

CONTRACT SUMMARY

(This form must accompany all contracts submitted to the Board of Examiners (BOE) for review and approval)

I. DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT

1. Contract Number: **28160**

Agency Name: GOVERNOR'S FINANCE OFFICE	Legal Entity Name: CGI Technologies and Solutions, Inc.
Agency Code: 015	Contractor Name: CGI Technologies and Solutions, Inc.
Appropriation Unit: 1325-09	Address: 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
Is budget authority available?: No	City/State/Zip: Sacramento, CA 95814
If "No" please explain: pending IFC approval and pursuant to Assembly Bill 468	Contact/Phone: Greg Hussey 916-300-6403
	Vendor No.: pending
	NV Business ID: pending

To what State Fiscal Year(s) will the contract be charged? **2024-2029**

What is the source of funds that will be used to pay the contractor? Indicate the percentage of each funding source if the contractor will be paid by multiple funding sources.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General Funds	81.00 %	Fees	0.00 %
Federal Funds	0.00 %	Bonds	0.00 %
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highway Funds	19.00 %	Other funding	0.00 %

2. Contract start date:

a. Effective upon Board of Examiner's approval? **Yes** or b. other effective date: **NA**

Anticipated BOE meeting date 09/2023

Retroactive? **No**

If "Yes", please explain

Not Applicable

3. Termination Date: **09/30/2028**

Contract term: **5 years and 31 days**

4. Type of contract: **Contract**

Contract description: **Systems Intergrator**

5. Purpose of contract:

This is a new contract to provide systems integrations for the state's financial and HR systems.

6. NEW CONTRACT

The maximum amount of the contract for the term of the contract is: **\$90,225,000.00**

II. JUSTIFICATION

7. What conditions require that this work be done?

The State financial system and the HR systems are outdated and approaching end of life.

8. Explain why State employees in your agency or other State agencies are not able to do this work:

State employees do not have the expertise to complete this work.

9. Were quotes or proposals solicited? Yes

Was the solicitation (RFP) done by the Purchasing Division? Yes

a. List the names of vendors that were solicited to submit proposals (include at least three):

b. Solicitation Waiver: **Not Applicable**

c. Why was this contractor chosen in preference to other?

d. Last bid date: Anticipated re-bid date:

10. a. Does the contract contain any IT components? Yes
 b. Is the contract part of an IT investment project over \$50,000? Yes

III. OTHER INFORMATION

11. Is there an Indirect Cost Rate or Percentage Paid to the Contractor?

No If "Yes", please provide the Indirect Cost Rate or Percentage Paid to the Contractor

Not Applicable

12. a. Is the contractor a current employee of the State of Nevada or will the contracted services be performed by a current employee of the State of Nevada?

No

b. Was the contractor formerly employed by the State of Nevada within the last 24 months or will the contracted services be performed by someone formerly employed by the State of Nevada within the last 24 months?

No

c. Is the contractor employed by any of Nevada's political subdivisions or by any other government?

No If "Yes", please explain

Not Applicable

13. Has the contractor ever been engaged under contract by any State agency?

No If "Yes", specify when and for which agency and indicate if the quality of service provided to the identified agency has been verified as satisfactory:

Not Applicable

14. Is the contractor currently involved in litigation with the State of Nevada?

No If "Yes", please provide details of the litigation and facts supporting approval of the contract:

Not Applicable

15. The contractor is registered with the Nevada Secretary of State's Office as a:
 Foreign Corporation

16. a. Is the Contractor Name the same as the legal Entity Name?

Yes

17. a. Does the contractor have a current Nevada State Business License (SBL)?

Yes

18. a. Is the legal entity active and in good standing with the Nevada Secretary of State's Office?

Yes

19. Agency Field Contract Monitor:

20. Contract Status:

Contract Approvals:

Approval Level	User	Signature Date
Budget Account Approval	mranki1	08/29/2023 11:36:53 AM
Division Approval	mranki1	08/29/2023 11:36:55 AM
Department Approval	mranki1	08/29/2023 11:36:57 AM
Contract Manager Approval	mranki1	08/29/2023 11:37:00 AM
EITS Approval	mranki1	08/30/2023 07:46:39 AM
Budget Analyst Approval	dlenzner	09/05/2023 13:18:15 PM
BOE Agenda Approval	dlenzner	09/05/2023 13:18:21 PM
BOE Final Approval	Pending	

Joe Lombardo
Governor



Timothy D. Galluzi
State Chief Information Officer

Darla J. Dodge
Deputy CIO- COO

David 'Ax' Axtell
Deputy CIO - CTO

Robert "Bob" Dehnhardt
Deputy CIO - CISO

STATE OF NEVADA
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE
Office of the Chief Information Officer
100 N. Stewart Street, Suite 100 | Carson City, Nevada 89701
Phone: (775) 684-5800 | www.it.nv.gov | Fax: (775) 687-9097

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Brian Bowles, Administrator, OPM, GFO
Timothy Baguley, IT Manager II, OPM, GFO

CC: Tim Galluzi, State Chief Information Officer, OCIO
Robert Dehnhardt, State Chief Information Security Officer, OCIO
Sean Montierth, Computing Services Unit, OCIO
Cameron Carey, Network Services Unit, OCIO
Jason Benshoof, Client Services Unit, OCIO
David Axtell, State Chief Enterprise Architect, OCIO

FROM: Lisa Jean, TIN Administrator, OCIO

SUBJECT: TIN Completion Memo – OPM – TIN 751 – *CORE.NV ERP Implementation Project* – BA 1325

DATE: August 17, 2023

We have completed our review for the Governor's Finance Office (GFO), Office of Project Management's (OPM) – *CORE.NV ERP Implementation Project* – TIN 751.

The submitted TIN, for an estimated value of \$166,340,630.00 in the FY24/FY25 biennium (76% General Fund, 18% Highway Fund, 6% Federal - ARPA Funding), is to procure and implement a unified, state-wide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solution.

The CORE.NV project represents an ambitious effort to successfully procure and implement a unified, state-wide ERP solution, supplanting the aging and inconsistent systems presently in use for HR, Finance,

Payroll, and other related functions.

Historically, the state's investment in an off-the-shelf ERP solution in 1998 led to difficulties. Extensive customization to align with the state's unique business requirements resulted in vendor reluctance to provide support, leading the state to take full control of support functions. Over time, various departments developed in-house ERP-related systems, causing a fragmented landscape. Even though payroll continued to be processed through the purchased system, the application became increasingly challenging to support, particularly due to its antiquated COBOL codebase. The state's current critical shortage of qualified COBOL programmers and a 2014 benchmark study demonstrating excessive spending, insufficient technology, and manual processes in several areas further underscored the need for reform.

The directives of the CORE.NV ERP Project are well-defined, focusing on implementing a modern cloud-hosted Software as a Service (SAAS) solution with no customization permitted. The state will also adapt to the contemporary ERP solution's functionality and workflow methodologies.

The CORE.NV ERP project's implementation carries significant implications for the security and integrity of the state's data and processes which requires upholding rigorous cybersecurity standards. Ensuring the confidentiality, availability, and integrity of sensitive information requires a strategic alignment with current best practices in cybersecurity. OPM will need to coordinate with the Office of Information Security to schedule and conduct required pre- and post-implementation security reviews of the new ERP platform. This alignment encompasses comprehensive risk assessments, secure configuration management, and ongoing monitoring to detect and respond to potential threats. Careful consideration of these factors will be essential to the project's success, maintaining the public's trust in the state's capacity to securely manage its digital resources.

If there are to be any changes to enterprise services or utilizations, including: network, firewall, server, Active Directory (AD) integration, telecom, etc., please notify OCIO as soon as possible to avoid integration delays.

It is expected that this solution will follow state security standards and policies and be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) to ensure accessibility to all authorized users.

A copy of this memo has been attached to the TIN.

If I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.