IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT : OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, :

.

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION NO.

:

v. :

: JURY TRIAL DEMAND

CHILDREN'S HEALTHCARE OF ATLANTA, INC.,

:

Defendant.

•

COMPLAINT

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of religion and to provide appropriate relief to DeMaurius Jackson ("Jackson"), who was adversely affected by such practices. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleges that Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Inc. ("Defendant") engaged in intentional discrimination against Jackson when it failed to provide him with a reasonable accommodation because his sincerely held religious beliefs conflicted with a work requirement and instead terminated him, in violation of Title VII.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII"), and pursuant to Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division.

PARTIES

- 3. EEOC is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been a corporation doing business in the state of Georgia and the City of Atlanta and has continuously had at least 15 employees.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

- 6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Jackson filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant.
- 7. On June 16, 2022, the EEOC issued to Defendant a Letter of Determination on Jackson's Charge of Discrimination finding reasonable cause to believe that Title VII had been violated and inviting Defendant to join with the EEOC in informal methods of conciliation to endeavor to eliminate the unlawful employment practices and provide appropriate relief.
- 8. On August 10, 2022, the EEOC issued to Defendant a Notice of Failure of Conciliation advising Defendant that the EEOC was unable to secure from Defendant a conciliation agreement acceptable to the EEOC.
- 9. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 10. Since at least November 2019, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII.
 - 11. Defendant is a pediatric healthcare system located in Atlanta, Georgia.
- 12. Jackson is a practicing member of the Jewish faith, and a member of the New Covenant Congregation of Israel.
- 13. Jackson began working for Defendant as a maintenance assistant on or about May 2, 2016.
- 14. Jackson's position as a maintenance assistant primarily consisted of groundskeeping, was confined to parking lots, required minimal interaction with the public, took place outside, and did not involve close proximity to patients, visitors, or staff.
- 15. In or about November 2016, Jackson converted to Judaism, and his supervisor began trying to convince Jackson not to convert, telling him he was not a "real Jew," calling him a gentile, and purposefully ordering pork products for employee appreciation lunches despite Jackson's objections. In accordance with Jackson's religious beliefs, he does not eat pork.

- 16. In accordance with his sincerely held religious beliefs, Jackson requested a religious accommodation from Defendant's mandatory influenza vaccination policy, in the form of an exemption, in 2017 and 2018.
- 17. Defendant granted Jackson's request for a religious accommodation in 2017 and 2018, and he was not required to be immunized against the influenza virus under Defendant's policy in 2017 or 2018.
- 18. On or about October 28, 2019, Jackson again requested a flu vaccine exemption, in accordance with his sincerely held religious beliefs and Defendant's influenza policy.
- 19. Jackson's request was reviewed by Defendant's Flu Exemption Committee. On or about November 21, 2019, Defendant denied Jackson's request for a religious accommodation.
- 20. Jackson resubmitted his request and/or appealed the denial of his request for a religious accommodation to Defendant.
- 21. Jackson subsequently received a call from Defendant's Employee Health Manager asking him to elaborate on his sincerely held religious beliefs, which Jackson did, to no avail.
 - 22. On or about December 2, 2019, Defendant denied Jackson's appeal.

- 23. On or about December 6, 2019, Defendant discharged Jackson because of his failure to obtain the flu vaccination.
- 24. On or about December 6, 2019, Defendant confiscated Jackson's keys, badge, and Defendant's security personnel escorted him from the premises.
- 25. Prior to his termination, Jackson applied for open positions with Defendant, including at least one position at a non-clinical location.
- 26. On or about December 6, 2019, Jackson received an inquiry from a Defendant representative regarding one of his applications, and Jackson reiterated his interest in the position, but the Defendant representative hung up on Jackson and never scheduled an interview with Jackson upon learning that Jackson had been terminated.
- 27. Defendant granted seven exemptions to its flu vaccine policy for religious reasons in 2019.
- 28. Defendant failed to follow its own influenza policy disciplinary procedures with respect to firing Jackson.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant hired a non-Jewish individual who received the flu vaccination to replace Jackson.
- 30. Defendant did not offer Jackson a reasonable accommodation for the conflict between his sincerely held religious beliefs and its flu vaccine policy.

- 31. Providing the accommodation of granting a flu vaccine exemption to Jackson would not have been an undue burden on Defendant.
- 32. The effect of the practices complained of above have been to deprive Jackson of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee because of his religion.
- 33. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional.
- 34. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to Jackson's federally protected rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the EEOC respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns and all other persons in active concert or participation with it, from discriminating against its employees on the basis of their religion.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for employees who need an accommodation for their sincerely held religious beliefs and practices, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.

- C. Order Defendant to make Jackson whole by providing appropriate back pay with pre-judgment interest, in an amount to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant to make Jackson whole by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make Jackson whole by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, including emotional pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, inconvenience, anxiety, stress, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay punitive damages to Jackson for Defendant's malicious and/or reckless conduct described above, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the EEOC its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The EEOC requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Acting General Counsel

CHRISTOPHER LAGE Deputy General Counsel

MARCUS G. KEEGAN Regional Attorney

LAKISHA DUCKETT ZIMBABWE Assistant Regional Attorney

ROBYN M. FLEGAL Supervisory Trial Attorney

/s/ Fahad A. Khan

Fahad A. Khan Trial Attorney Georgia Bar No. 442892 fahad.khan@eeoc.gov

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Atlanta District Office 100 Alabama St., SW, Suite 4R30 Atlanta, GA 30303 (470) 531-4811 (404) 562-6905 (facsimile)

Case 1:22-cv-04953-MLB Document 1-1 Filed 12/15/22_{ILB}Rage 1:20f.2

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED
		DEFENDANT(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	III CITI7	ENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES
(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		"X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)
	PLF DEF	PLF DEF
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT 3 FEDERAL QUESTION PLAINTIFF (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY)	1 1 CITI	ZEN OF THIS STATE 4 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE
2 U.S. GOVERNMENT 4 DIVERSITY	2 2 CITI	ZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL
DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	3 3 CITI	PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE IZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 6 FOREIGN NATION
		EIGN COUNTRY
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY)		
1 ORIGINAL 2 REMOVED FROM 3 REMANDED FROM PROCEEDING STATE COURT APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED OF REOPENED	TRANSFERRED FROM MULTIDISTRICT APPEAL TO DISTRICT JUDGE 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT 6 LITIGATION - TRANSFER JUDGMENT TRANSFER JUDGMENT
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE		
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNI	UNDER WHICH YOU A	ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE
JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNI	LESS DIVERSITY)	
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)		
1. Unusually large number of parties.	6. Proble	ems locating or preserving evidence
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.	7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government.	
3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex	8. Multiple use of experts.	
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.	9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.	
5. Extended discovery period is needed.	10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	ONTINUED O	N REVERSE

APPLYING IFP

NATURE OF SUIT

MAG. JUDGE (IFP) _

CAUSE OF ACTION___

RECEIPT #

JUDGE

AMOUNT \$

MAG. JUDGE__

(Referral)

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT

LOANS (Excl. Veterans)

153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF

VETERAN'S BENEFITS

CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE

130 MILLER ACT

140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT

151 MEDICARE ACT

160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS

190 OTHER CONTRACT

195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE

REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY

TRACK

210 LAND CONDEMNATION

220 FORECLOSURE

230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT

240 TORTS TO LAND

245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY

290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY

TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE

315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY

320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY

340 MARINE

345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY

350 MOTOR VEHICLE

355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY

360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL

MALPRACTICE

365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/

PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY

TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD

JURY DEMAND

371 TRUTH IN LENDING

380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE

385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY

BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 422 APPEAL 28 USC 158

423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157

CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS

441 VOTING

442 EMPLOYMENT

443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS

445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment

446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other

448 EDUCATION

IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION

465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS

PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY

463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE

530 HABEAS CORPUS

535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER

550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se

555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se

560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF

CONFINEMENT

PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY

TRACK

550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel

FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY

625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY

690 OTHER

LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS

740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT

751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION

791 EMPL. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

820 COPYRIGHTS

840 TRADEMARK

880 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA)

PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY

TRACK

830 PATENT

835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a

Hatch-Waxman cases

SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

861 HIA (1395ff)

862 BLACK LUNG (923)

863 DIWC (405(g)) 863 DIWW (405(g))

864 SSID TITLE XVI

865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY

TRACK

870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY

375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT

376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a)

400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT

430 BANKS AND BANKING 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC.

460 DEPORTATION

470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT

ORGANIZATIONS 480 CONSUMER CREDIT

485 TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV

890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS

891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS

893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 899

899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT /

REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION

950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES

OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

410 ANTITRUST

850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE

OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK

ARBITRATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify)

* PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$_

VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY

DOCKET NO.

NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)

- CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX)
 - 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.
 - 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME
 - BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.
 - 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):
 - 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. DISMISSED. This case IS IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.

. WHICH WAS

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

December 15, 2022

DATE