

1

2

3

4 SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE THE  
5 JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL,  
6 U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
7 WASHINGTON, D.C.

8

9

10

11 CONTINUED INTERVIEW OF: CASSIDY HUTCHINSON

12

13

14

15

Monday, March 7, 2022

16

17

Washington, D.C.

18

19

20

The interview in the above matter was held via Webex, commencing at 12:06 p.m.

21

Present: Representatives Aguilar, Raskin, Cheney, and Kinzinger.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

Appearances:

For the SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE  
THE JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL:

- ██████████, STAFF ASSOCIATE
- ████████████████████, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
- ████████████████████, STAFF DIRECTOR
- ████████████████████, STAFF ASSOCIATE
- ████████████████████, SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
- ████████████████████, SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
- ████████████████████, CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
- ████████████████████, CHIEF CLERK
- ████████████████████, STAFF ASSOCIATE
- ████████████████████, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
- ████████████████████, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
- ████████████████████, SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL

1

2 For CASSIDY HUTCHINSON:

3

4 STEFAN PASSANTINO

5 Michael Best & Friedrich LLP

6 The Wharf

7 1000 Maine Avenue SW

8 Suite 400

9 Washington, D.C. 20024

1

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. Why don't we just get right to it and let's go on the record. It is  
3 March 7th -- I'll start that again -- it's March 7th, and this is the resumed interview of  
4 Ms. Cassidy Hutchinson by the Select Committee to investigate the January 6th attack on  
5 the United States Capitol.

6 I see Mr. Passantino, you've come back, and, Ms. Hutchinson, you are here with us  
7 as well.

8 On our side, again I'll just introduce myself, I'm [REDACTED], senior investigative  
9 counsel for the committee. To my left is [REDACTED], senior investigative counsel to the  
10 committee. To his left is [REDACTED], chief investigative counsel to the subcommittee.

11 To my right is [REDACTED], investigative counsel to the committee, and  
12 to her right is [REDACTED], professional staff member to the committee. And, again,  
13 we're same set-up.

14 We have people who have joined via the Webex link and are listed there on the  
15 side. And like last time, I'll try to announce any members that join as they do so.  
16 There may be a slight delay.

17 I'll just remind you that this is all being taken down by a court reporter, so I'd  
18 encourage you to use verbal answers instead of shaking your head or other nonverbal  
19 type -- or nonword type answers.

20 The same ground rules apply. The only thing that I would remind you, is that,  
21 even though you're not under oath, Ms. Hutchinson, deliberately providing false  
22 information to Congress can be a crime and is illegal under title 18, United States Code  
23 Section 1001, and others.

24 If at any point you need a break to speak with Mr. Passantino, please let us know,  
25 we're happy to do that. And if you want a break for any other reasons, let us know that

1 as well, and we're certainly happy to oblige.

2 I would note that Mr. Kinzinger just joined, he's a select committee member, and I  
3 think, with that, unless you have any questions, we're ready to proceed.

4 Mr. Passantino. Very good.

5 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. And do you understand everything that I just went over  
6 with you?

7 Ms. Hutchinson. Yes.

8 Mr. [REDACTED]. Then, at this point, I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Tonolli to ask a  
9 few questions.

10 EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

12 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you for indulging me at the start  
13 here. It's nice to meet you. You have in front of you, I can see on the screen, some  
14 exhibits that we sent you this morning. And three of those are text exchanges between  
15 Katrina Pierson and then, respectively, Mark Meadows, Dan Scavino, and Max Miller.

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Okay. And just to set it up, and we can be efficient with this, is, the  
18 questions I'll ask are focused on the decisions around who was to speak at the rally on the  
19 6th at the Ellipse -- and I'll note for the record that Ms. Cheney has joined us -- and so  
20 that's the context.

21 And so I'll start off by asking you this, Ms. Hutchinson -- and we're going to focus  
22 on the timeframe in December of 2020 and January of 2021 -- did you know or know of  
23 Ms. Katrina Pierson at that time?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Were you aware that she was working on the Ellipse rally with the rally

1 organizers?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q Did you understand that the rally organizers for the Ellipse event were  
4 Women for America First?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q Now, it's a mother and daughter who run the organization named Amy and  
7 Kylie Kremer. Do you know who they are?

8 A Vaguely, but yes, sir.

9 Q They had done a few rallies in D.C. after the election respectively in  
10 November and December. Were you aware that those took place in Freedom Plaza?

11 A Yes, sir.

12 Q And President Trump drove by the November rally in a motorcade. If you  
13 remember, it was a Saturday morning. Were you aware at the time that he was doing  
14 that?

15 A Yes, sir.

16 Q And we've seen that Ms. Amy Kremer reached out to Mr. Meadows in  
17 advance of that rally to see if the President would appear. Were you aware that that  
18 had happened?

19 A Do you happen to have the date that he went by in the motorcade?

20 Q Sure. It was Saturday, November 14th.

21 A No. I wouldn't have fielded any of those incoming inquiries. That's when  
22 Mr. Meadows and I were out with coronavirus. We hadn't been at the White House  
23 together.

24 Q Okay. Now focus on December of 2020, it was a Saturday, the 12th, and  
25 the President ended up flying over the rally-goers in Marine One. Were you aware that

1 that happened that day?

2 A I was. But he was taking Marine One to Joint Base Andrews that day  
3 anyway because that was the day of the Army-Navy football game in New York that we  
4 traveled to.

5 Q To be sure, are you aware that the flight path was rerouted to go over the  
6 rally-goers from the original flight path?

7 A Not to my immediate recollection. I don't remember any discussions about  
8 rerouting it.

9 Q Fair enough.

10 A It's possible I was a part of those discussions or had, in passing, overheard  
11 them, just because of Mark's involvement with it, but I don't remember right now.

12 Q Do you recall when you first became aware that the rally was going to be  
13 taking place on January 6th, when it just first came to your attention?

14 A Likely the end of December. I don't have an exact date.

15 Q So, on December 27th, President Trump sent out a tweet saying something  
16 to the effect of: See you in D.C., details to follow. And, when he sent that tweet, it  
17 was the evening of December 27th, and he was at Mar-a-Lago. Do you -- were you in  
18 Florida on that day, if you remember?

19 A No. I had just gotten back to D.C. Mr. Meadows was in Georgia, and I  
20 had spent Christmas up in New Jersey with my family, and we had -- we were going back  
21 to the White House on December 28th.

22 Q If you look at what we've marked as exhibit 37, it's Ms. Pierson's text with  
23 Mr. Meadows, and just the very first one, you'll see it's on page 3, I should say, there's a  
24 lot that's redacted that predated the events.

25 And do you see right there, on the third page, on December 27th, at 7:16 p.m.,

1 there's a list of date --

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q Okay. So this is Ms. Pierson sending Mr. Meadows a list of appearances for  
4 the March for Trump Tour put on by the Kremers and Women for America First that  
5 would end in D.C. on January 6th at the end. Do you see that?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q Now I'll just ask generally, did you become aware, at some point before  
8 January 6th, that Ms. Pierson was communicating with Mr. Meadows about the event?

9 A I'm sorry. You said prior to January 6th?

10 Q Correct. Did you know that, before that date, that she was talking to  
11 Mr. Meadows about the event?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q And how did you become aware of that?

14 A Mark likely mentioned it to me at the office or gave me a call, I don't recall  
15 exactly. It was likely something along the lines of, if you hear anything from Katrina  
16 Pierson, let me know, we're working on rally logistics, or something along those lines.

17 Q And what did you understand her role to be?

18 A [Inaudible.]

19 Q Ms. Pierson's?

20 A Thank you. As a former campaign official, I just understood her role to be  
21 involved in the coordinating of either speakers or just logistics for the rally. I knew that  
22 she likely wasn't involved in planning the permit angle of everything, but just likely  
23 around, like, the -- like, our immediate surrogates and how we actually wanted the rally  
24 to undergo that day.

25 Q And, to that point, and to my introduction, did you become aware that



1 Ms. Pierson was talking with Mr. Meadows about who would speak and who would not  
2 speak at the Ellipse on January 6th?

3 A I knew that they were having discussions about it. I don't recall if I knew or  
4 was aware whether or not she was the primary point of contact for speaker organization.

5 Q When you say "the primary point of contact," is that who Mr. -- someone  
6 else who Mr. Meadows was speaking about on that issue?

7 A No. I -- sorry if I wasn't clear. I meant more along the lines of if she was  
8 the sole person in charge of organizing the speakers or if there was other individuals. I  
9 knew that they were speaking, and I knew that they were speaking about rally logistics,  
10 and I knew that they were speaking about potential individuals that would speak at the  
11 rally. But I wasn't sure if there was anybody else that he was coordinating with, with  
12 her, or if there was multiple channels of communication.

13 Q Did you understand Mr. Meadows to be talking with any other rally  
14 organizer, so not within the White House or the government but actually someone  
15 outside in the private sector who was helping to organize the rally, other than  
16 Ms. Pierson?

17 A I knew that he had discussions with Amy -- and forgive me if I'm pronouncing  
18 her last name wrong, but Amy Kremer -- Kremer -- but to be honest with you, I -- I just  
19 didn't closely track individuals involved with that aspect.

20 If you were more specific with any individuals that might be in question, but I just  
21 didn't frequently communicate with those people, so I didn't really have much  
22 involvement or can distinguish people off the top of my head right now.

23 Q So we're going to get into it by looking at some of the text messages, but I'll  
24 just ask you, did you become aware that Ms. Pierson raised concerns with Mr. Meadows  
25 about some of the people who were being proposed to speak at the Ellipse?

1           A    I was aware that there were individuals that raised concerns about the  
2 speakers to Mr. Meadows. I don't recall whether or not I knew Ms. Pierson was one  
3 specifically.

4           Q    Who were the people you remember who did?

5           A    Lot of internal staff. There's -- there was a lot of back and forth during that  
6 time of who they actually wanted to be at the rally that day, meaning Mr. Giuliani had  
7 opinions about it; there were Members of Congress that had opinions about who should  
8 speak; Amy Kremer, Caroline Wren. I remember there being a lot of conversations  
9 about who should be permitted guidance and permission to speak, but I don't -- I don't  
10 recall an exact list of 10, 15, 20 names that people -- of people that had raised concerns  
11 to him.

12          Q    Who are the people that concerns were raised about, that you remember,  
13 Ms. Hutchinson?

14          A    Mike Lindell, Steve Bannon, Mo Brooks, off the top of my head, but, again, it  
15 was 14 months ago, so I -- it's a little hard to recall some of the names off the top of my  
16 head at this time. There was -- I mean, there was a bunch of people. And, honestly, I  
17 don't recall the list being even finalized until the night before the rally, so. And I -- I  
18 didn't know even the morning of. To be frank, I didn't really care who was speaking. I  
19 had [inaudible].

20          Q    Are you aware that Ms. Pierson came to the White House to meet with  
21 President Trump personally to discuss the list of speakers?

22          A    Yes, sir.

23          Q    And so, to that point, it might be helpful to go through some of her  
24 messages with Mr. Meadows leading up to that meeting, which I'll tell you was January  
25 4th. So, if you look on that same page I directed you to, where you see that first

1 message, at the bottom on January 2nd at, 5:16 p.m., she asks Mr. Meadows to call her  
2 because: Things have gotten crazy, and I desperately need some direction. And were  
3 you -- did you consult with Mr. Meadows about his discussions with Ms. Pierson, if you  
4 can remember, and what he discussed with her about the rally?

5 A Not on January 2nd, no.

6 Q Okay. If we continue then on, if we look to the next page, still on exhibit  
7 37, she says -- and I will direct your attention to the message at 5:49 p.m. on the second:  
8 I'm cutting everything before the POTUS block and will look for another location for the  
9 rest. Does that sound familiar to you, that there was discussion about taking certain  
10 controversial speakers and putting them at a different location to speak, other than the  
11 Ellipse?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q And do you know whether or not that was Mr. Meadows' idea or where that  
14 idea came from?

15 A I don't recall where the idea came from. I knew that he was aware of it,  
16 but I don't recall the origination of that idea.

17 Q And, if you go down further, we'll flip to January 3rd now, still on that same  
18 page, though, just one down more.

19 So, at 1:39 p.m., do you see that Ms. Pierson tells Mr. Meadows: Scratch that,  
20 Caroline Wren has decided to move forward with the original psycho list. Apparently  
21 Dan Scavino approved.

22 So was Mr. Scavino one of the people you were aware of was weighing in on who  
23 should speak and who should not speak on the 6th?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q And what do you remember about Mr. Scavino and what he said about the

1 event?

2 A I don't recall any specifics about what Mr. Scavino said about the event. I  
3 recall his involvement because of his proximity to the President, and him and  
4 Mr. Meadows frequently worked closely on his -- on the President's behalf.

5 I don't recall anything specifically right now that he would've said about -- on  
6 January 3rd about the list of the people.

7 Q The strength of the language Ms. Pierson uses there, that she calls the list  
8 from Ms. Wren the original psycho list. Did you have any reaction to seeing that? Did  
9 you hear it talked about in that way?

10 A In that exact phrasing?

11 Q To that effect, referring to the people as psychos, that Ms. Wren was  
12 recommending speak at the Ellipse?

13 Mr. Passantino. He asked you a couple questions in there, but I think the  
14 question is, do you recall the list being referred to as the psycho list?

15 I hope I didn't mischaracterize your question.

16 Mr. [REDACTED]. You put it just right.

17 Mr. Passantino. But I think it's a reaction question --

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Right.

19 Mr. Passantino. -- and then a --

20 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah.

21 Ms. Hutchinson. There was frequently colorful adjectives that were used to  
22 describe certain individuals that wanted to speak at the rally.

23 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

24 Q And -- and Ms. Wren, were you aware who she was at that time?

25 A Yes, sir.

1 Q And what did you understand her connection to the event to be?

2 A As a former campaign official, I believe, and a fundraiser, similar to  
3 Ms. Pierson's. Again, I -- I had never worked on the campaign or in an official campaign  
4 capacity, so I didn't have a personal relationship with these people, other than the one  
5 that I had built working for Mr. Meadows, which was not anything very extensive.

6 But I knew that she was involved in planning the rally and identifying potential  
7 speakers, and I knew that there was a rift between her and other people involved.

8 Q And settling that rift, is that what you understood the point of the meeting  
9 between Ms. Pierson and the President to be about?

10 A I understood that more a meeting to finalize or work towards finalizing the  
11 plan of events for January 6th. I didn't -- at this time that I can recall now. If I think  
12 about it and I can, I will certainly let you know, but at the time, I don't recall it being  
13 about Caroline Wren. But I'm not sure that would've been something that I would've  
14 been read in on to be honest with you.

15 Q And did you know at the time, if you knew, who else Ms. Wren was working  
16 with on the rally that was putting forward this list of speakers? Was there anyone else  
17 with Ms. Wren that was advocating for these folks?

18 A I wasn't aware of anything else at the time.

19 Q And I'll note for the record Mr. Aguilar has joined. Thank you for being  
20 here.

21 If we continue on, and the easiest way is to look at the Bates numbers Ms. Pierson  
22 used, so see it if you look at Bates number 926. [Inaudible] excuse me.

23 If you see it at the top, at 5:03 p.m., Ms. Pierson is describing for Mr. Meadows,  
24 you know, about people proposed to speak: These are the grifter fringe of the right, the  
25 crazies.

1           Do you remember discussions about people who were proposed to speak being  
2 referred to as grifters and who that might've been about in particular, if you recall?

3           A     I'll refer to my previous statement that there was frequently colorful  
4 language used to describe particular individuals that were hoping to speak at the rally or  
5 by nature involved with anything of rally-planning. I don't specifically recall "grifter,"  
6 "psycho," "crazies," but it's not words that would've been out of the ordinary to mention.

7           Q     If we just go up to the bottom of page 5, I apologize for not starting there,  
8 but her comments came after referring to a man named Ali Akbar, if you see that at  
9 5:02 p.m. on the 3rd.

10          A     Uh-huh.

11          Q     Do you know who that is or know of Mr. Akbar, otherwise known as Ali  
12 Alexander?

13          A     I'd read about him before, yes, sir.

14          Q     Did he come up as a topic of conversation, to your knowledge, as a potential  
15 speaker for the Ellipse event?

16          A     Not that I recall.

17          Q     So, if we go back down to the next page and you continue down --

18          A     -- may I just --

19          Q     Sure.

20          A     -- with you what I've said. I -- I recall people talking about him in the rally.  
21 I don't recall if they had spoken about him speaking at the rally on January 6th or if he  
22 was just going to be in town and helping form groups of people or assemble mini rallies  
23 throughout D.C., outside the Willard Hotel, outside, I believe, Freedom Plaza, but I  
24 don't -- I recall his involvement and people having discussions about him. I don't  
25 specifically recall about the 6th. Does that make sense?

1           Q    It does.  And, in fact, were you aware that he had an event planned for the  
2 Capitol Grounds on the 6th, that being Mr. Alexander?

3           A    No, sir.

4           Q    So who is discussing, to the best of your memory, Mr. Alexander and his  
5 efforts to hold other rallies and otherwise bring people to D.C. for the 6th?

6           A    I don't recall anybody specifically that mentioned his name.  I'm sorry if  
7 that's not helpful.  I recall his name coming up, and I recall his name being brought to  
8 Mr. Meadows' attention, but I don't remember who -- who it was that did.

9           Q    Do you remember anybody raising concerns about the nature of the rhetoric  
10 Mr. Alexander used at events and rallies?

11          A    Yes, sir.

12          Q    And what do you remember people raising as a concern about that rhetoric?

13          A    That there were concerns about the nature of his rhetoric and how his  
14 comments were sometimes a bit politically incorrect and the effect that that could have  
15 on the President's or the overall event and goals of the January 6th rally was intended for  
16 and how it might not align with having wanted it to be portrayed.  They didn't want to  
17 take away from his messaging that day.

18                And there were spirited discussions about those matters, but there wasn't -- to my  
19 recollection right now, that pops in my head, there wasn't anything particularly alarming  
20 or didn't raise any red flags to me.  It was -- I thought they were valid concerns.

21          Q    What were the concerns, though, to be more particular, Ms. Hutchinson,  
22 about the language and why it was different than the message you understood the  
23 President wanted to put out on the 6th?

24          A    Just that he had a tendency to have a little bit of an obscene or extremist  
25 way of delivering certain things and how it wasn't always the most politically correct way

1 to address messages that we also might want to convey but in a less prolific way.

2 Q And so, for instance, did people raise the fact that he would use violent  
3 rhetoric?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q And one of the concerns you talked about was not just that -- that that being  
6 divergent from President Trump's message but also the effect on the crowd. Did I hear  
7 you right about that?

8 A Sorry. Could you repeat that one time, please.

9 Q You mentioned earlier that there was concern raised -- two types of  
10 concerns about Mr. Alexander's rhetoric. On the one hand, it's divergent from what the  
11 President, the message he wanted to put out. Is that right?

12 A Yeah. And I -- I want to make sure I was clear on that. I meant that more  
13 along the lines of, the President might convey a similar message -- I'll use the example  
14 "stop the steal" -- but the President might have a different way of delivering it than  
15 Mr. Alexander would have a way of delivering it.

16 Although it could be a similar or the same message, the rhetoric could get lost in  
17 translation had Mr. Alexander been involved with delivering that rhetoric as a keynote  
18 speaker on behalf of President Trump.

19 Q I think we all understand, Ms. Hutchinson, that I think what you're saying is  
20 Mr. Alexander supported President Trump and wanted to see him win a second term. Is  
21 that fair?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q But the language that he used in talking about that at rallies was what  
24 caused people to raise concerns? Did I also hear you talk about that?

25 A Yes, sir.



1 Q All right. Were you aware that, on January 5th, Mr. Alexander, speaking to  
2 rally-goers, was leading a chant of "victory or death"?

3 A No, sir. Well, at the time, no, sir.

4 Mr. Passantino. [Inaudible.]

5 Ms. Hutchinson. No.

6 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

7 Q Who raised concerns before the 6th to your knowledge, about  
8 Mr. Alexander's rhetoric?

9 A Could you specify to whom?

10 Q I don't know because -- I'm not trying to be cute. I don't know who you  
11 were talking to and who raised these concerns that you talked about. You called them  
12 spirited discussions. Who was having these -- who was raising the concerns?

13 A Again, and I believe I previously said this, but there was a lot -- there were a  
14 lot of conversations that happened in that period. Ms. Pierson came in and spoke with  
15 Mr. Meadows and Mr. Trump.

16 I knew that there was correspondence going back and forth happening and there  
17 were rifts happening between certain camps and Ms. Caroline Wren. I don't recall any  
18 individuals off the top of my head right now raising a very specific concern about  
19 Mr. Alexander.

20 I remember it more being a general concern to those involved in those  
21 discussions, but they weren't discussions that I was heavily involved in. I was aware of  
22 them by nature of my proximity, but I wasn't sitting in the room for many of these at the  
23 time, especially ones involving Mr. Alexander.

24 Q Did the name of Alex Jones come up as well?

25 A Yes, sir.

1 Q And what do you recall the discussions about Mr. Jones to have been about?

2 A That Mr. Jones was in town and wanted to participate in rally-planning,  
3 rally-speaking, whether that was on the 6th or the 5th, events around Washington, D.C. --

4 Q Was --

5 A -- pardon me?

6 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead. You paused. I shouldn't have jumped in.

7 A It's okay. I just -- more top line I recall individuals trying to figure out if he  
8 had a role or could play a role in the 6th or the 5th and what that role may look like.

9 Q Was there also a concern about the rhetoric Mr. Jones uses and him being  
10 associated with the Ellipse event?

11 A Yes, sir. But I think that there was a lot of opinions about a lot of people, if  
12 not most individuals that were involved as speakers. I also think that's just kind of the  
13 nature of some of these events. There's a lot of cooks in the kitchen. There's a lot of  
14 people that want to be involved, but you have to be careful about vetting who is actually  
15 granted that permission.

16 Q And so, on that point, if we go down on this exhibit we're still looking at, just  
17 a little bit further, you will see that, at 5:15 p.m., on the 3rd of January, Mr. Meadows  
18 says to Ms. Pierson: Talk it over with Scavino. Were you aware that Ms. Pierson was  
19 talking to Mr. Scavino about the speakers as well?

20 A At the time, not that I can recall.

21 Q If we look at --

22 A I'm sorry. At the time of this text, not that I can recall.

23 Q Do you know how it came to be that Ms. Pierson got scheduled to meet with  
24 President Trump on January 4th?

25 A Not that I can recall right now. Ms. Pierson would frequently reach out to

1 individuals in the White House throughout the campaign, hoping to get a meeting with  
2 Mr. Trump, and that wasn't out of the ordinary for campaign officials and various media  
3 surrogates.

4           However, I recall her name being brought up by Mr. Meadows to -- Mr. Trump's  
5 scheduler at the time was Mr. Michael Haidet, and he asked if he -- Mr. Meadows asked  
6 Mr. Haidet if he had time in his schedule to plan for a meeting with Ms. Pierson. I'm not  
7 sure if a conversation happened between Mr. Trump, Mr. Scavino, and Mr. Meadows, or  
8 how that conversation came to fruition to elevate it to Mr. Haidet, but I just recall  
9 Mr. Meadows talking to Mr. Haidet about it, and they made room in the schedule to have  
10 that meeting happen.

11           Q    If we look at exhibit 38 -- this is another one that I understand you were  
12 provided -- it's an agenda that Ms. Pierson typed up for her meeting with the President  
13 on January 4th. Do you see that?

14           A    Yes, sir.

15           Q    Okay. You can tell by the agenda, the understanding going in for the  
16 meeting was that the President would be there, Mr. Meadows would be there, and so  
17 would Mr. Scavino. Did you understand before the meeting that Mr. Meadows was  
18 supposed to participate in the discussion?

19           A    Yes, sir.

20           Q    And did you also understand that --

21           A    I recall -- I recall that being on his calendar.

22           Q    Do you know whether he did, in fact, meet with the President and  
23 Ms. Pierson on the 4th?

24           A    I wasn't physically at the White House at that time because that was the day  
25 that we traveled to Georgia for the rally the night before the Georgia Senate runoff

1 election. So I believe -- now, I don't have my -- my records just by being out of the  
2 White House, like, I don't know specifically, but in my head recalling the timing of -- that I  
3 would've had to depart the White House, I would've already been gone and driving to  
4 Joint Base Andrews to get on the plane. So I wasn't -- I wouldn't have physically been at  
5 the White House to see Mr. Meadows walk into the Oval Office for that meeting.

6 Q Do you know whether before that meeting Mr. Meadows had a conversation  
7 with the President about who should or should not speak at the rally on the 6th?

8 A Mr. Meadows and Mr. Trump had many discussions about planning the rally  
9 and potential speakers for the rally. I don't recall any specific conversation that they  
10 had about this specific meeting with Ms. Pierson.

11 Q How about before, were you a part of any conversations personally between  
12 Mr. Meadows and the President about who should speak?

13 A I had been a participant in those discussions, and I had been a bystander at  
14 some discussions, but I wasn't an active participant to give my opinion about any rally  
15 speakers.

16 Q Understood. Where did those meetings take place, if you remember?

17 A Sometimes in the Oval Dining Room, sometimes in the Oval Office, on the  
18 plane, Air Force 1. And Mr. Meadows and Mr. Trump frequently spoke on the -- on the  
19 phone.

20 Q Ms. Pierson, in other messages, represented to people she was working  
21 with, that after speaking with Mr. Meadows on January 2nd, she understood the  
22 President planned to tell the rally-goers to march to the Capitol after he was done  
23 speaking. Is that consistent with what you understood the President planned to do or  
24 had been talking about planning to do at the rally?

25 A I'm sorry. Could you please repeat that question?

1 Q Yes. Ms. Pierson, after talking to Mr. Meadows on January 2nd, told others  
2 she was working with that she understood the President planned to tell the rally-goers on  
3 the 6th to march to the Capitol after he was done speaking.

4 In other words, 4 days before the event, she knew the President was going to tell  
5 people to march to the Capitol. Is that consistent with what you understood the  
6 President planned to do?

7 A Thank you for clarifying. I don't recall that exact phrasing coming up on  
8 January 2nd, and if I'm remembering correctly, that was a Saturday, I believe. I don't  
9 recall, on January 2nd, whether or not "march to the Capitol" was a specific phrase raised  
10 to the President and one that he was supportive of, right now.

11 I recall it definitely being raised, likely the 4th or the 5th, perhaps even the 3rd,  
12 but I -- I don't recall. The 2nd seems like a little early for me to remember that, but  
13 there's a chance it did. I just can't recall at this time.

14 Q But whether the 2nd or 3rd or 4th before the rally, you understood the  
15 President planned to tell the people who attended his speech to go to the Capitol once he  
16 was done?

17 A I want to be careful with phrasing because I don't know if he wanted to use  
18 the phrase march to the -- I don't want to give a verbatim message that he wanted to  
19 deliver if he had worked through any variations of it or if Mr. Meadows had thoughts  
20 about variations of phrasing. But "march to the Capitol" or a phrase along those lines I  
21 do recall being raised ahead of the January 6th rally.

22 Q The spirited discussions about who should speak at the rally, did these  
23 spirited discussions include the ones that you were personally present for with President  
24 Trump?

25 A No. He -- this conversation that I recall happened on Air Force 1 going back

1 to Washington, D.C., on January 4th after the rally, and there were a few Members that  
2 were traveling with us that evening. And I remember, I recall the topic of rally speakers  
3 coming up in the conference room, and I recall Mr. Mo Brooks' name being brought up.

4 And Mr. Meadows, at some point, something along the lines had said something  
5 to me of: We'll make it happen; Cassidy will reach out. And I had opinions about that,  
6 and I had private conversations with Mr. Meadows about that, but I -- that's the only  
7 conversation that I can specifically recall there being any controversy that I was physically  
8 a part of between Mr. Meadows and Mr. Trump.

9 Q Well, we'll come back to Mr. Brooks, but how about whether  
10 Mr. Meadows -- even if Mr. Meadows was not involved, were you present for a discussion  
11 with the President about who should speak or not speak at the rally?

12 A No, sir.

13 Q Whether spirited or not, in the conversations you were present for with the  
14 President about who should speak at the rally, did anybody raise any concerns about the  
15 rhetoric used by people who were proposed to speak with the President?

16 A No. Referring to a previous statement of mine, I believe this is not  
17 verbatim, and I apologize for that, but there were frequently a lot of opinions about being  
18 careful with the individuals that would speak because we wanted to make sure  
19 we -- individuals involved in the planning of the rally wanted to ensure that the message  
20 that the rally speakers would deliver was similar, if not the same, as the rhetoric that  
21 Mr. Trump wanted to use. We didn't -- they did not want anything to be taken out of  
22 context, and it -- for it be reflected poorly on Mr. Trump.

23 Mr. Passantino. Did you understand -- pardon me, [REDACTED] -- that I believe  
24 the question was whether you -- the President was aware of those conversations, not --

25 Ms. Hutchinson. Oh.

1           Mr. Passantino. -- I think, if I'm not mischaracterizing your question -- I think he  
2 was asking about your awareness of the President being involved.

3           Ms. Hutchinson. I -- I'm not aware whether or not the President knew that there  
4 was differing opinions or controversy about rally speakers. And I apologize if my first  
5 response was not clear enough.

6                           BY MR. [REDACTED]:

7           Q    No, it's fine. You don't have to apologize. And, if you look at that agenda  
8 that Ms. Pierson prepared, it speaks right to this. And, if you look at the bottom under  
9 the recommendations, I'll just ask if this is consistent with the discussions you were  
10 hearing about the rally speakers.

11           The first point she makes is: Keep the Ellipse portion intimate and official to  
12 control the narrative.

13           But she thinks, says: Limit to 2016 surrogates vetted and on your team, not their  
14 own.

15           The next is: Eliminate convicted felons that could damage the other speakers.

16           And the last is: Keep the fringe on the fringe. We have alternative -- I think  
17 that's a typo -- but states, or stages, available.

18           Is that all consistent with the types of discussions you heard about who should  
19 speak or not speak at the Ellipse --

20           A    Yes, sir.

21           Q    -- rally? All right.

22           A    Yes, sir.

23           Q    Now, if you look at, there's a set of text messages you have where the first  
24 one is an Emoji of Ms. Pierson popping a champagne bottle. Do you see that?

25           A    Yes, sir.

1 Q I'll represent to you, these are actually messages produced by Max Miller,  
2 that he had with Katrina Pierson. Do you know Mr. Miller?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q Fair to say he would work on events for the President?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q He was in the meeting with the President and Ms. Pierson on January 4th.  
7 And I just want to direct your attention to just the first part, just to set the context. He  
8 says to her -- he's in blue because he produced these messages. She's in gray. Okay?  
9 And she says on the first page, she has the Emoji, and he says: You did a great job killing  
10 some of those speakers.

11 She says: Hallelujah, praise the Lord Jesus, Amen.

12 Did you understand it going into that meeting, Ms. Hutchinson, that it was an  
13 active debate with the -- rather that the President was pushing back on any  
14 recommendations Ms. Pierson had for who should speak, that it was, in other words,  
15 something that she needed to celebrate after she was done killing some of those  
16 speakers from the list?

17 A I did believe that there was a reason for celebration when they were  
18 successful in ensuring that the President was as best to the ability of staffers protected on  
19 the day of the rally in terms of ensuring that the individuals speaking ahead of him were  
20 properly vetted.

21 Q Why would it even be an issue or something you would have to celebrate,  
22 being able to protect the President in that way? Who -- who was pushing for it to be  
23 otherwise, to your knowledge?

24 A As we've previously discussed, I recall there being certain individuals  
25 involved, such as Ms. Caroline Wren, that had opinions about who should speak that



1 might be different from those perhaps of Ms. Pierson and Mr. Miller. And the reason to  
2 celebrate would be because they wanted to accomplish eliminating or mitigating any  
3 individuals from speaking, such as Mr. Alexander, that could have a negative reflection on  
4 the President's message that day.

5 Q And, again, just trying -- Ms. Wren wasn't within the White House, right?  
6 As you said, she was a fundraiser?

7 A I don't know the specific role she played at the time, but she has participated  
8 in fundraising. She has participated in campaign activities. I don't know her official  
9 title or role on that day.

10 Q Was there -- was there anyone within the White House that you understood  
11 was on her side, so to speak, in pushing for the speakers that she wanted? Ms. Pierson  
12 mentioned in her message to Mr. Meadows whether Mr. Scavino was pushing for  
13 Ms. Wren's speakers, do you know?

14 A I don't recall if there was any -- if there were any individuals supportive of  
15 the speakers that she wanted, yeah.

16 Q Okay. Well, if we look at -- you have a set of -- and we're almost done  
17 here, you have a set of text messages between Ms. Pierson and Mr. Scavino. Do you see  
18 that on the first page? It's a Bates number of 904.

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q Okay. If you turn to 906, near the top of the page, the messages in blue are  
21 from Ms. Pierson and in gray is Mr. Scavino. At 5:39 p.m., Ms. Pierson says -- this is after  
22 her meeting with the President -- she says to Mr. Scavino: He basically pushed everyone  
23 to another stage, which is fine by me, but he did ask me to check with you on Ali. Sorry.

24 And then, if you go down the next -- Mr. Scavino's first response, it's at 4:23 a.m.  
25 on January 5th, and I'll read it in a second, but I'll ask this, did Mr. Scavino go down to

1 Dalton, Georgia, for the rally the night of the 4th, to your memory?

2 A Yes, Mr. Scavino traveled with us to Dalton, Georgia, the night of the 4th.

3 Q And presumably then he was on the flight back also to D.C. from Dalton?

4 A Yes, sir. He would've flown with the President on Marine One round trip  
5 and Air Force One round trip.

6 Q And so his response to Ms. Pierson is: Hey, sorry, been out of pocket on  
7 this cell. Just got in from Georgia a couple of hours ago. He brought up Ali. Just keep  
8 him on stage, not associated with POTUS or main event. POTUS said. We talked about  
9 on the way back.

10 Do you see that?

11 A Yes, sir.

12 Q Were you present for that conversation between the President and  
13 Mr. Scavino about Ali?

14 A No, sir.

15 Q Were you aware that it happened?

16 A I was aware Mr. Scavino had conversations with Mr. Trump on that flight,  
17 but that was not out of the ordinary for flights. Mr. Scavino frequently had close  
18 contact and individual conversations with the President.

19 Q Now --

20 A -- Mr. Scavino walk into Mr. Trump's office that evening, but I don't recall  
21 this topic being a specific one that Mr. Scavino wanted to discuss with him.

22 Q Now, in the conversations you had had where you were present for  
23 conversations with President Trump about the Ellipse rally, did Mr. Trump acknowledge  
24 that he knew who Ali Alexander was?

25 A I don't recall in spec- -- I recall Mr. Alexander's name being brought up in the

1 conference room that evening, but I don't recall Mr. Trump being the individual to raise  
2 the name. I want to be careful because I don't want to pin it on somebody, but I believe  
3 it was Ms. Marjorie Taylor Greene that had mentioned Mr. Alexander's name and the  
4 conversation had started. But I -- to be honest, I was in there, there were other  
5 individuals in there, in the conference room, and I believe at the time Mr. Meadows and I  
6 were sitting on a couch at the back of the conference room. So it was just, to me,  
7 passerby conversation that I wasn't paying attention to.

8 Q Just so -- just to the best of your memory, who else in the room -- the  
9 conference room at that time?

10 A Ms. Marjorie Taylor Greene, Mr. Lindsey Graham -- Senator Lindsey Graham,  
11 Mr. Meadows, myself, Mr. Trump, Johnny McEntee -- Mr. Johnny McEntee. There were  
12 other individuals in and out of the conference room. I wasn't -- I wasn't in there the  
13 entire duration of the flight, though.

14 Q Was Mr. Scavino --

15 A [Inaudible.]

16 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Was Mr. Scavino in there at the time that Mr. Alexander's  
17 name came up, that you can remember?

18 A I don't remember. I'm sorry.

19 Q What did Ms. Taylor Greene say about him or as best you can remember?

20 A I don't -- I don't recall any specific quotes or deliverable messages that were  
21 brought up about Mr. Alexander. I just recall hearing the name Alexander, and it just  
22 perked my attention for a moment, knowing that Mr. Meadows had had discussions  
23 about him earlier that day and likely the day before.

24 So I remember hearing his name, thinking it might be something I should pay  
25 attention to, but it seemed more of a casual conversation, so it wasn't anything that I felt

1 that he needed to participate in or raise to his attention in case he wanted to chime in at  
2 any point. It didn't seem like anything revolutionary.

3 Q Good word. Okay.

4 A I apologize for that.

5 Q Oh, you heard that comment. Okay. That was to myself.

6 A It's okay --

7 Q So you mentioned that Mr. Brooks came up as a conversation between you  
8 and Mr. Meadows and about whether you would reach out to Mr. Brooks. Is that right?

9 A Mr. Brooks had come up in a conversation between me and Mr. Meadows,  
10 yes. I had not actively told Mr. Meadows that I had or was willing to reach out to  
11 Mr. Brooks.

12 Q And why is that?

13 A At this point, I wanted to be careful about my outreach to Members, and I  
14 had personal thoughts about this decision, and I thought that Mr. Meadows should hear  
15 them prior to me reaching out. It shouldn't just be a -- a conversation that should  
16 happen lightly, knowing the direction it could take. And I wanted to ensure it was  
17 properly vetted before it was actually elevated and acted upon. I didn't want to  
18 preempt anything in case there was a reason to draw discussions back or outreach back.

19 Q Okay. I apologize; I was having a hard time kind of following that. So I'll  
20 just ask you to unpack it a bit. What was your concern about the direction it could go  
21 with Mr. Brooks?

22 A I believe the best way of phrasing it would just be I knew that there was  
23 conversations about carefully vetting rally speakers. I knew that Mr. Brooks had very  
24 strong opinions and had been known historically to deliver comments that might not be  
25 that well received by a lot of people.

1           So my concerns were more in my peripheral of wanting to ensure that the  
2           President was protected that day and that we were truly being careful about inviting  
3           people to speak at the rally. And knowing Mr. Brooks' involvement and his historical  
4           record of being a little more to the right on his rhetoric, I just wanted to make sure that I  
5           was not going to preempt any conversations with him if it wasn't going to actually turn  
6           into something and he wasn't actually going to be able to attend because I didn't want to  
7           have to be the one to walk that back.

8           Mr. Brooks had reached out to me a few times, but I believe, at this point, I had  
9           ignored most of his outreach, until there was a final decision made by either  
10          Mr. Meadows or whoever was in charge of making the decision.

11          Q     And so Mr. Brooks had reached out to you because he wanted to speak at  
12          the Ellipse on the 6th?

13          A     These texts were on my work phone. So I don't have them, and I don't  
14          recall what he specifically said at the time. But I recall Mr. Brooks reaching out to me,  
15          saying that he had heard he would speak on the 6th and if I knew anything about how he  
16          could get there or any timing. And I -- I don't recall the specific day. I remember he  
17          had sent me a few text messages in the days leading up to January 6th, but that was the  
18          overall message that had been portrayed to me.

19          Q     And so, ultimately, you tell me if I'm wrong, but we understand that Brian  
20          Jack and Megan Powers coordinated making the invitation to Mr. Brooks to speak. Is  
21          that right, to your knowledge?

22          A     Yes, sir. Me and Mr. Jack had conversations as well.

23          Q     Is it something you didn't want to be involved in yourself?

24          A     That's accurate.

25          Q     And the rhetoric you're talking about with regard to Mr. Brooks, and the

1 reaction to it, in thinking about whether he should speak, was that similar to the  
2 reactions that people were having about Mr. Alexander and the rhetoric he would use?

3 A I don't know -- I don't recall whether they were concerned about the same  
4 exact issues, but overall, yes.

5 Q Again, just to put a finer point on it, was it that they were -- that their  
6 language was too extreme, or whatever word you want to use, but that it was -- it just -- it  
7 was not of the --

8 A [Inaudible.]

9 Mr. Passantino. Go ahead. Let him ask the question.

10 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

11 Q So politically correct can be using curse words. It can be you saying things  
12 that are, you know, frankly, could be, you know, insensitive in a lot of ways, but we're  
13 trying to get to a broader point. Mr. Brooks and Mr. Alexander would say things, talk  
14 about revolution. They would talk about -- invoke the imagery of 1776. You know, I  
15 talked to you about victory or death. And I know you don't probably remember the  
16 particulars, but was this the type of language that was giving people pause about their  
17 being speakers on the 6th at the Ellipse?

18 A I don't want my response to be attributed to the exact phrases you had just  
19 outlined, but along those lines, yes, sir.

20 Q If we -- if we look at the Max Miller texts with Ms. Pierson, again the one  
21 with the champagne Emoji on the -- at the top, and I direct you near toward the bottom  
22 of that first page. You see the comment from Mr. Miller saying: Just glad we killed the  
23 National Guard and a procession.

24 Had you heard the term or the concept of a "procession" come up prior to  
25 January 6th, that is, the President processing from the Ellipse up to the Capitol?

1           A    I don't recall there being conversations specifically about the phrase  
2 "procession." I recall general conversations about whether he would go to the Capitol  
3 or if it was possible for him to go to the Capitol, but I do not recall that movement being  
4 referred to as a procession.

5           Q    And, as I understand, you covered this in detail your last time meeting with  
6 the committee, so I won't go over that again. But this concept of the National Guard,  
7 I'm not aware whether that had come up previously. Had you heard about, prior to  
8 January 6th, discussions of whether the National Guard should be deployed that day for  
9 security purposes?

10          A    I recall -- can you rephrase the -- or repeat the question. I'm sorry.

11          Q    That's fine. You see there that Mr. Miller says to Ms. Pierson: Just glad  
12 we killed the National Guard and a procession. Had you, at that time, prior to  
13 January 6th, were you aware of discussions within the White House about whether the  
14 National Guard should be deployed for security on the 6th?

15          A    In the -- I just want to be careful because I just feel like the question is  
16 overlapping with Max's text, and I -- this text makes no sense to me, to be frank. I don't  
17 know why that would've been used in the same context, and I don't know what "kill the  
18 National Guard" means. But I recall the National Guard being a topic of discussion -- to  
19 answer the last part of your question, I recall the National Guard being part of discussions  
20 that would be available as a resource that day. I recall Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows  
21 having conversations about the National Guard, but I don't know what it means in context  
22 of this specific text with Mr. Max Miller.

23          Q    No, that's fair. I know you weren't there.

24                What were the discussions that you're aware of that took place between  
25 Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows about the National Guard?

1           A    I recall them broadly speaking about having the National Guard in  
2 Washington, D.C., as a presence that day, in case it was necessary to control forces, the  
3 same way that the National Guard had been involved in riots during the previous summer  
4 in Washington, D.C., as a preemptive security measure.

5           And I -- yeah, that's -- if you have further questions, I'm happy to answer them.

6           Q    We do.   And so was there discussion about the risk of violence breaking out  
7 on the 6th and thus whether the National Guard should be deployed?   And, again, we're  
8 talking about between Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows.

9           A    Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows frequently had conversations about security  
10 protocol, and whenever there was going to be -- and generally speaking whenever there  
11 is -- a large crowd of individuals in a small area, you want to preemptively plan in case  
12 there is a necessity to have individuals that are equipped to manage crowd control and  
13 potential violence that could break out.   The 6th was one of the events clearly that was  
14 brought into light with Mr. Ornato -- Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows.

15           But I don't recall it being a specific conversation with them as a preemptive  
16 measure because the individuals might be violent that day.   It was more of:   We want  
17 to be careful in case there is violence or conflict breaks out.   There's going to be a lot of  
18 people in Washington, D.C.; let's work to have the necessary means so nothing bad  
19 happens.

20           Q    Was -- in the context of those conversations, was it discussed, to your  
21 knowledge, the fact that the President would be -- whatever the verb is -- sending, asking,  
22 telling people at the rally to go to the Capitol afterwards while the Capitol was in session,  
23 was that a factor that they talked about in discussions of security on the 6th?

24           A    May I have a moment to speak with my attorney, please?

25           Q    Sure.



1           A    Thank you.

2           [Discussion off the record.]

3           Mr. Passantino.   Mr. [REDACTED] so the reason why we had the break, the issue of  
4           concern that Ms. Hutchinson has, is, in the last time we were here speaking, there was  
5           sort of extensive discussion about National Guard generally as a security measure  
6           because of January 6th and that Congress was in session.   And she's concerned about  
7           the testimony that she'd given there and something that we've gone over, and she  
8           obviously wants to be really careful not to say anything that's inaccurate or inconsistent  
9           with what she said before.

10           I understood your question to be in the context of National Guard with respect to  
11           a procession, which is a new -- I'm letting her go because that is new topic and using  
12           National Guard as a security vehicle if the President was going to march.

13           And so what Ms. Hutchinson's concern was, as you start drifting into just sort of  
14           generalize National Guard as a security means around the Capitol, she's talked about that  
15           a lot and just wants to be really careful she's not reploting ground so that something  
16           doesn't get inadvertently -- understood.

17           I think if you -- if you narrow your questions to where I understood you to be  
18           starting, which was National Guard as a security vehicle with respect to a planned  
19           procession to the Capitol, that would be new for her, and she certainly wouldn't have any  
20           problem talking about it.   But that was -- it was that overlap to what she had talked  
21           about before as a general security measure at the Capitol before, which was the source of  
22           the concern.

23           Mr. [REDACTED] Understood.

24           Mr. Passantino.   Okay.

25           Mr. [REDACTED].   Thank you for that.   And Mr. Raskin has joined us, so thank you for

1 being here.

2 Certainly didn't mean to drift, and as I understood it, I'll ask you, Ms. Hutchinson, I  
3 think you said you had never heard of the concept of a procession prior to January 6th.  
4 Is that right?

5 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't recall his potential trip or travel to the Capitol being  
6 referred to specifically as a procession, but I recall discussions about whether or not he  
7 would go to the Capitol.

1

2 [1:06 p.m.]

3

BY MR. [REDACTED]

4

Q Right. And I wasn't trying to ask about whether he would have security going up to the Capitol for him, but I'll ask because it's been raised. Was there a discussion about whether he should have National Guard for that movement potentially from the Ellipse to the Capitol as security?

8

A I just want to break that into just two parts.

9 The first part is, yes, I -- and we talked about it extensively last time. We've talked internally at the White House extensively about security protocol with the Secret Service and what it would -- the assets that would be required to take him to the Capitol if that's what he wanted to do. And I'm happy to have another discussion about that, but --

14

Mr. Passantino. No, we won't do that.

15

Ms. Hutchinson. -- I don't recall there being a discussion about the National Guard being in Washington, D.C., intended for the purpose of him going to the Capitol that day.

18

BY MR. [REDACTED]:

19

Q If you look at the exhibit of Ms. Pierson's agenda for the meeting on the 4th -- do you see that one page again in front of you? Do you see in the middle in handwriting on the right edge "10K Nat Guard"? Do you see that?

22

A Yes, sir.

23

Q Okay.

24

There's also been public reporting after the 6th that President Trump had discussed internally at the White House this concept of having 10,000 National Guard

25

1 troops.

2 Did you ever hear any discussion either by President Trump himself or others  
3 speaking about the President and this idea of having 10,000 National Guard troops  
4 deployed on January 6th?

5 A I recall the number 10,000 being generally mentioned as the number that  
6 could be associated. I don't recall if that's the number that they wanted it to be or if  
7 that was just how many National Guard troops were available to come to D.C. that day.

8 Q When you say "they wanted it to be," who's "they"?

9 A I apologize. When Mr. Meadows and Mr. Ornato had conversations  
10 and -- or if that was elevated to Mr. Trump. I just recall Mr. Meadows and Mr. Ornato  
11 having discussions about 10,000 National Guard troops, National Guard, D.C. rally, things  
12 along those lines. I don't recall if Mr. Meadows and Mr. Ornato had discussions about if  
13 the goal was to have 10,000 National Guard troops in Washington, D.C., on January 6,  
14 2021, or if that's just how many would be in Washington, D.C., that day.

15 Q To your knowledge, was any order ever given from anyone at the  
16 White House to the National Guard to have any troops available on January 6th before  
17 that day?

18 A Available on standby, posted throughout the city, or just in D.C. preempting  
19 travel and the events of January 6th?

20 Q Either way you heard about it. I mean, however you heard about it, did  
21 you hear about any sort of order being given prior to January 6th that you know of with  
22 regard to the National Guard?

23 A I knew that they would be here prior to January 6th because it wouldn't  
24 make sense for them to come the morning of January 6th. But I don't recall them being  
25 in town -- there being discussions of National Guard being in town prior to January 6th for

1 any other purpose other than having a presence in Washington, D.C., on January 6th.

2 Q Uh-huh.

3 So, if you go back to the text between Ms. Pierson and Mr. Miller -- and  
4 remember, he made the comment about killing the National Guard and the procession.  
5 If you turn to page 2 of that text exchange, you see at the bottom, at January 4th at  
6 8:09 p.m., it's Ms. Pierson who sends a link to a Politico article about Mayor Bowser  
7 requesting National Guard.

8 Do you see that?

9 A I see the Politico article titled "D.C. National Guard Activated to Respond to  
10 Pro-Trump Protests." I don't -- I don't --

11 Mr. Passantino. Let him -- he'll ask you the question.

12 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

13 Q No, that's fine, it's fine. You see the link?

14 A Yes.

15 Q If you go to the next page, on page 3 of that, do you see at the top there  
16 Mr. Miller follows up? It's in blue. And he laughs and makes a comment in the first  
17 bubble. But in the second one, he said, "But chief already had said no for days!" and  
18 then, "The man is never wrong."

19 So I'll start on the first part of that message, the first sentence. Did you  
20 understand people to refer to Mr. Meadows as "chief" during his time, just for short?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q And did you understand Mr. Miller to refer to him as "chief" when he would  
23 talk about Mr. Meadows?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q So, as we understand this, he was conveying that Mr. Meadows had said

1 repeatedly for days "no" to the idea of the National Guard being deployed in the city on  
2 the 6th. Is that consistent with what you understood at that time?

3 A I apologize. Would you mind repeating that question one time, please?

4 Q Yes. We understood that, when Mr. Meadows said this, it reflected that  
5 Mark Meadows had said for several days -- and this is January 4th now -- had said for  
6 several days "no" to the idea of having National Guard troops deployed in D.C. on  
7 January 6th.

8 Was that consistent with what you understood Mr. Meadows' position to be at  
9 this point in time?

10 A Honestly, I don't recall any specific opinion that he had. I recall him having  
11 a lot of discussions about National Guard. I'll say Max's text is confusing, I think, from  
12 your overall question here. I was under the impression that Mr. Meadows was  
13 supportive of having National Guard in Washington, D.C., in some capacity. But if the  
14 text is specifically about -- or if your question is specifically about Max's text here, the  
15 "days" part is confusing for me.

16 I don't recall Mr. Meadows having an opinion or us having a conversation about  
17 where their presence would be at the time that they would be. I just recall him  
18 coordinating with the necessary individuals internally and at agencies to have them here  
19 if necessary.

20 Q Thank you.

21 I think Mr. [REDACTED] might have a followup question or two.

22 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

23 Q Yeah, I did, just briefly. I know we've got some members on, and I want to  
24 make sure they have a chance to ask their questions.

25 But before we do that, I just wanted to go back, Ms. Hutchinson, to the text

1 exchange, [REDACTED], in which Meadows suggests that somebody talk to Dan Scavino. I  
2 don't remember the exhibit number. But I think Mr. [REDACTED] asked you some questions  
3 before on this issue of who was going to be on the stage -- there it is. And I think  
4 Meadows is texting with Katrina, and he says, "Talk it over with Scavino."

5 I'm trying to get a sense, Ms. Hutchinson, if Dan Scavino was sort of more  
6 responsible for or in charge of who ultimately would speak at the rally than  
7 Mr. Meadows. It sounds to me, just from reading this, like Meadows refers Katrina on  
8 this issue to Dan Scavino. And I'm just wondering if that's consistent with your sense as  
9 to sort of who was in charge or was closest to the President when it came to the issue of  
10 speakers.

11 A Mr. Meadows was intimately involved with these discussions, but  
12 Mr. Meadows also had a very limited bandwidth at this time.

13 Mr. Scavino, as you all know, has a very active role in helping the President plan  
14 messaging and social media outreach and that aspect prior to Mr. Trump even becoming  
15 a candidate for President.

16 So for Mr. Meadows to tell Ms. Pierson to have the conversation with Scavino, it  
17 wouldn't have been anything out of the ordinary if Mr. Meadows had limited time and  
18 resources because he was working on other things actively and wasn't just focused on  
19 speakers.

20 Q Yeah.

21 A He was focused on other things too. He would've naturally told her to go  
22 speak with Dan, because Dan would have the most updated -- Mr. Scavino would have  
23 the most up-to-date information.

24 Q Yeah.

25 A lot of the people that were sort of the subject of the dispute -- Ali Alexander,

1 Alex Jones -- are very active on social media, which I understand was kind of Dan  
2 Scavino's area.

3 Again, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but I'm wondering whether or not  
4 the reference to go talk to Dan is because he was more familiar with them, had more of a  
5 relationship with them, with some of the people that were being proposed as speakers.  
6 Is that right, or am I reading that wrong?

7 A Could you repeat that one more time, please?

8 Q Yeah. A lot of the people that we're talking about here as, you know, will  
9 they or will they not speak or be on the stage are, sort of, far-right social media or regular  
10 media personalities. And I'm wondering if Mr. Scavino had more of a familiarity with  
11 them, a relationship with them, and that's why Mr. Meadows was suggesting that Katrina  
12 Pierson speak to him.

13 That's my one possible interpretation. I'm just wondering if that's accurate, if  
14 you have any thought on that.

15 A I don't want to speak on behalf of Mr. Meadows and his intentions with  
16 telling Ms. Pierson to have the conversation with Mr. Scavino. And I certainly don't  
17 want to speak on Mr. Scavino's behalf about his personal relationships with people.

18 But I will say -- and, again, reverting to my previous statement -- and I apologize if  
19 this is not answering your question, but -- Mr. Meadows knows that Mr. Scavino has  
20 relationships with a lot of people. And Mr. Scavino was also, like Mr. Meadows,  
21 involved in planning the rally. And because Mr. Meadows had his hands dipped in a lot  
22 of different buckets at this time, it was not out of the ordinary or out of context for him to  
23 tell an individual to have discussions with Mr. Scavino either because he had the most  
24 up-to-date information or because he was more actively involved in planning this one  
25 area of the rally.



1           But I don't know the intentions behind either of them, and I can't speak on their  
2           behalf. Those are questions that you'll have to ask them.

3           Q    Yeah, no, and I appreciate that. And I don't mean to ask you an unfair  
4           question. It just seems like Scavino potentially has much more of a relationship with  
5           some of the people involved in this discussion, given his unique role in the White House  
6           and what he does, than Mr. Meadows and that that was perhaps a reason for him to  
7           direct Katrina Pierson to Mr. Scavino.

8           If you don't know whether that's what Mr. Meadows was thinking, I understand.  
9           But do you know why it is he sends her to Scavino to discuss this issue of who should  
10          speak at the rally?

11          A    No, sir.

12          Q    Okay.

13          Scavino was present, according to the agenda, in the discussion when Ms. Pierson  
14          actually goes to the White House. Is that right?

15          A    It's correct on this paper right here. Now, this is not an official  
16          White House schedule proposal --

17          Q    Yeah, I know.

18          A    -- so --

19          Q    And you weren't -- yeah. And you weren't there anyway, so I guess the  
20          document --

21          A    Well, so --

22          Q    -- speaks for itself.

23          A    Yeah, I was not there. But this was something that was submitted to the  
24          White House, likely from Ms. Pierson, so it would've gone into White House format, and  
25          the participants very likely could've changed. I don't know if you have the official

1 White House schedule proposal. But those are just the people that she had proposed to  
2 be in that meeting that day.

3 Mr. Passantino. I think what he's asking is, do you know from personal  
4 knowledge whether Mr. Scavino was in this meeting at the time?

5 Ms. Hutchinson. No. I wasn't at the White House that time.

6 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. Big picture, Ms. Hutchinson, I'm just trying to get a sense as  
7 to whether Mr. Scavino was, sort of, more actively involved in navigating this issue than  
8 Mr. Meadows, if you know.

9 Mr. Passantino. "This issue"?

10 Mr. [REDACTED]. "This issue" being who --

11 Ms. Hutchinson. I'm sorry --

12 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. Sorry, Steve. Who's going to speak at the rally, that's  
13 what we're talking about. That's the issue.

14 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't have the ability to quantify who had more  
15 correspondence -- between Mr. Scavino and Mr. Meadows, about who had more  
16 correspondence with individuals planning the rally. If Mr. Scavino sent 101 texts to rally  
17 planners and Mr. Meadows sent 100 texts to rally planners, then yes. I don't have the  
18 ability to quantify that.

19 I know that they were both were involved in planning the rally. I know that  
20 Mr. Scavino would frequently brief Mr. Meadows on conversations about rally speakers.  
21 But I don't want to speak and I can't speak and attest to whether he was more intimately  
22 involved than Mr. Meadows was.

23 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. That's all I have.

24 Why don't we turn to Ms. Cheney and Mr. Raskin, Mr. Aguilar, and Mr. Kinzinger?  
25 If any of you have questions, now would be a good time.

1 Mr. Kinzinger. None for me. Thanks.

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

3 Are you done, [REDACTED]?

4 Mr. [REDACTED]. Thank you. Nice to meet you.

5 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

6 Mr. [REDACTED]. How are you doing on time? Do you want to take a break or keep  
7 going?

8 Mr. Passantino. Do you mind if we take a 5-minute break?

9 Mr. [REDACTED]. No, absolutely not.

10 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course.

11 Mr. [REDACTED]. That's fine. We'll take 5.

12 Mr. Passantino. Okay. Very good. Thank you.

13 Mr. [REDACTED]. We'll go off the record.

14 [Recess.]

15 Mr. [REDACTED]. Why don't we go back on the record? It's 1:25 p.m. on March 7th,  
16 and we're resuming the interview of Ms. Cassidy Hutchinson.

17 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

18 Q So, when we left off in the last session, Ms. Hutchinson, we were talking a  
19 little bit about John Eastman. And I just want to show you two documents to see if they  
20 look familiar to you.

21 So, [REDACTED], if you could please pull up exhibit No. 31.

22 Now, this has been widely reported on, and so my questions to you are going to  
23 be based on what you knew at the time, so before January 20th in particular and  
24 January 6th even more specifically, not on what you've read since then.

25 This is one of John Eastman's memos, and it talks about his theory related to the

1 Vice President during the joint session as president of the Senate to count or not count  
2 certain electoral votes.

3 I'm also going to show you the top of the next exhibit, which is exhibit No. 32,  
4 which looks very similar. And I'll give you as much time as you need with these, if you'd  
5 like it.

6 But do you remember seeing these memos drafted by John Eastman before  
7 January 6, 2021?

8 A Could you scroll down, please? Thank you.

9 At the time, no, I don't recall these specific documents coming across my desk  
10 prior to January 6th.

11 Q Do you remember Mr. Meadows or anybody else giving you any documents  
12 related to John Eastman and his theories about the Vice President and his role on  
13 January 6th?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q What were those documents that you remember?

16 A Do you mean in terms of, like, the format they were in or the context of the  
17 documents?

18 Q Yeah, what were they? Were they memos? Were they notes?

19 A I recall a lot of memos, PowerPoints with Mr. Eastman's information. I  
20 don't know if Mr. Eastman or his team put the PowerPoints together -- I didn't know at  
21 the time whether Mr. Eastman or his team put PowerPoints together. But I recall  
22 memos, notes, documents, briefing material, binders with Mr. Eastman's theories, certain  
23 messages that he wanted conveyed and his research prior to leading up to January 6th.

24 Q One of the things you just mentioned was they contained certain messages  
25 that Mr. Eastman wanted conveyed prior to January 6th. Do you remember the gist of

1 those messages?

2 A Broadly speaking, that the Vice President had authority to  
3 participate -- "participate" is not the right word -- that Mr. Eastman believed that the Vice  
4 President had the authority to act on January 6th in ways that had not previously been  
5 exercised by Vice Presidents -- and which is now widely circulated -- at the time, is the gist  
6 and context of the documents.

7 Q Do you know why Mr. Meadows had them -- had the documents?

8 A I know Mr. Meadows had the documents because it was a legal theory that  
9 people in the White House and out of the White House were interested in pursuing or at  
10 least hearing. So those materials had been elevated and brought to Mr. Meadows'  
11 attention for his review and his insight in any meetings that he might participate in.

12 Q And do you know what he did with those documents? Did he pass them  
13 along to anybody else that you're aware of?

14 A I don't know if he would've passed an original copy off to someone or if he  
15 would've forwarded emails to people, no. He had me print out two copies of the  
16 PowerPoint, one for myself and one for him. I don't know if he would've given his copy,  
17 his only copy, to somebody. But I know that he had shared information with people,  
18 both internal staffers at the White House and with external individuals as well. But if  
19 we're just talking, like, logistical distribution, I don't know.

20 Q Were you asked to share them with Members of Congress, those materials  
21 that John Eastman provided?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q Who'd you share them with?

24 A Members of Congress.

25 Q Do you remember anybody specifically?

1 A Broadly speaking?

2 Q Sure.

3 Mr. Passantino. I think he's asking if you specifically --

4 Ms. Hutchinson. Well, if we're talking about specific documents, I don't recall  
5 that -- I mean, there were certain documents -- let's say there's document A.

6 Mr. Meadows might've said, could you forward this off to Mr. Jim Jordan and Warren  
7 Davidson?

8 So, I mean, do you want me to go through and list Members --

9 Mr. Passantino. So I think, in fairness -- I think -- and don't let me  
10 mischaracterize your question -- he's talking about documents pertaining to the legal  
11 theory. Which Member, not which document --

12 Mr. [REDACTED]. Correct.

13 Mr. Passantino. -- if I'm characterizing correctly.

14 Ms. Hutchinson. I mean, there -- and I'm just trying to be careful, and please  
15 excuse me. I'm not trying to be difficult in my response here. I just -- there was a lot  
16 of document-passing back and forth at this time, and I don't want to falsely attribute  
17 anything specifically to Mr. Eastman's documents to individual Members of Congress.  
18 Because, to be honest, I think the first time I heard the name John Eastman was  
19 January 1st or 2nd. But I know now, looking back, that a lot of what was passed off to  
20 Members had to do with his theories.

21 So I can't attribute specific documents to specific Members, but, broadly speaking,  
22 I know a lot of what I had passed along, now, had to do with Mr. Eastman's theories.  
23 Does that make sense?

24 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah, that makes --

25 Mr. Passantino. And I think -- yeah. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

1 He is not asking what you subsequently learned. He's asking about what you  
2 specifically --

3 Ms. Hutchinson. Right.

4 Mr. Passantino. -- recall at the time. If you know, you know. If you don't  
5 know, you don't know. That's what he's asking.

6 Ms. Hutchinson. I mean, at the time, I recall both Mr. Meadows and Mr. Giuliani  
7 asking me to pass along a few documents specifically to Senator Lindsey Graham,  
8 Congressman Mo Brooks. This was after -- I'm trying to use the benchmark in my head  
9 of the first time that I had heard Mr. Eastman's name. And this list isn't extensive, but I  
10 know Mr. Graham, Mr. Brooks, a lot of -- Mr. Perry -- a lot of Members involved in the  
11 Freedom Caucus.

12 Again, I mean, if you have more specific questions, I'm happy to try to answer  
13 them. It's just difficult for me to distinguish and draw the line between what I passed  
14 off at the time knowing it was Mr. Eastman's stuff and looking back now.

15 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

16 Q That's fine. And I understand how careful you're trying to be, and  
17 appreciate that.

18 I will say, just switching gears a little bit, Mr. Eastman's theory and what's shown  
19 in the memos that I just showed you is that the Vice President could take some kind of  
20 action because certain States had transmitted dual slates of electors. Or, at least, that's  
21 what he wrote down. So I want to ask you a few questions about these dual slates of  
22 electors.

23 Were you aware of an effort to have Trump electors vote for  
24 then-President Trump in States that he had lost?

25 A Could you repeat that one time, please?

1 Q Sure, yep. Are you aware of any effort -- or the effort, rather, to have  
2 Trump or Republican electors send in electoral college votes for Mr. Trump in States that  
3 Mr. Trump had lost in the election?

4 A Could I have one moment to speak with my attorney?

5 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course.

6 Mr. Passantino. And, actually, before we even do that, are you talking about, is  
7 she aware today of the fact that that was a theory? Or are you asking, at the time was  
8 she aware that that was a theory that Mr. Eastman had propounded? Because maybe  
9 I'm anticipating her question, but I --

10 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. No, it would be back in the time period we're talking about,  
11 so pre-January 6th. I can represent to you and Ms. Hutchinson that Republican electors  
12 in States that Mr. Trump had lost sent in electoral college votes for Mr. Trump despite the  
13 fact that he lost that State. And so that's what I'm getting to. They sent them in at the  
14 same time as the electoral college met, on December 14th.

15 Mr. Passantino. Right. And so the question -- because that's widely reported,  
16 obviously, now. So the question is, was she aware -- were you aware at the time that  
17 this was the theory that Mr. Eastman was propounding?

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Oh, no, no, no. This is just factually -- this has nothing to do with  
19 Eastman yet. Just the fact that this was going on, that Trump electors were meeting to  
20 cast electoral votes for Trump despite that he had lost in the State, divorced from  
21 Eastman.

22 Mr. Passantino. Okay. And I'm denying my -- my client here wants to talk to  
23 me real quick. Do you mind if we take just a minute then?

24 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course. Absolutely.

25 Mr. Passantino. All right. Thank you.



1 [Discussion off the record.]

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. I see you're back.

3 Mr. Passantino. Okay. Yeah. Thanks.

4 So where I think -- I think what we would look for some help just on clarifying:  
5 There were a lot of theories that were passed around. You're focusing on a specific  
6 theory. Ms. Hutchinson wants to be super-careful that she's not, sort of, speculating  
7 about, okay, was that specific theory about the alternate electors in Georgia and  
8 Wisconsin or wherever it was.

9 I think where she's just going to ask for some specificity is with respect to which  
10 specific legal theory, because -- and, again, I'll let her testify. I'm not going to talk for  
11 her. But there were a lot of theories, and so she just doesn't want to get confused,  
12 speculating about one theory versus another maybe getting passed along.

13 But --

14 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah.

15 Mr. Passantino. -- I hope I -- yeah.

16 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. That's --

17 Ms. Hutchinson. We could --

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Go ahead. Sorry.

19 Ms. Hutchinson. No, it was fine. We can go into theories here if that's what  
20 you'd like to proceed with, but I just want to make sure I understand each one  
21 individually just because --

22 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

23 Q Yep. And so, just to be clear, at this point, I'm not going to ask about any  
24 theories or how something might operate. I'm just asking, did you know of the efforts  
25 to have alternate Trump electors meet in States where Mr. Trump had lost?

1 A That's helpful. Thank you for clarifying.

2 And, yes, sir, to answer your question.

3 Q Okay. When did you first hear about this idea of having Trump electors  
4 meet and cast electoral college votes in States that Mr. Trump had lost? Was it before  
5 the election or after?

6 A After the election.

7 Q Do you remember approximately when you first heard that Trump electors  
8 would be meeting to cast electoral votes in States that Mr. Trump had lost?

9 A Could you repeat that one time? I'm sorry.

10 Q No, that's fine. Do you remember approximately when you first heard  
11 about this effort to have Trump electors meet and cast electoral college votes in States  
12 that Mr. Trump had lost?

13 A Around Thanksgiving 2020.

14 Q What do you remember about it?

15 A It would've been prior to Thanksgiving. I don't remember if it was the week  
16 before -- I don't have dates in front of me. I don't remember if it would've been the  
17 week before or perhaps 2 days before Thanksgiving.

18 I just recall, as legal battles in States that were contested at the time were gaining  
19 more traction in conversations internally at the White House, there were theories. And  
20 that's kind of the benchmark in my head of when I first started seeing documents and  
21 remember discussions of meetings happening with individuals involved in -- or with  
22 individuals with knowledge of that theory or how to perhaps entertain that moving  
23 forward as, you know, they worked on a tight deadline as, like, the benchmark dates were  
24 coming up in individual States.

25 Q Okay. You said quite a lot there. And the benchmark dates, are you

1 talking about, like, the safe harbor date for the electoral college sending in their electors,  
2 as well as then the electoral college meeting on December 14th? Are those some of the  
3 dates you're referring to?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q Okay.

6 Who do you remember being involved in those early discussions around the  
7 Thanksgiving time regarding having alternate electors meet?

8 A Mr. Giuliani; several of Mr. Giuliani's associates; Mr. Meadows; Members of  
9 Congress, although it's difficult to distinguish if the Members that I'm thinking of were  
10 involved during Thanksgiving or if they were involved as we progressed through  
11 December.

12 Does that help clarify?

13 Q It does, yes. As far as Mr. Giuliani's associates, do you remember anybody  
14 in particular by name?

15 A Mr. Bernie Kerik. I remember Mr. Boris Epshteyn being involved, but I -- I  
16 can see their faces in my head; I can't recall their names. Oh, Mr. Phil Waldron. That's  
17 all I can recall right now.

18 Q And those people you just identified, they were involved in the discussions  
19 about the alternate electors?

20 A In discussing the theories of alternate electors, yes.

21 Q Do you remember any meetings with the President where this idea of having  
22 alternate electors meet and cast electoral college votes in States that he had lost came  
23 up?

24 A I don't recall any specific -- I don't recall meetings scheduled specifically to  
25 discuss that topic. I recall hearing after the fact that it had been brought up in the Oval

1 Office or in the Oval dining room. But during this time, I don't recall any meetings on his  
2 schedule with the intention of discussing that topic --

3 Q Okay.

4 A -- extensively.

5 Q Do you know -- okay. Do you know what the President's views were about  
6 this topic, alternate electors, after they'd come up in gatherings in the Oval Office or the  
7 dining room, even if not scheduled?

8 A Oh, I can't speak to Mr. Trump's personal thoughts on that topic.

9 Q And not to say that I'm going to ask you to speculate for the President, but  
10 did you hear what his thoughts or opinions were about this plan to have alternate  
11 electors meet in States that he had lost?

12 A All I knew and know, looking back now, is during this time period that  
13 Mr. Trump was willing to hear theories and would ask his people -- Mr. Giuliani,  
14 Mr. Meadows -- to look into it. I don't know whether he supported it, but I know that  
15 he supported his trusted confidants to explore those theories at the time.

16 Q And this was one of the theories that he asked his trusted confidants to  
17 explore, to the best of your knowledge?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q Now, when those discussions would come up about alternate electors -- I'm  
20 just going to refer to them as that, if that's okay. But when those discussions about  
21 alternate electors would come up, do you remember there being discussions about the  
22 Vice President and his role on January 6th coming up at the same time?

23 A Could you specify a time period?

24 Q Pre-Thanksgiving, so those early discussions about alternate electors.

25 A I don't recall specifically right now if or how it was elevated to Mr. Pence's

1 team or Mr. Pence himself pre-Thanksgiving.

2 Q Okay.

3 What about post-Thanksgiving, when these discussions with -- and maybe I'll use  
4 another benchmark date. Pre-electoral-college-meeting-date -- which is December the  
5 14th, if there's any significance to that to you -- in discussions about alternate electors at  
6 the White House, do you remember the Vice President's authority also coming up as part  
7 of those discussions?

8 A If we're looking at the time period between Thanksgiving and  
9 December 14th, I recall somewhere in that time period, whether it be December 13th or  
10 November 30th, Mr. Pence's name coming up because of the role that he would play on  
11 January 6th.

12 But I don't recall really until probably December -- between December 15th and  
13 20th a more explicit role that Mr. Pence could play and how that was being evaluated  
14 internally.

15 Q What do you remember about that discussion in the timeframe you just  
16 provided, approximately the 15th through the 20th of December maybe, about  
17 Mr. Pence's explicit role in that discussion?

18 A I just recall, somewhere in that timeframe -- now, again, I apologize, it  
19 could've been December 8th -- between December 8th and the 20th. I can't specifically  
20 recall, and I just don't want to, like, categorize anything too definitively. But I do recall,  
21 somewhere in mid-December, early to mid-December, starting to receive materials either  
22 for meetings or from Members of Congress about Mr. Pence's role and that, kind of,  
23 being the first time that I had read materials of that nature, if that makes any sense.

24 Q It does. Do you remember generally what those materials were? Were  
25 they PowerPoints? Memos?

1           A    PowerPoints and memos.

2           Q    And do you remember who provided the PowerPoints in particular?

3           A    I don't remember who would've emailed them to me.  Members frequently  
4 sent me a lot of stuff.  I frequently received emails from a lot of people.  It likely  
5 would've been from a Member directly or Mr. Meadows had given my cell phone number  
6 to somebody and said, give Cassidy a call and text this to her, or something.  But I don't  
7 recall specific individuals, and I don't want to falsely attribute that to anybody.

8           Q    Okay.

9                If you could please pull up exhibit 36.  This is an email between Mark Meadows  
10 and Jason Miller, or a string of emails, I should say.

11               And we can go to the bottom of page 1.  On December 6th, Mr. Meadows wrote  
12 to Jason Miller.  He said, "Let's have a discussion about this tomorrow," and there's an  
13 attachment called the "Chesebro memo on real deadline2."

14               Do you know who Ken Chesebro is?

15           A    No.  No, sir.

16           Q    Okay.  And I'll represent to you that that memo is about January 6th and  
17 having electoral votes sent to Congress for consideration on January 6th.

18               Later, Jason Miller writes back.  This is kind of middle of the page.  He says,  
19 "You bet.  So you know, Justin and I did on-background calls on this very subject with  
20 Maria, Levin, Chuck Todd and Margaret Brennan yesterday (I might be missing 1 to 2  
21 others)."  And then he signs off with something else.

22               And then Mark Meadows replies, "If you are on it then never mind the meeting.  
23 We just need to have someone coordinating the electors for states."

24               So do you know what Mr. Meadows' role was in coordinating these alternate  
25 electors in States that President Trump had lost?

1           A    I mean, Mr. Meadows was involved -- Mr. Meadows was involved with the  
2   electors.  I don't know the specific role that he played or was portraying himself to play  
3   moving forward, whether he would be the sole point of contact or advocate for that or if  
4   it was more of something that he was trying to have people coordinate through him so he  
5   would have insight on everything.

6           Q    To the best of your knowledge, did he seem to be following the progress of  
7   having these alternate electors meet and cast votes?

8           A    Yes, sir.

9           Q    I saw you nodding your head.  What makes you say that?  What are you  
10  thinking of when I asked that question?  Was there anything in particular that he was  
11  tracking?

12          A    Nothing extremely particular.  And I say that because I don't remember a  
13  particular event that makes me have that immediate reaction.  I just remember him  
14  frequently having calls, meetings, and outreach with individuals and this just being a  
15  prominent topic of discussion in our office.

16          Q    Would you say he had dozens of calls and meetings on this topic of alternate  
17  electors?  And I acknowledge I'm asking you to speculate, so your best guess.

18          A    Can you -- and thank you for acknowledging that, but would you mind giving  
19  a loose time period, if it's from the 3rd to the 20th or the beginning of December?  I'm  
20  cautious about tying a number to --

21          Q    Yeah.  Sure.  And I'll expand even more broadly than that.  So, from the  
22  period of the election until January the 6th, I mean, was this a topic of conversation that  
23  came up in dozens -- I mean, could you guess just a range of how many calls or meetings  
24  this actually came up in for Mr. Meadows?

25          A    Dozens would not be inaccurate.

1 Q Would not be inaccurate?

2 A Would not be -- yeah, sorry. Dozens would be accurate. But dozens  
3 could mean 24 or it could mean 96, so I --

4 Q Sure. Fair enough.

5 And in those conversations and meetings, was it the people primarily that you  
6 talked about earlier, like Mr. Giuliani, his associates, Members of Congress?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 Q Do you know if White House Counsel was ever brought into the discussions  
9 about having alternate electors meet and cast votes for President Trump in States that he  
10 had lost?

11 Mr. Passantino. And he's just asking if you know. He's not asking for the  
12 content of those communications.

13 Ms. Hutchinson. Mr. Meadows would bring individuals from White House  
14 Counsel's Office into the meetings that he would have, and sometimes ask his scheduler  
15 to establish a call line or somebody to dial in if it was going to be a call. But  
16 context-wise, I don't know.

17 BY MR. [REDACTED]

18 Q And just to be clear, I think you meant this, but I don't want to assume.  
19 And so, was White House Counsel brought in for these conversations specifically about  
20 alternate electors?

21 A Forgive me for taking a pause. I just want to be careful with how I phrase  
22 it, because Mr. Meadows frequently, if not almost always, would have representatives  
23 from White House Counsel's Office in his meetings. So, yes, he would request an  
24 individual from White House Counsel's Office to attend these meetings.

25 Now, whether that was because it was about this specific topic or if it was just



1 more of a procedural thing for him -- I wasn't involved in scheduling for Mr. Meadows.  
2 That was Ms. Thurston's role. And that wouldn't have even really been a conversation  
3 that they would've had.

4 It would've just been Mr. Meadows' train of thought of: These people are  
5 coming; I should have somebody from our legal team here too. And that's just generally  
6 how he thought.

7 Q Do you know if anybody from the White House Counsel's Office ever  
8 provided an opinion about the alternate electors to Mr. Meadows or Mr. Trump?

9 Mr. Passantino. Again, he's just asking if you know whether they provided an  
10 opinion.

11 Ms. Hutchinson. Yes, they did.

12 Mr. [REDACTED]. Do you know what that opinion was?

13 Mr. Passantino. You can just say if you know.

14 Ms. Hutchinson. Broadly speaking, the opinion of White House Counsel's  
15 Office --

16 Mr. Passantino. He just wants to know if you know, not what it was.

17 Ms. Hutchinson. Oh. Yes, yes, yes.

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. And we're going to get to where you're going. I will ask  
19 that question, but -- and who in the White House Counsel's Office conveyed that opinion  
20 to Mr. Meadows or to Mr. Trump?

21 Mr. Passantino. You can answer that.

22 Ms. Hutchinson. It's just difficult because I don't want to attribute one lawyer or  
23 both lawyers to everything and them having the same opinion. But it was frequently  
24 Mr. Pat Cipollone or Mr. Pat Philbin that would chime in and offer their opinions to  
25 Mr. Meadows and-slash-or Mr. Trump.

1           Mr. ██████. And now I am going to ask you the question. To your knowledge,  
2 what was the White House Counsel's Office opinion with respect to the alternate electors  
3 meeting to cast electoral college votes for Mr. Trump in States that he had lost?

4           Mr. Passantino. Okay. I'm going to instruct you not to answer.

5           Can we -- I guess we'll have this conversation on the record.

6           I know that there has been raised executive privilege. I know that executive  
7 privilege has been litigated. I'm extremely leery right now to have this witness reveal  
8 the contents of attorney-client communications without further instruction.

9           Now, clearly, if there are communications in the presence of individuals who are  
10 not executive branch employees, we're not going to raise any privilege to that.

11           But if you're asking about the specific content of communications between  
12 White House Counsel's Office and senior executive branch officials, I'm going to instruct  
13 her not to answer, simply to give myself time to find out, make sure I understand the  
14 White House's position on that.

15           I will not do that with respect to prejudice of your ability if I'm incorrect. But I'm  
16 highly reluctant to let her just testify to that narrow scope without having had an  
17 opportunity to satisfy myself that she wouldn't be waiving a privilege that is still properly  
18 raised.

19           Mr. ██████. Okay. Fair enough.

20           So let me ask some additional questions at this point just on that issue.

21           Ms. Hutchinson, to your knowledge, was that opinion provided to Mr. Meadows?

22           Ms. Hutchinson. Yes, sir.

23           Mr. ██████. Was it also provided to Mr. Trump?

24           Mr. Passantino. Yeah. You can answer. Not what it was.

25           Ms. Hutchinson. Right.

1           Mr. Passantino. Yeah.

2           Ms. Hutchinson. Yes, either by White House Counsel's Office or Mr. Meadows  
3 delivering to Mr. Trump as a surrogate for White House Counsel's Office.

4           Mr. [REDACTED]. Did anybody in the White House Counsel's Office express their  
5 opinion about these alternate electors in meetings where other people were present?  
6 And I'll name some people: Mr. Giuliani? Mr. Waldron? Mr. Kerik? Mr. Epshteyn?  
7 Anybody who worked outside of the White House.

8           Mr. Passantino. You can answer that.

9           Ms. Hutchinson. Including Members of Congress?

10          Mr. [REDACTED]. Including Members of Congress, yes.

11          Ms. Hutchinson. Yes, sir.

12          Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

13                 During those meetings where people who did not work in the White House or the  
14 executive branch were present, what was the White House Counsel's Office's opinion  
15 about alternate electors meeting to cast electoral college votes in States that Mr. Trump  
16 had lost?

17          Ms. Hutchinson. Could you repeat that one time, please?

18          Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course, yep. In any of those meetings in which people who  
19 were not part of the executive branch were present, what was the White House Counsel's  
20 Office opinion about alternate electors who met to cast electoral college votes in States  
21 that Mr. Trump had lost?

22          Mr. Passantino. And if I can recharacterize your question for you, not what their  
23 opinion, but what did they say, right? There might be a distinction between what they  
24 were thinking and what they said.

25                 I'm sure what he's asking -- you can tell me if I'm wrong. You only want what

1 was said, not what their opinion was. Does that distinction make sense?

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. That's correct.

3 Mr. Passantino. Okay.

4 He's asking you to recount --

5 Ms. Hutchinson. [Inaudible.]

6 Mr. Passantino. Well, it might be. He's asking you to recount what you recall  
7 of conversations that might have been expressed by White House Counsel in the meeting  
8 in which people who were not executive branch were present.

9 Did I characterize your question right? I didn't mean to --

10 Mr. [REDACTED]. No, that's right.

11 And I could rephrase it, but it is what Mr. Passantino is saying. So, in meetings at  
12 which non-White-House or non-executive-branch officials were present, what did  
13 representatives from the White House Counsel's Office say about alternate electors and  
14 the effort to have them cast votes in States that Mr. Trump had lost?

15 Ms. Hutchinson. I just want to be careful with this. And if you want to ask  
16 followups, I'm more than happy to try to answer it differently or better.

17 But in the meetings that I have awareness of or was in where White House  
18 Counsel's Office had expressed thoughts and, generally speaking, just had words to say  
19 about this topic, the overall message --

20 Mr. Passantino. And I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Just limit it --

21 Ms. Hutchinson. Right.

22 Mr. Passantino. -- to conversations with third-party discussions.

23 Ms. Hutchinson. Right.

24 Mr. Passantino. Okay. Good.

25 Ms. Hutchinson. They wanted to be careful and ensure that whatever was being

1 entertained externally was being brought to the attention of necessary individuals  
2 internally to ensure that there was maximum cooperation and communication between  
3 the external and internal interests that were looking into these theories.

4 Mr. ██████. Okay.

5 In those meetings, did anybody from the White House Counsel's Office express an  
6 opinion as to whether it was legal to have the Trump electors meet and cast electoral  
7 votes in States that Mr. Trump had lost?

8 Ms. Hutchinson. Could we have one moment, please?

9 Mr. ██████. Of course.

10 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

11 [Discussion off the record.]

12 Mr. Passantino. Okay. We're back. We had a discussion about the  
13 parameters of attorney-client privilege, but ask your question again.

14 Mr. ██████. Okay.

15 And I'd just note that Mr. Kinzinger is joining now as well.

16 BY MR. ██████: -

17 Q So my question was: At any of these meetings with individuals from  
18 outside the White House or the executive branch, did the White House Counsel's Office  
19 express an opinion as to whether the plan to have electors for President Trump meet and  
20 cast electoral college votes in States that President Trump had lost was legal?

21 A Yes.

22 And just to be mindful of how extensive certain discussions were, like, those were  
23 niche topics as the meetings progressed and other individuals were involved. So there  
24 were some meetings where they had expressed something along the lines of, "Let's  
25 continue to look at this, make sure you're still coordinating with us, communicating with

1 us, let us know if there's anything worthy of bringing to our attention, we'd be happy to  
2 look at it and schedule another meeting," to meetings where their definitive guidance to  
3 external interests was more along the lines of, "That's not legal, we're not putting  
4 ourselves in that line of fire," or, "Don't raise that to Mr. Trump, it's not appropriate, and  
5 it's not a legal theory that we want to entertain right now."

6 Q And, to be clear, what you just said about not being a legal theory they want  
7 to entertain right now, not legal, not putting yourself in the line of fire, that was with  
8 respect to this alternate electors plan in particular?

9 A I apologize, I'm just trying to be careful, because there was the alternate  
10 electors plan, but then there are groups and individuals and people that had slightly  
11 different ways of looking at things or slightly different ways of potentially addressing that.  
12 And I also don't want my words to be recorded and articulated as being any verbatim  
13 conversation, because I'm paraphrasing here.

14 But -- so, as we looked at the alternate electors, it was, broadly speaking,  
15 something that they were willing to hear theories about, willing to have the discussions  
16 with people.

17 But then there were certain meetings where White House Counsel's Office gave  
18 the guidance to external interests of, "This is fine, keep researching, keep your people on  
19 this, let's stay in touch, don't do anything, don't elevate this to Mr. Trump without us  
20 being read back in first," to meetings where they would give guidance to external  
21 participants more along the lines of, "Hey, this isn't legally sound, we have fleshed this  
22 out internally, it's fine that you think this but we're not going to entertain this in an  
23 official White House capacity on behalf of the President, we're putting a stop to this."

24 Q And just to be clear -- I appreciate that, and thank you for walking through  
25 the progression and the various, kind of, instances where it may have come up.

1           But what idea was it that -- what wasn't legally sound and they didn't want to  
2 pursue?

3           A    I don't recall specifically right now. I just recall there would be certain  
4 meetings where individuals would raise ideas or things that they might want to vet to  
5 White House Counsel's Office, and they would have a little bit more of an explicit opinion  
6 on it, versus other instances in meetings where it was a little easier to not -- I don't want  
7 to say "easier" -- it was a little different in context from a legal standpoint of them  
8 wanting to vet it and allowing it to kind of progress a little bit more before they put a stop  
9 to things.

10          Q    Okay. And --

11          A    I'm just trying to be careful here with --

12          Mr. Passantino. You're good. You're good.

13          Mr. [REDACTED]. Yep. No, I appreciate you trying to be careful there.

14                I guess I want to distinguish two things on this point. The first is the plan and  
15 efforts to have alternate electors meet and cast votes for Mr. Trump in States that he had  
16 lost.

17                Is it your understanding that the White House Counsel's Office opinion of that was  
18 that it wasn't legally sound and that that opinion was expressed in meetings at which  
19 third parties were present?

20          Mr. Passantino. Well, she's only testifying to what she heard people say. She's  
21 not able to talk about what they thought.

22          Mr. [REDACTED]. Yep.

23          Mr. Passantino. She did say what she heard them say.

24                You can ask again. I'm not blocking you. But I just want to make that  
25 distinction very clear.

1 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

2 Q And so, to be clear, did you hear the White House Counsel's Office say that  
3 this plan to have alternate electors meet and cast votes for Donald Trump in States that  
4 he had lost was not legally sound?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q And do you remember approximately when that was?

7 A I'm trying to not be overly broad, but, right now, sitting here, I can recall at  
8 the time, perhaps early to mid- December. Now, it very well could've been the end of  
9 November, but I'm trying to think about benchmark events and dates in my head, and  
10 early to mid- December is the safer bet.

11 Q And who was present for that meeting that you remember?

12 A It was in our office. It was Mr. Meadows, Mr. Giuliani, and a few of  
13 Mr. Giuliani's, like -- well, I don't know if the correct term is "associates," but  
14 Mr. Giuliani's associates.

15 Q Do you remember who from --

16 A Colleagues.

17 Q -- White House Counsel -- oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

18 A No, I was -- associates, colleagues, however it might be characterized.

19 Q Do you remember who from White House Counsel's Office was there and  
20 delivered that message?

21 A The very first time I heard it, I know Mr. Cipollone. I'm inclined to say  
22 Mr. Pat Philbin as well. But, factually speaking, the very first, I am comfortable saying  
23 Mr. Cipollone.

24 Q Okay.

25 Do you remember -- bear with me one moment.



- 1            Were Members of Congress present for that meeting as well, either in person or
- 2            by phone?
- 3            A     Not at the meeting I'm thinking about.

1

2 [2:09 p.m.]

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Did this issue come up again where White House Counsel's Office expressed  
5 an opinion on alternate electors where Members of Congress were present?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q When was that and what happened?

8 A Sorry. Could you repeat the first part of that question? Sorry.

9 Q Of course, yes. So the question was, were there other meetings where the  
10 White House Counsel's Office expressed an opinion on alternate electors came up where  
11 Members of Congress were present?

12 A Sorry. I wanted to make sure that we weren't attributing one of the  
13 opinions that I previously stated to Members of Congress.

14 Yes. To answer your question broadly, yes, I do recall them raising it in meetings  
15 with Members of Congress in early to mid-December likely, though, perhaps -- I say early.  
16 Maybe like sometime after, like, December 8th. I don't have the calendar in front of me  
17 of the days of the week, but -- and I'm trying to think about when Members of Congress  
18 started coming into our office to meet. So first or second week of December.

19 Q Okay. And do you remember which Members of Congress were at the  
20 meeting in which White House Counsel's Office expressed their opinion that this alternate  
21 electors plan was not legally sound?

22 A The initial meeting that I'm thinking of or generally and broadly speaking  
23 about the events?

24 Q How about we start with the initial meeting and then broadly speaking,  
25 others who may have received the same message.

1           A     Initially -- the initial meeting that I'm thinking about in my head, Mr. Scott  
2     Perry was present for, but I don't want to attribute White House counsel's opinion to that  
3     meeting being let's entertain this, keep us in the loop versus no.     Just I recall their  
4     opinions being expressed in the first meeting that I'm thinking about with Mr. Scott Perry.

5           Q     How about this:     How about, in meetings -- let me back up and rephrase.

6                 Which Members of Congress were present during meetings at which the White  
7     House Counsel's Office expressed their opinion that this plan related to alternate electors  
8     was not legally sound, as opposed to just discussions about followup or further research?

9           Mr. Passantino.     You understand --

10          Ms. Hutchinson.     Mr. Perry is the member that immediately jumps out to me,  
11     and I'm only -- I just want to be cautious because there frequently were Members that  
12     would dial into meetings as a presence, but they weren't physically present.     And I know  
13     that sometimes there were other people on the line that I wasn't aware of.     Mr. Perry is  
14     one that immediately jumps to mind as me recalling him physically being there and then  
15     pushing back on him.

16                 Now, Mr. Jordan also would dial into meetings frequently, and I don't want to  
17     attribute White House Counsel's Office pushing back on Mr. Jordan because I don't know  
18     whether Mr. Jordan was personally pushing for that legal theory, if that makes any sense,  
19     or if it was just them broadly speaking in the presence of Mr. Jordan.

20                 The only one that immediately jumps out to me as being there and them kind of  
21     pushing back a little bit would be both Mr. Perry, Mr. Gaetz -- Matt Gaetz -- Mr. Gohmert,  
22     Louie Gohmert of Texas.

23                 And it's entirely possible that there was more too.     I'm just -- I want to be careful  
24     and not attribute any of the actions or words from White House Counsel's Office to  
25     Members of Congress or external interests, just because it's difficult for me to look back

1 and recall details of those meetings or conversations that happened where the Members  
2 were advocating for those theories personally, if that makes sense.

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q It does, yes.

5 And there's a meeting on December 21st in the White House at which some of  
6 those Members were present. Do you think it was that meeting or a different meeting?

7 A I recall them having conversations with Members that were a part of that  
8 meeting, but there also were several Members that participated in that meeting that  
9 were frequently present throughout this period that we're discussing.

10 Q I see. Let me ask a follow-on question. It's related but not the same.

11 We just talked about the theory -- or excuse me -- the effort to have alternate  
12 electors meet and cast votes for then-President Trump in States that he had lost. I want  
13 to fast-forward a little bit. And the kind of follow-on theory that I know you have been  
14 trying to distinguish in your mind -- and I appreciate that -- but like the follow-on theory  
15 for John Eastman is that, because these votes now exist, because the Republican electors  
16 have met and cast their votes, then the Vice President can choose to count those or not  
17 count those during the Joint Session of Congress.

18 Do you remember any meetings at which third parties, so not White House  
19 personnel or not executive branch members, were present in which the White House  
20 Counsel's Office said that that, that use of the theory like that of John Eastman, was not  
21 legally sound?

22 A Yes. But I can't attribute a specific meeting just because I don't recall right  
23 now. But I do recall --

24 Q It did happen?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And you were about to say you do recall. Can you continue that?

2 A I was going to say I do recall there being meetings. And I feel redundant  
3 and I apologize for that, but I recall there being meetings where Mr. Eastman's name was  
4 brought in in association with the theories and White House Counsel's Office telling  
5 external interests that you have to be super careful with this, you don't find legal reason  
6 behind this, let's kind of pump the brakes a little bit more on this. But it's -- it's difficult  
7 to kind of navigate in my head what those meetings were and what individual Members  
8 of Congress would have been present or dialed in.

9 Q Was Mr. Trump present for any of those meetings, that you recall?

10 A The White House Counsel's Office pushback?

11 Q Yes.

12 Mr. Passantino. With third parties present.

13 Ms. Hutchinson. I'm not sure. At the time, I'm not sure.

14 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. Before we leave that topic, I know there are a number of  
15 members on as well. I'll see if they have any questions.

16 Okay. Mr. [REDACTED]?

17 Mr. [REDACTED]. I don't. No thank you.

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. So we're going to switch gears now and go to the  
19 beginning of November. Did you have any role, whether formal or not, with respect to  
20 President Trump's reelection campaign?

21 Mr. Passantino. I'm sorry. Informal like -- I'm sorry. Define what you mean  
22 by "informal."

23 Mr. [REDACTED]. Sure, yes.

24 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

25 Q So, I guess, formal I would think of as somebody who's, you know, working,

1 has certain hours, you have a schedule, whether paid or not. Informal, just as things  
2 came up, you would assist with the campaign or people associated with the campaign to  
3 help.

4 A I traveled with Mr. Trump and Mr. Meadows I believe to every single rally in  
5 the height of the campaign. Might have been one I didn't go to in the summer,  
6 but -- yeah. So I at times had fielded incoming from individuals employed by the  
7 campaign, either on the ground, or I had a rally planning to bring things to Mr. Meadows'  
8 awareness. So informal, yes, but nothing substantive in nature of planning rallies, more  
9 of just as a conduit between the campaign and the White House.

10 Q So it sounds like you just kind of helped Mr. Meadows with his  
11 campaign-related functions. Is that accurate?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Could you generally describe what you understood his campaign-related  
14 functions to be?

15 A Mr. Meadows wasn't really involved with planning who would be on the  
16 ground, either as a greeter or in a VIP section, planning what the rally would like look like,  
17 where rallies would be. Mr. Meadows frequently met with campaign officials and White  
18 House officials about logistically planning rallies but also planning speakers, participants,  
19 frequency of travel. So he had a pretty extensive role in campaign operations.

20 Q And what did you understand his role to be after election day with respect to  
21 the campaign, if any?

22 A His role after the campaign was primarily coordinating with the individuals  
23 that had not been off-boarded from the campaign and coordinating with those individuals  
24 that were still employed by the campaign and were working on looking for election fraud  
25 and making sure that they were working in connection with the White House.

1           Q    Who were some of those people who were looking at issues of election  
2 fraud on the campaign that Mr. Meadows would coordinate with?

3           A    It's just difficult because, at this time, there had already been people  
4 off-boarded from the campaign that we were still working with but weren't paid by the  
5 campaign.

6           Q    Okay.  And who are those people, even if they were not formally being paid  
7 by the campaign anymore?

8           A    Yeah.  I mean, Jason Miller, Bill Stepien.  It's just difficult because  
9 I -- sometimes I -- to be frank and honest about this, I didn't always know who worked on  
10 the campaign and who just worked as volunteers or with other external organizations.  
11 Again, I'd never been part of the campaign, so that was like my group of people.

12          Q    Understood.  And that's totally fine.

13                So with respect to the fraud and the fraud allegations, there was a lot of that  
14 floating around in the postelection period, and I understand that Mr. Meadows had some  
15 role in passing them on to the Department of Justice, for example, coordinating with the  
16 campaign to look into them.

17                Did you ever talk to Mr. Meadows about the various claims of election fraud that  
18 were circulating after the election?  Particularly, I'll start with the Dominion voting  
19 machines allegation.

20          A    I just want to make sure I'm understanding your question correctly.  
21 Whether I had conversations with Mr. Meadows about his work with campaign officials  
22 about Dominion voting machines or subjects of that nature?

23          Q    Well, let me clarify.  Yeah.  Did you ever talk to Mr. Meadows about  
24 allegations of fraud related to Dominion voting machines?

25          A    Mr. Meadows had raised that issue with me.

1 Q Did he ever express that he thought it was a valid allegation that Dominion  
2 voting machines were being used to flip or had been hacked to change the outcome of  
3 the election?

4 A Mr. Meadows thought, as he had expressed it to me, that it was a theory  
5 worthy of exploring more extensively.

6 Q Do you know if it ever got beyond just being a theory, like he had actual  
7 proof or reached a conclusion that Dominion voting machines had been hacked and  
8 changed the outcome of the election, for example?

9 A Whether he had information that he perceived to be proof or factually  
10 correct, what he accepted as the truth?

11 Mr. Passantino. Don't mean to answer the question for you, but I think the  
12 question was do you know whether he subjectively believed that the theory was a proper  
13 theory.

14 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't want to speculate on Mr. Meadows' thoughts, but as  
15 they had been expressed to me at various points, he believed that it was something  
16 worthy of looking into and that was a strong possibility. But I don't want to speculate  
17 nor definitively say, yes, he thought that this was entirely valid or, no, he didn't think it  
18 was entirely valid.

19 Mr. [REDACTED]. And I appreciate you being -- yeah. I appreciate you being careful  
20 on that point.

21 I'll put it this way. In December, early December, Bill Barr came out publicly and  
22 said that he had seen no evidence of widespread fraud sufficient to change the outcome  
23 of the election. Do you know whether Mr. Meadows agreed privately, most likely, with  
24 that analysis or assessment?

25 Ms. Hutchinson. Privately -- in terms of my conversations with him or his private



1 thoughts or his conversations with Mr. Barr?

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. Any conversations you know of where Mr. Meadows expressed his  
3 thoughts on that, whether Mr. Barr's statement was accurate, that there was no evidence  
4 of widespread fraud sufficient to change the outcome of the election.

5 Mr. Passantino. Again, he's asking you if you know what Mr. Meadows thought  
6 based on what you said.

7 Ms. Hutchinson. After Mr. Barr had made that statement or even perhaps a  
8 couple of hours before -- I don't want to put a timestamp on it -- Mr. Meadows had  
9 expressed perhaps he's right. But to my recollection, it was more along the lines of -- it's  
10 my recollection it was more along the lines of he jumped the gun on saying this; it was a  
11 little premature.

12 Mr. [REDACTED]. Do you know what he meant by "jumped the gun"? In other  
13 words, when would the appropriate time be to say that?

14 Mr. Passantino. I'm sorry. That's not really a fair question because it assumes  
15 that there was a -- I'm not trying to coach here at all, but that was not really a fair  
16 question to ask.

17 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah, okay. Understood. That was somewhat loaded.

18 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

19 Q So let me ask it, I guess, this way. If Mr. Meadows said to you that Mr. Barr  
20 may have jumped the gun in making the announcement about no widespread fraud  
21 sufficient to change the outcome of the election, do you know what he meant when he  
22 said jumped the gun to you, or what did you interpret that to mean?

23 A I just want to be careful. "Jumped the gun" was just a phrase that popped  
24 in my head.

25 Q Okay.

1           A     It wasn't verbatim. It was more along the lines of this might have been  
2 premature; this was a little early. And he frequently said things along those lines when  
3 he believed it should be more extensively looked at and researched. And, again, I know  
4 by proximity and nature of my role with him that there also were conversations that he  
5 had. Now, I didn't and don't perceive those conversations to be as --

6           Q     Let me ask it this way.

7           A     -- his line of thinking that what he had said to me and to others around this  
8 time, which was, you know, Okay, maybe he's right, but I -- as expressed, it was his -- they  
9 should've dedicated more time before we had a member of our administration kind of  
10 come out and say something this conclusive without vetting it or running it by anybody  
11 internally first.

12          Q     I see.

13          A     I think he saw it maybe as a step out of the protocol that he had wished for  
14 people to adhere to, which was elevated to him and they could have a topic -- or they  
15 could have a discussion about it prior to publicly saying something that definitive and  
16 media -- worthy of media attention.

17          Q     So is it fair to say that Mr. Meadows thought that Mr. Barr should have come  
18 to him before making that announcement, as you understand it?

19          A     I believe it'd be fair to say that Mr. Meadows believed that there was a  
20 disconnect with Mr. Barr. And whether he was expecting Mr. Barr to say it in that  
21 moment or later on, I'm not sure. But I think that he would have appreciated a more  
22 candid and extensive conversation internally prior to Mr. Barr making those public  
23 comments.

24          Q     And what about in early January of 2021, so before the 6th, did Mr.  
25 Meadows ever say -- did you ever hear him say that he thought that there was proof of

1 widespread fraud that actually would change the outcome of the election?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q You did hear him say that; he thought that Mr. Trump had actually won the  
4 election?

5 A I'm sorry.

6 Mr. Passantino. Be careful. Your words, not his words.

7 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

8 Q Yeah. I guess I'll ask it in, hopefully, a little bit clearer way. Did he say in  
9 early January, before the 6th, Mr. Meadows that is, that he thought the President actually  
10 had lost?

11 A Mr. Meadows certainly acknowledged the fact that Mr. Trump may not have  
12 won the election as a steep of margins that individuals were saying that he had. But,  
13 definitively speaking, I can't attest or attribute any of Mr. Meadows' personal thoughts to  
14 this period. And, again, I apologize. It's difficult when I'm trying to phrase it to you  
15 and trying to look back and decipher conversations I had with him, because he certainly  
16 acknowledged that there was a chance that we hadn't won by as steep of margins, but he  
17 certainly also expressed that widespread fraud had altered the outcome of the election  
18 and that was still worthy of evaluating and looking into a little bit deeper.

19 Q Okay. So he thought fraud could have affected the election. But did Mr.  
20 Meadows say to you that he thought it affected the outcome; in other words, that now  
21 President Biden didn't actually win, based on evidence that he had seen?

22 A Would you repeat that one time? I'm sorry.

23 Q Sure. Yeah. So in that period, we're talking again about early January,  
24 before the 6th, essentially, did Mr. Meadows acknowledge to you privately or otherwise  
25 that he thought President Biden actually won and not Mr. Trump, despite the fact that

1 there may have been fraud or irregularities in the election?

2 Mr. Passantino. You can answer it again.

3 Ms. Hutchinson. My short answer is no.

4 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

5 Ms. Hutchinson. But Mr. Meadows had acknowledged that -- I suppose the best  
6 way of me phrasing it is Mr. Meadows had acknowledged that, as we progressed through  
7 this period, towards the end of December, early January, prior to January 6th, that there  
8 was a fairly likely chance that Mr. Biden would be sworn in on January 20th.

9 But his personal opinions of fraud I can't attest to. I can attest to what I had had  
10 discussions with him about or what I had been participating in discussions with him about  
11 or overhearing. And that's, again, more along the lines of there was fraud. How much  
12 fraud, we're not sure. But he remained fairly consistent, to my recollection, in his belief  
13 that the fraud did alter the outcome of the election and tipped it in favor of Mr. Biden,  
14 President Biden, now President Biden.

15 Mr. [REDACTED]. Did you ever talk to Mr. Meadows about having the President  
16 concede the election, pending knowledge that President Biden, now President Biden, had  
17 won?

18 Ms. Hutchinson. Whether Mr. Meadows had conversations with Mr. Trump?

19 Mr. Passantino. No, no. With you.

20 Ms. Hutchinson. Oh, with me about --

21 Mr. Passantino. Yeah.

22 Ms. Hutchinson. Mr. Meadows and I had conversations individually together and  
23 in groups that there should be a plan in place should Mr. Trump concede or have to  
24 concede on January 6th and what that may look like.

25 Mr. [REDACTED]. Did Mr. Meadows ever tell you that he thought the President

1 should concede, at any point before January 6th?

2 Ms. Hutchinson. Mr. Meadows received a lot of communications from  
3 individuals saying that they believed that the President should concede, and he had  
4 discussed that outreach with me, but I'm not sure what his personal opinion was on it.  
5 As I recall in our discussions, it was more if we have to, how does that look, what does  
6 that look like, what should we do, and how should we approach that.

7 Mr. [REDACTED]. Do you know if he ever told the President that he should concede,  
8 at any point after the election and before January 6th?

9 Ms. Hutchinson. I'm not sure.

10 Mr. [REDACTED]. Any follow-up questions on that?

11 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. Just let us know when you're at a good breaking point.  
12 I'm sorry.

13 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. Let me see if there's any followup from any of the members  
14 or anybody in the room, and if there's not, this is a good spot.

15 Mr. Raskin. I'd like to follow up but just on the last point the witness was  
16 speaking about.

17 Did you ever have conversation with Mr. Meadows about the more than 60  
18 Federal and State court decisions that rejected attacks on the election outcome, and was  
19 that something that figured into your discussions or his analysis?

20 Ms. Hutchinson. I apologize. Would you remind repeating the first part?

21 Mr. Raskin. Whether -- you know, there were dozens of Federal and State court  
22 cases brought at different points. And I'm just wondering whether that was part of the  
23 analysis at this point of whether or not Trump had really won the election or he had lost  
24 the election?

25 Ms. Hutchinson. So as the court cases -- the verdicts of each court case was

1 ruled and became public, that certainly factored into Mr. Meadows' view on what the  
2 eventual outcome of the election would be, whether or not Mr. Trump would be sworn in  
3 for a second term or Mr. Biden would be sworn in for his first term. But I don't know  
4 specifically whether Mr. Trump -- or whether Mr. Meadows felt that the court cases were  
5 wrong or right. I just know that, as the decisions came out and as -- and this is his  
6 phrasing -- but as it looked a little more grim for Mr. Trump, you know, I know that his  
7 optimism about the outcome that Mr. Trump wanted was starting to dwindle, if that  
8 helps answer your question.

9 Mr. Raskin. Yes. Thank you very much.

10 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

11 Mr. [REDACTED]. Thank you, Mr. Raskin.

12 Any other members have questions at this point?

13 All right. Then, at this point, why don't we take a break. And let's go off the  
14 record.

15 [Recess.]

1

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. Well, then, with that, let's go back on the record.

3 It's 2:44, and we're back on the record with the transcribed interview of

4 Ms. Cassidy Hutchinson.

5 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

6 Q I'm going to draw your attention to November the 25th, which is a meeting  
7 at the White House, which a number of Pennsylvania, I believe, State legislators and  
8 others attended and that you provided some documents about.

9 So if we could pull up [REDACTED], please.

10 And this is a text message exchange that you had with somebody named [REDACTED] -- or  
11 initials [REDACTED], named [REDACTED]. Who is that?

12 A That was the assistant detail leader on Mr. Meadows' Secret Service detail,  
13 [REDACTED]

14 Q And forgive me, but you said he worked with Mr. Meadows' detail?

15 A Yes. He is in the Secret Service. He's still in the Secret Service. He was  
16 the assistant detail leader on our detail.

17 Q Okay. Now, this message string starts with you making a comment, This is  
18 such a shit show. He says, Oh, yeah.

19 You said, I had to cancel my hair appointment to drive to Gettysburg. This is a  
20 nightmare. He goes on, says, Oh, man, I'm sorry.

21 Then you said, You heard how Mark is motorcading to G'burg right now and  
22 POTUS isn't anymore. And then at the end you say, Now Mark said there's a chance  
23 they won't go to G'burg.

24 So can you explain the context for these messages?

25 A Yeah. Just so as a brief background, [REDACTED] was in -- Mr.

1 Meadows was flying to Plant City, Florida, this night, for Thanksgiving. So [REDACTED]  
2 [REDACTED] was down waiting to pick him up at the airport in Florida. Mr. Meadows  
3 was supposed to leave earlier in the afternoon, and then he ended up going into work for  
4 a full day. So I was trying to keep our guys down in Florida looped into that timing was  
5 shifting.

6 Now, with these messages specifically, there was hearings in Gettysburg,  
7 Pennsylvania, this day about election fraud issues, and Mr. Trump had entertained  
8 potentially going to Gettysburg. I don't recall whether he wanted to sit in on the  
9 meetings or just be in the back or say anything. I don't recall why he wanted to go, but I  
10 do recall him wanting to potentially go, and we were looking into that.

11 That morning, we had pulled his trip down, Mr. Meadows offered to go in his  
12 place, and we had our team ready to take us up to Gettysburg, be there for the day, and  
13 then Mr. Meadows and I would have come back to D.C. that night, and then he would  
14 have flown to Georgia or to -- yeah, to Plant City, Florida, from there.

15 However, once we had communicated with people who were at the hearings in  
16 Pennsylvania -- and I don't recall everybody, but I do recall Ms. Jenna Ellis being the  
17 primary point of contact. And I know Mr. Giuliani, although I don't recall if Mr. Rudy  
18 Giuliani was in Pennsylvania that day. So we decided -- Mr. Meadows had decided that  
19 it was better for him to stay back at the White House, keep working from his office, and  
20 then bring the people that Mr. Trump wanted to meet with in Pennsylvania to the White  
21 House that evening.

22 Q And that, of course, is eventually what happened, right? A bunch of people  
23 who -- from Pennsylvania, rather, drove down from Pennsylvania and met with the  
24 President at the White House that night?

25 A Yes, sir.



1 Q Now, in something like that, if you could just educate me a little bit, what  
2 would be your role in going to Pennsylvania with Mark Meadows?

3 A I just traveled with him wherever he went, so it was only natural for me to  
4 go on that trip with him too.

5 Q And in response to your last question, you had gone through a number of  
6 what I wanted to address with you, so if you don't mind just bearing with me a moment.

7 I think you mentioned that Ms. Ellis was the primary point of contact for the  
8 hearing in Pennsylvania. Is that right?

9 A Ms. Ellis was there, and she was somebody that Mr. Meadows and other  
10 individuals that were at the White House that day were communicating with about us  
11 going to Gettysburg. I don't want to say she was the sole primary point of contact, just  
12 because I know that there was other people that were involved in these discussions that  
13 were physically in Gettysburg, but I don't recall who they are right now. But I do know  
14 that Ms. Ellis had a pretty significant role in those conversations.

15 Q And to your knowledge, with respect to Ms. Ellis, did she actually represent  
16 Mr. Trump or the campaign, or was she an outside advisor who didn't actually have a  
17 legal agreement to represent either of them, if you know?

18 A I just want to make sure I'm understanding your question correctly.

19 Mr. Passantino. He's just asking if you know whether she was legally  
20 representing him or just an advisor.

21 Ms. Hutchinson. No, I don't know specifically. Ms. Ellis, I think, is a lawyer.

22 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

23 Q Okay. Fair enough. And I won't ask you to --

24 A I don't know, like, if whether -- I didn't and I don't know whether she was  
25 specifically appointed by him or asked by him to represent him on anything.

1 Q Okay. And those can be complicated issues, so I won't ask you to opine on  
2 them.

3 Now, in addition to this hearing in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, Mr. Trump's  
4 campaign, along with Mr. Giuliani, had other what I'll call hearings in other States, such as  
5 Georgia and Arizona. Are you familiar with those other hearings as well?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Did you go to any of those hearings?

8 A No, sir.

9 Q What was your understanding of the purpose of those hearings, some of  
10 which, you know, occurred in hotel ballrooms, for example?

11 A To be honest, I didn't watch any of them. I know the purpose was to  
12 discuss on a State level with State legislatures -- legislators instances of fraud that could  
13 perhaps percolate into something that was on our message of -- of the White House's  
14 message of -- campaign's message of the election was stolen; there was widespread  
15 fraud; Mr. Trump won the election, not Mr. Biden.

16 Q And, earlier, we talked about the alternate electors idea. Do you know if  
17 these hearings were intended or related to efforts to have State legislatures choose  
18 Republican electors as opposed to Democrat electors in States that the President had  
19 lost?

20 A At the time, I didn't follow the hearings very much, so I don't know.

21 Q Whose idea after the Gettysburg hearing on November 25th was it to have  
22 various people, including State legislators, come down and meet with the President in the  
23 White House?

24 Mr. Passantino. If you know.

25 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't know if the idea -- I don't know. And I don't know if

1 the idea could be attributed to one specific person. I recall Mr. Meadows getting  
2 outreach from several individuals saying that it would be a good idea, but I don't recall if  
3 it was always just from one person that was taking the lead on the efforts or if it was  
4 more of a collective understanding of people involved that were sort of closely read in on  
5 activities going on in the States.

6

BY MR. [REDACTED]

7

Q And before we get to that, I should back up just very briefly.

8

Do you know whether Mr. Meadows or anybody else in the White House  
9 expressed concern about having these hearings in various States at which Mr. Giuliani  
10 was a witness or participated and presented evidence of purported fraud?

11

A I know there were concerns raised internally, but I don't want to speculate  
12 or pin anybody's personal opinions on them.

13

Q Okay. Do you remember what the concerns were? Oh, I'm sorry.

14

Do you remember what the concerns -- sorry.

15

This is the hard part about doing video depositions -- or interviews rather. I  
16 apologize, Ms. Hutchison.

17

Do you remember what the concerns were about the hearings and who raised  
18 them?

19

A Broadly speaking, a lot of the concerns were just around the legitimacy and  
20 distinguishing whether this was an official position that the White House was going to  
21 adopt, meaning Mr. Trump was going to adopt, or if this was efforts that the White House  
22 was read in on and was aware of but wasn't taking a definitive response on. And I  
23 believe the individuals that expressed those concerns wanted there to be a clear  
24 distinction favoring more of the side of, we're listening, this is good, or they're exchanging  
25 in civil discourse, they are raising those concerns, but we're not going to take an official

1 position on this. Attributing that to specific individuals, I can't and I don't recall.

2 The conversations about this were pretty widespread. So if you have any  
3 individuals that you would like to ask about or more specifics involving Mr. Meadows and  
4 his conversations, I'm happy to help answer that a little bit more.

5 Q Okay. Did Mr. Meadows have those concerns?

6 A Broadly speaking, Mr. Meadows' concerns about this topic that him and I  
7 had conversations about or I was present or overheard his conversations was mainly  
8 around the idea of everybody continued to talk to me, work with me, let's make sure  
9 we're all on the same message. Let's not say the President has an opinion on anything.  
10 So it was him looking out for Mr. Trump and people not attributing anything directly to  
11 Mr. Trump without Mr. Trump or Mr. Meadows' guidance or final word.

12 Mr. Meadows also was supportive of these hearings going on in States and  
13 frequently discussed watching them or attending them and, you know, how he thought  
14 the White House could be best represented in them without walking that fine line  
15 of -- oh-oh.

16 Mr. Passantino. Did we lose you guys?

17 Mr. [REDACTED]. No, we're here. Have you lost video?

18 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. It looks like we've got a low bandwidth.

19 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. That happens sometimes. If you give us just a couple of  
20 minutes, what we'll probably do is sign out and sign right back in.

21 Mr. Passantino. Okay.

22 Mr. [REDACTED]. Bear with us. Thank you.

23 [Video malfunction.]

24 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. Is that better? Can you see us now?

25 Mr. Passantino. Yes. Yeah, we can.

1 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. Great. Apologies.

2 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't remember where I left off. I apologize.

3 Mr. Passantino. That's all right. He'll ask another.

4 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

5 Q I think we left off about Mr. Meadows' potential concerns with some of the  
6 hearings that were going on in the States, or your response to my question about that at  
7 least.

8 A And I believe the point that I was wrapping up was, you know, broadly  
9 speaking, Mr. Meadows was fond of these hearings going on at the State level because  
10 they were looking into claims that could potentially be legitimate. So Mr. Meadows'  
11 opinion on them -- you'd have to ask him his specific train of thought on them. But in  
12 my view, from conversations that I had with him that he had with others, that I am aware  
13 of, he was not opposed to anything going on at the State level at this time.

14 Q Okay. Did he ever tell you whether he thought that the hearings and the  
15 evidence presented there would help State legislatures understand and then do  
16 something about potentially the outcome of the election in those States?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q And did he, in fact, think that, that those hearings could have that effect?

19 A You have to ask him whether he truly personally believed it, but I'm under  
20 the expression that it was a legitimate thought of his, yes.

21 Q Okay. If we could pull up exhibit 21. And this is a series of text messages  
22 that you provided to us, Ms. Hutchison, from somebody named BK and with the name  
23 Bernie. I assume that's Bernie Kerik. Is that a fair assumption?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Why were you texting with Mr. Kerik?

1           A    I don't recall who put me in contact with Mr. Kerik. I believe it was Boris  
2 Epshteyn perhaps who put us in contact. And Mr. Kerik was in Pennsylvania that day.

3           A    Ah, so that's where it starts.

4           Q    Is this the first time you remember exchanging text messages with Mr.  
5 Kerik?

6           A    Yes, sir.

7           Q    All right. And we'll scroll through the first page of our exhibit, which shows,  
8 it looks like a driver's identification card and the back side of the identification card.

9           A    If we can go to page 2. Shows some of the person's personal information, as well  
10 as Mr. Kerik's, and a business card for Senator Mario Scavello from the 40th District in  
11 Pennsylvania.

12           Q    And then you ask for a passenger list. And it looks like you sent a list of  
13 passengers -- of people that you expected to attend or show up at the White House on  
14 November the 25th. Is that right?

15           A    That's correct.

16           Q    And how did you develop this list of people you expected to show up at the  
17 White House that day?

18           A    Communications as they were -- I apologize. I want to make sure I phrase  
19 this correctly. But communications as they were a little bit sporadic and there wasn't an  
20 established channel of communications, so it was a little less organized than I think  
21 internal people would have wanted. And so I was receiving incoming from Boris  
22 Epshteyn communicating people that were going -- okay. I guess I should -- I apologize  
23 for not doing this sooner.

24           Q    Mr. Meadows and Mr. Giuliani had a conversation -- several conversations earlier  
25 that day about Mr. Trump going, then not going, Mr. Meadows potentially going to go

1 and then not coming. And then Mr. Meadows asked Mr. Giuliani who he could have his  
2 team coordinate with. And then that's when they put me in contact with Mr. Epshteyn  
3 and Mr. Kerik.

4 Now, there's people's information coming from Mr. Epshteyn, Mr. Kerik. People  
5 were communicating to Ms. Molly Michael, and then Ms. Molly Michael would either call  
6 me -- I typically -- this might have been one of the first times I did meeting logistics. It  
7 wasn't something I really ever did before.

8 So it was a little bit more discombobulated. We were pretty short staffed that  
9 day because it was the day before Thanksgiving, so there was a lot of cooks in the kitchen  
10 on this particular event. Ms. Michael, Mr. Kerik, and Mr. Epshteyn were the primary  
11 people I think I was in contact with that day.

12 Q Okay. If we can scroll -- continue scrolling. I'll show you page 4 of exhibit  
13 No. 21. These are still messages with Mr. Kerik, showing his vehicle registration  
14 information. And we stop there. An identification for an individual named James  
15 Phillip Waldron. And if we keep scrolling, some personal information for him.

16 And then on to page 5, it says: We should be arriving just before 6. And you  
17 send what looks to be a final list, as best I can tell at this point, with 29 individuals.

18 Is it your understanding that all of these people showed up to meet at the White  
19 House on November the 25th after the hearing in Pennsylvania?

20 A Yes. And I do believe there were maybe a couple more because I do  
21 remember having to go and edit the list.

22 Q Okay. And Phil Waldron, had you ever talked to him before or seen him  
23 before November 25th?

24 A I believe November 25th is the first time I saw -- I had met Mr. Waldron. I  
25 know that we met several times after that, but I believe November 25th was the first time

1 that I had communicated with and met him in person.

2 Q What was your understanding of Mr. Waldron's role with respect to what I'll  
3 call the campaign, whatever is left over from the campaign in the postelection period?

4 A On November 25th, I had absolutely no idea who he was.

5 Q Were you in the meeting --

6 A I didn't know that he was -- I didn't know that he was going to end up taking  
7 a more prominent role. I thought that he would have just -- I thought at the time he  
8 was just another guest that was coming that day to meet the President.

9 Q And you said he did take a more prominent role ultimately?

10 A He came back to the White House, and he continued speaking with Mr.  
11 Meadows on and off throughout November, December, January, where a lot of these  
12 other individuals, you know, November 25th was the first and last time they came to the  
13 White House.

14 Q Do you know what Mr. Waldron came to talk to Mr. Meadows about  
15 throughout that period?

16 A Issues of election fraud.

17 Q Would he come by himself or with others?

18 A I believe he always came with others. I don't believe him and Mr.  
19 Meadows ever met individually.

20 Q Now, this is jumping ahead a bit, and we don't have this as an exhibit. We  
21 understand that Mr. Waldron had some role in a PowerPoint presentation about options  
22 for January the 6th. And this has been circulating more recently, so I don't want you to  
23 base your answers on anything you learned recently. But do you remember Mr.  
24 Waldron and his role in that PowerPoint at all?

25 A I remember Mr. Waldron bringing up the PowerPoint, and I don't recall



1       whether he emailed it to me or -- but I recall him saying it'll be in your email. I don't  
2       recall how. And I do recall the PowerPoint from that time.

3               Q     Is that one of the PowerPoints you referred to earlier, do you think, when we  
4       talked about PowerPoints and Mr. Eastman and some of the other theories?

5               A     Yes, sir.

6               Q     And you received that PowerPoint directly from Mr. Waldron?

7               A     I don't -- I don't recall who I received it from, but I recall Mr. Waldron  
8       discussing that PowerPoint, either that I would be soon receiving or that he would be  
9       sending me.

10              Q     What did he ask -- or what did he want you to do with that PowerPoint, if  
11       anything, and to your understanding?

12              A     I don't recall him asking me to take specific action on it, other than I knew  
13       that he wanted it to be discussed, knew that it would be a topic of conversations if not  
14       meetings. But I don't recall him asking me at the time to take specific instruction or  
15       action on any materials that may or may not have come across my desk that he was  
16       involved in.

17              Q     Do you remember whether this PowerPoint was ever presented to Members  
18       of Congress?

19              A     I guess the PowerPoint that I'm thinking of that was presented to members  
20       in the Cabinet Room meeting on December 21st. But to be fair, there were several  
21       PowerPoints that had come across my desk. So, I mean, I'm thinking of the ones that I  
22       believe was in that meeting, but there is numerous -- a number of presentations.

23              Q     Okay. And we'll get to that meeting in particular in a moment. But you  
24       remember discussions on December 21st in the meeting in the Cabinet Room about  
25       something related to options for January the 6th and the Joint Session of Congress?

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q Okay. And we will get to that.

3 Getting back to the exhibit that we had up, which is the text messages with Bernie  
4 Kerik --

5 A I put those away.

6 Q I'm sorry.

7 A That's okay. I jumped the gun on that one.

8 Mr. Passantino. Optimism.

9 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

10 Q All right. So on page 6, you say -- this is at 1753, so 5:53, if I can do my  
11 math right. It says, You are all cleared to enter the complex at 17th Street and State  
12 Place. Secret Service will show everyone where to park.

13 You talk about security. And you say, From there, they'll bring you into the West  
14 Wing. I am with POTUS and the chief, but call me if you have any questions or issues.

15 So it seems like you were with the President and Mr. Meadows before this  
16 meeting with Pennsylvania personnel. Is that right?

17 A I don't recall if I was in the Oval Office at the time of sending this text  
18 message or if I had stepped out to send it or ran back to my office, but I was in and out of  
19 the Oval Office throughout that entire afternoon. So it was likely me checking in with  
20 them to let them know that they're all cleared to go in and that, like, I was available to  
21 have conversations with them if any issues happened at the gate.

22 Q What was your understanding of the purpose of this meeting when they  
23 came to the White House?

24 A My understanding at the time was it was more of a -- Mr. Trump is a very  
25 hospitable man and he's an entertainer and he likes people to feel appreciated. So my

1 understanding at the time was he wanted to welcome the people to the White House  
2 that night, have a conversation with them so he could personally thank them for speaking  
3 out against allegations of election fraud on the national stage that day.

4 Q Were you in the meeting with the President when the people identified in  
5 the list that you sent in the text showed up?

6 A So it wasn't a formal meeting, but I was in physical proximity with the  
7 individuals on and off throughout that night, and I was in the Oval when they went in for  
8 photos with him.

9 Q Okay. So they took --

10 A [Inaudible] from start to finish, no. I had been going in and out.

11 Q It sounds like they took photographs in the Oval Office. Did they also  
12 discuss what had happened that day in the hearings in Pennsylvania with the President in  
13 the Oval Office?

14 A Between the Oval Office and the Cabinet Room, yes.

15 Q And were those discussions the ones you just described about the President  
16 expressing his thanks for raising these issues?

17 A Broadly speaking, yes.

18 Q Do you remember the President making any requests of the group from  
19 Pennsylvania?

20 A Not specifically, no.

21 Q Okay. So we understand that the President may have asked the  
22 Pennsylvania delegation to hold a special session to appoint Trump and Pence electors for  
23 the purposes of the later electoral college vote, even though the certification had already  
24 happened of the vote in Pennsylvania.

25 Do you remember that coming up at all in the meeting with the Pennsylvania

1 delegation on November 25th?

2 A I remember that being discussed, but I don't remember interpreting that as a  
3 specific request or action that he wanted them to take. But I recall that coming up  
4 generally.

5 Q Can you tell us what you remember on that topic coming up?

6 A I don't recall any verbatim phrasing of it. I apologize if this is redundant. I  
7 recall that topic coming up in several of the individuals that traveled to see him that night  
8 saying, We'll look into it, sir, anything to keep you around, you know, more of the  
9 chummy conversation that they were engaging in at the time. It was friendly  
10 conversation, and I don't recall it being anything that was -- had nefarious intent or an  
11 explicit request.

12 Q Do you know if anybody from Pennsylvania who attended the meetings in  
13 the White House or the greeting in the White House on November 25th told the  
14 President that they couldn't hold a special session to appoint Trump or Pence electors?

15 A No, I don't recall that, but it also wasn't really a conversation I was paying  
16 super close attention to.

1

2 [3:14 p.m.]

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Do you remember anything else about that meeting that the President said  
5 to the group?

6 A Nothing specifically at this time.

7 Q So I understand that, I believe maybe just earlier or just after that, that two  
8 representatives from Michigan, Senate Majority Leader Shirkey and House Speaker Lee  
9 Chatfield also went to the White House and met with the President in the Oval Office.  
10 Do you know anything about that meeting?

11 A Could you repeat their names, please?

12 Q Sure. Senate Majority Leader Shirkey and House Speaker Lee Chatfield  
13 from Michigan.

14 A I recall the Michigan meeting, but I don't recall those certain individuals.

15 Q Okay. What do you remember about the Michigan meeting? And, to be  
16 clear, there were other people who attended the White House meeting along with  
17 Senator Shirkey and Speaker Chatfield.

18 A I honestly don't recall that many specifics about that meeting.

19 Q Do you remember why the President wanted to meet with them in the  
20 White House, where he specifically invited them?

21 A Broadly speaking, to discuss the results of the election, to discuss potential  
22 instances of voter fraud and how the State was going to approach that when addressing it  
23 on the national level, and it was elevated to Congress later the next month and the  
24 following month after that.

25 Q And when you say "elevated to Congress," are you referring to the joint

1 session on January 6th?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Moving a little bit away from that, on December 7th, Texas, the State of  
4 Texas, filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court against Pennsylvania related to the outcome  
5 of the election. Understand that a number of State attorneys general signed on to  
6 support in the days after it was filed.

7 Do you know whether the White House or -- excuse me -- anybody in the White  
8 House or with the campaign had any role in seeking support for that lawsuit from the  
9 attorneys general?

10 A I recall Mr. Meadows having discussions and being involved in that, but I  
11 don't recall whether he was actively supporting it or pushing for it.

12 Q Okay. And just to be clear, so you don't know -- or do you know if  
13 Mr. Meadows was trying to get State attorneys general to sign on to the lawsuit in the  
14 Supreme Court?

15 A I just recall him having conversations with State attorney generals. I don't  
16 recall whether he was trying to coerce them into signing on or make a case for them  
17 signing on. But I do recall him wanting to reach out and reaching out to State attorney  
18 generals on that matter.

19 Q Do you remember anything he told them or said to them in that outreach?

20 A I don't recall specifically. I don't think I was present. I might've been  
21 present for some of the conversations, but I don't -- I don't recall any, like, specifics of  
22 them.

23 Q Did he ask anybody else in the White House to help with that effort, that  
24 you're aware of, the outreach to State attorneys general?

25 A I'm not sure.

1 Q So that same lawsuit, over 120 Members of Congress signed on as well.  
2 Was Mr. Meadows involved in any effort to get Members of Congress to sign on to the  
3 lawsuit, Texas v. Pennsylvania?

4 A Mr. Meadows communicated with Members of Congress about that lawsuit,  
5 but I'm not sure if he communicated with them to convince them to sign on or to inquire  
6 whether they were going to sign on or gauge their interest level on it.

7 Q Do you know --

8 A You know, there's individual Members I might recall, but, you know, broadly  
9 speaking, it's kind of difficult because that's a lot of Members.

10 Q Sure, it is a lot of Members. Do you remember for either of those groups  
11 that we just talked about, the State attorneys general or the Members of Congress, do  
12 you know if Mr. Trump asked Mr. Meadows to get in touch with those groups about  
13 Texas v. Pennsylvania?

14 A When you say "those groups," meaning attorney generals, Members?

15 Q Correct.

16 Mr. Passantino. It's not an -- he's just asking if you know, if you did.

17 Ms. Hutchinson. I know they had discussions about Mr. Meadows having  
18 outreach to them.

19 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

20 Q What were those discussions if you know? What did Mr. Trump say to  
21 Mr. Meadows about the outreach?

22 A Can I have one moment with my attorney, please?

23 Q Of course.

24 [Discussion off the record.]

25 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. We see you're back.

1           Mr. Passantino. Yeah, we're back. Just for my -- and we'll let her answer the  
2 question, but my understanding is, on any issues with respect to executive privilege,  
3 that's been fully litigated at this point. I want to just make sure that I'm clear that that  
4 issue has been raised and has not been fully litigated. I don't plan on asserting executive  
5 privilege for her if she was in the room, but I just -- I'm asking you if my understanding is  
6 correct with respect to the position that's been taken by the White House on executive  
7 privilege.

8           Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. So, with respect to Ms. Hutchinson, the White House has  
9 said that they're not going to make any assertions of executive privilege. In the  
10 litigation -- I think you're referring to Trump v. Thompson -- that was specifically about  
11 archives documents, but the issue of --

12           Mr. Passantino. Right.

13           Mr. [REDACTED]. -- executive privilege and the topics related to January 6th came up.  
14 And, in that case and related to that stuff, the courts did find that it did not bar the  
15 production of these types of materials that were in issue.

16           Mr. Passantino. Yeah.

17           Ms. Hutchinson. Could you repeat your question, please?

18           BY MR. [REDACTED]:

19           Q Absolutely. So my question to you was, what did Mr. Trump say to  
20 Mr. Meadows with respect to outreach to State attorneys general or Members of  
21 Congress related to the Texas v. Pennsylvania litigation?

22           A This is not verbatim, and I don't want to attribute as false plugs or put words  
23 in anybody's mouth, but the conversations that I recall, generally speaking, were along  
24 the lines of: just make sure our people are on it. Make sure our people are paying  
25 attention to this. Make sure it happens. Make it happen. Make some calls.



1 Q And your general recollection about Mr. Trump telling Mr. Meadows make it  
2 happen, make some calls, what was make it happen? What was the "it" that you  
3 understood?

4 A I just understood that as one of their efforts in conversations to ensure that  
5 there were people on our team fighting for him. And I'm now very cautious about using  
6 the phrase -- please forgive me, but that were supporting him and ensuring that that was  
7 public information. And the goal of having Mr. Meadows involved in that was because  
8 Mr. Trump trusted Mr. Meadows to act as a reliable surrogate and communicator for him  
9 and wanted Mr. Meadows to make sure that his position was clearly conveyed, and it  
10 would be appreciated if they would work with us, work with the White House on that.

11 Q Did Mr. Trump or Mr. Meadows, to the best of your knowledge, ever offer  
12 any kind of incentive, you know, for having State attorneys general or Members of  
13 Congress help with the effort related to Texas v. Pennsylvania?

14 A Are you implying quid pro quo?

15 Q Not implying anything. Political benefit, anything at all.

16 A I don't recall specific incentives. If you could be more specific.

17 Q Yeah. So you don't recall any specific incentives. Is there anything that  
18 you do recall generally on that topic or issue? And the only reason I ask is that you're  
19 hesitating as if there's something there.

20 A Can I just have one moment, please?

21 Q Of course.

22 [Discussion off the record.]

23 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. I see you're back.

24 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. Sorry about that.

25 Ms. Hutchinson. Could you repeat your question one more time, please? I

1 apologize.

2 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

3 Q I think my question was something along the lines of, if you don't remember  
4 any specific incentives that the President or Mr. Meadows discussed in relation to  
5 support for Texas v. Pennsylvania, but do you remember any generally, any incentives  
6 that Mr. Trump or Mr. Meadows may have mentioned?

7 A I just want to be careful of the term "incentives," but generally speaking, it  
8 was, you know: Mr. Meadows, Mark, Chief, take care of this, make the calls, make it  
9 happen, let them know that they're on our team. We know they're on our team.  
10 Make sure that they know that, if they do this, they're in our corner, and they're team  
11 Trump.

12 Q Okay. Did he offer to campaign, for example, for anybody or --

13 A Mr. Meadows?

14 Q No. Mr. Trump. I'm sorry.

15 A Oh. I don't recall whether he suggested or implied that he would campaign  
16 for certain individuals.

17 Q Okay.

18 Ms. Cheney. [REDACTED], could I --

19 Mr. [REDACTED] Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

20 Ms. Cheney. Could I ask a question?

21 Cassidy, did he say what would happen if they didn't do it?

22 Ms. Hutchinson. Did Mr. Trump tell Mr. Meadows what would happen if  
23 Mr. Meadows didn't make the call or what would happen if they didn't sign?

24 Ms. Cheney. No. You said -- that you said, you know: Tell them if they do  
25 this, they're team Trump.

1 Ms. Hutchinson. Oh, okay.

2 Ms. Cheney. So was there, if they didn't do it, what did they say would happen?

3 Ms. Hutchinson. I don't recall anything that would've been indicative of  
4 punishment, per se, but, you know, you take that in context if they do, like, they're team  
5 Trump, they're our people, they're on our team. You know, the contrary to that is, if  
6 they don't, they're not.

7 Mr. Passantino. And I think -- and I don't want to put words in the  
8 Congresswoman's -- I think she's asking, if he said something, clearly there's an  
9 implication.

10 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah.

11 Mr. Passantino. But I believe she's asking --

12 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah, I'm not aware of any specifics of if they don't do it, then  
13 X, Y, Z.

14 Ms. Cheney. Okay. Thank you.

15 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

16 Mr. [REDACTED]. Stop there to see if anybody has any followup to anything we've  
17 discussed recently? Nope? Okay.

18 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

19 Q Somewhat separately, we understand that Mr. Meadows, at one point,  
20 suggested replacing FBI Director Chris Wray with Kash Patel. Do you have any  
21 information related to that potential change in leadership?

22 A I'd just ask that you'd ask a little bit more of a specific question on that one.

23 Q Yeah. Do you know whether Mr. Meadows recommended to anybody in  
24 the White House that Director Wray be replaced with Kash Patel?

25 Mr. Passantino. It's sort of a standing -- he's not asking what you've heard and

1 read in the press now.

2 Ms. Hutchinson. Right, right.

3 Mr. Passantino. I think he's asking about at the time.

4 Ms. Hutchinson. At the time. I knew that Mr. Meadows had several  
5 conversations about that matter going --

6 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

7 Q With whom?

8 A Mr. Trump, Mr. McEntee, Mr. Ornato, individuals from Mark's and White  
9 House Counsel's Office, Mr. O'Brien, National Security Advisor.

10 Q And who brought it up, the potential of, if you know, replacing Director Wray  
11 with Kash Patel, the first time?

12 A I'm not sure the first time.

13 Q Do you know whether that's something that Mr. Trump wanted to do?

14 A I wasn't privy to any personal conversations that the President had regarding  
15 replacing Mr. Wray with Mr. Patel.

16 Q Okay. Relatedly, do you know why people were discussing replacing  
17 Director Wray with Mr. Patel, what the purpose of it would be?

18 A At the time I recall the discussions happening, I recall it being mentioned  
19 that Mr. Patel -- that Mr. Patel would be well suited for the role in the eyes and opinions  
20 of some people, but I don't know if there was one -- I didn't know if whether there was  
21 one explicit reason or whether it was just being more broadly entertained and people's  
22 thoughts were being heard, and it was more of a collective decision.

23 Q All right. And I'll ask you specifically, maybe it's not kind of the one reason  
24 that someone might entertain replacing Director Wray with Kash Patel, but did election  
25 fraud and investigations into election fraud, was that ever mentioned as a reason for

1 replacing Director Wray with Kash Patel?

2 A It was -- I know that was evaluated when determining whether that was a  
3 plan that they wanted to take action on, but I'm not sure if that was the sole reason or if  
4 there was others that were of equal importance and significance.

5 Q Do you know whether Mr. Meadows was advocating for replacing Director  
6 Wray?

7 A I don't recall Mr. Meadows -- to my recollection, Mr. Meadows participated  
8 in all the conversations, but in my experience, in my recollection, never seemed  
9 particularly sold on one option or the other.

10 Q Do you know whether Mr. McEntee recommended that the President  
11 replace Director Wray with Kash Patel?

12 A I know Mr. McEntee thought it was a viable option. I'm not sure whether  
13 he personally raised it with Mr. Trump or if he was personally advocating for it, or if it was  
14 more of an action that others wanted to take or just to explore and he was willing to  
15 evaluate it at the time. But it was -- the conversations were more extensive.

16 Q And earlier you mentioned that, in some people's eyes, Mr. Patel was well  
17 suited for the job of FBI Director. Whose eyes? Who had those eyes? This is a bad  
18 question, but in whose eyes was Patel well suited for the job of FBI director?

19 A It wasn't a bad question. It was a bad phrasing on my part. I apologize for  
20 that. Honestly, and I apologize for this, I -- I don't recall. There was a lot -- if I'm  
21 thinking about the right period with this, I recall, I think, being on the Hill a lot as the  
22 conversations were happening, but I wasn't physically present for a lot of them. And a  
23 lot of people wouldn't have elevated that to me, and honestly I didn't really want a part  
24 of that.

25 But I know that there were people pushing for it, and I know that it was an idea

1 that certain people were fond of, and I know that Mr. Meadows had deeply considered it,  
2 but specifics of the conversation or participants, you know, I -- I just -- I wasn't around for  
3 that. And, even if I was, I was preoccupied doing other things.

4 Q Okay. I understand that Mr. Patel was also considered or recommended  
5 for a role in the CIA. Are you aware of that as well?

6 A Yes. Yes, sir.

7 Q Okay. What do you remember about that coming up?

8 A I recall the day that I heard about that, Ms. Haspel was at the White House,  
9 came in to talk to Mr. Meadows, and they had a discussion about it. You know, if you  
10 have more -- I'm trying to be delicate, but if you have more followup questions --

11 Q Sure. And I may have this wrong; I'm just going based off of memory. But  
12 my understanding of that conversation or meeting is that Ms. Haspel was not pleased  
13 with the idea of Kash Patel coming to the CIA and said so to Mr. Meadows as well. Is  
14 that your recollection as well?

15 A Your recollection is correct.

16 Q Did Ms. Haspel threaten to resign if Mr. Patel was appointed to a position in  
17 the CIA?

18 A That's accurate.

19 Q And do you know why he was recommended for a post in the CIA? Did it  
20 have anything to do with the election, to the best of your knowledge?

21 Mr. Passantino. If you know.

22 Ms. Hutchinson. I'll just -- I want to reference my prior statement, and I don't  
23 remember how I phrased it, but Mr. Patel was somebody that was recognizing -- was  
24 recognized internally as somebody that could be trusted and was a frequent  
25 communicator, in this context, good communicator with staffers and with Mr. Trump.

1 So it was explored about him fulfilling that role.

2 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

3 Q And just to be a little bit more specific for the record, Ms. Hutchinson, so did  
4 people think, anybody in the White House think that if Kash Patel went to the CIA, he'd  
5 have a role looking into, for example, foreign interference claims related to the election?

6 A Well, that's the natural nature of what the CIA does. I think that that  
7 would be --

8 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. And I was going to say, he's not asking what they think.  
9 He's asking what you --

10 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah.

11 Mr. Passantino. -- heard people say that would give you that impression.

12 Ms. Hutchinson. Could you repeat it one more time, please?

13 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

14 Q Yeah, sure. I mean, was one of the reasons for considering Mr. Patel for a  
15 position with the CIA that he could use his position to look into issues related to the  
16 election, including claims of foreign interference?

17 A I don't want to speak to everyone's conversations, but I know that it was  
18 raised with Mr. Meadows, that -- because of what was unfolding as foreign interference  
19 claims had been elevated to the White House's level, that it would potentially be a  
20 natural thing for Mr. Patel to evaluate, if he were to take on a role of that nature. I  
21 don't recall whether that was the primary reason or an important incentive to have him  
22 take that role, but I do recall it coming up in conversations as prospects were being  
23 evaluated.

24 Q And do you know why ultimately Mr. Trump decided not to appoint  
25 Mr. Patel to the FBI or the CIA? And maybe "appoint" is not the right word, but install

1 him in either of those agencies.

2 A I don't recall at the time. At the time, I wasn't -- I wasn't tracking it, and  
3 I -- I'm not -- not sure I would've really paid attention to it, to be honest with you.

4 Ms. Cheney. Can I ask a question, [REDACTED]?

5 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course, Ms. Cheney.

6 Ms. Cheney. Thanks.

7 Cassidy, when you said -- you mentioned just a minute ago that people brought  
8 this up in conversation to Mr. Meadows. Can you tell us who?

9 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah. I believe I previously mentioned some of the attorneys  
10 in the White House Counsel's Office, Mr. Ornato, Mr. O'Brien. Mr. Ratcliffe came in and  
11 talked to him -- talked to Mr. Meadows about it at one point, but it wasn't a thorough  
12 conversation by any means. It was -- I took it as more of a passerby. They had had  
13 separate meetings, touched base on a few items, and then, as he was walking out, they  
14 had a brief conversation about it.

15 And I know Mr. Meadows met with Mr. Patel a couple times, but the specifics of  
16 the meetings and when and -- you know, there were groups of those people together, I  
17 don't remember that. Now, if there's anything that would indicate otherwise, that  
18 might help jog my memory, I'm happy to think about it or look at it in that light, but just  
19 the people I remember initially and, you know, as I've kind of continued to think through  
20 this.

21 Ms. Cheney. Okay, thank you.

22 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

23 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

24 Q One followup on that, Ms. Hutchinson, is, do you know whether Mr. Patel  
25 asked to be considered for positions with the FBI or CIA or whether somebody had



1 recommended that he be appointed to a position in either of those?

2 A Yeah, I'm not sure. I know Mr. Patel was open to accepting something had  
3 it been formally offered to him, but I don't know whether he was personally advocating  
4 for himself or if others were advocating, and he was sitting back, but I -- I do know that he  
5 was willing to say yes.

6 Q And you know that because he told that to Mr. Meadows?

7 A He, or individuals on his behalf, had said that to Mr. Meadows, that's  
8 correct.

9 Q Who is the people -- who were -- excuse me -- the people, you say, on his  
10 behalf, making those representations?

11 A I don't recall the specific people. I just -- but I do remember Mr. Meadows  
12 receiving phone calls and him making comments about it, like, so and so is calling me  
13 about Mr. Patel, or people are calling me about Mr. Patel. I don't recall the specific  
14 people. They're calling to see the status on this.

15 Q Did Mr. Meadows ever express to you whether he thought it was a good  
16 idea to have Mr. Patel at the CIA or FBI?

17 A Mr. Meadows honestly didn't express whether -- to me  
18 personally -- whether he thought it was a bad or good idea. I had interpreted his  
19 commentary on it as more of just a neutral -- yeah, this is him to me -- as more of a,  
20 people want this to happen, what do you think, I'm not sure what to do, do you have any  
21 thoughts, type of thing. And I didn't entertain it further on his behalf.

22 Q Okay. And, just to be clear, did he say who the people were that were  
23 calling him?

24 A Not that I can recall right now, I'm sorry.

25 Q Okay.

1 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

2 Q And just a quick followup, Ms. Hutchinson, the discussion about Mr. Patel -- I  
3 know we spent a lot of time on it -- in your view was that motivated more by the desire to  
4 find a role for Mr. Patel or frustration with the existing chain of command at FBI or CIA?

5 A I'm trying to get the timeline right in my head, and I apologize for that, and  
6 I'm not sure if you all would be able to provide this answer. We have it somewhere.  
7 But I don't remember if he was already the chief of staff at UMD at the time.

8 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. I believe the question is if you know --

9 Ms. Hutchinson. You said find a role for him.

10 Mr. Passantino. Correct.

11 Ms. Hutchinson. I believe he already had a role in the administration.

12 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

13 Q Right. Yeah. I mean, it's unclear exactly when this conversation started.  
14 I think it went all the way very close to the end. And we've heard, for instance, that  
15 there was discussion of this at the Army-Navy game, when he was already the chief of  
16 staff at DOD, about the possibility of him moving over to one of those agencies. And I'm  
17 just wondering if you ever heard anyone say -- I'm not asking you to speculate -- did  
18 anyone say, "Hey, we need to get Kash in there," or, "We need to get Chris Wray," or  
19 whomever at CIA, "out of there"? Did you hear criticism of the existing people that  
20 Mr. Patel may have replaced?

21 A The conversations that I recall overhearing was more of the latter, of  
22 Mr. Patel would be a viable option to replace these individuals should they not continue  
23 holding that position.

24 Q Right. And I guess my question is whether you heard criticism of Chris  
25 Wray of the people who Patel may be tapped to replace? Did the conversation extend

1 beyond Mr. Patel to negative things about the people that he might replace, at any time?

2 A You know, by nature of these conversations, I think it's fair to say that, you  
3 know, whenever you're thinking about staffing changes, you're going to point out  
4 positives, negatives, for those individuals holding the position and the people that are  
5 being considered for potentially replacing them.

6 Now, I recall positive and negatives being discussed about Mr. Patel's credentials if  
7 he were to fill that. I remember there being discussions of the positive and negative  
8 aspects if Mr. Wray and Ms. Haspel were to continue filling those roles, you know. And  
9 then, on that note, to the consequence of any staffing changes at this point in the  
10 administration, you know: Is it really a good thing? Well, here's some benefits. Is  
11 it -- I mean, we might not want to do it because of these negatives.

12 Q Yeah. I see. I appreciate that. I guess just two followups. One, what,  
13 if any, negative comments or facts did you hear discussed about either Mr. Wray or  
14 Ms. Haspel, issues that people identified with them that were on that column of sort of  
15 reasons that -- that they should move on?

16 A I don't remember.

17 Q Do you remember there being such discussion? You just don't remember  
18 specific facts, or do you not remember whether there was conversation about negatives  
19 with Wray and Haspel?

20 A I remember there being positives and negatives discussed about the three  
21 aforementioned individuals -- Mr. Wray, Ms. Haspel, Mr. Patel. I don't remember,  
22 during this period, at the time, any of the specifics involving those three individuals other  
23 than, if we do this, then X could happen or Y could happen, evaluating the chain of events  
24 that may or may not ensue should such a staffing decision of that magnitude happen at  
25 that point.

1 Q Yeah, okay. So, if you don't remember the specifics about Wray or Haspel,  
2 tell me about that last part. What did you hear about sort of the timing of this and  
3 whether that was a good or a bad thing if it were to happen in December or January, in  
4 the midst of all this election stuff and a potential transition?

5 A What I remember is more general, kind of -- it was more along the lines of:  
6 If this happens, we might have some people resign. It might not be portrayed in a  
7 positive light by the media. It could end up hurting us.

8 And then the flip side of that was, if it does happen, you know, the benefits to  
9 having somebody filling the spot that may be more -- less inclined to critique certain  
10 actions moving forward, whether we're going to have a second term or whether we're  
11 going to watch the transfer of power unfold on January 20th, 2021.

12 Q Well, from listening to that answer, it sounds like it was about loyalty. Was  
13 the discussion about whether Patel would be more loyal or faithful to the President or his  
14 agenda than some of the others that he might replace?

15 A I don't recall the phrase "loyal" being raised, and I don't want to falsely  
16 attribute that word to anybody or any conversations that happened. Now, a lot of this  
17 was above my pay grade and --

18 Q Yeah.

19 A -- I am aware of it because of my proximity because of the role that I played,  
20 and I'm happy to continue answering these questions should we continue to move in this  
21 realm. I'm really not trying to be difficult on this, but I am just very, very cautious about  
22 using particular phrases because I wasn't present for the extensive conversations where it  
23 could have been brought up, and I wasn't aware of that at the time.

24 But at the same time, it's also natural for people to want to be surrounded by  
25 people, staffers, that -- I'll leave it there.

1           Q    Yeah. Ms. Hutchinson, I appreciate that, and I'm not trying to get you to  
2 speculate about what's natural or about what was in other people's heads. I'm just  
3 asking you what you've heard and whether there was discussion about installation of  
4 people, Patel in particular, who would be -- and don't get hung up on the word "loyalty,"  
5 but who would do things more consistent with what the President wanted versus the  
6 other people that he might replace. Did you hear conversation like that, and if so, you  
7 know, who expressed those views?

8           A    I'm trying not to get hung up on the word "loyal," although it is tricky in this  
9 situation. Again, I'm just going to revert to my earlier statements, and I apologize for  
10 that, but I recall Mr. Meadows raising this and entertaining this at his level, which is the  
11 highest level you possibly could entertain a staffing decision on the Cabinet level, because  
12 it was something that he thought could potentially be worthy of taking action. And  
13 whether he thought Mr. Patel was an appropriate replacement or if there could be  
14 somebody else or if he was happy with the current individuals fulfilling those roles, I can't  
15 speak on his behalf. That's something that you would have to ask him because I -- he  
16 doesn't explicitly state that to me, his very personal opinions.

17                But I do know, because I was present for some of the conversations and he did  
18 talk to me about it, but it was something that he wanted to entertain and think about  
19 pursuing. But I don't know what drove him or anybody else involved to, at the time,  
20 make the decision that happened.

21           Mr. ██████. Okay. Thank you.

22           Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

23           Mr. ██████. Ms. Cheney or anybody else have a followup on that?

24           If not, okay, ██████, go ahead.

25           Mr. ██████. Okay.

1 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

2 Q All right. If we could pull up exhibit No. 22, this is a text message exchange  
3 that you provided from [REDACTED]

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 A A man.

11 Q So, in this exchange -- I'll wait for you to pull it up.

12 A Sorry. I can see it up there for now too.

13 Q Okay. Whatever's easiest for you, Ms. Hutchinson.

14 A I'm okay looking at it up here for right now.

15 Mr. Passantino. I'll pull it.

16 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

17 Q Okay. Well, I'll start by, it's dated December the 16th, at 10 p.m., and the  
18 message, it starts, you say: Sorry we're trying to stall. Wasn't sure how much longer I  
19 had to stall him. Can you tell Mark to call Pat Cipollone ASAP? Can you tell me when  
20 they connect ASAP? It's a POTUS emergency. Not emergency emergency but very  
21 time sensitive.

22 And then [REDACTED] says he's about to dial. You say: Okay. Perfect.

23 Do you remember what this was about?

24 A Is that the end of the conversation?

25 Q It goes on and continues. From what you produced, it's just one page.

1 You say: Okay. Perfect. Thank you, thank you. I'm sorry. Did you catch any of  
2 the convo with him and Pat? And then [REDACTED] says: Not really, he was stressed  
3 and said something to the effect of we can't do that tonight. Looked mad.

4 A I apologize. I don't have a calendar in front of me, but I believe December  
5 16th was a Wednesday, like it was a week -- a day of the week.

6 Q I can confirm that.

7 A -- yeah. Or I just wanted to make sure it wasn't the weekend and --

8 Q That the 16th, I'll represent to you, was a Wednesday.

9 A Okay. Thank you. Thank you for that. I can't speak to it. I don't  
10 definitively recall what this one was about. And these -- I don't want to say happened  
11 frequently, but it happened on more than one occasion where Mr. Meadows had already  
12 left the White House and Mr. Cipollone had come in and asked for him and he wasn't  
13 there and -- and asked to get a hold of him. So, if you have any followup questions, you  
14 know, I'm --

15 Q I do. And, maybe as a point of reference, I don't know if these two things  
16 are connected or not, but if you go to exhibit No. 23, which you don't have, and we'll put  
17 it up on the screen, it's a draft executive order about seizing voting machines, dated  
18 December the 16th, 2020, so the same date -- at least it's dated the same date as the  
19 messages with [REDACTED] Does that refresh your recollection at all as to what the  
20 messages you exchanged with [REDACTED] were about?

21 A Would your staff mind scrolling down on that memo, please?

22 Mr. Passantino. And so you understand, the question is whether that  
23 document --

24 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah, right.

25 Mr. Passantino. -- refreshes your memory --

1 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah.

2 Mr. Passantino. -- as to what this was about.

3 Ms. Hutchinson. Broadly speaking, yes.

4 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

5 Q Okay. Can you explain a little bit now that this refreshes you a little bit  
6 with respect to the messages in exhibit 22 with [REDACTED]?

7 A Mr. Meadows had already left, but White House Counsel's Office was still in  
8 the Oval Office with Mr. Trump. I recall Mr. Cipollone coming back, coming over to my  
9 office -- it was just me in there at the time -- and he had asked if Mark was still there. I  
10 said no, he had just left. And if Mark had left the White House but Mr. Trump was still  
11 down, I would stay at the White House. But if Mark left and Mr. Trump was already in  
12 the residence, I would firmly go with Mr. Meadows, which is why I was still there. And  
13 Mr. Cipollone had said something along the lines of, to me: Well, I need to get him on  
14 the phone right now. Can you try to call him?

15 I tried to call Mr. Meadows. He didn't answer. And then they connected.  
16 Mr. Cipollone went into Mr. Meadows' office, closed the door, and they had a private  
17 conversation. And then Mr. Cipollone went back to the Oval Office. And I remember  
18 Mr. Cipollone asking if I had a copy of that or if I knew if Mark did. I said: I'm not sure  
19 but I can ask him.

20 He said okay, and then he went back to the Oval.

21 Q Did you have a copy by that point of this draft executive order?

22 A I don't -- I don't think so unless Mr. Cipollone handed one to me in that  
23 moment.

24 Q And was it your understanding that Mr. Trump was asking the White House  
25 Counsel's Office about this draft executive order?



1 A That was the topic of conversation.

2 Q Did you ever find out what Mr. Cipollone's concerns were with respect to  
3 this executive order -- draft executive order?

4 Mr. Passantino. And same instructions before, cautioning you not to discuss the  
5 content of a communication between White House Counsel and the President or  
6 Mr. Meadows, if there were non- -- unless there were non-executive office personnel in  
7 the room.

8 Ms. Hutchinson. I was the only executive office person in the room, staffer in  
9 the room.

10 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

11 Q Okay. Fair enough. And this is more of a yes-or-no question, but did you  
12 ever find out what -- or from Mr. Cipollone what his concerns were with this draft  
13 executive order? And you can just say yes or no. I'm not going to ask you to get into  
14 substance for the reason that your attorney just said.

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. Did you ever talk to Mr. Meadows about this draft executive order?

17 A Not on the night of December 16th.

18 Q All right. Did you ever talk to him about the draft executive order after the  
19 16th of December?

20 A He had raised contents of the executive order with me in discretion and as  
21 part of larger discussions, but I was never a participant in conversations with him or in  
22 groups that he was also a participant of discussing the executive order at length and in  
23 detail -- and in detail.

24 Q Okay. And now I'm just asking for, at this point, what Mr. Meadows said to  
25 you, not necessarily what the White House Counsel's Office said to you. So what parts

1 of this executive order do you remember Mr. Meadows raising with you, specifically?

2 Mr. Passantino. And I would only add -- you can answer that question -- just  
3 don't put in that answer what perhaps Mr. Cipollone might've said, but everything else  
4 you can answer.

5 Ms. Hutchinson. Just broadly speaking, Mr. Meadows had raised whether or not  
6 this was viable and legal from his personal perspective and, you know, kind of asked  
7 people that I felt and perceived as individuals he trusted the opinions of, about whether  
8 some of these ideas or concepts were a good or a bad idea.

9 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

10 Q Did Mr. Meadows ever express his opinion to you about whether this  
11 executive order was a good or a bad idea?

12 A He had mentioned that it might not be completely out of the question, but  
13 he was very receptive to legal implications and -- which I felt tilted him more towards it's  
14 not a good idea because of the legal implications, but theoretically speaking, he had  
15 thought there would be some viability to it.

16 Q Okay. Do you know where Mr. Meadows got this draft executive order?

17 A I'm not sure.

18 Q Did you ever receive it directly from anybody?

19 A It's very possible that, you know, he would've asked somebody to email it to  
20 me, to have for him in case he needed it when we weren't at the White House or I was in  
21 something with him. But, you know, I -- there were times I -- and this was embarrassing,  
22 but there were times that I had 500-plus unread emails in my inbox just because I  
23 received so much, and I would just sometimes look for names of things I knew I needed  
24 immediately. So I -- I don't remember, but it's very, very possible that I had this in my  
25 inbox.

1 Q Do you remember who sent it to you? It sounds like you may not, and  
2 that's okay, but do you remember who sent it to you?

3 A I wouldn't, I don't.

4 Q Did you ever find out who drafted this?

5 A No.

6 Q Okay. Were you ever told about anybody who had a role in drafting this?

7 A Drafting the language?

8 Q Correct.

9 A No. Not as laid out on this.

10 Q Do you remember whether anybody associated with the campaign, including  
11 Mr. Giuliani or people he was associated with, had any role in coming up with the idea for  
12 this executive order or drafting the language in this proposed executive order?

13 A I knew that there were some individuals that Mr. Giuliani was affiliated with  
14 that had a role in the ideas outlined in this executive order, but I'm not sure whether they  
15 assisted in, you know, articulating the language as laid out on the exhibit on the screen.

16 Q Do you remember how many draft executive orders related to seizures of  
17 voting machines were circulating in the mid-December timeframe? It's been reported  
18 that there were at least, I believe, two. One related to the Department of Defense, and  
19 one related to the Department of Homeland Security. Does that sound right to you?

20 A More or less correct, yes.

21 Q Do you remember any others?

22 A I don't remember.

23 Q Okay. And, if we can go to page 4 of this exhibit, the very last page, we're  
24 going to test your handwriting analysis skills, but there's a sticky note -- this is a document  
25 we received from the National Archives, and there's a sticky note stuck to it. At least

1 that's how it's represented to us -- that says from the VP to Pat C. Does that  
2 handwriting look familiar to you?

3 A I believe it is either Sara Sylvester, who worked for the Vice President -- she  
4 was his assistant -- or Kate Lair's handwriting. They always had very similar handwriting.  
5 And I -- it could very well be neither of those, but they both had very nice handwriting,  
6 and, you know, sometimes they would leave notes on my desk, and I would get confused  
7 and have to call them for verification.

8 Q Fair enough. And we won't hold you to your analysis of the handwriting,  
9 but do you know whether this document actually did come from the Vice President's  
10 Office or whether the Vice President's Office received it as well?

11 A I'm not sure.

12 Q Okay.

13 A Off the top, I'm not sure.

14 Mr. [REDACTED]. This may be another good place to take a 5-minute break if you  
15 guys are okay with that.

16 Mr. Passantino. Sure. Can you give us a sense of what you think our timeline is  
17 for Ms. Hutchinson?

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah, why don't we go off the record.

19 Mr. Passantino. Okay.

20 Mr. [REDACTED]. So we're off the record.

21 [Recess.]

22 Mr. [REDACTED]. Excellent. Then let's go back on the record. It's 4:15, and this is  
23 the continuation of the interview with Ms. Cassidy Hutchinson.

24 [REDACTED] [Inaudible.]

25 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

1 Q Okay. We're going to talk about a meeting that took place in the White  
2 House on the 18th, with various outsiders, including Sidney Powell, Patrick Byrne, Eric  
3 Herschmann, and others.

4 But, before I do that, I just want to see, do you know why the executive order that  
5 we just looked at, exhibit No. 23, was never signed by the President?

6 A On the night of December 16?

7 Q Or at any point.

8 A I don't know why it was never signed. I know, on the night of December  
9 16th, the guidance was: Let's have a more thorough discussion about this.

10 I don't know the outcome of that discussion.

11 Q And that does raise another question. Do you know whether this executive  
12 order was actually ever signed?

13 A I'm not sure.

14 Q All right. So, on December 18th, we understand that there's a meeting in  
15 the Oval Office that involves Sidney Powell, Mike Flynn, Patrick Byrne, who is the former  
16 overstock.com CEO, Pat Cipollone, Eric Herschmann, Rudy Giuliani. I believe Derek  
17 Lyons was there for a part of it, as well as others. And, at this meeting, there were  
18 numerous conversations, some of which involved seizing voting machines, declaring  
19 martial law, naming Sidney Powell as special counsel, and other things. Do you know  
20 the meeting that I'm talking about?

21 A Yes, sir. Sorry, I'm over here?

22 Q Were you at that meeting?

23 A I was at the White House for that meeting. I never stepped into the Oval  
24 Office for the Oval Office portion of that meeting. We can talk about my movements  
25 throughout that night if you want to entertain those. They're not that exciting, but --

1 Q Okay. How did you -- do you know what happened in the meeting?

2 A There were many conversations that happened.

3 Q How did you learn about what happened in the meeting if you weren't  
4 there?

5 A Because I was physically at the White House at my desk, which was 35 feet  
6 from the Oval Office.

7 Q So could you hear what was happening in the meeting?

8 A -- points, and there were points that I stepped into the Oval secretary's  
9 office because they were trying to -- I'm looking for the appropriate word -- trying to  
10 phone Mr. Meadows, and trying to conference him in on the conference line because  
11 he'd already gone home for the evening.

12 And then there were points that participants in the meeting had briefly left, come  
13 back to my office, had conversations with me, and then gone back to the meeting.

14 Q Was this meeting prescheduled, or was it impromptu?

15 A It was impromptu.

16 Q Do you know how it came about?

17 A So I knew that these individuals were trying to get a meeting with  
18 Mr. Trump. I say "these individuals" -- Mr. Byrne, Ms. Powell, Mr. Flynn -- had been  
19 trying to get a meeting with Mr. Trump, and his schedule was busy, and there just wasn't  
20 time in his schedule for it. You know, how they got into the Oval Office that night, I still  
21 don't know.

22 And, at the time, I really didn't know, but I had heard that they were in there after  
23 Mr. Meadows had already left, and then, you know, other staffers had gone in, and that's  
24 kind of when I learned a little bit more about it.

25 Q You said Mr. Flynn. Is that Michael Flynn?

1 A That's correct.

2 Q Did you see Mr. Flynn and Ms. Powell and Mr. Byrne all there at the White  
3 House that day?

4 A During this meeting? Because we said "this day," so I just want to make  
5 sure you weren't referring to, like, earlier in the day prior to this meeting starting.

6 Q Yeah, around the time of the meeting.

7 A Yeah, yes. I mean, the first time I had seen them was when I -- like,  
8 physically seen them with my eyes -- was when I stepped into the Oval -- outer oval  
9 secretary's office.

10 Q And I also understand that Mr. Giuliani showed up for at least part of the  
11 meeting, maybe after the meeting had started. Is that right?

12 A Yes. If we're talking about the Oval Office meeting.

13 Q Correct. Is there another meeting that you're thinking of at the same time?

14 A No. But the meeting -- and it's been widely reported on, but the meeting  
15 did progress and moved into the residence portion of the White House later this evening.

16 Q And were you at that portion of it, in the residence?

17 A I was not in the yellow oval in the residence -- in the residence, but I had  
18 gone over to the residence three or four times over the course of that meeting.

19 Q Okay. And, when you went over to the residence during the course of that  
20 meeting, did you hear what was happening while you were there?

21 A Bits and pieces. Just as I had when I was in the West Wing for that portion  
22 of it too. And Mr. Meadows had come back to the White House for the residence  
23 portion of the meeting.

24 Q All right. Can you tell us everything you remember happening during that  
25 meeting in the Oval Office and then in the residence?

1           A     Now, I mean I wasn't an active participant in the meeting, so I don't -- you  
2     know, contextwise -- thank you -- contextwise, it's difficult to pinpoint what I heard at the  
3     time.   I just -- I recall the same topics that you had mentioned, meaning voter machines,  
4     potentially martial law being discussed, overhearing some of that, and then, you know, as  
5     people had taken a bit of a breather and come to the office to see me or get some space,  
6     had discussed it a little bit more.   Mr. Meadows ended up coming back because the  
7     meeting was about to continue through the night.



1

2 [4:20 p.m.]

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q So there's a report on this meeting from Axios, and it said something to the  
5 effect of the "craziest meeting in the Trump Presidency" or something like that. Do you  
6 know the report I'm talking about?

7 A I believe -- Jonathan Swan?

8 Q Yes.

9 A Yeah.

10 Q Or Swain. Swan or Swain. That's right.

11 A Yes. Yep.

12 Q Okay. Have you read that? Do you remember reading that article?

13 A I remember reading it a couple weeks after. I remember reading the New  
14 York Times article the next day, on the 19th.

15 Q And when you read those articles, did you remember anything that stood  
16 out as being wrong about them?

17 A I don't remember anything standing out as being wrong.

18 Q Okay. You say that with some -- like there's something else to it. Were  
19 there details that weren't reported? Is there something else?

20 A I mean, it was a long night, and the report came out fairly quickly. So, I  
21 mean, nothing that I can recall, nothing struck me that came out as it being, like, factually  
22 incorrect.

23 I think that there were a lot of questions raised about how it would kind of portray  
24 these stories to people, but -- why were they not more thoroughly vetted internally or,  
25 you know, press outreach on our end before the stories had gone live?

1           But now I can't recall anything that I read and I was like, you know, that's not how  
2 it happened.

3           Q    Okay.  That's really helpful.  I appreciate that.

4           So, just to drill down on some of the specifics, though, it's been reported that this  
5 meeting included discussions -- and you, I believe, have confirmed it now, but I don't  
6 want to put words in your mouth -- that this meeting involved discussions about seizing  
7 Dominion voting machines and, I believe, using an executive order to do so.

8           Do you remember that?

9           A    I remember that being a topic that was raised.

10          Q    Okay.

11          And, in this meeting, I understand that Eric Herschmann and Pat Cipollone and  
12 maybe others from the White House Counsel's Office were present.  Do you know what  
13 their opinion was -- or what they expressed -- excuse me -- in relation to seizing voting  
14 machines through an executive order or otherwise as they stated in that meeting?

15          A    To the third parties present, they stated that the idea, the notion that was  
16 being pushed by the outside individuals was a nonstarter and out of the question from  
17 their perspective.

18          Q    And do you remember who first brought up the seizing Dominion voting  
19 machines that White House Counsel thought was a nonstarter or out of the question?

20          A    I don't remember the very first person that had -- and I wasn't in the room.  
21 But I don't remember the very first time that I heard the phrase "seizing voting  
22 machines," and I don't remember if I ever heard that night who the person was that had  
23 first mentioned that --

24          Q    Okay.

25          A    -- phrase in the Oval Office.

1           Q    How about this? How about, who were the people advocating for seizing  
2 voting machines during that meeting? Was Mr. Trump advocating for it?

3           A    I wasn't physically present in the meeting, so I'm not sure whether he was  
4 personally advocating for that.

5                    Again, it was a meeting where there were ideas being discussed, so he was  
6 listening to the individuals that were saying that it was a viable option, just as he was  
7 listening to those who were countering that suggestion.

8           Q    Is it fair to say -- and I don't want to put -- again, don't want to put words in  
9 your mouth. Is it fair to say that the White House guests that night -- Ms. Powell,  
10 Mr. Flynn, Mr. Byrne -- advocated to seize voting machines, whereas the White House  
11 Counsel's Office and White House employees were against that idea? Is that generally  
12 accurate, as you understand it?

13          A    Yes, sir.

14          Q    What about martial law? Do you remember who was advocating for the  
15 use of martial law related to the 2020 election during that meeting on the 18th?

16          A    I'm broadly attributing to it to Ms. Powell, Mr. Flynn, Mr. Byrne, but I'm not  
17 sure of the first individual to mention the phrase "martial law" or the concept of martial  
18 law. And I'm not sure if there was one during that meeting -- at the time, I was not sure  
19 or wasn't aware of one person pushing it harder than any of the other people.

20          Q    And do you remember whether the President, Mr. Trump, expressed his  
21 thoughts on declaring martial law to have some effect on the 2020 election?

22          A    I'll just reference my earlier statement, which -- there was a discussion  
23 where there was two primary groups of people who had two very different opinions, and  
24 he was willing to listen to both sides of that. And it was happening around his desk, so  
25 you can't really avoid it, to an extent.

1           But I wasn't in the room. I can't attest to anything he said at the time or -- I'll  
2           leave it there.

3           Q     Okay.

4           What did Mr. Cipollone or others from the White House Counsel's Office say about  
5           the possibility of using martial law related to the election during that meeting?

6           A     Similar to their expressions to the third parties about seizing Dominion  
7           voting machines, which was a nonstarter, it was out of the question. It was not  
8           something that, on their watch, in their official capacity, they were willing to entertain.

9           Q     I also understood that somebody, maybe Ms. Powell, proposed that she  
10          become a special counsel to investigate election fraud claims and that Mr. Trump told  
11          Mr. Meadows at some point to essentially prepare some background or security-type  
12          forms.

13          Does that -- right, as far as you remember it?

14          A     That's correct.

15          Q     And do you remember what White House Counsel Pat Cipollone or others  
16          from the White House Counsel's Office said in response to that idea?

17          Mr. Passantino. In that meeting. Right?

18          Mr. [REDACTED]. In that meeting. Correct.

19          Mr. Passantino. Yeah.

20          Ms. Hutchinson. I wasn't in the Oval Office. I'm not sure what they had said to  
21          the President in that moment. I know that they had conversations with Mr. Meadows  
22          about it, but I wasn't present for the conversations that happened in the Oval Office  
23          between White House Counsel and Mr. Trump about potentially bringing Ms. Powell on  
24          as an official White House employee, executive branch employee.

25                   BY MR. [REDACTED]:

1 Q Do you know if Mr. Meadows ever did anything to actually bring her on,  
2 whether sending her the security forms or anything else to actually make that suggestion  
3 happen?

4 A I don't know if he sent anything to her or ever started a paper trail about  
5 that. But I do know that he wasn't particularly thrilled about that prospect and it wasn't  
6 something that he wanted to entertain and he did push back on that.

7 Q Do you know why he wasn't thrilled with that prospect and pushed back on  
8 it?

9 A I think that he just thought that it was inappropriate and the fallout of a  
10 decision like that outweighed any benefit that potentially employing Ms. Powell would  
11 have on the administration at this time.

12 Q Okay.

13 So, if we can go to exhibit 6, these are messages that you exchanged with  
14 Mr. Ornato about this meeting --

15 Mr. [REDACTED]: Before you go there, [REDACTED], can I --

16 Mr. [REDACTED]: Go ahead.

17 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

18 Q In terms, Ms. Hutchinson, of you've got, sort of, the Powell, Byrne, Flynn  
19 folks and Cipollone, Herschmann, and the others on the other side, where was  
20 Mr. Meadows on that dynamic? Was he like the President, just willing to entertain it,  
21 not pushing in either direction, or was he on one side or the other, as far as you could tell  
22 from your observations when you popped in or out?

23 A As far as I could tell from my observations from both my conversations with  
24 Mr. Meadows and knowing how he approached these conversations with external  
25 interests and White House Counsel, he publicly, in my opinion -- and I believe that this is

1 accurate and others would attest to this too -- played more of a neutral bystander at  
2 most points and wasn't overly enthusiastic about just having it be a flat-out "no" to  
3 Mr. Trump. But, you know, privately, he understood the repercussions of this  
4 decision -- or any of these decisions would play and did recognize that.

5 Q So, on these issues specifically, did he say to you that he had reservations  
6 but didn't say that to the President because for some reason he was worried about saying  
7 "no" to Mr. Trump, is I think what you said?

8 A He reached a point where it became a definitive "no" to Mr. Trump. But I  
9 also recall and know, leading up to that, he was delicate in his approach, to try to ensure  
10 that the aforementioned parties were, you know, all informed of his conversations with  
11 Mr. Trump and the direction that he was taking it.

12 Q And was that sort of consistent, Ms. Hutchinson, over this period of time,  
13 with Mr. Meadows playing more of a neutral role when it came to discussions about  
14 these election issues with the President? Was that a regular, sort of his standard  
15 approach when these issues arose?

16 A When you say "neutral," are we comparing it to either him being fervently  
17 against something or very much a proponent of something, or is it him neutral versus him  
18 just being a proponent?

19 Q No, "neutral" to me means not pushing in either direction but simply flagging  
20 the issue but not weighing in.

21 Here you've got a meeting where you've got two clearly contrasting sides, right,  
22 different pushes to the President. And I'm just trying to get a sense if Mr. Meadows was  
23 in the middle, just listening, or whether he was picking a side in this or generally other  
24 meetings.

25 A I'd say, overall, Mr. Meadows was fairly neutral. And Mr. Meadows also

1 admires people with strong work ethics and that, in his words, are doers. And he  
2 wanted to at least exhaust all of his resources to make sure that the election was  
3 thoroughly evaluated. And that, for him at the time, meant communicating with  
4 individuals on the outside.

5 But maybe we can go into that. But I also feel like that's -- if we're focusing on  
6 this, on this context, you know, it was neutral, if not in this particular instance leaning a  
7 little bit more to siding with the "no" team, as in, you know, "We're not doing this."

8 Q Yeah.

9 Well, separate from this meeting, did he ever share with you his general approach  
10 to dealing with the President, right -- I can or I can't say this or that -- or share with you,  
11 sort of, strategy or his approach to those conversations, particularly with respect to these  
12 issues, anything having to do with the election, between the election and the end of the  
13 administration?

14 A I think it's pretty obvious at this point that he was willing to hear theories  
15 and he was willing to have meetings that the President asked him to have, he was willing  
16 to have phone conversations, he was willing to exhaust all of his resources necessary in  
17 order to say that he checked all the boxes and he vetted as much as he could in the  
18 limited time that he had.

19 Might've that came with him having more conversations than one might agree he  
20 should've had? That's not my judgment to make. And it's not his -- you'd have to ask  
21 him, like, whether or not he felt that he was too neutral or too much in one camp or in  
22 the other. You know, I can't speak to that. Then I'm factoring my personal opinion  
23 into it, and that's not what I'm here to do.

24 Q I understand that. And believe me, we'd love to ask Mr. Meadows those  
25 questions directly at some point, and hopefully we'll get the chance to do that.

1           But all I'm asking you is whether he ever shared with you directly -- a very close  
2 professional colleague -- anything about his approach to dealing with the President, the  
3 things that he kept in mind as he raised these issues or did not raise issues with the  
4 President with respect to the election.

5           A     I mean, again, like, he -- Mr. Meadows always wanted the President to feel  
6 that his opinions were being heard and that everything that the President asked him to  
7 do was being fulfilled and it wasn't just a blanket "no" for anything. You know, if  
8 Mr. Meadows ever said "no" to something, it was, "Sir, I think that that's not the best way  
9 of approaching this, but how about we do it this way?"

10           You know, he always did his best to try to find a resolution even if he were to say  
11 "no." But his goal was to always act and produce a result. And I think that's his  
12 method of leading, that's his method of -- was his method of being the chief of staff.  
13 You know, if it's not "no," it's "let's find a different route."

14           And sometimes it took him getting creative, but he always wanted to produce  
15 results for the President. And that meant that he entertained a lot of things and that he  
16 addressed most, if not all, of the President's concerns and lists of to-dos or asks.

17           Q     Yeah, Ms. Hutchinson, again, I'm not asking you to judge what Mr. Meadows  
18 did or didn't do. I'm just asking whether he ever shared with you directly any thoughts  
19 about how he should or shouldn't approach the President, deal with the President,  
20 manage this issue with respect to his communications with the President.

21           Mr. Passantino. Yeah. So do you understand? He's saying, did you hear him  
22 specifically say his philosophy of being the chief of staff?

23           Ms. Hutchinson. I guess the best way I could concisely say what I've been trying  
24 to convey in the last four to five questions is that he wanted --

25           Mr. Passantino. No, but I think -- you've definitely said, like, five times, like, what



1 your view is.

2 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah.

3 Mr. Passantino. But I think the specific question is, did he, like, have a  
4 specific -- is there a quote that you can -- I mean, as opposed -- you've given your  
5 assessment. I think he's saying --

6 Mr. [REDACTED] Yeah, I know what he -- yeah, thank you, Stefan, and I appreciate  
7 that.

8 Ms. Hutchinson. If you want --

9 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah, go ahead. Sorry.

10 Ms. Hutchinson. If you're looking for a specific quote, you know, he would  
11 frequently say, you know, I'm his doer, I'm his guy, I want to find a way to do this for him.

12 But that didn't mean acting on everything exactly as the way the President wanted  
13 it approached. Not that that would've been a negative, but, you know, the point of a  
14 chief of staff or any close advisors that you have is to have contrasting opinions and to  
15 reach a different conclusion sometimes.

16 So, yes, he was the doer, he was the chief of staff to the President of the United  
17 States during a very unique period, and he had to get creative sometimes with how he  
18 would approach it, but his goal was to never say "no" to the President.

19 He always wanted to fulfill what the President was asking him to do, knowing that  
20 if he wasn't going to do it, somebody could eventually backfill that role who wouldn't  
21 have the President's best interests in mind. And Mr. Meadows at the time felt that he  
22 had the President's best interests in mind. And he was fearful that somebody else  
23 wouldn't and they'd be more selfish in that role.

24 Now, it's not my role to judge the possibility or even if that's a viable concern on  
25 his end.

1           But -- yeah, I don't know if that helps answer your question any better, like, that  
2           phrasing --

3                           BY MR. ████████:

4           Q     Is that your opinion, Ms. Hutchinson, or is that what he said to you?   "My  
5           job is to never say 'no' to the President," or, "I've got to be his doer" or "his guy," did he  
6           say things like that?

7           A     He frequently said things like that.

8           Q     Did he ever contrast his approach to the job to prior chiefs of staff in this  
9           administration?

10          A     Over the course of his tenure as chief of staff, or in this period as relating to  
11          election fraud and the 2020 election as a whole?

12          Q     I'm asking you whether he ever contrasted his approach to the job to the  
13          way others who were his predecessors approached the job.   He's going to be different  
14          than John Kelly or Mick Mulvaney or Reince Priebus or some of the others.

15          A     Those were the three.   But I -- yeah, he did.   But that's not, in my opinion,  
16          anything that's egregious or out of the question.   Because if you are backfilling a role of  
17          somebody else that previously held it, you're naturally going to evaluate how they did  
18          that job and what may have worked, what may not have worked, and how you could  
19          potentially fill that role a little bit better.

20                 So, you know, like, when I've taken other jobs, I've thought about who had it  
21          before me and what I thought that they did well and what I would do differently in that  
22          role.   So, you know, there's a natural evaluation point of view that you have to look at  
23          and that he did look at.

24                 In this period, he never said anything along the lines of, "If Reince was chief, he  
25          would've done it like this," "If Mr. Kelly was the chief of staff, he would've done it like

1 this," you know, and I don't know if that thought ever crossed his mind. I would love for  
2 you to ask him that question.

3 But, yes, bluntly speaking, he did evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of his  
4 predecessors.

5 Q Uh-huh. And is it fair to say that he viewed his role as chief of staff to be  
6 more accommodating, more "never say no," than the predecessors? In other words,  
7 was he more accommodating to -- sympathetic to the President's ideas, however rational  
8 or not, than his predecessors?

9 Has he said this? I'm not -- to be clear, I'm not asking for your opinion. I'm  
10 asking for what he told you about how he approached his role. We're just trying to get a  
11 sense of how he approached his role.

12 A Mr. Meadows had said that he felt that Mr. Trump was better filled by the  
13 leadership style of finding a way and a route to a "yes" than just saying "no," as some or a  
14 few of his predecessors had at points.

15 So he had the goal in mind to fulfill the President's wishes and requests and asks  
16 because he is the chief of staff to the President and that is fundamentally the job. And  
17 he felt that Mr. Trump would benefit the most from somebody that would help him find a  
18 path to the "yes."

19 Q And did he feel that way about everything, regardless of what the "yes" was  
20 or the idea was? The default answer was, "Find a way to 'yes'"?

21 A When you say "everything," do you mean --

22 Q Every --

23 Mr. Passantino. I mean, we are getting a little far afield here, right? I mean,  
24 we've beaten this to death. I mean, I appreciate what you're asking her, and she's  
25 certainly given it in the context of this and -- I mean, it's a pretty unfair position to put her

1 in.

2 Ms. Hutchinson. Again, I'm happy to keep answering. I mean, if you  
3 have -- when you use the term "everything," like, that's very encapsulating, and I --

4 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. I guess all I'm trying to say is -- or all I'm trying to ask,  
5 Ms. Hutchinson, is whether or not his approach, essentially a default "yes," was the same  
6 regardless of the issue or whether there were certain issues that he himself thought, "No  
7 way, we can't facilitate that" or "We can't" -- he would have a different approach  
8 depending on the underlying issue that was involved. Or is his approach the same  
9 regardless? The answer should start with "yes"; give the President what he wants.

10 Mr. Passantino. Well, and in fairness, that's not what she's testified to, given  
11 what -- she said, you know, find a way -- you know, don't -- again, let her testimony speak  
12 for itself.

13 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah. No. I appreciate that.

14 Ms. Hutchinson. Generally and broadly speaking, his goal was always "yes," and I  
15 think I've been very clear with that.

16 Were there instances where it was just a "no"? I am sure there were instances  
17 where it was just a "no." And I'm sure that he didn't love delivering that message. I  
18 couldn't name one off the top of my head right now. I was not present for even  
19 20 percent of their personal conversations, one-on-one conversations in the Oval, in the  
20 Oval dining room, on the phone, on the plane.

21 He never wanted to be the person that said "no," because he didn't feel that it  
22 was appropriate for him to say "no" to the sitting President of the United States. He  
23 always wanted the President to feel that he was being heard and that the President's  
24 thoughts were at least being explored, because that was a request that he gave  
25 Mr. Meadows.

1           So, generally speaking, yes, his goal was always "yes." But, for instance, the  
2 night that we have severely detracted from, he did say "no," you know? I wasn't  
3 present for him saying "no," but I know that he said "no" about appointing Sidney Powell  
4 as special counsel. Now, whether it was entertained more in the earlier days, I'm not  
5 sure. That is what you'll have to ask him.

6           But, you know, he didn't like saying "no." His goal was to never just say "no." It  
7 was always to find a path to a "yes," even if that meant completely tweaking something in  
8 the approach to that.

9           Mr. [REDACTED]: Okay. Thank you.

10          Dan, you can get back into your questions about that meeting or otherwise.

11          Mr. [REDACTED]: Okay. Sounds good.

12                   BY MR. [REDACTED]:

13          Q All right. So if we can pull up exhibit 6, please, these are the messages with  
14 Tony, who I believe you confirmed last time was Tony Ornato.

15                 And the first page of these say, "Flynn is still here." "And Sidney. there's a  
16 brawl."

17                 You go on to say, "The west wing is UNHINGED."

18                 And then on December 19th at 12:11 a.m., so just after midnight, you say, "Still  
19 here, but Rudy, Sidney and Flynn have left."

20                 I assume these text messages are in the context of the meeting that we were  
21 talking about that started on December 18th. Is that correct?

22                 A Yes.

23          Q Okay.

24                 And if you go to the next page, page 2, of this exhibit No. 6, it shows a photo, and  
25 you say, "I take one photo for Mark of each of his days. Tonight, it was him escorting

1 Rudy off-campus to make sure he didn't wander back to the Mansion."

2 Last time we talked about potentially getting exact times for some of these.

3 Would this be a place that we could come back to you and ask for the time of this photo  
4 on this message?

5 A I can pull it up right now, if you want the time.

6 Q We can do it later.

7 A Sorry. Okay.

8 Q Yeah. If it's easiest, I'll just give a group of them to Mr. Passantino. And  
9 that way, we don't have to stop here.

10 A Okay.

11 Q And earlier you mentioned that somebody had been walking, kind of, to your  
12 desk or the area where you were during the Oval portion of this meeting. Who was  
13 that? Was it one person in particular?

14 A Mr. Herschmann came to my desk a few times and we had conversations,  
15 and Mr. Cipollone came back one time. And this is all prior to Mr. Meadows coming  
16 back to the White House.

17 Q Okay. And so they were relaying to you some of the things that were  
18 happening during the meeting with Mr. Flynn and Ms. Powell and Mr. Byrne and others?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q All right.

21 So, once the meeting shifts up into the residence, what happened up there, to  
22 your knowledge?

23 A So the Oval meeting -- I apologize for rewinding a bit, but [inaudible] context  
24 to discuss what happened at the residence. But Mr. Meadows did not make it back to  
25 the White House until the group collectively in the Oval Office agreed that, you know,

1 they needed a 15-, 20-minute recess.

2 After Mr. Meadows left his house, he got off the conference line that -- you know,  
3 he was in and out on the line a few times. He had come back to the White House.

4 He'd gone up to the residence to have a conversation with Mr. Trump prior to the  
5 whole group convening in the Yellow Oval. I don't remember who went over there first  
6 or if everybody went over there in a group. But this conversation explored what was  
7 being discussed in the Oval Office but it was a little bit more in depth.

8 And in addition to Mr. Meadows coming back to the White House, Mr. Giuliani  
9 also came back to the White House -- or came to the White House that evening. Sorry.  
10 He was not there earlier in the day, to my recollection, but he came to the White House  
11 that night for the Yellow Oval portion of the meeting.

12 And, broadly speaking, from what I recall, the topics that were discussed in the  
13 Oval Office were discussed at more detail and length in the Yellow Oval Office with a little  
14 bit more guidance and objective counsel from, you know, Mr. Meadows, who was in  
15 charge of making such staffing decisions.

16 Q Okay. And so the Yellow Oval, when you say that, that's in the residence?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And the people that were there, that went up to the residence portion of the  
19 meeting, did that include General Flynn?

20 A Yes. Yes, sir.

21 Q Sidney Powell?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q Patrick Byrne?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Pat Cipollone?

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q Do their --

3 A Mr. Lyons, Mr. Herschmann.

4 Q Okay. Who else? Was Emily Newman there for the residence portion?

5 A I don't remember. I don't even remember -- I don't know who she is, to be  
6 honest with you. I remember the other individuals and seeing them, but, yeah, I didn't  
7 know who she was then, and I'm not even sure I would recognize her today.

8 Q You said that Mr. Meadows provided counsel from his perspective. What  
9 did he say during this meeting? What were his views?

10 A I wasn't there, but I remember him briefly meeting in our office and in the  
11 White House Counsel's Office with White House Counsel Mr. Herschmann and saying  
12 that, you know, "I hear the ideas, but, you know, let's do our best to push back right now.  
13 I think that's what's best."

14 Mr. Passantino. Wait. So this meeting was --

15 Ms. Hutchinson. That's Mr. Meadows saying this to --

16 Mr. Passantino. Okay. But it's still a content of communication between client  
17 and attorney. I'm going to instruct you not to -- unless there was somebody else  
18 present who was not a White House person.

19 Ms. Hutchinson. No.

20 Mr. Passantino. Okay.

21 Ms. Hutchinson. For this portion, no. But it's --

22 Mr. Passantino. I know, but communications either way are attorney-client.

23 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

24 Q And who called Mr. Meadows back to the White House for this meeting?

25 A So people had asked Mr. Meadows for several hours before this to come



1 back to the White House, and he was reluctant because he could dial in and he had  
2 worked several long days and it was a Friday. He just wanted to get home. And I  
3 believe he had family coming in town that weekend.

4 But once I had heard that the meeting had recessed and it was going to continue  
5 upstairs, I called Mr. Meadows. He didn't answer his phone, so then I called somebody  
6 on his detail and had them connect me with him. Because I wasn't at his residence; I  
7 was still at the White House.

8 And I told Mr. Meadows, "I really think you need to come back for this." And he  
9 said, "All right, can you tell my guys I'm going to the White House?", which "my guys"  
10 would be the Secret Service detail. So I did, and they coordinated the motorcade  
11 around to bring him back.

12 Q Okay.

13 When you got upstairs -- or, excuse me -- in the Yellow Oval portion of the  
14 meeting in the residence, did Mr. Meadows, to your knowledge, push back on the claims  
15 that were being asserted about voting machines and martial law and appointing Sidney  
16 Powell as a special prosecutor?

17 A I'm not sure if he pushed back on the claims of Dominion voting machines,  
18 because I wasn't there. But I know that he had pushed back on Ms. Powell being  
19 appointed special counsel.

20 And I know that he was not particularly inclined or fond of the idea of invoking  
21 martial law. I don't know if he entertained it as a possibility, but I know that he was  
22 fairly, if not very, firm in his guidance during the Yellow Oval portion of that meeting.  
23 And when I say I don't know if he entertained it further, I mean earlier in the evening or in  
24 the days prior.

25 Q And do you know what Mr. Cipollone and the White House Counsel's Office

1 said during the residence portion of that meeting? I believe Mr. Giuliani was there with  
2 you -- or was there as well?

3 A The attorneys, Mr. Herschmann, Mr. Lyons, all were very clear, as has been  
4 reported, that they would resign if this was approved. And I know that that did factor  
5 into Mr. Meadows' decision. He didn't want to lose them.

6 Q When you say "if this was approved, they would resign," what do you mean  
7 by "this"?

8 A If Ms. Powell had been appointed special counsel, if they had considered  
9 invoking martial law more in depth, which -- I don't know if it was ever even something  
10 that was, like, on the table, legitimately on the table.

11 But once it became clear that there would be mass resignations, including lawyers  
12 in the White House Counsel's Office, including some of the staff that Mr. Meadows  
13 worked closely with, you know, I know that that did factor into his thinking that night.

14 Q And these issues that came up, including seizing voting machines and  
15 appointing Ms. Powell as a special counsel and potentially imposing martial law, is it your  
16 understanding that those were being considered or proposed in order to change the  
17 outcome of the election and have Mr. Trump start a second term on January 20th?

18 A So, like the Mr. Eastman theories, it was something that external individuals  
19 felt could potentially be a constitutional and viable option to either stall certification of  
20 the election or to delay the inauguration or to assert that Mr. Trump had actually won.  
21 And there were theories -- you know, I can't speak to if Mr. Trump -- yeah, I'll leave it  
22 there.

23 Q Okay. Fair enough.

24 So, at that time -- I just want to get, we'll call it "atmospherics," but just an  
25 understanding of what the discussions were like at the White House.

1           By then, you know, the election had happened in November. The electoral  
2 college met and cast votes that, when counted up, showed the now-President Biden had  
3 won.

4           And here we are on December 18th. We're talking about a meeting that goes  
5 into the 19th. And was the focus -- was Mr. Trump's focus, to the best of your  
6 knowledge, at that point, had it turned to January 6th? Was he focused on that date  
7 because that was maybe the next step in the election process and declaring Joe Biden the  
8 winner?

9           A     Could you repeat the first part of that question, please?

10          Q     Yeah, sure. And, again, this is just for context, but the first part was that,  
11 by this point, December 18th-19th, in this meeting that we're just talking about, the  
12 election had been run and Mr. Biden was declared the winner; the electoral college met  
13 and cast votes that declared Mr. Biden the winner.

14          And so was the focus in the White House and Mr. Trump's focus, to the best of  
15 your knowledge, insofar as you could tell, now focused on January the 6th as the next  
16 date in the election process, so to speak?

17          A     Naturally so, yes, because that was the next date that there was a significant  
18 event that was going to happen. You know, you couldn't backpedal the decisions and  
19 the dates that had already passed and change anything that had happened then, so his  
20 attention had then turned to, what can be done between today and the 6th?

21          Q     Do you remember Mr. Trump talking about January 6th around this time,  
22 December 18th, in this meeting that we've just been talking about?

23          A     I don't recall Mr. Trump having -- being present for any conversations that  
24 Mr. Trump had about January 6th. Or -- yeah. I don't recall Mr. Trump having those  
25 discussions or me being physically present for him specifically speaking about

1 January 6th.

2 Q Okay.

3 What is it in your mind that makes you think that the focus at this point had  
4 turned to January 6th? Was there any particular discussion with Mr. Meadows or others  
5 about January the 6th around this time?

6 A Mr. Meadows began his discussions about how to approach January 6th.

7 Q Around the time of this meeting?

8 A Roughly. You know, maybe a day before, maybe 2 days after, but within  
9 this general timeframe.

10 Q Okay.

11 And if we could pull up exhibit No. 24, this is a tweet that the President sent out  
12 on December the 19th. So, actually, it was at 1:34 in the morning on the 19th, so just an  
13 hour or so, maybe 2 hours, after the meeting ended and Mr. Giuliani and others left the  
14 White House.

15 You can go down to the middle of the page. This is very hard to see, the way it's  
16 formatted here, but I will tell you that it says December 19, 2020, at 1:42 a.m. Eastern  
17 Standard Time.

18 The tweet reads: "Peter Navarro releases 36-page report alleging election fraud  
19 'more than sufficient' to swing victory to Trump." And then it has a hyperlink. "A great  
20 report by Peter. Statistically impossible to have lost the 2020 Election. Big protest in  
21 D.C. on January 6th. Be there, will be wild!"

22 Do you remember that tweet coming out on the 19th?

23 A I had Twitter alerts pushed to my personal cell phone, so I remember a  
24 tweet being pushed out, but, to be honest, most of the time, I ignored the Twitter alerts  
25 on my phone. So I don't remember if I ever opened it this night, but I remember seeing

1 it come up on my phone when I was driving home. And I recall, I believe, ignoring it.  
2 And I would've seen it either later that night or the next morning when I was on my way  
3 to the White House, but --

4 Q Do you remember any discussions in the White House about this tweet,  
5 particularly the part that says, "Big protest in D.C. on January 6th. Be there, will be  
6 wild!", around this time, so the 18th, 19th, 20th of December?

7 A Do I remember any discussions happening about that portion of the tweet?

8 Q Right.

9 A Not around this time, no.

10 Q Okay. How about ever? Do you remember anything about the fact that  
11 Mr. Trump said there was going to be a protest that will be wild?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Can you tell us about that and who was involved?

14 A I'd call it more as, like, tongue-in-cheek comments or, you know, them  
15 discussing contents of tweets. You know, Mr. Meadows would sometimes weigh in on  
16 tweets that Mr. Trump was going to put out or tweets that Mr. Scavino had drafted.

17 I don't remember the term "wild" being thrown around at this time. I don't  
18 remember any specific phrasing in my immediate recollection right now that was, you  
19 know, phrasing that they explicitly wanted used. But I remember generally the  
20 discussions of, you know, we want people to turn out, we want to get the word out  
21 earlier; that way, people have time to coordinate their travel plans, et cetera.

22 Q But you don't remember any discussion specifically about what Mr. Trump  
23 meant when he said "will be wild." Is that fair?

24 A In the context of this tweet, no.

25 Q Okay. Is there another context where you remember Mr. Trump talking

1 about having the protest, will be wild? The only reason I ask is because you just said not  
2 in this context, so I want to make sure I'm not missing something.

3 A And, again, I'm not attributing it to the term "wild," but he was excited about  
4 the big crowd, he was excited that his supporters were coming out to the rally on the 6th,  
5 so, you know, take that comment as you will.

6 I know that there will probably be individuals that misconstrue what I am  
7 intending to say, and that's really my goal, for that not to happen, but he was looking  
8 forward to his supporters being here, just as he had for most of his rallies. And that's  
9 not anything criminal. He's not -- it's not out of touch for him to look forward to  
10 something like that. And he wanted his people to be there. He wanted them to be  
11 excited about seeing him on January 6th.

12 So, yeah, there was discussions about how large the crowd size would be.

13 Q Okay.

14 And so, at this point, though, on December 19th, are you familiar with any plans  
15 to actually have a rally on January 6th, when the President issued this tweet, again, on  
16 the 19th?

17 A The rally specifically, no. Now, as the days have progressed, that's when I  
18 started hearing about a rally. But I remember probably, like, 2 or 3 days before this  
19 Mr. Meadows talking about January 6th and, you know, we could have events or, like,  
20 what maybe we should do on that day if, you know, it came to that.

21 Q Did Mr. Meadows think, you know, around this time, that there should be a  
22 protest, whether wild or not, on January 6th in Washington related to the joint session?

23 A Mr. Meadows was supportive of considering a rally or an event in  
24 Washington, D.C., on January 6th. I think there maybe were more ideas thrown around  
25 that I'm not aware of, but I know rally was one of them.

1 Q Before we get off this tweet too, you know, the first part of it talks about  
2 Peter Navarro releasing a "36-page report alleging election fraud 'more than sufficient' to  
3 swing victory to Trump."

4 Do you know whether Mr. Meadows was involved in the preparation of that  
5 report in any way?

6 A I don't know, but I'm strongly inclined to say that he was not involved with  
7 any of Mr. Navarro's activities during this time.

8 Q Why do you say that?

9 A Mr. Navarro oftentimes operated on his own time and on his own  
10 prerogative, and there were often times he attempted to raise things of this nature to  
11 Mr. Meadows during this time period that, you know, Mr. Meadows respectfully declined.

12 Q Okay.

13 On December 21st -- if we could pull up exhibit 25 -- we briefly touched on this  
14 before, I believe, but there was a meeting in the Oval Office with Members of Congress,  
15 and Mr. Meadows ended up tweeting about it.

16 If we can go to page 5 of this exhibit.

17 This is a text chain that you produced with Eliza. I believe it's Elizabeth Thurston.  
18 Is that correct?

19 A Eliza, but yes.

20 Q Okay.

21 And on December 21st, at 1:22 -- it's actually on page 5 -- you asked, "Did matt  
22 gaetz come to our office?"

23 And she says, "Yes he's in with Mark." "Rudy is stuck at the gate bc he brought in  
24 an unscheduled guest. There are a number of other members in the lobby."

25 You say, "Oh my gosh ok."

1           And then she says, "Matt just walked out."

2           So can you tell us what was happening on the 21st at the White House as it relates  
3 to these messages?

4           A     This is around the time that the meeting with the Members of Congress was  
5 set to begin in the Cabinet Room.

6           Q     Okay.   So this Cabinet Room meeting, who called the meeting?

7           A     I don't remember who coordinated all the logistics for this meeting.

8           Now, 99 percent of the time, meetings with Members of Congress, whether  
9 Mr. Meadows would participate or Mr. Trump would participate, were elevated to me,  
10 and then I would disseminate any information to the Office of Legislative Affairs to  
11 coordinate logistics for the meeting.

12           But, this meeting, I don't recall if -- I know I didn't coordinate the logistics for it.   I  
13 remember Mr. Meadows telling me about this meeting, telling me to come up with a  
14 group of Members.   And then I remember reaching out to Mr. Jordan, Jim Jordan, to ask  
15 if they already had any in mind, and they said, yeah, they'll send those over to Mark.

16           And then I believe that I had told Mr. Haidet, the President's scheduler, you know,  
17 just put it on the calendar and we will, like, work from there.

18           So it was kind of a little bit more of a -- I don't want to say "impromptu," but not a  
19 very formally scheduled event.

20           Q     My understanding is that the purpose of this meeting was to talk about what  
21 would happen during the joint session of Congress on the 6th.   Is that right?

22           A     That's accurate.   Correct.

23           Q     And we're going to get into exactly what happened with that, but I first want  
24 to go through some of the people who were there.

25           Did the President participate in this meeting on the 21st in the -- I believe you said



1 Cabinet Room?

2 A He briefly came in.

3 Q Just briefly?

4 A We might have contrasting opinions and definitions of "briefly," but he was  
5 not in there for the entire duration of the meeting.

6 Q Okay.

7 Was Mr. Meadows there for the meeting?

8 A He briefly participated. He didn't have a seat at the table, and he didn't  
9 participate in the entire meeting. He, I believe, stepped in and out four or five times  
10 and maybe possibly was in there for 15 to 20 minutes. I might be being generous with  
11 that --

12 Q So --

13 A -- timeframe, but --

14 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead, Ms. Hutchinson.

15 A I might be generous with the 15- to 20-minute timeframe. I don't know  
16 specifically, but, you know, roughly, possibly, that's probably how much time he spent in  
17 that meeting.

18 Q Was the Vice President in that meeting?

19 A He did go into the meeting, again, I don't believe from start to finish, but he  
20 did spend time speaking with the Members, listening to the presentation, listening to  
21 concerns.

22 Q Okay. Did he have his staff with him, like Marc Short or anybody else?

23 A He brought Marc Short in with him and his director of legislative affairs, Chris  
24 Hodgson.

25 Q Was Mr. Giuliani at that meeting?

1 A He was.

2 Q Who else from his team do you remember being there?

3 A I don't remember anybody else that was in there and had accompanied  
4 Mr. Giuliani to that meeting.

5 Q Was Phil Waldron there, somebody we talked about earlier, if you  
6 remember?

7 A I don't remember if Mr. Waldron was there.

8 Q How about John Eastman? Was he at that meeting, to the best of your  
9 knowledge?

10 A To my knowledge, he was not there.

11 Q All right.

12 And then we have a number of House Members. I believe they were from the  
13 House Freedom Caucus. Is that right, generally?

14 A That's accurate, generally.

15 Q Okay. So did that include Jim Jordan?

16 A Yes, Mr. Jordan was there.

17 Q Andy Biggs?

18 A Mr. Biggs was there.

19 Q Mo Brooks?

20 A Mr. Brooks was there.

21 Q Matt Gaetz?

22 A Mr. Gaetz was there, although I don't believe Mr. Gaetz is a part of the  
23 Freedom Caucus.

24 Q Okay. How about Marjorie Taylor --

25 A [Inaudible.]

1 Q Sure. How about Marjorie Taylor Greene?

2 A Was not at the time a member of the Freedom Caucus, as she was still  
3 Congresswoman-elect, but, yes, Ms. Marjorie Taylor Greene was there.

4 Q How about Louie Gohmert? Was he there?

5 A Mr. Gohmert was there.

6 Q Do you remember anybody else who was there from the House or the  
7 Congress?

8 A Mr. Hice, Jody Hice; Mr. Gosar, Paul Gosar; I believe Ms. Lesko, Debbie Lesko  
9 of Arizona.

10 And there was also a handful of others that were there that I -- Mr. Perry  
11 definitely spoke. I can't remember if he was dialed in or if he was physically present  
12 though. They dialed in a few Members over the course of that meeting.

13 Q Okay.

14 What do you remember -- were you in that meeting the whole time?

15 A Not the entire time, no.

16 Q Okay. What do you remember from that meeting? What happened?

17 A A few Members expressed their opinions and their thoughts on January 6th,  
18 what they believed that the Vice President's role could potentially be --

19 Q Can I stop you there?

20 A Yes.

21 Q On that issue in particular, the Vice President's role and what they thought it  
22 would be, what was it? What was the conversation like?

23 A They felt that he had the authority to -- pardon me if my phrasing isn't  
24 correct on this, but -- send votes back to the States or the electors back to the States,  
25 more along the lines of the Eastman theory. I'm not very well-versed on it, and I

1 apologize for that.

2 Q That's quite all right. That's exactly right. So Mr. Eastman said that the  
3 Vice President would have, among other things, the authority to count certain votes or to  
4 delay the certification and send votes --

5 A Right.

6 Q -- back to the States.

7 A Okay. To send the votes back to the States, not the delegates or the  
8 electors, but, yes, send the votes back.

9 Q Okay.

10 And did both of those things, either the Vice President's power to count or not  
11 count and also his power to send the votes back to the States, did they come up in that  
12 meeting on the 21st?

13 A They did.

14 Q And did anybody in that meeting disagree with the idea that the Vice  
15 President had the authority to do that, either of those options?

16 A I don't recall anybody speaking out and definitively expressing disagreement  
17 with that theory. I believe I am not out of line for -- I don't want to say  
18 "speculating" -- for saying that the Vice President's team appeared slightly skeptical.

19 But, you know, again, I wasn't present from start to finish, but I don't recall in my  
20 presence or immediately afterwards hearing feedback from Members, anybody, you  
21 know, saying anything that would have been perceived as controversial, which would've  
22 been, "No, actually, the Vice President doesn't have that theory, and here's why."

23 Q Okay.

24 Do you remember the Vice President or Mr. Short saying anything about this idea  
25 during the meeting?

1           A    I don't remember any specifics of what they said. I remember Mr. Pence  
2 asking a few questions here and there. I remember Mr. Short pushing back a little bit on  
3 some of the theories. I don't recall the specifics of what he pushed back on, and it  
4 wasn't anything that I had interpreted as him -- as Mr. Short trying to start a heated  
5 debate over it. It was more just rhetorical.

6           Q    Was anybody from the White House Counsel's Office present for that  
7 meeting?

8           A    Not that I can remember, but it's possible that they stepped in when I wasn't  
9 there. They were sitting along the back of the wall.

10          Q    It sounds like earlier you mentioned a presentation or a PowerPoint that  
11 came up related to this meeting. Was there somebody presenting on this idea, or was it  
12 more of an open forum for discussion?

13          A    I remember there being a few paper copies of a PowerPoint on the table. I  
14 remember Mr. Giuliani having conversations about the contents of those PowerPoints,  
15 but I don't recall if it was -- how formal those discussions were. You know, "Everybody  
16 turn to page 3, and let's discuss the content on this page."

17          Q    Okay.

18                So that's one topic that came up, the power of the Vice President on the joint  
19 session of Congress on January the 6th. I stopped you as you were going through other  
20 things that came up. What were some of the other things that came up during that  
21 meeting?

22          A    You know, honestly, I believe that was the bulk of the meeting. Mr. Trump  
23 came in, and Members talked to him about how they felt people in their States were  
24 receiving it, you know, what they were hearing in their States, had they heard anything  
25 that was worthy of elevating to him. And Members love to engage in

1 conversation -- loved to engage in conversations with the President, so it was  
2 a -- everybody kind of battled for their time with him in those moments.

3 But, you know, I perceived the primary bulk of that meeting to be about the Vice  
4 President's role and what that might look like on January 6th.

5 Q Did the topic of objections and needing to have a Member of the House,  
6 along with a Senator, make an objection to a State's electoral colleges votes, did that  
7 come up?

8 A I don't remember. And there weren't any Senators present for that  
9 meeting.

1

2 [5:20 p.m.]

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Okay. But you don't remember any House Members talking about making  
5 objections or debate about a certain State's votes?

6 A I remember House Members discussing how they would approach it on the  
7 House floor, but I don't recall them discussing a Senator's or multiple Senators' role.  
8 Now -- but, again, I wasn't in there for the entire duration of the conversation. So  
9 possible that that came up, I just -- I wasn't there and I don't recall.

10 Q What do you remember about that discussion about how the House would  
11 proceed during the Joint Session?

12 A That the Members present for the meeting on December 21st were planning  
13 to object to the electoral college and that they would enforce speeches about social  
14 media posts, make sure that they were being -- media circuits that were all on message  
15 with what -- with what the -- I'll leave it there.

16 Q Okay. Did they talk about the need to provide evidence of fraud in the  
17 election as part of the Joint Session?

18 A We talked -- there were some Members that mentioned evidence of fraud in  
19 their own individual States, which was, oh, we heard X from these individuals, we'll get  
20 you the data, and having that be sent over to the campaign or Mr. Giuliani or Mr.  
21 Meadows.

22 Q Did any of them think that they wouldn't be able to prove fraud during the  
23 Joint Session to change any -- the outcome of the Joint Session?

24 Mr. Passantino. You mean did they say it or did they think it?

25 Mr. [REDACTED]. Say it. Thank you.

1           Ms. Hutchinson. I don't remember any Members mentioning at that time that  
2 there might not be a sufficient amount of evidence to change anything on the 6th during  
3 this meeting.

4           Mr. [REDACTED]. During this meeting, did any of the Members talk about or say that  
5 they wouldn't have the votes to actually do anything during the Joint Session; there  
6 would just be an objection that would get voted down? Did that come up?

7           Ms. Hutchinson. No. I don't recall any Member saying anything along those  
8 lines, but --

9           Mr. [REDACTED]. Let me ask it a different way. Did the Members who were present  
10 for that meeting on December 21st think that their objections would be successful and  
11 that it would change the outcome of the Joint Session on the 21st?

12           Mr. Passantino. Did they say it or think it?

13           Ms. Hutchinson. They said that there was a possibility that it could.

14           BY MR. [REDACTED]:

15           Q    That it could have an effect on the outcome?

16           A    That's correct.

17           Q    Who said that, if you remember? And did anybody push back on that?

18           A    Let me think if there was -- they all said something along those lines. I  
19 don't recall anybody adamantly pushing back in the context of, you know, this is  
20 hypothetical, but Mr. Gohmert saying, you know, This is going to work, and Mr. Short  
21 saying, No, it's not, and this is why.

22           Although it's possible those exchanges happened when I wasn't in the room, I  
23 don't recall anything of that nature. The most explicit thing that I recall is, you know,  
24 the members were saying, It's possible, it's possible, it's possible. You just have to get  
25 the right people on our team. We have to make sure that we have a coordinated effort



1 here. And, you know, people saying like, yes, you know, this could go wrong, but we can  
2 maybe encourage that bump in the road with this method, you know. And I don't recall  
3 the specifics beyond that. But it was more just conversational, preliminary discussions,  
4 from what I recall, during the portion especially when it was just the Members with  
5 Mr. Giuliani. It was a little bit more formal when Mr. Pence and Mr. Trump came in,  
6 but --

7 Q In that meeting on December the 21st, do you know if Mr. Pe- -- or excuse  
8 me -- Mr. Trump told Mr. Pence that he had the power and the ability to count or not  
9 count certain votes or send the votes back to the States?

10 A I don't know if he said anything like that during this meeting.

11 Mr. [REDACTED]. Anything else on this meeting before we move on?

12 Okay. I am going to have exhibit 25, please, page 6.

13 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

14 Q This is back to the text messages with Ms. Thurston. And this is from  
15 December the 22nd. She asks you if Roy Blunt called you. She says, I missed him, then  
16 called him back and said he talked to another young lady.

17 You send a laughing response. And you say, Yes, I did. He just said have Mark  
18 give me a call when he has a few minutes. I texted him and left him a voicemail.  
19 Nothing time sensitive. Just want to catch up.

20 So Mr. Blunt, that's a U.S. Senator, correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q Do you know why he wanted to talk to Mr. Meadows on December 22nd?

23 A Mr. Blunt and I would personally communicate a lot. You know, he knew  
24 that Mark was difficult to get ahold of a lot of the time. If I recall correctly -- now,  
25 December 22nd was a Sunday, right? Maybe it wasn't a Sunday.

1 Q I think you have a better sense of dates, quite frankly, than I do. But let me  
2 look it up if it's helpful.

3 A It might be just a little helpful just because --

4 Q The 22nd is a Tuesday.

5 A Okay. A Tuesday, 8 a.m. It wasn't anything about the election, but this --

6 Q Okay. Then I can stop you there.

7 A [Inaudible] the NDAA or -- but it was about other pending legislation in  
8 Congress.

9 Q Okay. Not the election and not the Joint Session?

10 A Correct.

11 Q Very good. So I think we can move on.

12 I understand around that time Mr. Perry may have introduced the President to  
13 Jeff Clark. Do you -- what do you know about that, if anything?

14 A I don't know anything about Mr. Perry's introduction of Jeff Clark to Mr.  
15 Trump.

16 Q Do you know approximately when Jeff Clark started coming around the  
17 White House? I understand he was there quite a few times in late December.

18 A [Inaudible] I do remember him coming to the White House frequently in late  
19 December, especially after Mr. Meadows had gotten back -- Mr. Meadows and I had both  
20 gotten back to the White House from a very, very, very brief Christmas.

21 Q Okay. So that's post-Christmas. And to be clear, do you know if Mr. Perry  
22 introduced Mr. Clark to the President or you don't know?

23 A No, I'm not sure. I'm not sure. To be honest, that's the first time I've  
24 heard of that. I know that Mr. Clark was at one point introduced to the President and  
25 that's when he started coming around more, but I'm not sure that Mr. Perry made that

1 introduction or would have gave that introduction. Sounds odd to me, but --

2 Q I understand that there's a meeting that Mr. Clark had in the residence with  
3 the President and potentially Scott Perry as well. Do you know anything about that  
4 meeting?

5 A No.

6 Q Okay. Do you know --

7 A I don't think Mr. Perry ever went to the residence.

8 Q I'm sorry?

9 A I don't think Mr. Perry ever spent time in Mr. Trump's residence.

10 Q Do you know if Mr. Meadows was in touch with Jeff Clark?

11 A Frequently.

12 Q Okay. Do you know how that started or why it started, what the purpose  
13 of it was?

14 A No. I just came -- recognized Mr. Clark as somebody that was assisting the  
15 efforts with the ongoing election investigation litigation in the White House.

16 Q Do you know if Mr. Clark was working with Mr. Giuliani and his team?

17 A Mr. Clark came to meetings that Mr. Giuliani was also in that also  
18 met -- meeting with Mark, Mr. Meadows. And I remember Mr. Clark's frequent  
19 presence and his frequent outreach and communications, but I don't remember specific  
20 meetings or know who he would have come with for what meeting. He was around a  
21 lot of people in a time when there was -- I'm not trying to be vague, but there was a lot of  
22 people around and present and were in and out of rooms, so --

23 Q Do you know what Mr. Clark -- or were you present for any meetings  
24 between Mr. Clark and the President?

25 A Not in the room, no.

1 Q Okay. Do you know what happened in any meetings between Mr. Clark  
2 and the President, other than January 3rd? That was with a lot of leadership with the  
3 Department of Justice, and we don't need to get to that one yet.

4 A Yet.

5 I'm sorry. Could you restate your question?

6 Q Yeah, sure. Other than January 3rd, are you aware of any meetings that  
7 Mr. Clark had with the President?

8 A I remember him coming to the White House for meetings with Mr. Trump.  
9 And, you know, I -- all -- almost all -- almost all, if not all, meetings Mr. Trump had, I had  
10 insight on. So, yes, I remember him coming to meetings with Mr. Trump. But, again,  
11 just bringing it back to what I previously said, I don't know if it was Mr. Giuliani who had  
12 brought him, if it was Mr. Trump who had personally called him, like, who had  
13 coordinated all these efforts and who was in the room for these meetings. But I do  
14 remember he might have had a meeting with Mr. Trump or Mr. Trump and Mr. Clark  
15 having communications because Mr. Meadows was then involved in those conversations.

16 Q Okay. And on that point, how would Mr. Meadows communicate with Jeff  
17 Clark, do you know?

18 A Like on cell phone or by snail mail?

19 Q Sure. Cell phone, text messages, Signal application.

20 A I've only known Mr. Meadows to communicate with Mr. Clark on his official  
21 work phone and -- definitively his official work phone.

22 Q Okay. And do you know what happened in the meetings between Jeff Clark  
23 and the President, or Mr. Meadows, for that matter? And specifically I'm interested in  
24 learning why -- or what the President or Mr. Meadows thought Mr. Clark could do in his  
25 role at the Department of Justice.

1           A    I don't know specific actions that they thought he might be able to take or  
2           that they wanted him to take, but I know that there were conversations about him  
3           being -- having his position elevated at the Department of Justice and whether or not that  
4           was a viable possibility, if there was any grounds to make that happen.

5           Q    What would be the point of elevating him?   Why did they contemplate it?  
6           Kind of like the Kash Patel conversation that we had earlier.   What did they say the  
7           reason of elevating Jeff Clark would be?

8           A    [Inaudible] conversations between Mr. Meadows, Mr. Trump, Mr. Clark, I'm  
9           not sure.   I wasn't physically present in the Oval Office for those conversations.

10          Q    Okay.   Well, what was your understanding, just from working at the White  
11          House and with Mr. Meadows, about why Mr. Trump or others were contemplating  
12          elevating Jeff Clark in the Department of Justice?

13          A    I believe that the way to phrase it is just that the idea at some point had  
14          been mentioned, and when there was an idea mentioned either to the President or to  
15          Mr. Meadows and that it could be elevated to Mr. Trump's level, it was worthy of vetting  
16          internally.   And I know that there was -- I recall there being controversies and opinions  
17          about Department of Justice staff that DOJ officials had raised with me when they came  
18          to me, with Mr. Meadows.   Prior to meetings with him, you know, people at the  
19          Department of Justice have reached out to me, got ahold of Mr. Meadows when he was  
20          in Georgia for Christmas.   In terms of elevating Mr. Clark specifically, I'm not sure  
21          because I wasn't present for those conversations.

22          Q    To the best of your knowledge, did the White House -- anyone in the White  
23          House consider elevating Mr. Clark so that he could have a role in election-related  
24          investigations?

25          A    Mr. Clark having a role in election investigations would have been a natural

1 role for him to take on had he been elevated at the Department of Justice, given what  
2 was happening at the time, so yes.

3 Q Was that one of the reasons he was contemplated, though, as being  
4 elevated to a leadership position at the Department of Justice, to the best of your  
5 knowledge?

6 A I'm not sure how -- I'm not sure how legitimate that reason was as opposed  
7 to others that may have been entertained that, you know, I wasn't aware of, but I  
8 remember that one being mentioned.

9 Q Okay. So that was one of the reasons that he was contemplated for  
10 elevation?

11 A And just to be clear, because he would naturally have insight on that, if he  
12 were to be elevated, given what was happening at the time.

13 Q I guess I just want to make a clear distinction, though, Ms. Hutchinson,  
14 because you're right, I think anybody who sits a top of the Department of Justice would  
15 have that role. But being considered for that role because of the fact that he may take  
16 some actions in election-related investigations or otherwise is a little bit different.

17 So is it your understanding that the President or others considered elevating Mr.  
18 Clark because he would have -- he would take a different approach to election-related  
19 investigations or litigation?

20 A I'm not sure if that was the intention or the sole reason.

21 Q Okay. You're saying sole reason. But was it a reason that was discussed?

22 A It was -- it was absolutely mentioned, but I'm not sure if that was the goal or  
23 the intent in elevating him because I wasn't present for those discussions and I wasn't let  
24 in on how extensive those conversations were. I just remember Department of Justice  
25 officials talking to me about Mr. Clark being elevated and that being one point of concern

1 for them, and those conversations being elevated to Mr. Meadows and Mr. Meadows  
2 discussing that with me and with others in the West Wing at the time.

3 But there could have been a different reason. There could have been 15 reasons  
4 that this was being entertained. That's the one that I remember right now, but, you  
5 know, I -- I wasn't in charge of staffing departments and agencies, so -- and to be frank, I  
6 wasn't really paying attention that much to that. So I don't recall many specifics about  
7 those conversations.

8 Q Okay. Fair enough.

9 If you could pull up exhibit 35, please, specifically page 2.

10 What we're going to show you is a letter that Department of Justice provided.  
11 We understand Mr. Clark had a role in drafting a letter, and it's addressed as a proof of  
12 concept, essentially, to various State legislatures -- the one that's mentioned here is  
13 Georgia -- and asking them to call themselves into special session and look at issues  
14 related to fraud in the election and, if appropriate and necessary, take action.

15 Do you remember whether anybody at the White House asked Mr. Clark to draft a  
16 letter like this to State legislatures that would be sent by the Department of Justice?

17 Mr. Passantino. Hey, having nothing -- I'm kind of at the break point here, and I  
18 think Ms. Hutchinson would like to take a break.

19 Mr. [REDACTED]. Sure.

20 Mr. Passantino. I'm sorry. Do you mind if we take a 5-minute break before we  
21 get into a new topic?

22 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course. This is the last little bit on this Department of Justice  
23 topic, but we can do it now. It's totally up to you guys.

24 Ms. Hutchinson. Again, I'm not trying to be difficult or mess with you all. I  
25 just -- I want to make sure I like -- I just need a minute to walk around for a second.

1 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course. Take your time, Ms. Hutchinson.

2 We'll go off the record.

3 [Recess.]

4 Mr. [REDACTED]. All right. So let's go back on the record. It's 5:46 in the interview  
5 of Ms. Cassidy Hutchinson.

6 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

7 Q So we left off on exhibit No. 35, which is a letter that Jeffrey Clark was  
8 involved in drafting. And it's kind of a proof of concept letter where the Department of  
9 Justice in theory would ask various States to call themselves into session -- State  
10 legislatures, rather, to call themselves into session and look at issues related to purported  
11 fraud in the election and then take any appropriate actions as necessary.

12 The question to you is, Ms. Hutchinson, do you know whether anybody at the  
13 White House, including the President or Mr. Meadows, asked Mr. Clark to draft a letter  
14 like this that would be sent on behalf of the Department of Justice?

15 A I don't remember anybody at the White House asking to draft this letter. I  
16 remember Mr. Meadows asking to see a copy of the letter.

17 Q Who did he ask for a copy of the letter?

18 A I believe Mr. Clark.

19 Q Do you know what Mr. Meadows did with the copy once he got it?

20 A I'm not sure.

21 Q Do you remember if there were any discussions about this letter or the ideas  
22 discussed in this letter about calling -- having the Department of Justice effectively send a  
23 letter asking State legislatures to call themselves back into session?

24 A Could you repeat the last part of that question again?

25 Q Yeah. Do you remember if -- well, let me back up.



1           You said you don't know what Mr. Meadows did with this letter once he received  
2 it, correct?

3           A     Correct. That's correct.

4           Q     And do you remember, were there any discussions about this letter or the  
5 ideas in the letter about having the States call them -- State legislatures call themselves  
6 back into session to evaluate issues related to the election at DOJ's request?

7           A     I remember the ideas -- that concept being discussed, broadly speaking. I  
8 remember Mr. Meadows mentioning it in meetings and once or twice in passerby  
9 conversation with me, but nothing that would indicate his opinion on it, just as something  
10 that, you know, was outlined in this letter and, you know, was the topic of conversation  
11 at the time. But I wasn't privy to any of those conversations extensively.

12          Q     Do you know whether the President advocated for this idea to have the  
13 Department of Justice send a letter like this?

14          A     At the time, I'm not sure whether the President advocated for DOJ to send a  
15 letter like this.

16          Mr. ██████. Any questions on the Department or this letter?

17                 BY MR. ██████:

18          Q     Okay. All right. So on December 22nd, I understand that Mr. Meadows  
19 went to Cobb County in Marietta, Georgia, specifically, where an audit was being  
20 conducted of signatures related to ballots cast in the 2020 election.

21                 Did you go with Mr. Meadows on that trip?

22          A     I did not. I was at the White House that day. He asked me to stay behind  
23 because he left before Mr. Trump left for Florida, and that way at least I was there in case  
24 he needed anything on our behalf and he couldn't get ahold of Mr. Meadows.

25          Q     Did you at any point go to Georgia while Mr. Meadows was on this trip in

1 late December?

2 A I did not.

3 Q Do you know what the purpose of Mr. Meadows going to Georgia was during  
4 this signature review?

5 A The primary purpose of this trip was to visit family. His son lives in Georgia,  
6 and they went down to see his son for Christmas. Conveniently, his son lives in close  
7 proximity to Cobb County, and Mr. Meadows had discussed at length coordinating any  
8 visits with Georgia State officials during this trip.

9 Q I understand that he did meet with some Georgia officials, including Jordan  
10 Fuchs, I believe is how you pronounce her name. What was the purpose -- your  
11 understanding of what was the purpose of him meeting with Ms. Fuchs?

12 Mr. Passantino. Which one? Okay.

13 Ms. Hutchinson. He met with Ms. Fuchs at Cobb -- at the Cobb County when he  
14 went to see the ballots being counted. I'm trying to pull them up here. There was a  
15 few other officials there too.

16 He agreed -- can you guys hear me okay?

17 Mr. [REDACTED]. Yeah, we can hear you.

18 Ms. Hutchinson. Sorry. We got a warning notification.

19 I'm sorry. I lost my train of thought.

20 Mr. Meadows and Mr. Trump had conversations about what Mr. Meadows could  
21 potentially do down in Georgia. Now, there was a point where I was going to go with  
22 him because he was going to conduct a few more meetings, but then it was decided that  
23 he would make it a little bit more informal and casual, which is when he decided to go  
24 watch the ballots being counted.

25 I'm not sure if he reached out to Ms. Fuchs directly to coordinate that. However,

1 I got a call from her later that day, and he went there with the intention of speaking to  
2 the volunteers and the staff members that were counting the ballots and reevaluating the  
3 ballots cast on November 3rd.

4 And then there were a few other Georgia State officials that were present at that  
5 time. Now, whether they were present because there was official business going on or  
6 because they knew that he was going to be there, thus, they wanted to meet with him,  
7 I'm not sure. But that's the overall gist of this particular visit.

8 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

9 Q What did he think he could accomplish, if you know, by speaking to the  
10 people who are doing the signature verification on ballots?

11 A He wanted to do more of a status check to see where they were at with  
12 things, if they had thoughts that they needed any more resources, if there was anything  
13 that the White House could do to help ease the process along. If they needed, like,  
14 bodies, there were campaign officials that had been, you know, off-boarded and were  
15 looking for jobs, so -- our campaign officials -- the Trump campaign officials, I should say.  
16 But -- and then just had conversations with the Georgia State officials about what they  
17 were hearing from the State about status of the election and, you know, if there was  
18 significant evidence to their knowledge at that point.

19 Q Significant evidence of fraud or irregularities in the election?

20 A That's correct. I apologize for not specifying.

21 Q No. That's quite all right. That's my job.

22 So if you go to exhibit 27, please.

23 This is a text exchange that you had with somebody named [REDACTED] with the initials

24 [REDACTED] Do you know who that is?

25 A Yes. [REDACTED] -- I'm trying to remember his appropriate title. He

1 was [REDACTED] on Mr. Meadows' Secret Service detail. He was  
2 traveling with him on that trip.

3 Q Do you remember his last name?

4 A [REDACTED]

5 Q So on December 22nd at 4:20 -- 5:20 -- I'm sorry -- you asked, Any clue why  
6 Mark is asking for 50 POTUS challenge coins, question mark.

7 [REDACTED] responded, The GBI team that was here doing the signature match  
8 comparisons. It's the OTR site we went to today.

9 And then if you go to page 2 of this exhibit, it's messages you exchanged with [REDACTED]  
10 [REDACTED] on December 30th, 2020, at 10:34 in the morning, and you say: Side note - but  
11 remember when he did the drop-by of the ballot-counting place in Georgia? Do you  
12 remember the address or anything about who he saw?

13 I'm supposed to get a shit load of POTUS stuff together, parenthesis, coins, actual  
14 autographed MAGA hats, et cetera, close parenthesis, to mail to them, but he has given  
15 me no further guidance. LOL.

16 I figured I'd ask you before I ask him again.

17 Can you tell us about this conversation that you had with [REDACTED] first on the  
18 22nd when Mr. Meadows was in Georgia and then on the 30th about challenge coins and  
19 memorabilia that you were supposed to get together?

20 A Yes. I apologize for asking this question, but would you mind being a little  
21 more specific as to the context you're looking for?

22 Q Yeah. I guess, it sounds like you received a message from Mark asking for  
23 50 POTUS challenge coins. So, I guess, tell us about that and what you understood the  
24 ask to be and what the purpose of it was.

25 A Yeah. Mr. Meadows had reached out to me, which I guess requires me to

1 give a little bit more context just generally speaking. But whenever we would travel, I  
2 would pack a bag that we would bring with us or the military aide would bring to our  
3 sites, and it would have Mr. Meadows' challenge coins, you know, little things that he  
4 would like to pass out to people. He would do this at rallies. He would do this at  
5 official events.

6 And this was just part of the President's philosophy of always wanting people to  
7 feel included, and it does make people feel special. We gave things to Democrats as  
8 well. It's not a partisan thing, I don't believe. But, you know, every White House -- not  
9 every White House top official gets to give out.

10 But, you know, in this particular instance, when he reached out to me and asked  
11 me to start getting things together, he would be bringing it to Georgia or we'd be sending  
12 it to Georgia. And I believe at this time he had maybe discussed doing one more trip  
13 before the 6th that him and I would do together after he had gotten back from Christmas.  
14 So I don't know if he said bring or if he -- it might be on my official text messages, but -- if  
15 he said bring or, you know, but I took that as more of a we're going to send things to  
16 them as a sign of gratitude for everything they're doing, working overtime to count the  
17 ballots and make sure everything's taken care of before the election is certified.

18 Q And when you say send it to them, you're talking about the people who are  
19 actually on the ground doing the signature verifications and counting the ballots?

20 A Right. And I remember having a conversation with Ms. Fuchs -- I remember  
21 one conversation I had with Ms. Fuchs about this. There was a distin- -- there were two  
22 distinguished groups. There was one of, I believe, paid staff or paid government officials  
23 that were there supervising, and there was a larger team of volunteers. And Mr.  
24 Meadows wanted the gifts to go to the volunteers. Also, I believe he wanted to send a  
25 few things to the paid staffers. But he was primarily focused on making sure that the

1 volunteers who were there working for free to have something from the White House as  
2 a sign of gratitude and thanks.

3 Q Earlier you mentioned that the chief of staff would often pack bags with  
4 things like chief of staff challenge coins and otherwise. This is specifically about  
5 Presidential challenge coins.

6 Do you know if the President wanted you or others to make sure Presidential  
7 challenge coins and autographed memorabilia made their way to the volunteers in  
8 Georgia?

9 A So this is in the context of this text message too. The President challenge  
10 coins were a little bit more coveted and difficult to come across, where we had dozens, if  
11 not hundreds, of Mr. Meadows'. So it wasn't something that I needed to be concerned  
12 about. Mr. Trump only had a finite number of challenge coins, which is why 50 was a lot  
13 for Mr. Meadows to ask for.

14 I know that Mr. Trump was on board and supportive of the idea of, you know,  
15 sending thank you care packages down to Georgia, but I don't believe Mr. Trump named  
16 anything specifically of what he wanted to send.

17 Q Do you know if he sat there signing MAGA hats, for example, in order to  
18 send to the volunteers in Georgia?

19 A He didn't because we didn't end up sending the packages.

20 Q Okay. That was going to be my next question.

21 Did you send any of the coins, hats, or otherwise down to the volunteers in  
22 Georgia?

23 A No. Mr. Meadows and I talked about it more extensively, and nothing was  
24 sent to them.

25 Q Why did you decide not to?

1 A Why did I decide not to?

2 Q Or why, in that conversation you had with Mr. Meadows, did you collectively  
3 decide not to?

4 Mr. Passantino. Is that even true? I'm sorry. I didn't mean --

5 Ms. Hutchinson. It's not an accurate -- in my conversations with Mr.  
6 Meadows -- now, I stalled this for several days because I was -- had a few concerns, most  
7 of them being -- I'm sure [inaudible] would be able to talk about some of this too, but  
8 there are limits in the White House with gift amounts, and that's all not relevant to this,  
9 but I wanted to make sure that if we're going to send things, that it was within the  
10 financial parameters of what you can send to people and have it not be, like, unethical.

11 But, you know, I also expressed to Mr. Meadows that I was concerned that what I  
12 understood to be an act of gratitude and kindness from him and the President would be  
13 taken out of context. And for me it wasn't an if; it was a when it became public that the  
14 White House had sent these very gracious but very thorough gift packages down to  
15 people in Georgia. I knew it was bound to end up on Twitter or in the media in some  
16 capacity, and I was concerned of how that could be perceived, and I didn't want it to be  
17 misconstrued as anything other than an act of kindness.

18 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

19 Q It sounds like Mr. Meadows ultimately agreed with you. Is that right?

20 A Ultimately.

21 Q Was there a point at which he kind of pushed back or fought?

22 A I think it's fair to say that I don't think that he was convinced of my  
23 reasoning, but eventually, after I pushed back hard enough, realized it just wasn't  
24 something that he -- a topic that he had the bandwidth to sustain conversations with, so  
25 he just let it go.

1 Q Do you know if he was getting pressure from the President to send these  
2 things out? Like, was he between a rock and a hard place, so to speak?

3 A No, he was not.

4 Q Something that also happened on December 30th, exhibit 6, page 5 in your  
5 messages with Tony Ornato. At 10:24 p.m. on December 30th, you sent a text to  
6 Tony -- or Mr. Ornato -- excuse me -- saying, Mark agreed to hold USSS doc till next week,  
7 with the clapping hand emoji.

8 Do you remember what that's about?

9 A I do. Can I have one moment to consult with my attorney?

10 Q Of course.

11 A Thank you.

12 [Discussion off the record.]

13 Mr. Passantino. You all back?

14 Mr. [REDACTED]. I see you're back, yes.

15 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. No, she just had a concern about whether this is  
16 potentially something that we need to treat as sensitive. I don't think it is, but I'll let  
17 her --

18 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

19 Mr. Passantino. I'll let her answer, but just be conscious I might be wrong; her  
20 answer might be sensitive. So if you could just mark this section as something --

21 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

22 Q Let me -- yeah. Before we mark it, let me show you a document that we  
23 have and see if that answers the question.

24 If you could pull up exhibit 41.

25 There's a report from the Secret Service, Protective Intelligence and Assessment



1 Division. Is this it or is it something else?

2 A No. It has to do with post-Presidential protection.

3 Q Oh, okay.

4 So let's go back to exhibit 6 then.

5 That comment about Mark agreed to hold Secret Service document till next week,  
6 with the clapping, that's not related to the Joint Session or the inauguration. Is that  
7 what you're saying?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q Okay. Unless there's a reason that we need to get into it, then I don't think  
10 we need to.

11 A No. This is logistical for the post-Presidential operation --

12 Q Okay.

13 A -- if it had come to that. I guess it's December at this point, so it hadn't yet,  
14 so --

15 Q Very good. Okay. Then we can just skip over that.

16 A Thank you.

17 Q I do want to go to page 5 here, though. I think we're just on it, actually.

18 Mr. Ornato sent you a message on that same page, unclear to me when. I think  
19 it's probably the 29th. It says, Doesn't that play into the whole thing that CoS has given  
20 up? Like the Florida convo?

21 And you say, I sort of felt similarly, especially since nearly every call he's fielding  
22 from DJT is related to fighting the election results.

23 But I'm also trying to see things -- excuse me -- see the other side where he may  
24 be coming from, which is giving him something he asked for that he knows will make him  
25 happy? And in parenthesis, 6 months/1 year.

1 Do you remember what that's about?

2 A It's about the document that I referenced on December 30th at 10:24 p.m.

3 And, I mean, if it helps to give any color to it, I'm happy to do so. I just have one brief --

4 Q Yeah, certainly. I would appreciate that.

5 A So, essentially, in a post-Presidential operation, a former President's children  
6 are typically given 6 months of Secret Service protection. Now, Mr. Trump was a little  
7 bit more of a unique case given that most of his kids were older. So we were working  
8 through that, Mr. Ornato and I.

9 Mr. Trump had wanted to extend Secret Service protection to all of his children for  
10 1 full year, when in the past, for children over the age of 18, has it only ever been  
11 exercised for 6 months. Now, children under the age of 18 get it until they're 18.

12 So, with that, that's what Mr. Ornato and I were talking about. Mr. Meadows  
13 had wanted -- Mr. Ornato and I had the Office of the Staff Secretary draft two executive  
14 orders, one for 6 months of protection, one for 1 full year of protection. Mr. Meadows  
15 really wanted us to have him sign the 1 full year protection document because that's the  
16 one that he knew the President wanted to sign, and he wanted to give him something  
17 that the President would perceive as a small win at that time.

18 But Mr. Ornato and I were pushing back for two reasons. We were tag-teaming  
19 this issue together. The first reason was because 1 year was a little -- it was out of the  
20 ordinary and wasn't entirely necessary. But number two was that no -- Mr. Ornato  
21 didn't want it to eventually be perceived negatively by Mr. Trump of just being a small  
22 win that wasn't just to make the President temporarily happy.

23 Q Okay. So you said a lot there. I want to unpack one of them.

24 But there was -- I guess one of the topics of conversation about this document is  
25 that it could be seen as, I don't know, an acknowledgment that Mr. Trump had lost the

1 election? Is that accurate or am I misunderstanding?

2 A That's accurate, although, you know, Mr. Meadows' perspective of that was  
3 it wasn't going to become public until after it had been signed.

4 Q I see. Okay.

5 Mr. [REDACTED]. Any questions on that?

6 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

7 Q What's the Florida convo that's referenced there on the top from Mr.  
8 Ornato?

9 Doesn't that play into the whole thing that the CoS has given up? Like the  
10 Florida conversation?

11 Do you know what he's referring to there?

12 A The preliminary conversations about where Mr. Trump had wanted to live  
13 and run his post-Presidential operation, if/when Mr. Biden moved into the White House  
14 on January 20th.

15 Q Okay. And had the President engaged in such conversation with Mr.  
16 Ornato or Mr. Meadows about this issue where he would conduct his post-Presidential  
17 operations?

18 A There were discussions about it, yes, although he didn't want to finalize  
19 anything or push the conversations along too far until, you know, it was more concrete,  
20 from his perspective.

21 Q Okay. And then the reference to the CoS has given up, do you know what  
22 he means by that? Is there discussion about Meadows somehow not fighting hard  
23 enough or giving up and acknowledging a transition at this point?

24 A There were some people with that perspective.

25 Q Had the President then ever expressed that perspective and that caused

1 concern with Mr. Ornato and Mr. Meadows or anybody else?

2 A Let me make sure I heard you correctly. Had the President ever or never  
3 expressed that?

4 Q Had the President ever expressed that view, an impression that Mr.  
5 Meadows has somehow given up?

6 A He had expressed at times that he felt Mr. Meadows might not be doing  
7 everything that he could using the tools and resources Mr. Meadows had in his role as  
8 chief of staff.

9 Q Do you remember anything in particular that prompted the President to say  
10 that, that somehow Mr. Meadows wasn't doing everything he could? Any specific  
11 example that triggered that comment?

12 A The first time I remember that being mentioned was the night of the Oval  
13 Office/Yellow Oval meeting.

14 Q The December 18th meeting we talked about before?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q Okay. And, again, what was it that Mr. Meadows had done or not done  
17 that made the President say he wasn't -- was it just him pushing back on the issues? We  
18 don't have to go over it again if that was the predicate for that comment.

19 A Yeah. I mean, that's pretty much the reason he made that comment.

20 Q Okay. So when Mr. Meadows weighed in with Cipollone and others, the  
21 President was mad or the President said something like you're not doing enough or  
22 you're not using all of the tools at your disposal as chief of staff?

23 A I don't want to attribute the President's emotions to how he felt towards  
24 Mark in that moment, but it's fair to say that he may have been frustrated with Mr.  
25 Meadows' leadership style at that point in time.

1 Q Well, what did he say, best that you can recall?

2 A I wasn't present in the Yellow Oval when he made that comment, but --

3 Q What did Mr. Meadows tell you he said?

4 A That Mr. Meadows needs to keep doing everything that he can and he needs  
5 to keep looking forward and focus on the mission and do what was being asked of him as  
6 the chief of staff.

7 Q Okay. Were there other times where the President said, either in your  
8 presence or to Mr. Meadows, that Meadows has given up or made an observation like  
9 what's in Mr. Ornato's text here?

10 A You said that the President said in my presence or that Mr. Meadows had  
11 discussed with me, correct?

12 Q Yes; either one.

13 A Mr. Meadows had discussions about not wanting it to be perceived that he is  
14 giving up because, in his perspective, he wasn't giving up and he was doing everything  
15 that he could, given the unique circumstances and a limited bandwidth. He wanted to  
16 make sure that, you know, if anybody ever felt like he was losing sight of that, that it was  
17 brought to his attention so he could recalibrate and continue moving forward in his role,  
18 in the capacity that he was expected to operate in.

19 Q Okay. Did he ever tell you that the President expressed that concern  
20 directly that he has somehow given up, after that meeting on December 18th?

21 A I don't remember the phrasing that he had expressed to me, so I don't want  
22 to say giving up or anything. But Mr. Meadows expressed to me that he didn't want to  
23 be perceived as weak as we had kept, you know, progressing through these weeks and  
24 that they were going to be trying, going to be busy, but just to kind of keep him focused  
25 on like what was at stake.

1 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. Thank you.

2 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Were you involved in any meetings at the White House in the postelection  
5 period where Steve Bannon was present?

6 A Not where he was physically present, no.

7 Q Okay. Or did he dial in to some meetings?

8 A I remember he had dialed in to a couple meetings with Mr. Meadows or  
9 somebody had called him. I don't remember which meetings these were, though. I  
10 just remember -- I remember one instance where Mr. Meadows was meeting with Mr.  
11 Perry, and Mr. Meadows shouted out to me, because I think I ducked out of his office at  
12 this point, and he said, Cassidy, do you have Mr. Bannon's cell -- or Steve's cell phone  
13 number? And I think I said, Steve? Who's Steve? And Mr. Perry had said, I have it.  
14 I have it, Cassidy. Don't worry about it.

15 I just figured that at the end of the night, later on Mr. Perry gave me Mr. Bannon's  
16 cell phone number so I'd have it. Really didn't need it.

17 But, yeah, I don't recall anything immediately that it was on the Presidential level  
18 with Mark -- or Mr. Meadows, yeah.

19 Q Are you aware of any discussions that Mr. Bannon had with anybody in the  
20 White House, including Mr. Meadows or the President, about January the 6th?

21 A I know that Mr. Meadows spoke with him, but I'm not sure if it was  
22 specifically about the 6th or the days leading up to the 6th or the overall election results  
23 as a whole.

24 Q What about Roger Stone? Are you --

25 Mr. Passantino. Hold on one second. Hold on.

1           You all right?

2           Ms. Hutchinson. I apologize. Yes, I'm fine. Trying to get ride of the hiccups.

3           Mr. Passantino. Okay. Thank you. Sorry.

4           Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course.

5                       BY MR. [REDACTED]:

6           Q     What about Roger Stone? Are you familiar with any meetings or phone  
7           calls that Roger Stone had with anybody in the White House, including the President or  
8           Mr. Meadows, related to January 6th first?

9           A     I remember a staffer recommending Mr. Meadows to call Mr. Stone. I  
10          don't know if Mr. Meadows ever did or not.

11          Q     Do you know when that was, roughly?

12          A     Early January. I believe it was after New Year's Day. Perhaps it was --

13          Q     And you don't know what -- don't know what it was about or what happened  
14          on the call?

15          Mr. Passantino. Or if it happened, right?

16          Ms. Hutchinson. I don't know if the call happened.

17          Mr. Passantino. Sorry.

18          Ms. Hutchinson. I know that Mr. Meadows had communicated with Mr. Bannon.  
19          I'm not sure if it was at the direction of the staff member that had recommended it or if,  
20          you know, they had -- or I'm sorry. Mr. Stone. I apologize. Mr. Stone. Whether  
21          they had connected at some point, either in late December, early January, but I'm not  
22          sure.

23                You know, I understood that to be more of a quick touch base, like, I think Mr.  
24          Stone had called him a bunch of times and Mr. Meadows was trying to, like, temporarily  
25          appease that general communication and, you know, redirect it to other people who had

1 already been in contact with him.

2 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

3 Q I understand that Mr. Stone was in touch with the White House around that  
4 period, maybe for a few reasons, but one including pardons.

5 Do you know if the contact that you're referring to referenced pardons?

6 A It could have been about pardons. He did call about pardons a lot. He  
7 called -- he had also been in touch with the White House about January 6th too, though,  
8 so I don't know.

9 Q Okay. So you say he was in touch with the White House about January 6th.  
10 What do you remember about that?

11 A I remember Mr. Meadows saying in the days leading up to the 6th that Mr.  
12 Stone was in town and wanted to be a speaker, you know, who was working on that.  
13 And more in that context as we discussed earlier today with, you know, Ms. Pierson,  
14 Ms. Wren. I don't recall any specifics of this conversation with Mr. Stone. I recall one  
15 specific instance where they talked for maybe 2 minutes, but I don't know how  
16 frequently, if at all, they communicated on the phone after that.

17 Q Going back to the call where Scott, Mr. -- excuse me -- Representative Perry  
18 asked to call -- or I may be making this up -- but that Mr. Perry ended up connecting  
19 Mr. Meadows with Steve Bannon, or at least called out to connect with Mr. Bannon, do  
20 you remember when that happened, roughly?

21 A I believe it was the meeting -- I don't have the text in front of me, but I sent  
22 you all texts -- or my attorney sent you all texts that I had with Mr. Perry. Either late  
23 December, early January --

24 Q Okay. Let's pull those up. That's exhibit 29.

25 And it's from December the 29th. It looks like there was a meeting with Mr.



1 Perry that may have also eventually involved Phil Waldron and some others.

2 Do you think it was related to that meeting?

3 A I believe so, yes.

4 Q What happened in that meeting that you are aware of?

5 A I'm not -- let's make sure I'm not being overly broad in my response and  
6 being overly broad with this, but -- so December 29th.

7 I just recall the purpose of this meeting now, Mr. Meadows had said, Hey, Scott's  
8 going to reach out to you, Cass. Can you make sure that him and his group can get in?  
9 I want to meet with them this afternoon.

10 It was just the text exchange that I had with Mr. Perry prior to the arrival, and  
11 then Mr. Perry and I briefly spoke as he was coming up to our office. And I understood  
12 it to be about the election, any materials that they had that they wanted to discuss with  
13 Mr. Meadows.

14 Q Do you remember specifically what happened in the meeting and what they  
15 discussed about the election?

16 A I remember them discussing January 6th and topics/theories that I now  
17 attribute to Mr. Eastman. And I remember they came with briefing materials, but I  
18 didn't have a copy of them, but they had copies for myself and Mark, if I was going to  
19 participate.

20 So I was in and out of Mr. Meadows' office during this meeting. I was working on  
21 other things at my desk, and I had to run around for a few meetings upstairs at the White  
22 House this day.

23 Q The people on the text message on page 2 from Mr. Perry's texts include Phil  
24 Waldron, John Robert Maguire, II, Richard Higgins.

25 Do you remember if all three of them showed up with Mr. Perry that day?

1 A They did.

2 Q And when this issue about the Vice President's authority on January 6th  
3 came up, do you remember what Mr. Meadows said in response?

4 A Would you repeat that question?

5 Q Sure. When this issue about the Vice President and his authority on  
6 January 6th came up during this meeting, do you know what Mr. Meadows said in  
7 response?

8 A His exact words, I don't know. I mean, it was a fairly long meeting where  
9 that was discussed extensively. Do you have a more specific question --

10 Q Yes. I don't need the exact words, but just generally, was he pushing back  
11 on this idea about the Vice President's authority related to the Eastman theory generally  
12 or was he receptive to it?

13 A To my recollection, he was receptive of it at this time.

14 Q And you say "at this time." Did that change, to the best of your  
15 knowledge? Did at any point he stop being receptive to this idea and push back against  
16 it? And that is the Eastman theory about the Vice President's authority on January the  
17 6th.

18 A There were points Mr. Meadows had been a little more vocal about  
19 potential legal implications, although I don't remember that being a topic of conversation  
20 for this meeting.

21 Q Okay. I do want to go back. You said that a staffer, a White House staffer  
22 had recommended that Mr. Meadows call Roger Stone. Who was that staffer?

23 A I deliberately [inaudible] the staffer because I -- I believe it was Mr. Navarro,  
24 but it could have been somebody on Mr. Navarro's team. And I don't remember the  
25 names of any of Mr. Navarro's staffers.

1

2 [6:25 p.m.]

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Okay. But you think it was either Mr. Navarro or somebody on his team  
5 who recommended that Mr. Meadows call Roger Stone?

6 A That's correct.

7 Ms. Cheney. [REDACTED], can I ask another question?

8 Mr. [REDACTED]. Of course.

9 Ms. Cheney. Ms. Hutchinson, so can you tell us what the -- what the topics were  
10 in the December 29th meeting, to the best that you recall?11 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah. No, of course. I remember the materials they  
12 brought. They brought the PowerPoint, or a variation of the PowerPoint. There  
13 could've been edits made to it that was presented on, I believe, December 21st in the  
14 Cabinet Room when the Members came.15 And I remember they had a few briefing memos, all in relation to January 6th, how  
16 they believed the Vice President could exercise his role in a constitutional reasoning that  
17 they felt was sound for him to exercise that and, you know, how to approach that with  
18 Mr. Pence's team, if Mr. Meadows wanted them to strategically have communications  
19 with Mr. Pence's team, if Mr. Meadows should do any outreach. It was more of, yes, sir,  
20 this is what we have, what do you think we should do, what are your opinions on this.  
21 Mr. Meadows had time that afternoon, so wanted to have the meeting in person.22 Ms. Cheney. And so it was -- it was focused on the Vice President's role on  
23 January 6th?24 Ms. Hutchinson. Overall meeting was, yes. Now, there are topics that -- I'm  
25 trying to be careful in distinguishing in my head all this, because the Vice President's role

1 was discussed at length, but also so was sending the votes back to the States, if there was  
2 any -- I just want to make sure I'm using the right terminology there. But in just their  
3 overall point of view on any constitutional reasoning, that there could be a more unique  
4 way of approaching the events on the 6th than had historically happened.

5 Does that help answer your question? I'm happy to --

6 Ms. Cheney. It does, yeah. I appreciate that. Thank you.

7 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

8 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

9 Q We're going to pull up an exhibit, we'll mark it as 42. This is a PowerPoint  
10 that we've obtained during the investigation. And you'll see there, it says election fraud  
11 and foreign interference and options for 6 Jan. It's dated January the 5th.

12 If we can just scroll through a few, go to the second slide.

13 It's talking points about Chinese potentially interfering with the election.

14 Go to the second page -- third slide.

15 Issues about domestic voter fraud. And it's a fairly lengthy PowerPoint.

16 Does this look like the PowerPoint that Mr. Waldron and others brought to the  
17 meeting on December the 29th?

18 A Context looks familiar, but I recall the PowerPoint having a blue background,  
19 that he brought to the meeting on the 29th.

20 Q Can you go to the next slide, [REDACTED], please.

21 That's not a blue background, per se. It's a screen capture, it looks like, of a CNN  
22 newscast.

23 A No, this was more of a -- they had set the background to blue and there was  
24 white and red font on it --

25 Q Okay.

1 A -- on the slides.

2 Q That's very helpful. I appreciate that.

3 You can take that down, [REDACTED].

4 A This one -- yeah.

5 Q Oh, go ahead.

6 A I was going to say that the context of that looks familiar. I don't -- I recall  
7 seeing that PowerPoint at some point but not on the 29th.

8 Q Okay. When do you remember seeing that PowerPoint?

9 A Earlier in January perhaps, maybe the 1st or the 2nd.

10 Q What was the context in which you saw that, if you remember?

11 A Somebody had brought it to Mr. Meadows and asked if we could make  
12 photocopies of it, and then had said never mind. Sorry. He had handed a copy of it to  
13 me, and I don't recall who would've handed it to him. I'm trying -- so we talked about  
14 the meetings on the 1st and 2nd earlier. Then he had realized that there was already  
15 copies of it circulating, he didn't need any copies it made, and he was fine with the copy  
16 that he had.

17 Q When you say he was fine with the copy he had, you're talking about  
18 Mr. Meadows?

19 A That's correct. I'm sorry for not being specific.

20 Q No, that's all right.

21 So I understand that Mr. Meadows also received a copy of this on January the 5th,  
22 and the email talked about that PowerPoint being the briefing that was going to be given  
23 to Members of Congress.

24 Do you remember anything like that with respect to the PowerPoint we just  
25 showed you?

1 A On the 5th?

2 Q Correct.

3 A No. I don't recall Mr. Meadows volunteering to give a briefing to Members  
4 of Congress on the 5th.

5 Q And to be clear, it wasn't Mr. Meadows who's saying they were about to  
6 brief; I believe it was Mr. Waldron and maybe others as well?

7 A I don't remember that context being brought up with that PowerPoint  
8 specifically, but I recall briefing that you're referring to.

9 Q So did Phil Waldron actually go and brief Members of Congress on the 5th,  
10 to the best of your knowledge?

11 A I'm not sure.

12 Q Okay. Well, what do you -- what do you remember?

13 A I remember -- I remember Mr. Meadows saying that there was a potential  
14 that he would participate in an event with Members that evening or that afternoon and  
15 he would let me know. And at one point he had asked me to reach out to Mr. Perry and  
16 I hadn't. So later in the day, he had asked me if I had heard from Mr. Perry. I said, I  
17 haven't heard back from him. Said just press pause, and I don't think I'm going to go to  
18 the thing tonight. I'll call -- or I'll talk with somebody if they think it's necessary for me  
19 to figure out or know anything that happened in that meeting. I'm just going to stay  
20 here.

21 Q Okay. One last thing to cover, which, if we can pull up exhibit 25, page 20,  
22 these are messages with Ms. Thurston again, and this is dated January the 1st, 2021.

23 Okay. So -- oh, sorry about that.

24 A Okay. Oh --

25 Mr. Passantino. It's all right.

1 Ms. Hutchinson. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.

2 You can go ahead and -- I apologize.

3 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

4 Q Okay. So we're looking at the messages from January 1st, 2021, at  
5 2:22 p.m., and Ms. Thurston asks you, Are you tracking the Graham/Rudy meetings?  
6 And then it says, tomorrow's 6 p.m. is actually a call, not a meeting at CPI.

7 And then you say, in a couple messages down, Yes, tracking! Good to know  
8 about 6 p.m. call.

9 Is this referring to a meeting that -- or a call that actually takes place on the 2nd,  
10 the next day?

11 A Can you scroll up to the top again, please?

12 Yeah, sorry. That is referring to events on January 2nd.

13 Q Okay. So these messages were kind of planning, anticipating the meeting  
14 on the 2nd, it sounds like?

15 A Correct. Correct.

16 Q On the next page of that, still on January the 1st, though, you say, POTUS  
17 gave Molly and I a behemoth of a binder with legal documents he wants every Member  
18 to have before the call.

19 Do you remember that binder?

20 A I do.

21 Q Did he give it to you directly?

22 A He gave a copy to me and he gave a copy to Ms. Michael.

23 Q And what did you or Ms. Michael do with the binder, if anything?

24 A I don't remember what Ms. Michael did with the binder. I brought it back  
25 to our office. I was in the Outer Oval as he was wrapping up that evening and brought

1 out the binder. And then Molly already had a copy on her desk that he gave her earlier.  
2 He had handed it to me and said, We need the Members to have this tomorrow night.  
3 Can you send this to them, can you make copies for them? I said, I'll talk to the chief  
4 about it, sir.

5 Do you have any --

6 Q Yeah. And you said that he wanted the Members -- he being the  
7 President -- wanted the Members to have it in anticipation of the call or meeting. Are  
8 you referring to Members of Congress?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And is this the call or meeting on January 2nd that we talked about,  
11 whatever it was, a week ago or so, where it was Senator Graham, Rudy Giuliani,  
12 Mr. Meadows, with some House Freedom Caucus members who joined by phone?

13 A Yes. Mr. Graham met with Mr. Giuliani and Mr. Giuliani's colleagues prior  
14 to this call. Mr. Graham had left and then this call began. Mr. Meadows was originally  
15 going to participate in person, but they moved it to conference call just to cover a wider  
16 breadth of people that weren't in town and hadn't been able to participate had it not  
17 been a call.

18 Q And we talked last time that during this call there was a discussion about  
19 encouraging people to march to the Capitol on January 6th. Just from a timing  
20 perspective, is this the same call?

21 A It is.

22 Q Okay. Did the President join that call?

23 A He did.

24 Q Did the President say anything about encouraging people to march to the  
25 Capitol during that call? I don't think that's something we covered before.



1           A     Mr. Trump was supportive of the overall message that most Members, if not  
2 all Members, participating on that call were advocating for it, which was encourage your  
3 constituents, use your social media platforms to encourage Americans to come to D.C.  
4 that day and attend the rally and have a presence at the Capitol on January 6th.

5           So he was supportive of that idea and concept that the call was broadly discussing.  
6 But I can't -- I can't recall whether he was, you know, individually advocating for that. It  
7 was more like he knew that the Members on the call were -- had already put out  
8 statements with context along those lines and on par with that message.

9           Q     Okay.

10           BY MS. [REDACTED]:

11           Q     Ms. Hutchinson, just a couple of follow-up questions. On this exhibit that  
12 we're looking at, exhibit 25, regarding the binder, it says, a binder -- you know, POTUS  
13 gave Molly and I a behemoth of a binder with legal documents.

14           Do you recall what those legal documents related to?

15           A     Some of them were listing individuals that had passed away and allegedly  
16 casted votes. Some of them were, if I'm remembering correctly, I don't know if they  
17 were from the PowerPoint, but it was memos something along the lines of the potential  
18 role that the Vice President could play that day. It was nothing that -- you know, I didn't  
19 comb through everything. We didn't -- I didn't take any action on it. Sorry, I didn't  
20 look at everything, but it wasn't anything that I -- you know, just had flipped through and  
21 seen that I -- that wasn't familiar, hadn't been discussed internally. But it was fairly  
22 large, like, I mean, I didn't look at every page.

23           Q     Understood. So just to clarify, you recall seeing some documents relating  
24 to, sounds like, potential voter fraud in the binder?

25           A     Yes. That's correct.

1 Q And then also some memos about the potential role of the Vice President on  
2 January 6th?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Do you know where -- because it sounds like the President gave Ms. Michael  
5 and you a copy of this binder. Do you know where President Trump received this binder  
6 or received these documents?

7 A I'm not sure.

8 Q And did you say that you made copies of these documents for Members of  
9 Congress in connection with this January 2nd meeting or phone call?

10 A I personally took no action on the binder.

11 Q And did you say you don't recall whether Ms. Michael did?

12 A I don't know what she did, if anything at all. She would've resorted to  
13 guidance from myself or the chief of staff. To my knowledge, he didn't give her any,  
14 because I kind of had told him I wasn't going to take any action on it. It was -- there  
15 were a lot of documents, and it just wasn't feasible to have Members go through every  
16 one of the documents prior to the call, so -- that answers your question.

17 Mr. ██████. When you say a lot of documents in the binder, are we talking like  
18 hundreds of pages, Ms. Hutchinson?

19 Mr. Passantino. Describe it in --

20 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah. It was probably -- I don't know.

21 Mr. ██████. Be a 2-inch binder full of documents?

22 Ms. Hutchinson. Two and a half inches, three and a half inches, yes, yeah, that's  
23 correct.

24 Mr. ██████. And it was full?

25 Ms. Hutchinson. Not overflowing full, but it was very full to where, you know, if

1 you were to open it to take documents out, some would have spilled out with it.

2 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

3 Ms. [REDACTED]. And I just want to understand what you said, Ms. Hutchinson.  
4 Did you say you didn't take any action because it just wasn't feasible due to, like, the size  
5 of the binder?

6 Ms. Hutchinson. I just didn't -- I knew it would be very time consuming, either to  
7 scan everything in and send it electronically to Members of Congress or to make physical  
8 copies of it. It was -- it would take a lot of time, and I knew Members wouldn't spend  
9 the next 12 hours combing through these hundreds of pages of documents personally.  
10 So I just didn't see it as something that was worthy of my time or anybody else's time to,  
11 you know, spend that night making copies of that binder. Now, whether somebody else  
12 did, I'm not sure, but it just wasn't really something that I felt I needed to use my time  
13 and resources and allocate them towards that.

14 Mr. [REDACTED]. Do you have any reason to believe that the binder came from  
15 Mr. Giuliani, Mr. Kerik and his associates?

16 Ms. Hutchinson. Many of the documents in there were documents that  
17 Mr. Giuliani, Mr. Kerik, Mr. Waldron had brought to the White House's attention during  
18 this period, but I don't know whether they assembled the binder.

19 Mr. [REDACTED]. Understood. Thank you. That's helpful.

20 BY MS. [REDACTED]:

21 Q Ms. Hutchinson, are you familiar with individuals named [REDACTED] or  
22 [REDACTED]?

23 A No.

24 Q Do those names ring a bell?

25 A No.

1 Q How about an [REDACTED]? I  
2 might not be pronouncing that correctly.

3 A Oh, could you -- could you name the first few people again, please?

4 Q Sure. Sure. So it's in reference to a January 3rd meeting, that refreshes  
5 your recollection, that we're aware of. It's [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 A I recognize those names.

8 Q Do you recall a meeting involving those individuals around January 3rd,  
9 2021?

10 A I do.

11 Q Okay. Did that meeting have anything to do with the 2020 election or  
12 January 6th?

13 A Can I have one moment with my attorney, please?

14 Q Absolutely.

15 A Thank you.

16 [Discussion off the record.]

17 Mr. Passantino. Okay, thanks. So this meeting, she'll talk about the meeting,  
18 but it was a -- there was some classified stuff talked about that had nothing to do with  
19 January 6th but maybe January 6th was discussed. So if you want to like limit the  
20 conversation of January 6th discussions.

21 Ms. [REDACTED]. Absolutely.

22 BY MS. [REDACTED]:

23 Q So for this January 3rd meeting that you recall involving [REDACTED]  
24 [REDACTED], what do you recall being discussed  
25 with respect to January 6th, you know, including the Joint Session in Congress?

1           A    I don't recall -- I don't recall many specifics about January 6th. I remember  
2   ██████████ mentioning it to Mr. Meadows and Mr. Meadows saying, well, why don't you  
3   all come in here and let's -- let's talk about this.

4           I remember going in at one point and hearing them talk about January 6th and  
5   how Mr. Meadows anticipated the events would happen on the day, which nobody else  
6   had any, like, commentary of for the duration that I was in there. The conversation  
7   wasn't like overly long. They weren't there for several hours. Maybe 45 minutes.

8           But if you have more specific questions and want me to elaborate further, I'm  
9   happy to try to do so.

10          Q    Sure. So let's just step a bit back. Do you know who ██████████ is?

11          A    No. I just -- I remember -- I remember ██████████ just giving a list of  
12   names of people that he wanted to bring, and I remember the name ██████████. I didn't  
13   look into his background, and I didn't, like, question any -- any recommendations that  
14   ██████████ made at that point.

15          Q    Okay. And who's ██████████? What's his -- what does he do for a living,  
16   to the extent you know?

17          A    At the time, he was this -- forgive me if the title isn't correct, but I don't  
18   know -- it's different for the committee, but it's -- I believe he was the Republican staff  
19   director for the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, if I'm putting the  
20   order of those words in the correct format.

21          I don't recall if January 3rd was the first time they came to the White House. I'm  
22   trying to see, and I know I had texts with Ms. Thurston about it a few times, but -- if you  
23   have any further questions, I'm just looking through my texts with Ms. Thurston.

24          Q    Sure. Do you know how January 6th was -- was brought up in the meeting?

25          A    No. I just recall ██████████ seeing Mr. Meadows in our office. He had

1 met with them, and they -- Mr. Meadows had gone off to the other meetings too, so he  
2 was a little in and out while they stayed and waited for him to come back.

3 And [REDACTED] at some point had, you know, just casually mentioned to  
4 Mr. Meadows something about the 6th. Mr. Meadows said, well, why don't we -- why  
5 don't we actually talk about that now. Come into my office again, like, let's talk about  
6 this [inaudible].

7 Q And what exactly -- or what do you recall exactly was discussed with respect  
8 to January 6th, just more specifically?

9 A I don't remember many specifics of the conversation because I didn't -- I  
10 maybe ducked in twice. I remember one time definitively I ducked in. That's when I  
11 remember hearing Mr. Meadows just elaborate a little bit more on what he had thought  
12 could happen that day just in terms of the order of events and the individuals present,  
13 hadn't said anything else at that point.

14 The conversation went on for a little while longer, but I had shut his office door  
15 and I didn't stay and participate. And I -- I'm inclined to believe, like, I think the first  
16 time they all had met was the 2nd, so that conversation might have happened on the  
17 2nd, and then discussed more on the 3rd or -- it was at least over a 2-day period.

18 Q And I understand [REDACTED], by your testimony, he works in the House of  
19 Representatives. Do you know whether objections on January 6th was discussed and,  
20 you know, potentially having Members of the House of Representatives object on  
21 January 6th?

22 A I'm not sure if [REDACTED] really raised those concerns with Mr. Meadows or  
23 if it was a concern of his at all.

24 Q Okay. And just to clarify, not really a concern but just talking more  
25 generally about potential objections on January 6th.

1 A I'm not sure.

2 Q Okay. Is there anything else that you recall with respect to January 6th,  
3 including, you know, the Vice President's role on January 6th being discussed in this  
4 meeting involving [REDACTED]?

5 A I remember Mr. Meadows talking to them, when I briefly stepped into the  
6 room, about potential ways that Mr. Pence may or may not have in his role as the  
7 President of the Senate on January 6th, and discussing a little bit more about, you know,  
8 the -- those theories that were being widely discussed in the West Wing at the time.  
9 But, yeah, I wasn't in there for much of the initial meeting.

10 Ms. Cheney. Ms. Hutchinson, can you -- you just mentioned a moment ago that  
11 this same group met on January 2nd. So as I recall, the 2nd was a Saturday and the 3rd  
12 was a Sunday. Can you elaborate a little bit on the meeting on the 2nd? Was it the  
13 same group of individuals?

14 Ms. Hutchinson. So I just want to be careful because I know that this group  
15 came to the White House on two separate occasions. I'm inclined to believe it was the  
16 2nd and 3rd just because they wouldn't have come in on a Monday. But I -- it's not on  
17 my texts with Ms. Thurston and I don't have any way of [inaudible], so I just want to make  
18 sure that I'm not, you know, jumping to conclusions on that. It could have been the 1st  
19 and 2nd, 2nd/3rd, 3rd/4th, but it was the same group that came to the White House both  
20 times.

21 And I remember the first day that they were there is when [REDACTED] had  
22 mentioned the 6th to Mr. Meadows towards the second half, roughly, of their time at the  
23 White House. And I remember [REDACTED] and I briefly talking the next day about  
24 January 6th, if I had any thoughts about it. I just, you know -- there's a lot of people that  
25 have their thoughts, and, you know, what are yours, sir. Just kind of deflecting back to

1 him.

2 Then they had lengthy meetings with Mr. Meadows the second day too, but I'm  
3 not sure if it was, you know, about the other topics, not -- nothing to do with the 6th or if  
4 it was about -- about the 6th or, you know, days leading up to the 6th, or if they had, you  
5 know, more opinions that they had developed over the course of the 24 hours -- or 12  
6 hours since they had left our office about what Mr. Meadows had to say.

7 Ms. Cheney. And do you recall [REDACTED], did you have any discussions with  
8 him on either of those days?

9 Ms. Hutchinson. My recollection, no. I mean, I'm sure that I wasn't unfriendly  
10 and had ignored him, but I don't recall. Although maybe I had been. I -- I don't  
11 remember anything substantive. I mean, frankly, I hardly even remember the name, but  
12 I -- I do very vaguely remember it being a name that [REDACTED] had sent me.

13 Ms. Cheney. Okay. And maybe we can arrange and -- we can talk to counsel  
14 about how to do this -- in terms of the topic of the meeting that -- that may have been  
15 classified, obviously, we don't want you to share it here. Maybe it's something that we  
16 can arrange to just have you share the topic with current White House Counsel.

17 There are some issues around this time that may not seem like they're related  
18 that could be. So to the extent that we can work something out for you to share the  
19 classified topic, that would be very helpful.

20 Mr. Passantino. Sure, yeah.

21 Ms. Cheney. Great. All right. Thank you.

22 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

23 BY MS [REDACTED]:

24 Q Ms. Hutchinson, do you recall whether voter fraud was discussed in this  
25 meeting with [REDACTED], and others, whether on the 2nd or the 3rd?



1           A    I remember [REDACTED] talking to me about voter fraud and trying to  
2 elaborate on the conversations that he had earlier tried to elaborate on about my  
3 opinions. And I don't believe it's professional to, in that setting, for me to state my  
4 opinions. It wasn't the nature of my role. And so I remember him mentioning it to me.

5           Now, I don't -- I don't know if it was with the intention of raising it with  
6 Mr. Meadows because it was a topic that they had planned to discuss with him. You  
7 know, I'm -- I'm confident -- I'm very confident that Mr. Meadows discussed it with them,  
8 but I'm not sure in what context.

9           Q    Do you recall what exactly [REDACTED] said to you about voter fraud?

10          A    I believe [REDACTED] had asked me something along the lines of whether or  
11 not I thought there was significant evidence of voter fraud, in light of him saying that it  
12 was something that the White House was looking at closely during this time.

13          Q    Do you recall whether there was any sort of ask of Mr. Meadows in this  
14 meeting with respect to, you know, voter fraud or anything happening on January 6th?

15          A    I don't know if Mr. Meadows had an ask that would've caused them to take  
16 action on it -- on anything. I -- I just don't remember right now.

17          Q    And just to clarify, like, I'll make my question a little bit broader and then ask  
18 either way. So Mr. Meadows asking these individuals to take any action or these  
19 individuals asking Mr. Meadows to take any action or maybe even consider any action  
20 with respect to January 6th or voter fraud.

21          A    Again, I don't remember him proposing an ask that would require them to  
22 take action right now. I'm just trying to work through the conversation that I remember  
23 having with [REDACTED] and Mr. Meadows after the fact, but I can't remember  
24 Mr. Meadows ever saying, let's make sure we follow up with them, which is normally  
25 what he'd ask me to do if there was, you know, something that he wanted to maintain

1 insight on.

2 Right now, I don't remember [REDACTED], you know, expressing to me that they  
3 had delivered the results of anything that Mr. Meadows had asked for, so -- but it's  
4 possible. You know, I don't want to blanket that as a no. I just I don't -- I'm not sure.  
5 It could've happened behind closed doors without my insight --

6 Q Do you recall --

7 A -- but not that I recall.

8 Q Excuse me. Apologies.

9 A No, you're fine. I'm just saying not that I recall. It's difficult to draw the  
10 distinction between the other intention of the meeting from this, if that makes sense.

11 Q Yeah. Understood. Do you recall whether any documents were discussed  
12 or shown or shared during this meeting either on the 2nd or the 3rd?

13 Mr. Passantino. Relating to January 6th, right?

14 Ms. [REDACTED]. Excuse me. Yes, relating to January 6th, or voter fraud.

15 Ms. Hutchinson. I remember Mr. Meadows had PowerPoints and memos in his  
16 office that he showed them, and that's what he was referencing to when I had walked in.  
17 But it -- I took it as more, oh, he had it up as, like, to refresh his memory and walk through  
18 what he had in front of him with them.

19 Ms. [REDACTED]. And understanding that you likely can't recall the specific  
20 context of those documents, is it your general recollection that there -- I think the  
21 PowerPoints and memos we've discussed a few times today, that -- you know, the memos  
22 of which related to the Vice President's authority or purported authority on January 6th,  
23 for example?

24 Ms. Hutchinson. Yeah. It wasn't -- I was not under the impression that it was  
25 anything new.

1 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

2 Q Quick followups on that. Do you know if the voter fraud conversations or  
3 irregularities conversations during that meeting had anything to do with foreign  
4 interference in the election?

5 A I don't know specifically that was the direction that Mr. Meadows intended  
6 to take on that topic.

7 Q Okay. But that, for example, wasn't the purpose, as you understood it, of  
8 calling that meeting, necessarily?

9 A Not the purpose of calling the meeting, no.

10 Q Okay. And then one of the things circulating around that time was this idea  
11 that an individual in Italy had hacked the voter systems in the United States through a  
12 satellite. And I understand that that was widely discussed at some point. But was that  
13 a topic of conversation during this meeting with the HPSCI folks and Mr. Meadows on  
14 either the 2nd or 3rd?

15 A [REDACTED] and Mr. Meadows talked about -- I think it was referred to as  
16 Italygate --

17 Q Right.

18 A -- but -- and I had overheard that part in the, you know, main area of our  
19 office. But I don't know, like, how extensively they discussed it in portions behind  
20 closed doors that I actively did not participate in.

21 Q Do you know if Mr. Meadows or others in the White House did anything to  
22 investigate that Italygate, including, but not limited to, sending people to interview the  
23 purported perpetrators in Italian jail?

24 A Mr. Meadows was willing to hear theories to try to vet the best he could  
25 whether there was anything viable or substantive to any of the assertions or claims made

1 in the theories.

2 Q Do you know if he took, or anybody else in the White House, took actions to  
3 interview folks in Italian jails about this theory in particular?

4 A I don't -- I don't know if he took any actions about interviewing individuals in  
5 Italian jails. I know that he had a lot of conversations about Italygate, and there were  
6 some interesting ways that he had thought maybe it was okay to approach it. But the  
7 interviewing people in the Italian prisons doesn't, right now, jog my memory on anything  
8 with Mr. Meadows.

9 Q What were the ways that he thought he could approach it?

10 Mr. Passantino. You answered the question that way. You can't blame him on  
11 that. You got to -- you answered -- you could've just said no.

12 Ms. Hutchinson. Well, I'm trying to remember if we did [inaudible]. I  
13 remember him talking a lot about Italian satellites and if we could get data from satellites,  
14 if I'm thinking of the right country. I believe the Italian satellites were part of this too.

15 Mr. [REDACTED]. That's right.

16 Ms. Hutchinson. I apologize. I'm blushing. I mean, that's what immediately  
17 jumps out as something that I remember him discussing with me as a topic for a meeting  
18 at a different time but nothing to do with HPSCI, if we're talking about it in light of HPSCI.  
19 Now, Italygate did come up in that meeting, but I don't know. I think we were kind of  
20 past the point of, as you said, Italian prisons or satellites.

21 BY MR. [REDACTED]:

22 Q Okay. And related question. Do you know if Mr. Meadows or anybody,  
23 including the President, took any steps to obtain information from satellites as part of this  
24 Italygate investigation?

25 A I'm not sure if they, like, thought through the whole steps to obtain that

1 information.

2 Q Okay. All right. I think at this point just some final wrap-up questions,  
3 unless you have anything else right now. Final wrap-up questions is: Have you talked  
4 to the President about your appearance before the select committee or your production  
5 of documents to the select committee?

6 A I have not.

7 Q And if I didn't say it, I should've been clear, the former President, Mr. Trump.

8 A Right. I assume that's who you meant, and I have not, or the current  
9 President either.

10 Q Thank you. What about Mr. Meadows, have you talked to Mr. Meadows  
11 about your appearance before the select committee or documents you're providing to  
12 the select committee?

13 A No.

14 Q Okay. Have you talked to him at all since you've been subpoenaed?

15 A No, I've not spoken to him since January 20th, 2021.

16 Q Why is that?

17 A The day both of our tenure at the White House ended.

18 Q No further need to talk with him, in your view?

19 A Our jobs were completed.

20 Q Other than your lawyers, have you talked to anybody about your test- -- and  
21 specifically your testimony or the documents you're producing to the select committee,  
22 not just the general fact of you being --

23 A No.

24 Q -- subpoenaed?

25 Okay. Has anybody tried to contact you about your testimony or your document

1 production to the select committee?

2 A CNN reporters a couple weeks ago.

3 Mr. Passantino. Yeah. The day after she testified, somehow they found out  
4 about her testimony.

5 Mr. [REDACTED]. Understood. Other than -- other than reporters and, specifically,  
6 in an effort to chat with you about what you might say or the documents you might  
7 produce?

8 Ms. Hutchinson. No. A few people had asked if I had a date yet, and I -- I  
9 mean, I haven't -- I haven't texted anybody back really for the last -- besides my attorney  
10 and a few family members -- the last couple weeks.

11 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay.

12 Ms. Hutchinson. Just getting through.

13 Mr. [REDACTED]. Okay. Very good. Well, I'll stop here and see if Ms. Cheney or  
14 anybody else on the Webex has anything else to add.

15 Ms. Cheney. I just want to say thank you very much, Cassidy, for the just really  
16 impressive and responsible way you've dealt with this. And I know it hasn't -- it has not  
17 been easy, but really hugely important for the country. And so I just want to tell you  
18 thank you very much for doing what, you know, what citizenship requires in coming in  
19 and answering all of our questions. We appreciate that very much. Thank you.

20 Ms. Hutchinson. Thank you.

21 Mr. [REDACTED]. Very good.

22 Mr. Passantino. If I could also, just following up on the conversation before,  
23 again, sort of implore, whatever we can do to not have her -- Ms. Hutchinson's name put  
24 in reports as to things that are beyond the scope of like her actual knowledge. I mean,  
25 obviously we prefer her to not be collateral damage at all, but, again, today she was

1 asked a number of questions about her opinion about whether, you know, Mr. Meadows  
2 should or shouldn't have taken different opinions as the chief of staff. I, again, would  
3 request that's not a fair opinion to put in her mouth in a report, and I would just -- please  
4 be conscious of the fact that she's -- she's got to work in this town for a long time, and  
5 just be responsible for that.

6 Mr. [REDACTED]. Thank you for putting that on the record, Mr. Passantino. Yep, we  
7 will proceed -- at this point, I think we should go off the record.

8 Mr. Passantino. Yeah.

9 Mr. [REDACTED]. So let's go off the record.

10 [Whereupon, at 7:09 p.m., the interview was concluded.]

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee

I have read the foregoing \_\_\_\_ pages, which contain the correct transcript of the answers made by me to the questions therein recorded.

---

Witness Name

---

Date