1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BUCHALTER A Professional Corporation KALLEY R. AMAN (SBN: 217337) AARON LEVINE (SBN: 299260) 1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213-891-0700 Fax: 213-896-0400 Email: alevine@buchalter.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff Corinthian Textile Solutions, Inc.	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 4/10/2024 3:00 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By Y. Tarasyuk, Deputy Clerk
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGEL	ES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
10		
11	CORINTHIAN TEXTILE SOLUTIONS, INC., an Oregon corporation,	CASE NO. 24ST CV 09042
12	Plaintiff,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
13	VS.	COMPLAINT FOR:
14	FISKER GROUP, INC., a California	1. BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT;
15	corporation; FISKER GMBH, a foreign entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	2. BREACH OF CONTRACT; 3. QUANTUM MERUIT
16	Defendants.	4. OPEN BOOK ACCOUNT; 5. GOODS AND SERVICES
17		RENDERED; 6. ACCOUNT STATED
18		
19		ı
20	Plaintiff Corinthian Textile Solutions, Inc.	("Plaintiff" or "Corinthian"), an Oregon
21	corporation, complains and alleges as follows:	
22	THE PA	RTIES
23		t to this action was, an Oregon corporation
24	authorized to do business in California and doing business in the State of California.	
25		and based thereon alleges, that defendant Fisker
26	Group, Inc. ("Fisker USA") is, and at all relevant	_
27	principal place of business located in Los Angeles	
28		<b>3</b> 7

- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that defendant Fisker GmbH ("Fisker GmbH" and collectively referred to herein with Fisker USA as "Fisker" or "Defendants") is, and at all relevant times was, a foreign unincorporated entity which does business in California. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Fisker GmbH's principal place of business is, and at all relevant times was, located in Graz, Austria.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that unless otherwise specifically mentioned each defendant identified herein was an agent and/or employee of each of the remaining defendants, and that in doing the things complained of herein was acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all relevant times mentioned herein, the business activities and affairs of Fisker USA and Fisker GmbH, and each of them, are and were so intermingled as to constitute one single business enterprise conducted by, between, and among them. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that defendants Fisker USA and Fisker GmbH are, and at all times relevant hereto were, mere shells without adequate, sufficient, or reasonable assets or capital with which to carry on the businesses in which they were engaged. Additionally, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that there exists a unity of interest and ownership between Fisker and GmbH, such that any individuality and separateness between the companies has ceased and Fisker USA and Fisker GmbH are the alter egos of one another. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that adherence to the fiction of a separate existence between Fisker USA and Fisker GmbH would sanction fraud by permitting Fisker USA and Fisker GmbH to avoid the responsibility for their business undertakings and to promote injustice by depriving Erewhon from recovering for the damages alleged herein.
- 6. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues these defendants by their fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the defendants designated herein as a fictitiously named defendant is in some manner responsible for the events and happenings herein referred to,

either contractually or tortuously, and caused the damage to Plaintiff as herein alleged. When Plaintiff ascertains the true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, it will seek leave of this Court to amend its Complaint by setting forth the same.

### **JURISDICTION**

7. The contracts at issue in this Complaint contain a choice of venue clause which specifies that the Los Angeles County Superior Court shall have jurisdiction for all disputes arising from or in connection with the parties' business relationship. As such, this Court has jurisdiction to hear this dispute.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 8. Plaintiff manufactures specialty textile products for automotive, marine, and specialty markets.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Fisker USA is an American automotive company that manufactures and sells electric vehicles. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Fisker GmbH is the European affiliate of Fisker.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all relevant times hereto, Fisker USA and Fisker Gmbh operated their businesses as a single enterprise, which they each commonly referred to as simply "Fisker." Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants treat Fisker USA as its "US Office" and Fisker GmbH as its "European Office," and that some of the products and business dealings described herein were to be completed for Defendants' US Office and some were to be completed for its European Office.
- 11. In or around January, 2023, Plaintiff, one the one hand, and Fisker, on the other hand, entered into a business relationship, evidenced by a written Nomination Letter and Fisker's General Terms and Conditions, pursuant to which Plaintiff agreed to manufacture and supply Fisker with customized products for use in Fisker's automobiles.
- 12. During the period from April 25, 2023 through and including February 22, 2024, Fisker ordered and Plaintiff specially manufactured and sold products to Fisker at Fisker's direction.

13. In o	connection with these transactions, Plaintiff submitted invoices to Fisker for the
products purchase	d and Fisker agreed to pay for the products at the invoiced price. Each of the
invoices submitted	to Defendants require payment to Plaintiff net 45 days from the date of said
invoice. Plaintiff	is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants received and
accepted the produ	acts reflected on each of the invoices without objection. Despite doing so,
Defendants have r	efused, and continue to refuse to pay Plaintiff the balance owed on the
outstanding invoic	es.

14. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff specially manufactured certain products at Defendants' direction for sale to Defendant. As a result of the above-referenced breach, those products either remain in Plaintiff's possession or are in transit to Defendant. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have refused, and continue to refuse, to pay Plaintiff for these products. Because the products were specially manufactured for Defendants, Plaintiff is unable to recover the cost of manufacturing the products by, for example, selling them to other parties.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach Of Written Contract**

#### (Against all Defendants and DOES 1-10, Inclusive)

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14 of this complaint, and incorporates them by reference as though set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 16. Between April 25, 2023 and February 25, 2024, Plaintiff and Defendants, and each of them, entered into a series of agreements evidenced by purchase orders and written invoices (the "Invoices"), whereby Plaintiff agreed to sell and Defendants agreed to purchase products for use in Defendants' automobiles (the "Goods"). The Invoices provide for payment net 45 days from the date of each invoice.
- 17. Between April 25, 2023 and February 25, 2024, Plaintiff shipped the Goods to Defendants pursuant to the Invoices, and Defendants received and accepted the Goods without objection.

- 18. Plaintiff has performed all of the promises, conditions and covenants that it agreed to perform pursuant to the terms of the parties' agreements, except for those promises, conditions and covenants excused by the acts and omissions of Defendants.
- 19. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the amounts owed on the Invoices when due. As such, Defendants are in breach of the terms of the invoice agreements. As a proximate result of Defendants' breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of \$525,571.75 plus interest at the legal rate.
- 20. Although demand for payment has been made, Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to pay Plaintiff the amounts due and owing on the Invoices. There is now due, owing and unpaid to Plaintiff from Defendants, the sum of \$525,571.75, together with interest at the legal rate from the due date of each of the Invoices and other fees and charges, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, according to proof at time of trial or entry of judgment.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **Breach of Contract**

## (Against all Defendants and DOES 1-10, Inclusive)

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14 of this complaint, and incorporates them by reference as though set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 22. Between April 25, 2023 and February 25, 2024, Plaintiff and Defendants, and each of them, entered into a series of agreements whereby Plaintiff specially manufactured products for Defendants' use at Defendants' direction. These products either remain in Plaintiff's possession or are in transit to Defendant.
- 23. Plaintiff has performed all of the promises, conditions and covenants that it agreed to perform pursuant to the terms of the parties' agreements, except for those promises, conditions and covenants excused by the acts and omissions of Defendants.
  - 24. Defendants have breached the agreements by failing to pay for these products.
- 25. As a proximate result of Defendants' breaches, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, but in any event no less than \$552,000, plus interest at the legal rate

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgement against Defendants as follows:		
2	AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:		
3	1. For damages in the sum of \$525,571.75 plus interest, including prejudgment		
4	interest, at the legal rate;		
5	2. For costs of suit including reasonable attorneys' fees according to proof;		
6	AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:		
7	3. For damages in the sum of \$552,000 plus interest, including prejudgment interest		
8	at the legal rate;		
9	4. For costs of suit including reasonable attorneys' fees according to proof;		
10	ON THE THIRD THROUGH SIXTH CAUSES OF ACTION:		
11	5. For damages in the sum of \$1,077,571.75; plus interest, including prejudgment		
12	interest at the legal rate;		
13	6. For costs of suit;		
14	AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:		
15	7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.		
16			
17	DATED: April 10, 2024  BUCHALTER A Professional Corporation		
18	A O		
19	By:		
20	KALLEY R. AMAN AARON M. LEVINE		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff CORINTHIAN TEXTILE SOLUTIONS, INC		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			