

1 **MARK BRNOVICH**
2 **ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL**
(Firm State Bar No. 14000)

3 Michael S. Catlett (Bar No. 25238)
4 Kate B. Sawyer (Bar No. 34264)
5 Katlyn J. Divis (Bar No. 35583)
Assistant Attorneys General
6 2005 N. Central Ave.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
7 Telephone: (602) 542-3333
8 ACL@azag.gov
Michael.Catlett@azag.gov
9 Kate.Sawyer@azag.gov
10 Katlyn.Divis@azag.gov

11 *Attorneys for Defendant State of Arizona*

12 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**
13 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

15 PAUL A. ISAACSON, M.D., and the
16 ARIZONA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, on
17 behalf of itself and its members,
Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 STATE OF ARIZONA, a body politic,
20 Defendant.
21

Case No: CV2022-013091

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION
AND JOINT MOTION FOR STAY OF
PROCEEDINGS**

(Assigned to the Hon. Joseph P. Mikitish)

1 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation and Joint Motion for Stay of
2 Proceedings filed by the parties in the above-entitled action. Good cause appearing,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting the Stipulation and Joint Motion.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that absent additional order from this Court, the State will
5 not enforce in any manner against any person A.R.S. § 13-3603 until 45 days after issuance of the
6 final mandate in the appeal in *Planned Parenthood of Arizona, Inc. v. Brnovich*, currently pending
7 in the Arizona Court of Appeals with appeal number 2 CA-CV 2022-0116 (the “Pima County
8 Appeal”), as may be appealed to the Arizona Supreme Court (the “Final Appeal”). The State’s
9 agreement not to enforce A.R.S. § 13-3603 does not include taking actions to defend the legality
10 or applicability of A.R.S. § 13-3603 in the Pima County litigation, including the Pima County
11 Appeal, the Final Appeal, or any other litigation.
12


13
14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that litigation in this case, including any pending motions,
15 will be stayed until the sooner of (1) the final mandate in the Final Appeal or (2) dissolution of
16 the October 7, 2022 stay pending appeal issued by the Court of Appeals in the Pima County
17 Appeal.
18

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for the duration of litigation in this case and after
20 issuance of final judgment, regardless of the outcome, the State will not prosecute any person
21 under A.R.S. § 13-3603 for conduct during the enforcement moratorium period established above.
22

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED vacating the Oral Argument currently set for November 3,
24 2022, to be reset once the stay is lifted, and upon request by the parties.
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DATED this 25th day of October, 2022.



The Hon. Joseph P. Mikitish
Maricopa County Superior Court