EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 2 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 1 of 45

1 -2:24-mj-00166-DJA-1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 5 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:24-mj-00166-DJA) 6 vs. Las Vegas, Nevada) February 20, 2024) 7 ALEXANDER SMIRNOV, 8 Defendant. DETENTION HEARING) 9 CERTIFIED COPY 10 11 12 13 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 **APPEARANCES:** See Next Page 20 DIGITALLY RECORDED: Liberty Court Recorder 3:02 p.m. 21 22 TRANSCRIBED BY: PATRICIA L. GANCI (702) 385-0670 23 24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript 25 produced by mechanical stenography and computer.

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 3 of 46

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 2 of 45

——2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 LEO J. WISE, ESQ. DEREK E. HINES, ESQ. 4 CHRISTOPHER R. RIGALI, ESQ. SEAN F. MULRYNE, ESQ. 5 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room B-200 6 Washington, DC 20530 (771) 217-6091 7 For the Defendant: 8 DAVID CHESNOFF, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. SCHONFELD, ESQ. CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD 10 520 S. 4th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 11 (702) 384-5563 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 4 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 3 of 45

3 -2:24-mj-00166-DJA-1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2024; 3:02 P.M. 2 --000--3 PROCEEDINGS 4 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 5 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: United States of America 6 versus Alexander Smirnov, 2:24-mj-166-DJA. This is a detention 7 hearing. Counsel, make your appearance for the record, please. 8 9 MR. WISE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Leo Wise, Derek 10 Hines, Christopher Rigali, and Sean Mulryne for the United 11 States. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 MR. CHESNOFF: May it please the Court, Your Honor. 14 David Chesnoff, Richard Schonfeld, and also with us Peter Levitt 15 here on behalf of Mr. Smirnov. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon. 17 Good afternoon, Mr. Smirnov. 18 All right. This matter is scheduled for the continued 19 detention hearing this afternoon. However, a couple of other 20 matters have been filed that I want to resolve here before we 21 begin. Specifically, at Dockets Number 9, 10, 11, and 12 the 22 Government has filed motions to admit all four Government attorneys. I will grant those here today. So 9, 10, 11, and 12 23 24 are granted. 25 Additionally, there was a motion to file documents

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 5 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 4 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

4

under seal filed by -- on behalf of the United States at 1 Document Number 13. 2 3 Mr. Chesnoff, did the Defense receive and review the motion to file some of the documents related to their filing 4 today under seal? 5 6 MR. CHESNOFF: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Does the Defense have an opposition to 8 that? 9 MR. CHESNOFF: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, I've reviewed the motion 11 and I've reviewed the documents. I do note that the request to 12 seal does not outline the Ninth Circuit case law that I believe 13 would apply, Kamakana and its progeny. But when I apply 14 Kamakana and its progeny, I do think that sealing these 15 documents would be appropriate in this case. 16 I will of course remind the parties, as you well know, 17 that there's a presumption of access to the courtroom documents 18 and the public having access to those filings. And the Ninth 19 Circuit outlines standards that I am to employ when deciding to 20 change that and to seal things. And so I have done that 21 analysis. And I do believe it's appropriate to seal Exhibits 1, 22 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10 given the information that's contained in 23 there and my analysis of the case law as it applies to those 24 exhibits. So I will grant Number 13 as well and allow those 25 documents to be filed under seal.

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 6 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 5 of 45

Γ

----2:24-mj-00166-DJA--

1	So the parties are aware, I have also reviewed the
2	Defense's motion for release, which is found at Number 8. I
3	reviewed the Government's memorandum in support of detention,
4	which is found at Number 15. And I reviewed a filing that
5	Mr. Chesnoff and Mr. Schonfeld entered later this afternoon at
6	Document 16, which is a response.
7	Mr. Chesnoff, as it relates to that response, you note
8	that the Government's was filed this morning, mid to late
9	morning, and that you didn't have time to respond in whole to
10	the memorandum and the hundreds of pages of exhibits that were
11	attached thereto given that it was just filed this morning.
12	Are you prepared to proceed today with the detention
13	hearing notwithstanding that or would you be requesting some
14	more time to review and consider those those documents?
15	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, considering the fact that
16	he's been held for this many days when we believe that he should
17	have been released and the report that we have from Pretrial
18	Services, we'll we'll waive any defect in not being able to
19	fully respond. We feel our arguments will cover enough of it to
20	satisfy the Court, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	All right. With that, then, I will hear from the
23	Government regarding release or detention.
24	MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	The Government has moved for the defendant's detention
4	

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 7 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 6 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 pursuant to 3142(f)(2)(A) and (B) because there is a serious risk the defendant will flee and a serious risk the defendant 2 will obstruct justice. Applying the 3142(g) factors to the 3 facts presented in the Government's memorandum in support of 4 5 detention compels the conclusion that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the 6 7 defendant's appearance. Therefore, pursuant to Section 3142(e) he should be detained pending trial. 8 9 As we outline in our papers, detention is appropriate 10 where a defendant is either a danger to the community or a 11 flight risk. It is not necessary for the Government to prove 12 both. And while the former requires clear and convincing 13 evidence, the latter is accomplished by a lower standard, by a 14 preponderance of the evidence. And, again, as we cite in our 15 memorandum, that means, and this is the District of Idaho case we cite, that the Government must demonstrate that it is more 16 17 likely than not that there is a serious risk that the defendant 18 will flee, not that it is more likely than not that the 19 defendant will flee.

I'll briefly address the 3142(g) factors which we discuss at greater length in our memorandum. First as we outline, the nature and circumstances of the offense in this case support detention. In any situation, in any case, pretrial supervision is based on trust, and the defendant has demonstrated he can't be trusted. And this is something I'll

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 8 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 7 of 45

——2:24-mj-00166-DJA—

1	come	back	to.
---	------	------	-----

2	Here, the defendant lied to his FBI handler after a
3	nearly 10-year relationship. This is someone with whom he had
4	nearly daily contact, somebody whom the defendant has described
5	as family. If he's willing to betray someone under those
6	circumstances, how can the Court have any confidence that he
7	will provide truthful information to a Pretrial Services officer
8	he has never met when his liberty is at stake?
9	As to the weight of the evidence against the defendant,
10	and this is also summarized in in our papers and cites
11	extensively to the speaking indictment, the evidence in this
12	case will come from the defendant's own travel records, e-mail
13	messages with his handler and others, and from travel records
14	e-mails and messages with the individuals that he claimed
15	participated in these meetings and phone calls where these
16	outrageous allegations were made.
17	The trial won't be a swearing contest between the
18	defendant and these witnesses, although witnesses will refute
19	the defendant's story in no uncertain terms. But the
20	Government's witnesses will be corroborated by these documents
21	and other unimpeachable evidence, and the defendant's story
22	won't be.
23	Turning to the history and characteristics of the
24	defendant, Defense counsel asserts in his motion for pretrial
25	release that Smirnov has significant ties to the United States,

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 9 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 8 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1	but he does not. His family members live in Israel. He doesn't
2	own any property here. He doesn't have a job here. According
3	to his own motion for pretrial release, the only relation they
4	can point to is a cousin in Florida, but that doesn't make for
5	significant ties.
6	Now, while a consideration of these factors alone
7	compels detention, the extraordinary characteristics of the
8	defendant that we've outlined in our memorandum make it clear
9	that there are no conditions that will reasonably assure his
10	appearance. And I'll address these in more detail.
11	First, his self-professed claims of ties to foreign
12	intelligence services including Russian intelligence; the
13	between 3 million and \$6 million in liquid funds he access to;
14	three, the fact that he didn't disclose those assets to Pretrial
15	Services or to the Court, and I'll address the defendant's
16	recent reply on at least they only address the Pretrial Services
17	disclosure and that, too, was deficient regardless of what's in
18	their reply; and, finally, the fact that as a dual national he
19	can obtain an Israeli passport at any time after he surrenders
20	it.
21	Turning first to I think what is the most extraordinary
22	feature of this defendant, his contacts with foreign
23	intelligence. His contacts with foreign intelligence services,
24	

24 specifically Russian intelligence services and operatives,

25 distinguish his case from the two cases cited by the defendant

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 10 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 9 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1	in his motion for release, Karni and Hanson. And I would
2	venture to guess that a situation like this has probably never
3	been presented to the Court.
4	Those contacts are regular and recent. In our
5	memorandum we quoted recently declassified FBI reporting
6	summarizing the defendant's contact with Russian intelligence
7	and others, and most notably his recent his most recent
8	election disinformation story, the one he told the FBI in
9	September 2023 about the Premier Palace Hotel in Kyiv and the
10	recordings of Businessperson 1, came after he met with Russian
11	intelligence.
12	Again, these contacts make this defendant different
13	from other defendants who merely have foreign ties, and they
14	heighten the risk of flight dramatically. And that is because
15	he can use these contacts with foreign intelligence services to
16	flee and to resettle overseas, something I would again venture
17	to say is almost unique in the presentation of a defendant being
18	considered for the pretrial release.
19	THE COURT: So let's say that happens. You don't think
20	that the Federal Government would have the ability to find him
21	and take action to bring him back? You think that these Russian
22	ties that you're talking about are the type of people that would
23	literally take him and secrete him from prosecution?
24	MR. WISE: If he were to resettle in Russian, we
25	couldn't extradite him. Russian won't extradite under these

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 11 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 10 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

10

1 circumstances. 2 If he were to resettle in other -- in third countries, 3 we couldn't extradite him. And so, yes, I think that is the 4 case. 5 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. I'll --MR. WISE: That's even assuming we could find him. 6 7 That's even assuming we could find him. 8 THE COURT: You think the long arm of the United States of America couldn't find him on this planet? 9 10 MR. WISE: Yes. I think the best thing we have going 11 for us is the idea that people think we have that long arm, and 12 having been in Government for 17 years, I'm routinely astonished 13 at how short it is. 14 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 15 MR. WISE: The next factor that makes this defendant 16 extraordinary is his access to funds and, worse, the fact that he didn't disclose these assets to Pretrial or to the Court last 17 18 week. 19 Now, to be clear, the defendant's PSR says he has 20 access to \$6,500, \$1,000 or \$1,500 cash on hand and \$5,000 in a 21 checking account. 22 He actually has access to approximately \$3 million in 23 a -- in an account in the name of the Avalon Group where he is the sole signatory. We received a balance on that account as of 24 25 this morning. It has \$2,886,893.18. And contrary to what is in

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 12 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 11 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

the reply the Defense filed, this is not a business account. He
 uses it to pay personal expenses.

3 As we outlined in our filing, he's withdrawn \$175,000 in cash out of it. He's transferred more than 2.6 million to 4 his girlfriend who has then used that money to purchase the 5 6 million dollar condominium they live in, to make credit card 7 payments which is his primary means of paying these personal expenses in the amount of more than \$100,000 in 2022, more than 8 9 \$275,000 in 2023, and there is no discernible business activity 10 in this account nor can he or his girlfriend actually articulate 11 what his business is.

The only personal checking account he appears to have has at last -- the last time we checked about \$500 in it which is used only to make a very small recurring insurance payment. So this is his money. It is not the case that he was confused and thought this was a business account that he didn't have to disclose.

18 And, further, even if there was some confusion with 19 Pretrial, which I submit there was not, these are his funds. We 20 haven't seen the financial affidavit, but I have what -- I've 21 seen what these financial affidavits that he filled out and 22 submitted to Your Honor say. And it says: "Cash and bank 23 accounts. Do you have any cash or money in savings or checking 24 accounts?" Doesn't say personal accounts. Doesn't say business 25 accounts. It says: "Cash or save" -- it says: "Cash or money

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 13 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 12 of 45

12

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-1 in savings or checking accounts." And he does. 2 THE COURT: Does the Government think -- well, let me 3 ask first. Does the -- do you have any knowledge about what happens from the time of the arrest till the time of the initial 4 appearance a few hours later? 5 6 MR. WISE: In what -- I'm sorry. 7 THE COURT: Well, just how the Pretrial interview goes 8 and -- and the discussions and, you know, the time constraints 9 that people are -- I mean, you're so certain that these are just 10 blatant misrepresentations when there might have -- why wouldn't 11 it possibly be confusion when he's just been arrested, he's been 12 taken into custody, and somebody shows up and starts asking him 13 questions? 14 MR. WISE: So if someone asked me what kind of funds I had access to and I had \$3 million in an account and I told them 15 16 I had \$6,000 in an account, I think it would --17 THE COURT: I don't know that they ask him what kind of 18 funds he has access to. The questions are: Do you have a 19 savings account? Do you have a checking account? And what's in

20 them? I mean, I've sat in on these interviews for many, many 21 years.

22 MR. WISE: And I think any defendant that was looking 23 to be forthcoming would say, "I have this account that I use to 24 pay my personal expenses out of. I have this account that I've 25 transferred millions of dollars to the woman I live with to that

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 14 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 13 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 she's used to purchase the home we live in." I think -- I've 2 heard these questions and I think they absolutely would call for 3 this information.

4

THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. WISE: And then when he sat in front of Your Honor 6 and Your Honor asked him before appointing -- and as I said, we 7 haven't seen the affidavit, but I'm fairly certain it didn't 8 have \$6 million on it or Your Honor wouldn't have appointed CJA 9 for him. It says: "Do you have any cash or money or savings or 10 checking accounts?" And he clearly did have that and he 11 chose -- he chose not to disclose that information.

12 And, in addition, the balance in the DL -- what we 13 refer to as the DL account as of today is an additional 14 \$3,784,218.51. And we see this pattern of him taking money out 15 of the Avalon account, buying cashier's checks, giving it to DL. 16 She then goes to a nearby branch within 30 minutes and deposit 17 it, which makes it look like there's some kind of business 18 relationship between her and this Avalon Group, but not with 19 him.

THE COURT: Did you ever call Pretrial or reach out to Pretrial to ask about the circumstances of the questions to determine whether there might have been misunderstandings or that the questions weren't as direct so that it's not as clear in your mind that he's flat-out lying to them? MR. WISE: Your Honor, my approach to Pretrial is that

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 15 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 14 of 45

—_2:24-mj-00166-DJA-____

1	they have a job to do as an agency of the Court, and I wouldn't
2	be comfortable asking them to essentially become witnesses
3	against the defendant under those circumstances. So I
4	typical I haven't done that.
5	THE COURT: All right. Fair enough.
6	MR. WISE: But I think it is very clear that these are
7	his funds as we outline
8	THE COURT: Okay. So and you've made that clear in
9	there. So move on past the funds. I understand that argument.
10	MR. WISE: And the other things you know, the other
11	thing he said he made a number of statements to Pretrial that
12	were untrue. He, for instance, said this condominium was leased
13	by the girlfriend when we know in fact it was purchased by her
14	with his funds. And Defense counsel even had to concede that in
15	their in their filings. So we've got lies, sort of, big and
16	small in his very first instance of interacting with the Court,
17	when one would think you would err on the side of providing all
18	of the information that might be necessary so that one might be
19	released on conditions.
20	In short, the evidence that the defendant can't be
21	trusted to abide by conditions and provide truthful information
22	to Pretrial Services isn't speculation. He's shown that he
23	can't be trusted by providing misinformation to his handler, and
24	in his first interaction with Pretrial Services and the Court he
25	withheld information that shows he has access to millions of

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 16 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 15 of 45

-----2:24-mj-00166-DJA-----

1	dollars that he could use if he were to flee the United States.
2	I'd like briefly now to turn, if Your Honor would like,
3	or I can depending on how Your Honor the sequence, I can turn
4	to some of the arguments made in the defendant's motion for
5	pretrial release or I can wait until after they go, whatever
6	Your Honor would prefer.
7	THE COURT: You argue how you feel appropriate.
8	MR. WISE: Sure.
9	So I'm not going to address all of the arguments. Some
10	of them I think are are sort of on their face ones that I
11	think I don't need to address, but the first the first thing
12	I will note is that the defendant argues that the Government
13	knew about Mr. Smirnov's alleged conduct for years, yet, took no
14	steps to end his cooperation, seize his passports, or prosecute
15	him for anything. And the Defense argues that should be kept
16	firmly in mind when, as expected, the Government reverses course
17	in this bail proceeding and suddenly protests that Mr. Smirnov
18	now presents an extreme flight risk.
19	So the mistake the defendant makes is in thinking that
20	the Government is a monolith. The FBI is divided into field
21	offices and the Department of Justice and to U.S. Attorney's
22	Offices. And while both organizations have some coordinating
23	functions in Washington, they're limited.
24	And to be clear, in this case the defendant was the
25	source for an agent based out of the Seattle field office and he

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 17 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 16 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 volunteered information about Burisma, volunteered, to that agent in 2017 which the agent recorded. Later in 2020 the FBI's 2 Pittsburgh field office was conducting an assessment of 3 information being provided by the public concerning the Ukraine, 4 most notably Rudolph Giuliani, and reached out to the 5 Seattle-based handler and asked him to interview the defendant 6 7 about the defendant's 2017 reporting. And what the Seattle 8 agent learned he reported back to the Pittsburgh Agent in June of 2020. 9

And -- and the FBI in Pittsburgh took some limited investigative steps, but their steps were limited by the fact that they were only conducting an assessment, which under FBI policies is not an investigation. And it prevents, for instance, the use of compulsory process like grand jury subpoenas or the compulsion of testimony. So based on that limited review, the FBI closed its assessment in August.

17 Fast-forward to July of 2023, that's when the FBI asked the U.S. Attorney's Office in the District of Delaware to assist 18 19 in evaluating the claims in the 2020 1023. And in August the 20 U.S. Attorney for the District of Delaware was made Special Counsel by the Attorney General. Also in August investigators 21 22 in Delaware spoke with the defendant's handler for the first 23 time, and then in September investigators in Delaware spoke with the defendant, again, for the first time. 24

25

After those meetings investigators began collecting

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 18 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 17 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

evidence on the defendant's allegations, including for the first 1 2 time with the benefit of the grand jury. And it was through the 3 use of the grand jury that investigators in Delaware learned that the defendant was lying. So it is not the case that the 4 defendant -- that the Government knew he was lying back in 2020 5 and took no steps to address his conduct. 6 7 THE COURT: So what was the date, then, that you're 8 saying you were aware that he was lying? MR. WISE: Just this fall, in a run up to these 9 10 charges. We first met with him in September --11 THE COURT: That's the September 23rd -- September 2023 12 meeting you're talking about? MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 That was the beginning -- after speaking MR. WISE: 16 with the handler in August that was really the beginning of the 17 grand jury investigation, and then evidence was collected 18 shortly thereafter that led to the presentation of these 19 charges. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. WISE: Now, in addressing the 3142(g) factors, 22 specifically the nature and seriousness of the offense, the 23 defendants argues that "These allegations are make-weight and 24 politically motivated. They do not involve espionage or theft 25 and are, thus, not serious."

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 19 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 18 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

I didn't know what make-weight meant so I looked it up. 1 2 According to Miriam Webster, the meaning of make-weight is 3 something thrown into a scale to bring the weight to a desired I have no idea what that means in this context. Maybe value. 4 5 Your Honor does. 6 And politically motivated, by whom? If Defense counsel is referring to his client's allegations, then we agree. 7 His client's messages that are quoted in the indictment show 8 9 political bias on his client's part. 10 Or is the -- is Defense counsel referring to us, the 11 Government in this case? And that would certainly be curious. We're prosecuting Hunter Biden on tax and gun charges, and his 12 13 lawyers make the unfounded claim that we're working at the 14 direction of former President Trump and Congressional 15 Republicans, although they can never explain why or how. 16 So then I quess what Defense counsel in this case is 17 arguing is we're working at the direction --18 THE COURT: Are you saying Mr. Chesnoff and 19 Mr. Schonfeld said that in their pleadings? 20 MR. WISE: That's what they wrote. They wrote the 21 charges in this case are make-weight and politically motivated. 22 THE COURT: So -- but where do they -- okay. But I --23 you've taken that quite a bit beyond that they're saying -- what 24 did you just say was ... 25 MR. WISE: Well, I'm trying to figure out -- it sounds

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 20 of 46

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 19 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

like they're saying we're working at the direction of the White 1 House and the Biden campaign. And the other cases --2 3 THE COURT: Is that a leap? MR. WISE: And the other cases --4 5 THE COURT: I guess you don't --MR. WISE: -- the Defense counsels are making the 6 7 opposite argument. 8 THE COURT: Well --MR. WISE: So we're sort of curious which it is. 9 10 THE COURT: Well, and I'm not getting into the politics 11 of this. I have to make a determination under the Bail Reform 12 Act whether he's a flight risk or a danger and whether, if he 13 is, there are conditions or a combination of conditions to 14 address that. 15 MR. WISE: Right. THE COURT: So I have no time for the politics of this 16 17 I understand the underlying charges. There's a component case. to that. But I'm not going to spend a lot of time here talking 18 19 about the politics. 20 MR. WISE: Good. Because when we saw that, we were shocked that he would make the accusations --21 22 THE COURT: So go on and continue with your argument. 23 MR. WISE: Now, the Defense counsel calls the charges 24 not serious, which begs the question is he serious. The 25 defendant's lies have captured --

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 21 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 20 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to get personal2with the attacks on counsel. All right? Let's keep it to the3facts and the law. You don't need to make snide remarks about4"is he serious." And I'm not going to tolerate that from either5side.

MR. WISE: Understood, Your Honor.

6

7 The defendant's lies in this case have captured the 8 national imagination. And while the -- while the filing says they do not involve espionage, of course the charges do involve 9 10 foreign intelligence services. The defendant claims to have met 11 with Russian intelligence agencies on multiple occasions, and 12 the U.S. intelligence community has concluded that Russian 13 intelligence interfered in the 2020 election and continues to 14 interfere in our elections by spreading misinformation.

15 And I can supplement the record with these two public 16 reports, but in January of 2017 the Office of the Director of 17 National Intelligence made public a declassified version of a 18 highly classified assessment regarding Russia's efforts to 19 interfere in the 2016 presidential election. And in 2020 the 20 ODNI published a similar declassified report regarding the 2020 21 U.S. presidential election. And one of the key judgments of 22 that report, which was expressed with high confidence, was that 23 Putin authorized and a range of Government organize -- and a range of Russian government organizations conducted influence 24 25 operations aimed at denigrating President Biden's candidacy and

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 22 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 21 of 45

-----2:24-mj-00166-DJA-----

1	the Democratic Party, supporting former President Trump,
2	undermining public confidence in the electoral process, and
3	exacerbating sociopolitical divisions in the United States. And
4	that's a quote from that report that we can file as a supplement
5	to the direct for the record.
6	Now, the defendant also argues for release, in part,
7	based on where the defendant is currently housed. That's
8	premised on the idea that he'll actually stay in that location,
9	and I don't think that's correct. I think he was brought to
10	that-location temporarily, but if he were detained, I believe he
11	would be detained in the Central District of California, not in
12	the District of Nevada. And so whatever the conditions are at
13	that facility I don't think bear on
14	THE COURT: I think that's correct. If he's detained,
15	he'll under Rule 5 be transferred to the Central District of
16	California and they'll have the decision as to where he's housed
17	and incarcerated or detained. I shouldn't say incarcerated.
18	Detained pending trial. But go ahead.
19	MR. WISE: And the issue and this came up in the
20	previous hearing. You know, the issue of the defendant's
21	where he is detained, the conditions under which he is detained,
22	those are all things that the Marshals or the Bureau of Prisons
23	or we can work with Defense counsel to address. They are not
24	factors that the law or the statute recognizes as bearing on
25	whether he poses a risk of flight or whether there are

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 23 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 22 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

conditions that can reasonably assure his appearance. 1 2 In the last hearing we discussed the fact that he is, as the indictment makes clear, or was a confidential informant. 3 4 And we take -- we take security seriously. We take safety 5 seriously. And those -- those issues need to be addressed and will be addressed, but none of that bears on the determination 6 7 of whether he poses a serious risk of flight which for all of the reasons we identify in our motion we believe he does. 8 9 THE COURT: And why -- there's no addressing -- and I 10 think I know the answer, but I'd like to hear it from you -- no addressing the conditions that Pretrial suggests and why, you 11 12 know, with the idea that it's -- Bail Reform Act, it's the least 13 restrictive, you know, that I have to consider and the least restrictive conditions. Why won't some of the things that they 14 15 recommended address these things? Is it just the trust issue or 16 is there something more? 17 MR. WISE: So the trust issue is at the heart of it, 18 Your Honor, but as we said, I mean, when you combine the 19 resources he has access to, the fact that he can travel 20 internationally on the second passport -- and as we point out, 21 there is -- there is literally no way to prevent that. And this 22 is a problem that's present with dual nationals where they can 23 go into a consulate. They can say they lost their passport. They'll be issued another passport. There's no way that the 24

25 Government -- our Government learns of that. There's no way

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 24 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 23 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

that there can be a stop at the border over that. It simply 1 2 is --THE COURT: What if I put geographical limits on where 3 he can go and we monitor that so that the minute he leaves Clark 4 5 County Pretrial's notified of that? 6 MR. WISE: My understanding of the technology is that it's not that -- it is limited, that there are lags, that there 7 are -- you know, that the geographic space is not tight enough 8 to know if someone is in an airport as opposed to some other 9 location. 10 11 My experience with that is not that it's as precise as 12 one would -- would think or hope. 13 THE COURT: All right. All right. MR. WISE: But as I said, Your Honor, you know, usually 14 15 the arguments are someone's whole family is here, their whole 16 life is here, their job is here, this is where their, you know, 17 livelihood comes from. We've seen none of that in this case. And that's why to answer Your Honor's question, I think -- I 18 think the conditions simply -- simply don't reasonably assure 19 20 his appearance. And if -- if we could -- I mean, Defense counsel when 21 22 he called me on Friday said, "Is there some" -- "Are there some 23 conditions that we could agree on?" If we could, we would. I mean, this is not hyperbole. If we thought there was some way 24 25 that we could reasonably assure that he would appear in this

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 25 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 24 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA—

1	proceeding, that would be where we'd go. But in light of the
2	contact with foreign intelligence, in light of the \$6 million in
3	funds, in light of our experience so far with him, we simply
4	don't believe that's the case.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
6	MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Chesnoff, Mr. Schonfeld. One or the
8	other, not both, please.
9	MR. CHESNOFF: May it please the Court.
10	Your Honor, it's amazing to me that the question the
11	Court asked about the power of the Government to find somebody
12	is so limited that to have the Government's position adopted by
13	the Court would mean that nobody who they are concerned could
14	run ever gets out. And that's not the Ninth Circuit law. The
15	Court is well aware it's the least restrictive. The idea that
16	someone cannot be geographically controlled, I've had multiple
17	cases, as the Court knows, where people have been restricted not
18	to go to a bus station, not to go to an airport, not to rent a
19	car.
20	I have with me, Your Honor, his Israeli passport which
21	I secured so that we could give it to Pretrial. The idea that
22	the Court cannot make a condition that says you are not to apply
23	for a new passport, U.S. or Israeli, happens all the time, Your
24	Honor. Judges impose those conditions. If the Court could not
25	impose those conditions, the Bail Reform Act, Motamedi and all

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 26 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 25 of 45

_____2:24-mj-00166-DJA_____

1	of its progeny, would have no meaning at all, at all.
2	I'm old enough, Your Honor, to have remembered when the
3	Bail Reform Act was enacted in 1984. I did a deep dig into the
4	legislative history. The legislative history of the Bail Reform
5	Act, it was created to protect flight and danger from a small
6	and discrete group of people, either violent offenders, people
7	with prior records, people who had history of not showing up in
8	court.
9	We have a gentleman who's an American citizen. He has
10	an Israeli passport as well which he's willing to turn in. He's
11	lived in L.A. where this case is for 16 years. He's lived here
12	for two years. He lives with his significant other who's
13	present in court.
14	I I the Court observed the idea of what happened
15	in the beginning. His English is better than hers, but it's not
16	the best. I don't think an interpreter was supplied to him
17	during any of the interviews. I know for a fact that when his
18	significant other spoke to Pretrial, she had the limited ability
19	to communicate. I got her in my office. I got someone who
20	spoke Russian. She then gave all the right and truthful answers
21	to Pretrial Services.
22	Your Honor, we asked Pretrial Services about this
23	question of financial disclosure because when we read their
24	motion this morning, both Mr. Schonfeld and I said, "What
25	happened here?" So we contacted the Pretrial officer. We asked

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 27 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 26 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 to meet with her. And we asked her specifically, "Did you ask 2 him about any other account than a personal account?" And the 3 officer was candid and said no. It's exactly why my client 4 answered the question the way he did because he was not asked 5 about anything else.

THE COURT: What about Mr. Wise's point, and I think there's some legitimacy to it, that, you know, you're in there talking that maybe he thinks, "Well, should I talk about these other accounts? You know, they're asking me about money" --

MR. CHESNOFF: Perhaps, if I had been there with him, MR. CHESNOFF: Perhaps, if I had been there with him, Your Honor, because he didn't have counsel with him, I wasn't there, then those issues would be ferreted out. We would have explained to him the importance of being as complete as possible. But in this instance it was completely not his fault that the question was not asked, and he responded truthfully to the question.

Their suggestion that somehow that should lead the Court to question his overall truthfulness considering the context, the language, the fact that the Pretrial officer made her own evaluation of him when she spoke to him and has recommended to you that he be released, answers that question in my opinion, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the fact that they can document foreign travel, the Court can't lose sight of the fact that a lot of the foreign travel was at their behest. So it's kind of like a

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 28 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 27 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 catch 22. We're going to let you go there. We're going to send 2 you there. We're going to use you for our purposes, which is 3 what they did. And now they turn around and tell Your Honor, 4 "See, he travels everywhere."

5 The other thing that they said was that the handler 6 somehow found out now that he was untruthful. But for 10 years, 7 Your Honor, apparently, he was truthful. Now, the question of 8 whether he's not truthful now has not been decided. And as the Court knows, that's a factual question which is the least 9 10 important factor that this Court should consider. And I can tell you, Your Honor, that there will be a vehement defense to 11 12 the argument that in fact he was not truthful. He had this personal relationship with the handler. It was so personal, 13 14Your Honor, that he wouldn't even call him on his FBI phone; he 15 would call him on his personal phone. So we're going to dig 16 down once we start defending this case and we're going to find 17 out who knew what when.

Now, when we made the suggestion, Your Honor, that he deserves to be out because of the fact that he needs to defend himself and the housing situation, I can tell you, Your Honor, I visited the MDC in L.A. for years representing clients. The conditions there are even tougher than the conditions in Pahrump vis-à-vis attorneys. The waiting period of time sometimes to see a client is hours upon hours.

25

If they are going to have him in PC like they do in

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 29 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 28 of 45

Г

-----2:24-mj-00166-DJA-----

1	Pahrump, which I assume they will since they've managed to put
2	out to the entire world his cooperation without any concern for
3	his safety, but ultimately he is safer out as opposed to being
4	in a detention facility in a major metropolitan city where I can
5	tell you, Your Honor, I would be concerned about his safety.
6	THE COURT: I know there's constitutional
7	considerations when it talks you know, when we consider his
8	right to meet with counsel and prepare for trial and look at
9	documents. But how does that play into the Bail Reform Act and
10	my decision that I have to make?
11	MR. CHESNOFF: I can tell you, Your Honor.
12	It's almost a due process question that comes into
13	conflict maybe with just looking at the Bail Reform Act as the
14	only thing the Court considers. I cited to a case called $U.S.$
15	v. Kinney where a State Court was holding somebody, and the
16	Court decided that because of social reality it would be harder
17	for a Defense attorney to speak to witnesses because the
18	defendant came from a community that was foreign to the Defense
19	lawyer.
20	In this case, Your Honor, we are going to need to speak
21	to people in foreign countries that don't speak English, that
22	speak Russian. Not to have the and I can tell you, Your
23	Honor, the MDC in L.A. is not going to allow us to be calling
24	Kyiv from the MDC with our client to speak to people.
25	So the the harm to him in defending himself against

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 30 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 29 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 -- and, Your Honor, when we say "not serious," obviously we're 2 in Federal Court. It's a federal crime. What we're talking 3 about, Your Honor, is this. Our guideline calculations show 4 that at a max he's looking at three years. So when I say "not 5 serious," it's not 10 years.

6 Why would somebody risk a bail jumping charge on top of 7 really an admission of guilt by fleeing when in reality the 8 maximum punishment at the best with the guidelines is three 9 years? It's even lower without all the potential enhancements. 10 It's absurd, Your Honor.

11 The only reason they want to keep him in is so that he 12 cannot defend himself in a way which shows that the allegations 13 that are being made against him are being made for whatever the 14 Bureau's reasons, whatever Justice's reasons are. But he has a 15 right, Your Honor, to straighten the record out as far as he's concerned, especially in light of the 10 years of service that 16 17 he gave to the United States Government and to the people of the 18 United States.

So to hold him in custody and restrict his ability to truly defend himself on a case where he's looking at 20 months, Your Honor, I -- I can't even really comprehend it.

THE COURT: So when you said "serious" or "not serious" in your pleading, you were referring to the amount of time and the potential exposure as opposed to the underlying --MR. CHESNOFF: Yeah.

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 31 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 30 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 THE COURT: -- current of the case and the political 2 ramifications? 3 MR. CHESNOFF: You know me -- known me a long time. I'm not belittling the seriousness that the Government feels 4 5 about this. What I was talking about is the seriousness in terms of the ultimate --6 7 THE COURT: Potential. MR. CHESNOFF: -- potential problem. 8 9 (Defense conferring.) 10 MR. CHESNOFF: Mr. Schonfeld points out to me it's also 11 not a presumption case, Your Honor, and that bespeaks the 12 recommendation that has been made by Pretrial. 13 Court's indulgence. 14 (Pause.) 15 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, every condition that's 16 required by the Ninth Circuit law in terms of history, no drug 17 usage, no alcohol, none of the things that are indicia of danger 18 or flight exists. There's not a single representation, Your Honor, that he's ever committed a violent act, nothing, in the 19 20 10 years of his service with the FBI. 21 THE COURT: What -- you know, they raise a good point 22 about being concerned about his access -- so let's set aside the disclosures to Pretrial and whether or not the circumstances 23 rise to the level of he's not trustworthy such that I'll detain 24 25 him. That will be something I address here in a moment.

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 32 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 31 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

But really, I mean, it's legitimate to say he's got 1 access to a lot of money and he knows a lot of people that might 2 be willing to help him out. I mean, how do I address those 3 concerns that the Government's raised? 4 5 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, he's on electronic monitoring. He's at -- we've offered, we suggest, third-party 6 7 custodian. His lady friend is present in court. I've explained 8 to her the implications of that, that she has an obligation to the Court if in fact he is doing anything that he's not supposed 9 10 to do. I don't know what more you can do other than -- Your 11 Honor, anybody can -- anybody can run. That's a fact. The fact that he has connections overseas, that can be addressed by the 12 13 Court in terms of the conditions it sets: You stay -- this is 14 where you're staying. This is what you're wearing. 15 Your Honor, you can even limit in terms of phone usage if you want, Your Honor. There are things that can be done, but 16 17 the idea that somehow he's going to escape and the United States Government is not going to find him, I mean, they got I don't 18 19 know how many people are here --

THE COURT: Yes, but counsel raises a good point that even though they know he's in Russia, they can't get him back. MR. CHESNOFF: Well, I have a feeling the idea of him going to Russia is not really such a good idea for him since they've revealed that he helped the United States vis-à-vis Ukraine, and I don't think Russia's going to be too happy about

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 33 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 32 of 45

Г

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA—

32

1	that.
2	THE COURT: Well, that's that's a relevant point.
3	All right. Go ahead.
4	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I I think that the Court
5	should follow the recommendation. I think that the Court
6	understands that this is a situation where a man deserves an
7	opportunity to truly defend himself. And he's never shown
8	anybody that he has any disrespect for a courtroom or a Court.
9	And the question of the veracity issue, that's the try that's
10	the case, but that's not been proven. We just had a that's
11	not proven.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Wise. Anything in response?
15	MR. WISE: Just briefly, Your Honor.
16	Counsel started with saying holding up the Israeli
17	passport saying, "Well, the Court can impose a condition that
18	you can't get a new passport." But of course the issue is you
19	can impose the condition, but you can't police it. And that's
20	what makes the problem of dual nationality particularly unique.
21	As to the question of a GPS anklet or bracelet, in
22	addition to the technology not being as precise as one might
23	assume in 2024, defendants can and do cut them off and then they
24	alarm, but it's not like law enforcement can instantly respond.
25	And in any location that's near a major city with an

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 34 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 33 of 45

Г

—____2:24-mj-00166-DJA—

	2.24 mg 00100 D0A
1	international airport, the amount of response time is usually
2	not is usually not short enough that it would prevent someone
З	from going to an international airport or a location where they
4	could where they could use air travel.
5	Counsel mentioned that the foreign what he calls the
6	foreign travel was at our behest. Well, let me be very clear
7	this we're not talking about foreign travel. What we point
8	out is that the defendant self he claims himself to have all
9	of these contacts with foreign intelligence services. He
10	volunteers that information to the handler.
11	And, for instance, this most recent trip where he came
12	back with this new disinformation story was absolutely of his
13	own doing. And and he pushed that story when we met with him
14	in September, and it shows that the bad conduct is not, as
15	counsel says in their memorandum, limited to 2020. It is much
16	more recent and much more and much more pronounced.
17	Counsel says, you know, that the Court can somehow
18	address how he could have contact with overseas witness with
19	folks overseas. I have no idea how that could be addressed by a
20	court in the United States whose jurisdiction is limited to the
21	United States. Counsel said there could be limits on phone
22	usage. You can go to a kiosk in a mall and buy a burner phone.
23	He was actually communicating over Signal and Telegram. Those
24	are web-based applications that the Court would have no ability
25	to police or prevent.

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 35 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 34 of 45

Γ

_____2:24-mj-00166-DJA_____

	2
1	You know, while counsel claims, I guess I mean, in
2	addition and I hear him say now that the "serious" comment
3	was about the the sentence, but that's that's not actually
4	what he wrote. He wrote: "These allegations are make-weight
5	and politically motivated. They do not involve espionage or
6	theft and are, thus, not serious."
7	That's that's his words. And he he actually
8	ascribes bad motives to us. He says the only reason we want to
9	keep him in is so that he can't defend himself, and he mentioned
10	improper motives of the Bureau. I wasn't quite following what
11	he meant.
12	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, could you ask him to stop?
13	Like, suggest enough is enough.
14	THE COURT: I'm allowing some leeway. Let's finish the
15	argument.
16	Counsel.
17	MR. WISE: Third-party custodians that are wives or
18	intimate partners are in the worst possible position one could
19	be in. And I've seen this in case after case. To ask someone
20	to
21	THE COURT: I'm not going to do a third party. If I
22	release, that's not going to be a condition so go ahead.
23	MR. WISE: Okay. Putting someone in that position I
24	think is really untenable.
25	So I don't think that any of the any of the

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 36 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 35 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

35

1 suggestions that counsel make even come close to addressing the risks that this defendant presents for all the reasons we've 2 3 outlined. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 4 Mr. Chesnoff, briefly. 5 MR. CHESNOFF: Yeah, just briefly. It's not just 6 7 Chesnoff who says it; it's Pretrial that says it, Your Honor. THE COURT: On the release? 8 9 MR. CHESNOFF: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: Understood. All right. 11 (Pause.) 12 THE COURT: Well, as the parties know, my decision is 13 based upon what the Bail Reform Act tells me to consider and to 14 apply to this particular case and this particular defendant, 15 Mr. Smirnov, and his personal characteristics and history. There's two prongs to that. The first -- or I'll take the 16 17 second which is generally the second, which is the danger prong, requires the Government to provide evidence of clear and 18 19 convincing evidence that he's a danger to the community. That's 20 not what they've asked or argued, and that's not what any of the 21 parties have raised. 22 And so while one may argue the underlying politics of 23 the case or the danger to our system as a whole or to the free 24 elections or some of those issues, and while those may be issues that are ripe for intelligent, honest discussion, they aren't a 25

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 37 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 36 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

consideration for this Court under the Bail Reform Act as it
 relates to clear and convincing evidence of danger.

And so I don't find that Mr. Smirnov is a danger 3 notwithstanding the underlying allegations. I know the Pretrial 4 report suggested that he could be a danger based upon the 5 underlying allegations. And as the parties have acknowledged, 6 7 Mr. Chesnoff has argued, and I've stated, the underlying facts 8 under Ninth Circuit case law are the least important factor of all of the other factors to consider, except on the level that 9 10 it relates to the trust factor that the Government argues.

And so in terms of considering Mr. Smirnov's underlying actions, I think they do come into this idea of whether or not he can be trusted to the point where I would release him.

14 I think it's pretty clear to this Court that Mr. Smirnov is a flight risk by a preponderance of the evidence. 15 16 His dual citizenship, his possession of passports, his foreign 17 ties, his extensive foreign travel, and some questions about his employment and where he makes his money I think clearly rise to 18 19 the level that he's a risk of nonappearance by a preponderance of the evidence. The bigger question, obviously, is whether or 20 not there are conditions or a combination of conditions that can 21 22 address those concerns.

I do have concerns about his access to money and -- and some of the representations made to Pretrial on Thursday, but I also place those in the context of the case insofar as the

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 38 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 37 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 language issue, the nature of the Pretrial interviews and how 2 quickly they occur, he did not have counsel at the time, the 3 context in which the questions were asked. I don't know that 4 that rises to the level that I'm convinced that he was sitting 5 there Thursday morning intentionally lying to Pretrial to keep 6 them from knowing about his finances. I just -- I don't know 7 that it rises to that level.

8 The other concern, obviously, is the allegations and 9 his relationship with his handler. I will tell you I cannot 10 even begin to understand or know what goes on in a relationship 11 between an FBI handler and somebody who's cooperating and the 12 dynamics of that relationship. I would suspect it gets close. 13 I don't know how it couldn't when you're working that close with 14 people.

I do on some level, like Mr. Chesnoff noted, recognize 15 that how he deals with his handler and the FBI and how they're 16 17 dealing with him in that complicated context would probably be different than how he would treat a Court order or a Court 18 19 decision and whether or not the lack of trust he showed according to the Government with his handler would rise to the 20 level of a lack of trust that he would not follow my orders or 21 22 violate them if I gave him that chance. I'm not convinced of 23 that given the complex nature of that relationship.

The Government has argued the nature and circumstances of the offense. They put about a quarter of their 28-page brief

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 39 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 38 of 45

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 to discuss the nature and circumstances and the weight of the
2 evidence. And, again, those are the least important factors for
3 the Court to consider. And they argue that his ties to the
4 community are weak, and they've argued that both in their
5 pleading and here today such that there are no condition or
6 combination of conditions that would address that. And then
7 they raise the four others.

8 I -- you know, I understand the concern about foreign 9 intelligence agencies potentially resettling Mr. Smirnov outside 10 of the United States, his connections to them, but I think on 11 some level that's speculative as well because, as Mr. Chesnoff 12 points out, I don't know what Mr. Smirnov will be thought of in 13 Russia, but my guess is at this stage he probably thinks that's 14 not the most attractive place to go either if he was in fact inclined to go hide somewhere. 15

So while I notice and note that that's a concern and certainly raised by the Government that I should consider it, I just don't know in the context of what's happened in the last couple of weeks with his arrest and everything else that that is as grave a concern as the Government outlines.

I've already addressed the concern about the money, and I'll have to make a decision here whether or not his access to those funds can be addressed with conditions. I've already addressed his disclosure of those and how that came about to Pretrial and the context in which I am placing that in. And

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 40 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 39 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 then the Government argues that as a dual citizen he can walk
2 into an Israeli consulate and obtain a passport and be gone.
3 And, again, the question is are there conditions that can
4 address that.

5 As the parties may or may not know, this Court, as do 6 most courts across the country, when making these decisions puts 7 a lot of stock into Pretrial Services and their investigation and their recommendations and their belief about whether or not 8 9 they believe somebody can be supervised with conditions. And in 10 this case Pretrial Services believes notwithstanding some of the 11 issues that the Government's raised, and they acknowledge those issues, they believe that Mr. Smirnov can be supervised and that 12 13 there are conditions that can be placed upon him if I were to consider his release today. And so that carries weight with the 14 15 Court as well. As Mr. Chesnoff points out, it's not just 16 Mr. Chesnoff saying his client should be released, but Pretrial 17 Services believes that conditions can be fashioned.

And, again, I recognize the underlying political 18 19 ramifications of this investigation in this case and what the 20 Government believes the effect on our country and on our 21 elections that this might have had. But, again, other than how 22 that relates to the trust issue, which I've discussed, and as it 23 relates to his relationship with his handler, I'm not sure that 24 those factors are of huge importance to the Court in making my determination. 25

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 41 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 40 of 45

L

_____2:24-mj-00166-DJA___

1	So, Mr. Smirnov, you know, there's a lot of different
2	issues here. But I do think that I can fashion conditions for
3	your release. You may or may not make a mockery of me when I do
4	that, but that's not for my consideration either. And when I
5	say "mockery," meaning you don't follow the conditions or you
6	flee. And so I recognize that the Government's made some
7	arguments, but I think that despite those conditions can be
8	fashioned.
9	But as you now know, under the Bail Reform Act and
10	this may not be the end of it. They may decide to appeal this.
11	But under the Bail Reform Act you can be detained, and in a case
12	like this, as Mr. Chesnoff has referenced, it could be a lot of
13	time before they can be ready for a trial and that could be a
14	long time that you're detained while that's pending.
15	I'm finding today that the Government has not met their
16	burden as it relates to conditions because I believe that
17	conditions can be fashioned because Pretrial believes that, and
18	so I'm going to give you that opportunity.
19	But if you come back before this Court or a Court in
20	the Central District of California, I can assure you that if it
21	is proven you have violated any of those conditions, there will
22	not be any hesitation to revoke your release and to remand you
23	to custody. And listen to the conditions because there's going
24	to be some more that are not in the Pretrial report.
25	And I want to ask Pretrial, if we do the monitor travel

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 42 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 41 of 45

Г

_____2:24-mj-00166-DJA-____

1	restrictions, I know Clark County is easier because of the
2	different municipalities, but can we restrict him from the
3	international airport as well?
4	OFFICER MCKILLIP: Yes, Your Honor. We can put an
5	exclusion zone around the airport so if he goes into the area of
6	the airport, we will get notified.
7	THE COURT: And that would be, say, without prior
8	permission. So that if he with his lawyers say, "We're going to
9	L.A. to appear or we're going to L.A. to work on the case, we'll
10	be in the airport," that's something you can monitor as well?
11	OFFICER MCKILLIP: Yes, Your Honor, as long as he tells
12	us beforehand.
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	All right. I'm going to release you on your personal
15	recognizance, which is just your signature and promise to appear
16	in court and to follow these conditions. If you do not, that
17	will be revoked and you will be detained.
18	You will have time to go over these conditions with
19	your officer and with your lawyers. I'm going to go through
20	them somewhat quickly. If you don't understand everything, you
21	will have time to talk to them and make sure you understand.
22	First, you're to submit to supervision by Pretrial
23	Services. You should report immediately to their office and
24	follow their direction for supervision.
25	I'm going to allow them to order you to seek

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 43 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 42 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

1 employment. I have -- you're not going to be able to continue with your consulting business while this case is pending. 2 3 You're going to have to figure out some other way to conduct business because I'm not going to allow foreign travel. 4 In 5 fact, I'm not going to allow any travel. So you need to seek employment that Pretrial approves and that's appropriate while 6 7 this case is pending. 8 You're to surrender your U.S. passport and your Israeli 9 passport to Pretrial Services immediately. I believe that the 10 Government took your United States passport. Mr. Chesnoff has 11 your Israeli passport. He shall give that to Ms. McKillip upon 12 the conclusion of this hearing. 13 Number four, you shall not obtain a passport or any 14 other international travel documents. Number five, I'm going to 15 order you that your travel is restricted to Clark County, 16 Nevada. And the reason I say "Clark County" is it's too 17 difficult if you're in Las Vegas and you cross into Henderson or 18 you cross into North Las Vegas, that could create an issue. So 19 I'm going to allow the travel in Clark County alone and exclude 20 you from the airport. 21 So if you are in the zone of the airport, and they'll 22 explain the zones, they will be notified immediately. 23 Government counsel may be correct that they can't do anything 24 quickly, but my guess is they will try. So you are not allowed 25 to go to that airport.

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 44 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 43 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA—

	2:24-mj-00166-DJA
1	You can go to Los Angeles for court appearances in this
2	case and to prepare your case, but for no other reason. So
3	while this case is pending, you're in Clark County, Nevada.
4	You are to avoid all contact directly or indirectly
5	with any person who is or may be a victim or witness in the
6	investigation or prosecution, and the Government will provide a
7	list to you of who that is.
8	As it relates to the travel restriction, I'm going to
9	order stand-alone monitoring with GPS. And again, as I've
10	indicated, that will be restricted to Clark County and you will
11	not be allowed to go to the airport.
12	We need a tampering restriction on that, don't we,
13	Ms. McKillip? I think I might have one.
14	(Pause.)
15	OFFICER MCKILLIP: Yes, Your Honor. The defendant
16	shall not tamper with, damage, or remove the monitoring device
17	and shall charge the equipment according to the instructions
18	provided by Pretrial Services.
19	THE COURT: All right. And, next, the defendant shall
20	pay all or part of the costs of the location monitoring program
21	based upon his ability to pay as determined by Pretrial Services
22	or the supervising officer.
23	Ms. McKillip, do does Pretrial request any
24	additional conditions to address the concerns that have been
25	raised here today?

PATRICIA L. GANCI - (702) 385-0670

43

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 45 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 44 of 45

44

-2:24-mj-00166-DJA-1 OFFICER MCKILLIP: No, Your Honor, but just in 2 reference to the condition about not allowed to go to the 3 airport, we would just ask without prior permission just so that he could get there --4 5 THE COURT: Yes. OFFICER MCKILLIP: -- to California for court. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes, without prior permission. But, again, the airport for that will only be to go to Los Angeles for court 8 9 appearances, unless you find a need during the course of 10 preparation for the defense that you need to travel somewhere 11 else. But that will have to be outlined and get Court approval, 12 Mr. Chesnoff. 13 MR. CHESNOFF: We will file whatever is appropriate, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 All right. Mr. Chesnoff, Mr. Schonfeld, any questions 17 about these conditions? 18 MR. CHESNOFF: No thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Smirnov, any questions about these 19 20 conditions? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No. 22 THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the 23 Government, Mr. Wise? 24 MR. WISE: Your Honor, we would request -- respectfully 25 request that you stay your order so that the Government can file

Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 24-1 Filed 02/22/24 Page 46 of 46 Case 2:24-mj-00166-DJA Document 20 Filed 02/21/24 Page 45 of 45

—2:24-mj-00166-DJA-

45

a motion for review in the court of original jurisdiction in the 1 2 Central District of California before Judge Wright which we will 3 do promptly. THE COURT: All right. That will be denied. 4 5 Anything else? MR. WISE: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Chesnoff, anything else? 7 MR. CHESNOFF: No. Have a nice afternoon, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Court will be in recess. 9 Thank you. MR. SCHONFELD: 10 11 (Whereupon the proceedings concluded at 4:01 p.m.) 12 --000--13 I, Patricia L. Ganci, court-approved transcriber, certify 14 that the foregoing is a correct transcript transcribed from the 15 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 16 above-entitled matter. 17 18 /s/ PATRICIA L. GANCI FEBRUARY 20, 2024 Patricia L. Ganci Date 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25