23-12055-shl Doc 195 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Main Document Pg 1 of 2

Berger, Fischoff, Shumer, Wexler & Goodman, LLP 6901 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 230 Syosset, New York 11791 Heath S. Berger, Esq. Gary C. Fischoff, Esq.

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re:

Chapter 11

RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI a/k/a RUDOLPH WILLIAM GIULIANI Case No: 23-12055

Debtor

-----X

#### NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE OF ALLOWING THE DEBTOR TO PROCEED WITH PROSECUTING AND PERFECTING THE FREEMAN <u>APPEAL BEFORE THE D.C. CIRCUIT</u>

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on upon the annexed motion (the "Motion") of Rudolph W. Giuliani, the debtor and debtor-in-possession herein ("Debtor"), by his attorneys, Berger, Fischoff, Shumer, Wexler & Goodman, LLP dated April 26, 2024 for an order modifying the automatic stay for the limited purpose of allowing the Debtor to proceed with prosecuting and perfecting the *Freeman* appeal before the D.C. Circuit pursuant to Section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, and for such other and further relief as may be just and proper, there will be a hearing before the Honorable Sean H. Lane, United States Bankruptcy Judge, Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, White Plains, New York 10601 on **May 14, 2024 at 11:00 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be hearing (the "Hearing Date");

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE**, that all hearing participants must register with eCourt Appearances two days in advance of all appearances. Registration is required by both attorneys and non-attorneys. If you have a CM/ECF account, you may access eCourt Appearances in the "Utilities" menu after logging into CM/ECF. If you do not have a CM/ECF account, you

# 23-12055-shl Doc 195 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Main Document Pg 2 of 2

may access eCourt Appearances on the Court's website at: <u>https://ecf.nysb.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/nyebAppearances.pl</u>.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses or objections to the relief

requested to the Motion, if any, are required to be served on or before May 7, 2024.

Dated: Syosset, New York April 26, 2024

> BERGER, FISCHOFF, SHUMER, WEXLER & GOODMAN, LLP Attorneys for the Debtor

Gary C. Fischoff, Esq. 6901 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 230 Syosset, New York 11791 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 1 of 7

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----Х

In re:

Chapter 11

RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI, a/k/a Rudolph William Giuliani,

Case No: 23-12055

Debtor.

-----X

#### DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE OF ALLOWING THE DEBTOR TO PROCEED WITH PROSECUTING AND PERFECTING THE *FREEMAN* APPEAL BEFORE THE D.C. CIRCUIT

#### TO: THE HONORABLE SEAN H. LANE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Rudolph W. Giuliani, the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession herein (the "Debtor"), by and through his attorneys, Berger, Fischoff, Shumer, Wexler & Goodman, LLP, as and for their motion for an order pursuant to Section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, modifying the automatic stay for the limited purpose of allowing the Debtor to take the steps necessary, in the case of *Freeman et al. v. Giuliani*, Case No. I:21-cv-03354-BAH (United States District Court for the District of Columbia) (the "*Freeman* case"), to prosecute and perfect his appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, represents as follows:

1. On December 21, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed his voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

2. The Debtor continues to be in possession and management of his assets and is managing as a debtor and debtor-in-possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 1107 and 1108.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this Motion under 28 U.S.C. §§157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2). Venue of this proceeding and this Motion are proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1408 and 1409.

4. The statutory basis for the relief sought in this Motion are 11 U.S.C. §§105, 362 and Rule 4001(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

#### BACKGROUND

5. On December 15, 2023, a jury verdict was entered against Debtor in the *Freeman* case in excess of \$148 million, which subsequently led Debtor to commence this bankruptcy case.

6. By Order dated February 20, 2024, ECF 124, this Court modified the automatic stay in this case solely (a) to allow the Debtor and the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to litigate post-trial motions in the district court in the *Freeman* case, and (b) to allow the Debtor to file a Notice of Appeal with respect to the Appeal. The Appeal otherwise remains stayed.

7. On March 20, 2024, the Debtor filed a renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law in the *Freeman* case.

8. On April 15, 2024, the district court in the *Freeman* case entered a Memorandum Opinion and an Order denying the Debtor's post-trial motions (the "Post-trial Denial Order").

9. On April 17, 2024, the Debtor filed his application, ECF 169, to retain Kenneth Caruso Law LLC ("KCL") as his special counsel. Specifically, the Debtor moved to retain KCL to represent the Debtor in connection with his appeal from the final judgment in the *Freeman* case to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (the "Appeal").

## 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 3 of 7

10. Subsequently, on April 18, 2024, the Clerk of the D.C. Circuit, *sua sponte*, entered a scheduling Order "removing [the] case from abeyance[]" and directing the Debtor (the Appellant in the D.C. Circuit) to make certain filings in the D.C. Circuit, such as the appellant's statement of the issues on appeal and a notice of appearance by counsel for the appellant.

11. The scheduling Order ordered the Debtor/appellant to make those filings on or before May 20, 2024. The scheduling Order also directed the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to make certain filings, as the appellees in the D.C. Circuit, by May 20, 2024. *See* Exhibit A to the accompanying Declaration of Kenneth A. Caruso.

12. Accordingly, the Debtor respectfully submits that the time has come for the Debtor to perfect and prosecute the appeal to the D.C. Circuit.

13. The Debtor further expects a favorable decision in the Appeal.

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

14. Pursuant to Section 362(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor requests an order modifying the automatic stay for the limited purpose of allowing the Debtor to prosecute and perfect the Appeal.

15. Specifically, the Debtor asks this Court:

a. To authorize the Debtor to file an Amended Notice of Appeal, as required by Rule 4(a)(4)(B)(ii), Fed. R. App. P., in order to bring the Post-trial Denial Order up for review on the Appeal; **the deadline for filing the Amended Notice of Appeal is May** 

15, 2024;

b. To authorize counsel for Debtor to comply with the scheduling Order attached as Exhibit A to the Caruso Declaration (including, specifically, to facilitate such

#### 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 4 of 7

compliance, authorizing Mr. Caruso and his colleague David Labkowski, Esq., to move for admission *pro hac vice* in the D.C. Circuit);

c. To authorize counsel for the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to comply with the scheduling Order attached as Exhibit A to the Caruso Declaration;

d. To authorize the parties to the Appeal and their counsel to comply with further scheduling orders that the Clerk of the D.C. Circuit will make, such as orders establishing a briefing schedule and fixing a date for oral argument. *See* D.C. Circuit Rule 31(a) ("Parties must serve and file their briefs in accordance with the scheduling order issued by the court[]"); *Handbook of Practices and Internal Procedures* IX(A)(1) at 36-37 ("Normally, the Clerk's Office establishes a briefing schedule after the case has been screened and classified by the Legal Division, and after any pending motions have been resolved. In cases designated as 'Regular Merits' cases, the date for oral argument is announced by separate order after the briefing order has issued[]").

#### ARGUMENT

16. Bankruptcy Code Section 362(d) sets forth the general standard for obtaining relief from the automatic stay. This provision provides, "on request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the stay provided under subsection (a) of this section, such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay...for cause...." 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1). The Bankruptcy Code does not define "cause" and courts must determine when relief from the automatic stay is appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

17. In determining whether "cause" exists to lift a stay in bankruptcy, Courts consider the factors set out in the decision of *In re Sonnax Indus, Inc. v. Tri Components Prods. Corp.*, 907 F.2d 1280, 1285 (2d Cir. 1990) (citing *In re Curtis*, 40 Bankr. 795 (Bankr. D. Utah 1984)):

# 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 5 of 7

- i. whether relief would result in partial or complete issue resolution;
- ii. the lack of connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case;
- iii. whether the other proceeding involves the debtor as a fiduciary;
- iv. whether a specialized tribunal with the necessary expertise has been established to hear the cause of action;
- v. whether the debtor's insurer has assumed full responsibility for defending the action;
- vi. whether the action primarily involves third parties;
- vii. whether litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other creditors;
- viii. whether the judgment claim arising from the other action is subject to equitable subordination;
- ix. whether movant's success in the other proceeding would result in a judicial lien avoidable by the debtor;
- x. the interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical resolution of litigation;
- xi. whether the parties are ready for trial in the other proceeding; and
- xii. the impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of harms.

*Id.* at 1286; *In re New York Medical Grp., PC,* 265 B.R. 408, 413 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2001). Not all of the *Sonnax* factors are relevant in every case, and "cause" is a flexible concept that must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *Spencer v. Bogdanovich (In re Bogdanovich),* 292 F.3d 104, 110 (2d Cir. 2002) (citing *Mazzeo v. Lenhart (In re Mazzeo),* 167 F.3d 139, 143 (2d Cir. 1999)).

#### 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 6 of 7

18. It is respectfully submitted that the modification of the stay, as requested in this motion, will greatly and favorably impact the resolution of this Chapter 11 case. The first factor should weigh heavily, as the relief of a limited lift of the stay will certainly result in partial or complete issue resolution. Second, if the Debtor is successful in the Appeal, it will have a profound effect on the status of the case and will surely accelerate the Debtor's exit strategy. It is imperative to a full and fair resolution of this Chapter 11 proceeding that the Debtor have the *Freeman* judgment reversed or modified.

19. Regarding factor number seven, the Appeal can only assist and not prejudice other creditors, as if the Debtor can avoid a large judgment or have the amount reduced, there will be more funds available to the Debtor to satisfy creditors.

20. In another case, the Court found that a movant was entitled to the same relief requested in this application. *See In re Mildred Deli Grocery, Inc.*, 18-10077 (MG), 2018 Bankr. Lexis 546 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 28, 2018). Judge Glenn found that, like here, the primary reason for the Debtor's bankruptcy is prior litigation. Efficient resolution of the Debtor's post-judgment motion(s) and appeal from the judgment in the *Freeman* case will assist in the resolution of the bankruptcy action. Because the judgment has already been rendered in the *Freeman* case, the Debtor should be entitled to appeal the judgment as expeditiously as possible.

21. In the *Freeman* case, the prosecution and perfection of the Appeal is the logical next step. The district court has denied the post-trial motions and the D.C. Circuit has begun to schedule the steps in the Appeal. This Court should authorize the parties and counsel to comply with the D.C. Circuit's order(s).

#### 23-12055-shl Doc 195-1 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Motion Pg 7 of 7

22. The automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. §362(a) is meant to protect the interests of the Debtor. Here, the Debtor himself is the party requesting modification of the stay, albeit solely to have the judgment in the Freeman case appealed to the D.C. Circuit.

23. In this instance, the \$148 million verdict and resulting Judgment were the immediate precipitating cause of the Debtor's Chapter 11 filings. The Debtor believes the Judgment is erroneous. The Debtor expects to be successful in having the judgment modified, vacated, or reversed.

24. As such, a substantial reduction in the amount due would render a substantial benefit to the Debtor, his estate and his creditors. It is extremely important that the Debtor be authorized to continue with the appellate process.

#### CONCLUSION

25. Based upon the foregoing, the Debtor respectfully requests that this Court enter an order modifying the stay solely authorizing the relief requested above with respect to the Appeal.

Dated: Syosset, New York April 26, 2024

BERGER, FISCHOFF, HUMER. WEXLER & GOODMAN, LLP Attorneys for/the Debto

Gary C. Fischoff, Esq. 6901 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 230 Syosset, New York 11791

23-12055-shl Doc 195-2 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Caruso Declaration Pg 1 of 3

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

Chapter 11

RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI, a/k/a Rudolph William Giuliani,

Case No: 23-12055

Debtor.

## DECLARATION OF KENNETH A. CARUSO IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO MODIFY THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO ALLOW PROSECUTION OF THE APPEAL (AS DEFINED BELOW)

I, Kenneth A. Caruso, hereby declare, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following statements are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief, after due inquiry, as described herein:

1. I am a member of the Bar of this Court and the sole member of Kenneth Caruso Law LLC ("KCL"), 15 West 72nd Street, New York NY 10023.

2. The Court has before it, ECF 169, the application of Rudolph W. Giuliani, the Debtor and Debtor-in Possession, to retain KCL as his special counsel in this case. Specifically, the Debtor has moved to retain KCL to represent the Debtor in connection with his appeal from the final judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in *Freeman et al. v. Giuliani*, Case No. I:21-cv-03354-BAH, to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (the "Appeal").

3. By Order dated February 20, 2024, ECF 124, this Court modified the automatic stay in this case solely (a) to allow the Debtor and the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to litigate post-trial motions in the district court in the *Freeman* case, and (b) to allow the Debtor to file a Notice of Appeal with respect to the Appeal. The Appeal otherwise remains stayed.

#### 23-12055-shl Doc 195-2 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Caruso Declaration Pg 2 of 3

4. On April 15, 2024, the district court in the *Freeman* case entered a Memorandum Opinion and an Order denying the Debtor's post-trial motions (the "Post-trial Denial Order").

5. On April 18, 2024, furthermore, the Clerk of the D.C. Circuit, sua sponte, entered a scheduling Order "removing case from abeyance[]" and directing the Debtor (the Appellant in the D.C. Circuit) to make certain filings in the D.C. Circuit, such as the appellant's statement of the issues on appeal and a notice of appearance by counsel for the appellant. The scheduling Order ordered the Debtor/appellant to make those filings on or before May 20, 2024. The scheduling Order also directed the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to make certain filings, as the appellees in the D.C. Circuit, by May 20, 2024. I attach a copy of the scheduling Order as Exhibit A.

6. Accordingly, I respectfully submit that the time has come for the Debtor to perfect and prosecute the Appeal, in order to bring it on for decision in the D.C. Circuit.

7. Therefore, the Debtor now moves this Court for an order that would further modify the automatic stay in this case. Specifically, the Debtor asks this Court:

- a. To authorize the Debtor to file an Amended Notice of Appeal, as required by Rule 4(a)(4)(B)(ii), Fed. R. App. P., in order to bring the Post-trial Denial Order up for review on the Appeal; the deadline for filing the Amended Notice of Appeal is May 15, 2024;
- b. To authorize me to comply with the scheduling Order described in paragraph 5 above (and specifically, to facilitate such compliance, authorizing my colleague David Labkowski, Esq., and me to move for admission pro hac vice in the D.C. Circuit);

## 23-12055-shl Doc 195-2 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Caruso Declaration Pg 3 of 3

- c. To authorize counsel for the plaintiffs in the *Freeman* case to comply with the scheduling Order described in paragraph 5 above;
- d. To authorize the parties to the Appeal and their counsel to comply with further scheduling orders that the Clerk of the D.C. Circuit will make, such as orders establishing a briefing schedule and fixing a date for oral argument. *See* D.C. Circuit Rule 31(a) ("Parties must serve and file their briefs in accordance with the scheduling order issued by the court[]"); *Handbook of Practices and Internal Procedures* IX(A)(1) at 36-37 ("Normally, the Clerk's Office establishes a briefing schedule after the case has been screened and classified by the Legal Division, and after any pending motions have been resolved. In cases designated as 'Regular Merits' cases, the date for oral argument is announced by separate order after the briefing order has issued[]").

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on April 25, 2024

Maring

# 23-12055-shl Doc 195-3 Filed 04/26/24 Entered 04/26/24 11:47:20 Caruso Exhibit Pg 1 of 3

# **CARUSO EXHIBIT**



 23-12055-shl
 Doc 195-3
 Filed 04/26/24
 Entered 04/26/24
 11:47:20
 Caruso

 USCA
 Case #24-7021
 Documen Exbibit 2079
 2 of 3
 Filed: 04/18/2024
 Page 1 of 2

United States Court of Appeals

For The District of Columbia Circuit

No. 24-7021

## September Term, 2023

1:21-cv-03354-BAH

Filed On: April 18, 2024 [2050207]

Ruby Freeman and Wandrea' Moss,

Appellees

٧.

Rudolph W. Giuliani,

Appellant

## ORDER

It is **ORDERED**, on the court's own motion, that this case be returned to the court's active docket. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that appellant submit the documents listed below by the dates indicated.

Certificate as to Parties, Rulings, and Related Cases	May 20, 2024
Docketing Statement Form	May 20, 2024
Entry of Appearance Form	May 20, 2024
Procedural Motions, if any	May 20, 2024
Statement of Intent to Utilize Deferred Joint Appendix	May 20, 2024
Statement of Issues to be Raised	May 20, 2024
Transcript Status Report	May 20, 2024
Underlying Decision from Which Appeal or Petition Arises	May 20, 2024
Dispositive Motions, if any	June 3, 2024

It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that appellees submit the documents listed below by the (Page 2 of Total)

 23-12055-shl
 Doc 195-3
 Filed 04/26/24
 Entered 04/26/24
 11:47:20
 Caruso

 USCA
 Case #24-7021
 Documen Exploit 2079 3 of 3
 Grad Strike
 Strik
 S

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-7021

## September Term, 2023

dates indicated.

Certificate as to Parties, Rulings, and Related Cases	May 20, 2024
Entry of Appearance Form	May 20, 2024
Procedural Motions, if any	May 20, 2024
Dispositive Motions, if any	June 3, 2024

#### It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that appellant submit a transcript status report every 30 days after the filing of the initial report, until all transcripts have been received. Within three days of receipt of all transcripts, appellant is directed to file a <u>Final Status Report</u> indicating the date the complete transcript was received. All reports must be served on the parties and each reporter. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that briefing in this case be deferred pending further order of the court.

#### FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY:

/s/

Laura M. Morgan Deputy Clerk

The following forms and notices are available on the Court's Manager

<u>Chiel I a heddor State nem Sun.</u> Sinte die einer son Sonn Kansoline Status Riepon Kom Kansoline Status Riepon Kom Kansoline Status Riepon Komeals auf Protess of Review Mange China americ Riependen die Angeals auf Protess of Review State die die die Riepond for Standass Pool of Die 201