Group email from 2011 discussing the forthcoming deal 13 with Activision on the Remington ACR, Freedom Group was responsible for providing "the guns, 14 pictures, models, sound shoots, and expertise." 15 According to the Barrett Firearms employee who was quoted in 2012, Barrett insists 213. 16 that a game developer purchases one of the company's guns so that the depiction is as true to life as 17 possible. "[The gun must] perform to the standards that our rifles do in the real world," he stated. 18 "Barrett firearms is known for its quality and the brand must always be placed on that foundation." 19 214. Since 2019, Activision has also used photogrammetry to heighten realism, a process 20 that generates a three-dimensional digital replica of any object. With sample weapons provided by 21 manufacturers, creating perfect replicas would be feasible and significantly easier than in the past. 22 215. Activision also looks for unusual credentials for some of its employees; for the position of weapons artist, for example, job postings have stated a preference for applicants with 23 24 "outstanding knowledge of weapons and equipment" and "outstanding knowledge of general 25 military application and authenticity." 26 216. In addition to the extensive selection of weapons in Modern Warfare, players have 27 still more options to build the gun of their dreams. The 2019 version introduced an extensive 28

<u>38</u>

weapons customization system, presenting most guns with a range of up to 60 attachments to choose
 from. These include holographic sights, lasers, mounted lights, silencers, grips, and so forth.

3 217. One of the most popular attachments in *Call of Duty* is the EOTech Sight, a
4 holographic sight that has appeared in multiple versions of the game.

5 218. Holographic sights are state-of-the art combat sights, used by military operators and 6 special forces. By using a laser and a series of mirrors, holographic sights project a three-7 dimensional hologram back to the user, superimposed on their field of view. This type of sight allows 8 the user to aim and fire with both eyes open, allowing an unrestricted field of view and peripheral 9 vision.

10 219. The EOTech Sight is a real product that can be purchased online. It appears in some 11 versions of *Call of Duty* with the brand name visible, and in others without. Even without, the sight 12 is easily recognizable, and fans refer to it as the "EOTech" even in versions where it is unbranded.

13 220. On its website, EOTech describes the sight as "[a]n operator-grade Holographic
14 Weapon Sight built for close-quarter engagements with fast-moving targets" that "allow[s] the
15 shooter to quickly pick up and lock on a target."

16 221. On its FAQ page, EOTech touts the sight as ensuring "incredible accuracy, which
17 provides the operator with controlled confidence in his shooting ability.

222. EOTech describes the outer ring in its sight's reticle as the "donut of death."

19 223. The DDM4V7S featured on the loading screen of the 2019 version of *Modern*20 *Warfare* was shown equipped with an EOTech holographic sight.

21 224. In his effort to replicate this weapon for use in his attack, the Shooter purchased an
22 EOTech holographic sight a month before the mass shooting and used it at Robb Elementary
23 School.

24 225. *Call of Duty*'s focus on weapons attachments and accessories mimics real trends in
25 the firearm market. According to Shooting Industry magazine, "One of the most significant [trends]
26 is the increasing demand for accessories, allowing users to tailor their firearms to their specific needs
27 and preferences."

28

18

39

1 226. The NSSF, the shooting industry's trade association, echoes this. It notes that, with 2 the rise in sales of long guns (like AR-15s), there has been a "a concomitant rise in the sale of 3 accessories[,] . . . *especially with high-tech products*. Retailers across the country are seeing their 4 bottom lines benefit when they have lots of accessories in stock." Retailers interviewed for the 5 article discuss a rise in demand for sights, weapon-mounted lights, and thermal optics—all 6 attachments that are promoted in *Call of Duty*.

7 227. In 2012, a Remington executive marveled that "just a decade ago, [video games]
8 were considered the number one threat to gaining new shooters." Such games are "perhaps now the
9 number one draw."

10 228. His observation is astute. When *Call of Duty* transported players to Normandy and 11 Stalingrad, immersing them in a re-created and idealized past, there was no logical or intuitive 12 connection between gaming enthusiasts and firearm ownership. If anything, as the above email 13 suggests, FPS games were an impediment to cultivating a new generation of gun owners because 14 they offered teenagers a fun and cheap alternative to the real thing.

15 229. Activision changed that calculus profoundly when they got into bed with the firearm
16 industry and into the business of marketing assault weapons.

17 230. By the time the revamped *Modern Warfare* was released in 2019, Activision's use of
18 real-life weapons had ceased to be about storytelling or world building and had become a
19 straightforward form of advertising.

20 231. The benefit to the gun industry of this arrangement is obvious. As a Remington
21 executive wrote in 2011, placement in *Call of Duty* would bring "exposure from two of the best22 selling game series of all time." Given years of declining interest in firearms among youth, this
23 exposure was a lifeline for the entire industry.

24 232. Freedom Group was ahead of its time. Today, a firearm company that wants to
25 compete in the AR-15 market cannot afford to ignore *Call of Duty*. Every connection between a
26 manufacturer's product and the game is an opportunity to cultivate brand loyalty among the next
27 generation of purchasers.

28

233. Firearm companies, including Daniel Defense, frequently reference *Call of Duty* in
 social media posts about their products, often with a #cod hashtag. This is an acknowledgment of
 the obvious: Activision is turning players into customers and driving new business through in-game
 marketing.

5 234. The benefit to Activision of becoming a marketing arm of the assault rifle industry
6 is somewhat less obvious—but it also arose from an existential need.

Activision has spent the last fifteen years trying, in its words, to "remain competitive
and maximize the chances that consumers select our products as opposed to the various
entertainment options available to them." To do so, it must "continuously develop new products and
new content" or "enhancements to our existing products."

11 236. This is exhausting work. In their never-ending quest to hook teens and keep them 12 coming back for version after version of the same simulation, Activision has fallen down a black 13 hole of excess: more violence, more rewards for killing, more disturbing situations, more guns, more 14 accessories to customize, and more opportunities to feel like the character they inhabit in *Call of* 15 *Duty*: brave, ruthless, dominant, and feared.

16 237. In service of this goal, and their bottom line, Activision created a firearm showroom 17 for its millions of users—one where adults and teenagers alike could browse, test, covet, and 18 compare each weapon's capacity to kill.

19

III. Activision Knows that Younger Teens are Playing.

20 238. Since the 2007 launch of *Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare*, every iteration of *Call of*21 *Duty* has been rated "M," meaning the ESRB deems it inappropriate for teens or children under the
22 age of 17.

23 239. But Activision knows, or should know, that a substantial percentage of players begin
24 engaging with *Call of Duty* prior to turning 17.

25 240. Activision represents in its annual reports: "We collect and store information about
26 our consumers, including consumers who play these games."

- 27
- 28

241. The reports also discuss Activision's compliance with certain data privacy laws, such
 as COPPA, which regulates the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information from children
 under 13 years of age. This is a tacit acknowledgment that Activision collects data on players' ages.

4 242. Publicly available data suggests that roughly 25-30% of *Call of Duty* players are
5 under the age of 21. Only Activision knows how many players are younger than 17, but the number
6 is likely substantial.

7 8 243. In 2010, Activision submitted an amicus brief to the United States Supreme Court opposing a California law that restricted the sale of violent video games to minors.

9 244. Activision did not quibble with California's position that children under 17 should
10 be protected from the extreme violence in games like *Call of Duty*; rather, it argued that the ESRB's
11 rating system was extremely effective at blocking minors' access to such games.

12 245. Defendants' brief summarizes various evidence demonstrating that retailers are good 13 at enforcing the ESRB's rating system. For example: "In December 2009, the FTC reported that all 14 eight of the major video game retailers it surveyed, which today represent 84% of the total market 15 for games sold in the United States, employ policies preventing the sale of M-rated games to 16 minors."

17 246. Moreover, most retailers that sell video games agree to participate in what's called a 18 "mystery shop" audit by an independent auditor, in which customers under age 17, apparently 19 unaccompanied by an adult, attempt to purchase or rent M-rated games in order to test the 20 effectiveness of a retailer's enforcement policy. Activision's brief reports that these audits prove 21 broad, effective compliance with ESRB ratings: "In an FTC-commissioned mystery shop, retailers 22 denied sales of M-rated games to 80% of unaccompanied underage shoppers. GameStop, the largest 23 game retailer in the United States - which accounts for 25% of video games sold nationally - attained a 91% denial rate." 24

25 247. Activision's arguments were persuasive at the time they were written; but they have
26 very little application to the modern gaming world. In 2018, only 17% of video games were
27 purchased in retail stores; today, the number is closer to 10%.

In other words, the vast majority of Call of Duty players, like all video game 1 248. 2 consumers, are purchasing the game digitally—with no need to enter a store and interact with an 3 employee. 249. In this new digital age, there are no meaningful checks in place to prevent children 4 5 and teens from playing *Call of Duty* long before they turn 17. 6 250. *Call of Duty: Mobile*, a smartphone app released in 2019, can be downloaded to any 7 phone, with no age verification. The user must agree to the Terms of Use, which state that the user 8 is an adult or has obtained parental consent, but any teen or pre-teen with a phone can check the box 9 and continue to download. 10 251. *Call of Duty: Mobile* was downloaded 250 million times in the first year. 11 252. For those playing on consoles, such as PlayStation or Xbox, physical copies of video 12 games are no longer necessary; they can be instantly downloaded without age verification. 13 IV. Activision Knows that *Call of Duty* Trains Mass Shooters. 14 253. The link between *Call of Duty* and mass shooters has been undeniable for years. At 15 least a decade before the Uvalde Shooter's massacre at Robb Elementary School, Activision was on 16 notice that its training simulation was inspiring and training mass shooters. 17 254. In 2011, Anders Breivik rampaged through a summer youth camp in Norway, killing 77. The manifesto he left behind included a chilling description of his preparation for the attack: "I 18 19 just bought Modern Warfare 2, the game. It is probably the best military simulator out there and it's 20 one of the hottest. I see MW2 more as part of my training-simulation than anything else." 21 255. A year later, 20-year-old Adam Lanza gunned down 20 children and six educators at 22 Sandy Hook Elementary School with an AR-15. Lanza was an avid player of *Call of Duty*. A crime 23 scene photograph from the school showed two 30-round magazines duct-taped together, a "taped 24 reload," which he had learned from *Call of Duty* as a tactic to reduce reloading time. 25 256. Activision knew of the connection between Lanza's attack and *Call of Duty*, which 26 was publicized in national media outlets. Moreover, it has been reported that employees at Infinity 27 Ward, a subsidiary of Activision, were instructed to not discuss the shooting on social media to avoid 28 drawing scrutiny.

43

- 257. Nikolas Cruz, the 19-year-old who, in 2018, killed 17 students at Marjory Stoneman
 Douglas High School in Parkland, Florida with an AR-15 was a devotee of *Call of Duty* as well;
 neighbors reported that he played up to 15 hours a day.
- 4

5

6

258. The Parkland shooter had at least two Instagram accounts that were fixated on guns. One profile was named "NikolasCruzMakarov." Makarov is name of the terrorist who leads the mass shooting of civilians in the "No Russian" level of *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 2*.

Call of Duty was also linked to Patrick Crusius, the 21-year-old who opened fire with
an AK-47-style rifle at a Walmart in El Paso, Texas in 2019, killing 23 people. The shooter left
behind a manifesto that included the line: "Don't attack heavily guarded areas to fulfill your super
soldier COD [*Call of Duty*] fantasy. Attack low security targets."

260. And just six weeks after the shooting in Uvalde, 23-year-old Robert Crimo III turned
an AR-15 on crowds at a July 4 parade in Highland Park, IL from the rooftop of an office building.
Crimo was an obsessive *Call of Duty* player and had posted videos online of himself shooting sniperstyle from a rooftop.

15 261. In all of these shootings, the connection between the shooter and *Call of Duty* was
16 nationally publicized.

17 262. These are some of the national tragedies that have entered the American lexicon:
18 Sandy Hook mass shooting, Parkland mass shooting, El Paso mass shooting, Highland Park mass
19 shooting. But they don't tell the whole story.

20 263. Across the country, smaller tragedies and averted acts of violence have piled up over
21 the years, confirming that *Call of Duty*'s prominent role in the epidemic of mass shootings is not
22 coincidental.

23 264. Take 2013, for example, the year after the mass shooting at Sandy Hook Elementary
24 School.

25 265. In January of 2013, two minor high-school students in Orlando, Florida were arrested
26 after posting a threat on Facebook to shoot up their high school with weapons stolen from a parent.
27 According to the sheriff's investigative report, the pair bonded in part over a shared love of *Call of*28 *Duty*.

266. That same month, 15-year-old Nehemiah Griego used an AR-15 and a handgun to 1 2 murder his mother, father, and three siblings, aged 9, 5, and 2. It was reported that Griego was 3 "heavily involved" in violent video games and that, when questioned by law enforcement, he 4 mentioned Call of Duty: Modern Warfare by name. 5 267. In May of 2013, a 17-year-old named Grant Acord was arrested for planning a 6 shooting at his school in Albany, Oregon. The teenager had written a dramatic, almost cinematic, 7 plan for his attack—which featured the same music that plays during Call of Duty's "No Russian" 8 mass shooting. 9 268. Then, in September 2013, Aaron Alexis went on a killing spree at the Washington, 10 D.C. Navy Yard, killing 12. Friends described the shooter as being "really into" *Call of Duty*, noting 11 that he would play all day and night, sometimes up to 18 hours a day. 12 269. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that the rise of mass 13 shootings, and of school shootings in particular, coincided with the increasing popularity and 14 ubiquity of *Call of Duty*. 15 At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that multiple mass 270. 16 shooters were devoted fans of *Call of Duty* and played obsessively. 17 271. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product was habituating and desensitizing young men to acts of mass violence and training them as gunmen. 18 19 272. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product was 20 manipulating players' brain chemistry so that killing was associated with dopamine release, reward, 21 and/or pleasure. 22 At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product was 273. 23 conditioning young men to become fixated on the type of assaultive violence glamorized in *Call of* 24 Duty. 25 274. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product was 26 fetishizing assault weapons and conditioning young men to see the weapons marketed in Call of 27 *Duty* as a solution to their problems. 28

1	275. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product was	
2	encouraging and/or inciting young men to seek out the real-life counterparts of the weapons	
3	marketed in Call of Duty.	
4	276. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that they have	
5	contributed substantially to the creation and training of multiple mass shooters, including Anders	
6	Breivik, Adam Lanza, Nikolas Cruz, and Patrick Crusius.	
7	277. At all relevant times, Activision knew or should have known that their product serves	
8	to encourage, facilitate, and/or incite young men to seek attention and notoriety through mass	
9	shootings.	
10	278. The question was not if, but when and where, the next <i>Call of Duty</i> -trained shooter	
11	would strike.	
12	279. In 2011, two jurists wrote with startling prescience about how the conduct of	
13	companies like Activision might lead us to the exact place we now find ourselves:	
14	If the technological characteristics of the sophisticated games that are likely	
15	to be available in the near future are combined with the characteristics of the most violent games already marketed, the result will be games that allow troubled teens to experience in an extraordinarily personal and	
16		
17	vivid way what it would be like to carry out unspeakable acts of violence.	
18	200 These invists are Surrame Court Chief Instice John Deborts and Associate Instice	
19	280. Those jurists are Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts and Associate Justice	
20	Samuel Alito. If only we had listened.	
21	INSTAGRAM: THE FIREARM INDUSTRY'S BEST ADVERTISER	
22	281. Instagram launched in 2010 as a photo-sharing social media app. In 2012, it was	
23	purchased by Meta (then known as Facebook) for \$1 billion in cash and stock.	
24	282. Instagram is no longer just a photo-sharing app. Today, Instagram enables users to	
25	broadcast videos live, send direct messages to other users, create "stories" that curate users' content	
26	for their followers, consume news, view advertisements from nearly every commercial brand on the	
27	planet, and shop.	
28	283. It is also the most prolific marketing arm of the firearm industry.	
	16	
	46 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

1	284.	As one firearms marketing agency explains, "Instagram is the place to be for
2	firearms-relate	ed companies This is where your company will want to focus its marketing efforts
3	as it will offer	the highest return on your efforts."
4	285.	According to another: "Instagram continues to remain the number one place for
5	firearms-indu	stry companies to grow their online presence."
6	286.	This praise, which is well deserved, comes despite Instagram's official, published
7	policy that "[a]ds must not promote the sale or use of weapons, ammunition or explosives."	
8	287.	The explanation for this seeming disconnect is simple. Instagram's guidelines
9	prohibiting fi	rearm marketing serve instead as a playbook for companies looking to target
10	Instagram's m	nillions of users, including minors; and Instagram fails to enforce firearm guidelines
11	as a matter of	company policy, while rigorously enforcing other types of content guidelines.
12	288.	Through this conduct, Instagram assists and facilitates the negligent, unscrupulous,
13	reckless, and	illegal targeting of minors by firearm companies, including Daniel Defense.
14	I.	Instagram's Business Model: Data is Money
15	289.	Instagram's business model is simple: monetizing its users by selling advertising
16	placements to	businesses. Instagram's appeal to advertisers is two-fold.
17	290.	First, Instagram has an enormous user base for advertisers to access; in 2022, two
18	billion users v	vorldwide were active on Instagram each month.
19	291.	Second, Instagram collects an astonishing amount of data from its users. According
20	to Instagram's	data policy, the data it collects from users includes:
21	a.	"the content, communications and other information you provide when you use our Products;"
22	b.	"information in or about the content that you provide (e.g. metadata), such as the
23	с.	location of a photo or the date a file was created;" "what you see through features that we provide, such as our camera;"
24	d.	"information about the people, accounts, hashtags, Facebook groups and Pages that you are connected to and how you interact with them across our Products;"
25	e. f.	"contact information" from users' devices; "information about how you use our Products, such as the types of content that you
26		view or engage with, the features you use, the actions you take, the people or
27	g.	accounts you interact with and the time, frequency and duration of your activities;" "information about transactions made on our Products"; and
28		
	1	

2 3

4

5

6

7

1

h. "content, communications and information that other people provide when they use our Products. This can include information about you, such as when others share or comment on a photo of you, send a message to you or upload, sync or import your contact information."

292. In other words, Instagram knows where you are, what you're doing, who you're with, what you like, what you're thinking about buying, some or all of your medical problems, if your brother's birthday is coming up, your favorite color, the identities of your friends and colleagues, and on and on.

8 293. This trove of data, which allows Instagram to profile users on hundreds of metrics, 9 is an advertisers' dream. Based on a company's preferences, Instagram puts its algorithm to use, 10 mining the vast universe of user data to customize an audience for a given ad. This includes 11 demographic characteristics (like age, gender, and location); interests (like hobbies, entertainment, 12 preferred brands, family, and friends); and behaviors (like engagement with other content on 13 Instagram, interactions with ads, and past purchases).

14 294. Instagram is very, very good at this. The precision of its targeted advertisements is
15 uncanny, even eerie. Most women with children can tell you that the app knew they were pregnant
16 before almost anyone else in their life did—including possibly their partner. And because the app
17 knew, a deluge of ads for prenatal vitamins and maternity wear followed immediately.

18 295. The level of intrusion necessary for this to work as well as it does is unsettling—
19 particularly because Instagram's most coveted, and valuable, users are teenagers.

20 296. Approximately 62% of American teens aged 13-17 use Instagram, with 10% of users
21 reporting that they use it "almost constantly."

22 297. According to internal Instagram documents, it views the potential loss of teenage
23 users to other platforms as an "existential threat."

24 298. Beginning in 2018, Instagram earmarked nearly its entire global annual marketing
25 budget to targeting teenagers—a number in the range of hundreds of millions of dollars.

26 299. If your child uses Instagram, there's no question that Meta knows more about your
27 child's habits, interests, preferences, whereabouts, and social network than you do.

300. And knowing those things is a very good business. Instagram's advertising business
 reaps astronomical profits. The platform brought in \$32.4 billion in advertising revenue in 2021
 alone. One industry analyst has forecasted that Instagram's ad revenue will total \$71 billion in
 2024.

5

II. Instagram's Monopoly on Teenagers

301. Instagram's value to advertisers—and therefore its earning potential—is directly
correlated with the number of users and the extent of their engagement. Every new user generates
more data for Instagram, as does every extra hour spent on the app by an existing user. Every page
viewed, every link clicked, and every location logged helps Instagram better understand its users
and therefore better sell an advertiser's product.

302. Adam Mosseri, the Head of Instagram, expressed this logic succinctly in his
testimony before the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation in 2021: "we
make more money when people spend more time on our platform because we are an advertising
business."

303. Put another way, Instagram has every incentive to addict users—particularly the
young users who Instagram views as essential to its success—and it knowingly does so.

17 304. In its efforts to dominate the teenage market and maximize users' time spent on the
18 platform, Instagram makes two conscious, calculated choices.

19 305. First, Instagram chooses not to implement age-verification measures to keep pre20 teens children off Instagram or to otherwise enforce their stated policy of blocking children under
21 13 from creating accounts.

306. Second, Instagram exploits basic known facts about brain chemistry, particularly
teenagers' susceptibility to dopamine, in order to maximize the amount of time that teenagers spend
on the platform.

25

A. Instagram Refuses to Enforce Age Guidelines.

307. Instagram purports to prohibit children under the age of 13 from using its product.
This is not merely a "best practices" policy; federal law prohibits online services from gathering

28

even basic data on children under 13 (like names and email addresses) without verifiable permission
 from a parent.

3 308. Instagram has made a mockery of this law and its own internal policy, which are
4 designed to protect children.

5 309. Instagram has known for more than a decade that millions of children under thirteen
6 use its product. Meta's *own algorithm* has estimated that it has as many as four million underage
7 users.

8 310. This is a choice. Instagram has chosen not to implement reasonably available age9 verification measures that are plainly feasible and used by other companies.

311. Other online products employ a variety of more effective and reliable ageverification systems to prevent children from using their products, including connecting new users
to parents' accounts or insisting on verification through an identification card (or other governmentissued document) listing date of birth.

14 312. Indeed, Meta uses an age verification technique for its Facebook Dating product that
15 it claims can verify ages without identifying users—but does not use the same technology at account
16 startup for Instagram.

17 313. Instagram did not even ask for the age of new users until December 2019; and even
18 then, it ignored existing users so that hundreds of thousands of underage users were simply
19 grandfathered in.

314. Moreover, when Instagram began asking new users to report their ages in December
2019, it did so in a manner that was easily and obviously circumventable. The system, if you can
call it that, simply asked new users to self-report their date of birth. If the user entered a birthdate
indicating that they were under 13, they were informed they could not create an account. But if that
same child *immediately* re-entered a different birthdate, Instagram opened the doors to the app.

315. Normally, this would be called willful ignorance. But Instagram is not ignorant of
anything; it knows more about its users than they know about themselves.

27

316. This knowledge has now been confirmed several times over.

317. According to documents cited in a lawsuit brought by a coalition of state attorneys
 general ("Coalition lawsuit"), Meta created charts tracking Instagram's penetration into 11- and 12 year-old demographic cohorts.

- 4 318. And Facebook whistleblower Frances Haugen described in congressional testimony
 5 how Meta knows that "10 to 15 percent" of children as young as 10 are on Facebook and/or
 6 Instagram.
- 7 319. Instagram's failure to act as an effective gatekeeper is not sloppiness or error; it is by
 8 design. Keeping underage children off Instagram would substantially diminish its user base and its
 9 value to advertisers. Every 10-year-old hooked on Instagram is a current user, a future user, and a
 10 valuable collection of data points that can be exploited once that child has purchasing power.

11 320. Indeed, according to the Coalition lawsuit referenced above, Meta has made efforts 12 to identify underage users—but in the service of company growth, not child safety and well-being. 13 In a 2019 email, Meta's head of global safety asked the company's president of global affairs to 14 clarify whether the goal for identifying users under the age of 13 was to remove them "or whether 15 we are waiting to test growth impact before committing to anything." The answer appears to have 16 been the latter.

17 321. Upon information and belief, Meta has intentionally stalled the implementation of
18 automated detection systems and fails to properly staff the team that reviews user reports of
19 underage activity.

322. According to the Coalition lawsuit, Meta has received more than 1.1 million reports
of users under the age of 13 on its Instagram platform since 2019, yet it "disabled only a fraction"
of those accounts.

323. Hooking kids before they turn 13 is critical to Meta's business because it ensures a
steady stream of the platform's most valuable demographic: teens.

324. According to internal Instagram documents, it views the potential loss of teenage
users to other platforms as an "existential threat." In Instagram's words: "if we lose the teen foothold
in the U.S, we lose the pipeline" for growth.

28

325. Beginning in 2018, Instagram earmarked nearly its entire global annual marketing 2 budget to targeting teenagers—a number in the range of hundreds of millions of dollars.

3 326. Teenagers' time, attention, and data is so valuable to Instagram that it has developed 4 an internal metric, "teen time spent," that measures how many hours per day teenagers spend on the 5 platform.

6

1

B. Teens are insatiable when it comes to 'feel good' dopamine effects."

7 327. Dopamine is a neurotransmitter that is central to the brain's reward system; it is 8 released when we experience pleasurable stimuli. Our brains are wired to seek out stimuli that result 9 in dopamine release.

Instagram revolves around the giving and withholding of approval by a user's 10 328. 11 community. Every post or story is judged by the number of likes collected, the quantity of comments, 12 the number of reposts, and so on. This feeds into teenagers' need for social approval and validation, 13 and users experience dopamine rushes when the app rewards them.

14 329. Instagram's exploitation of the brain's dopamine pathways is not unlike the effects 15 of substance abuse. As New York University professor and social psychologist Adam Alter has 16 explained: "The minute you take a drug, drink alcohol, smoke a cigarette . . . when you get a like on 17 social media, all of those experiences produce dopamine, which is a chemical that's associated with 18 pleasure. When someone likes an Instagram post, or any content that you share, it's a little bit like 19 taking a drug. As far as your brain is concerned, it's a very similar experience."

20 330. Or as Meta put it in an internal 2020 presentation: "Teens are insatiable when it 21 comes to 'feel good' dopamine effects.... And every time one of our teen users finds something 22 unexpected their brains deliver them a dopamine hit."

23

24

25

331. But Instagram does not simply inundate users with rewards likely to result in a dopamine hit. Relying on lessons from behavioral science and research dating back decades, Instagram instead uses a concept known as intermittent variable rewards ("IVR").

26 332. IVR works by spacing out dopamine-triggering stimuli with dopamine gaps in an 27 unpredictable manner. Put more simply, when you don't know when the next hit of dopamine is 28 coming, the anticipation makes the next hit even sweeter.

52

333. IVR has been shown to alter neural pathways in the brain to create much stronger
 associations than fixed, predictable rewards. The dopamine gaps allow craving and anticipation to
 build, which strengthens the desire to engage in the activity with each release of dopamine.

4 334. Instagram goes to great lengths to keep those "insatiable teens" engaged, delivering
5 IVR on a tailored schedule that the algorithm predicts will be most effective in keeping a particular
6 user engaged.

335. For example, Instagram's notification algorithm will at times determine that a
particular user's engagement will be maximized if the app withholds "Likes" on their posts and then
later delivers them in a large burst of notifications.

336. A user never knows what they'll find when they open the Instagram app, so each
time offers the possibility of a new dopamine reward. In fact, this uncertainty itself makes the
experience more addictive—similar to the way in which people can become addicted to pulling the
lever on a slot machine.

337. To ensure perpetual use is possible, Instagram is designed to facilitate and encourage
a user to stay on the app and continue consuming content *ad infinitum*.

338. First, Instagram's product features work in combination to create and maintain a
user's "flow-state": a hyper-focused, almost hypnotic state where the user is totally immersed in
smoothly rotating through aspects of the product.

19 339. In the earlier days of the app, Instagram's main feed could actually come to an end,
20 saying "you're all caught up" after you'd seen everything shared by your friends. But over time, the
21 company realized that friends alone aren't enough to keep users on the platform for hours on end.

340. In 2020, Instagram started adding algorithmically-selected content to the user's feed
that he or she didn't request. This is known as the "infinite scroll." Now, the app will never tell you
that you've seen enough; it will always give you more.

341. This has the effect of lulling users into a flow state in which they scroll endlessly in
search of a dopamine release, oftentimes despite a desire to put their phone down and move on to
other activities.

28

1	342. The upshot is that Instagram is incredibly successful at getting users to check the app		
2	repeatedly, even compulsively, throughout the day. Adolescents and children are especially		
3	vulnerable to these dopamine-driven urges because they lack the fully developed executive decision-		
4	making, and impulse control, of adults.		
5	343. Second, Instagram keeps users engaged by amplifying extreme content. This content		
6	is more likely to be upsetting, disturbing, jarring, and/or controversial.		
7	344. Even when users dislike the content Instagram shows them, that content often elicits		
8	some kind of reaction—even one as small as pausing on the post. Instagram takes note of these		
9	minute reactions, and every pause tells the app the user is engaged, which in turn leads to more		
10	extreme content.		
11	345. The type of aggressive marketing preferred by certain firearm companies, as		
12	described below, is one type of extreme content that Instagram is designed and engineered to		
13	amplify.		
14	III. Firearm Companies Need Instagram to Reach Customers—Especially		
15	Minors.		
	346. Around 2010, the firearm industry began to panic over two, interconnected problems:		
16			
16 17	the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm		
17	the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm		
17 18 19	the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms.		
17 18 19 20	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, 		
17 18 19 20 21	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens 		
17 18 19 20 21	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was 		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was chasing. Today, that number is more like 2 billion. 		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was chasing. Today, that number is more like 2 billion. A. <u>The Disappearance of Print and Broadcast Marketing</u>. 		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was chasing. Today, that number is more like 2 billion. A. <u>The Disappearance of Print and Broadcast Marketing</u>. 348. For decades, firearm manufacturers advertised through traditional media, such as 		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was chasing. Today, that number is more like 2 billion. A. <u>The Disappearance of Print and Broadcast Marketing</u> 348. For decades, firearm manufacturers advertised through traditional media, such as magazines, newspapers, and television commercials.		
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 the decline of print media and a growing reticence among traditional outlets to publish firearm marketing; and (2) the existential need to court youth and cultivate their interest in firearms. 347. Instagram offered a solution to both these dilemmas. As other forms of marketing, like newspaper and television, were shutting the industry out, Instagram offered gun companies tens of millions of active users—many of whom belonged to the younger demographic the industry was chasing. Today, that number is more like 2 billion. A. <u>The Disappearance of Print and Broadcast Marketing</u>. 348. For decades, firearm manufacturers advertised through traditional media, such as magazines, newspapers, and television commercials. 349. A 1999 New York Times article reported that "gun magazines remain the firearm 		

1350. At that time, the most popular magazine, Guns & Ammo, had an estimated readership2of 5.8 million.

3 351. In 2013, *Guns & Ammo's* circulation was reported to be 416,224. *Ad Week*4 characterized this number, a 7% jump from the previous year, as a "beacon of hope for the American
5 publishing industry"—a telling comment on the future of print, niche magazines.

6 352. Compounding the disappearance of print media in the digital age, many traditional
7 media outlets began prohibiting gun advertisements.

8 353. In the early 2000s, newspapers began to limit or ban gun advertisements. Today,
9 firearm advertising in newspapers has been all but eliminated.

354. Television followed suit. Following the 2012 Sandy Hook Elementary School
Shooting, Comcast, the nation's largest cable operator, joined Time Warner and Cox
Communications in banning firearms advertisements.

13

B. The Critical Demand for Youth

14 355. The Firearm industry has not been reticent in acknowledging that American youth is
15 the answer—the only answer—to its feared obsolescence. This has led the industry to openly discuss
16 and implement strategies to court children and teens through marketing.

17 356. In 2012, a "comprehensive consumer study" published by the National Shooting
18 Sports Foundation advised that "managers and manufacturers should target programs toward youth
19 *12 years old and younger*" because "[t]his is the time that youth are being targeted with competing
20 activities" other than shooting.

357. In an editorial published the same year in *Junior Shooters* magazine, the editor in
chief warned: "All the companies involved in the shooting industry need to realize our youth are the
future of the shooting industry."

24

358. America's gun companies heeded the call.

359. Freedom Group, the firearm conglomerate that included Remington, wrote in an SEC
filing that increased interest in the long gun market with "a younger demographic of users" would
have "significant long-term benefits."

Privately, Remington executives lamented that there were fewer opportunities for 1 360. 2 youth to come into contact with firearms. In an internal memo, company execs posited that placing 3 their weapons in first person shooter video games would "help create brand preference among the 4 next generation," and allow the company to "win our fair-share of these young consumers." 5 361. In 2012, an employee of Barrett Firearms explained the company's interest in 6 partnering with video game makers: "video games expose our brand to a young audience who are 7 considered possible future owners." 8 362. The CEO of Smith & Wesson told investors that Smith & Wesson saw opportunities 9 for expanding the market for assault rifles by targeting "a younger demographic" that "grew up 10 playing videogames" and was "very interested in firearms." 11 363. Some companies have even begun manufacturing .22 caliber assault rifles 12 specifically for children, using plastic to keep the weight manageable for a child. 13 364. An Illinois-based company called Wee 1 Tactical began marketing its "JR-15," a 14 child-sized AR-15, in 2022. The JR-15 is not a toy gun; it can shoot and kill. 15 Wee 1 Tactical has described the JR-15 as "operat[ing] just like Mom and Dad's 365. 16 gun." The company has stated: "We are so excited to start capturing the imagination of the next 17 generation[.]" Of the firearm manufacturers that have expressed a desire to cultivate interest in 18 366. 19 firearms among younger Americans, Daniel Defense has worked to groom kids and teens with particular zeal. 20 21 The founder of Daniel Defense and the Executive Chairman of its board testified to 367. 22 Congress that "getting young people interested in firearm ownership" is good for business. 23 368. As alleged above, one of Daniel Defense's rifles, the DDM4V7S, was displayed on 24 the loading page of Call of Duty: Modern Warfare, a placement guaranteed to reach millions of 25 teens. 369. Daniel Defense is behind the "Double D Foundation," which purports to "protect the 26 27 Second Amendment by growing the number of Americans involved in shooting sports" The 28 actual purpose of the foundation is to provide a tax-exempt marketing wing to target children.

56

- 370. The Double D Foundation's website is explicit that its purpose is to reach children 2 without previous exposure to firearms: "These young Americans have never felt the surge of 3 adrenaline that comes with that first real trigger pull [or] smelled gunpowder"
- 4

5

6

7

8

9

1

Daniel Defense has also repeatedly posted content that explicitly links their weapons 371. to youth, including children. For example, Daniel Defense has posted the following images: a man dressed as Santa Claus holding an assault rifle; a young woman, possibly a teenager, sitting next to an assault rifle and looking at a night sky; a father at the shooting range with a young boy, no older than 12, who is aiming an assault rifle; and a mother with a boy under the age of 10 standing behind a rifle scope.

In one particularly memorable, and disturbing, example, Daniel Defense posted an 10 372. 11 image of a toddler holding an assault rifle with the biblical caption: "Train up a child in the way he 12 should go, and when he is old, he will not depart from it."

13

14

15

373. That image was posted on May 16, the same day the Uvalde Shooter purchased his assault rifle from Daniel Defense, and eight days before he used it to kill 19 children and two adults at Robb Elementary School.

16

IV. Instagram Purports to Prohibit Firearm Marketing but Actually Facilitates It.

17 374. According to Meta's published "Advertising Standards," which apply to Instagram, 18 "[a]ds must not promote the sale or use of weapons, ammunition or explosives," including 19 "[f]irearms, ... firearms parts, [and] ammunition."

20 375. Instagram's de facto policy, however, is that only *paid* firearm advertisements are 21 prohibited. If firearm companies bypass Instagram's formal advertising channels and rely instead 22 on "organic posts" from their own account, firearm marketing is freely permitted.

23 376. The firearm industry learned quickly how to navigate this flimsy rule and does so in 24 two ways: (1) creating advertisements in the form of organic posts; and (2) using influencers to sell 25 their products.

26 With respect to the former, firearm companies figured out years ago that minor 377. 27 tweaks to an advertisement will bring it into compliance with Instagram's stated policy-avoiding the words "buy" and "sell" and omitting both price and a direct link to purchase. 28

57

1 2 378. This laughably easy workaround is an openly discussed topic in the industry.

379. According to one firearms marketing agency: Instagram "may not allow gun and
ammunition brands to use paid advertising to promote their products," but "there are no restrictions
against organic social posts." "[T]here are some major loopholes in . . . advertising regulations
for Facebook and Instagram." Thus, "organic posts" that promote firearms will not be prohibited
if they avoid "linking to any page that sells firearms or ammunition."

380. The National Shooting Sports Foundation, the firearm industry's trade association,
advises firearms sellers: "My biggest advice for somebody on social media is do not (under any
circumstances) put pricing in your posts — ever. When we put pricing in a post, we get nailed."

10381. Another marketing agency recommends, "[y]ou must pay attention to the wording of11your posts.... If [someone] ask[s] for the price on Instagram, have them message the page."

382. Even when following this advice, firearm companies often exhibit a certain smugness
at how easy it is to skirt the rules. On one Instagram post featuring a Daniel Defense rifle, a user
commented, "\$\$?" Daniel Defense replied with their official account: "Please visit our website.
Instagram doesn't like when we post prices. FYI, this Delta 5 Pro 16" is available!"

16 383. The idea that advertising can be reduced to such formulaic terms is specious. The
17 most iconic advertisements in history—Nike, Coke, and Apple come to mind, among many others—
18 didn't urge consumers to "buy" or list a price. They employed powerful imagery and/or catchy
19 verbiage and memorably linked it to a brand. As with many things in life, "show don't tell" carries
20 the day.

21 384. Nothing prevents firearm companies from employing this strategy on Instagram—
22 and they do.

385. Another popular workaround is the use of the so-called influencer. Influencers are
Instagram users with a large and established audience who companies use to promote products,
usually in their everyday life and with the veneer of authenticity. Influencers excel at creating
content that is visually appealing and designed to look genuine instead of scripted.

27 386. This type of soft marketing is extremely effective, particularly with teens; according
28 to a Morning Consult report, 72% of teens follow at least one influencer.

1	387.	Gen Z, who represent today's current teenage population, is the biggest and most
2	profitable targ	get market for influencer content.
3	388.	More than 75% of brands now have a dedicated budget for influencer marketing. In
4	2022, the influ	uencer industry reached \$16.4 billion.
5	389.	Influencers are considered "branded content" under Instagram's policies if they
6	received anyth	ning of value from the company whose product they are promoting. This includes gifts
7	and other free	things.
8	390.	Instagram's Community Guidelines prohibit any "branded content," which includes
9	influencers, fr	rom promoting firearms.
10	391.	But once again, this rule is easy to skirt: influencers simply do not disclose their posts
11	as branded co	ntent.
12	392.	Influencers have become a wildly effective marketing tool for the firearm industry.
13	393.	One firearms marketing agency explains that firearms sellers should "partner with
14	influencers to	'white label' ads through the influencer accounts." The agency notes that while
15	Instagram pro	hibits direct advertising, "influencers can pay to promote their content that reviews a
16	firearms prod	uct but doesn't link to a website where guns and ammunition are sold. This strategy
17	is incredibly	effective and every marketing leader in the shooting sports industry should
18	consider it."	
19	394.	According to a Vox article, influencers have "done something that the companies in
20	the firearm in	dustry cannot do on their own: make the gun lifestyle as attractive and aspirational as
21	all the others	on Instagram."
22	395.	An employee of a gun range interviewed for the article put it this way: "[Influencers]
23	can promote c	our product better than we can. That's the sad part, because they're not a gun company.
24	We can pay th	em to promote our product, but we can't promote our own. In regard to Facebook and
25	Instagram, it 1	really is the only way for gun companies to grow."
26	396.	One marketing executive in the firearms industry has referred to influencers as "the
27	goose laying	he golden egg."
28		

1	397.	Influencers have become so ubiquitous in firearm marketing that there are now	
2	consultants offering to manage portfolios of influencers for firearms companies.		
3	V.	Firearm Companies like Daniel Defense Rely on Instagram's Guidance to Structure their Assault Weapons Marketing to Teens.	
5	398.	Certain firearm companies, including Daniel Defense, have been emboldened by	
6	Instagram's p	olicy—and the corresponding cheat sheet for how to evade it.	
7	399.	Daniel Defense has carved out a niche in the firearm market. It sells a single model	
8	of handgun ar	nd a bolt-action rifle, but its bread and butter is the AR-15. At least 80 percent of Daniel	
9	Defense's revenue comes from selling AR-15-style rifles.		
10	400.	Daniel Defense's website lists twenty-seven models of AR-15-style rifles for sale.	
11	The most pop	pular is the DDM4 model, which is meant to evoke and mimic the "the iconic M4	
12	carbine used b	by U.S. military forces." The Shooter used a variant of the DDM4 to commit his attack	
13	at Robb Elem	entary School.	
14	401.	All of Daniel Defense's AR-15 rifles are, like their military progenitor, designed to	
15	kill in combat	. Every feature of the weapon serves this end, particularly its weight, ergonomics, rate	
16	of fire, low re	coil, and capacity to hold large capacity magazines.	
17	402.	Daniel Defense's marketing leans heavily on military themes, continually seeking	
18	new ways to	link their product to the thrill of combat and the dominance associated with the	
19	military's star	ndard-issue assault rifle.	
20	403.	The company's Instagram posts routinely depict scenarios featuring, or appearing to	
21	feature, real m	nilitary operators—not, for example, civilians in fatigues. Soldiers are shown on patrol,	
22	entering an u	nidentified building with weapons drawn, or ascending the stairs of a freight ship in a	
23	stacked forma	ation. Special forces are shown emerging from water in the middle of the night.	
24	404.	These posts often feature a single soldier dressed for battle, evoking the trope of the	
25	brave, lone gu	ınman.	
26	405.	In 2017, Daniel Defense published a video advertisement called "Daniel Defense	
27	MILE (Milita	ry & Law Enforcement) Brand Video," which shows a pitched gun battle taking place	
28			
		60	
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

inside the dark and cinder-blocked-lined halls of an unidentified building, which could easily be a
 school or other public building.

406. Military imagery is sometimes juxtaposed with ordinary, even banal,
characterizations of civilian life—an intentional blurring of the line between combat in war and
combat "at home."

407. For example, one of Daniel Defense's Instagram posts shows four soldiers entering
an unknown building in formation; they are decked out in full combat gear, hundreds of rounds of
ammunition strapped to their chests, holding assault rifles in the high ready position. The caption
reads: "Heading out of the office like..." The hashtags include #gunsofinstagram, #operators, and
#gunporn.

11 408. Other posts create a jarring contrast between the violence of the weapon and the 12 domesticity of the surroundings. In one such advertisement, an AR-15 is seen leaning against the 13 refrigerator in a home kitchen, with the text "Let's normalize kitchen Daniels. What Daniel do you 14 use to protect your family and home? • DDM4 V7 in Tornado." In another, a rifle sits next to a 15 mug with the caption "The perfect way to enjoy #sundaygunday. What's your Daniel and Drink of 16 choice for today?"

17 409. Th former post, advertising the exact weapon used by the Uvalde Shooter, was
18 published on May 13, 2022—three days before the Shooter purchased his own.

19 410. Daniel Defense also explicitly links its weapons to *Call of Duty*, where rifles identical
20 and similar to its own are used to commit mass murder.

411. As alleged above, Daniel Defense took to Instagram to boast when the DDM4V7S
was featured on the *Modern Warfare* loading page.

412. Daniel Defense's Instagram advertising routinely references *Call of Duty* in an
attempt to draw an association between the famous first-person-shooter franchise and its assault
rifles.

413. These advertisements, which usually feature soldiers armed with Daniel Defense
AR-15-style rifles and dressed to appear like players from *Call of Duty*, include *Call of Duty*hashtags, such as "#callofduty," "#cod," (an abbreviation for Call of Duty regularly used by gamers),

61

"#warzone" (a game in the Call of Duty franchise), "#callofdutywarzone," "#codwarzone," 1 2 "#callofdutymodernwarfare," and "#videogames."

3

4

5

6

414. Daniel Defense has referenced Call of Duty game features and game modes, with phrases like "Final circle in solos, what's your move?", "How many wins do you and your squad have in Warzone?", "When you're the number one team in plunder, what's your move?!", "Where we dropping boys? 🛪 ", "Eliminate all enemies or capture the overtime flag to win!".

7 415. To harness the power of Instagram, Daniel Defense also used posts as a form of 8 crowd-sourced advertising. It frequently called on users to "tag" the people with whom they play 9 *Call of Duty*, which would bring the ads to the social media feeds of the gaming partners. For 10 example, Daniel Defense urged users: "Tag your Gunfight partner below! 🛤 🎮 ", "Who is your 11 warzone partner? Tag em below!", and "Tag your Duos buddy below."

12

416. These invocations of *Call of Duty* are part of Daniel Defense's efforts to appeal to 13 the young, male demographic that dominates the franchise. But it is not the only tactic. Daniel 14 Defense also exploits tropes of sex and masculinity in an effort to reach teenage boys.

15 417. The company works with social media influencers, generally young and attractive 16 women, who pose with Daniel Defense weapons—sometimes in lingerie or revealing clothing. The 17 ads all convey a common message: owning an assault rifle is masculine and sexy and will earn the 18 attention and respect of women. In one particularly unsubtle post, a gun influencer poses in black 19 lingerie holding a Daniel Defense rifle. The caption reads, "fuller hips, tits, and lips." Daniel Defense 20 is tagged by name in the caption, removing any doubt as to what is being sold.

21 418. These types of messages are designed to appeal to teenage boys who are navigating 22 puberty, masculinity, and intense vacillations of self-esteem.

23 419. Upon information and belief, Daniel Defense has collaborated with multiple 24 influencers on Instagram and paid them to promote Daniel Defense weapons. In some cases, Daniel 25 Defense may have paid for influencers' service by gifting free weapons and/or accessories.

26 420. Some or all of these influencers fail to disclose their posts as branded content and 27 instead attempt to make their posts appear "organic."

Instagram knows that companies like Daniel Defense violate Instagram's stated
 polices by marketing firearms in this way.

3 422. Yet another tactic Daniel Defense employs to appeal to younger users on Instagram
4 is the use of pop culture references and, on occasion, actual celebrities.

423. In a 2020 post, Daniel Defense posted a picture of musician and rapper Post Malone
holding its MK18 assault rifle. The caption reads, "MK18 got me feeling like a rock star," a reference
to Post Malone's #1 hit, Rockstar, which includes lyrics glorifying a drive by shooting.

424. Other Daniel Defense posts on Instagram have referenced movies such as Star Wars,
Scarface, and Gladiator, as well as the popular and highly violent Netflix show, Squid Game. In the
latter, Daniel Defense's ad shows an executioner character from the show holding a Daniel Defense
AR-15. The obvious implication is that the routine murder that occurs on the show would be more
effective—or perhaps more fun—with a Daniel Defense weapon.

425. Daniel Defense's Instagram marketing also plays into themes of power and powerlessness. Specifically, that the ownership and use of an assault rifle is, by itself, a triumph over powerlessness. Ad copy refers to Daniel Defense weapons as "a force to be reckoned with" and as something "bad" that "comes in a small package." Another ad directs the viewer: "Refuse to be a victim (9)"

426. And in April of 2021, Daniel Defense re-published on its own page the message of
an Instagram user explicitly linking AR-15 proficiency with masculinity and power. The post
features the user wearing a ballistic helmet, night-vision gear, a gas mask, and a plate carrier—a
combination that has no legitimate use in the civilian market. The caption reads:

When will we realize as a collective that there is nothing glamorous, enticing, or desirable about being powerless? Masculinity is NOT a toxic social concept that weakens the mind, body or spirit. . . Be deliberate, meticulous and calculated in your thoughts and actions. I will be ramping it up, enhancing my proficiency. You should too. Or don't and suffer the consequences. Everything has a price. What are you willing to pay?

26 Daniel Defense dubbed this unsettling screed, "weekend vibes."

27

22

23

24

25

427. Finally, and most disturbingly, Daniel Defense uses Instagram to extol and glamorize

28 the unlawful use of its weapons.

63

428. One post in this genre shows two soldiers in combat gear on patrol, rifles at the ready.
 The imagery does not evoke hunting or sporting in any sense, and there is not an animal in sight.
 Yet the caption reads: "Hunters Hunt."

4 429. Another advertisement shows a Daniel Defense rifle equipped with a holographic
5 battle sight—the exact same brand used by the Shooter—and dubs the configuration "totally
6 murdered out."

430. Yet another depicts the view through a rifle scope, the color slightly tinged to evoke
the scope's effect. City lights can be seen in the distance. The rifle is looking down on a street below,
as if from a rooftop. The setting could be any American street at night; you can see streetlights, a
parked car, and other cars driving by. The rifle's crosshairs are fixed on the parked car. The caption
reads, "rooftop ready, even at midnight."

12

13

431. Many Instagram users noted the fact that this post unambiguously promotes unlawful use.

- 14 One commentor asked, "So y'all really just out here aiming rifles at the street? 2. 432. 15 Another stated, "This is a strange ad lol telling people to snipe people...". A third person commented 16 simply "danielassination." Another stated, "I know the Uber was late but y'all don't think this was 17 too far? 😄 " Another stated, "Ayo imagine driving by and getting glassed like jfk." Another asked, 18 "What'd the guy in that little car do to you?" Another asked, "How tf ["the fuck"] did you guys pull 19 off this photoshoot without someone calling the National Guard on your?! 😂 😂 😂 " Another 20 stated, "Some poor fella had a gun pointed at his dome and didnt even know it." Another asked, "So 21 we're pointing guns at civilians off a rooftop for a photo shoot now??? 😂 ."
- 433. Indeed, simply the placement of this weapon—even for marketing purposes—may
 have violated state criminal statutes. In Texas, for example, a person commits the offense of
 disorderly conduct if he "intentionally or knowingly ... displays a firearm or other deadly weapon
 in a public place in a manner calculated to alarm."

434. Daniel Defense's advertising is designed to appeal to minors, and targeting minors is
one of the company's explicit goals. Cultivating brand exposure and loyalty among a younger
demographic is the only way the company can remain relevant and profitable—particularly in an

era where millions of potential customers are exposed to AR-15 brands through the *Call of Duty* franchise. In other words, competition is tight.

435. Just as Activision uses violence, gore, and realistic weaponry to keep teenage boys
hooked, Daniel Defense relies on advertising that is aggressive, combat-fetishizing, irresponsible,
reckless, and sometimes unlawful. Like all good marketing, Daniel Defense is selling an attitude,
the allure of power, a sense of being feared and respected.

436. It does this on a platform where millions of users are minors, with the intent and
expectation that those minors will be exposed to their advertising and influenced by it.

9 437. By relying on Instagram for advertising, Daniel Defense knows that it can bypass
10 parents and speak directly to children and adolescents.

438. After the Uvalde mass shooting, a local paper operating near Daniel Defense
headquarters in Georgia had this to say about the company: "A not-so-secret fact about product
marketing is the pitch often says more about the company than the good or service it provides.
Judging by Daniel Defense's marketing strategy, the locally based firearms manufacturer is the
sociopath next door."

- 16
- 17

VI. Instagram Leverages Unlawful Firearm Advertising to Engage and Retain Minor Users.

439. Daniel Defense relies on Instagram to advance a reckless, unethical, and unlawful
marketing strategy. The company courts children and teenagers long before they can legally
purchase firearms and does so by linking its weapons to glamorized violence, lone gunman missions,
female influencers, pop culture references, and the *Call of Duty* franchise, among other tactics.

22

23

440. Daniel Defense cannot advance that strategy, nor reach large numbers of children and teens, without Instagram's assistance.

441. Instagram makes choices about whether and how to regulate content it deems
dangerous or harmful. It uses various tools at its disposal to prevent minors from seeing, or being
harmed by, certain kinds of content.

- 27
- 28

442. In the context of paid advertising, Instagram forces companies to submit to a formal
 review process. Advertisers that try to comply with the letter but not the spirit of Instagram's policies
 will usually be rebuffed.

.

4 443. "When an ad is submitted, it goes through a pretty extensive review process before 5 it's approved," a spokesperson for Instagram explained in 2019. That includes a review of the ad 6 itself, the landing page the ad redirects to, and links to outside websites. Even if the ad doesn't 7 promote the sale of guns, leading to a page that does will result in rejection. And the caption is just 8 as important as the image. "If the image was a firearm safe — this is purely hypothetical — and 9 then the caption was 'I love using guns, you should all use guns, here's a safe,' that would be 10 disapproved."

444. Outside the context of paid advertising, Instagram takes steps to protect minors from
content considered harmful, even if it comes in the form of "organic" posts. This includes content
that is overly sexualized or that concerns suicide or eating disorders. Those tools are far from perfect
and, even with sophisticated algorithms, content slips through the cracks.

445. But in the case of firearm marketing, Instagram has chosen an approach that involves
no scrutiny or tools whatsoever. According to Instagram, a paid advertisement for a gun safe cannot
say "I love guns," because that would amount to promotion of firearms. But Daniel Defense can
hawk AR-15s using celebrity influencers and post glibly about the unlawful use of a sniper rifle—
so long as it doesn't pay Instagram to do so.

446. Instagram's written policies are a stalking horse. They conceal Instagram's actual
position on firearm marketing, which is very simple. Instagram has no desire or intention to restrict
firearm marketing on the platform; Instagram cares only about preserving its ability to tell parents
and regulators that they do not get paid for firearm advertising.

447. Ultimately, Instagram assists companies like Daniel Defense in distributing firearm
marketing and targeting minors because it is good for business. Daniel Defense is good at producing
extreme content, which attracts minors, drives engagement, and therefore powers the platform's
data-driven bottom line.

1	448. In o	other words, it does not benefit Instagram to protect children from reckless,	
2	unconscionable, or	even illegal marketing. The factors that render that marketing unfit to be shown	
3	to children are the very same factors that make it good for Instagram: it catches the eye, makes a		
4	user pause, elicits a reaction (whether positive or negative doesn't matter), and provides a gateway		
5	to other extreme content involving firearms, violent first-person-shooter games, gun bunnies in		
6	lingerie, and so on.		
7	449. Inst	agram is content to throw open its doors to companies that negligently, recklessly,	
8	unconscionably, and/or illegally market assault weapons <i>because it is good for Instagram</i> .		
9	450. Inst	agram knows that so-called organic posts from firearm companies are thinly	
10	veiled advertisements.		
11	451. Inst	agram knows that firearm companies are following the playbook it laid out for	
12	them for how to ad	lvertise: no prices, no links, and no sales terms.	
13	452. Thi	s playbook is tailored to Instagram's internal definition of what constitutes	
14	advertising; that is	, what causes Instagram to flag a post as a prohibited ad.	
15	453. Inst	agram could take a broader view of advertising in order to keep aggressive,	
16	militaristic, and un	lawful firearm marketing off of the platform.	
17	454. Inst	agram has chosen not to do this, even though it counts millions of teens and	
18	preteens among its	users.	
19	455. Inst	agram could treat firearm posts as a content issue, rather than as an advertising	
20	issue, as it does wi	th other forms of harmful content.	
21	456. Inst	agram has chosen not to do this, even though it counts millions of teens and	
22	preteens among its users.		
23	457. Inst	agram knows that firearm companies are laundering advertisements through	
24	influencers.		
25	458. Inst	agram knows that gun influencer posts are actually firearm advertisements.	
26	459. Inst	agram knows that gun influencers are failing to disclose their posts as branded	
27	content in order to	continue pushing firearm marketing to Instagram users.	
28			
		67	

1	460.	Instagram could easily identify hundreds of gun influencers on the platform and
2	disable their a	accounts if they are in violation of Instagram's branded content policy.
3	461.	Instagram has chosen not to do this, even though it counts millions of teens and
4	preteens amor	ng its users.
5	462.	Instagram's acts and omissions to facilitate firearm advertising are part of a broader
6	policy or cust	om at Meta to treat firearm sellers more leniently than other users who violate Meta
7	policies.	
8	463.	Meta employs a "10-strike" rule for firearm sellers, wherein firearm sellers can
9	violate a Meta prohibition 10 times before their accounts are removed.	
10	464.	Before 2020, the number of strikes was even higher.
11	465.	Meta even allows five strikes for gun sellers who actively call for violence or praise
12	a known dang	gerous organization.
13	466.	This policy stands in stark contrast to Meta's commitment to removing accounts that
14	post child por	nography or terrorist images, which are removed immediately.
15	467.	Adam Mosseri, the Head of Instagram, told Congress in December of 2021 that
16	Instagram "already prohibit[s]" "advertising and marketing to teens for products that are illegal for	
17	them to consu	ime."
18	468.	This is plainly false.
19		THE MAKING OF A MASS SHOOTER
20	469.	The 18-year-old who took so many lives on May 24, 2022, at Robb Elementary
21	School was named Salvador Ramos. He is referred to elsewhere in this Complaint as simply the	
22	Shooter—partly to avoid conferring notoriety, but also because this case is not really about him.	
23	470.	It is tempting to say that certain crimes are so heinous, so unfathomable, so outside
24	the bounds of	f human comprehension that only the person who committed them could help us to
25	understand.	
26	471.	Certainly, the senseless murder and terrorizing of children is such a crime—whether
27	in Uvalde, Te	xas, Newtown, Connecticut, Parkland, Florida, or any other community that has been
28	fractured by c	our country's epidemic of school shootings.
		68
	1	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Π

472. But if mass shooters are an inexplicable mystery, an unfathomable manifestation of madness, why does our country—and only our country—keep making them?

473. Do we really think that it is something in the American water that produces this particular kind of monster? One who worships battlefield weapons and assembles an arsenal; who eagerly awaits the day when he will walk into a classroom and open fire; who demonstrates no understanding of the horror he is prepared to inflict; who inflicts that horror with indifference or numbness; who is apparently unable to see his victims—even young children—as human; who walks in prepared to die and usually does.

9 474. These shooters were recently children themselves. This is not a ploy for sympathy;
10 it is simply a fact. The shooters won't tell us what we want to know.

11

1

2

475. But the Defendants can.

476. Set forth below are the facts we know about how Defendants helped to make one
American mass shooter. We will continue calling him the Shooter, because yesterday he had another
name, and tomorrow, he will have another.

15

I.

The Shooter's Childhood Primed Him for Later Grooming

477. The Shooter was born in Fargo, North Dakota in 2004, but moved with his mother to
Uvalde as a baby. He rarely saw his father from that point forward.

18 478. The Shooter's early life was difficult and disadvantaged. It was marked by his
19 mother's drug use, poverty, and social and academic struggle. He was identified as "at risk" by the
20 time he reached third grade. It is unclear if the Shooter had a learning disability, but he believed
21 himself to be dyslexic.

479. As is often the case, traumas compounded. The Shooter was sexually assaulted by
one of his mother's boyfriends, but she did not believe him when he disclosed it. Bullying started
and never ended; the Shooter was taunted over his stutter, clothing, and haircut.

480. Years later, the Shooter wrote notes recounting the bullying he experienced in middle
school and beyond. He described being slapped, tripped, punched, pushed downstairs, yelled at, and
told he was ugly.

- 481. He wrote that he missed weeks and even months of school at a time because of bullying. "I used to cry almost every day when I went home.... I USED TO WALK HOME AND CRY IN 8th grade cuz I was getting bullied. There's so much [sic] times I walked home crying."
- 3 4

5

6

1

2

482. The Shooter's notes reveal depression, profound loneliness, and social isolation. He talks about crying himself to sleep, being scared to report bullying to his counselor, fear of losing his only friend, and being terrified when his mother left him home alone.

483. He also described severe disordered eating. "I was sickly skinny because I would
never eat because my Ed [eating disorder] was so bad. I had to go to the hospital because I would
barely eat. I was anorexic back in late 2020. I was sticking a finger in my mouth and throwing up
all my food back in January."

484. But he also showed streaks of rage and seemed fixated on taking revenge on those
who had hurt him. His various screen names and email addresses were all iterations of the word
"revenge" with a number substituted for a letter.

485. The Shooter seemed eager to reclaim the label of "antisocial" from those who had
wielded it against him. His expressions of anger sometimes veered into terrifying threats of sexual
violence.

486. Beginning in 2018, the year the Shooter turned 14, his school attendance and
performance declined precipitously. He received failing grades, performed dismally on standardized
tests, and was reported absent more than 100 times each school year.

487. At the beginning of the 2021 school year, when the Shooter was 17, he had only
completed the ninth grade. Shortly thereafter, Uvalde High School involuntarily withdrew him due
to his failing academic performance and lack of attendance.

488. The Shooter was a prime target for Defendants. His lack of family and social support
made him susceptible to use Defendants' products compulsively; and his eagerness to take revenge
for bullying and shed the role of victim made him the perfect mark to sell a fantasy of power and
dominance through violence.

- 27
- 28

1

II. The Shooter's Descent into Defendants' Products

489. The Shooter's isolation deepened between 2020 and the end of 2021. His involuntary
withdrawal from school severed any remaining tie to a social life or community.

4 490. According to the Shooter's former girlfriend, the Shooter "didn't have any friends"
5 and in terms of close family relationships, "he had no one."

6

491. The Shooter retreated into the world of Defendants' Products.

492. He spent copious amounts of time playing *Call of Duty*. This habit began in at least
2019 and escalated in the year prior to the shooting at Robb Elementary School.

9 493. The Shooter downloaded *Call of Duty: Mobile* within two days of its release in
10 October of 2019. He was only 15 at the time. Despite the ESRB's "M" (17+) rating, nothing
11 prevented him from downloading and playing the game. When the Shooter obtained a new iPhone
12 in 2022, he immediately downloaded *Call of Duty: Mobile*.

494. The Shooter also frequently played *Call of Duty* games on his PlayStation console,
including *Call of Duty: Black Ops Cold War*, *Call of Duty: Warzone*, and *Call of Duty: Black Ops III*.

495. Upon information and belief, the Shooter downloaded and began playing these
variations on *Call of Duty*, which are all rated "M", before he turned 17.

18 496. The Shooter was not a casual fan of *Call of Duty*. He played obsessively, developed
19 skill as a marksman, and obtained rewards that become available only after a substantial time
20 investment.

497. In October 2020, for example, the Shooter sent a YouTube video to a discord user
that shows the Shooter playing *Black Ops III* with another player online. In the video, the Shooter
shows tremendous skill. He fires accurately, reloads and fires from cover, scores headshots, and
repeatedly kills the other player.

498. The Shooter also boasted over instant message that he had "almost all the dlc
[downloadable content] weapons" for *Black Ops III*. This was no small feat; there are multiple
threads and forums online devoted to the topic of how to achieve this. The consensus, as summarized
by one user online, is "grind for hours on end." In other words, don't stop playing.

499. On November 5, 2021, the Shooter purchased *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare* by
 direct download onto his PlayStation. The Shooter was so excited that he took a picture of the
 download screen with his iPhone.

- 500. As previously alleged, the loading screen for this version of *Modern Warfare* featured a soldier in combat gear carrying a DDM4V7S equipped with a holographic sight.
- 5 6

7

8

4

501. Upon information and belief, the Shooter was exposed to this loading screen, which influenced him in researching, purchasing, and ultimately using the DDM4V7 equipped with a holographic combat sight to carry out the Shooting at Robb Elementary School.

9 502. On the same day that the Shooter downloaded *Modern Warfare*, Daniel Defense
10 posted on Instagram promoting the exact weapon from the loading screen. The rifle is seen leaning
11 against a truck wheel and the caption reads, "11.5" [a reference to barrel length] for 11/5 4 Where's
12 my V7S crew!?" The post is tagged with the #ddm4v7s hashtag. Daniel Defense pushed the product
13 again on Instagram on November 16, accompanied by the #ddm4v7s hashtag.

14 503. The Shooter had an unhealthy, likely obsessive, relationship with Instagram. He
15 created and used at least 20 different Instagram accounts. And his pattern of engagement with the
16 app indicates a degree of compulsion, if not addiction.

17 504. On December 1, for example, a day that Daniel Defense promoted the DDM4V7 on
18 Instagram—the weapon the Shooter would eventually select for his massacre—the Shooter opened
19 the app at least twenty times, including five times between midnight and 3:30 a.m.

20 505. On another day that Daniel Defense took to Instagram to promote the DDM4V7, the
21 Shooter opened or looked at Instagram *more than 100 times*.

506. The Shooter routinely engaged with Instagram in the early hours of the morning,
often between 2:00 and 4:00 in the morning. On May 21, for example, three days before the
shooting, the Shooter opened Instagram more than 20 times between midnight and 5:30 a.m.

25 507. Consistent with this usage, the Shooter's former girlfriend stated that the Shooter
26 would either "stay up all night or sometimes he would sleep barely."

27 508. Upon information and belief, the Shooter was exposed to Daniel Defense's
28 aggressive, combat-fetishizing, and unlawful marketing on Instagram—all before he turned 18.

72

509. The Shooter is a textbook example of how alienated minors can become ensnared in the web that binds Activision, Instagram, and their gun industry partners like Daniel Defense. 2

3 510. In 2021, the Shooter was an isolated, vulnerable teenager living in a small town in 4 Texas. He had no experience with firearms outside of *Call of Duty*; he had never shot a gun in real 5 life.

6 511. In less than a year, Defendants conditioned the Shooter to covet a niche and 7 extremely expensive assault rifle so desperately that he began saving every dollar he earned at his 8 minimum-wage job so he could afford it. And perhaps more disturbingly, the Shooter's actions 9 reveal not just a preference for the DDM4V7; they indicate a preoccupation with recreating a *Call* 10 of Duty fantasy down to the last detail.

11

1

III. The Shooter's Preparation for May 24

Within a week of downloading Modern Warfare on November 5, 2021, the Shooter's 12 512. 13 phone indicates a growing obsession with weapons and accessories associated with the game.

14 513. Between November 12 and April 16, the Shooter browsed online for the following 15 items: a Red Dot Sight, a smoke grenade, an AR-15 weapon skin, and an EOTech holographic battle 16 sight.

17 514. At first glance, these choices seem odd. Why would the Shooter need two different 18 sights? For what purpose would he use a smoke grenade? The answer is that the fantasy the Shooter 19 was fulfilling was created inside the world of *Call of Duty*.

20

515. The Red Dot Sight is a firearm accessory featured in *Call of Duty: Modern Warfare*.

21 516. Smoke grenades are featured in nearly every version of *Call of Duty*, including 22 Modern Warfare.

23 517. Gun skins are a feature of Modern Warfare that players can use to change the 24 appearance of their firearms. Players earn gun skins by completing various challenges, such as 25 killing with headshots; getting "double kills"; killing with firearms equipped with attachments; or 26 getting kills "shortly after reloading."

27 518. The EOTech Sight, as previously alleged, is one of the most popular firearm 28 attachments in Call of Duty. It appears branded and unbranded in the game, but players refer to it by name even when the EOTech label is not visible. The DDM4V7S assault rifle featured on the
 Modern Warfare loading screen was also equipped with a holographic sight, presumably the
 EOTech.

519. Daniel Defense capitalized on this connection on Instagram; in multiple posts, the
weapon being promoted is equipped with an EOTech sight. By linking its weapons with this
particular sight, Daniel Defense echoes EOTech's promise of "incredible accuracy" for "closequarter engagements with fast-moving targets," and solidifies its bona fides as a *Call of Duty-*approved brand.

520. The Shooter downloaded *Modern Warfare* in November of 2021; by December, the
Shooter was showing a strong preference for a Daniel Defense rifle despite the dozens of brands on
the market. The Shooter first browsed Daniel Defense's page devoted to AR-15 rifles, then began to
search specifically for the DDM4V7. He viewed the rifle multiple times—on Daniel Defense's
website, as well as on the websites of other online retailers—and saved screenshots of the weapon.

14 521. By March, the Shooter had clearly settled on his decision to buy a DDM4V7. He
15 visited Daniel Defense's website so frequently that the Safari browser on his iPhone automatically
16 created a bookmark for Daniel Defense's website as a "frequently visited site."

17 522. By mid-April, the Shooter was ready to assemble his combat weapon. The only
18 remaining hurdle was his age—17. He was four weeks away from his 18th birthday and, by
19 extension, his ability to purchase his assault weapon of choice.

20 523. On April 16, the Shooter ordered the EOTech holographic sight. 21 524. On April 20, the Shooter googled "how long until may 16"—his 18th birthday. 22 525. On April 23, the Shooter created an account on Daniel Defense's website. On April 27, the Shooter added the DDM4V7 to his cart. 23 526. 24 527. On May 12, the Shooter visited a webpage counting down the days until May 16. 25 528. At 23 minutes after midnight on May 16, the Shooter received confirmation of his 26 purchase of a Daniel Defense DDM4V7 assault rifle. He was 18 years and 23 minutes old. 27 529. It is unlikely the Defendants had ever produced a mass shooter with such ruthless

28 efficiency.

74

1 2

THE ROAD TO UVALDE

S30. On the morning of May 24, 2022, Tess Marie Mata, aged 10, was just starting to get
into softball—following in the steps of her big sister, Faith. Faith was in college, and they spoke on
the phone almost every night. Tess loved dancing, making videos with her best friends, and watching
her mom cook. Tess was honest and opinionated, and her mother loved that about her. Tess's dad
Jerry loved her one-of-a-kind laugh.

531. Nine-year-old Jacklyn Jaylen Cazares had plans to help as many people as she could
in her life. She didn't want the school year to end because she wouldn't be able to see her friends
every day. In the last few days, Jacklyn had had one-on-one talks with her mom about her future
and growing up.

S32. Amerie Jo Garza, aged 10, was looking forward to a summer of swimming, playing
outside, and spending time with her mom, Kimberly, and her little brother. Amerie Jo was a friend
and a protector by nature. She was creative, artistic, and loving. For Mother's Day, just sixteen days
earlier, Amerie Jo had surprised her mom with a poem called "Five Things I Love About My Mom."
The poem was a work of art written on popsicle sticks, one line per stick.

16 533. Miah Isabel Cerrillo, aged 11, loved school and being around people. She loved
17 spending time with both of her parents, especially camping, going to the river, hiking, and being
18 outside with her dad, Miguel. Miah wanted to be a doctor.

19 534. Ten-year-old Maite Yuleana Rodriguez was curious, competitive, interested in
20 learning (science in particular), and did not hesitate to figure things out on her own. Even though
21 she loved to go on trips, Maite's very favorite place to be was at home with her mom. They laughed
22 together, talked about everything, and supported each other. Maite was the youngest of three and
23 looked up to her two big brothers.

Saster and Sa

Signa 27
Signa 27
Signa 28
Signa 28
Signa 29
Signa 29
Signa 20
Sig

Just the week before, Nevaeh had visited her grandpa in Mexico so that he could take her horseback
 riding. And the day before, her dad had taken Nevaeh and all of her siblings on a long walk with
 their dog, Toby. Nevaeh was always with her family. On Friday nights, they always went out to eat
 and then got ice cream afterwards.

5 537. Maranda Mathis, aged 11, loved animals and playing outside, just like her mom,
6 Deanna. Maranda was shy until she got to know someone—then her silly side came out. Maranda
7 had a little brother and together they liked to find treasures like feathers, rocks, and shells, to give
8 to their mom.

538. Jailah Silguero, aged 10, talked to her mom, Veronica, all the way to school that
Tuesday, then hugged and kissed her goodbye. Jailah loved to spend time playing outside with her
dad, Jacob. Jailah was the youngest of four. She had spent her last weekend at the river with her
family. Jailah was best friends with Tess Mata and Maite Rodriguez.

539. On the morning of May 24, 2022, ten-year-old Makenna Elrod looked forward to
school. It was a place of comfort and familiarity because her mom, April, was a teacher there.
Makenna loved cheerleading and gymnastics and looked forward to being a Uvalde High School
cheerleader like her big sister. She loved to play outside with her two sisters and little brother, ride
go carts, ride horses, and perform dance routines. Makenna loved her family hard, with lots of hugs
and kisses.

19 540. Alexandria Aniyah Rubio, aged 10, began that morning at home with her mom, dad,
20 three brothers and two sisters. She adored her teacher. Like her mom, she looked up to strong female
21 leaders and was interested in politics. Alexandria had spent time the last few days practicing softball
22 with her dad and watching movies with her family.

541. On the morning of May 24, 2022, Jayce Carmelo Luevanos, aged 10, walked to
school with his dad, Jose. Jayce was the youngest of four. He spoke two languages. He loved to
walk barefoot and preferred to be outside. His mom Christina had taken Jayce to play kickball with
family and neighborhood friends over the weekend. Every day after school, Jayce's dad met him at
school, and they walked home together. Jayce was Jailah's cousin.

28

1 542. Nine-year-old Eliahna Garcia, known as Ellie, loved to go to the radio station with 2 her dad Steven, where he worked as a DJ. They shared a passion for music. Her dad would play 3 music, and they would dance and sing into the microphone together. Ellie liked to make slime with 4 her big sister and hide it around the house for her mom to find. In the last few days, Ellie had spent 5 time with her parents, siblings, and grandparents laughing, playing, and riding the lawnmower down 6 the street together.

543. Jose Flores, aged 10, was an honor roll student who helped his dad with his work
around the ranch. Jose loved baseball. He had a big family: his mom Alyssa, dad Jose Sr., three
brothers, and two sisters. He loved his family and was a particularly protective brother. Jose had a
giggly laugh and sweet smile that his mother loved.

544. On the morning of May 24, 2022, Rojelio Torres's mom Evadulia watched him get
on the bus with his big brother and little sister and head off to school. Rojelio, aged 10, loved to
play games and spend time at the park with his mom. He enjoyed school, particularly math. Rojelio
was very close to his teacher, Mr. Reyes.

15 545. Ten-year-old Uziyah Garcia had only recently joined the community; this was his
16 first year in school in Uvalde. One of his very best friends was Jayce Luevanos. Uziyah was thriving
17 in Mr. Reyes's class. He was known as a jokester who made people laugh.

18 546. Eliahna Torres, aged 10, was the baby of five kids. She was joyful and full of smiles.
19 Like several of her friends who died that day, Eliahna loved softball and she practiced all the time.
20 She was planning a visit to see her father Eli in the summer of 2022. The last time that she had
21 spoken to her dad, she told him how much she loved both him and her mother.

- 547. AJ Martinez, aged 10, was an outgoing sports nut with a wild sense of humor. He
 loved football and making his friends and family laugh with jokes and funny faces.
- 548. On the morning of May 24, 2022, eleven-year-old Layla Salazar and her dad Vincent
 listened to "Sweet Child O' Mine" as he drove her to school. Layla was the youngest of the family,
 with two big brothers. She loved running, and track was in her future. Layla had her own style—her
 mom Melinda loved to see what outfits she put together every day. Layla and Melinda liked to go
- 28

77 AINT EOR I

to the river and the park and feed the ducks together. Layla was close with her dad Vincent too, who
had stayed home to take care of Layla when she was born.

549. Irma Garcia was a devoted mother and a dedicated teacher. She was married to her
high school sweetheart and raising a family that she adored. She loved her students and wanted to
see them thrive.

550. Eva Mireles was a passionate educator who dedicated 17 years to teaching in Uvalde,
with a focus on Special Education and Bilingual Education. Her commitment to her students and
community was unwavering. Outside of the classroom, Eva was an avid fitness enthusiast, a lover
of karaoke and baking, and a loving wife and mother.

10 551. Elsa Avila taught in the Uvalde School district for over twenty years. She loved
11 teaching and loved her children. On May 24, 2022, she huddled her children against a back wall of
12 the classroom, as she had been trained to do.

13

552. Leann Garcia, aged 11, was a vibrant and outgoing child who loved school.

14 553. Nine-year-old Kendall Olivarez was known for being bubbly and funny. She was
15 looking forward to summer and spending time with her family.

16 554. Noah Orona, aged 10, was not shy in the least; he was a talker and a jokester, and he
17 loved to make people laugh.

18 555. Xavier Lopez, aged 10, had a love for three things: his family, his friends, and
19 baseball. Like every other day, Xavier was excited to go to Robb Elementary on May 24, 2022 so
20 he could see his friends. His bright smile lit up a room and made everyone around him feel loved.

Solution 21
Solution 256. Alithia Ramirez, aged 10, was precocious. Her not-so-hidden talent was her artistic
ability, and her drawings and paintings brightened the walls of her Uvalde home. Alithia loved
spending time with her family—especially her siblings—and her classmates.

24

557. With the end of the school year approaching, the morning of May 24 was supposed
to be a day of celebration and recognition at Robb Elementary School. Students gathered grade by
grade for assemblies to celebrate the year's hard work and cheer for classmates receiving awards.

28

1	558.	Many proud parents were in attendance. The kids dressed up for the occasion and
2	posed for pic	tures afterwards.
3	559.	Jacklyn Cazares blew her dad Javier a kiss goodbye in the hallway after the assembly.
4	He wondered	how much longer it would be before she was embarrassed to blow kisses to her dad
5	in front of he	r friends. He never got to find out.
6	560.	At 11:28 a.m., the Shooter crashed his truck in a ditch approximately 100 yards from
7	Robb Elemer	ntary School. He got out of the truck and started firing.
8	561.	When he entered the school, children were already hiding in their classrooms,
9	dutifully follo	owing the rules they had been taught during active shooter drills.
10	562.	Inside Classroom 102, children lay flat on the floor.
11	563.	In Classroom 106, the teacher hid her students and prayed.
12	564.	In Classroom 111, Teacher Arnulfo Reyes told the children to get under the table and
13	act like they	were asleep. He saw shrapnel come through the sheetrock walls of his classroom.
14	565.	A teacher in Classroom 112 told her students to hide, and they hid behind their
15		k, behind the backpacks, and under a table.
16		
17	566.	The Shooter entered both Classroom 111 and Classroom 112 and opened fire on the
18	children whe	re they hid. Nineteen children and two teachers were killed. Many other children were
19	maimed, and	all were profoundly traumatized.
20	567.	There are, of course, many more details of that horrible day, some too excruciating
21	to contempla	te.
22	568.	A child in Classroom 111 called 911 and whispered, "help me." That help never
23	came.	
24	569.	The Shooter sat at Teacher Reyes' desk in Classroom 111. Sometimes he kicked
25 26	children's bo	dies.
26 27	570.	In Classroom 112, Plaintiff Miah Cerrillo covered herself in her friend's blood so the
28	Shooter would	ld believe she was dead.
20		
		79 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

571. Parents arrived at the school and fought to get inside to their children. They were 1 forcibly restrained by police. 2 3 572. And then there is this detail: when the Shooter entered Classroom 112, he approached 4 one of the teachers, said "good night," and shot her in the head. 5 573. "Good night" is a catch phrase from Call of Duty: Modern Warfare. Captain Price, a 6 famous and recurring character in the franchise, is known to say "good night" when the player kills 7 an enemy. 8 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:** NEGLIGENCE 9 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 10 574. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 11 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 12 As previously alleged, the Activision Defendants are in the business of creating, 575. 13 designing, producing, marketing, and selling the Call of Duty game franchise. The Activision 14 Defendants also profit from advertising revenue and revenue from sales of user data derived from 15 that franchise. The Call of Duty games at issue in this Complaint are Call of Duty: Mobile; Call of 16 Duty: Black Ops Cold War; Call of Duty: Warzone; Call of Duty: Black Ops III; and Call of Duty: 17 Modern Warfare, hereafter the "Call of Duty Products or the "Call of Duty franchise." 18 576. At all relevant times, the Activision Defendants owed all persons, including Plaintiffs 19 and their decedents, a duty to exercise reasonable care in the development, setup, management, 20 maintenance, operation, marketing, advertising, promotion, supervision, and control of their Call of 21 *Duty* Products and gaming franchise so as not to create an unreasonable risk of harm to any person, 22 including Plaintiffs and their decedents. 23 577. The Activision Defendants were responsible not only for the result of their willful 24 acts, but also for injuries occasioned to Plaintiffs by their want of ordinary care and/or skill in the 25 management of their property or person. See, e.g., Cal. Civ. Code § 1714(a). 26

27 578. The Activision Defendants knew or should have known that troubled minors like the
28 Shooter would use their *Call of Duty* Products.

1	579.	The Activision Defendants breached their duties of care owed to all persons,
2	including Plai	ntiffs and their decedents, through their affirmative malfeasance, policies, actions, and
3	business decis	ions, including agreements with third parties, in the development, setup, management,
4	maintenance,	operation, marketing, advertising, promotion, supervision, and control of the Call of
5	Duty franchise	e. These breaches are based on the Activision Defendants' own actions, independent
6	of any action	s taken by a third party. Those breaches include any and all of the following, in
7	combination c	or separately:
8 9	a.	Promoting and selling <i>Call of Duty</i> Products to minor users, when they were not appropriate for minors;
10	b.	Knowingly disregarding a pattern of use of the Call of Duty Products by minors;
11	с.	Using addictive features to promote and sell the Call of Duty Products;
12	d.	Knowingly, and/or negligently allowing for the circumvention of age-verification
13		measures, parental controls, and other restrictions designed to prevent minors' use of the <i>Call of Duty</i> Products;
14	e.	Failing to design and/or implement effective age-verification measures for the <i>Call</i>
15		of Duty Products;
16	f.	Desensitizing minor users to the use of firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the
17		risk of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
18	g.	Habituating minor users to the use of firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the risk
19		of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
20		
21	h.	Training minor users in how to use firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the risk
22		of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
23	i.	Exploiting the dopamine reward system and other similar tactics to reward users of
24		the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise for killing and thereby condition users, including minors, to associate killing with dopamine, rewards, and/or pleasure;
25		
26	j.	Employing a hyper-realistic and violent simulation/video game to market assault weapons to users of the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise, including minors;
27	k.	Negligently using replicas or near-replicas of real-life assault weapons in the Call of
28		Duty franchise;
		81 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
		CONTLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1		
2	1.	Knowingly and/or negligently exposing users of the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise, including minors, to specific assault weapon brands and models;
3	m.	Continuing to use replicas or near-replicas of real-life assault weapons in the Call of
4		<i>Duty</i> franchise despite actual or constructive knowledge that multiple mass shooters had been trained on <i>Call of Duty</i> products and committed their assaults with weapons
5		that are the same or similar as those that appear in the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise;
6	n.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons
7		to minors over the Internet, in violation of statutes such as the Privacy Rights for California Minors in the Digital World Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 22580 (prohibiting "[a]n operator of an Internet Web site, online service, online application or mobile
8 9		application directed to minors" from marketing, inter alia, "[f]irearms or handguns" and "[a]mmunition or reloaded ammunition").
10	0.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons
11		to minors and increasing the risk of illegal and attempted illegal sales to minors in violation of statutes such as Cal. Penal Code § 27505 and/or attempted illegal offers
12		to sell or sales to minors in violation of Texas Penal Code § 46.06;
13	p.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons
14		to minors and increasing the risk of illegal and attempted illegal sales to minors in violation of statutes such as Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15
15		U.S.C. § 45, the California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, and the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501-
16		6505.
17	580.	As a direct and proximate result of the Activision Defendants' breach of one or more
18	of their dutie	es, Plaintiffs were harmed. The Activision Defendants' breach(es) increased the
19	likelihood tha	t the May 24, 2022, shooting at Robb Elementary School would occur, amplified the
20	lethality of the	e assault, and increased the risk that victims, including Plaintiffs' decedents, would be
21	shot and serio	usly injured or killed.
22	581.	The Activision Defendants' breach of one or more of their duties was a substantial
23	factor in causi	ng the harms and injuries to the Plaintiffs.
24	582.	Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
25	punitive dama	ges, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
26	Court deems p	proper.
27		
28		
		82 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: GROSS NEGLIGENCE
2 3	<u>GROSS NEGLIGENCE</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
4	583. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
5	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
6	584. The Activision Defendants acted with gross negligence in that they disregarded
7	extreme risks that they knew or should have known could result in extreme harm.
8	585. As a direct and proximate result of the Activision Defendants' gross negligence,
9	Plaintiffs were harmed. The Activision Defendants' breach(es) increased the likelihood that the May
10	24, 2022, shooting would occur, amplified the lethality of the assault, and increased the risk that
11	victims, including Plaintiffs' decedents, would be shot and seriously injured or killed.
12	586. The Activision Defendants' breach of one or more of their duties was a substantial
13	factor in causing harms and injuries to the Plaintiffs.
14	587. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
15	punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
16	Court deems proper.
17	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:
18	<u>STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY – DESIGN DEFECT</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS
19	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
20	588. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
21	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
22	589. The Activision Defendants design and produce the <i>Call of Duty</i> Products.
23	590. At all relevant times, a high probability existed that, as designed, the Call of Duty
24	Products posed an unreasonable risk of injury, as previously set forth above.
25	591. A safer design exists, through which any and all of the above-described risks and
26	dangers would be reduced, but the Activision Defendants chose to ignore or disregard any such
27	alternative, or purposefully chose to implement and maintain their defective design to attract more
28	users and attain higher profits.

Π

592. The Shooter began using the *Call of Duty* Products as a minor and, as a result, lacked
 a mature ability to discern the destructive and dangerous attributes of the *Call of Duty* Products as
 previously described.

4 593. At all relevant times, the Activision Defendants' *Call of Duty* Products were defective
5 because they contained numerous design characteristics that are not necessary for the utility
6 provided to the user but are unreasonably dangerous, particularly for minor users, and are
7 implemented solely to attract more users and increase profits.

8 594. The *Call of Duty* Products are defective and not reasonably safe because there was a
9 substantial likelihood that they would cause harm and it was feasible to design the products in a
10 safer manner.

595. The defects in the design of the *Call of Duty* products existed prior to their release to
the public, and there was no substantial change to the *Call of Duty* products before they were
distributed to the Shooter.

14 596. The foreseeable risks of harm posed by the Activision products' design could have 15 been reduced or avoided by the adoption of a reasonable alternative design and the failure to use an 16 alternative design renders the products not reasonably safe and/or defective. If the design defects in 17 the *Call of Duty* Products were known and/or fully understood at the time of manufacture and 18 distribution, a reasonable person would conclude that the utility of those products did not outweigh 19 the risk inherent in designing them in that manner.

597. There is a substantial likelihood that the *Call of Duty* Products—as currently
designed, distributed, and operated by the Activision Defendants—will cause injury to a significant
number of individual users and the public. The risk of such injuries occurring can be substantially
reduced through implementation of readily available design changes that will not adversely impact
the functionality of the *Call of Duty* Products or unreasonably impact their price.

25 598. The Activision Defendants know that product features of the *Call of Duty* Products,
26 as previously alleged, cause significant risks to minor users.

Further, the Activision Defendants have intentionally designed some or all of the *Call of Duty* Products to frustrate the exercise of parental responsibility. Some or all of the *Call of Duty*

84

Products are now designed, marketed, and sold in a manner that makes it difficult, if not impossible,
 for parents to exercise parental responsibility.

600. The Activision Defendants' products are also defective for lack of the type of parental
controls, permission, and monitoring capabilities available on many other devices and applications,
as well as the lack of notifications to parents when minors are engaged in inherently harmful
activities.

601. It is feasible for the Activision Defendants to make products that are less addictive
to minor users or to otherwise restrict minor users' access to certain games. Instead, Activision has
developed highly addictive products that are accessible to minors and used by a substantial number
of minors.

11 602. It is highly foreseeable that the addictive and hyper-realistic content of *Call of Duty*12 Products will lead some users, including minors, to attempt or achieve the real-life enactment of
13 what the *Call of Duty* Products simulate so effectively, including the use of firearms for mass killing.

14 603. The notorious history of recent mass shootings, including school shootings at Sandy
15 Hook, Parkland, and Uvalde, among others, confirms this.

16 604. The *Call of Duty* Products are not reasonably safe as designed because, despite
17 numerous reported instances of the connection between the *Call of Duty* Products and mass shooters,
18 and despite the fact that the *Call of Duty* Products simulate the experience of the use of firearms to
19 kill, Defendants have not undertaken reasonable design changes to mitigate harms or protect users
20 and the public from these harms.

605. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of the *Call of Duty*Products, the Shooter was desensitized to violence and killing, including the use of firearms to kill;
habituated to violence and killing, including the use of firearms to kill; and trained in how to use
firearms to kill, including mass killing, and did so on May 24, 2022.

606. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of the Activision
Defendants' products, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and
pecuniary loss.

28

607. The Activision Defendants are further liable to Plaintiffs for punitive damages based 1 2 upon the willful and wanton design of its product that was intentionally marketed and sold to 3 underage users, despite knowing that users and/or the public would be seriously harmed. 608. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 4 5 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 6 Court deems proper. 7 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY - FAILURE TO WARN 8 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 9 609. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 10 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 11 The Call of Duty Products are defective because of inadequate instructions or 610. 12 warnings because the foreseeable risks of harm posed by these products could have been reduced or 13 avoided by the provision of reasonable instructions or warnings by the Activision Defendants and 14 the omission of the instructions or warnings renders their products not reasonably safe. 15 611. A high probability existed that, as designed, the *Call of Duty* Products posed a 16 likelihood of causing injury to minors, and through them, to others, as previously alleged. 17 612. The Activision Defendants' products are defective and not reasonably safe because 18 they contain no adequate warning to minor users or parents regarding these risks to minors, and 19 through them, to others. 20 As previously alleged, the Activision Defendants had actual knowledge of these 613. 21 product hazards. 22 614. It is feasible for the Activision Defendants to provide warnings and to make other 23 product related modifications that would prevent many of these hazards at negligible cost. 24 615. The Activision Defendants knew about these hazards, knew that its users and their 25 parents would not be able to safely use their products without warnings, and failed to provide 26 warnings that were adequate to make the product reasonably safe during ordinary and foreseeable 27 use by minors. 28

86

1	616. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of the Call of Duty
2	Products, the Shooter, while still a minor, was desensitized to violence and killing, including the use
3	of firearms to kill; habituated to violence and killing, including the use of firearms to kill; and trained
4	in how to use firearms to kill, including mass killing, and did so on May 24, 2022.
5	617. As a result of the Activision Defendants' failure to warn, Plaintiffs have suffered
6	emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.
7	618. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
8	punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
9	Court deems proper.
10	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
11	<u>PRODUCT LIABILITY – NEGLIGENCE</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS
12	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
13	619. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
14	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
15	620. A high probability existed that, as designed, the Call of Duty Products posed a
16	likelihood of causing injury to minors, and through them, to others, as previously alleged.
17	621. At all relevant times, the Activision Defendants had a duty to exercise reasonable
18	care and caution in the design, production, and operation of the Call of Duty Products to avoid
19	increasing the likelihood of causing injury to minors, and through them, to others.
20	622. The Activision Defendants owed a heightened duty of care because they intended to
21	provide the Call of Duty Products to minors, or chose to willfully ignore that minors were using
22	those products, and were therefore required to consider in the design of the Call of Duty Products
23	that adolescents' brains are not fully developed, which results in a diminished capacity to make
24	responsible decisions to avoid addiction and to eschew violent behaviors.
25	623. The Activision Defendants were negligent, grossly negligent, reckless and/or
26	careless in that they failed to exercise ordinary care and caution in design, production, and operation
27	of the Call of Duty Products.
28	

624. The Activision Defendants were negligent in failing to conduct adequate testing and
 failing to allow independent researchers to adequately study the influence of *Call of Duty* on young,
 male shooters, especially mass shooters.

- 625. On information and belief, the Activision Defendants knew of the effect that their *Call of Duty* Products have had on mass shooters and intentionally avoided such testing and
 research.
- 7 626. The Activision Defendants could have but to this day have failed to implement safety
 8 measures that would mitigate, reduce, and/or eliminate the above-described hazards.
- 9 627. As a direct and proximate result of the Activision Defendants' negligence, the
 10 Shooter carried out the May 24, 2022, shooting at Robb Elementary School.

628. As a direct and proximate result of the Activision Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs
suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss, all of which were
foreseeable.

- 14 629. The Activision Defendants' conduct was carried on with a willful and conscious 15 disregard for the safety of Plaintiffs and others. The Activision Defendants knew that firearms were 16 being glamorized and marketed to minors via their franchise and knew the risks associated with 17 such conduct, yet chose to ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, 18 conceal knowledge relating to its Products and associated harms, fail to warn minors and their 19 parents, and impede or delay implementation of feasible product safety features.
- 20 630. The Activision Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over life, safety, and health
 21 is outrageous and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the
 22 Activision Defendants from similar conduct in the future.
- 23 631. The Activision Defendants are further liable to Plaintiffs for punitive damages based
 24 upon their willful and wanton failure to warn of known dangers of their products, which were
 25 deliberately marketed and sold to minor users despite knowing that users and/or the public would
 26 be seriously harmed.
- 27
- 28

632. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
Court deems proper.
SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
<u>NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS
AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
633. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
634. The Activision Defendants are responsible for the design, manufacture, production,
operation, and marketing of the Call of Duty Products.
635. The Activision Defendants knew or reasonably should have known of the previously
described risks posed by the Call of Duty Products.
636. The Activision Defendants also knew or reasonably should have known that ordinary
users of their products, including pre-teens, teens, and young adults, would not appreciate those
dangers.
637. The Activision Defendants had a duty to exercise ordinary care in the design,
marketing, and sale of their products, including a duty to warn users and, in the case of minor users,
to warn their parents about the many hazards they knew to be present, but not obvious.
638. The Activision Defendants breached their duty by failing to warn users or their
parents of these risks.
639. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of the Call of Duty
Products, the Shooter, while still a minor, was desensitized to violence and killing, including the use
of firearms to kill; habituated to violence and killing, including the use of firearms to kill; and trained
in how to use firearms to kill, including mass killing, and did so on May 24, 2022.
640. The Activision Defendants' negligence was a direct and proximate cause of the May
24, 2022 mass shooting.
641. As a direct and proximate result of the Activision Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs
suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.
89

642. The Activision Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious 1 2 disregard for the safety of their users and the public. The Activision Defendants knew or should 3 have known about the risks of radicalization, weapon fetishizing, and violence associated with their 4 products, yet they chose to ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, 5 conceal knowledge relating to their products and associated hazards, fail to warn minors, their parents, and the public, and impede or delay implementation of feasible product safety features. 6 7 643. The Activision Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is 8 outrageous and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the 9 Activision Defendants from similar conduct in the future. 10 644. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 11 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 12 Court deems proper. 13 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:** UNJUST ENRICHMENT 14 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST THE ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 15 645. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 16 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 17 646. The Activision Defendants' profits are directly tied to the intensity of user 18 engagement on their platforms. A user's engagement is maximized by exposure to increasingly 19 addictive content. The Activision Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that their 20 products create and increase risks as previously alleged. 21 The Activision Defendants benefited materially from marketing firearms to minors 647. 22 through the Call of Duty franchise. 23 648. It would be unjust and inequitable for the Activision Defendants to retain revenues 24 they earned through such wrongful and inequitable conduct when that conduct harmed Plaintiffs 25 and their decedents so profoundly. 26 27 28 90

I		
1	649.	The profits the Activision Defendants reaped from providing material assistance in
2	the marketing	of firearms to minors, including the Shooter, came at the expense of the Shooter's
3	victims, inclu	ding Plaintiffs and their decedents.
4	650.	Plaintiffs are entitled to restitution.
5	651.	Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
6	punitive dama	ges, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
7	Court deems p	proper.
8 9 10	<u>VIOLATIC</u>	<u>EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION:</u> ON OF UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ACTIVISION DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
11	652.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
12	paragraphs as	though fully set forth herein.
13	653.	As previously alleged, the Activision Defendants are in the business of creating,
14	designing, pro	oducing, marketing, and selling the Call of Duty franchise, from which they profit.
15	654.	The Activision Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices, in that
16	they committe	ed acts and/or practices that offended established public policy and/or were immoral,
17	unethical, opp	ressive, unscrupulous, or substantially injurious to consumers in the following ways:
18	a.	Promoting and selling <i>Call of Duty</i> Products to minor users, when they were not appropriate for minors;
19 20	b.	Knowingly disregarding a pattern of use of the Call of Duty Products by minors;
20 21	с.	Using addictive features to promote and sell the Call of Duty Products;
22	d.	Knowingly, and/or negligently allowing for the circumvention of age-verification
23		measures, parental controls, and other restrictions designed to prevent minors' use of the <i>Call of Duty</i> Products;
24	e.	Failing to design and/or implement effective age-verification measures for the Call
25		of Duty Products;
26	f.	Desensitizing minor users to the use of firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the rick of illocal firearms user including for exceptive numbers of don't a commit a mass
27		risk of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
28		
		91
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1 2	g.	Habituating minor users to the use of firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the risk of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
3		
4	h.	Training minor users in how to use firearms to kill, and thereby increasing the risk of illegal firearms use, including for assaultive purposes and/or to commit a mass shooting;
5		
6 7	i.	Exploiting the dopamine reward system and other similar tactics to reward users of the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise for killing and thereby condition users, including minors, to associate killing with dopamine, rewards, and/or pleasure;
8	j.	Employing a hyper-realistic and violent simulation/video game to market assault weapons to users of the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise, including minors;
9 10	k.	Negligently using replicas or near-replicas of real-life assault weapons in the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise;
11	1	Knowingly and/or poplicently experies years of the Call of Duty frenchics including
12	1.	Knowingly and/or negligently exposing users of the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise, including minors, to specific assault weapon brands and models;
13	m.	Continuing to use replicas or near-replicas of real-life assault weapons in the Call of
14		<i>Duty</i> franchise despite actual or constructive knowledge that multiple mass shooters had been trained on <i>Call of Duty</i> products and committed their assaults with weapons that are the same or similar as those that appear in the <i>Call of Duty</i> franchise;
15		that are the same of similar as those that appear in the Carl of Dury franchise,
16 17	n.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons to minors over the Internet, in violation of statutes such as the Privacy Rights for California Minors in the Digital World Act. Cal. Bug. & Prof. & 22580 (prohibiting
18		California Minors in the Digital World Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 22580 (prohibiting "[a]n operator of an Internet Web site, online service, online application or mobile application directed to minors" from marketing, inter alia, "[f]irearms or handguns"
19		and "[a]mmunition or reloaded ammunition").
20	0.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons to minors and increasing the risk of illegal and attempted illegal sales to minors in
21 22		violation of statutes such as Cal. Penal Code § 27505 and/or attempted illegal offers to sell or sales to minors in violation of Texas Penal Code § 46.06; and
23	p.	Enabling firearms manufacturers, including Daniel Defense, to market their weapons
23	1	to minors and increasing the risk of illegal and attempted illegal sales to minors in violation of statutes such as Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15
25		U.S.C. § 45, the California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, and the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501-6505.
26	655.	The Activision Defendants engaged in these unfair business acts and/or practices in
27		
		dvantage against business competitors, including the creators and developers of video
28	games that do	not rely on these acts and/or practices.
		92
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
	1	

656. The Activision Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices, in that 2 they committed acts and/or practices for which the gravity of the harm to Plaintiffs and other consumers outweighed any utility of the Activision Defendants' conduct.

3 4

5

6

7

8

1

657. Mass shootings have devastated an untold number of American families and communities, conditioned an entire generation to view attacks by lone gunmen as an inevitable part of life, transformed schools from safe spaces of learning into sites of terror and mass death, and imbued Americans with a perpetual sense of fear and dread. The gravity of the harm posed by these attacks utterly outweighs the utility of the Activision Defendant's conduct.

9 658. The Activision Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices, in that 10 they committed acts and/or practices that offended legislatively declared policy, as previously 11 alleged.

12 659. The Activision Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices, in that 13 they committed acts and/or practices that caused substantial injury that was not outweighed by any 14 countervailing benefits and that could not have been reasonably avoided by consumers themselves.

15 660. The Activision Defendant's actions have caused substantial injury to Plaintiffs and 16 to all the other victims of the Shooter's attack on Robb Elementary School, and their actions will 17 cause injury to the public in the future via future mass shootings.

Plaintiffs suffered nontrivial monetary losses, including medical and funeral 18 661. 19 expenses, as a result of the Activision Defendants' unfair practices alleged herein.

20 662. Plaintiffs seek nonrestitutionary disgorgement of such measure of the Activision 21 Defendants' profits derived from such wrongful conduct as is just, to be distributed via a fluid 22 recovery fund in accordance with each of the Plaintiffs' damages.

23 663. In connection with this claim, Plaintiffs seek attorneys' fees pursuant to section 24 1021.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

25 664. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 26 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 27 Court deems proper.

28

1 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE** 2 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 3 665. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 4 paragraphs as though set forth fully at length herein. 5 At all relevant times, the Meta Defendants owed Plaintiffs a duty to exercise 666. 6 reasonable care in the development, setup, management, maintenance, operation, marketing, 7 advertising, promotion, supervision, and control of their on-line social media business Instagram, 8 so as not to create an unreasonable risk of harm to any person, including Plaintiffs and their 9 decedents. 10 667. The Meta Defendants are responsible not only for the result of their willful acts, but 11 also for injuries occasioned to Plaintiffs by their want of ordinary care and/or skill in the 12 management of their property or person. See, e.g., Cal. Civ. Code § 1714(a). 13 668. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known that illegal, unlawful, and 14 wrongful marketing of firearms to minors was or would be committed by firearms manufacturers 15 such as Daniel Defense, increasing the risk of shootings and mass shootings. 16 Sales and marketing of firearms to minors, including promotion of firearms for 669. 17 illegal and assaultive purposes, is illegal, unlawful and/or wrongful, especially in light of the 18 following statutes: 19 a. Privacy Rights for California Minors in the Digital World Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 20 22580 (prohibiting "[a]n operator of an Internet Web site, online service, online 21 application or mobile application directed to minors" from marketing, inter alia, "[f]irearms or handguns" and "[a]mmunition or reloaded ammunition"). 22 b. Cal. Penal Code § 27505 (prohibiting sales of firearms to minors); 23 c. Texas Penal Code § 46.06 (prohibiting offers to sell firearms to minors). 24 25 d. Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 (prohibiting unfair commercial conduct); 26 e. California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 Act 27 (same); 28

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

f. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501-6505.

670. Although the sale and marketing of firearms to minors is illegal, unlawful and/or wrongful, the Meta Defendants chose to maintain marketing of firearms as part of the Instagram platform, in part because they knew such content was enticing and attractive to minors and because it drove engagement on the platform, all of which makes Instagram more attractive to advertisers and benefits their bottom line. At the same time, the Meta Defendants wished to convey the false impression that Instagram was a safe and healthy space for minors to parents, law makers, regulators, and the public.

671. The Meta Defendants therefore created policies concerning the marketing of firearms
that supposedly restricted firearms marketing on Instagram. In fact, the Meta Defendants intended
that those policies would guide firearms manufacturers in crafting firearms marketing content,
which would be channeled to minors through Instagram. And they did.

Further, the Meta Defendants knew or should have known that their express or
implied representation to users, including parents, that Instagram is a safe and appropriate site for
minors, when in fact it delivers inappropriate and illegal content to minors, including firearms
marketing and advertisements, violates the California False Advertising Law (FAL), Cal. Bus. &
Prof. Code § 17500 *et seq.*, which prohibits, inter alia, "any statement . . . which is untrue or
misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to
be untrue or misleading."

673. As previously alleged, firearms manufacturers followed the Meta Defendants'
guidance, leveraging Instagram as a key access point to minors. The firearms manufacturing content
developed in response to the Meta Defendants' guidance is content that the Meta Defendants
participated in creating and developing, and their conduct as alleged in this Complaint materially
contributed to the illegal, unlawful, and wrongful nature of that content.

674. As previously alleged, Daniel Defense was particularly adept and effective at
following the Meta Defendants' guidance to channel its firearms marketing to minors via Instagram.
The Daniel Defense firearms marketing on Instagram as previously alleged and described was cocreated and developed_by the Meta Defendants and Instagram.

675. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased 3 the risk of harm to others by inciting and encouraging the use of Daniel Defense firearms, including 4 the DDM4V7, for illegal and assaultive purposes, including killing.

5

6

7

8

1

2

676. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased the risk of harm to others because it targeted troubled adolescents with aggressive, violent, and sexualized advertisements that in some cases promoted and/or celebrated criminal activity.

9 677. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta 10 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased 11 the risk of harm to others because it leveraged Instagram's addictive platform to do so.

12 678. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta 13 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased 14 the risk of harm to others because of the radicalizing nature of Instagram's algorithmic selection of 15 content. Upon information and belief, the troubled adolescent for whom Instagram's algorithm 16 selected a Daniel Defense post was also flooded by the same Instagram algorithm with other 17 incitements to violence.

18

679. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known of all of these risks.

19 680. One or more of the Meta Defendants' actions alleged above increased the likelihood 20 that the May 24, 2022 shooting would occur, amplified the lethality of the assault, and/or increased 21 the risk that Plaintiffs would be shot and seriously injured or killed.

22

681. One or more of the Meta Defendants' actions alleged above was a direct and 23 proximate cause of the May 24, 2022 shooting.

24 682. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs 25 suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.

26 683. The Meta Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious disregard 27 for the safety of their users and the public. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known about 28 the risks of radicalization, weapon fetishizing, and violence associated with their products, yet they

chose to ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, conceal knowledge 1 2 relating to their products and associated hazards, fail to warn minors, their parents, law makers, 3 regulators, and the public, and impede or delay implementation of feasible product safety features. 684. The Meta Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is outrageous 4 5 and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the Meta 6 Defendants from similar conduct in the future. 7 685. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 8 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 9 Court deems proper. 10 TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: GROSS NEGLIGENCE 11 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 12 686. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 13 paragraphs as though fully set forth therein. 14 687. The Meta Defendants acted with gross negligence in that they disregarded extreme 15 risks that they knew or should have known could result in extreme harm. 16 688. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' gross negligence, Plaintiffs 17 were harmed. The Meta Defendants' breach(es) increased the likelihood that the May 24, 2022 18 shooting would occur, amplified the lethality of the assault, and increased the risk that victims, 19 including Plaintiffs' decedents, would be shot and seriously injured or killed. 20 689. The Meta Defendants' breach of one or more of their duties was a substantial factor 21 in causing harms and injuries to the Plaintiffs. 22 690. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs 23 suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss. 24 691. The Meta Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious disregard 25 for the safety of their users and the public. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known about 26 the risks of radicalization, weapon fetishizing, and violence associated with their products, yet they 27 chose to ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, conceal knowledge 28 97 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	relating to th	eir products and associated hazards, fail to warn minors, their parents, law makers,
2	regulators, an	d the public, and impede or delay implementation of feasible product safety features.
3	692.	The Meta Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is outrageous
4	and justifies	an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the Meta
5	Defendants fr	rom similar conduct in the future.
6	693.	Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
7	punitive dama	ages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
8	Court deems	proper.
9		ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
10		<u>STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY – DESIGN DEFECT</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS
11		AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
12	694.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
13	paragraphs as	s though fully set forth herein.
14	695.	The Meta Defendants design and distribute Instagram.
15	696.	The Meta Defendants designed Instagram with the following defects:
16	a.	Features that take advantage of the chemical reward system of minors' brains to create addictive engagement, compulsive and/or prolonged use, and additional
17 18		mental and physical harms. Such features include, but are not limited to, intermittent variable rewards, endless feeds of content, algorithmically-selected contents that users do not request, and the absence of effective limitations on total usage time
19		and/or usage during school hours and late at night.
20	b.	Features that facilitate the radicalization of minors. Such features include recommendation algorithms that affirmatively connect minors with dangerous,
21 22		violent, and illegal content and amplify extreme, violent, and otherwise radicalizing content in order to keep minors engaged.
23	с.	Features that make Instagram readily accessible to minors, while enabling to conceal
24		their Instagram activity from parents and adults in their home. Such features include, but are not limited to, the use of ineffective age-verification and parental monitoring
25		protocols, as well as the ability to create multiple accounts.
26	d.	Features that facilitated interactions between firearms companies and minors. Such
27		features include, but are not limited to, policies that provided firearms companies with a blueprint for engaging in marketing and advertising conduct that reached minors directly through "organic posts" and influencer content, as well as ineffective
28		minors directly through "organic posts" and influencer content, as well as ineffective filters for minors.
		98
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1 2 3	e.	These defects in Instagram's design existed prior to the release of Instagram to the public, and there was no substantial change to Instagram before it was distributed to the Shooter.
4	697.	At all relevant times, a high probability existed that, as designed, Instagram posed an
5	unreasonable	risk of injury.
6	698.	Safer designs exist, through which any and all of the above-described risks and
7	dangers would	be reduced, but the Meta Defendants chose to ignore or disregard these safer designs,
8	or purposefull	y chose to implement and maintain their defective design to attain higher profits. Such
9	safer designs i	include, but are not limited to:
10	a.	Turning off or slowing recommendation algorithms;
11 12	b.	Redesigning recommendation algorithms to limit rather than promote addictive engagement;
12	с.	Redesigning recommendation algorithms to not amplify extreme, violent, and radicalizing content;
14 15	d.	Implementing parental controls and/or notifications;
16	e.	Blocking use during certain times of day (e.g., during school hours or late at night);
17	f.	Limiting total access time across each day, week, and/or month;
18	g.	Beginning and ending a user's "Feed";
19	h.	Reducing or eliminating the use of intermittent variable rewards;
20	i.	Limiting the timing and clustering of notifications used to lure back users;
21	j.	Closing policy loopholes that allow firearms companies to direct advertising and
22	5	marketing conduct to minors;
23	k.	Limiting or blocking interactions between minors and accounts that are operated by
24		users who are not their family members or other minors;
25	1.	Limiting or blocking interactions between minors and accounts operated by commercial entities;
26 27	m.	Reducing or eliminating the use of ephemeral content;
28	n.	Removing barriers to the deactivation and deletion of accounts;
		99
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- o. Disabling or limiting private chat functions for minors; and
- 2 3

4

5

7

8

1

p. Disabling or limiting interactive features (e.g., "Likes" and "View Counts") for minors.

699. The Shooter began using Instagram as a minor and, as a result, lacked a mature ability to discern the destructive and dangerous attributes of Instagram as previously described.

6

700. At all relevant times, Instagram was defective and unreasonably dangerous because it contained numerous design defects that are not necessary for the utility provided to the user but are unreasonably dangerous, particularly for minors, and implemented solely to increase profits.

9 701. Instagram is defective and not reasonably safe because there was a substantial
10 likelihood that it would cause harm and it was feasible to design it in a safer manner.

11 702. The foreseeable risks of harm posed by Instagram's design could have been reduced 12 or avoided by the adoption of the safer designs alleged herein. The omission of any or all of these 13 safer design renders Instagram not reasonably safe. If the design defects in Instagram were known 14 and/or fully understood at the time of design and distribution, a reasonable person would conclude 15 that the utility of Instagram did not outweigh the risk inherent in designing it in that manner.

16 703. The design of Instagram was also defective because Instagram did not perform as 17 safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used or misused in an 18 intended or reasonably foreseeable way. Minors are among the ordinary users of Instagram. Minors 19 do not expect Instagram to be psychologically and neurologically addictive, harmful, and 20 radicalizing when Instagram is used in its intended manner.

704. There is a substantial likelihood that Instagram—as currently designed, distributed,
and operated by the Meta Defendants—will cause injury both to a significant number of individual
users and the public. The risk of such injuries occurring can be substantially reduced through
implementation of the safer design alleged herein, which will not adversely impact the functionality
of Instagram or unreasonably impact their price.

705. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of Instagram, the
Shooter became addicted to Instagram, experienced a deterioration in his mental health, was
radicalized, including by becoming desensitized to violence and killing, became connected with

100

Daniel Defense, purchased a firearm from Daniel Defense, and used that firearm to carry out a mass
 shooting on May 24, 2022.

706. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of Instagram, Plaintiffs
and Plaintiffs' decedents suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary
loss.

707. Plaintiffs' and Plaintiffs' decedents' physical, emotional, and economic injuries were
reasonably foreseeable to the Meta Defendants at the time of Instagram's development, design, and
distribution.

9 708. The Meta Defendants are further liable to Plaintiffs for punitive damages based upon 10 the willful and wanton design of its product that was intentionally marketed and sold to underage 11 users, whom it knew would be seriously harmed through use of their products. The Meta 12 Defendants' conduct, as described above, was intentional, willful, wanton, reckless, malicious, 13 oppressive, extreme, and outrageous, and displayed an entire want of care and a conscious and 14 depraved indifference to the consequences of its conduct, including to the health, safety, and welfare 15 of its users and foreseeable victims.

16 709. Plaintiffs expressly disclaim any and all claims seeking to hold the Meta Defendants
17 liable as the publisher or speaker of content posted by third parties. Rather, Plaintiffs seek to hold
18 the Meta Defendants liable for their own acts and omissions. Plaintiffs claims arise from the Meta
19 Defendants' status as designers and marketers of a social media product—Instagram—that is not
20 reasonably safe and is unreasonably dangerous for its intended use, as well as their own statements
21 and actions.

22 710. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
23 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
24 Court deems proper.

- 25 26
- _ -
- 27
- 28

1 **TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:** STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY - FAILURE TO WARN 2 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE) 3 711. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 4 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 5 Instagram is defective because of inadequate instructions or warnings because the 712. 6 foreseeable risks of harm posed by this product could have been reduced or avoided by the provision 7 of reasonable instructions or warnings by the Meta Defendants and the omission of the instructions 8 or warnings renders their products not reasonably safe. 9 713. A high probability existed that, as designed, Instagram posed a likelihood of causing 10 injury to minors, and through them, to others, as previously alleged. 11 714. Instagram is defective and not reasonably safe because it contains no adequate 12 warning to minor users or parents regarding these risks to minors, and through them, to others. 13 715. As previously alleged, the Meta Defendants had actual knowledge of these product 14 hazards. 15 716. At all relevant times, it was feasible for the Meta Defendants to provide warnings 16 and to make other product related modifications that would prevent many of these hazards at 17 negligible cost. 18 717. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known about these hazards, knew or 19 should have known that its users and their parents would not be able to safely use their products 20 without warnings, and failed to provide warnings that were adequate to make Instagram reasonably 21 safe during ordinary and foreseeable use by minors. 22 718. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of Instagram, the 23 Shooter became addicted to Instagram, experienced a deterioration in his mental health, was 24 radicalized, including by becoming desensitized to violence and killing, became connected with 25 Daniel Defense, purchased a firearm from Daniel Defense, and used that firearm to carry out a mass 26 shooting on May 24, 2022. 27

102 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

28

1	719. As a result of the Meta Defendants' failure to warn, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs'
2	decedents have suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.
3	720. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
4	punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
5	Court deems proper.
6	THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
7	<u>PRODUCT LIABILITY – NEGLIGENCE</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS
8	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
9	721. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
10	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
11	722. A high probability existed that, as designed, Instagram posed a likelihood of causing
12	injury to minors, and through them, to others, as previously alleged.
13	723. At all relevant times, the Meta Defendants had a duty to exercise reasonable care and
14	caution to design and operate Instagram to avoid increasing the likelihood of causing injury to
15	minors, and through them, to others.
16	724. The Meta Defendants owed a heightened duty because they intended to provide
17	Instagram to minors and were required to consider in the design of Instagram that adolescents' brains
18	are not fully developed which results in a diminished capacity to make responsible decisions to
19	avoid addiction and to eschew violent behaviors.
20	725. The Meta Defendants were negligent, grossly negligent, reckless and/or careless in
21	that they failed to exercise ordinary care and caution in the design and operation of Instagram.
22	726. The Meta Defendants breached their duty of care by designing and distributing
23	Instagram with the defects previously alleged.
24	727. The Meta Defendants know or should know that product features of Instagram as
25	previously alleged cause significant risks to their minor users.
26	728. The Meta Defendants have intentionally designed Instagram to frustrate the exercise
27	of parental responsibility.
28	
	103

- 729. The Meta Defendants' advertising profits are directly tied to the quantity of its users'
 online time and engagement, and its product features are designed to maximize the time users spend
 using the product through product designs that addict them to the platform.
- 3 4

5

6

730. It is feasible for the Meta Defendants to make Instagram less addictive to minor users or to otherwise restrict minor users' access. Instead, the Meta Defendants have been developing its highly addictive Instagram product so that it is more accessible to minors.

7 731. It is highly foreseeable that the addictive use of Instagram by minors will lead some
8 minors to become addicted to Instagram, experience deterioration of their mental health, become
9 radicalized, including by becoming desensitized to violence and killing, be exposed to marketing
10 and advertising conduct by firearms companies, and commit extreme and violent acts with firearms.

The Meta Defendants were negligent in failing to conduct adequate testing and
failing to allow independent academic researchers to adequately study the influence of social media
products, including Instagram, on young, male shooters, especially mass shooters. On information
and belief, the Meta Defendants knew of the powerful effect social media products such as Instagram
have had on mass shooters, and intentionally avoided such testing and research.

733. The Meta Defendants could have but to this day have failed to implement safety
measures that would mitigate, reduce, and/or eliminate the above-described hazards.

18 734. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' negligence, the Shooter
19 carried out the May 24, 2022 shooting.

20 735. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs
21 suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss, all of which were
22 foreseeable.

736. The Meta Defendants' conduct was carried on with a willful and conscious disregard
for the safety of Plaintiffs and others. The Meta Defendants knew that firearms manufacturers were
marketing to minors via their product, and of the risks associated with such conduct, yet chose to
ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, conceal knowledge relating to
its product and associated harms, fail to warn minors and their parents, and impede or delay
implementation of feasible product safety features. The Meta Defendants' decision to prioritize

104

1	profits over life, safety and health is outrageous and justifies an award of exemplary damages in
2	such a sum that will serve to deter the Meta Defendants from similar conduct in the future.
3	737. The Meta Defendants are further liable to Plaintiffs for punitive damages based upon
4	their willful and wanton failure to warn of known dangers of Instagram, which was deliberately
5	marketed and distributed to minor users, whom they knew would be seriously harmed through the
6	use of Instagram. minors, and through them, to others.
7	738. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
8	punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
9	Court deems proper.
10	FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
11	<u>NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS
12	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
13	739. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
14	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
15	740. The Meta Defendants are responsible for the design, manufacture, and marketing of
16	Instagram.
17	741. The Meta Defendants knew or reasonably should have known of the previously
18	described risks posed by Instagram.
19	742. The Meta Defendants also knew or reasonably should have known that ordinary users
20	of their products, including pre-teens, teens, and young adults, would not appreciate those dangers.
21	743. The Meta Defendants had a duty to exercise ordinary care in the design, marketing,
22	and sale of Instagram, including a duty to warn users and, in the case of minor users, to warn their
23	parents about the many hazards they knew to be present, but not obvious.
24	744. The Meta Defendants breached their duty by failing to warn users or their parents of
25	these risks.
26	745. As a result of these dangerous and defective design attributes of Instagram, the
27	Shooter became addicted to Instagram, experienced a deterioration in his mental health, was
28	radicalized, including by becoming desensitized to violence and killing, became connected with
	105 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	Daniel Defense, purchased a firearm from Daniel Defense, and used that firearm to carry out a mas	
2	shooting on May 24, 2022.	
3	746. The Meta Defendants' negligence was a direct and proximate cause of the May 24,	
4	2022 mass shooting.	
5	747. As a direct and proximate result of the Meta Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs	
6	suffered emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.	
7	748. The Meta Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious disregard	
8	for the safety of their users and the public. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known about	
9	the risks of radicalization, weapon fetishization, and violence associated with Instagram, yet they	
10	chose to ignore those risks, downplay any safety issues in public statements, conceal knowledge	
11	relating to Instagram and associated hazards, fail to warn minors, their parents, and the public, and	
12	impede or delay implementation of feasible product safety features.	
13	749. The Meta Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is outrageous	
14	and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter Meta Defendants	
15	from similar conduct in the future.	
16	750. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and	
17	punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the	
18	Court deems proper.	
19	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:	
20	<u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST THE META DEFENDANTS	
21	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)	
22	751. Plaintiffs reallege each of the allegations in the preceding and succeeding paragraphs	
23	as though fully set forth herein.	
24	752. The Meta Defendants' profits are directly tied to the intensity of user engagement on	
25	their platforms. A user's engagement is maximized by exposure to increasingly addictive content.	
26	The Meta Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that their products create and increase	
27	risks as previously alleged.	
28		
I		

1	753.	The Meta Defendants benefited materially from their co-creation and development
2	of firearms ma	rketing content as previously alleged.
3	754.	It would be unjust and inequitable for the Meta Defendants to retain revenues they
4	earned through	h such inequitable and dangerous conduct when that conduct harmed Plaintiffs and
5	their decedents	s so profoundly.
6	755.	The profits the Meta Defendants reaped from their co-creation and development of
7	firearms marke	eting content unjustly enrich the Meta Defendants, to Plaintiffs' detriment.
8	756.	The Plaintiffs are entitled to restitution.
9	757.	Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and
10	punitive damag	ges, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the
11	Court deems p	roper.
12		SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:
13	<u>VIOLATION OF UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200</u> (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST META DEFENDANTS	
14		AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)
15	758.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding
16	paragraphs as	though forth set fully herein.
17	759.	As previously alleged, the Meta Defendants are in the business of designing, making
18	and promoting	g Instagram.
19	760.	The Meta Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices, in that the
20	conduct and pr	ractices previously alleged were acts and/or practices that offended established public
21	policy and/or	were immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, or substantially injurious to
22	consumers.	
23	761.	Sales and marketing of firearms to minors, including promotion of firearms for
24	illegal and as	saultive purposes, is illegal, unlawful and/or wrongful, especially in light of the
25	following statu	ites:
26	a.	Privacy Rights for California Minors in the Digital World Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. §
27		22580 (prohibiting "[a]n operator of an Internet Web site, online service, online application or mobile application directed to minors" from marketing, inter alia,
28		"[f]irearms or handguns" and "[a]mmunition or reloaded ammunition").
		107
		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	b. Cal. Penal Code § 27505 (prohibiting sales of firearms to minors);
2	c. Texas Penal Code § 46.06 (prohibiting offers to sell firearms to minors).
3 4	d. Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 (prohibiting unfair commercial conduct);
5 6	e. California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 Act (same);
7	f. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501-6505.
8	762. Although the sale and marketing of firearms to minors is illegal, unlawful and/or
9	wrongful, the Meta Defendants chose to maintain marketing of firearms as part of the Instagram
10	platform, in part because they knew such content was enticing and attractive to minors and because
11	it drove engagement on the platform, all of which makes Instagram more attractive to advertisers
12	and benefits their bottom line. At the same time, the Meta Defendants wished to convey the false
13	impression that Instagram was a safe and healthy space for minors to parents, law makers,
14	regulators, and the public.
15	763. The Meta Defendants therefore created policies concerning the marketing of firearms
16	that supposedly restricted firearms marketing on Instagram. In fact, the Meta Defendants intended
17	that those policies would guide firearms manufacturers to craft firearms marketing content, which
18	would be channeled to minors through Instagram. And they did.
19	764. Further, the Meta Defendants knew or should have known that their express or
20	implied representation to users, including parents, that Instagram is a safe and appropriate site for
21	minors, when in fact it delivers inappropriate and illegal content to minors, including firearms
22	marketing and advertisements, violates the California False Advertising Law (FAL), Cal. Bus. &
23	Prof. Code § 17500 et seq., which prohibits, inter alia, "any statement which is untrue or
24	misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to
25	be untrue or misleading."
26	765. As previously alleged, firearms manufacturers followed the Meta Defendants'
27	guidance, leveraging Instagram as a key access point to minors. The firearms manufacturing content
28	developed in response to the Meta Defendants' guidance is content that the Meta Defendants

participated in creating and developing, and their conduct as alleged in this Complaint materially
 contributed to the illegal, unlawful, and wrongful nature of that content.

766. As previously alleged, Daniel Defense was particularly adept and effective at
following the Meta Defendants' guidance to channel its firearms marketing to minors via Instagram.
The Daniel Defense firearms marketing on Instagram previously alleged and described was cocreated and developed by the Meta Defendants.

7 767. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta
8 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased
9 the risk of harm to others by inciting and encouraging the use of Daniel Defense firearms, including
10 the DDM4V7, for illegal and assaultive purposes, including killing.

11 768. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta 12 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased 13 the risk of harm to others because it targeted troubled adolescents with aggressive, violent, and 14 sexualized advertisements that in some cases promoted and/or celebrated criminal activity.

15 769. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta
16 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased
17 the risk of harm to others because it leveraged Instagram's addictive platform to do so.

18 770. The Daniel Defense firearms marketing co-created and developed by the Meta 19 Defendants and channeled to minors, including the Shooter, via Instagram unreasonably increased 20 the risk of harm to others because of the radicalizing nature of Instagram's algorithmic selection of 21 content. On information and belief, the troubled adolescent for whom Instagram's algorithm selected 22 a Daniel Defense post was also flooded by the same Instagram algorithm with other incitements to 23 violence.

24 771. The Meta Defendants knew or should have known that illegal, unlawful, and
25 wrongful marketing of firearms to minors was or would be committed by firearms manufacturers
26 such as Daniel Defense, increasing the risk of shootings and mass shootings.

27 772. The Meta Defendants engaged in these unfair business acts and/or practices in order
28 to gain advantage against business competitors.

109

773. The Meta Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices in that they 1 2 committed acts and/or practices for which the gravity of the harm to Plaintiffs and other consumers 3 outweighed any utility of the Meta Defendants' conduct.

4

5

6

7

8

774. Mass shootings have devastated an untold number of American families and communities, conditioned an entire generation to view attacks by lone gunmen as an inevitable part of life, transformed schools from safe spaces of learning into sites of terror and mass death, and imbued Americans with a perpetual sense of fear and dread. The gravity of the harm posed by these attacks completely outweighs the utility of the Meta Defendants' conduct.

9 775. The Meta Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices in that they 10 committed acts and/or practices that offended legislatively declared policy, as previously alleged.

11 776. The Meta Defendants engaged in unfair business acts and/or practices in that they 12 committed acts and/or practices that caused substantial injury that was not outweighed by any 13 countervailing benefits and that could not have been reasonably avoided by consumers themselves.

14 One or more of the Meta Defendants' actions alleged above increased the likelihood 777. 15 that the May 24, 2022 shooting would occur, amplified the lethality of the assault, and/or increased 16 the risk that Plaintiffs would be shot and seriously injured or killed.

17 778. One or more of the Meta Defendants' actions alleged above was a direct and proximate cause of the May 24, 2022 mass shooting. 18

19 779. Plaintiffs suffered nontrivial monetary losses, including medical and funeral 20 expenses, as a result of the Meta Defendants' unfair practices alleged herein.

21 780. Plaintiffs seek nonrestitutionary disgorgement of such measure of the Meta 22 Defendants' profits derived from such wrongful conduct as is just, to be distributed via a fluid 23 recovery fund in accord with each Plaintiffs' damages.

24

In connection with this claim plaintiffs seek attorneys' fees pursuant to section 781. 25 1021.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

26 782. Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 27 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 28 Court deems proper.

110

1		SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: AIDING AND ABETTING	
2	(ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE, EXCEPT NOMINAL DEFENDANTS)		
3			
4	783.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding	
5		hough fully set forth herein.	
6	784.	Sales and marketing of firearms to minors, including promotion of firearms for	
7	illegal and assaultive purposes, is illegal, unlawful and/or wrongful, especially in light of the		
8	following stat	utes:	
9	a.	Privacy Rights for California Minors in the Digital World Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 22580 (prohibiting "[a]n operator of an Internet Web site, online service, online	
10		application or mobile application directed to minors" from marketing, inter alia,	
11		"[f]irearms or handguns" and "[a]mmunition or reloaded ammunition").	
12	b.	Cal. Penal Code § 27505 (prohibiting sales of firearms to minors);	
13	с.	Texas Penal Code § 46.06 (prohibiting offers to sell firearms to minors).	
14	d.	Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 (prohibiting unfair	
15		commercial conduct);	
16	e.	California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 Act (same);	
17 18	f.	Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501-6505.	
19	785.	Daniel Defense engages in illegal, unlawful, and wrongful marketing of firearms to	
20	minors and illegal offers to sell firearms to minors.		
21	786.	The Activision Defendants aid and abet Daniel Defense's illegal, unlawful, and	
22	wrongful mar	keting of firearms to minors and illegal offers to sell firearms to minors as previously	
22	alleged.		
23	787.	The Meta Defendants aid and abet Daniel Defense's illegal, unlawful, and wrongful	
24	marketing of t	firearms to minors and illegal offers to sell firearms to minors as previously alleged.	
	788.	As previously alleged, the Activision Defendants' actions materially contribute to	
26	Daniel Defens	se's illegal, unlawful, and wrongful marketing of firearms to minors and illegal offers	
27	to sell firearm	s to minors.	
28			
		111 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	

Π

789. As previously alleged, the Meta Defendants' actions materially contribute to Daniel 1 2 Defense's illegal, unlawful, and wrongful marketing of firearms to minors and illegal offers to sell 3 firearms to minors.

- 790. As a direct and proximate result of Daniel Defense's illegal, unlawful, and wrongful 4 5 marketing of firearms to minors and illegal offers to sell firearms to minors, as aided and abetted by 6 the Meta Defendants and/or the Activision Defendants, Plaintiffs and their decedents suffered 7 emotional distress, physical harm and/or death, and pecuniary loss.
- 8 791. The Meta Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious disregard 9 for the safety of their users and the public.

10 792. The Meta Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is outrageous and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the Meta 11 12 Defendants from similar conduct in the future.

13

793. The Activision Defendants' conduct was performed with a willful and conscious 14 disregard for the safety of their users and the public.

15 794. The Activision Defendants' decision to prioritize profits over public safety is 16 outrageous and justifies an award of exemplary damages in such a sum that will serve to deter the 17 Meta Defendants from similar conduct in the future.

Plaintiffs demand judgment against each Defendant for compensatory, treble, and 18 795. 19 punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the 20 Court deems proper.

21

- 22
- 23

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: WRONGFUL DEATH (WRONGFUL DEATH PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE, EXCEPT NOMINAL DEFENDANTS)

796. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 24 paragraphs as though set forth fully at length herein. 25

797. This Cause of Action is asserted by and on behalf of Wrongful Death Plaintiffs 26 bringing their actions as heirs and beneficiaries of Decedents as duly-appointed representatives of 27 the estates of Decedents or as successor-in-interests. 28

798. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of each of the Defendants and/or their 1 2 products as alleged, Decedents suffered wrongful death, and Wrongful Death Plaintiffs suing as 3 heirs and beneficiaries or estate representatives of Decedents seek damages therefor, including loss 4 of financial support, loss of society, funeral expenses, estate administration expenses, and 5 noneconomic damages including pain and suffering, and where applicable punitive damages. 6 799. Wrongful Death Plaintiffs demand judgment against each of the Defendants for 7 compensatory, treble, and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and 8 all such other relief as the Court deems proper. 9 **NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:** SURVIVAL ACTION 10 (SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE, EXCEPT NOMINAL DEFENDANTS) 11 800. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding and succeeding 12 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 13 On or about May 24, 2022, after the foregoing causes of action arose in their favor, 801. 14 the Successor-in-Interest Plaintiffs' Decedents, who would have been the plaintiffs in this action if 15 they had lived, passed away. 16 This Cause of Action is asserted by and on behalf of surviving heirs and successors-802. 17 in-interest of the Decedents pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 377.11 and 18 section 377.30. 19 803. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of each of the Defendants and/or their 20 products as alleged, Decedents suffered bodily injury resulting in pre-death pain and suffering, 21 disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, emotional distress, loss of capacity of the enjoyment of 22 life, a shortened life expectancy, loss of earnings, and loss of ability to earn. Plaintiffs suing as heirs 23 and beneficiaries or estate representatives seek damages for these injuries to their respective 24 Decedents, including where applicable punitive damages. 25 804. Successor-in-Interest Plaintiffs demand judgment against each of the Defendants for 26 compensatory, treble, and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and 27 all such other relief as the Court deems proper. 28

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against each of the Defendants, jointly and
3	severally, and as appropriate to each cause of action alleged and the standing of Plaintiffs as follows:
4	1. Past, present and future general damages, the exact amount of which has yet to be
5	ascertained, in an amount which will conform to proof at time of trial, to compensate
6	Plaintiffs for injuries sustained as a result of each Defendant's conduct and/or their
7	products as alleged, including but not limited to physical pain and suffering, mental
8 9	anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, expenses for hospitalizations, and
10	medical treatments;
11	2. Past, present, and future economic and special damages according to proof at the time of
12	trial;
13	3. Loss of earnings and impaired earning capacity according to proof at the time of trial;
14	4. Medical expenses, past and future, according to proof at the time of trial;
15	5. Funeral expenses and other special damages according to proof at the time of trial;
16	 6. Punitive or exemplary damages according to proof at the time of trial; 7. All damages quailable for urrongful death and survival;
17	7. All damages available for wrongful death and survival;8. Exemplary and punitive damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits;
18	9. Attorneys' fees;
19	10. For costs of suit incurred herein;
20	11. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
21	12. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
22	
23	Dated: May 24, 2024KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER, PC
24	
25 26	By: Atin H
20	Katherine Mesner-Hage, Esq.
28	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	114
	114 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	
2	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
3	Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.
4	
5	Dated: May 24, 2024
6	
7	KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER, PC
8	
9	
10	
11	Katharing Manager
12	Katherine Mesner-Hage, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
10 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	115
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES