John E. Gamble, III 1 20091 Mount Israel Place **FILED** 2 Escondido, CA 92029 3 Phone: (760) 802-9491 Sep 15 2023 E-mail: JohnEarlGambleIII@gmail.com 4 Plaintiff. In Pro Se **CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT** 5 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA** 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SAN DIEGO DIVISION 12 13 JOHN E. GAMBLE, III, Case No.: '23CV1706 BAS BLM 14 Plaintiff, 15 **COMPLAINT FOR** VS. 16 INJUCTIVE RELIEF UNDER U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION 17 **SECURITY ACT** 18 **IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT** 19 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 20 **OFFICE** 500 12TH Street, S.W., Stop 5009 21 Washington, DC 20536-5009 22 23 Defendant 24 25 26

27

28

COMPLAINT FOR INJUCTIVE RELIEF

1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, for injunctive and other appropriate relief and seeking the disclosure and release of agency records, and/or portions thereof, improperly withheld from Plaintiff by Defendant U.S. Department of Homeland Security ("DHS), Immigration and Customs Enforcement division ("ICE").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § § 552a(g)(1), 552(a)(4)(B), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). Venue is appropriate in this District under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552a(g)(5), 552(a)(4)(B), and 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff John E. Gamble III is a resident of San Diego County, California, and was an Officer of Affordable Housing Initiatives (AHI), Incorporated, a Texas 501(c)3 public benefit corporation authorized to do business in California, at all relevant times.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUCTIVE RELIEF UNDER FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

4. Defendant ICE is an executive department of the Federal Government of the United States of America. ICE is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(1).

PLAINTIFF's FOIA REOUEST

- 5. On May 31, 2023 Plaintiff submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request: ICE FOIA Case No.: 2023-ICFO-28240. Plaintiff requested all findings and/or determinations in reference to an inspection held on or around July 29, 2019 at a Residential Care Facility for the Elderly (RCFE). (Gamble's FOIA Request Attached as Attachment 1). That RCFE is owned and operated by Affordable Senior Housing Foundation, D.B.A. Oak Hill Residential Care, a San Diego based 501(C)3 non-profit public benefit corporation. On August 15, 2023, Gamble electronically received ICE's final 28-page response, of which 25 were presented as responsive records relating to the May 31 FOIA request. (Responsive Records Attached as Attachment 2).
- 6. Defendant ICE has wrongfully withheld the unredacted records from Plaintiff, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).

DEFENDANT ICE'S ERRONEOUS REDACTIONS

7. In a response letter dated August 10, 2023, Defendant ICE notified Plaintiff that specified materials were subject to redaction. Redactions were stated to be executed in accordance with exemptions 6 and 7(c) under the FOIA 5 U.S.C. § 552.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUCTIVE RELIEF UNDER FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

- 8. ICE, pursuant to their own interpretation of 5 U.S.C. § 552, and specifically FOIA exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) therein, asserts that the identities of legal counsel representing the subject entity known as "Oak Hill Residential Care" fall within the ambit of "Personal Information." These redaction protocols are herein contended to be erroneously applied with respect to the identities of lawyers representing the subject entity. Such agents were operating in a professional, representational capacity, distinct from the entity that was subject to the investigation. Plaintiff contends that the redactions of the names of counsel representing the subject is legally insupportable and constitutes a clear misapplication of FOIA exemptions 6 and 7(c).
- 9. The "name" of an attorney is patently <u>not a part of the attorney client communication</u> <u>privilege</u>, nor the attorney work product privilege."

PLAINTIFF'S FOIA APPEAL

10.On August 17, 2023 Defendant ICE acknowledged receipt of Gamble's letter appealing the adverse determination of ICE's FOIA production. The Government Information Law Division assigned the appeal the tracking No.: 2023-ICAP-00428. (Appeal Letter Attached as Attachment 3).

DEFENDANT ICE'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S APPEAL

11. By electronic mail (email) dated September 15, 2023 Plaintiff received notice from the Government Information Law Division stating that the appeal was denied. Author

COMPLAINT FOR INJUCTIVE RELIEF UNDER FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

claims Defendant's FOIA Office properly applied FOIA Exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) in order to prevent "members of the public [from drawing] inferences from the mere fact that an individual is mentioned...". (ICE Response Letter Attached as Attachment 4).

THE PUBLIC'S INTEREST IN DISCLOSING

- 12.Plaintiff hereby asserts that the identities of legal representatives acting on behalf of the entity, known as "Oak Hill Residential Care," are subject to mandatory disclosure, as such the revelation serves the overwhelming interest of the general public. Given the protracted duration of the investigation in question, coupled with the ostensibly minimal findings thereof, it is incumbent upon the public to ascertain whether preferential treatment was unduly conferred upon the subject organization. Such preferential treatment may stem from prior affiliations or relationships between said attorneys in any department or officials within the DHS and/or ICE.
- 13.Defendant ICE concedes, in its own articulation, that the agency's rationale for redacting the identities of legal representatives for the subject entity known as "Oak Hill Residential Care" is to avert public scrutiny. Specifically, ICE contends that the disclosure of such identities may precipitate "adverse inferences" against the agency itself.

14. This admission by Defendant ICE not only undermines the purported legitimacy of invoking Exemptions 6 and 7(c) under the Freedom of Information Act, but also contravenes the Act's foundational purpose: namely, to provide the public with the requisite transparency to scrutinize governmental actions. The agency's self-avowed aim to evade such scrutiny by withholding information critical to the public interest is antithetical to the principles and statutory mandates undergirding the Freedom of Information Act. Thus, the Defendant's redaction of this information, by its own admission, fails to meet the substantive criteria for exemption under the Act and is therefore impermissible.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- A) Declare that ICE violated the Freedom of Information Act;
- B) Order ICE to immediately disclose names of the lawyers to John E. Gamble III;
- C) Award Plaintiff reasonable costs and fees as provided in 5 U.S.C. §§ 552a(g)(3)(B) and/or (4)(B), 552 (a)(4)(E) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (d);
- D) Expedite this action in every way pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1657 (a); and
- E) Grant such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.