Mike Arias, Esq. (CSB #115385) 1 Sahar Malek, Esq. (CSB #283863) 2 Brenda Wong, Esq. (CSB #328543) ARIAS SANGUINETTI WANG & TEAM, LLP 3 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90045 4 Telephone: (310) 844-9696 5 Facsimile: (310) 861-0168 Email: LAService@aswtlawyers.com 6 Email: Sahar@aswtlawyers.com Email: Brenda@aswtlawyers.com 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jane Doe K.G. and Jane Doe K.N. 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 JANE DOE K.G., an Individual; CASE NO.: 24VECV00013 14 JANE DOE K.L., an Individual; 15 Plaintiff, 16 VS. 17 JOHN ROE N.L., an individual; ROE 18 PRODUCTION COMPANY, a New York Corporation; and ROES 1 through 100, 19 Inclusive; 20 Defendants. 21 22 NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS OF DUTIES TO RETAIN EVIDENCE: 23 24 25 26 27

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 1/02/2024 1:04 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By A. Salcedo, Deputy Clerk

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 1. NEGLIGENCE;
- 2. SEXUAL ASSAULT/BATTERY;
- 3. SEXUAL HARASSMENT;
- 4. GENDER VIOLENCE
- 5. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS:

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

TO ALL DEFENDANTS: Note and adhere to your duties to retain, and not delete or destroy, all documents, emails, databases, electronic records, electronically stored information, and all other evidence that may be pertinent to this lawsuit, and to cease any destruction or deletion of such evidence that might otherwise take place in the ordinary course of your business or affairs.

COME NOW, Plaintiffs JANE DOE K.G. and JANE DOE K.N. for causes of action against Defendants, complain and allege as follows:

Page 1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* §410.10.
- 2. Venue is property in this Court pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* §395, because the personal injuries complained of herein occurred and at least one of the Defendants is located in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 3. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court therefore this Court has jurisdiction over this instant action.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

4. This action is allowed by the Sexual Abuse and Cover Up Accountability Act, AB 2777, California Code of Civil Procedure §340.16. Specifically, California Code of Civil Procedure § 340.16(e) allows the revival of the claims to the extent they were previously time barred.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff JANE DOE K.G. (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident of the County of Comal, State of Texas. The name used by JANE DOE K.G. in this Complaint is not the actual name of JANE DOE K.G. but is a fictitious name utilized to protect the privacy of JANE DOE K.G. Plaintiff is a female, born in November 1997, and was over the age of 18 at the time the sexual misconduct alleged herein occurred.
- 6. Plaintiff JANE DOE K.N. (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident of the County of Travis, State of Texas. The name used by JANE DOE K.N. in this Complaint is not the actual name of JANE DOE K.N. but is a fictitious name utilized to protect the privacy of JANE DOE K.N. Plaintiff is a female, born in April 1982, and was over the age of 18 at the time the sexual misconduct alleged herein occurred.
- 7. Defendant JOHN ROE N.L. (hereinafter "Defendant N.L.) is an adult male and a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Defendant N.L. is a television personality, producer and director of film and television. At the time of the misconduct

alleged herein, Defendant N.L. was the president Defendant ROE PRODUCTION COMPANY.

- 8. Defendant ROE PRODUCTION COMPANY (hereinafter "Defendant PRODUCTION") is a New York corporation doing business in the State of California with its principal place of business in Van Nuys, California. At the time of the misconduct alleged herein, Defendant PRODUCTION was contracted with Plaintiffs for a television series on which they were contestants.
- 9. The parties are assigned fictitious names to protect their identities in an effort to respect the parties' privacy.
- 10. Plaintiffs are ignorant as to the true names and capacities of ROES 1 through 100, inclusive. ROES 1 through 100 are individuals or entities who were responsible in some manner for the events alleged herein. ROES 1 through 100 are being sued by such fictitious names pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* §474. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when they are ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that ROES 1 through 100 are legally responsible in some manner for the events, happenings, and/or tortious and unlawful conduct that caused the injuries and damages alleged herein.
- 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and allege thereon, that at all times relevant and material, Defendants N.L, PRODUCTION and ROES 1 through 100, and each of them, were the agents, employees, representatives, servants, joint venturers, and/or conspirators of each and every other Defendant and were acting within the course and scope of said alternative capacity, agency, identity, representation and/or employment and were within the scope of their authority, whether actual or apparent.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was responsible in some manner or capacity for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' damages, as herein alleged, were proximately caused by all said Defendants.
 - 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times

 mentioned herein, there existed a unity of interest and ownership among Defendants and each of them, such that any individuality and separateness between Defendants, and each of them, ceased to exist. Defendants, and each of them, were the successors-in-interest and/or alteregos of each other Defendant, in that they purchased, controlled, dominated and operated each other without any separate identity, observation of formalities, or other manner of division.

14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them, were the trustees, partners, servants, joint venturers, shareholders, contractors, and/or employees of each and every other Defendant, and the acts and omissions herein alleged were done by them, acting individually, through such capacity and within the scope of their authority, and with the permission and consent of each and every other Defendant and that said conduct was thereafter ratified by each and every other Defendant, and that each of them is jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. This action arises from the sexual harassment, battery and assault of Plaintiffs by Defendant N.L. and the authorization and/or ratification of same by Defendant PRODUCTION and ROES 1 through 100.
- 16. In 2003, Plaintiffs were contestants on an all-female reality competition television show "AAG." The show was filmed in Los Angeles, California. Filming commenced in January 2003 and the finale was filmed in or around May 2003.
- 17. The show was produced by Defendant PRODUCTION of which Defendant N.L. was president.
- 18. From time to time, during filming of the show, Defendant N.L. would appear and interact with the contestants, including Plaintiffs. On one occasion, Defendant N.L. was on set while Plaintiffs were filming and dressed in dance costumes. Defendant N.L. walked around the set and dressing rooms and openly swatted and groped Plaintiffs' and other contestants' buttocks. Defendant PRODUCTION, by and through its employees, contractors, representatives and agents saw this happen but did not act in any manner to condemn the

action or prevent it from happening again. It was openly accepted.

- 19. In May 2003, AAG had its final filming and the show was completed. Defendant PRODUCTION coordinated and hosted a party after filming for the contestants, crew and other members of the show, including Plaintiffs.
- 20. Defendant N.L. was at the party and was taking an unusual interest in Plaintiff K.N. When the party was ending and it was time to leave, Defendant N.L. insisted that Plaintiff K.L. ride in his car to go back to the studio where everyone else was going. Plaintiff K.G. saw this and decided to go with them to ensure her colleague was not left alone. Everyone there saw that Defendant N.L. was taking Plaintiffs in his personal car.
- 21. Instead of driving Plaintiffs back to the studio where everybody else was going, Defendant N.L. took Plaintiffs to a home in Los Angeles.
- 22. There, Defendant N.L. made sexual advances on Plaintiff K.G and K.N. Plaintiffs rejected the advances but Defendant N.L. persisted and continued making advances.
- 23. At one point, Defendant N.L. lifted his sweater over Plaintiff K.G.'s head and engulfed her in his sweater, attempting to kiss her and pushing her body close to his. Plaintiff K.G. again rejected the kiss and scrambled to release herself from his grasp.
- 24. Later that night, Defendant N.L. pinned Plaintiff K.N. against a grand piano in the house, pushed himself against her body, and forced his mouth and tongue onto her despite her numerous statements telling him not to and attempts to pull her face away from his.
- 25. When Plaintiff K.G. saw this and protested, Defendant N.L. finally surrendered.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

- 26. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference paragraphs previously alleged in this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
 - 27. At all times herein alleged, Defendant N.L. intended to cause harmful and/or

offensive contacts with Plaintiffs' persons, acted to cause Plaintiffs imminent apprehension of sexually harmful and/or offensive contact, and such harmful and/or offensive contact occurred.

- 28. Defendants knew, or should have known, that Defendant N.L. was sexually harassing and/or assaulting Plaintiffs, and others, while in the course and scope of his employment and in his position as president of Defendant PRODUCTION.
- 29. Defendants knew, or should have known, of Defendant N.L.'s propensity and history of engaging in sexual misconduct along with harassing and inappropriate behavior.
- 30. Defendants had a duty to protect employees and agents, including Plaintiffs. Defendants were required to provide adequate supervision and be properly vigilant in ensuring that security and supervision was sufficient to maintain the safety of Plaintiffs and others similarly situated. Defendants failed to do so.
- 31. Defendants had a duty to maintain a work environment that was free from harm, harassment, assault, battery and/or other unlawful behavior against its employees and agents. Defendants failed to do so.
- 32. Defendants had a duty to adequately train and supervise all employees on sexual harassment and/or assault and to implement procedures for employees to report and seek refuse from sexual harassment and/or assault. Defendants failed to do so.
- 33. Defendants owed Plaintiffs a duty to reasonably identify, remove, and/or report to law enforcement all individuals who they knew, or should have known, were sexual predators in its service or employ. Defendants failed to do so.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, pain, suffering, shock, emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, counseling and/or therapy.

27 | ///

28 || ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

SEXUAL ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 35. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference paragraphs previously alleged in this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. While Plaintiffs were contestants on AAG, and Defendant N.L. was president of Defendant PRODUCTION, Defendant N.L. intentionally subjected Plaintiffs to acts of sexual assault and battery including, but not limited to, non-consensual acts of touching, kissing, and groping Plaintiffs' persons.
- 37. Through these actions, Defendant N.L. intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiffs' persons and/or intended to put Plaintiffs in imminent apprehension of same. These incidents occurred while Plaintiffs were employees and/or contractors with Defendants and each of them.
- 38. Defendant N.L. did the aforementioned acts with the intent to cause harmful and/or offensive contact with Plaintiffs' bodies in a manner that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. These acts ultimately did cause harmful and/or offensive contacts with Plaintiffs' bodies in a manner that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity.
 - 39. Plaintiffs did not consent to the contact on any occasion.
- 40. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant PRODUCTION and ROES 1 through 100 ratified and/or authorized Defendant N.L.'s sexual assault and battery of Plaintiffs by failing to supervise, discipline, dismiss, discharge, reprimand, and/or suspend Defendant N.L. upon learning of the assault and battery; continuing to place Defendant N.L. in a work environment where he had unfettered access to Plaintiffs; actively shielding Defendant N.L. from any liability and/or responsibility for his misconduct; failing to inform law enforcement officials of Defendant N.L.'s misconduct when Defendants knew, or should have known, of the misconduct; failing to take steps to timely remove Defendant N.L. from his position with Defendant PRODUCTION; and preventing further acts of sexual assault, battery, harassment and other misconduct by

Defendant N.L.

- 41. In committing the acts alleged herein, Defendants and their agents/employees violated their duties pursuant to *California Civil Code* §1708 to abstain from injuring Plaintiffs' persons or infringing upon his rights.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, and the conduct of their agents/employees individually, jointly and/or severally, Plaintiffs Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, pain, suffering, shock, emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, counseling and/or therapy. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.
- 43. Defendants and their agents/employees' acts also constitute conduct intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs. These acts were and are despicable, malicious, and/or oppressive and were carried out with willful and conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others in direct violation of *California Civil Code* §3294. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants and each of them in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

SEXUAL HARASSMENT

(Civil Code § 51.9)

- 44. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference all paragraphs previously alleged in this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. While Plaintiffs were contestants on AAG, and Defendant N.L. was president of Defendant PRODUCTION, Defendant N.L. intentionally, recklessly, and wantonly made sexual advances toward Plaintiffs that were hostile and on the basis of their gender. These advances were unwelcome, pervasive, and/or severe.
 - 46. The sexual assaults and harassment occurred while Defendant N.L. was in his

role as president of Defendant PRODUCTION, and while Defendant PRODUCTION supervised, controlled and/or had authority over Plaintiffs due to the employer-employee, professional, and business relationship between Plaintiffs and Defendants.

- 47. Defendants knew, or should have known, of Defendant N.L.'s assaults and harassment of Plaintiffs. Despite this knowledge, Defendants took no action to investigate, supervise, monitor or prevent Defendant N.L. or to ensure the safety of Plaintiffs or others similarly situated.
- 48. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant PRODUCTION and ROES 1 through 100 ratified and/or authorized Defendant N.L.'s sexual assault and battery of Plaintiffs by failing to supervise, discipline, dismiss, discharge, reprimand, and/or suspend Defendant N.L. upon learning of the assault and battery; continuing to place Defendant N.L. in a work environment where he had unfettered access to Plaintiffs; actively shielding Defendant N.L. from any liability and/or responsibility for his misconduct; failing to inform law enforcement officials of Defendant N.L.'s misconduct when Defendants knew, or should have known, of the misconduct; failing to take steps to timely remove Defendant N.L. from his position with Defendant PRODUCTION; and preventing further acts of sexual assault, battery, harassment and other misconduct by Defendant N.L.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, and the conduct of their agents/employees individually, jointly and/or severally, Plaintiffs Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, pain, suffering, shock, emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, counseling and/or therapy. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.
- 50. Defendants and their agents/employees' acts also constituted conduct intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs. These acts were and are despicable, malicious, and/or oppressive and were carried out with willful and conscious disregard for the rights and safety

of others in direct violation of *California Civil Code* §3294. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants and each of them in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

GENDER VIOLENCE

(Civil Code § 52.4)

[By Plaintiffs Against ALL DEFENDANTS]

- 51. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference all paragraphs previously alleged in this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendants' acts against Plaintiffs constitute gender violence and a form of sex discrimination in that one or more Defendants' acts would constitute a criminal offense under state law that has, as an element, the use, attempted use, or threated use of physical force against the person of another, committed at least in part based on the gender of the victim, whether or not those acts have resulted in criminal complaints, charges prosecution, or conviction.
- 53. Defendants' acts against Plaintiffs constitute gender violence and a form of sex discrimination in that Defendants' conduct caused a physical intrusion or invasion of a sexual nature upon Plaintiffs under coercive conditions, whether or not those acts have resulted in criminal complaints, charges, prosecution or conviction.
- 54. Plaintiffs were subjected to physical intrusions and/or invasions of a sexual nature under coercive conditions. Defendant N.L. intentionally subjected Plaintiffs to acts of sexual assault and battery including, but not limited to, touching, kissing and groping of Plaintiffs' bodies. These acts were unwanted, unwelcomed, and not consented to by Plaintiffs.

55.

56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, and the conduct of their agents/employees individually, jointly and/or severally, Plaintiffs Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, pain, suffering, shock, emotional distress, embarrassment,

loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, counseling and/or therapy. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial including actual damages, compensatory damages, attorney's fees and costs, punitive damages, and injunctive relief.

57. Defendants and their agents/employees' acts also constituted conduct intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs. These acts were and are despicable, malicious, and/or oppressive and were carried out with willful and conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others in direct violation of *California Civil Code* §3294. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants and each of them in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 58. Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference all paragraphs previously alleged in this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. At all times described and alleged herein, Defendants' and their agents/employees' conduct was beyond the bounds of decency or acceptance within society and was intentional, outrageous, malicious, and committed for the purposes of causing Plaintiffs to suffer mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, physical and emotional distress, anxiety and fear, or the conduct with done with such reckless disregard of the likelihood of causing Plaintiffs to suffer mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, physical and emotional distress, anxiety and fear.
- 60. Defendants and their agents/employees were in a position of authority, trust, caretaking, influence and persuasion over Plaintiffs and responsible for maintaining a proper relationship with Plaintiff.
 - 61. The wrongful conduct of Defendant N.L. were authorized, ratified and/or

approved by Defendant PRODUCTION and ROES 1 through 100 based upon the acts and/or omissions in failing to properly hire, train, retain, control, suspend, discipline, discharge, dismiss and/or supervise Defendant N.L. These incidents of sexual harassment and abuse took place while Plaintiffs were under the exclusive and direct control, supervision, care and direction of Defendant PRODUCTION and its agents/employees.

- 62. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer great physical and mental distress, anguish, pain, suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, and anxiety to an extent to be proven at the time of trial.
- 63. Defendants and their agents/employees' actions/inactions further constitute conduct intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs and the despicable, malicious, and/or oppressive conduct that is carried on with willful land conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others in direction violation of *California Civil Code* §3294. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants and each of them in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows against Defendants, and each of them, as follows FOR ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:

- (1) For past, present and future general damages in an amount to be determined at trial:
- (2) For past, present and future special damages, including but not limited to past, present and future medical and/or mental health treatment and medication, lost earnings, economic damages and others, in an amount to be determined at trial;
 - (3) Any appropriate punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants;
 - (4) For injunctive relief;
 - (5) Any appropriate statutory damages;
 - (6) For reasonable costs of suit;

1	(7) For interest as allowed by law;
2	(8) For attorney's fees and costs as applicable pursuant to California <i>Code of Civil</i>
3	Procedure §§ 51.9, and 52.4, against Defendants, or otherwise as allowable by law; and
4	(9) For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.
5	Dated: January 2, 2024 ARIAS SANGUINETTI WANG & TEAM LLP
6	
7	By:
8	MIKE ARIAS SAHAR MALEK
9	BRENDA WONG
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury. Dated: January 2, 2024 ARIAS SANGUINETTI WANG & TORRIJOS LLP By: MIKE ARIAS SAHAR MALEK BRENDA WONG Attorneys for Plaintiff Page 14