EXHIBIT G

Darek Kitlinski

From: Darek Kitlinski

Sent: Friday, January 5, 2024 5:10 PM

To: Sandra.T.Stevens@usdoj.gov; gevorg.g.margaryan@usdoj.gov

Cc: Adebonojo, Kenneth (USADC)

Subject: Important Update Regarding OPR Cases and FOIA/Privacy Request

Attachments: DEA FOIA_PA Case Number_ 24-00171-P.pdf; OIP Appeal (full record).pdf; Declaration

of Gevorg G. Margaryan_Signed.pdf

Dear Mr. Margaryan and Ms. Sadra Stevens,

I wanted to bring to your attention some significant developments related to Mr. Kevin Byrnes' ongoing FOIA/Privacy litigation, specifically the Glomar response assessment ordered by the Court. I represent myself in all FOIA matters and am not a party to Mr. Byrnes' FOIA litigation.

Recently, new information has come to light that necessitates a reassessment of your Glomar response. It has come to my attention that DEA has officially and publicly released several OPR cases related to my wife, Lisa Kitlinski, and me. These cases were included in exhibits released by DEA in litigation in the District Court Eastern District of Virginia, Merit Systems Protection Board, and the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals.

The specific OPR case numbers that have been officially disclosed are as follows:

- 1. PR-R2-2015-0103
- 2. AD-2015-0011
- 3. IGF-PR-2015-4100
- 4. PR-HQ-15-0014
- 5. IGF-PR-2014-4016

This information directly contradicts your previous Glomar response, which indicated that the DEA had officially acknowledged the existence of only one OPR investigation into Mr. Kitlinski and one into Mrs. Kitlinski. Given this new information, the Glomar response should be reconsidered in light of the officially released OPR cases.

Additionally, it has come to my attention that the DEA elected not to perform an automated search of emails for Ms. Letitia Pinkney and Ms. Marie Miller, which is likely relevant to my ongoing FOIA/Privacy action in the DC District Court, *Kitlinski vs. DEA 1:23-cv-00963*. To address this gap, I submitted a FOIA/Privacy request specifically for their emails based on a keyword search for specific time periods *DEA FOIA_PA Case Number_24-00171*.

Furthermore, I am aware of DEA Chief FOIA Officer Kelleigh Miller's testimony provided last year in a different FOIA action. Ms. Miller stated she reports to you (Sandra) and that she relies upon the DEA Office of Information Systems to conduct email searches due to their perceived accuracy. The scope of my request is for emails maintained by the Office of Information Systems, not any CCL case management system(s)).

Given these developments and the new information at hand, I kindly request that you reevaluate your Glomar response in light of the officially disclosed OPR cases and consider conducting a comprehensive search for the requested emails of Ms. Letitia Pinkney and Ms. Marie Miller, as specified in my FOIA/Privacy request. Lastly, upon learning of the "unusual circumstances" designation by the FOIA Intake officer, I submitted an OIP appeal to challenge the misapplication of the designation. See attached *OIP appeal 24-00171*.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to a prompt response.

Happy New Year, Darek Kitlinski 858-442-4429