

EXHIBIT 33

RE: FOIA request 1591528 / All records from the following custodians containing the terms Grassley or Johnson: Christopher Wray et al

From Reporter2023 <Reporter2023@proton.me>

To FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV

Date Monday, March 25th, 2024 at 10:30 AM

Wanting to review the correspondence concerning the request is in no way, shape, or form, an indication that we're "not interested" in narrowing the request. Such an interpretation is unreasonable. As mentioned throughout our communications, I can't make an informed decision on narrowing the scope based on incomplete information. Can you confirm that the correspondence has been mailed? We can circle back once I receive the correspondence.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Monday, March 25th, 2024 at 10:18 AM, FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV <FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV> wrote:

Greetings,

I beg to differ – that correspondence was re-sent to you on March 5 to the address you provided. You've provided a corrected address, so another copy will be mailed to you.

My interpretation was that you wished to wait for the correspondence. If that's not the case, we can go ahead and take care of the scope reduction. As mentioned previously, the documents range in date from March 2020 through May 2023. We generally narrow by date, although many requesters opt for up to either the first or last 50 pages for a small track request or 500 pages for a medium track request. If you have a particular date in mind, we can structure the request that way as well.

Please let us know how you would like to move forward with your request.

Best regards,

Negotiation Team

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Fbi.foipa.negotiation@fbi.gov

Status Checks: <https://vault.fbi.gov/fdps-1/@@search-fdps> | foipaquestions@fbi.gov

For additional information, please visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website.



From: Reporter2023 <Reporter2023@proton.me>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2024 9:50 AM

To: FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION <FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] - RE: FOIA request 1591528 / All records from the following custodians containing the terms Grassley or Johnson: Christopher Wray et al

How can you honestly, in good faith, come to the conclusion that I am not interested in narrowing the request? Nothing in our correspondence would indicate that. For weeks, you have refused to send me the correspondence containing key details about the FBI's fulfillment of the request. As I have explained, I am at a significant information deficit and cannot make an informed decision on narrowing or next steps until I have reviewed the correspondence.

We can move things along more quickly if you just attached scanned copies of the letters you've tried to mail. Please attach all correspondence previously mailed so I can make a decision on next steps. Or in the alternative, please mail all copies of letters to the corrected address below per my March 23 email.

118 Whiting Street

Galveston, Texas 77550

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Monday, March 25th, 2024 at 6:58 AM, FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV
<FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV> wrote:

Good morning,

I will forward your request to the assigned analyst. I take it that for now you're not interested in narrowing the request, correct?

Best regards,

Negotiation Team

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Fbi.foipa.negotiation@fbi.gov

Status Checks: <https://vault.fbi.gov/fdps-1/@@@search-fdps> | foipaquestions@fbi.gov

For additional information, please visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website.



From: Reporter2023 <Reporter2023@proton.me>

Sent: Friday, March 22, 2024 1:51 PM

To: FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION <FBI.FOIPA.NEGOTIATION@FBI.GOV>

Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] - RE: FOIA request 1591528 / All records from the following custodians containing the terms Grassley or Johnson: Christopher Wray et al