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|  | Page 2 |  | Page 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Appearances: | 1 | public access through YouTube. |
| 2 | HAMILTON P. FOX, Esquire | 2 | The Board's staff has made every effort |
| 3 | Disciplinary Counsel | 3 | to make this hearing run smoothly, but technological |
| 4 |  | 4 | issues may arise, so we need to exercise patience. |
| 5 | JASON HORRELL, Esquire | 5 | In that respect, I ask the parties to mute their |
| 6 | Assistant Disciplinary Counsel | 6 | microphones when not speaking to minimize feedback |
| 7 | District of Columbia | 7 | and allow us to hear each person more clearly. |
| 8 |  | 8 | At this time I also want to remind the |
| 9 | For Respondent Rudolph W. Giuliani, | 9 | parties that they're required to keep track of the |
| 10 | Esquire | 10 | exhibits that are admitted, excluded, or not offered |
| 11 | JOHN M. LEVENTHAL, Esquire | 11 | into evidence over the course of this hearing. At |
| 12 | BARRY KAMINS, Esquire | 12 | the end of each day of the hearing, the parties |
| 13 |  | 13 | should confer and agree on the status of exhibits. |
| 14 | RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI, Esquire, Respondent | 14 | At the end of the hearing the parties need to sign |
| 15 |  | 15 | lists indicating the status of each exhibit using the |
| 16 |  | 16 | exhibit list forms that they filed before the |
| 17 |  | 17 | hearing. |
| 18 |  | 18 | If the parties disagree as to the |
| 19 |  | 19 | disposition of any exhibit, the disagreement must be |
| 20 |  | 20 | raised with the Hearing Committee before the end of |
| 21 |  | 21 | the hearing so that it may be resolved them. The |
| 22 |  | 22 | side list should be filed with the Board Office as |
|  | Page 3 |  | Page 5 |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 | soon as possible following conclusion of the hearing. |
| 2 | [9:04 a.m.] | 2 | I'll now swear in the court reporter. |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Good morning. This is the | 3 | (Court reporter sworn in.) |
| 4 | Matter of Rudolph W. Giuliani, Disciplinary Docket | 4 | THE CHAIR: I'm sorry? |
| 5 | No. 2020-B253. This matter is before a Hearing | 5 | MR. CARROLL: This is Mr. Carroll. We're |
| 6 | Committee pursuant to Rule 11 of the District of | 6 | having a little trouble with the Zoom livestream |
| 7 | Columbia Court of Appeals governing the District of | 7 | right now. If you could give us two minutes to try |
| 8 | Columbia. I am Robert Bernius, the Chair of an Ad | 8 | to get that going. We'll let you know when that's |
| 9 | Hoc Hearing Committee and with me are the other | 9 | fixed. |
| 10 | members of the Committee, Jay Brozost and Carolyn | 10 | THE CHAIR: Sure. Absolutely. |
| 11 | Haynesworth-Murrell. This hearing will be an | 11 | (Off the record) |
| 12 | adversary proceeding to determine whether discipline | 12 | THE CHAIR: All right. It looks like |
| 13 | should be imposed upon the Respondent. | 13 | we're all back. Because the livestreaming was not |
| 14 | All proceedings before the Hearing | 14 | working earlier, I will start the proceeding again. |
| 15 | Committee shall be open to the public. In this | 15 | This is the Matter of Rudolph W. Giuliani, |
| 16 | proceeding the witnesses will be examined under oath | 16 | Disciplinary Docket 2020-D253. This matter is before |
| 17 | or affirmation. The COVID-19 pandemic has required | 17 | a Hearing Committee pursuant to Rule 11 of the |
| 18 | the Disciplinary System to make many adjustments to | 18 | District of Columbia Court of Appeals governing the |
| 19 | ensure that cases can proceed without in-person | 19 | District of Columbia. |
| 20 | appearances. The Disciplinary System has thus | 20 | I am Robert Bernius, the Chair of an Ad |
| 21 | determined to conduct disciplinary hearings via | 21 | Hoc Hearing Committee and with me are the other |
| 22 | remote video conference which are livestreamed for | 22 | members of the Committee, Jay Brozost and Carolyn |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Haynesworth-Murrell. This hearing will be an | 1 | administrative issues that we have this morning. |
| 2 | adversary proceeding to determine whether discipline | 2 | There've been various messages submitted to the Board |
| 3 | should be imposed upon the Respondent. | 3 | and I want to address them before we begin. |
| 4 | All proceedings before the Hearing | 4 | I assume, Mr. Fox, you have asked about |
| 5 | Committee shall be open to the public. In this | 5 | your expert witness and his attending the hearing |
| 6 | proceeding the witnesses will be examined under oath | 6 | when he's not testifying. I assume, by implication, |
| 7 | or affirmation. The COVID-19 pandemic has required | 7 | you're invoking the rule on witnesses? You're on |
| 8 | the Disciplinary System to make many adjustments to | 8 | mute. |
| 9 | ensure that cases can proceed without in-person | 9 | MR. FOX: I know. I'm sorry. I |
| 10 | appearances. The System has thus determined to | 10 | apologize. Actually, I really don't much care about |
| 11 | conduct disciplinary hearings via remote video | 11 | the rule on witnesses, but on the assumption that the |
| 12 | conference which are livestreamed for public access | 12 | rule is going to be applied, I would like an |
| 13 | through YouTube. | 13 | exception, but I'm not actually asking that the rule |
| 14 | The Board's staff has made every effort | 14 | be invoked. It's up to the Respondent's counsel. |
| 15 | to make this hearing run smoothly, but as we've | 15 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Leventhal, do you have |
| 16 | learned personal this morning, technological issues | 16 | any view on whether witnesses should be excluded? |
| 17 | may arise, so we need to exercise patience. In that | 17 | You're on mute too. |
| 18 | respect, I ask the parties to mute their microphones | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. We'll agree with Mr. |
| 19 | when not speaking to minimize feedback and allow us | 19 | Fox. I'm sorry. I'm getting a little feedback. I |
| 20 | to hear each person more clearly. | 20 | don't know if you're getting it. |
| 21 | At this time I also want to remind the | 21 | (Technical issues.) |
| 22 | parties that they're required to keep track of the | 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: As Mr. Fox has stated, we |
|  | Page 7 |  | Page 9 |
| 1 | exhibits that are admitted, excluded, or not offered | 1 | will waive the rule on witnesses. |
| 2 | into evidence over the course of the hearing. At the | 2 | THE CHAIR: Okay. Another issue is the |
| 3 | end of each day of the hearing, the parties should | 3 | timing of the ending of the proceeding today. I |
| 4 | confer and agree on the status of exhibits. At the | 4 | anticipated we would generally go to 5 o'clock. The |
| 5 | end of the hearing the parties need to sign final | 5 | Respondents have asked to break early as 4:00, is |
| 6 | lists indicating the status of each exhibit using the | 6 | that going to be an issue, Mr. Fox? |
| 7 | exhibit list forms that they filed before the | 7 | MR. FOX: That will not be an issue as |
| 8 | hearing. | 8 | far as I'm concerned. |
| 9 | If the parties disagree as to disposition | 9 | THE CHAIR: Good. We'll do that. The |
| 10 | of any exhibit, the disagreement must be raised with | 10 | Respondents have filed some exhibits which, by Board |
| 11 | the Hearing Committee before the end of the hearing | 11 | rule, had to be redacted, birthdates of names, Social |
| 12 | so that it may be resolved them. The side list | 12 | Security numbers have to be redacted before they were |
| 13 | should be filed with the Board Office as soon as | 13 | filed. |
| 14 | possible following conclusion of the hearing. | 14 | Just let me note that if the Respondents |
| 15 | Now, I just want to ask the parties to | 15 | intend to have testimony or discussion of unredacted |
| 16 | identify themselves for the record, beginning with | 16 | documents, we're going to have to do that in a |
| 17 | Disciplinary Counsel. | 17 | private session. We can't do that in a public |
| 18 | MR. FOX: Hamilton Fox and Jason Horrell | 18 | session. So, at the break if you could work out the |
| 19 | for the Office of Disciplinary Counsel. | 19 | logistics. |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: John Leventhal and Barry | 20 | If you need to do that, please discuss it |
| 21 | Kamins for the Respondent. | 21 | with Mr. Fox and we'll try to figure out the best way |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's some | 22 | to handle that without interrupting the middle of the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hearing. It may be something that we do right after | 1 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Leventhal, is that all |
| 2 | lunch break or right after the midafternoon break, | 2 | right with you? |
| 3 | something like that. | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I have no objection. We |
| 4 | Another point, at the end of the day I | 4 | stipulated to them, so I think by stipulating -- we |
| 5 | want all counsel to notify the other party as to what | 5 | stipulated to the exhibits that we agreed upon, so I |
| 6 | witnesses they will be calling the next day. I think | 6 | thought that they are admitted by our stipulation. |
| 7 | that probably applies mostly to Respondents because | 7 | THE CHAIR: Not yet. You want to give us |
| 8 | your witness list is much longer, so please let Mr. | 8 | that list, Mr. Fox, on the record? |
| 9 | Fox know at the end of the day who your witnesses are | 9 | MR. FOX: Yes, I will. First, there are |
| 10 | actually going to be coming in the next day so that | 10 | Disciplinary Counsel's Exhibit 1 through 23, |
| 11 | he can be prepared to deal with whoever you intend to | 11 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 25, Disciplinary Counsel |
| 12 | call. | 12 | Exhibit 27, and Disciplinary Counsel Exhibits 29 |
| 13 | Anything else we need to discuss before | 13 | through 40. |
| 14 | we go into openings? | 14 | Respondent's exhibits that we stipulated |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Chair, Mr. Fox and I, | 15 | to are Respondent's Exhibits 1 through 6, |
| 16 | and Mr. Kamins, we've had discussions -- today's | 16 | Respondent's Exhibits 8 through 10, Respondent's |
| 17 | Monday, it seems like forever -- last week and Mr. | 17 | Exhibit 12, Respondent's Exhibits 15 through 37, and |
| 18 | Fox has indicated that the Respondent, Mr. Giuliani | 18 | Respondent's Exhibit 39. |
| 19 | will take most of today and maybe a little bit of | 19 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Leventhal, anything to |
| 20 | tomorrow and he will also have his expert tomorrow | 20 | add? |
| 21 | and he said that we should be ready to go -- we had | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. Each party has |
| 22 | talked about it. Our witnesses are ready to go on | 22 | reserved their right to try to admit the exhibits |
|  | Page 11 |  | Page 13 |
| 1 | Wednesday. | 1 | objected to and reserves their right to object to the |
| 2 | THE CHAIR: Okay. | 2 | exhibits that the other party seeks to admit. That's |
| 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And also, we filed a | 3 | all. I would end. |
| 4 | stipulation -- I just want to put that on the record | 4 | THE CHAIR: Well, I didn't hear the last |
| 5 | -- of exhibits that we've agreed to, thus far. | 5 | part, but if you have any objection to the exhibits |
| 6 | THE CHAIR: Right. Well, how much of the | 6 | that Mr. Fox just mentioned being moved into |
| 7 | day will you be consuming tomorrow, Mr. Fox? | 7 | evidence -- |
| 8 | MR. FOX: I think we'll take most of | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, no objection. I just |
| 9 | today and tomorrow, most of tomorrow with the case. | 9 | said we reserve our respective rights as to the -- |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. So, we'll | 10 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
| 11 | start with Respondent's case on Wednesday. Anything | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. |
| 12 | else? | 12 | THE CHAIR: We'll deal with the others if |
| 13 | MR. FOX: Just a couple of preliminary | 13 | and when we need to. Those exhibits listed by Mr. |
| 14 | things. First -- oh, I'm sorry. I see Mr. Ortiz has | 14 | Fox are accepted into evidence. |
| 15 | been admitted to the meeting, so that's the first | 15 | (Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit Nos. 1 |
| 16 | thing I wanted to clear up. | 16 | through 23, 25, 27, and 29 through 40, admitted in |
| 17 | Secondly, I think we ought to move the | 17 | evidence.) |
| 18 | stipulated exhibits in right now so that we don't | 18 | (Respondent's Exhibit Nos. 1 through 6, 8 |
| 19 | have to fool around with that during the hearing, | 19 | through 10, 12, 15 through 37, and 39, admitted in |
| 20 | unless there's some reason not to do. I've got the | 20 | evidence.) |
| 21 | list of them here if you would like me to run through | 21 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Fox, I guess it's up to |
| 22 | them. | 22 | you to make your opening statement at this time. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: Yesterday's Washington Post | 1 | incorrectly that they contact that person and give |
| 2 | Magazine was devoted to a discussion of which rights | 2 | that person the opportunity to fix it, to come in and |
| 3 | of the citizens of this country are so fundamental | 3 | vote in person, for example. |
| 4 | that they will continue to be protected by the | 4 | We'll refer to this as "notice and cure." |
| 5 | Constitution of the United States. The Magazine's | 5 | Not every county did it. Some counties did. And |
| 6 | articles were written in the context of the Dobbs | 6 | that's the first factual basis of the complaint in |
| 7 | Opinion obviously, and there's an interesting | 7 | the lawsuit that Mr. Giuliani filed and supervised. |
| 8 | introduction by a New York University law professor, | 8 | The second factual basis arose from the |
| 9 | who talked about the various rules of thought in the | 9 | fact that this election in November of 2020 occurred |
| 10 | legal profession, and jurisprudence as to which | 10 | during the middle of the pandemic and that required |
| 11 | rights were fundamental and which were not. | 11 | social distancing. Now, part of the procedures in |
| 12 | But nobody would disagree that the right | 12 | Pennsylvania are that when the mail-in ballots are |
| 13 | to vote is fundamental. Not much mention about it in | 13 | counted each side is entitled to a certain number of |
| 14 | the original Constitution, but we've amended the | 14 | observers to be present when those ballots are what |
| 15 | Constitution three times, the 16th Amendment -- | 15 | they call "canvassed," especially meaning opening the |
| 16 | sorry, the 15th Amendment which gave the right to | 16 | envelopes and when they are counted. |
| 17 | vote to emancipated males, the 19th Amendment which | 17 | And because of the pandemic the various |
| 18 | expanded the right for all women, and the 26th | 18 | counties set up social distancing protocols which |
| 19 | Amendment which expanded the right to persons 18 and | 19 | meant that the observers were restricted to certain |
| 20 | older. All those amendments expanded the right to | 20 | areas and this is the second fact on which this |
| 21 | vote. | 21 | lawsuit was based. An argument that because these |
| 22 | If you grew up in the fifties and | 22 | observers were restricted to certain areas there was |
|  | Page 15 |  | Page 17 |
| 1 | sixties, as I did, you know how important the right | 1 | the possibility that fraud might've occurred which |
| 2 | to vote is to American citizens. People marched, | 2 | they hadn't seen. |
| 3 | people protested, people suffered, and some people | 3 | That's what the case was all about and |
| 4 | even died for the right to vote. | 4 | this what the Courts said about it. Let me first put |
| 5 | What this case is about is that Mr. | 5 | up what our Exhibit 16 in evidence, page 12, this is |
| 6 | Giuliani was responsible for filing a frivolous | 6 | from the Third Circuit. This is the language the |
| 7 | action asking a Federal Court to deprive millions of | 7 | Third Circuit used in affirming the dismissal of this |
| 8 | the people in Pennsylvania of their right to vote. | 8 | complaint. And you can see there it says not only |
| 9 | There was no precedent for this. In addition to the | 9 | was there no evidence the campaign never alleged that |
| 10 | fact that there was no precedent, there was no | 10 | any ballot was fraudulent or cast by an illegal |
| 11 | factual basis. | 11 | voter. |
| 12 | This action was premised on two essential | 12 | The District Court said something very |
| 13 | facts. Pennsylvania had gone to a new voting system | 13 | similar. And let me ask that we put up in evidence, |
| 14 | whereby people could vote by mail as a matter of | 14 | Exhibit 14, Disciplinary Counsel 14, page 8. And |
| 15 | right. It was a new system, the kinks had to be | 15 | what the District Court did was characterize the |
| 16 | worked out, a number of people messed up. They | 16 | litigation as presenting strained legal arguments |
| 17 | didn't properly fill out the envelope or they didn't | 17 | without merit and speculative accusations unsupported |
| 18 | sign their name to it. | 18 | by evidence. In short, both Courts said there was no |
| 19 | The Secretary of State of Pennsylvania | 19 | basis in law or fact for this litigation. |
| 20 | directed or suggested, is the better word, to the | 20 | Now, let me pause for a moment and talk a |
| 21 | various county election boards that if they got a | 21 | little bit about the nature of elections for federal |
| 22 | mail-in ballot from someone that was filled out | 22 | offices in the United States. Article 1 of the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Constitution largely delegates the responsibility for | 1 | But as a result of the pandemic many more |
| 2 | the conduct of elections to the states. And the | 2 | people in Pennsylvania elected to vote remotely or by |
| 3 | states, in turn, delegate some of that responsibility | 3 | mail rather than in person. Almost seven million |
| 4 | to the various localities. In the case of | 4 | people voted in the November 2020 election in |
| 5 | Pennsylvania, there were election boards in each | 5 | Pennsylvania and about a third of them voted by mail. |
| 6 | county. | 6 | In addition the pandemic having that |
| 7 | Up until 2019, Pennsylvania only required | 7 | affect on the voters, it also had affect on the |
| 8 | people to vote in person, except for a very few | 8 | election workers. Because as I said before, these |
| 9 | limited categories of people who were allowed to vote | 9 | people are volunteers. They come down and they man |
| 10 | absentee. Now, that's not the case throughout the | 10 | the polls and in the case of the mail-in ballots |
| 11 | United States. As I said earlier, the states pretty | 11 | there were a number of people had to volunteer and |
| 12 | much decide how elections are going to be run and a | 12 | process those ballots. |
| 13 | number of states have for years, particularly some of | 13 | Well, those people are concerned in the |
| 14 | the western states like Oregon, Arizona, and Utah, | 14 | pandemic as well. They're concerned about social |
| 15 | allowed voting by mail as a matter of right. You | 15 | distancing. They don't want to be exposed to the |
| 16 | didn't have to be sick; you didn't have to be out of | 16 | virus. And as a result of that the various counties |
| 17 | the state on election day. If you wanted to vote by | 17 | adopted different rules, but essentially provide that |
| 18 | mail, you could vote by mail. | 18 | the people who were observing the counting couldn't |
| 19 | And in 2019, somewhat fortuitously in | 19 | mix in with the voters. They had to keep their |
| 20 | light of the subsequent events, Pennsylvania decided | 20 | distance. And in some instances, in many instances, |
| 21 | to go to a similar system. And they adopted | 21 | that meant they were confined to a certain area of |
| 22 | something which is referred to as Act 77 and that | 22 | the room in which the counting was occurring. |
|  | Page 19 |  | Page 21 |
| 1 | was adopted or enacted into law in the fall of 2019. | 1 | Now, as I said before, there ere kinks to |
| 2 | And it said that the voters in Pennsylvania could | 2 | be worked out in the system and there was some |
| 3 | vote by mail as a matter of right. | 3 | litigation that occurred before the election that |
| 4 | Now, they didn't just willy-nilly send | 4 | worked out some of these kinks. I'm not going to go |
| 5 | every registered voter in Pennsylvania a ballot. You | 5 | through every single ruling, but there were three of |
| 6 | had to apply for it, you had to prove your | 6 | them that were particularly important. |
| 7 | identification, and your right to vote by mail could | 7 | The first one was that the Pennsylvania |
| 8 | be challenged up until the Friday before the | 8 | Supreme Court ruled that when the mail-in ballots |
| 9 | election, but the important point here was they | 9 | were being processed or canvassed is the phrase that |
| 10 | developed this new system. | 10 | they use, the people who were processing did not have |
| 11 | And of course, like any new system, it | 11 | to compare the signatures on the outer ballot from |
| 12 | had kinks. There were new procedures, as I'm sure | 12 | the voter with the signatures on the registration. |
| 13 | you all recognize, most of the people that work on | 13 | They said these people are handwriting experts. |
| 14 | election day are volunteers. They have to be | 14 | That's not part of their job. |
| 15 | trained. They have to learn the new procedures. | 15 | The second ruling that's important is |
| 16 | So, we got a brand-new system and the | 16 | that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania also said that |
| 17 | first general election -- it was used in primary | 17 | there could be no challenges during the processing of |
| 18 | election in the spring, but the first general | 18 | the mail-in ballots. In other words, you could make |
| 19 | election in which this system was going to be tried | 19 | a challenge up to the Friday before the election to a |
| 20 | out was the November 2020 election and then the | 20 | voter who voted by mail-in, but you didn't have a |
| 21 | pandemic came and we all knew what that meant, social | 21 | right to review the ballot envelope and challenge the |
| 22 | distancing, staying home, wearing masks. | 22 | ballot. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | In many ways it's analogous to what | 1 | bring election contests in State Court, which is the |
| 2 | happened at the polls. At the polls, a poll worker | 2 | logical place to bring them. Because as I said |
| 3 | or a poll watcher can challenge a person's right to | 3 | earlier, most of the rules, most of the way the |
| 4 | vote and that person may have to vote provisionally, | 4 | election is conducted is determined by the states and |
| 5 | but the poll watcher doesn't get to go and look at | 5 | the states have procedures, expedited procedures to |
| 6 | the actual ballot itself that the person cast. | 6 | deal with disputes that arise during the election. |
| 7 | And then the third salient ruling was | 7 | Pennsylvania has those. They also have the ability |
| 8 | that the Secretary of State asked the Supreme Court | 8 | to have an election contest on an expedited basis, |
| 9 | whether it was required that the various county | 9 | but he didn't do that. Instead, they elected to |
| 10 | election boards adopt some sort of notice and cure | 10 | bring a federal action. |
| 11 | procedure for any mail-in ballots that might be | 11 | Now, the federal action, which was |
| 12 | defective in some way and the Supreme Court said it's | 12 | brought in the Middle District of Pennsylvania, was |
| 13 | not required that you do that. | 13 | brought on behalf of the Trump Campaign and two |
| 14 | But the Secretary of State, nevertheless, | 14 | voters. And the Defendants in the case were the |
| 15 | as I mentioned earlier, sent around a missive to the | 15 | Secretary of State, the one who had sent out that |
| 16 | various election boards and said you're not required | 16 | suggestion that the notice and cure procedures be |
| 17 | to do this, but we encourage you to do that. And | 17 | adopted, and seven counties. Only seven out of -- I |
| 18 | some did and some didn't. The Third Circuit | 18 | think there's more 50 in Pennsylvania -- that were |
| 19 | estimated about 10,000 people statewide in an | 19 | sued. |
| 20 | election that was decided by 80,000 votes were given | 20 | Now, the problem that the litigants faced |
| 21 | the opportunity to fix their defective mail-in | 21 | is what federal issues are raised? And as I said, |
| 22 | ballots. So, those are the pre-election litigation. | 22 | the two facts on which they fastened were the notice |
|  | Page 23 |  | Page 25 |
| 1 | On Election Day some disputes broke out | 1 | and cure provisions, procedures that some counties |
| 2 | about the social distancing rules in the various | 2 | adopted and some didn't, and the social distancing |
| 3 | places where the mail-in ballot was counted. That | 3 | requirements which they said because of the distance |
| 4 | resulted in some litigation in Philadelphia. In | 4 | sometimes between where the observers were and where |
| 5 | Philadelphia, for example, which is the biggest city | 5 | the people were who were actually involved in |
| 6 | in Pennsylvania, these ballots are being counted on | 6 | processing the ballots and counting the votes gave |
| 7 | the floor of a convention center and the area where | 7 | rise to the opportunity for someone to commit fraud. |
| 8 | the observers were was such that they couldn't see | 8 | And while there were lots of theories, |
| 9 | everything that everybody was doing in this large | 9 | legal theories that were discussed, some of which |
| 10 | room and that resulted in some litigation. | 10 | we'll go into, ultimately this was a case about a |
| 11 | The election was held, votes counted. A | 11 | fraud and that's what Mr. Giuliani himself said. |
| 12 | few days after the election President Biden was | 12 | Now, when you plead fraud in Federal court, you have |
| 13 | declared the winner by an excess of 80,000 votes. At | 13 | to plead fraud with specificity. You can bring a |
| 14 | that point, Mr. Giuliani began to head up a | 14 | complaint in Federal Court in general simply by a |
| 15 | nationwide effort to challenge the voting results in | 15 | simple statement of the facts, but if you claim that |
| 16 | various states and in various courts around the | 16 | fraud exists you have to be specific as to what the |
| 17 | country and he was particularly involved in | 17 | fraud was. |
| 18 | Pennsylvania. Indeed, that was the only case that he | 18 | There were three complaints that were |
| 19 | personally litigated, although he supervised a | 19 | ultimately filed or attempted to be filed in this |
| 20 | nationwide effort, but in Pennsylvania he was in | 20 | case. None of them were specific. They were simply |
| 21 | charge. | 21 | based on conclusory statements. No facts were pled |
| 22 | Now, the decision that he made was not to | 22 | that could possibly constitute fraud. At best, at |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | best, facts were pled which might have given rise for | 1 | into evidence and some of them, if you look at them, |
| 2 | the opportunity for someone to commit fraud, but as | 2 | and I hope you won't have to. But if you look at |
| 3 | you saw what the Third Circuit said and what the | 3 | them, you'll see some instances in which the same |
| 4 | District Court said, there was not evidence of any | 4 | declaration or affidavit is there three, four, five |
| 5 | fraudulent ballot or any illegal voters and there not | 5 | times, but we put everything in that we got. |
| 6 | even any allegations about that. | 6 | In addition, Mr. Giuliani's lawyers have |
| 7 | There was no evidence of any systemic, | 7 | in their Exhibits 1 and 2, which were just admitted |
| 8 | intentional miscounting of the vote that could | 8 | into evidence, have put in all of -- essentially the |
| 9 | possibly, possibly have overturned an election with a | 9 | same stuff. Exhibit 2 is some additional information |
| 10 | margin in excess of 80,000. The remedy that Mr. | 10 | that came to light after they made the production to |
| 11 | Giuliani sought varied from time to time. The least | 11 | us. But Exhibit 1 should be largely duplicative of |
| 12 | drastic remedy that he sought was to disqualify, not | 12 | what we've put in. I will not promise you that I've |
| 13 | count the votes of 680,000 people who had voted in | 13 | compared every page. I certainly haven't, but we |
| 14 | Allegany County, where Pittsburgh is, and | 14 | tried to put everything in that they've got. |
| 15 | Philadelphia County, by mail to deprive 680,000 | 15 | The second thing we did is that we |
| 16 | people of their right to vote. | 16 | subpoenaed all of the other lawyers who signed the |
| 17 | The most extreme remedy that he sought | 17 | pleadings in this case for similar documents, every |
| 18 | was to deprive seven million voters in Pennsylvania | 18 | document that they had, every witness statement that |
| 19 | of their right to vote, throw those votes out, and | 19 | would support the claims. Now, those lawyers are in |
| 20 | ask the legislature to declare who was the choice of | 20 | Pennsylvania and I don't have the ability to call |
| 21 | Pennsylvania who would get the electoral votes in | 21 | them as witnesses and they wouldn't cooperate with |
| 22 | Pennsylvania. | 22 | me, but I did have the ability to subpoena documents |
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| 1 | No court ever in the history of the | 1 | from them going through the Pennsylvania courts and |
| 2 | United States has ever considered anything close to | 2 | we did, and all of those documents are also in |
| 3 | that remedy. And of course, the Courts summarily | 3 | evidence here. |
| 4 | refused to do so, dismissed the complaint confirmed | 4 | The next thing we did, Mr. Giuliani wrote |
| 5 | by the Third Circuit because there was no basis in | 5 | us a letter and he told us in that letter, it's dated |
| 6 | law and fact to do that. | 6 | March 10, 2021, that we ought to look at the |
| 7 | That's what the evidence is going to | 7 | proceedings that occurred in Gettysburg, |
| 8 | show. Let me take a moment to talk about how we're | 8 | Pennsylvania towards the end of -- or after the case |
| 9 | going to try to prove this. I confront here the | 9 | had been dismissed. |
| 10 | problem of proving a negative. What I need to prove | 10 | A number of Republican senators held a |
| 11 | by clear and convincing evidence is that there was no | 11 | session. I'm not exactly sure how to characterize |
| 12 | basis in law or fact for the case that Mr. Giuliani | 12 | this, but they held what we would normally call a |
| 13 | set forth and so we're attempting to do that, first, | 13 | hearing, took most of the day, and MR. Giuliani put |
| 14 | by putting our arms around every single piece of | 14 | on his case. And so, the transcript of that hearing |
| 15 | evidence that we can identify that he might have | 15 | I think both sides have included in their exhibits |
| 16 | relied upon. | 16 | and that's been admitted into evidence. |
| 17 | So, we have asked him and his lawyers, | 17 | And then final thing that we did was |
| 18 | who've been extremely cooperative in this regard, to | 18 | there's a deposition Mr. Giuliani gave in another |
| 19 | provide us with all of the documentation, including | 19 | case in which he discussed some of these matters and |
| 20 | statements of witnesses, that they have to support | 20 | we put that into evidence as well. So, we have tried |
| 21 | the allegations that Mr. Giuliani made. And he made | 21 | to put into evidence every single possible fact on |
| 22 | several productions to us. We put every one of them | 22 | which Mr. Giuliani could have based his case. And as |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I've said before, we will call Mr. Giuliani as our | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, I do. Thank you. |
| 2 | first witness and examine him about the basis for the | 2 | Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of |
| 3 | claims that he made and then we will put on Daniel | 3 | the Ad Hoc Hearing Committee, Mr. Fox and Mr. |
| 4 | Ortiz, he's a professor at the University of Virginia | 4 | Horrell. And I also want to thank Ms. Borrazas for |
| 5 | Law School, expert in election law and expert in | 5 | helping us with the technical aspects here. And Mr. |
| 6 | civil procedure to talk about the structure of | 6 | Catherine, make sure you can hear me because I want |
| 7 | elections in the United States, what you've got to do | 7 | to make sure I'm heard. Thank you. |
| 8 | to go into Federal Court to set aside an election | 8 | Mayor Giuliani was the attorney |
| 9 | and how the information that was provided in this | 9 | representing former President Trump on the second |
| 10 | case fell so woefully short. | 10 | amended complaint that was sought to be filed in |
| 11 | Lawyers are not permitted in any case to | 11 | Trump v. Boockvar. Disciplinary Counsel contends |
| 12 | file complaints in court without a factual or a legal | 12 | that the second amended complaint was frivolous and |
| 13 | basis for doing do. That's prohibited by the Rules | 13 | without basis in law or fact. |
| 14 | of Professional Conduct. It was particularly | 14 | It is Respondent's contention that there |
| 15 | egregious that it occurred in this case that a | 15 | was a reasonable basis for the arguments made by |
| 16 | meritless complaint was filed because it was an | 16 | Respondent Rudolph Giuliani. Even assuming, |
| 17 | attempt to undermine the legitimacy of the | 17 | arguendo, that the fraud allegations were not pled |
| 18 | Presidential election. | 18 | with specificity required by the Federal Rules of |
| 19 | The first contested Presidential election | 19 | Civil Procedure, the claims based on the denial of |
| 20 | in the United States in which power changed hands was | 20 | equal protection and due process were properly pled |
| 21 | the election of 1800 . They didn't call them parties | 21 | and were certainly not frivolous. |
| 22 | in those days. They called factions, but the faction | 22 | This was not in my prepared statement, |
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| 1 | that Thomas Jefferson represented prevailed over the | 1 | but I have to answer Mr. Fox. I'm putting up his |
| 2 | faction of then-President Adams. | 2 | Exhibit No. 20, page 20. I don't know if you can see |
| 3 | To some extent, figuratively speaking, | 3 | it, but I'd like to read it. "In this case, the |
| 4 | the country held its breath in those days. I mean | 4 | Democratic Party -- this Democratic Party v. |
| 5 | Benjamin Franklin famously said this is a republic if | 5 | Boockvar, 238, Ad. 3rd, 345. |
| 6 | you can keep it. This was the first test of whether | 6 | On page 20 of Mr. Fox's exhibit, it is |
| 7 | you could keep it and Adams, patriot that he was, | 7 | clear that Ms. Boockvar opposed, opposed, and I |
| 8 | left the District of Columbia, went back to | 8 | repeat, opposed the Democratic Party's request to |
| 9 | Massachusetts and retired and Thomas Jefferson | 9 | make notice and cure a requirement. The Court said, |
| 10 | succeeded and became President of the United States. | 10 | no, only the legislature can do this and Ms. Boockvar |
| 11 | That was the precedent that was set. And | 11 | was part of the Executive Branch of government. An |
| 12 | constitutional democracy such as ours does not work | 12 | interpretation of this case is that notice and the |
| 13 | unless the loser honors the decisions of the voters. | 13 | opportunity to cure was not permitted, not that it |
| 14 | In this case, the Respondent, Mr. | 14 | was an option. |
| 15 | Giuliani, with no basis of law and fact weaponized | 15 | Although Judge Brand said it was an |
| 16 | his law license to bring a frivolous action in an | 16 | option, that's not what the case says. A reasonable |
| 17 | attempt to undermine the Constitution to which he, | 17 | interpretation in reading this case, unlike the other |
| 18 | like all members of the District of Columbia Bar, | 18 | claims asserted herein, the Secretary opposes |
| 19 | took an oath to support. | 19 | Petitioner's request for relief in this regard and |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Fox. Mr. | 20 | that was for notice and opportunity to cure. She |
| 21 | Leventhal, does the Respondent make an opening | 21 | counters that there is no -- this is the Secretary -- |
| 22 | statement at this time? | 22 | "there is no statutory or constitutional basis for |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | requiring the boards to contact voters when faced | 1 | Exhibit 29 gives you the history of this |
| 2 | with a defective ballot and afford them an | 2 | where three judges wanted to grant a stay, but it |
| 3 | opportunity to cure ballots." | 3 | shows that the failure to put the date and signature |
| 4 | The Secretary further notes that the | 4 | should've been challenged in the election. Now, this |
| 5 | Petitioner relies upon the -- and the Petitioner is | 5 | certainly is not frivolous. So, the claims based on |
| 6 | the Democratic Party -- upon the free and election | 6 | the denial of equal protection and due process were |
| 7 | clause. That clause cannot create statutory | 7 | properly pled and were certainly not frivolous. |
| 8 | language that the General Assembly chose not to | 8 | They were based on the numerous |
| 9 | provide. | 9 | declarations the information given to Respondent by |
| 10 | So, if you read -- I don't want to read | 10 | attorneys and other members of the Trump Campaign |
| 11 | the whole page into evidence, but it is clear that | 11 | team. Respondent's representation must be viewed in |
| 12 | the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania said it is only for | 12 | the context of what had occurred and transpired |
| 13 | the legislature to decide. What has come out in the | 13 | during that time. When Mr. Giuliani was coordinating |
| 14 | affidavits supplied in Exhibit 1 is that the | 14 | the litigation in various states in a very time |
| 15 | Republican counties believed that they were adhering | 15 | pressured and chaotic situation during this period |
| 16 | the law that notice and cure was not permitted and | 16 | and where he had to take over the Pennsylvania |
| 17 | the Democratic counties did the notice of cure and | 17 | litigation at the 11th hour that was abandoned by |
| 18 | that's the due process -- that's one of the due | 18 | local attorneys and two Texas attorneys under |
| 19 | process and equal protection arguments that we made. | 19 | political pressure by their law firms and others. |
| 20 | I might also add, and I wasn't going to | 20 | This is especially so, considering the |
| 21 | include this in my opening statement, even though | 21 | truncated timeframe of any election challenge, the |
| 22 | certain conditions were altered during the election | 22 | election was held on November 3rd. The county board |
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| 1 | because of the pandemic and because of statute, | 1 | of electors were mandated to certify the results of |
| 2 | Article 77, it was not reversed. Pennsylvania | 2 | the election on November 23, 2020. The original |
| 3 | Statute 25PS, Sections 3146.8, and I will read it, | 3 | complaint was filed on November 9th by other |
| 4 | Subdivision B. "Watchers shall be permitted to be | 4 | attorneys with minimal contribution by Respondent. |
| 5 | present, present when the envelopes containing | 5 | You'll hear that his total contribution was to add |
| 6 | official absentee ballots and mail-in ballots are | 6 | that this occurred in many other jurisdictions. |
| 7 | opened and when such ballots are counted and | 7 | The first amended complaint was filed on |
| 8 | recorded." | 8 | November 15 th by another attorney. The second |
| 9 | What is the reason for this? The reason | 9 | amended complaint was in which Respondent, Rudolph |
| 10 | for this is that if there's an impropriety, such as | 10 | Giuliani, was one of named attorneys was submitted |
| 11 | date, signature, not in the secrecy envelope, which | 11 | for filing on November 18th, only one day after he |
| 12 | the Supreme Court of the Untied States has later in | 12 | was admitted, pro hac vice, to appear in the |
| 13 | one of my exhibits you'll see. Could we go to my | 13 | Pennsylvania litigation. This again was necessitated |
| 14 | exhibits please, the last -- just go on the exhibit | 14 | by the withdrawal of an attorney who was on the |
| 15 | list. | 15 | original complaint and others who had joined the |
| 16 | The Supreme Court of the United States, | 16 | litigation at a later date. |
| 17 | Judge Alito, they reversed the fact that date and | 17 | An election law proceeding is unlike any |
| 18 | signature might not be -- Exhibit 20, 28. Please put | 18 | other lawsuit. In a medical malpractice or personal |
| 19 | it up, 28. Could you scroll it, I want to see the | 19 | injury matter, a commercial dispute involving money |
| 20 | case. Okay. So, if you look at Exhibit -- this | 20 | or property or in any other type of litigation an |
| 21 | basically granted certiorari and vacated the Third | 21 | attorney can do months or even a year or more of an |
| 22 | Circuit decision. | 22 | investigation to determine whether a lawsuit is |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | viable to be commenced. | 1 | Pennsylvania litigation did not seek to sanction him |
| 2 | This is simply not possible in an | 2 | at the District Court or Appellate level. |
| 3 | election law challenge. In this case, Mr. Giuliani | 3 | Now, Disciplinary Counsel seeks to |
| 4 | was asked to argue a case the day he was admitted pro | 4 | discipline Mr. Giuliani for arguments made in the |
| 5 | hac vice. Judge Braun acknowledged Respondent's late | 5 | second amended complaint that was never accepted for |
| 6 | entry into this case by asking Ms. -- , a local | 6 | filing by the Court. We have done national research |
| 7 | attorney to remain on to answer questions and by the | 7 | and I haven't seen anyone disciplined by filing -- by |
| 8 | Judge's acknowledgment that Mr. Giuliani was a late | 8 | attempting or seeking to file a complaint that was |
| 9 | entry. | 9 | not accepted. We additional contend that no |
| 10 | It is clear through the colloquy by the | 10 | discipline should be imposed based on a document that |
| 11 | Court at oral argument that the Court, although | 11 | was never accepted by the Court. |
| 12 | perhaps skeptical of the lawsuit, did not think it | 12 | Thus, we contend that there is no clear |
| 13 | was frivolous. In fact, the Court stated that it | 13 | and convincing argument that Respondent, Rudolph |
| 14 | had not made up its mind. In this matter, Mr. | 14 | Giuliani, intentionally violated Rules 3.1 and 8.4(D) |
| 15 | Giuliani had a reasonable basis to rely on the | 15 | of the Pennsylvania Rules of Professional Conduct. |
| 16 | information that he was provided through third | 16 | And I again say that we will go into a deep dive of |
| 17 | parties and to try to develop legal arguments that | 17 | the law regarding due process and equal protection. |
| 18 | were developed under the time constraints of the | 18 | And also, if the Court were to address those federal |
| 19 | election challenge in this matter. | 19 | issues that there would be supplemental jurisdiction |
| 20 | We, through hindsight, have done a deep | 20 | to consider the violation of 25 -- |
|  | dive legal research into the Braun decision and we | 21 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Leventhal? You've cut |
|  | will present at the conclusion our legal arguments | 22 | out, Mr. Leventhal, the last couple of sentences. |
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| 1 | how some of the conclusions reached by Judge Braun | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I said at conclusion of |
| 2 | were not only incorrect, but were contrary to law | 2 | the hearing we will do a deep dive into the law |
| 3 | and to law that he cited. Excuse me one second, | 3 | regarding the fact that the due process and the equal |
| 4 | please, Mr. Chair. | 4 | protection claims were not frivolous and that there |
| 5 | In this matter Mr. Giuliani had a | 5 | would also, if they were accepted by the Court, the |
| 6 | reasonable basis to rely on the information he was | 6 | Court would be able to exert its supplemental |
| 7 | provided -- I think I said this -- through third | 7 | jurisdiction under the Federal Statute to consider |
| 8 | parties and to try to develop legal arguments that | 8 | the violation of Pennsylvania statute, Section |
| 9 | alleged under the time constraints under the election | 9 | 3146.8. |
| 10 | challenge in this matter. Excuse me for repeating. | 10 | For all those reasons we believe that |
| 11 | I lost my place. | 11 | Respondent should not be sanctioned by the Hearing |
| 12 | Besides the second amended complaint was | 12 | Panel. Thank you so much. |
| 13 | not allowed to be filed and considered by the Court. | 13 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Leventhal. |
| 14 | Mr. Fox was wrong. It was not filed. It was not | 14 | Mr. Fox, are you ready to call your first witness? |
| 15 | accepted to be filed. He was not allowed to do that. | 15 | MR. FOX: The first witness will be Mr. |
| 16 | We note that Judge Braun did not sanction Mr. | 16 | Giuliani. Might I suggest that we take a 10 -minute |
| 17 | Giuliani under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil | 17 | recess now before we do that since we've been going |
| 18 | Procedures for filing a frivolous lawsuit and the | 18 | for about an hour and a half? |
| 19 | Third Circuit Court of Appeals did not seek to | 19 | THE CHAIR: That's fine. Okay, 10-minute |
| 20 | sanction him under Rule 38 of the Federal Rule of | 20 | recess. |
| 21 | Appellant Procedure for filing a frivolous appeal. | 21 | (Off the record) |
| 22 | Additionally, his opponent attorneys in the | 22 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Fox, call your witness |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | please. |  | draft complaints. I mean I've been involved in other |
| 2 | (Technical issues) | 2 | campaigns before, not as the lawyer, but I'd see -- I |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: All right, Mr. Giuliani, do | 3 | was involved in the Bush Campaign in -- Bush Campaign |
| 4 | you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you | 4 | in 2004 and my own campaign in 2008, McCain's |
| 5 | will give in this proceeding will be the truth, the | 5 | Campaign, Romney's Campaign. You always have -- even |
| 6 | whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you | 6 | in my campaign, which went 11 months, you always have |
| 7 | God? | 7 | a group -- you have a lawyer and draft complaints and |
| 8 | MR. GIULIANI: I do. | 8 | you sort of anticipate -- I mean, since the Bush v. |
| 9 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Fox, you may proceed. | 9 | Gore case, everybody in a major election anticipates |
| 10 | (Whereupon, RUDOLPH GIULIANI, having been | 10 | some kind of litigation after, so you prepare draft |
| 11 | duly sworn, testified as follows) | 11 | complaints because you know this has to go very, very |
| 12 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FOX: | 12 | quick. You don't have any time. It's very -- you |
| 13 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Giuliani. | 13 | know, I was used to doing very major criminal cases |
| 14 | A. Good morning, Mr. Fox. | 14 | that took years to prepare and these are -- here you |
| 15 | Q. I want to talk first about your role in | 15 | got a week, so you have to prepare in advance. |
| 16 | the post-election cases filed by the Trump Campaign. | 16 | Q. Now, with respect to Pennsylvania, you |
| 17 | A. Yes, sir. | 17 | personally started writing the complaint there with |
| 18 | Q. Is it accurate that a day or so after the | 18 | Ron Hicks of the Porter Wright firm; is that correct? |
| 19 | election President Trump asked you to head the legal | 19 | A. No, that wouldn't be the -- that wouldn't |
| 20 | team representing the campaign? | 20 | be correct. I mean, it's sort of correct, but it's |
| 21 | A. I believe it was the next day. It could | 21 | sort of out of context also. I had on focus on any |
| 22 | possibly have been the day after, but I think it was | 22 | place when I started. I asked them to tell me where |
|  | Page 43 |  | Page 45 |
| 1 | the next day. Yes. | 1 | the issues were coming from. And when I left the |
| 2 | Q. And you were supervising all of the | 2 | White House -- the President asked me to come to the |
| 3 | efforts in -- nationwide, is that fair? | 3 | White House. I believe it was morning after the |
| 4 | A. Well, first, I don't think I knew what -- | 4 | election. I arrived there with several people |
| 5 | , but I guess that's the way it turned out, although | 5 | because I knew what it was about. We had a very |
| 6 | I had a great deal of help, but that's true. I mean, | 6 | lengthy conversation and then he said I want you to |
| 7 | when I first took it over I had had no involvement in | 7 | take over and I want you to take over the |
| 8 | it up to that point, except to hear that it had been | 8 | litigation. And I said, well, Mr. President, would |
| 9 | terribly disorganized and it wasn't very well | 9 | you call there and let them know that because |
| 10 | prepared. That's the only thing I knew about it. | 10 | they're going to be very insubordinate when I show |
| 11 | Q. And I think you have indicated before that | 11 | up. You've got to know how your people operate. And |
| 12 | when you showed up to take over at the campaign | 12 | he said he would do that and I told him who I was |
| 13 | headquarters you found out that nobody had even | 13 | going to use, he approved them, and I directly went |
| 14 | started drafting complaints; is that correct? | 14 | to Arlington to the campaign headquarters. When I |
| 15 | A. Well, at least they didn't produce them to | 15 | got there I tried to reach the main people, all of |
| 16 | me. I mean, I showed up with a small group of | 16 | whom I had worked with before. I mean I knew who |
| 17 | lawyers that I put together quickly who I was | 17 | they were. One of them had been my deputy press |
| 18 | familiar with either from my dates in the Justice | 18 | secretary when I ran for President and a good friend |
| 19 | Department or civil law practice. And when I arrived | 19 | of mine, and he did help. And I asked them to please |
| 20 | there, I had expected, because we were speculating | 20 | get me whoever could brief me on what's pending right |
| 21 | about this in the weeks leading up to this, that | 21 | now and then let's think out what we're going to have |
| 22 | there must be a large group of complaints prepared, | 22 | to do. So, he brought in a few lower-level -- I'm |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | not even sure they were lawyers, but people who were | 1 | He said the Republicans are being kept in pens like |
| 2 | helping the lawyers. And they put them all up on | 2 | cows and that all of a sudden rang a bell with me |
| 3 | whiteboards. So, I'm going to miss a state or two, | 3 | because I had gotten that complaint from Detroit, |
| 4 | but certainly Pennsylvania, Michigan, Minnesota, | 4 | Atlanta -- |
| 5 | Wisconsin, Arizona, Nevada, Virginia, North | 5 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I am trying to find out what |
| 6 | Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, New Mexico -- did | 6 | your role was in writing -- in drafting the initial |
| 7 | I say Arizona? Arizona, I believe. They put them | 7 | complaint in Pennsylvania and you're telling -- I'm |
| 8 | all up on a board, whiteboard. And I don't remember | 8 | asking you what time it is and you're telling me how |
| 9 | the order in which they did it, they tried to | 9 | to make a watch. What I want to -- what I've asked |
| 10 | explain what they knew about -- there had been prior | 10 | you is whether you started writing the complaint in |
| 11 | litigation in some of these places litigating the | 11 | Pennsylvania with Ron Hicks, who was the lawyer who |
| 12 | constitutionality of the changes that had been made. | 12 | was in charge? |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, my question is specifically | 13 | A. Well, you know, I really do think you |
| 14 | about Pennsylvania. | 14 | should let me answer the question so that I can get |
| 15 | A. Yeah, well, it's hard to do that because | 15 | the context in which I was operating so you don't |
| 16 | it wasn't specifically about Pennsylvania. | 16 | create the false impression that all my time and |
| 17 | Pennsylvania didn't come into focus until maybe three | 17 | attention was given to one matter when that was not |
| 18 | -- two three hours later while I'm working on all | 18 | the case. I would think you'd want the panel to |
| 19 | these other places trying to figure out how up to | 19 | know what really happened -- |
| 20 | speed we are and I get a call from either Pam Barnaby | 20 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Fox, would you ask the |
| 21 | or Mr. Lewandowski. I believe it was Lewandowski. | 21 | question again please? |
| 22 | They said it's very important that they talk to you. | 22 | MR. FOX: Yes. |
|  | Page 47 |  | Page 49 |
| 1 | Well, this was probably the 50th "very important to | 1 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 2 | talk to you" person I had and I said, well, can I | 2 | Q. The question I asked was were you |
| 3 | have somebody else talk to them because I'm trying to | 3 | personally involved in drafting the initial |
| 4 | -- right then we had an emergency somewhere else. | 4 | complaint with Ron Hicks of the Porter Wright firm |
| 5 | Probably is not relevant, but we had an emergency in | 5 | for the Pennsylvania litigation? |
| 6 | two other places. One of them was Arizona and the | 6 | A. Not that day. |
| 7 | other was Michigan. And I said, you know, they're | 7 | Q. I don't think I specified a day. |
| 8 | more important right now. And they came back and | 8 | A. You did. You were asking me about when I |
| 9 | said, well, he says it's more important here because | 9 | saw the President and what happened. The next thing |
| 10 | they're having trouble. They're getting pushed | 10 | that happened is I went to the headquarters and I was |
| 11 | around. They need your help. So, I got on the phone | 11 | explaining to you how I got involved in the |
| 12 | and he said we're having a terrible time here. Our | 12 | Pennsylvania case, which apparently you don't want to |
| 13 | people are being put in pens like they're cows. | 13 | know, but that would actually help to explain a lot |
| 14 | Never seen this before. He said have you ever done | 14 | of the things that you said that were incorrect and |
| 15 | -- have you ever done inspection work, you know, on | 15 | false about our not having evidence of -- please let |
| 16 | absentee ballots. I said, yeah, I did many, many | 16 | me finish. Would you let me finish my answer? I let |
| 17 | years ago, but as a Democrat. I don't know if they | 17 | you finish your question. That would explain very |
| 18 | do it differently as a Republican back in Nassau | 18 | early on I actually, personally witnessed a lot of |
| 19 | County. And then I did it actually to some friend's | 19 | the fraud myself as even a fact witness because I |
| 20 | campaign as a Republican. He said, well, you're not | 20 | went to Pennsylvania that day and I saw many of the |
| 21 | going to believe this, but they're not allowing us to | 21 | things that I alleged in the complaint. |
| 22 | see any of the paper. And I said, what'd you mean? | 22 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, your role here |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | is as a witness, not advocate. And if you would | 1 | A. Yes, sir, and that happened later. |
| 2 | answer the questions, as asked, and then Mr. Fox can | 2 | Q. That's why, Mr. Giuliani, my question was |
| 3 | ask another question. Your lawyers will have an | 3 | posed not on the first day, but at any time. So, you |
| 4 | ample opportunity to ask you questions to flesh out | 4 | did start writing the complaint with Mr. Hicks and |
| 5 | anything that you need to be discussed. But at this | 5 | alleged fraud. Correct? |
| 6 | point, I think we need to just try to listen to | 6 | A. I contributed to the complaint with Mr. |
| 7 | question and answer the question that's posed. | 7 | Hicks on fraud. That is correct. |
| 8 | MR. GIULIANI: Well, Mr. Chairman, I tried | 8 | Q. All right. Whether the language -- |
| 9 | to. I tried to answer the question without taking | 9 | A. At some point. |
| 10 | one-tenth of it focusing on that pretending there | 10 | Q. The language you used was I started |
| 11 | wasn't nine-tenths surrounding it. | 11 | writing one, right? |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | A. That may be, yeah. |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, let me try it again. | 13 | Q. Okay. Now, you were aware, were you not, |
| 14 | Regardless of what day, whether it was the first day | 14 | that Pennsylvania had state procedures for contesting |
| 15 | or the third day you were involved, did you start | 15 | elections? |
| 16 | writing the complaint that was filed in Pennsylvania | 16 | A. When? At what time? |
| 17 | with Ron Hicks? | 17 | Q. At what time were you aware? At the time |
| 18 | A. No, I did not. | 18 | you drafted this complaint were you aware that |
| 19 | MR. FOX: Would you please put up Exhibit | 19 | Pennsylvania had procedures, state procedures for |
| 20 | 34, put up the first page? | 20 | election contests? |
| 21 | BY MR. FOX: | 21 | A. I assumed it. I wasn't knowledgeable |
| 22 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, do you recognize this as a | 22 | about them and Mr. Hicks was the local counsel. And |
|  | Page 51 |  | Page 53 |
| 1 | deposition that you gave in August of 2020 in the | 1 | I should clarify that my contribution to it was to |
| 2 | Comer litigation? | 2 | add two paragraphs to what Mr. Hicks had already |
| 3 | A. Mm-hmm. | 3 | written and Mr. Hicks wrote probably 80 percent of |
| 4 | Q. Is that a yes? | 4 | it, 90 percent of it and I contributed 20 percent, 10 |
| 5 | A. Well, I actually don't, but I did give -- | 5 | percent, and I edited it. |
| 6 | I did give a deposition in the litigation. I don't | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | recognize the piece of paper. | 7 | A. But I relied on Mr. Hicks for the |
| 8 | Q. Okay. | 8 | Pennsylvania, as you would with local counsel. I |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Can you go please to page 141 | 9 | relied on Mr. Hicks for the knowledge of |
| 10 | and put up lines 14 through 25 ? | 10 | Pennsylvania law. And at that point, he was |
| 11 | BY MR. FOX: | 11 | definitely going to argue, not me. It was his case |
| 12 | Q. Can you read that, sir? The only question | 12 | and I was giving him language so that eventually we |
| 13 | is whether you're physically able to read it right | 13 | would have a chance to consolidate this case with |
| 14 | now. | 14 | other cases similar to it. We could try to have one |
| 15 | A. No, I can read it. | 15 | case to go to the Supreme Court. I had been given |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And did you testify at that | 16 | that advice by several lawyers I had talked to in the |
| 17 | deposition, and I'm going to lines 21 through 25. | 17 | interim. |
| 18 | "And when they came back I said where's our | 18 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, you never made any |
| 19 | Pennsylvania lawsuit. We don't have one, so I | 19 | efforts to bring any state actions to challenge any |
| 20 | started writing one myself with a lawyer who was in | 20 | irregularities that occurred in the campaign, correct |
| 21 | charge, Hicks, and it alleged fraud." Was that your | 21 | -- sorry, in the election? |
| 22 | testimony? | 22 | A. Well, I was never advised to do that. |

December 5, 2022

|  | Page 54 |  | Page 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. |  | ballots. Correct? |
| 2 | A. I would assume that would be -- |  | A. That's what they claimed. |
| 3 | particularly, being brought in at the last minute | 3 | Q. And those counties where they voted had |
| 4 | like that, that that would be the responsibility of |  | not had a notice and cure procedure. Correct? |
| 5 | local counsel. I did not purport to be an expert on | 5 | A. I think that's right, yeah. Yeah, I'm |
| 6 | Pennsylvania law. I certainly wasn't, not admitted | 6 | pretty sure that's right. |
| 7 | to the Bar there, so I've done a lot of this | 7 | Q. And so -- but you didn't sue the two |
| 8 | litigation nationwide. And generally, particularly, | 8 | counties that didn't give them the notice and cure |
| 9 | you have a local lawyer of Mr. Hicks' ability. He | 9 | procedure. You sued seven other counties. Correct? |
| 10 | wasn't just a local lawyer; he was the principle | 10 | A. Yeah, for violation -- yes, for denial of |
| 11 | lawyer. You would rely on him. | 11 | due process, yeah, and also for -- seemed like a very |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And from the start this was always | 12 | simple equal protection claim. Yeah. |
| 13 | a federal lawsuit that you were going to bring, | 13 | Q. Okay. We'll come back to the nitty-gritty |
| 14 | right? | 14 | in a moment. The Defendants in the case were the |
| 15 | A. I can't -- I don't recall if we ever | 15 | Secretary of State, who is -- and I have never been |
| 16 | discussed a state lawsuit. We may have, but it | 16 | quite sure how to pronounce her name. Since you've |
| 17 | happened so fast it couldn't have been much of a | 17 | been involved in this litigation, perhaps you -- |
| 18 | discussion. I can't reject it that we didn't | 18 | A. Boockvar, I believe. |
| 19 | discuss it, but I don't remember. | 19 | Q. Boockvar? Ms. Boockvar and seven |
| 20 | Q. Okay. I want to go at some length later | 20 | counties. Correct? |
| 21 | into the actual allegations of the complaint, but I'd | 21 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 22 | like to give the Hearing Committee first sort of an | 22 | Q. And would I be right that those seven |
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| 1 | overview of how this litigation process went. So, | 1 | counties were all counties that had voted heavily for |
| 2 | the first thing -- the initial complaint that you | 2 | President Biden? |
| 3 | filed, the one that we've just been discussing that | 3 | A. Well, at the time I'm not sure. I relied |
| 4 | you said you edited, alleged fraud; is that correct? | 4 | on Hicks for that, but yeah, that is correct. |
| 5 | A. It had allegations of fraud in it, yes, | 5 | Q. All right. And you did not sign the |
| 6 |  | 6 | initial complaint because you were not admitted in |
| 7 | Q. Okay. | 7 | the Middle District of Pennsylvania, as you mentioned |
| 8 | A. It did, as I recall it. I haven't reread | 8 | just a moment ago. Correct? |
| 9 | that. I've reread the second one. But yes, the | 9 | A. Yeah. And I didn't think it was really |
| 10 | second one is largely a rewrite of it, so yeah, I | 10 | fair for me to sign it because I didn't have a great |
| 11 | think it did. Yeah. | 11 | -- I mean I just came in at the last minute, made |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And the Plaintiff was the Trump | 12 | some suggestions so that -- my main role was, and I |
| 13 | Campaign, correct? Was one of the Plaintiffs, | 13 | had been working on this -- that's why I tried to |
| 14 | correct? | 14 | describe what I was doing before I got to this. I |
| 15 | A. Yes. I don't have it in front of me right | 15 | was working on that language in several other |
| 16 | now, but sure. It was Donald Trump for President and | 16 | complaints because the allegations in this complaint |
| 17 | then I think we had two individual -- they had. They | 17 | were so similar to the allegations in three other |
| 18 | had already put that together. They had two | 18 | complaints that it seemed to me and our lawyers that |
| 19 | individual defendants who had been -- two individual | 19 | this was a very, very good case for possible |
| 20 | Plaintiffs who had been deprived of a right to cure. | 20 | consolidation at the right time because it would |
| 21 | Q. Right. These were two individuals who had | 21 | raise very similar issues of law and fact. So, I |
| 22 | voted in counties and had filed defective mail-in | 22 | wanted to write a paragraph or two that would be |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | similar to the paragraphs where you could justify it | 1 | Correct? |
| 2 | that we may have already put in other cases. The | 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | other one in Michigan, the one in Georgia, which | 3 | Q. All right. And I think that occurred on |
| 4 | hadn't been written yet, two others, I can't remember | 4 | the 17th and you were granted admission to the Middle |
| 5 | which others. | 5 | District of Pennsylvania for the purposes of arguing |
| 6 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you just said a moment ago | 6 | the Boockvar case. Correct? |
| 7 | you came in at the last minute, but in point of fact, | 7 | A. Yeah. I mean I'm not going to dispute the |
| 8 | it's your testimony, is it not, that nobody had even | 8 | dates. I don't have -- I don't have an independent |
| 9 | started on the complaint until you got involved and | 9 | recollection of the dates, but yeah. |
| 10 | that you started writing it. | 10 | Q. Well, the -- |
| 11 | A. I didn't start -- I didn't start writing | 11 | A. It happened all in -- |
| 12 | this. Originally, he started writing it. I started | 12 | Q. The motion is in -- the pleading is in |
| 13 | working -- and I didn't put my name on it because it | 13 | evidence. |
| 14 | was largely his product with my contribution which | 14 | A. Yeah. All right, I'm sure it's right. |
| 15 | I've described to you, the allegations that would | 15 | Q. Now, without your knowledge, as I |
| 16 | allow us ultimately to consolidate, if we could. And | 16 | understand it, the Campaign filed an amended |
| 17 | number two, there were -- I did -- I did some editing | 17 | complaint that eliminated the fraud allegations; is |
| 18 | and contribution of things, but I can't -- I couldn't | 18 | that correct? |
| 19 | for the life of me distinguish what I contributed to | 19 | A. Well, I don't know who -- well, yeah. |
| 20 | this as to what I contributed to the one that was | 20 | There was -- a better way to -- the accurate way to |
| 21 | being filed at the same time in Michigan or the one | 21 | answer that is there was a first amended complaint |
| 22 | that was being revised in Arizona. Kind of doing | 22 | filed in the interim between the first thing you |
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| 1 | them at the same time. | 1 | asked me about on the 17th and I did not know about |
| 2 | Q. That complaint was filed on November 9, | 2 | it until the morning after that it was filed nor did |
| 3 | 2020; is that correct? | 3 | I know what was in it. |
| 4 | A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. | 4 | Q. So, you had no involvement, no role at all |
| 5 | Q. And it was signed by Mr. Hicks and also by | 5 | in the first amended complaint? |
| 6 | Linda Kerns. Correct? | 6 | A. No, sir. |
| 7 | A. I think so. Whatever it says. I didn't | 7 | Q. And you'll agree with me that it |
| 8 | sign it, right? I don't think I signed. | 8 | eliminated the allegations of fraud. |
| 9 | Q. Did you want to look at the -- do you want | 9 | A. Of -- yes, except -- yes. Certainly, not |
| 10 | us to look? | 10 | in any way you could discovery them easily. I mean |
| 11 | A. Sure. Sure. I think I -- I mean, I don't | 11 | you could tease out fraud allegations from it if you |
| 12 | remember signing. | 12 | wanted to, but it sure as heck, by and large, did not |
| 13 | Q. You didn't sign it. | 13 | allege fraud anyway anybody would understand it. |
| 14 | A. Okay. Good. I take your word for it. | 14 | Q. And this was filed on the 15 th of November |
| 15 | Q. Now, at some subsequent point, you did | 15 | 2020? |
| 16 | move for pro hac vice admission in the Middle | 16 | A. I guess. |
| 17 | District of Pennsylvania? | 17 | Q. And do you remember that it was signed by |
| 18 | A. Yes, sir, I did. | 18 | Linda Kerns -- |
| 19 | Q. And not all of the members of our panel | 19 | A. I do remember that. She signed it. I |
| 20 | are lawyers, so pro hac vice is a provision whereby | 20 | don't know if anybody else did. |
| 21 | you can appear for one case in a jurisdiction even | 21 | Q. And it was not signed by Mr. Hicks, |
| 22 | though you're not admitted in that jurisdiction. | 22 | correct? He'd withdrawn at this point. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. By? I'm sorry, not signed by? | 1 | found out about it like in steps. First, I found out |
| 2 | Q. Not signed by Mr. Hicks because he'd | 2 | that Hicks was withdrawing. I tried to talk Hicks |
| 3 | withdrawn. Correct? | 3 | out of it, but that may have been the day before. |
| 4 | A. He had withdrawn. Whether he was | 4 | Hicks originally wasn't going to withdraw. He said |
| 5 | technically withdrawn at this point, I don't know. | 5 | his law firm had put a lot of pressure on him, but he |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | said, damn it, I'm not going to withdraw. Then it |
| 7 | A. Yeah, for all intents and purposes, he was | 7 | elevated to his family had been threatened. And he |
| 8 | withdrawn at this point. | 8 | said I just have to do it. I hope you understand. |
| 9 | Q. There's another name on the complaint, a | 9 | And I said, sure, I can't ask anybody to do that. |
| 10 | fellow from Texas named Scott. Do you know what, if | 10 | So, that's where I left it when I then find out that |
| 11 | any, role he played? | 11 | Kerns had filed something and then I saw it sometime |
| 12 | A. No, I don't. I just know that he was | 12 | that day. So, it's probably fair to say I didn't |
| 13 | brought in -- he may have even come into my office in | 13 | probably get to read it until pretty much the night |
| 14 | Arlington and I have no idea who got him, who found | 14 | before. |
| 15 | him, where he came from, or what contribution, if | 15 | Q. And was the night before the oral argument |
| 16 | any, he made to that complaint. | 16 | which occurred on the 17th of November before Judge |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | Braun. Correct? |
| 18 | A. I think -- I'm sorry. I think he was | 18 | A. Yeah, that seems -- yeah, I know. I know |
| 19 | brought in by Linda -- brought in by somebody. He | 19 | the dates say that. It seems awfully close together, |
| 20 | was going to argue the case for Hicks. Hicks -- | 20 | but okay, yeah. Yeah. |
| 21 | originally, Hicks was going to argue this case. So, | 21 | Q. Now, because of the first amendment |
| 22 | I was -- I was in the role of helping him in the | 22 | complaint you faced a dilemma in the argument as to |
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| 1 | background and I -- and my major thing was I felt | 1 | how to argue fraud, is that fair? |
| 2 | strongly that we should have something in there that | 2 | A. Yeah. I kind of approached it a little |
| 3 | we could hook it together with the other cases. | 3 | differently than that, how to argue the two -- how to |
| 4 | Once Hicks dropped out, well, now the case was in | 4 | argue what I thought we needed in order to make this |
| 5 | limbo. | 5 | a case that we could consolidate which was the common |
| 6 | Q. Well, you actually believed, did you not, | 6 | element involved in this case with the case in what |
| 7 | that this first amended complaint with the | 7 | turned out to be five other districts. |
| 8 | elimination of fraud was an effort to tank the case; | 8 | Q. You believed that the essence of your case |
| 9 | isn't that right? | 9 | was fraud, is that fair? |
| 10 | A. I thought the case had been -- well, I | 10 | A. No. Do I believe it -- I don't believe |
| 11 | mean, yeah. I thought it would put it in grave | 11 | it's the essence of my case. It would've been -- it |
| 12 | jeopardy. It seemed to me that the Judge -- there's | 12 | would have been the -- it really would've been more |
| 13 | a real good chance the Judge would dismiss it. | 13 | of the conspiracy to do this that would be at the |
| 14 | Q. And you didn't even find out about these | 14 | core of whether -- now, do this could involve -- |
| 15 | changes until the night before the oral argument. | 15 | could involve fraud. It could involve mistakes, it |
| 16 | Correct? | 16 | could involve misinterpretation of the law, it could |
| 17 | A. You know, Mr. Fox, I think it was the | 17 | involve -- I mean, we weren't stuck just with fraud. |
| 18 | night -- the night before the oral argument. | 18 | But the thing that was driving equal protection, due |
| 19 | Q. Yes, sir. | 19 | process. The thing that -- the thing that was |
| 20 | A. I found out about it the day before, | 20 | driving me and my people was how similar it was to |
| 21 | whether it was earlier in the day or nighttime. I | 21 | five other places and therefore if it's going to get |
| 22 | think it was a little earlier in the day. And I | 22 | resolved correctly it really should be consolidated |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | so one court in one place could hear all of this and | 1 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, as I said, my -- |
| 2 | we thought that -- evaluate because we thought it was | 2 | THE WITNESS: In my defense because largely my |
| 3 | a very serious situation and kind of defied -- it | 3 | defense is to try to get away from the sound bit into |
| 4 | kind of defied just accidents that precisely the same | 4 | the explanation of what happened. |
| 5 | things were done at precisely the same time in five | 5 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, if you could try to |
| 6 | or six different places and there were about six | 6 | limit |
| 7 | elements to it that it was made at beyond fidelity | 7 | yourself to answering the questions. As I said |
| 8 | that these would've been dreamed up separately, you | 8 | before, you'll have an opportunity when your lawyer |
| 9 | know, that six different people in six different | 9 | asks you questions to explain more fulsomely, but try |
| 10 | places would've gone out and bought gates to put | 10 | to focus on the question and if you could answer it |
| 11 | Republicans behind since in the whole history of | 11 | directly it would be very helpful. |
| 12 | doing absentee ballots we never put anybody behind | 12 | THE WITNESS: May I just say one thing, |
| 13 | gates before or that they would in each one of these | 13 | Your Honor, one thing? And that is a part of the |
| 14 | jurisdictions call off counting at midnight within 20 | 14 | problem here to me, in which I really believe I've |
| 15 | minutes of each other when they were all intending to | 15 | been persecuted for three or four years, including |
| 16 | count all night or that in each one of these places, | 16 | false charges brought against me by the federal |
| 17 | even after they called off counting all night when | 17 | government that have now been dismissed, a false |
| 18 | the Republicans were out they want to continue to | 18 | claim brought against me for January 6th that was |
| 19 | count anyway without Republicans around and that in | 19 | dismissed by the Court, I feel that things are taken |
| 20 | each case now all the votes that night were | 20 | out of context that are much more complicated than in |
| 21 | disproportionately and statistically impossibly for | 21 | context. And I guess he's playing lawyer, but by |
| 22 | Biden. For example, in one case Biden had 99.6 | 22 | playing lawyer that is exactly what Mr. Fox is |
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| 1 | percent of the votes counted at night -- | 1 | doing. He's taking something out of context to make |
| 2 | Q. I'm not asking about what happened in | 2 | look bad which if you understood the timing of it and |
| 3 | other states. | 3 | the other things that were connected with it is just |
| 4 | A. But other states are important to my | 4 | the opposite. It was my obligation to do this as a |
| 5 | defense and explanation because you're asking my role | 5 | lawyer, so I'm sorry. |
| 6 | and my role in it was not necessarily Pennsylvania. | 6 | THE CHAIR: Mr. -- |
| 7 | That was hopefully to be Hicks. My role was to show | 7 | THE WITNESS: I'll do what you say, but I'm |
| 8 | how Pennsylvania involved same set of eight or ten | 8 | telling |
| 9 | suspicious actions, illegal actions, whatever you | 9 | you this is exactly part of what has created this |
| 10 | want to call it, irregular actions that could not | 10 | injustice. |
| 11 | have been the product of just accidents. It's | 11 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, I hear your |
| 12 | strange fidelity to think that and certainly would've | 12 | complaint, but you've been a trial lawyer for a long |
| 13 | made overpowering circumstantial evidence and that's | 13 | time and you understand how the process works. The |
| 14 | how -- gosh, that's how I proved conspiracy | 14 | process is regular arms. Mr. Fox will ask you |
| 15 | throughout my career. You never get a written down | 15 | questions and you will answer those questions and |
| 16 | contract of conspiracy. You get the conspirators | 16 | your counsel will have complete opportunity to ask |
| 17 | acting the same way in disparate places. So here | 17 | you questions to clarify anything you wish to have |
| 18 | what you had was you had all Republicans locked out. | 18 | clarified and we will permit that. And you will be |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I really am trying to ask a | 19 | able to put your witnesses on to the extent that you |
| 20 | much more simpler question. | 20 | want and explain your situation even more |
| 21 | A. And I'm trying to get them to understand | 21 | comprehensively, but at this -- I think it would be |
| 22 | what happened. | 22 | helpful if we tried to be more succinct in our |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | answers to Mr. Fox. | 1 | shortly after the oral argument and that -- which put |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: I'll do my best. Thank you. | 2 | the fraud back into the case. Correct? |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir. | 3 | A. Yeah. I think it'd already been drafted, |
| 4 | BY MR. FOX: |  | to be honest with you. |
| 5 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you mentioned at the oral |  | Q. Okay. And that's what you were trying to |
| 6 | argument that you believed there was a widespread | 6 | convey to the Judge that while the first amended |
| 7 | conspiracy in several cases to manipulate the results | 7 | complaint didn't allege fraud this case was about |
| 8 | of the election, correct? You said that in your oral | 8 | fraud and you were going to put it back into the case |
| 9 | argument? | 9 | in the second amended complaint. Correct? |
| 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Your Honor, if you don't want | 10 | A. Correct. And when I started the argument, |
| 11 | Mr. Giuliani to talk about that, why is Mr. Fox -- | 11 | to just clarify, I didn't know actually what I was |
| 12 | I'm sorry. | 12 | arguing because we had very little communication with |
| 13 | (Technical issues) | 13 | the Judge. The day before we had attempted to put in |
| 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm leaning forward. It's | 14 | the -- well, the second amended complaint. The Clerk |
| 15 | very awkward. People are going to see the top of my | 15 | told us to come back and put it in, in the morning. |
| 16 | head. They're going to know how bald I am, but | 16 | I wasn't sure whether we had filed it or not. And |
| 17 | that's okay. But anyway, if I may, I understand what | 17 | when we started, I wasn't sure if we were arguing, |
|  | the Chair and the Hearing Panel don't want him to go | 18 | one, both, and the Judge clarified it for me that we |
| 19 | into these other jurisdictions, but Mr. Fox is asking | 19 | were arguing -- and he also said because I thought |
|  | him a question about that. We can't have it both | 20 | maybe he'd consider them both at the same time, he |
| ${ }^{21}$ | ways. He's asking about -- | 21 | wanted to consider -- you might remember in the |
| 22 | MR. FOX: I will withdraw the question. | 22 | transcript, he says at some point, well, let's |
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| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 1 | dispose of what we have in front of us, the first |
| 2 | BY MR. FOX: | 2 | complaint, and then we'll get -- we'll get to that if |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Giuliani. |  | we have to. |
| 4 | A. Yes, sir. | 4 | Q. Now, the second amended complaint you did |
| 5 | Q. At the oral argument in Pennsylvania, | 5 | sign, correct? |
| 6 | November 17, 2020, you did, in fact, argue that there | 6 | A. I did. Yes, sir. |
| 7 | had been fraud in the Pennsylvania election, is that | 7 | Q. And that was actually filed the day after |
| 8 | fair? | 8 | the argument, do you want to look at it? |
| 9 | A. I did. Yes, sir, I did. I certainly | 9 | A. No, I remember that, but we attempted two |
| 10 | talked about it. Yeah. | 10 | days before to file. |
| 11 | Q. And the problem that you faced was that | 11 | Q. Right. Okay. And at the same time you |
| 12 | you were technically arguing the first amended | 12 | filed the second amended complaint, you filed a |
| 13 | complaint which had eliminated the allegations of | 13 | number of -- a motion for a Temporary Restraining |
| 14 | fraud. Correct? | 14 | Order and supporting memoranda in support of that |
| 15 | A. Absolutely correct. I was arguing a | 15 | motion. Correct? |
| 16 | complaint that I knew didn't have fraud in it and I | 16 | A. I assume Mr. Scaringi. Yeah, I'm sure we |
| 17 | was trying to get the Judge to take the second | 17 | did. I wasn't -- it was only a certain amount of |
| 18 | amended complaint because that's the case we really | 18 | time involved and mine was directed really even more |
| 19 | wanted. | 19 | to just getting ready for the argument. |
| 20 | Q. That's exactly where I'm going. You were | 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | in the process simultaneously of drafting a second | 21 | A. I had like 12 hours to do it. |
| 22 | amended complaint which you were going to file | 22 | Q. And you mentioned Mr. Scaringi, in |
| 19 (Pages 70 to 73) |  |  |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | addition to yourself, the complaint was signed by Mr. | 1 | amended complaint. And one of them was the practices |
| 2 | Scaringi and I think another lawyer by the name of | 2 | concerning the restrictions of the observers. |
| 3 | Caffery, from Mr. Scaringi's law firm. Correct? | 3 | Correct? |
| 4 | A. Correct. And they handled a lot of that | 4 | A. That is one of the things we spent time on |
| 5 | part you're talking about because I didn't have the | 5 | in the complaint. Yes. |
| 6 | time. | 6 | Q. And the second one was the disparate |
| 7 | Q. And in the second amended complaint you | 7 | treatment of he mail-in ballots with respect to the |
| 8 | alleged two practices that had occurred in | 8 | notice and cure practice, right? |
| 9 | Pennsylvania that you considered to be fraudulent. | 9 | A. That is correct. |
| 10 | Correct? | 10 | Q. All right. And you alleged in your |
| 11 | A. You'll have to remind me. Two that I -- | 11 | pleadings that these were part of an intentional |
| 12 | Q. The first practice that you considered | 12 | scheme by the Defendants, the seven counties and the |
| 13 | fraudulent were the regulations or procedures that | 13 | Secretary of State, to harm the Trump Campaign. |
| 14 | affected the observation and monitoring of the | 14 | Correct? |
| 15 | canvassing of the mail-in ballots. Correct? | 15 | A. I don't remember if we did it exactly that |
| 16 | A. At a certain stage it became very, very | 16 | way, but yeah, basically sure. |
| 17 | strong, overpowering circumstantial evidence of | 17 | Q. Okay. |
| 18 | fraud. Yes. I mean it's sort of the difference | 18 | A. These were intended to defeat Trump and |
| 19 | between if it happened once or twice you wouldn't be | 19 | elect Biden. |
| 20 | able to draw any conclusion, but when it happened | 20 | Q. Okay. And just to carry out again the |
| 21 | seven or 800,000 times in all different places | 21 | overview, the District Court ruled against you and |
| 22 | accompanied by people being pushed around, pushed | 22 | dismissed the first amended complaint and did not |
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| 1 | out, yelled at, screamed at, with testimony it never |  | allow you to file the second amended complaint. |
| 2 | happened before in 20 years of being -- so it begins | 2 | Correct? |
| 3 | as just, you know, an allegation of irregularities. | 3 | A. That's -- sure. Yeah, that's the record. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | Sure. |
| 5 | A. And on a spectrum when you get to, well, | 5 | Q. Right. And that occurred on November 21, |
| 6 | it happened four times, so what? It happened 10 | 6 | 2020? |
| 7 | times, so what? It happened in one place. Now, it | 7 | A. If that's what it says, sure. |
| 8 | happens every place and we're talking about -- | 8 | Q. All right. And then you appealed to the |
| 9 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I'm just trying to get an | 9 | Third Circuit, but what you appealed was the denial |
| 10 | overview now. We're now going to go into some depth | 10 | of leave to file the second amended complaint. |
| 11 | into your -- | 11 | Correct? |
| 12 | A. I'm sorry. It's a complicated question. | 12 | A. Don't exactly remember what we appealed, |
| 13 | I really am trying to give you an honest answer to | 13 | but I think that's right. |
| 14 | it. I'm doing the best I can to give you an answer | 14 | Q. And the Third Circuit affirmed the |
| 15 | of how I developed in my mind like I did in a | 15 | District Court on November 23, 2020. Correct? |
| 16 | thousand conspiracy cases I've handled which never | 16 | A. That I don't have an independent |
| 17 | have a written-out contract of conspiracy. That's | 17 | recollection of, but if you say so, no objection. |
| 18 | just happening so often in so many places we now have | 18 | Q. But it's Exhibit 16 if you want -- |
| 19 | -- we now have something much more than just | 19 | A. No, no, I'm not arguing with that. I'm |
| 20 | irregularities. | 20 | just as -- at that point, my attention had moved onto |
| 21 | Q. I'm just trying to focus on the two things | 21 | other things. |
| 22 | -- the two practices that you cited in the second | 22 | Q. And forgive me if I'm confusing your |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | testimony with Mr. Leventhal's opening statement, but | 1 | half of that to make it a little more legible. Okay. |
| 2 | I think -- I think it was you who said earlier that | 2 | THE WITNESS: That's nice and big. I see |
| 3 | one of the problems here is you had to act before | 3 | it, yes, sir. |
| 4 | November 24, which was when the vote was going to be | 4 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 5 | certified. And in fact, it was certified on that | 5 | Q. All right. That's a subpoena to the |
| 6 | date, correct, November 24? That was a bad | 6 | Porter Wright firm, which is Mr. Hicks' firm. |
| 7 | question, so let me try it again. There was a | 7 | Correct? |
| 8 | deadline by which the State of Pennsylvania had to | 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | certify the vote in the election. Correct? | 9 | MR. FOX: And if you'll go to the second |
| 10 | A. Correct. | 10 | page please |
| 11 | Q. And that deadline was November 24, do you | 11 | of the subpoena and just highlight the first |
| 12 | remember that? | 12 | paragraph, if you will? |
| 13 | A. I don't remember the date. I remember | 13 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 14 | there was a deadline. Yes. | 14 | Q. And you see we asked for unredacted and |
| 15 | Q. Is it consistent with your recollection | 15 | legible copies of documents and records in your |
| 16 | that it was, in fact, certified on whatever the | 16 | possession that support or explain each factual legal |
| 17 | deadline was? | 17 | claim made in a verified complaint filed -- |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Fox, Mr. Chair. | 18 | A. I got it. I see it. |
| 19 | MR. FOX: I can't hear you, Mr. Leventhal. | 19 | Q. Okay. |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can you hear me now? | 20 | MR. FOX: Mr. Chairman, Disciplinary |
| 21 | MR. FOX: Yes. | 21 | Counsel Exhibit 24 is the response to that subpoena. |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's terrible that I've | 22 | The Respondents did not want to stipulate to the |
|  | Page 79 |  | Page 81 |
| 1 | got to bend down to be heard, but it was my opening | 1 | admissibility of that exhibit, and so I move into |
| 2 | and it was November 23rd. | 2 | evidence now. They've stipulated to the |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Okay. Whatever the date was. | 3 | authenticity, but not to the admissibility. |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And that's what Judge | 4 | THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Could you repeat |
| 5 | Braun said. | 5 | that, Mr. Fox? |
| 6 | MR. FOX: Forgive me. | 6 | MR. FOX: Exhibit 24, Disciplinary Counsel |
| 7 | BY MR. FOX: | 7 | Exhibit 24, is the production from Porter Wright in |
| 8 | Q. But at any rate, the results of the | 8 | response to the subpoena, which has been -- the |
| 9 | election were certified after the Third Circuit | 9 | authenticity of which has bene stipulated to, but the |
| 10 | acted. Correct? | 10 | admissibility of which has not been stipulated to and |
| 11 | A. Yes. Yes, yes. | 11 | I would move it into evidence now. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Now, you're aware that we've | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'd like to be heard, but |
| 13 | subpoenaed from the Porter Wright Law Firm for Ms. | 13 | Mr. Chair, can I take a short break? You're aware of |
| 14 | Kern and the Scaringi Law Firm all of the documents | 14 | a condition that I have that I just need a very short |
| 15 | they had which supported allegations in the | 15 | break. |
| 16 | complaint, have you seen those subpoenas? | 16 | THE CHAIR: Okay. We'll take 10 minutes |
| 17 | A. I haven't seen them, but I'm aware of it, | 17 | and we'll resume at 11:30. |
| 18 | yes. | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Thank you. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. Well, let's just look at them | 19 | MR. FOX: Before we do that, maybe to |
| 20 | quickly. | 20 | shortcut things |
| 21 | MR. FOX: Let's up Disciplinary Counsel | 21 | a little bit, I'm going to do the same thing with -- |
| 22 | Exhibit 23, and if you could just focus in on the top | 22 | as I'm doing with Exhibit 24 with Exhibit 26, which |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | is in evidence, the declarations that you had from | 1 | the fact gathering was done at the headquarters with |
| 2 | the witnesses to the Pennsylvania litigation. | 2 | a staff that was working 24 hours a day getting |
| 3 | Correct? | 3 | complaints from people and trying to go through them |
| 4 | A. Yes, sir. Yes. | 4 | to figure out which ones are valid. And as you might |
| 5 | Q. And then you later got some additional | 5 | imagine in a situation like that, you get a lot of -- |
| 6 | texts and emails that were relevant, which your | 6 | I don't know how to put it, but not so valid |
| 7 | lawyers produced as Respondent's Exhibit No. 2. | 7 | complaints. |
| 8 | Correct? | 8 | Q. Okay. And recognizing the time |
| 9 | A. Mm-hmm -- yes, that's correct. | 9 | constraints that you had at this Gettysburg hearing, |
| 10 | Q. Now, when I first asked you to respond to | 10 | would I be correct that you put on what you thought |
| 11 | the allegations that have brought us here today, you | 11 | were the best witnesses? |
| 12 | wrote me a letter and recommended that I review the | 12 | A. No, not necessarily. The ones that we |
| 13 | hearing that occurred in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania on | 13 | could get -- some of the best ones had COVID. In |
| 14 | November 25, 2020, do you recall doing that? | 14 | fact, I developed COVID at that hearing. No, they |
| 15 | A. I do. | 15 | were not necessarily our best witnesses. Some of our |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And that's Exhibit 29. | 16 | best witnesses were unavailable, but I think the |
| 17 | MR. FOX: Would you just put up the first | 17 | allowed us to put in declarations from them. I'm not |
| 18 | page of Exhibit 29 so we can let Mr. Giuliani see | 18 | sure. |
| 19 | that that's the transcript. Actually, go to the | 19 | Q. Okay. Now, taking what was done at |
| 20 | cover page. I just really want him to see that's the | 20 | Gettysburg and the exhibits that you've -- the |
| 21 | -- this is the hearing that you recommended that we | 21 | declarations that you've provided us and what we've |
| 22 | consider. Correct? | 22 | gotten from the three lawyers, do I understand what |
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| 1 | THE WITNESS: That is it. Yes, sir. | 1 | you're saying -- is that a complete record of all the |
| 2 | MR. FOX: Okay. And you can take that | 2 | documentary evidence that supports your charges? |
| 3 | down now. | 3 | A. Oh, no, absolutely not. |
| 4 | BY MR. FOX: | 4 | Q. There's more? |
| 5 | Q. Just tell us, briefly, what -- if you | 5 | A. Well, sure. I mean, first of all, there's |
| 6 | could just tell us in summary fashion what was the | 6 | the declarations, the 250, 60, 70 affidavits and |
| 7 | nature of that hearing? | 7 | declarations that we gave you. |
| 8 | A. The nature of that hearing was for us to | 8 | Q. You're misunderstanding my question, so |
| 9 | call some of the witnesses, just a sampling of | 9 | let me -- because I probably mislead you and forgive |
| 10 | witnesses because we didn't have that much time, that | 10 | me if I did. You've provided those declarations, |
| 11 | would lay out the fact that many, many people -- they | 11 | which are you Exhibit 1. Correct? |
| 12 | were just an example, maybe 10 percent, 5 percent, | 12 | A. PA declarations, yes. |
| 13 | that had witnessed irregularities, illegalities | 13 | Q. Okay. So, with your Exhibit 1 and 2, and |
| 14 | during the process and that this was -- all of this | 14 | the information that you've provided us earlier, and |
| 15 | was citizen-based. That we weren't making up any of | 15 | the information that we got from the three law firms, |
| 16 | these things. These were complaints that have been | 16 | all of which are in evidence now, is that a complete |
| 17 | brought to us in many cases without our even having | 17 | record of the documentary evidence that exist to |
| 18 | the capacity to out and investigate because they were | 18 | support your claims? |
| 19 | volunteered, they volunteered to us. We had a -- we | 19 | THE CHAIR: We can't hear you. |
| 20 | had a hotline that was going 24 hours a day. And the | 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I interject, Mr. Fox, |
| 21 | reason that the documents are all in our possession | 21 | if I may? |
| 22 | and not in Mr. Scaringi or Mr. Hick or Linda Kerns is | 22 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Exhibit 2, when we | 1 | Q. And can you give us some examples? |
| 2 | received the devices back from the government that | 2 | A. Yeah, there was more -- there was more |
| 3 | was taken from Mr. Giuliani when they found that | 3 | than one -- well, I'm thinking about Pennsylvania, in |
| 4 | there was absolutely no crime occurred, quickly we | 4 | particular now, because this applies to the other |
| 5 | gave you what we found very quickly. I didn't do | 5 | states as well. |
| 6 | this task. Someone else did that task. There might | 6 | Q. Well, I'm only interested in Pennsylvania. |
| 7 | be more. And that's pretty much of the -- almost all | 7 | A. I know you are. It's a little hard |
| 8 | of them, but there might be a few more if I went | 8 | isolating just on Pennsylvania. It seemed to me that |
| 9 | though, but that's generally it. | 9 | we had several different reports about people that |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Okay. | 10 | were turned down -- here's one that I can't seem to |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: There might be more texts | 11 | put my hands on. I was told and shown a document |
| 12 | and emails on his devices. We didn't have time to go | 12 | that demonstrated that 17,000 people in Pittsburg |
| 13 | through all of that when they were returned shortly | 13 | showed up on Election Day to vote and had been told |
| 14 | before this hearing. Sorry. | 14 | they already voted. And it also had attached to it, |
| 15 | BY MR. FOX: | 15 | not 17,000 affidavits from them, but a large number |
| 16 | Q. Okay. With the possibility that there's | 16 | of affidavits from -- I have -- I think we've turned |
| 17 | some stray texts or emails somewhere have we put into | 17 | over to you one of the coversheets, but I don't think |
| 18 | evidence all of the documentary evidence that existed | 18 | we've turned over to you any of the affidavits and |
| 19 | to support the claims that you made in the | 19 | there were affidavits supporting that. |
| 20 | Pennsylvania litigation? | 20 | Q. And did you allege in any of your |
| 21 | A. I think an answer to that, sir, is that we | 21 | complaint that thee were these 17,000 voters in |
| 22 | put in all that we could put our hands on. There's | 22 | Pennsylvania who appeared live at the polls and had |
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| 1 | no doubt there's more of it because there are things | 1 | been told they already voted? |
| 2 | that I've read, looked at, charts that I've looked at | 2 | A. I argued it in front of the Judge, yeah. |
| 3 | that we don't have that at various times I relied on. | 3 | Q. You did. |
| 4 | And I mean, it was a deluge of material and some of | 4 | A. It was in front of Judge Braun. |
| 5 | it was filed correctly and some wasn't, so I would | 5 | Q. You did? Okay. |
| 6 | say it would be misleading to say that that is the | 6 | A. Because it had been given to me, oh gosh, |
| 7 | full sum and substance of everything we had | 7 | maybe a half hour before. |
| 8 | available. | 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | Q. And where would these other documents | 9 | A. And I actually -- the reason it sticks in |
| 10 | exist if not in your files or the files of the other | 10 | my head is I remember the two people who gave it to |
| 11 | law firms that handled these cases? | 11 | me and I remember the document and I remember the |
| 12 | A. Well, they might exist in the hands of | 12 | attachment to the document and now I don't see the |
| 13 | other lawyers, might exist in the hands of others who | 13 | attachment to the document. |
| 14 | did reports. We tried to keep everything we had. We | 14 | Q. And this was in Pittsburgh, correct? |
| 15 | tried to keep it organized, but things were moving | 15 | A. Well, it was in Gettysburg that I saw it. |
| 16 | around so much for other cases, so when one of our | 16 | Q. No, no, no. |
| 17 | experts would testify in another case somebody would | 17 | A. It referred to -- it referred to the |
| 18 | pick up the documents from, let's say, the | 18 | allegations, of which there were many others about |
| 19 | Pennsylvania case, and bring it over to another case. | 19 | Pittsburgh, but this one was a specific allegation |
| 20 | There's no doubt in my going through this I know that | 20 | about the number of people who showed up who said |
| 21 | there are things that I relied on that are not there | 21 | that they had voted -- that they hadn't voted and |
| 22 | that were there at one time. | 22 | they were confronted with the fact that somebody had |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | filed -- somebody had filed an absentee or mail-in |  | probably got it a couple days before, but didn't look |
| 2 | ballot for them. And I'm very sure of that. | 2 | at it. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. | 3 | Q. Okay. |
| 4 | A. Now, that happened in a number of other | 4 | A. I might've seen a draft. What I remember |
| 5 | jurisdictions, so I could easily get it confused, but | 5 | more than the document are several of the charts. |
| 6 | in this particular case I remember the document. | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | Q. And Pittsburgh was a city that voted | 7 | A. I remember them like perfectly. I think I |
| 8 | heavily in favor then-Vice President Biden. Correct? | 8 | had the finished one, though, in the car. But if I |
| 9 | A. Yeah, like 8 to 2 or something. | 9 | didn't, I had the pinholes of a draft. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Anything else that you can think of | 10 | Q. All right. |
| 11 | that we're missing? | 11 | A. One is a chart of the votes that took |
| 12 | A. I can't think of it right now. There are | 12 | place between -- when they closed down on election |
| 13 | things that are missing, though, so I couldn't give | 13 | night and then reopened the next morning. And then |
| 14 | you a definitive -- I honestly cannot give you a | 14 | there's an overall analysis that blew my mind of all |
| 15 | definitive that's everything. | 15 | the votes that took place after they closed down. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. | 16 | Because when I looked at that I said this is -- I |
| 17 | A. My recollection tells me there's more, but | 17 | mean, this is impossible. |
| 18 | then I might start telling you about something in | 18 | Q. All right. I'm really only right now |
| 19 | Georgia that I get confused with something | 19 | trying to find out when you first saw it. |
| 20 | Pennsylvania. | 20 | A. This one kind of blew my mind. |
| 21 | MR. VOX: Could I ask the Trial Director | 21 | Q. Okay. As I understand it, you saw it |
| 22 | to put up Respondent's Exhibit 11, please? | 22 | before the argument and you say you alluded to it in |
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| 1 | BY MR. FOX: | 1 | the oral argument. Correct? |
| 2 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, this is a document entitled | 2 | A. I think I did. I mean, I did. I probably |
| 3 | Pennsylvania 2020 Voting Analysis Report which your | 3 | didn't allude to it in great detail. I didn't |
| 4 | lawyers have marked as Respondent's Exhibit 11. You | 4 | understand it completely and I wanted to be sure I |
| 5 | can see it's dated the 16th of November 2020. When | 5 | was right because one of them I wanted to check out |
| 6 | did you first see it? | 6 | before I alleged it because it seemed so ridiculous. |
| 7 | A. I see it. | 7 | Q. All right. Now, the -- your lawyers have |
| 8 | Q. No, you misunderstood my question. When | 8 | also marked as Exhibits 13 and 14, and I'm not going |
| 9 | did you first see this report? | 9 | to put them up unless you need it, two reports |
| 10 | A. I think in -- I think I may have first | 10 | written by Mr. Navarro. |
| 11 | seen it in the car driving to the hearing. I have a | 11 | A. Yes, sir, Peter Navarro. Yes. |
| 12 | recollection of drawing on it. I mean some things | 12 | Q. You didn't see those reports before the |
| 13 | come to you and some things don't. I have almost a | 13 | Pennsylvania litigation because they weren't written |
| 14 | perfect recollection of one page of it that I did my | 14 | at the time. Correct? |
| 15 | own arithmetic on and wrote on it. | 15 | A. No. No, at the point of the litigation, |
| 16 | Q. Okay. | 16 | we were cooperating with Mr. Navarro and exchanging |
| 17 | A. I can't find the one that I wrote on. | 17 | documents with him and I did see reports from him |
| 18 | Q. Okay. All right, that's fine. So, you | 18 | that ended up in his ultimate treatise or whatever |
| 19 | think you may have seen this for the first time on | 19 | you would all it, but I didn't see the actual |
| 20 | the 17th of November of 2020 on your way to the -- | 20 | treatise. It hadn't been written yet. |
| 21 | A. Yes, sir. Now, it is possible I saw a | 21 | Q. And your lawyers have also offered, and |
| 22 | draft -- I mean even though it was out on the 16th, I | 22 | have not been admitted yet, Exhibits 43 through 43, |


|  | Page 98 |  | Page 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Respondent's Exhibit 40 to 43, which are some | 1 | A. Yes. I mean, can merge into the other, |
| 2 | allegations about things like voters who voted who | 2 | but at some point -- |
| 3 | allegedly were dead or voters who voted who ere also | 3 | Q. Right. |
| 4 | admitted -- registered in Georgia. When did those | 4 | A. At some point it's an irregularity and |
| 5 | documents come to your attention? | 5 | then depending on what happens to it, it can be |
| 6 | A. Wow. I mean that's the group of documents | 6 | evidence of fraud. |
| 7 | that I'm -- I know there are more of them. I just | 7 | MR. FOX: We can take that down now. |
| 8 | can't -- I saw many documents like that, different | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | analysis at different times before the argument and | 9 | Q. Fraud is generally an intentional act. |
| 10 | after the argument. | 10 | Correct? |
| 11 | Q. They weren't included in the files that | 11 | A. It always it. Well, no, you could have as |
| 12 | you provided; do you know why that is? | 12 | a negligent -- yes, reckless disregard. Disregard is |
| 13 | A. I don't know why. | 13 | a substitute for intent, right? |
| 14 | Q. Okay. | 14 | Q. But you couldn't have pure negligence, but |
| 15 | A. I mean, I honestly wasn't familiar with | 15 | you could have reckless -- you might have reckless. |
| 16 | the files. Other people put those together and sent | 16 | A. If you get to the stage of reckless |
| 17 | them to you. | 17 | disregard, the Court will accept that and will charge |
| 18 | Q. All right. That's all I want to talk | 18 | a jury. Yeah. |
| 19 | about the evidence right now. I want to move into | 19 | Q. For example, if somebody swore to |
| 20 | something else, which is the subject of fraud. | 20 | something without actually verifying the |
| 21 | MR. FOX: Could we put up Disciplinary | 21 | truthfulness of it, that would be reckless intent. |
| 22 | Exhibit 9, page -- well, let's put up the first page | 22 | A. Depending on what it was and how important |
|  | Page 99 |  | Page 101 |
| 1 | first. Now, this is in evidence and I don't want to | 1 | it was and you know sometimes people just don't read |
| 2 | testify here, but just to explain the exhibit, | 2 | things, but it would depend on how important the |
| 3 | Exhibit 9 contains the motion for leave to file the | 3 | thing was. |
| 4 | second amended complaint. It contains the second | 4 | Q. Okay. It could be then swearing to |
| 5 | amended complaint and it contains a red line | 5 | something without actually verifying its |
| 6 | version. Maybe I should've broken that down, but I | 6 | truthfulness, depending on its importance, could be |
| 7 | apologize that I didn't do so, but I want to look at | 7 | reckless -- sort of a reckless. |
| 8 | page 5 of this first exhibit and can you highlight | 8 | A. Right. |
| 9 | the Paragraph 13 ? | 9 | Q. Okay. |
| 10 | BY MR. FOX: | 10 | A. That would be a good example. |
| 11 | Q. Now, this is part of the motion you filed | 11 | Q. All right. And you will agree with me, |
| 12 | in support of the second amended complaint. And the | 12 | just based on your experience, that mistakes are made |
| 13 | second sentence says, "Given the widespread reports | 13 | in every election. |
| 14 | of voter fraud and irregularities at the casting and | 14 | A. Absolutely. Sure. |
| 15 | processing of votes around the country and | 15 | Q. And most elections are staffed by |
| 16 | particularly Pennsylvania, the Court should have | 16 | volunteers, correct? |
| 17 | necessary allegations before it in order to fairly | 17 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 18 | rule upon the Plaintiff's request for relief." You | 18 | Q. All right. And for that reason in |
| 19 | distinguish in that sentence between voter fraud and | 19 | Pennsylvania they had something called provisional |
| 20 | irregularities. Would you agree with me that there | 20 | voting if there was a dispute about whether somebody |
|  | is a difference between voter fraud and voting | 21 | could actually cast a vote. You could vote |
| 22 | irregularities? | 22 | provisionally, are you familiar with that? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I think just about every state has that. | 1 | THE CHAIR: Sure. |
| 2 | I mean it does seem to me in Pennsylvania it was | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: One moment. |
| 3 | abused to a fair thee well, but yeah. | 3 | THE WITNESS: Don't you guys ever get |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And you're aware that by federal | 4 | thirsty? |
| 5 | statute every state is required to maintain a | 5 | (Pause) |
| 6 | computerized list of registered voters. Correct? | 6 | THE WITNESS: Myself, I sympathize with |
| 7 | A. I'm not sure. I imagine. I would have to | 7 | you. |
| 8 | say I assume that. | 8 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Fox, you may continue. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Certainly, you understand from your | 9 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 10 | experience with Pennsylvania that the had a | 10 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, we were talking about the |
| 11 | computerized -- | 11 | process to obtain a mail-in ballot. Before a voter |
| 12 | A. Oh, sure. Absolutely. Yeah. | 12 | obtained a mail-in ballot in Pennsylvania, he or she |
| 13 | Q. All right. Now, starting in 2019, for the | 13 | was required to prove his identification. Correct? |
| 14 | first time in Pennsylvania you could request a | 14 | A. Yeah. You know, Mr. Fox, I get the |
| 15 | mail-in ballot without offering any explanation. | 15 | different statutes of the different places mixed up, |
| 16 | Correct? | 16 | but I think that's correct. Yeah. |
| 17 | A. That is correct. That was the change they | 17 | Q. And it had to be a registered voter, |
| 18 | made in the law. Yeah. | 18 | correct? |
| 19 | Q. They called it Act 77, remember that name? | 19 | A. Yeah. I mean I'll disagree with you if |
| 20 | A. That's correct. Yeah, I do remember that. | 20 | you say that. That sounds right. |
| 21 | Q. But it was true that under Act 77 the | 21 | Q. All right. And when an application was |
| 22 | voter had to request a mail-in ballot. Correct? | 22 | received by the county before they sent out a ballot, |
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| 1 | A. That's what -- yes. | 1 | they verified that the person was, in fact, qualified |
| 2 | Q. All right. The state didn't send every | 2 | as a voter in the election. |
| 3 | registered voter a mail-in ballot, only the ones that | 3 | A. Well, that's theoretically. I mean, |
| 4 | corrected it. Correct? | 4 | that's the way it was supposed to work, right? |
| 5 | A. Well, that isn't correct. The state sent | 5 | Q. And they did that by comparing the |
| 6 | a lot. I mean we have a lot of evidence that people | 6 | information on the application that the voter filled |
| 7 | who received three, four, five, some -- we had some | 7 | out with the registration card that he had -- he or |
| 8 | very startling evidence of a woman who received | 8 | she had filled out when they registered to vote. |
| 9 | several for her dead son. | 9 | A. Well, then again, there's evidence that |
| 10 | Q. Well, come back to that. Let's just put | 10 | they didn't do that all the time. |
| 11 | -- let me rephrase the question. The way it was | 11 | Q. Okay. That was the way it was supposed to |
| 12 | supposed to work. | 12 | work. |
| 13 | A. Oh, the way it was supposed to work you | 13 | A. Yeah. You're telling me now the way wall |
| 14 | should only get one and it should be sent to you and | 14 | was structured. |
| 15 | you basically can't solicit. You can't just send out | 15 | Q. Okay. |
| 16 | all kinds of -- | 16 | A. In many of these cases there's evidence |
| 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I have a moment to get | 17 | that we had that it was violated. |
| 18 | a document, Mr. Chair? It'll be one moment. | 18 | Q. Okay. Well, we're going to come to that. |
| 19 | THE CHAIR: I can't hear you, Mr. Leventhal. | 19 | And if there was a problem with the application for |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: He asked if he could have a | 20 | the mail-in ballot, the process was that the County |
| 21 | moment to get a document. | 21 | Board would notify the voter that his application had |
| 22 | MR. FOX: Sure. | 22 | not been approved, right? |


|  | Page 106 |  | Page 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I don't know if they did or they didn't do | 1 | percent in that regard. |
| 2 | that. | 2 | Q. Okay. But that's what they're supposed to |
| 3 | Q. I'm sorry? | 3 | do, they're supposed to have a record. |
| 4 | A. If there was a problem with it, meaning if | 4 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 5 | the person incorrectly filled out the absentee | 5 | Q. And the reason for that was that if the |
| 6 | ballot? | 6 | person showed up at the polls and there was a record |
| 7 | Q. No, no, I'm talking about the application | 7 | of having sent a mail-in ballot then they were not |
| 8 | now. We're talking about the application process to | 8 | going to be allowed to vote twice. Correct? |
| 9 | get the ballot. | 9 | A. That's the idea of it. It seemed that |
| 10 | A. I don't know that. If there's a problem | 10 | that became a major problem in several jurisdictions |
| 11 | with the affidavit seeking the ballot the county | 11 | in Pennsylvania. Thousands of people showed up |
| 12 | would reach out and help you with it? I didn't know | 12 | saying we want to volte and they were told they |
| 13 | that. | 13 | already voted and they hadn't voted. You know maybe |
| 14 | Q. Okay. Well, I think if you'll look at the | 14 | a few of them were confused, but the vast majority of |
| 15 | Boockvar opinion it's all discussed there. Let me | 15 | them were correct. They hadn't voted. Somebody |
| 16 | just move on because I don't want to -- | 16 | voted for them. |
| 17 | A. It's not that. I mean I'm just not aware | 17 | Q. And -- |
| 18 | of it. | 18 | A. There's no question about that. |
| 19 | Q. Are you aware that with the application | 19 | Q. And under those circumstances they were |
| 20 | process to get a mail-in ballot that there was a | 20 | filed to file a -- to vote a provisional ballot. |
| 21 | right to challenge the voter, to challenge whether | 21 | Correct? |
| 22 | the voter was, in fact, permitted to vote in the | 22 | A. Yeah. And it turned out that some of them |
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| 1 | Pennsylvania election? | 1 | never had that provisional ballot registered. They |
| 2 | A. I'm not familiar with that, but I assume | 2 | went and checked later. Now, not every one of them |
| 3 | that there would be. | 3 | did and I'm sorry we didn't follow through on all of |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | this, but some did follow through on it, found that |
| 5 | A. It would make sense there would be. | 5 | their provisional ballot got lost or it wasn't |
| 6 | Q. Does it ring a bell that you could | 6 | accepted. The answer to that is some filed a |
| 7 | challenge up 'til 5:00 p.m. on the Friday before the | 7 | provisional ballot and it was accepted and others |
| 8 | election? | 8 | filed a provisional ballot and it wasn't accepted. I |
| 9 | A. Yes, that does ring a bell. Thank you. | 9 | don't know the reasons, but that's in our affidavits. |
| 10 | Q. And this is obviously different from the | 10 | Q. I didn't really want to digress on this, |
| 11 | challenge to the in-person voter, which is done at | 11 | but let's just do that for a second. They way the |
| 12 | the polls. | 12 | provisional ballot process works is that ultimately |
| 13 | A. Correct. | 13 | the County Board of Elections votes on whether to |
| 14 | Q. Okay. Now, if an application was -- | 14 | accept or deny the provisional ballot. Correct? |
| 15 | everything was fine, the ballot was mailed to the | 15 | A. Again, I don't know who actually makes |
| 16 | voter. Correct? | 16 | that decision, but I assumed it was one of the |
| 17 | A. I guess, yeah. | 17 | bureaucrats. Yeah. |
| 18 | Q. And the state kept a record of to whom | 18 | Q. Okay. Well, they weren't bureaucrats. |
| 19 | these ballots were mailed. | 19 | They were three elected officials. Correct? |
| 20 | A. Again, they're supposed to. Don't know. | 20 | A. Okay. All right, three elected officials. |
| 21 | I don't know either from the point of view of | 21 | Q. All right. We'll come back to that |
| 22 | negligence or deliberate misconduct if they were 100 | 22 | because I think we have an example that we an look at |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in a little bit. So, let's talk about the mail-in |  | because the secrecy ballot is not supposed to have |
| 2 | process from the voters' perspective. The voter -- | 2 | any identification markings? Correct? |
| 3 | the way it was supposed to work. I know you argue it | 3 | A. Yeah. I mean you're educating me on -- |
| 4 | didn't work this way, but the way it was supposed to | 4 | I'm doing this based on assumption -- I'm assuming |
| 5 | work, right, was that the voter was to mark his or | 5 | that's correct. Yeah. |
| 6 | her ballot and put it in a secrecy envelope. | 6 | Q. Well, when you were litigating the |
| 7 | Correct? | 7 | election procedures in Pennsylvania, did you not |
| 8 | A. Mm-hmm. Yes. I mean, I -- it seemed to | 8 | learn what those procedures were? |
| 9 | me it was very similar to New York's, which I've | 9 | A. I did. But I also learned eight others |
| 10 | utilized several times. | 10 | and the one for Pennsylvania doesn't stand out in my |
| 11 | Q. Okay. | 11 | mind as -- I mean if you're asking me to -- the |
| 12 | A. I think I understand. | 12 | answer is I'm assuming you're correct. I'm not |
| 13 | Q. I'm sorry. I spoke over you. | 13 | disputing you, but my recollection about each state |
| 14 | A. I said I think I understand. Some of the | 14 | and the differences is imperfect. |
| 15 | other states had very different ways of doing it, but | 15 | Q. Did you sign any pleadings in any of these |
| 16 | yes, I think I understand. | 16 | other states? |
| 17 | Q. All right. And there were not supposed to | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | be any markings on the secrecy ballot -- sorry -- the | 18 | Q. Did you argue any of the cases in any |
| 19 | secrecy envelope to preserve the secrecy of the | 19 | other state? |
| 20 | ballot. Correct? | 20 | A. I did not. |
| 21 | A. Right. There should be nothing there to | 21 | Q. All right. |
| 22 | identify the person who's voting. So basically -- | 22 | A. But I also had about seven hours to get |
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| 1 | Q. Okay. All right. | 1 | ready to do this, so I wasn't going to go look at bar |
| 2 | A. -- yes. | 2 | codes. |
| 3 | Q. And then the secrecy envelope was put in | 3 | Q. On the outer envelope there is a |
| 4 | an outer mailing envelope. Correct? | 4 | declaration that the voter is supposed to sign. |
| 5 | A. Yeah. You have the ballot, the secrecy | 5 | Correct? |
| 6 | envelope, and then the outer mailing. | 6 | A. There is. That's the -- that's the |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And that outer mailing envelope has | 7 | critical signature that gets compared to whatever |
| 8 | a bar code that's unique to each voter, right? | 8 | signature is on file. |
| 9 | A. That's correct. Yes, it has -- yes, yes, | 9 | Q. But that's not what the Pennsylvania |
| 10 | yes. | 10 | Supreme Court ruled, was it, that the signature got |
| 11 | Q. And the bar code links the envelope to the | 11 | compared? |
| 12 | application so you can look -- if you looked at the | 12 | A. No. But that's why -- time in memorial |
| 13 | bar code you could see that that's the same person | 13 | that's why it's there. |
| 14 | that filed the application or should've been, right? | 14 | Q. Okay. And that was required by Act 77 |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Are you talking about the | 15 | that there's a declaration that says I'm the person |
| 16 | outer envelope or the secrecy envelope with the bar | 16 | who got this voter and I haven't voted elsewise in |
| 17 | code? Mr. Fox, I'm asking you are you talking about | 17 | the election and I'm -- they sign the name. That's |
| 18 | the outer envelope or the secrecy envelope with the | 18 | what it says, in essence, right? |
| 19 | bar code? | 19 | A. Yeah. I mean, that's basically what I |
| 20 | BY MR. FOX: | 20 | imagine it says. Yeah. |
| 21 | Q. Well, Mr. Giuliani, you understand, do you | 21 | Q. And that was indicated by Act 77, the |
| 22 | know, that the bar code is on the outer envelope | 22 | contents of that declaration? |
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A. I assume that's right.
Q. And there's also on the outer envelope space for the voter to write his or her address and -- name, address, and date. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So, the main -- so the ballot in the secrecy envelope, the secrecy envelope ballot in the outer envelope, outer envelope is either mailed or delivered to the voting jurisdiction. Correct?
A. Well, it has to be one or the other, right?
Q. Right. And when it's received the bar code is canned, right?
A. It should be.
Q. All right. So, the state now has a record, a computerized record of all the voters who have voted by mail or who have had their mail-in ballots delivered, right?
A. Well, assuming they scan all the bar codes
Q. Okay.
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A. -- which there's evidence they didn't.
Q. We're going to give you plenty of opportunity to show us what that evidence is.
A. I just want to make sure we're speaking hypothetically.
Q. All right. And so, once that bar code is
scanned, the county or the state has a record of who
sent in a mail-in ballot and that person is not permitted to vote in person, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as we discussed earlier, when some people showed up at the polls and said you tell me I had a mail-in ballot. I didn't mail in my ballot. They let them vote provisionally, right? Am I right?
A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Thousands of people
showed up like that, particularly in Pittsburgh, in
Pennsylvania County, Montgomery County, maybe seven or eight counties, in particular, had unusually large numbers of people who showed up and said I didn't vote. What the heck's going on.
Q. Now, another thing the voter could do is the voter could decide he or she did not want to vote

Page 117
provisional ballot and the person votes. Second situation they come in and they have an absentee ballot, but they decided that they don't want to vote by absentee ballot and they have to -- and I'm pretty sure I have this right, but you could correct me. They have to turn it in. It has to be spoiled, voided, I guess would be another way to say it. And then I believe they're given a provisional ballot and they don't go into the -- they don't vote the way you or I would if we didn't have that situation. I think that's right, but I may be wrong and I may have it confused with another state. And because in my own state, if you happen to be in the state on the day of the election, you have to go in and vote and turn in your -- I don't know what happens to you.
Q. And then the third situation is the person who got the mail-in ballot decided not to vote by mail, but wanted to vote live, but forgot to bring the ballot with them. So, there wouldn't be any record that they'd mailed the ballot, but may be allowed to vote provisionally. Correct?
A. Yeah. Or I imagine, and this could be

|  | Page 118 |  | Page 120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | innocent, there'd be people that just didn't know and | 1 | it's been signed and it's appropriate, then it's |
| 2 | they walked in, they had the mail ballot at home and | 2 | passed on to somebody else -- the ballot's opened and |
| 3 | they just voted. | 3 | the secrecy ballot is passed onto somebody else. |
| 4 | Q. Right. Okay. But because the record | 4 | Correct? |
| 5 | showed that a ballot has been sent to them, even | 5 | A. Well, yeah, I guess that's right in |
| 6 | though they don't show it's been received, they have | 6 | Pennsylvania. I mean there are states in which one |
| 7 | to vote provisionally. Correct? | 7 | person does the whole thing. There are states in |
| 8 | A. I believe that's right. Again, I said I | 8 | which it's handed over to another person to do that. |
| 9 | -- just please, if I have it confused with another | 9 | Q. Well, we are talking about Pennsylvania |
| 10 | state, forgive me, but I think that's right. | 10 | here. |
| 11 | Q. Now, the ballots -- the mail-in ballots | 11 | A. Okay. So, in Pennsylvania -- I'm trying |
| 12 | were not counted until the Election Day, right? | 12 | to remember. Q. Okay. If the person who |
| 13 | A. Yes, they started counting them on the | 13 | read the ballot, outside ballot, opened the secrecy |
| 14 | morning of the election. | 14 | ballot he would know who the person was and how they |
| 15 | Q. Right. | 15 | voted. Correct? |
| 16 | A. So, the election is going on in one place | 16 | A. Right. It makes much more sense for |
| 17 | and they begin counting ballots in another place. | 17 | somebody else to do it, but sometimes it's done very |
| 18 | Q. And until Election Day the ballots are | 18 | quickly by one person. |
| 19 | kept in sealed or locked containers, right? | 19 | Q. Now, that's what happens if the mail-in |
| 20 | A. Supposed to. An awful lot of ballots | 20 | ballot is okay. IF there's a question about the |
| 21 | showed up that weren't. | 21 | mail-in ballot, then it's passed onto someone else to |
| 22 | Q. And then comes Election Day there's a room | 22 | review, are you aware of that? |
|  | Page 119 |  | Page 121 |
| 1 | in which these ballots are canvassed and eventually | 1 | A. Yeah. It's put into a -- again, usually |
| 2 | counted, these mail-in ballots, right? | 2 | they have a box for that. |
| 3 | A. A room or rooms, depending on the venue. | 3 | Q. Right. |
| 4 | Q. All right. And the first thing that | 4 | A. It's dropped into a box. |
| 5 | happens is somebody looks at the outside envelope and | 5 | Q. If it isn't resolved there at the day of |
| 6 | ensures that the declaration has been signed, right? | 6 | the counting, then it's passed onto to the Election |
| 7 | A. Again, we're doing what is supposed to be | 7 | Board like a provisional ballot, is that your |
| 8 | done, what they're trained to do. | 8 | understanding? |
| 9 | Q. What's supposed to be done, absolutely. | 9 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 10 | Q. Right. They look at it and they make sure | 10 | Q. All right. |
| 11 | everything is there that's supposed to be there. | 11 | A. Now, there's a piece missing. What |
| 12 | Q. And I understand you contend that maybe it | 12 | normally happened up until then was when the person |
| 13 | wasn't done that way. | 13 | validating the signature, validates the signature, he |
| 14 | A. Wasn't done that way many, many times, | 14 | shows it to the representatives of the candidates. |
| 15 | according to the evidence. | 15 | He shows it to the Republican, the Democrat, whatever |
| 16 | Q. Okay. | 16 | else, and they agree or disagree that it's a valid |
| 17 | A. I'm not -- I don't -- I mean I did see a | 17 | signature and you are allowed to object. You might |
| 18 | little of it, but I didn't see most of it. | 18 | remember seeing that so often with the hanging chads |
| 19 | Q. Okay. | 19 | in Florida. The guy doing the hanging chads was |
| 20 | A. Evidence of it is all over the affidavits | 20 | surrounded almost -- by a Republican or a Democrat. |
| 21 | in this case. | 21 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, that was not the procedure |
| 22 | Q. And if the outside ballot is -- you know, | 22 | in Pennsylvania, was it? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. In the past, it had been in many places. | 1 | the highest court in Pennsylvania on a matter of |
| 2 | Q. No. Under Act 77, that was not the | 2 | state election law is something that is a matter of |
| 3 | procedure in Pennsylvania. Correct? | 3 | federal law that could be reviewed by the Supreme |
| 4 | A. Well, Act 77 is silent on it. But in | 4 | Court? |
| 5 | fact, many of the witnesses say that up until now | 5 | A. Well, if it violates due process, it sure |
| 6 | that had been the procedure. | 6 | the hell would be -- I mean it wouldn't be the first |
| 7 | Q. But the Supreme Court -- | 7 | time the Supreme Court reversed a State Supreme |
| 8 | A. And that's what they were there for, | 8 | Court. I mean, come on, I mean, we litigate that all |
| 9 | otherwise, they're just potted plants. That's what | 9 | the time and there is a certain strong argument that |
| 10 | they were there for as inspectors -- | 10 | could be made that you've really rendered the |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Giuliani -- | 11 | absentee ballot, mail-in ballot now totally |
| 12 | A. -- to check the validity of the | 12 | defenseless. If you don't look at the signature, |
| 13 | signatures. | 13 | you're not going to know who it is and then you could |
| 14 | Q. -- you're aware that the Supreme Court of | 14 | back to the literature about the problems they had in |
| 15 | Pennsylvania before the election specifically ruled | 15 | Europe with absentee ballots, the problems in the |
| 16 | that it was not the function of the election | 16 | United States, the problems in Oregon. I mean it is |
| 17 | canvassers to check the signature and compare it to | 17 | taking away maybe the most important way of |
| 18 | the registration card. Correct? | 18 | identifying. So, I mean, I would think that would be |
| 19 | A. I'm aware that that was the ruling of the | 19 | a heck of a constitutional challenge. I never |
| 20 | Court. I'm also aware of the evidence of the | 20 | predict how they happen, but I would think as a |
| 21 | practice that was followed in the past and the | 21 | lawyer if you're representing a client that could |
| 22 | practice that's uniformly followed in most states | 22 | benefit from that you'd be committing malpractice not |
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| 1 | which is that when you do absentee ballots because | 1 | to utilize it. |
| 2 | the signature is the only thing between you and fraud | 2 | Q. So, your testimony is that the procedures |
| 3 | because it's the only way you can identify the | 3 | to safeguard the integrity of a state election are a |
| 4 | ballot, unlike when a person votes in person, that | 4 | matter of federal constitutional law, is that right? |
| 5 | the candidates get a chance to look at that signature | 5 | I just want to know, yes or no, because I don't want |
| 6 | and object. Because once the signature is separated | 6 | to debate. |
| 7 | and the ballot goes off on its own, you can no longer | 7 | A. No, of course not. |
| 8 | check it. | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Objection. |
| 9 | Q. But the Pennsylvania Supreme Court ruled, | 9 | THE WITNESS: I didn't say it. That's a |
| 10 | did it not, before the election under Act 77 that | 10 | complete mischaracterization of what I said. |
| 11 | there was not ability to challenge the mail-in | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Objection. |
| 12 | ballots at the canvassing session. | 12 | THE CHAIR: Overruled. |
| 13 | A. Seem to me that that would be a good one | 13 | THE WITNESS: Well, I didn't say that. |
| 14 | to test in the Supreme Court. It would seem to me | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can I give the fulsome |
| 15 | that that would be a rather fundamental violation of | 15 | reason for my objection? |
| 16 | due process. | 16 | THE CHAIR: It's overruled. The question |
| 17 | Q. Would you answer my question, sir? Did | 17 | has been answered. |
| 18 | the Pennsylvania -- | 18 | MR. FOX: I'm going to move onto something |
| 19 | A. I know -- I know they ruled that way, but | 19 | else. Okay? |
| 20 | I also know that as lawyers we challenge things that | 20 | THE WITNESS: Because that question has |
| 21 | Courts rule. They get reversed all the time. | 21 | several parts to it that are inconsistent with each |
| 22 | Q. And you think that that -- the ruling of | 22 | other. First of all -- first of all, of course, the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | rules for an election are not set by the State | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What time are we going to |
| 2 | Supreme Court of a state. They're set by the | 2 | break for lunch? Do you know what time we'll break? |
| 3 | legislature of a state under Article 1, Article 2 of | 3 | THE CHAIR: In about five minutes. |
| 4 | the Constitution. In the case of a congressional | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. |
| 5 | election, Congress has a role and can change the | 5 | THE CHAIR: Is now an appropriate time, |
| 6 | procedures. In the case of a presidential election, | 6 | Mr. Fox? |
| 7 | by specific decision of the constitutional Congress, | 7 | MR. FOX: I'm just at the end of a little |
| 8 | the role is exclusively to state legislature. So, if | 8 | bit and I'll finish up in five minutes. |
| 9 | you go look at the two texts, you look at the text of | 9 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 10 | Article 1, which governs congressional elections and | 10 | Q. So, to go back to the process and let's go |
| 11 | you look at the text of Article 2, which governs | 11 | back to our ballot that's everything is fine. It's |
| 12 | presidential elections, in Article 1 you see a | 12 | passed onto the -- the secrecy envelope is passed |
| 13 | reservation of power for the Congress. In Article 2, | 13 | onto someone else. That person opens the envelope, |
| 14 | no such reservation. The Supreme Court has | 14 | puts it in a file, and eventually it's counted by a |
| 15 | interpreted that many, many times as lately as Bush | 15 | machine. Correct? |
| 16 | v. Gore, but way back in 1897 that's a plenary power | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | that's possessed only by the legislature of the | 17 | Q. All right. Now, there was something that |
| 18 | state, mot by the State Supreme Court. They get to | 18 | featured in Pennsylvania called a "naked ballot," are |
| 19 | set the rules. Now, what's the role of the Court? | 19 | you familiar with that term? |
| 20 | The role of a court, including the Supreme Court, | 20 | A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. |
| 21 | this is the only way they could interfere in this is | 21 | Q. And what a naked ballot means, does it |
| 22 | if they did something unconstitutional. It violated | 22 | not, is that the voter forgot to use the secrecy |
|  | Page 127 |  | Page 129 |
| 1 | the federal constitution. The power of the state | 1 | ballot. He just put his or her ballot right inside |
| 2 | legislature and the power of the State Supreme Court | 2 | the outer envelope. Correct? |
| 3 | is over state law. But if they violated federal due | 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | process, then that would be obviously something only | 4 | Q. All right. And if a mail-in ballot was a |
| 5 | the Supreme Court of the United States could decide. | 5 | naked ballot, it would not be counted. Correct? |
| 6 | And the argument that a good lawyer I think would be | 6 | A. Again, that's the law -- yeah, that -- it |
| 7 | duty bound to make for a client, given that decision | 7 | shouldn't be counted. That doesn't mean it wasn't. |
| 8 | by the State Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, which is | 8 | Q. It would be reviewed by the local Election |
| 9 | not, you know infallible, no court's infallible. And | 9 | Board. Correct? |
| 10 | no lawyer should view a court as infallible if you're | 10 | A. It should be. |
| 11 | representing a client and you want to argue in the | 11 | MR. FOX: I want to look at Disciplinary |
| 12 | light most favorable to your client, even if your | 12 | Counsel |
| 13 | client's unpopular. The argument that to exclude | 13 | Exhibit 10. I just want to see form the outside. |
| 14 | viewing a signature on a mail-in ballot is a | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | violation of fundamental due process that would | 15 | Q. This is the amended motion for Temporary |
| 16 | actually become an objection by foreign visitors who | 16 | Restraining Order that you filed. And you go down to |
| 17 | are opining on an election that would take place in a | 17 | the bottom and it shows your name is on it. |
| 18 | third world country. If a third world country was | 18 | A. Okay. I don't really -- okay. I don't |
| 19 | accepting ballots and not validating signatures you | 19 | really remember this. |
| 20 | can be darn sure the U.N. would write this is a | 20 | MR. FOX: Can we show his signature? |
| 21 | denial of fundamental due process. | 21 | THE WITNESS: No. I'm sure I signed it. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. So, let's go back to the process. | 22 | I'm sure I signed it, just not one I spent a lot of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | time. | 1 | which are heavily Democratic jurisdictions. Correct? |
| 2 | MR. FOX: Okay. And now I want to go to | 2 | Right? |
| 3 | page 115 of this exhibit, which $s$ an attachment to | 3 | A. Yeah. |
| 4 | the motion. And just blow it up a little bit so we | 4 | Q. And they decided not to count over 4,000 |
| 5 | can let Mr. Giuliani read -- see this. | 5 | of these naked ballots. |
| 6 | BY MR. FOX: | 6 | A. Yeah. Well, I would mean almost nothing. |
| 7 | Q. So, you can see this is a transcript of a | 7 | I mean, so 4,000 ballots out of what? How many |
| 8 | Board of Elections meeting of the Commissioners after | 8 | millions? So, they had at least 4,000 ballots. |
| 9 | the election and somewhere I can show you that this | 9 | Correct. I'm not alleging they handled every single |
| 10 | is Philadelphia. See, at the top it's Philadelphia. | 10 | ballot incorrectly, just -- but they handled a large |
| 11 | I'll represent that you represented in your motion | 11 | number, hundreds of thousands incorrectly. |
| 12 | that it was Philadelphia. Okay? | 12 | Q. And the last piece -- |
| 13 | MR. FOX: Okay, I would like to go to page | 13 | A. I'm not alleging that. I have evidence of |
| 14 | 126. And incidentally, for the record, I'm referring | 14 | that. |
| 15 | to the Bate stamps numbers when I'm talking about the | 15 | Q. The last piece -- |
| 16 | pages. I'm not necessarily talking about the page of | 16 | A. And you have those affidavits. |
| 17 | the internal document. | 17 | Q. And we're certainly going to look at them. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 18 | The last piece I want to cover before lunch is you're |
| 19 | MR. FOX: And if we could look at lines 13 | 19 | aware that these decisions of these Election Boards, |
| 20 | to 24? And you can see -- I just want you to see | 20 | County Election Boards can be appealed to the Courts? |
| 21 | here that they're talking about this naked ballot | 21 | A. I do know that. Yep. |
| 22 | problem. | 22 | Q. Okay. I mean, if you want, I'll show you |
|  | Page 131 |  | Page 133 |
| 1 | BY MR. FOX: | 1 | an example that's also attached -- |
| 2 | Q. And you'll see in Philadelphia it had over | 2 | A. You don't have to. I'm sure there are |
| 3 | 4,000 of these naked ballots. | 3 | many decisions that are appealed to Courts. |
| 4 | A. Okay. This is referring back to the 2022 | 4 | Q. okay. |
| 5 | -- 2020 election? | 5 | A. It happens in New York. It happens |
| 6 | Q. Yeah. | 6 | everywhere. |
| 7 | A. Okay. | 7 | Q. All right. |
| 8 | Q. And let's go to page 127, lines 1 through | 8 | MR. FOX: So, I'm at a good place to stop, |
| 9 | 17. And you can see that the Commissioners voted 2 | 9 | Mr. Chair. |
| 10 | to 1 not to count the naked ballots. | 10 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Leventhal, did you have |
| 11 | A. Okay. | 11 | something to say? |
| 12 | Q. So, that's how challenged ballots or | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I said we'll try to work on the |
| 13 | provisional ballots are dealt with in the | 13 | microphone during the lunch hour. |
| 14 | Pennsylvania system. Correct? | 14 | THE CHAIR: We'll adjourn for lunch until |
| 15 | A. No. That's how those ballots were dealt | 15 | 1:45. Thank you very much. |
| 16 | with. | 16 | (Lunch recess) |
| 17 | Q. Okay. You think it's different procedures | 17 |  |
| 18 | in different counties? | 18 |  |
| 19 | A. Well, I don't know if it's different | 19 |  |
| 20 | procedures, but I know a lot of ballots didn't go | 20 |  |
| 21 | through any procedure at all. | 21 |  |
| 22 | Q. Well, we can agree that in Philadelphia, | 22 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION |  | do understand you plead that following this opinion |
| 2 | [1:45 p.m.] | 2 | the Secretary of State recommended to all the |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Anything to discuss before we | 3 | counties that they implement a notice a cure process. |
| 4 | resume testimony? | 4 | Correct? |
| 5 | MR. FOX: Not for me. | 5 | A. I do -- yes, I do recall that. Yes. |
| 6 | THE CHAIR: All right. Mr. Fox, you may | 6 | Q. All right. |
| 7 | proceed. | 7 | A. I know it was very confusing, but yeah, I |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | do. |
| 9 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, we've touched on these | 9 | MR. FOX: Let's look next |
| 10 | before, so I want to proceed quickly through them, | 10 | at Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 19, 19 please. If we |
| 11 | but I want to talk about a few of the pre-election | 11 | could just look in the upper right-hand corner again, |
| 12 | Court rulings about the new mail-in procedures. | 12 | make sure what this is. |
| 13 | Excuse me. | 13 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 14 | MR. FOX: If you'll look at Disciplinary | 14 | Q. And do you recognize this as an original |
| 15 | Counsel Exhibit 17, which is --t here you go. And | 15 | proceeding in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania |
| 16 | just blow up the caption of that, would you please so | 16 | brought shortly before the election which again |
| 17 | we can see what we're talking about here? | 17 | resolved some of these procedural issues, remember |
| 18 | BY MR. FOX: | 18 | reading that before? |
| 19 | Q. Now, this was a case that the Supreme | 19 | A. Not yet. Not just by seeing that. |
| 20 | Court of Pennsylvania decided before the election | 20 | Q. Okay. All right. I'll tell you what, |
| 21 | that related to certain procedures in connection with | 21 | let's see if this helps a little bit. |
| 22 | the mail-in ballots. Have you read this case, are | 22 | MR. FOX: Go to page 13, please. And if |
|  | Page 135 |  | Page 137 |
| 1 | you familiar with it? | 1 | you'll highlight in the first column the last two |
| 2 | A. I image I did. Now, it doesn't ring a | 2 | paragraphs -- not the other column, the first column. |
| 3 | bell. | 3 | That's right, the last two paragraphs. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Your counsel pointed to it in his | 4 | THE WITNESS: Right there? Okay. |
| 5 | opening statement. This was the one that held, among | 5 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 6 | other things, that counties were not required to | 6 | Q. Okay. And you'll see, reading through |
| 7 | implement notice and cure procedures for envelopes. | 7 | this, if you go down after they cite the Act, they |
| 8 | A. Yes, yes, yes, I did read it. Sure. | 8 | said that the legislature eliminated a time of |
| 9 | MR. FOX: And let's go to page 20, please. And | 9 | canvassing challenges entirely from Section such and |
| 10 | if | 10 | such, right? |
| 11 | you'll blow up the paragraph that's got the 13, I | 11 | A. Mm-hmm. |
| 12 | think, in front of it. There you go, that one. | 12 | Q. And a little bit later on it says, |
| 13 | BY MR. FOX: | 13 | "Accordingly, the election code presently provides no |
| 14 | Q. And this was a ruling that you're talking | 14 | mechanism for time of canvassing challenges by |
| 15 | about where they say the Boards are not required to | 15 | candidate or party representative, right? |
| 16 | implement at notice and opportunity to cure | 16 | A. Right. |
| 17 | procedure. But would you agree with me that they | 17 | Q. So, that means there was no right for any |
| 18 | never said that the Boards were prohibited from doing | 18 | of the people at the canvassing of the mail-in |
| 19 | so? | 19 | ballots to make a challenge to the ballots. Correct? |
| 20 | A. Sure. Yeah, it doesn't say that. | 20 | A. I'm sure, right. Cure wasn't possible. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. That's all I have with respect to | 21 | Q. All right. And now, on that same page if |
| 22 | that. Before we go to the next exhibit, however, you | 22 | we go to the conclusion. You also recall this is the |


|  | Page 138 |  | Page 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | same opinion that said that there was no handwriting | 1 | Election Day and you recall, initially, there was a |
| 2 | comparison? | 2 | judge who said that the -- and the controversy, Mr. |
| 3 | A. Mm-hmm. | 3 | Giuliani, to be clear, was about how far the |
| 4 | Q. And that's in the conclusion. Correct? | 4 | observers were away from the people who were actually |
| 5 | A. I got it, yeah. I see it. | 5 | counting the ballots, right? |
| 6 | Q. Thank you. That's all we have for that | 6 | A. Well, that's the way it was presented to |
| 7 | one. I think we can agree that the voting occurred | 7 | me. It was that there was -- they were placed in |
| 8 | during the pandemic, right? | 8 | positions that they could not exercise their |
| 9 | A. Yeah, yeah. | 9 | responsibility of being able to check the identify |
| 10 | Q. And there were a surprising number of | 10 | criteria on the envelopes. |
| 11 | mail-in ballots probably attributed to the pandemic, | 11 | Q. Okay. |
| 12 | certainly many more than any other election that | 12 | A. Which is what they're, up to that point, |
| 13 | occurred in Pennsylvania. | 13 | historically, their role had been and what you would |
| 14 | A. Oh, my goodness, yeah. That year they | 14 | think due process, as a minimum, would require. |
| 15 | were 10 to 1. | 15 | Q. And so regardless of whether it's |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And the pandemic also had an effect | 16 | distance, the issue was positioning, is that fair? |
| 17 | on the willingness of election workers to work in the | 17 | A. No, I don't think the issue was position. |
| 18 | campaign -- in the voting area. | 18 | The issue is are you able to fulfill your due process |
| 19 | A. That I don't know. | 19 | obligation or aren't you? In other words, can you |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You will agree that a lot of the | 20 | see the identifying data so you can in good conscious |
| 21 | counties, if not all of the counties, imposed some | 21 | sign off on the ballot or object to it. |
| 22 | social distancing rules at the locations where the | 22 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I think we just agreed -- |
|  | Page 139 |  | Page 141 |
| 1 | mail-in ballots were canvassed and counted. | 1 | A. There's no other way. I mean with an |
| 2 | A. I don't know that a lot did, some did, | 2 | absentee ballot and a mail-in ballot, which is why |
| 3 | yeah. | 3 | there are so many warnings against it and why it's |
| 4 | Q. And there was some litigation that | 4 | seen as an opportunity to have massive fraud, there's |
| 5 | occurred in Philadelphia on Election Day, do you | 5 | only one chance to check it, that's the chance. |
| 6 | recall that? | 6 | That's it. |
| 7 | A. I don't. | 7 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I think -- |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Do you remember that -- do remember | 8 | A. A ruling, for example, of the Court has to |
| 9 | the name Judge Fasano Cannon, do you remember her | 9 | be one that eventually will be reversed because it's |
| 10 | name? | 10 | completely contrary to due process. |
| 11 | A. Oh, you talking about that case? I do | 11 | Q. But the rules that were in effect at the |
| 12 | recall that case. | 12 | time that these ballots were counted were that the |
| 13 | Q. I thought you would. | 13 | signatures did not have to be compared. Correct? |
| 14 | A. That's the one that Corey and Pam Bondi | 14 | A. Yeah. I mean I didn't know it at the |
| 15 | called me about. | 15 | time, but sure. It wasn't -- I mean, I would've |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Wasn't that | 16 | fainted if somebody had told me that, but yeah. |
| 17 | on November 5th, not Election Day? | 17 | Q. Okay. But that was the rule that was in |
| 18 | MR. FOX: Yes. Did I say | 18 | effect, no signature comparison. Correct? |
| 19 | Election Day? And I apologize. | 19 | A. That was the rule that is written down on |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, you did. | 20 | that piece of paper. Yeah, it's kind of |
| 21 | BY MR. FOX: | 21 | non-irrational, but yeah. |
| 22 | Q. What I meant was the controversy began on | 22 | Q. That piece of the paper is the opinion of |



|  | Page 146 |  | Page 148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. Yeah, I got it. |  | a settlement. Correct? |
| 2 | Q. In this opinion, the Supreme Court said | 2 | A. I don't remember. |
| 3 | that the observers only had the right to be present | 3 | Q. You don't recall that there was a |
| 4 | in the room. Correct? | 4 | settlement in the Federal Court in which the |
| 5 | A. Yes, I recall this very, very clearly. I | 5 | authorities in Philadelphia agreed to increase the |
| 6 | mean this opinion -- well, never mind. But I mean | 6 | number of observers and to allow them to get closer |
| 7 | this is a very debatable opinion, right? | 7 | than they had been under the original rules that they |
| 8 | MR. FOX: Can we go to page 8, please? | 8 | set forth? |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I mean this sort of makes | 9 | A. When did that happen? |
| 10 | present totally useless. | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: When was that? |
| 11 | MR. FOX: And if you will highlight the | 11 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 12 | last paragraph on the second column. And that's the | 12 | Q. My question to Mr. Giuliani, is do you |
| 13 | holding that the statute only requires that the | 13 | recall that that happened? |
| 14 | representatives to be permitted to remain in the room | 14 | A. I don't. That's why I'm asking you when |
| 15 | while the ballots are counted. | 15 | was it. |
| 16 | A. I got it. Yeah. So, you would agree that | 16 | Q. That a motion for an emergency injunction |
| 17 | my position is reasonable since it was the same | 17 | before Paul S. Diamond, the United States District |
| 18 | position as Judge Cannon. | 18 | Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I ask the questions. | 19 | I'm looking for the date here. It looks like it was |
| 20 | A. And the dissenters. It was hardly -- | 20 | -- no, that was the date it was filed. But anyhow, |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani? | 21 | you don't recall during the election -- |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: It was a reasonable opinion, | 22 | A. I don't. |
|  | Page 147 |  | Page 149 |
| 1 | right. | 1 | Q. -- they reached that settlement in |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on. | 2 | Philadelphia? |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, I understand | 3 | A. I don't recall it. |
| 4 | your frustration, but this is not the point at which | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Fox, when was that |
| 5 | you should argue your claims. | 5 | filed, please? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. | 6 | MR. FOX: I'll have to get it for you. I |
| 7 | THE CHAIR: Just answer the questions. | 7 | have the date it was filed the -- the transcript is |
| 8 | Thank you. | 8 | the 18th, but when it was -- |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I | 9 | THE WITNESS: The 18th of November? The |
| 10 | apologize. | 10 | counting would be over by then. |
| 11 | BY MR. FOX: | 11 | MR. FOX: No, sir. That was not the date |
| 12 | Q. And that ruling was completely consistent | 12 | of the hearing. That was the date the hearing was |
| 13 | with the pre-election ruling that there was no | 13 | filed in court. The transcript has to be prepared. |
| 14 | inspection of the signature and that there was no | 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I do not know of any |
| 15 | right to object to the ballots. Correct? | 15 | such -- |
| 16 | A. Yeah. And inconsistent with the | 16 | MR. FOX: All right. |
| 17 | intermediate court. | 17 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 18 | Q. Now, while this was going on, there was | 18 | Q. I want to turn now to the factual basis or |
| 19 | also a separate case in Federal Court, was there not? | 19 | bases for your fraud allegations in the advocacy that |
| 20 | A. Yes, sure. There was a case in Federal | 20 | you've made in front of the Middle District of |
| 21 | Court, right? | 21 | Pennsylvania and I think we'll focus mainly on the |
| 22 | Q. And the case in Federal Court they reached | 22 | first complaint, the initial complaint, but you know |
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|  | Page 150 |  | Page 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | if -- we'll compare later on with the second amended | 1 | Q. Okay. |
| 2 | complaint. | 2 | A. But there are facts alleged later on in |
| 3 | A. The initial complaint I had nothing to do | 3 | the complaints to the extent that we had them. This |
| 4 | with. | 4 | is a case that we'd just begun investigating, which |
| 5 | Q. Well, no, sir. The initial complaint was | 5 | is in the nature of an election case. I don't know |
| 6 | the one that you testified under oath at your | 6 | that you can expect of an election case that has to |
| 7 | deposition that you started writing. Remember? | 7 | be done in two days the same kind of pleading that |
| 8 | A. Oh, yeah, but that's the one I contributed | 8 | you're going to get in a case that you have three |
| 9 | the two paragraphs to. | 9 | months to investigate and the Courts recognize that. |
| 10 | Q. And edited, right? | 10 | Q. All right. Well, let's go on and continue |
| 11 | A. I edited it, but I really had very little | 11 | until we get to Paragraph 56 and just establish that |
| 12 | to do with that. It's the third -- it's the third | 12 | this sets -- this makes a lot of assertions about the |
| 13 | complaint, second amended complaint that I really had | 13 | -- |
| 14 | more to do with. | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we take our time and |
| 15 | Q. All right. Well, most of these | 15 | read 51 please? |
| 16 | allegations that we're talking about in the first | 16 | MR. FOX: Oh, absolutely. Absolutely. |
| 17 | complaint were picked up in the second complaint, as | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we start with 51? |
| 18 | we -- | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | A. That's true. | 19 | (Pause) |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You did understand as an | 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And you can continue, if |
| 21 | experienced lawyer that fraud has to be pled with | 21 | you don't mind. |
| 22 | specificity. | 22 | THE WITNESS: And this is 51. |
|  | Page 151 |  | Page 153 |
| 1 | A. I do. | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Fifty-one through |
| 2 | Q. Let's look at Exhibit 5, which is the | 2 | fifty-six. Hold on. |
| 3 | first amended complaint, Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit | 3 | THE WITNESS: Well, 51 seems pretty |
| 4 | 5. We're going to be talking a lot about this, so | 4 | specific. |
| 5 | let's agree that this is the first complaint, the | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, just wait until he |
| 6 | initial complaint. That look right to you, Mr. | 6 | asks a question. |
| 7 | Giuliani? | 7 | THE WITNESS: Fifty-two is pretty |
| 8 | A. It does. | 8 | specific. |
| 9 | Q. All right. And I want to look at some of | 9 | MR. KAMINS: Wait until he's asked a |
| 10 | the specific factual allegations that you made. And | 10 | question. |
| 11 | first, let's go to page 22 and I want to look at the | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Wait until he asks a |
| 12 | paragraphs 51 that begins on that page and then goes | 12 | question. You can move it up again, if you need to, |
| 13 | over to -- all the way to paragraph 56. And I just | 13 | Mr. -- thank you. |
| 14 | want to take -- take time to familiarize yourself | 14 | (Pause) |
| 15 | with that and the question I'm going to ask you is | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You want to continue on |
| 16 | whether there are any specific allegations of facts | 16 | $56 ?$ |
| 17 | that constitute fraud in any of these paragraphs? | 17 | THE WITNESS: And the question is, is this |
| 18 | A. In that particular paragraph there isn't, | 18 | specific enough? That's about as specific as you'd |
| 19 | but this is like three days into the investigation. | 19 | be able to pled an introduction like this. This lays |
| 20 | Q. Okay. | 20 | out -- |
| 21 | A. So, it would be very, very hard to have | 21 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 22 | such facts at that -- at that point. | 22 | Q. That's fine. That's an introduction, can |


|  | Page 154 |  | Page 156 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | we agree to that? | 1 | MR. FOX: No, I'm just going to focus on |
| 2 | A. Yeah. And it lays it out -- it lays out | 2 | the first two instances in Fayette County. |
| 3 | specifically what we're relying on. | 3 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| 4 | Q. Now, if you'll go to Paragraph 57, this, | 4 | MR. FOX: We'll come back to the one in |
| 5 | as far as I can find, is the first specific reference | 5 | Luzerne County in a moment, so back please to the |
| 6 | to something that actually occurred during the | 6 | previous page. |
| 7 | election and it occurred -- and there are two | 7 | THE WITNESS: All right. |
| 8 | references here to things that occurred in Fayette | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | County, so why don't you familiarize yourself with | 9 | Q. All right. Now, Fayette County was not a |
| 10 | that? | 10 | Defendant in this lawsuit. Correct? |
| 11 | A. You talking about 57? | 11 | A. Sure. That's correct. Yeah. |
| 12 | Q. Paragraph 57. | 12 | Q. In fact, Fayette County has -- is a |
| 13 | (Pause) | 13 | Republican county, is it not? |
| 14 | BY MR. FOX: | 14 | A. I don't know that. |
| 15 | Q. And I'm just talking about the first two. | 15 | Q. You don't that it voted overwhelming for |
| 16 | We're going to come to Luzerne County in a minute, | 16 | President Trump? |
| 17 | but the first two concern things that you say, | 17 | A. Don't remember that. |
| 18 | "Suspected instances of mail fraud -- mail-in ballot | 18 | Q. Okay. It has a reference here to some |
| 19 | fraud in Fayette County. | 19 | duplicate ballots that's apparently the result of |
| 20 | A. Tell me where that is. | 20 | some software glitch, right? |
| 21 | Q. Paragraph 57, it's right there in front of | 21 | A. I don't remember that either. |
| 22 | you. | 22 | Q. In your complaint. |
|  | Page 155 |  | Page 157 |
| 1 | A. "The state fails to follow even basic | 1 | A. Where does it say that? |
| 2 | integrity and transparency of the measure, | 2 | Q. "First, an issue caused by Pennsylvania's |
| 3 | especially, its own. It violates the right to free, | 3 | SURE, SURE Software System as to the marking of an |
| 4 | fair, and transparent public election." | 4 | online application submitted prior to the June |
| 5 | Q. Paragraph 57, sir. | 5 | primary election with the permanent mail-in status |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I'm reading 57, right? | 6 | clause caused some voters to receive duplicate |
| 7 | MR. FOX: It's up on the screen. | 7 | ballots for the general election," see that? |
| 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, his is different. | 8 | A. I do. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: My 57 is different than | 9 | Q. Okay. And there's no evidence and you |
| 10 | yours. | 10 | don't assert that there was any duplicate voting. |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You're looking at a | 11 | You assert that it was a software glitch that |
| 12 | different complaint. | 12 | resulted in some duplicate ballots being prepared. |
| 13 | MR. FOX: Maybe you're not looking at the | 13 | Correct? |
| 14 | exhibit then, so why don't you look at the exhibit? | 14 | A. That is -- that's what we allege, right. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. | 15 | I don't know what the reference -- I don't know what |
| 16 | (Pause) | 16 | the reference involves, see. And then we have sort |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it's much different | 17 | of a footnote. I don't know what that involves. |
| 18 | than what I have. Okay. | 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on. | 19 | MR. FOX: And then the second thing, if |
| 20 | (Pause) | 20 | you can go down to like the next item, it says, |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: All right, I have down to | 21 | "Prior to November 3," where you highlight that. The |
| 22 | President Trump. | 22 | sentence begins "Prior to November 3." You can |
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|  | Page 158 |  | Page 160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | highlight that whole sentence, if you will, or maybe | 1 | with other evidence. Not all by itself of an |
| 2 | even -- so Mr. Giuliani can see it. | 2 | election that was very poorly conducted. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I see it. | 3 | Q. In Luzerne County and in Fayette County, |
| 4 | MR. FOX: Gentlemen his age and my age | 4 | right? |
| 5 | may not see as well as some of you younger folks. | 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | And there, there were two voters who received mail-in | 6 | Q. Because in Pennsylvania the counties are |
| 7 | ballots that were already filled out, supposedly. | 7 | responsible for conducting the elections, are they |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Got it. No, I was able to | 8 | not, on a day-to-day -- you know at the ground level? |
| 9 | see it. It's okay. You can put it down. | 9 | A. That depends. I mean they get direction |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Okay. | 10 | -- they get direction from the state as well. |
| 11 | BY MR. FOX: | 11 | Q. Okay. |
| 12 | Q. So, those were the specific instances that | 12 | A. I mean, ultimately, it's the state |
| 13 | you pled with respect to Fayette County, a Republican | 13 | legislature that's responsible, but -- |
| 14 | county. And I take it that your position is those | 14 | Q. Aside for these three specific facts, what |
| 15 | are examples of fraud that occurred in the election? | 15 | other facts did you cite of -- that might constitute |
| 16 | A. It could be. I mean, yeah, you could | 16 | fraud in the 2020 general election in Pennsylvania? |
| 17 | characterize it as fraud. You probably need to know | 17 | A. Well, my goodness, you're going to have to |
| 18 | more to be sure it was fraud, but -- | 18 | give me some time to go through the complaint. |
| 19 | Q. Yeah. So, let's look at the last sentence | 19 | Q. Okay. You're right and that's probably an |
| 20 | in the thing and the bottom of 56 it goes over to 57. | 20 | unfair question. |
| 21 | A. You need to know more to be sure. | 21 | A. There's -- |
| 22 | Q. So, it says, "In late September 2020, | 22 | Q. So -- |
|  | Page 159 |  | Page 161 |
| 1 | officials in Luzerne County discovered that a | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Make sure it's the same |
| 2 | temporary seasonal elections worker had discarded | 2 | complaint. |
| 3 | into a trash bin nine Military ballots received in | 3 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got to make sure I |
| 4 | unmarked envelopes, seven of which were all cast for | 4 | have the same complaint that you have because -- |
| 5 | President Trump." Correct? | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What exhibit are you on, |
| 6 | A. I see that. | 6 | Mr. Fox? |
| 7 | Q. Luzerne County is also not a Defendant in | 7 | MR. FOX: I'm on Exhibit 5, the one that' |
| 8 | this lawsuit, was it? | 8 | sin evidence. |
| 9 | A. No. | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Exhibit 9. |
| 10 | Q. It's also carried by President Trump, was | 10 | MR. FOX: Five. |
| 11 | it not? | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, no, I'm saying that |
| 12 | A. If you say so. | 12 | Mr. Giuliani is looking at Exhibit 9. Give me a |
| 13 | Q. And these -- and what you found here was a | 13 | second. |
| 14 | mistake by one temporary worker which was found and | 14 | (Pause) |
| 15 | corrected, right? | 15 | THE WITNESS: Are we looking at the first |
| 16 | A. I don't know that it was corrected. | 16 | complaint? |
| 17 | Q. And would you -- is it your position that | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, the initial |
| 18 | these three instances that occurred in counties other | 18 | complaint. Yeah, I got it. |
| 19 | than the counties that you sued are evidence that | 19 | THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know why we're |
| 20 | there was fraud committed by the Defendants in this | 20 | looking at the first complaint. It would be the |
| 21 | case? | 21 | second complaint where we -- |
| 22 | A. I mean, yes, it could be in combination | 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah, you're right. |


|  | Page 162 |  | Page 164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Where we would've alleged I |  | go to page 47. |
| 2 | with specificity. | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What paragraph? |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Are we on the same page now? | 3 | MR. FOX: Starting at Paragraph 110. |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Paragraph 110. Paragraph |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I mean these are paragraphs | 5 | 110. |
| 6 | that I -- | 6 | THE WITNESS: 110. I can't even remember |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That general complaint. | 7 | this, much less -- |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Just a second. Let me get | 9 | Q. Paragraph 110 and it goes on to the next |
| 10 | the original complaint for him. | 10 | page -- the next couple of pages, through 127, |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Which complaint am I looking | 11 | "Assert a number of alleged irregularities in some of |
| 12 | at? | 12 | the counties in Pennsylvania." And my question for |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: He can't look at the whole | 13 | you is -- |
| 14 | thing on the -- | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You're not on 110 right |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: The first or the -- | 15 | now on the screen. |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: The original complaint. | 16 | THE WITNESS: You're at 118. Okay. So, |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I don't think that's the one | 17 | this is -- okay. I recall some of this now. Go |
| 18 | to be questioning me about as to whether I alleged it | 18 | ahead. |
| 19 | with specificity. I didn't write these. | 19 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on. | 20 | Q. So, let's stick with page -- Paragraph 10, |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I spent tow hours on this in | 21 | it's the introductory paragraph. |
| 22 | the middle of the night. | 22 | A. Yeah. |
|  | Page 163 |  | Page 165 |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Here it is. | 1 | Q. "Throughout the Commonwealth, including |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Getting ready to argue the | 2 | the main county Election Board, numerous voters |
| 3 | next day. | 3 | reporting receiving mail-in ballots, even though they |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Rudy. Mr. Fox, this one | 4 | did not apply for them." Correct? |
| 5 | says on the top besides your exhibit, page 1 of 86; | 5 | A. Got it. |
| 6 | is that right, on the top at the beginning? | 6 | Q. And there's a bunch of others that go on |
| 7 | MR. FOX: Yes. | 7 | from here and my question for you is there any of |
| 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Thank you. Here it is, | 8 | those that were directed, aside from the one in |
| 9 | Rudy, the original complaint is the one he's talking | 9 | Paragraph 123, which we'll come back to, were |
| 10 | about. | 10 | directed at the Trump Campaign, they're part of the |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: This is the original | 11 | Trump Campaign, as opposed to simply some kind of |
| 12 | complaint? | 12 | alleged irregularity that occurred in the election? |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah. What page are you | 13 | You know what -- |
| 14 | on? | 14 | A. That was the -- |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: How am I going to answer | 15 | Q. Let me withdraw the question because I |
| 16 | questions about a complaint I didn't draft? | 16 | don't think we're going to get anywhere. |
| 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: He's asking you. | 17 | A. It's the same election. I mean that would |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 18 | be -- |
| 19 | MR. FOX: Are we on the same page now? | 19 | Q. I think it's -- |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What page do you want him | 20 | A. If somebody submitted a false ballot in |
| 21 | on? | 21 | the election, it would've affected Trump. We don't |
| 22 | MR. FOX: Let's go to paragraph -- let's | 22 | know if it was directed at Trump or not, but if it |


|  | Page 166 |  | Page 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | was a false ballot, it's a false ballot. | 1 | that 15 percent of the mail-in ballots were sliced or |
| 2 | Q. Well, it depends -- it would've affected | 2 | damaged in the opening process, is that an evidence |
| 3 | Trump, but the question is whether it would have | 3 | of election fraud? |
| 4 | affected him negatively. | 4 | A. It could be. I mean it's a piece of |
| 5 | A. No, it wouldn't. | 5 | evidence. We had this discussion once before. Is |
| 6 | Q. You have to know if the ballot was for or | 6 | somebody's blood on a knife evidence that they |
| 7 | against Trump, don't you? | 7 | committed the murder or is it evidence and then you |
| 8 | A. Well, you never know. You just discount | 8 | have to connect it to other evidence to determine if |
| 9 | the ballot. | 9 | it's evidence to commit a murder? So, this is |
| 10 | Q. Okay. | 10 | evidence. Yes. Would it be evidence of the ultimate |
| 11 | A. And then it works out based on what the | 11 | crime? No. But would it be a fact that has, in |
| 12 | other numbers are and that's how it's done in every | 12 | logic, the tendency to support such a crime? Yes. |
| 13 | state, including in Pennsylvania when they do that -- | 13 | Evidence is not the whole case. It's not the whole |
| 14 | when they find that a ballot is invalid, they don't | 14 | charge. It's one tiny little fact. This is a fact |
| 15 | know if it's a ballot for Trump or for Biden or for | 15 | from which you can draw an inference if it's combined |
| 16 | somebody else. It would inure depending on the | 16 | with other facts. So, if you ask me if there are no |
| 17 | breakdown in that particular jurisdiction. It would | 17 | other facts to combine this with, maybe not. If |
| 18 | inure Biden's benefit or Trumps. | 18 | there are a couple more facts to combine it with or |
| 19 | Q. All right. Let's go to paragraph -- page | 19 | a pattern to combine it with, then it could be very |
| 20 | 50, Paragraph 110. | 20 | powerful. |
| 21 | A. I might add these are as specific as you | 21 | Q. And so, what facts did you allege that you |
| 22 | going to get at this stage of this litigation one day | 22 | could combine it with that would make -- |
|  | Page 167 |  | Page 169 |
| 1 | into it. | 1 | A. Of course I didn't know that at the |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 2 | beginning of a litigation. Nobody -- no lawyer would |
| 3 | A. I mean this obviously contemplates a bill | 3 | know that, Mr. Fox. You find that out when you take |
| 4 | of particulars, a further complaint. This is what I | 4 | discovery. You find that out when you ask further |
| 5 | had at the time to the best of my ability. | 5 | questions. I'm in this case for two days. |
| 6 | Q. And would you agree with me then that what | 6 | Q. You asked for a Temporary Restraining |
| 7 | you didn't have at that time was any specific | 7 | Order. You have to be able to show a likelihood of |
| 8 | evidence of particular irregularities that affected | 8 | success on the merits. |
| 9 | the count between Trump and Biden? | 9 | A. Well, this would. This is a fact that |
| 10 | A. No, that isn't correct. I think these are | 10 | points to something very -- that points to something |
| 11 | specific. And if you're looking at those counties, | 11 | unusual. Can it be explained as an irregularity, |
| 12 | it would affect Trump and Biden if these -- if these | 12 | maybe. Is it part of a fraud, just as maybe. |
| 13 | allegations are taken as true, as they have to be at | 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | this stage, right, they would mean some of these | 14 | A. And that's how cases get developed. I |
| 15 | votes would be invalid and they would be discounted | 15 | mean you're -- |
| 16 | from the vote of Chester County or Delaware County, | 16 | Q. Okay. So, maybe it was part of a fraud -- |
| 17 | which are counties in which Biden had a big margin of | 17 | A. Almost any lawyer who's used to this kind |
| 18 | victory. | 18 | of litigation would tell you that. Two days into a |
| 19 | Q. Let's look at -- | 19 | litigation and you have to bring the case because of |
| 20 | A. They would be to Trump's benefit. | 20 | the time limits that are involved, this is about as |
| 21 | Q. Look at Paragraph 122 at the top of the | 21 | -- this is pretty darn good. |
| 22 | page, 122. Thank you. Now, there's an allegation | 22 | Q. Can we agree then with your last |


|  | Page 170 |  | Page 172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | statement, which is maybe it's evidence of | 1 | marked for Trump, see that? |
| 2 | irregularity and maybe it's evidence of fraud; is | 2 | A. Over -- an observer, witnessed a flawed |
| 3 | that right? | 3 | resolution process over -- the -- witness, one |
| 4 | A. But maybe it's evidence of irregularity | 4 | election worker responsible for solving over vote |
| 5 | and maybe if it's evidence of fraud, it's evidence of | 5 | ballots by subjectively determining who the elector |
| 6 | fraud. | 6 | intended to chose on the empty votes. The observer |
| 7 | Q. Okay. | 7 | reports that in numerous instances the election |
| 8 | A. Because all that a piece of evidence has | 8 | worker altered the over vote and marked it down to |
| 9 | to, has to have a tendency in logic to prove. It | 9 | another candidate. Yes, I see that. |
| 10 | doesn't have to be conclusive. If that was the case | 10 | Q. Okay. And can you -- are you aware of any |
| 11 | one little piece of evidence would decide a whole | 11 | allegations in this entire document that specifically |
| 12 | murder case. It's usually about 50 pieces of | 12 | refer to harm done to the Trump Campaign, other than |
| 13 | evidence and another explanation for every one of | 13 | this one? |
| 14 | those 50 pieces of evidence coming in as an | 14 | A. No. Almost -- the one that you -- the one |
| 15 | explanation. I don't know what you're expecting of | 15 | that I just read to you is harm done to the Trump |
| 16 | me, but it sure heck is different than any other | 16 | Campaign. |
| 17 | lawyer that I think of. | 17 | Q. No. I said specifically refer to harm |
| 18 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you've agreed that you pled | 18 | done to the Trump Campaign. |
| 19 | fraud with specificity. Correct? | 19 | A. Any -- any fact, any piece of evidence |
| 20 | A. And I'm telling you that's about as | 20 | that suggest there was fraud is harm done to the |
| 21 | specific as you can make an allegation. | 21 | Trump Campaign. They all involve harm done to the |
| 22 | Q. Sir, do you agree that you have to pled | 22 | Trump Campaign. |
|  | Page 171 |  | Page 173 |
| 1 | fraud with specificity? | 1 | Q. All right. |
| 2 | A. You have to pled fraud with specificity | 2 | A. This happens to be one specific act that's |
| 3 | within reason, within what you have, what's | 3 | very, very clear and very dramatic, but anything that |
| 4 | available. If you don't have the date that something | 4 | involves fraud would be harm to the Trump Campaign. |
| 5 | happened it doesn't mean you don't plead it. You | 5 | Q. What does over voting mean? |
| 6 | plead it and then -- and then in discovery you hope | 6 | A. Over voting usually means that you have |
| 7 | to get it, but you don't leave it out. You don't | 7 | more votes than you have people that registered up to |
| 8 | hurt your client by leaving it out. That's specific | 8 | that point. |
| 9 | enough for this -- for that stage of the proceeding. | 9 | Q. An overvoted ballot. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. | 10 | A. Oh, an over voted ballot. |
| 11 | A. Even when you plead it with specificity, | 11 | Q. That's what's referred to int his |
| 12 | it probably has two or three innocent explanations as | 12 | paragraph. |
| 13 | well. That's why it's evidence, not a conclusion. | 13 | A. It means that they probably voted for two |
| 14 | Q. Let's pass onto something else. | 14 | people. |
| 15 | A. Please. | 15 | Q. Okay. And do you have any idea how many |
| 16 | Q. No, I want to go back. I do want to | 16 | people made that mistake in Chester County in 2020? |
| 17 | highlight Paragraph 123 on this page. And I want to | 17 | A. I'd have to go back -- no, I don't know, |
| 18 | be fair and I submit to you that in this paragraph | 18 | except -- |
| 19 | there is an allegation in Chester County that one | 19 | Q. You would assume it's a fairly small |
| 20 | observer reported instances in which -- in numerous | 20 | number that actually voted for two people for |
| 21 | instances in which an election worker altered an over | 21 | President on the same ballot. |
| 22 | voted ballot by changing the votes that had been | 22 | A. Yes, I -- maybe I shouldn't. I don't know |

what I should assume. All I can tell you is what I read.
Q. Let's go to something else. Notice and cure, how is the fact that some counties gave -- and we can put that exhibit down for the time being.
A. Can I change -- can I just clarify the answer to that?
Q. Of course.
A. You know what you're asking me to do now is interpret what Mr. Hicks put there because this complaint couldn't have had more than one or two things that I supplied in terms of allegations. He's the who drafted -- remember this complaint is the one that he drafted. I contributed to.
Q. Mr. Giuliani, do you recall when we were discussing the second amended complaint a little earlier in this discussion when you told me that you put back the fraud allegations that had been removed from the first amended complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I'll represent to you and I'll show you in a moment that these -- with one or two

Page 175
exceptions, these allegations were in the second amended complaint that you signed.
A. Well, that means that between that time and this time I had a chance to see something, see a document, something that supported that.
Q. Now, is it your contention or was it your contention that the notice and cure opportunity that some counties gave to voters constituted election fraud?
A. I saw that more of as an equal protection claim.
Q. Okay.
A. You could -- I mean you could argue it as fraud, but I saw it as more of -- I thought it would be much more clearly understood by a court as an equal protection claim. It's almost a classic equal protection claim, right?
A. Okay. And the equal -- and you did bring an equal protection claim on behalf of these two voters who were not given the opportunity for notice and cure. Correct?
A. Yeah, I think we even restored some of
those counts, even the equal protection and due process arguments had been really neutered by the -by the first amended complaint and we restored about two more equal protection counts and two more due process counts in the -- making sure right now, the second amended complaint.
Q. Okay. And both in the first complaint and the second amended complaint you argued that the counties that did not afford your clients the opportunity for notice and cure had violated their rights. Correct?
A. Yes. But because of the position they had been put in by the Secretary of State and by the State.
Q. But you didn't sue the two counties in which they voted.
A. No. We really should sue the counties that went ahead and did notice and cure because they're the ones who caused it.
Q. Okay. And so --
A. Notice and cure those counties who do notice and cure is illegal. They viewed it as not
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authorized by the law of the state and you can't make something up. It would've been very hard to argue they did something illegal, whereas the counties that provided for notice and cure where the ones who created the disparity with the counties that didn't do it. The wrongdoers were not the counties that didn't do it. They were following the letter of the law. It's the ones that did do it and it's an extraordinary thing to do, by the way, to call somebody back in --
Q. Mr. Giuliani?
A. Please let me -- can I just finish a
little part of my answer so I feel like I actually answered the question.
Q. It's not the question that I asked.
A. Yes, it is. You want me to -- you want me to explain why we didn't sue one and we sued the other. I'm explaining to you why we did it. We sued the one that was the wrongdoer. You're hardly going to sue a county that's doing -- that's been put in a position where the only way it can comply with what you want is to violate the law. The counties
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|  | Page 178 |  | Page 180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | violating the law created the disparity of | 1 | if you don't keep the application for an absentee |
| 2 | treatment. They're the ones that allowed people to | 2 | ballot in the State of Wisconsin -- in fact, the |
| 3 | do something -- also, it's very rather extraordinary. | 3 | Supreme Court of Wisconsin just upheld it again. |
| 4 | You file a ballot and then you get called up and you | 4 | That vote id invalid. Now, you don't know which |
| 5 | get told you can fix it? I mean that's a very | 5 | vote is invalid, but one vote is deducted from |
| 6 | strange thing to do. I'm not sure I would ever | 6 | Milwaukee County, so there's one less vote in |
| 7 | authorize anybody to do that. | 7 | Milwaukee County. |
| 8 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, the solution that you | 8 | Q. After the votes have been counted how do |
| 9 | sought to the fact that these two individuals had not | 9 | you do that? You deduct one from the Biden column or |
| 10 | been able to vote was to not count the absentee votes | 10 | do you deduct it from the -- |
| 11 | in the seven counties that you sued. Correct? That | 11 | A. No. You deduct it from the overall vote. |
| 12 | was your remedy? | 12 | You deduct it -- it's done all the time in different |
| 13 | A. Absolutely. I mean the notice and cure | 13 | states. You deduct it from the overall vote because |
| 14 | was a -- the people who provided -- the counties that | 14 | there have been 10 invalid votes. So, now there are |
| 15 | provided notice and cure are the ones that set up the | 15 | 10 invalid votes and then the vote descends to |
| 16 | -- both lack of due process, failure of due process | 16 | whatever the percentage is between the two people. |
| 17 | and equal protection with regard to the counties that | 17 | That's why in a county in which Trump was beaten very |
| 18 | didn't do it because they would not violate the law. | 18 | badly he would benefit greatly by a deduction of a |
| 19 | Q. And the number of people that voted | 19 | vote and in one where Biden was beaten badly he would |
| 20 | absentee in those seven counties by the calculations | 20 | benefit, but this happens in election law all over |
| 21 | in your complaint -- and if you want -- well, why | 21 | the country and it happens in Wisconsin routinely. |
| 22 | don't we do it. | 22 | Q. Okay. |
|  | Page 179 |  | Page 181 |
| 1 | MR. FOX: Go back to Exhibit 5 and put up | 1 | A. Nothing earth shattering about that, so |
| 2 | Paragraph -- on page 46, put up Paragraph 108. Is, I | 2 | were you're going it would take -- let me spell it |
| 3 | think, 500 shy of 1.3 million. | 3 | out. You would go and you would take discovery of |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Well, it wouldn't affect all | 4 | the counties that were doing the I would say |
| 5 | of them. It would be the ones that -- I'm not sure | 5 | absolutely odd, strange notice and cure. Calling up |
| 6 | -- I'm sure only a small fraction of them had notice | 6 | people and saying, hey, you screwed up your ballot. |
| 7 | and cure. You're not telling me that all of them had | 7 | You want come and fix it. Presumably, they kept some |
| 8 | -- | 8 | kind of record of that. In fact, we do know they |
| 9 | Q. But Mr. Giuliani, you can't identify after | 9 | kept some kind of record of it. Probably you're not |
| 10 | the fact which ballots were voted on notice and cure, | 10 | going to get an accurate record because they probably |
| 11 | can you ? | 11 | did a lot of it without keeping a record of it. But |
| 12 | A. Well, you can identify the number. That's | 12 | whatever you had a record of, then they'd be deducted |
| 13 | all you need is the number. | 13 | 50 votes or 100 or 200 or the 500 times they engaged |
| 14 | Q. So, what was your proposal from these 1.3 | 14 | in that would be deducted from the overall total of |
| 15 | people (sic) who voted absentee by your statistic | 15 | that county. So, if you deducted 500 votes from |
| 16 | you're going to deduct 10,000 randomly and what were | 16 | Philadelphia and Trump lost 8 to 2, so Trump would |
| 17 | you going to do? A cure to some to Biden and some to | 17 | pick up, what, 6 votes for every 10. That's the way |
| 18 | Trump? You can't do that. | 18 | it would work or you can change the arithmetic, |
| 19 | A. You don't do that. The states are always | 19 | depending on whether it happened in a Biden county. |
| 20 | invalidating votes -- the State of Wisconsin does it | 20 | That's how it happens and that's how sometimes people |
| 21 | in every election. The State of Wisconsin | 21 | get elected to office. |
| 22 | invalidates votes. For example, if you don't keep -- | 22 | Q. And are you telling me that somewhere in |


|  | Page 182 |  | Page 184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | your oral argument and in these pleadings that's the | 1 | think. I'd have to go back and get the exact |
| 2 | relief you asked for? | 2 | numbers, but that's what we were asking for as that |
| 3 | A. No. We weren't there yet. That was an | 3 | part of the relief. We weren't asking for a million |
| 4 | allegation -- we're at the beginning of a litigation. | 4 | votes to be -- only the ones that we could document |
| 5 | Q. Did you tell Judge Brann in the oral | 5 | with evidence from witnesses. For example, Mr. |
| 6 | argument that you had to eliminate a million and a | 6 | Mercer kept a record. |
| 7 | half votes? | 7 | Q. We're going to come to Mr. Mercer, but I'm |
| 8 | A. I told him that? | 8 | just trying to focus on the relief. The relief now |
| 9 | Q. Didn't you tell Judge Brann in the | 9 | that you say you asked for was to, what, deduct from |
| 10 | argument that there was no way after the fact of | 10 | Allegany County and Philadelphia County 300,000 votes |
| 11 | knowing which one of these absentee or mail-in votes | 11 | that were voted by mail-in, right? You just subtract |
| 12 | are valid and which ones not, so you had to discount | 12 | them from the totals. |
| 13 | a million and a half of them? | 13 | A. No, no, no, not voted by mail. 300 votes |
| 14 | A. Absolutely not. | 14 | that were counted illegally by not allowing any |
| 15 | Q. You didn't? Okay. | 15 | independent inspection -- |
| 16 | A. I never told Judge Brann we had to | 16 | Q. You've called that your equal protection |
| 17 | eliminate a million and a half votes. | 17 | claim. Now, I'm coming to your whatever this claim |
| 18 | Q. Okay. | 18 | is. |
| 19 | A. The largest number I gave him was the | 19 | A. No, I'm not asking for that under the -- |
| 20 | number of votes where it was no -- that we had been | 20 | on the equal protection claim we were asking for |
| 21 | able to have a record of the number of votes that | 21 | discounting the votes in which people were allowed to |
| 22 | there was no opportunity to look at the -- at the | 22 | notice and cure, however many we could prove there |
|  | Page 183 |  | Page 185 |
| 1 | ballot and verify that it was an actual vote that it | 1 | were. |
| 2 | was a legitimate vote. We wanted -- we wanted the | 2 | Q. Okay. |
| 3 | law because we disagreed with the conclusion of the | 3 | A. We didn't know that -- I'm sorry. We did |
| 4 | Supreme Court of Pennsylvania that present is | 4 | not know that number nor did we ever give a number. |
| 5 | satisfied if you're just there like a potted plant. | 5 | Q. And the Third Circuit said that that |
| 6 | You were there to -- when they put the word "present" | 6 | number could not -- 10,000 was a generous estimate of |
| 7 | in the statute they had a meaning to present. It | 7 | what that number was, isn't that correct? |
| 8 | didn't mean you could be there listening to the | 8 | A. And that would've been it. |
| 9 | radio. It meant you were there to make sure that the | 9 | Q. And the margin of victory in this election |
| 10 | ballot was a valid ballot. They never got an | 10 | was 80,000 . |
| 11 | opportunity to do that. Some of them kept records of | 11 | A. Well, we don't know that. You don't know |
| 12 | that. We had those records and those are the numbers | 12 | that until you have discovery. I mean the Circuit |
| 13 | that we would've asked be deducted. I think, and | 13 | says that's a margin, that generous. If you don't |
| 14 | this is my recollection now, it was something like | 14 | allow discovery, you never find out. I mean |
| 15 | 160 or 70 in Pittsburgh and Allegany and maybe 200 in | 15 | sometimes people think it's 10,000 and sometimes it's |
| 16 | Philadelphia. | 16 | 200,000. |
| 17 | Q. 200,000 or 200 ? | 17 | Q. Okay. |
| 18 | A. Pardon me? | 18 | A. And sometimes they think it's 200,000 and |
| 19 | Q. Are you saying 200,000 or 200 ballots? | 19 | it's 10,000. You've had enough litigation to know |
| 20 | A. 200,000.. | 20 | that. At this stage of the litigation the only thing |
| 21 | Q. Okay. So -- | 21 | we had solid at this stage of the litigation that I |
| 22 | A. It would've been about 300,000 votes, I | 22 | thought made a very, very strong was in both cases, |


|  | Page 186 |  | Page 188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in Pittsburgh and in Philadelphia we had two very, | 1 | summary description you object to it, but the -- |
| 2 | very obsessive, compulsive team leaders who kept | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could I ask Mr. Fox to |
| 3 | very, very good records of the number of ballots that | 3 | stop testifying please? I'm sorry. I like him very |
| 4 | were counted without their being the slightest bit of | 4 | much, but he shouldn't -- he shouldn't testify and |
| 5 | inspection by an independent party. And that number, | 5 | declare what Mr. Giuliani is saying, characterize it. |
| 6 | again, as an exact number is about 300 . | 6 | THE CHAIR: I would be eternally grateful |
| 7 | Q. I promise you I will come back to that, | 7 | if Mr. Fox would ask questions and Mr. Giuliani would |
| 8 | but I really want to focus on the equal protection | 8 | answer questions. And that's how I contemplate the |
| 9 | claim now. And I'll move off it if you'll just | 9 | hearing to proceed. So, you're getting maybe a tad |
| 10 | answer this question. | 10 | argumentative on both sides. |
| 11 | A. Okay. That's a deal. | 11 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 12 | Q. What was the remedy, the appropriate | 12 | Q. So, Mr. Giuliani, give me a catch phrase |
| 13 | remedy for these two voters who were denied their | 13 | to characterize the problem of the inability of the |
| 14 | ability elect notice and cure what did you contend | 14 | observers to see the count of the mail-in ballot? |
| 15 | was the appropriate remedy for what you said was | 15 | What did you call that, a geographical problem, a |
| 16 | their violation of their equal protection rights? | 16 | positional problem, what's your phrase for that? |
| 17 | A. Well, I think one of the remedies would be | 17 | A. A coverup, I guess. I don't know what -- |
| 18 | to deduct in the counties that did notice and cure | 18 | I mean I can't imagine why you wouldn't want the |
| 19 | which created the violation of equal protection that | 19 | other side to see it if you weren't worried that they |
| 20 | vote from the vote of the county. I mean there could | 20 | were going to find something wrong with it, |
| 21 | be other remedies. We could have a new election. | 21 | particularly, all over the country. |
| 22 | Q. How, Mr. Giuliani -- | 22 | Q. Okay. I'll call it the observer's free |
|  | Page 187 |  | Page 189 |
| 1 | A. How? You just deduct it. | 1 | access. |
| 2 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, let me finish the question, | 2 | A. This happened in eight or nine different |
| 3 | sir. How did that benefit your clients? | 3 | jurisdictions to the point where they fought for |
| 4 | A. It benefited my client because the | 4 | months not seen a single piece of paper. |
| 5 | counties that did it were counties in which Biden had | 5 | Q. One of those jurisdictions was Berks |
| 6 | massive victories and any deduction of vote would've | 6 | County, was it not? |
| 7 | been a -- not a complete gain for my client, but a -- | 7 | A. I don't remember Berks County. |
| 8 | for example, if those were deducted in Philadelphia, | 8 | MR. FOX: Can we look at Exhibit 24, which |
| 9 | it would've been a gain of 6 votes for every 10 . | 9 | I'll represent of the materials we got from the |
| 10 | Q. So, your two individual clients who were | 10 | Porter Wright firm, at page 18. |
| 11 | denied their right to vote the remedy wasn't to give | 11 | THE WITNESS: Page 18 of the complaint? |
| 12 | them the right to vote. The remedy was to take away | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. It's -- |
| 13 | votes in the counties where there'd been notice and | 13 | MR. FOX: I'm talking to the Trial |
| 14 | cure; is that right? | 14 | Director for her to put up Exhibit 24, page 18. Do |
| 15 | A. Unless the Court accepted our plea, which | 15 | you see this -- and I'll represent to you this is |
| 16 | I -- which we thought would be highly unrealistic, | 16 | from -- Exhibit 24 is from the Porter Wright firm and |
| 17 | but a Court could do it of declaring a new election. | 17 | you'll see it's a declaration of Mr. Bastard and I |
| 18 | Q. Right. And I was going to come to that at | 18 | like the way you had it before if you -- I could read |
| 19 | some -- well, let me come to that later. Now, let's | 19 | it better. Okay. And you'll see he's registered -- |
| 20 | talk about the other thing you want to talk about, | 20 | he's talking about Berks County here in Paragraph 3 |
| 21 | which is the -- and I will take whatever description | 21 | and Paragraph 5 and 6. He's a watcher in Berks |
| 22 | you want because every time I try to give you a | 22 | County. |
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|  | Page 190 |  | Page 192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Fox, this is | 1 | Q. And it happened in Berks County, right? |
| 2 | subpoenaed from which attorney? | 2 | A. That's what it says. |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Porter Wright. | 3 | Q. Okay. Berks County is a Republican |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That was Hicks? | 4 | controlled county. |
| 5 | MR. FOX: Yes. | 5 | A. Doesn't matter. |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hicks? Thank you. | 6 | Q. And it voted by 53 percent for Mr. Trump. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall this. | 7 | A. Okay, yeah. |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | Q. So, are you saying that Berks County |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Now, let's look at page -- the | 9 | defrauded the Trump Campaign in some way by employing |
| 10 | number line 10 through 11 and it goes onto the next | 10 | these social distancing procedures? |
| 11 | page. | 11 | A. Nope, not saying that at all. I'm saying |
| 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can you make it bigger | 12 | that those votes should've bene deducted because they |
| 13 | again please? | 13 | were illegally counted. Now, some of them might well |
| 14 | (Pause) | 14 | have been by accident. Some them might've been on |
| 15 | BY MR. FOX: | 15 | purpose. You'd have to look at the entire evidence |
| 16 | Q. Now, you'll see by looking 10,11 , and | 16 | to decide that. If it happens two or three times in |
| 17 | even 12 that he had this problem in Berks County that | 17 | a counting, then that's likely going to be a mistake. |
| 18 | he had to conduct his work in a way that he said | 18 | If it happens 200,000 times in a counting, then you |
| 19 | prevented him from seeing everything that was going | 19 | know it's not a mistake. I mean there are -- that's |
| 20 | on. Correct? | 20 | why when you look at -- evidence is just one little |
| 21 | A. That's what he says, yes. I'm not -- I've | 21 | fact. It's then got to be seen in a much larger |
| 22 | not seen this before. Yeah. | 22 | context, so it's possible. It's possible there was |
|  | Page 191 |  | Page 193 |
| 1 | Q. Okay. Well, let's look at one of the | 1 | some kind of cheating going on there. It's possible |
| 2 | exhibits, I think, that your lawyers provided. Let | 2 | there wasn't. You need to know more about the |
| 3 | me see now. Let me double check on that. Yeah, it's | 3 | county. I don't know anything else about Berks |
| 4 | Exhibit 36. And I want to go to page 390, a | 4 | County. I mean wee there any more allegations like |
| 5 | declaration -- okay. Here's a declaration and this | 5 | that? What is that in terms of the percentage of |
| 6 | was provided by your lawyers, a declaration of Jorge | 6 | voting that's going on? How often -- did it happen |
| 7 | Figuerredo. I'm probably butchering his name, a | 7 | uniformly? Did it happen just a few times? All |
| 8 | resident of Berks County, do you see that? | 8 | those questions have to be answered, which you can't |
| 9 | A. I do. | 9 | answer at this stage of the litigation, so you put |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And if you go on down a little | 10 | out all the allegations you have, the ones that help |
| 11 | lower, Paragraph 8, he also had a problem because he | 11 | you, the ones that don't, and then you work your way |
| 12 | couldn't get close enough to the counting room, | 12 | through it in the litigation. |
| 13 | right? | 13 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, would you agree with me |
| 14 | A. I see that. | 14 | that the problems -- because of the social distancing |
| 15 | Q. And this is the kind of problem that | 15 | rules and keeping the observers away from the people |
| 16 | you're talking about that you think should result in | 16 | doing the counting gave the people who were doing the |
| 17 | the election being overturned, right? | 17 | counting the opportunity to commit fraud if they were |
| 18 | A. No, not just this problem. Not just these | 18 | so inclined? |
| 19 | two situations. | 19 | A. Sure. Yeah, I did. Particularly, when at |
| 20 | Q. I'm not saying just these two. | 20 | that point in time the science was six feet and they |
| 21 | A. This is one small piece of evidence. | 21 | were being kept 50, 60, 70 feet away, put in bunkers. |
| 22 | Yeah. | 22 | Q. Okay. And I think you just said a moment |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ago that you don't whether fraud was committed. You | 1 | A. An Order of the Court that was disobeyed. |
| 2 | don't know whether it was committed. You had to get | 2 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, an excessive number of them, |
| 3 | more evidence, right? | 3 | what is "them"? Sir? |
| 4 | A. Well, of course we do. Yeah. You have to | 4 | A. -- excessive. |
| 5 | get -- you have to -- the only way you're going to | 5 | Q. And there's one set of restrictions, so |
| 6 | eventually get to the point of providing fraud by a | 6 | what are the "them"? |
| 7 | preponderance of the evidence at this point you | 7 | A. A excessive number of times when it was |
| 8 | really just have to allege it by a much lesser | 8 | done so it was done uniformly, distances that were |
| 9 | standard, which has to be the case if you're going to | 9 | irrational like 50 feet and 60 feet or what happened |
| 10 | have litigation. You don't start a lawsuit being | 10 | in Philadelphia, refusing to follow a Court Order and |
| 11 | able to prove -- I mean you're very lucky when you | 11 | that it required six feet, but not allowing |
| 12 | do. You don't start a lawsuit being able to prove, | 12 | Lewandowski and the former Attorney General of |
| 13 | but being able to responsibly allege. I was | 13 | Florida, Pam Bondi to get within six feet. So, you |
| 14 | responsibly alleging based on the things that were | 14 | had two or three counties, four counties in which |
| 15 | told to me by other people. I wasn't proving. I had | 15 | there was an excessive number of times it happened at |
| 16 | a long way to go to prove. At this point, I started | 16 | highly irrational distances that had nothing to do |
| 17 | every litigation in my 60-year career in which I've | 17 | with the science of COVID. You don't have to be 100 |
| 18 | never been cited for anything wrong. | 18 | feet away or 50 feet away or a football field away. |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, would you also agree that | 19 | That's not about COVID. That's about not letting you |
| 20 | these social distancing regulations rules apply to | 20 | see the document. |
| 21 | the Democrat observes as well as the Republican | 21 | Q. When we first sent a letter to you opening |
| 22 | observers? | 22 | this matter, you responded in a letter dated March |
|  | Page 195 |  | Page 197 |
| 1 | A. That would've been a defense, absolutely. | 1 | 10, 2021. I don't want to give you a memory test on |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 2 | the dates. |
| 3 | A. And then the question is how far did you | 3 | MR. FOX: Let's just put up Exhibit 32. |
| 4 | go with it? Did you -- were you talking about six | 4 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 5 | feet or were you talking about 50 feet? Are you | 5 | Q. Okay. Do you recognize this as a letter |
| 6 | talking about six feet? | 6 | that you sent to me responding to my initial inquiry? |
| 7 | Q. Sir, that's not the question I asked you. | 7 | A. Mm-hmm. |
| 8 | The question I asked you -- | 8 | Q. And if you will go to page 13 please. |
| 9 | A. My mistake. I was just trying to give an | 9 | THE WITNESS: Is this the first one? |
| 10 | illustration. I'm sorry. | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah. |
| 11 | Q. The question I asked you was whether the | 11 | THE WITNESS: I don't remember it being |
| 12 | restrictions applied equally to the Democrats as -- | 12 | that long. |
| 13 | A. Yes. Yes, that absolutely is and that's | 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, those are exhibits. |
| 14 | why we have the allegation. | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | Q. Well, your allegation in -- well, later on | 15 | Q. First of all, that's your signature, |
| 16 | was that these were intentionally done to harm the | 16 | correct, Mr. Giuliani? |
| 17 | Republicans and specifically Mr. Trump. Correct? | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: He's looking at the hard |
| 18 | That's the allegation you made. | 18 | copy. Give him a second, all right, please. |
| 19 | A. In some counties there were a excessive | 19 | THE WITNESS: Haven't gotten there yet. I |
| 20 | number of them with very large distances involved and | 20 | mean I'm sure it is, but let me just look at it. |
| 21 | pens put up. | 21 | (Pause) |
| 22 | Q. Wait a minute. Excessive number -- | 22 | THE WITNESS: Yep. Yes, sir. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: | 1 | one that was in charge, so I thought that would be a |
| 2 | Q. And you attached 15 exhibits. | 2 | good one to give you. |
| 3 | A. Yeah, that's right. | 3 | Q. So, let's -- |
| 4 | Q. This is the letter that I alluded to | 4 | A. And Queeter seemed to me knew the most |
| 5 | earlier where you also suggested to us that we ought | 5 | about what happened in -- in Philadelphia. |
| 6 | to watch the Gettysburg hearing, right? | 6 | Q. All right. So, let's look at these |
| 7 | A. Did I? Okay. | 7 | affidavits. Exhibit 1 is from Mr. Mercer. Correct? |
| 8 | Q. It's not important if you don't remember. | 8 | A. I haven't gotten there yet, but -- |
| 9 | We'll pass on it. I don't want to go find it. I | 9 | Q. Just for your information, I'm going to |
| 10 | take it that you selected -- you represented I think | 10 | first look at Exhibit 1, 2, 3, and 5. And what I |
| 11 | earlier in your testimony and certainly in front of | 11 | want to establish is that all of these exhibits |
| 12 | Judge Brann that you had, I think, 200 affidavits or | 12 | relate to -- I still can't come up with the right |
| 13 | maybe you said 300 affidavits to support the | 13 | phase, the spacing issue. |
| 14 | allegations of fraud, is that -- am I -- | 14 | A. The what, spacing? |
| 15 | A. I think I said 300. I really had about | 15 | Q. Yeah. |
| 16 | 240, 250 and I probably was thinking about some of | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: We call it the distance of |
| 17 | the other cases because I have about 800 altogether. | 17 | observation. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And I take it that when you | 18 | THE WITNESS: The failure to observe, the |
| 19 | attached these various affidavits and statements to | 19 | failure of the ability to observe. |
| 20 | the letter to me you picked ones that you thought | 20 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 21 | were some of the strongest examples? | 21 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 22 | A. I don't know that I did. I mean I picked | 22 | Q. So, just check that Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and |
|  | Page 199 |  | Page 201 |
| 1 | out ones -- I can't really say that, that I did that. | 1 | 5 all relate to that issue that we just talked about. |
| 2 | Q. Well, did you -- | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Maybe vantage point would |
| 3 | A. It would make sense that I would, but I | 3 | be a good term. |
| 4 | was -- I don't think I can say that. No. | 4 | THE CHAIR: Say it again; I'm sorry? |
| 5 | Q. Well, what was the method you used to | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Maybe vantage point would |
| 6 | select which ones you were going to submit, random? | 6 | be a good term, shorthand. |
| 7 | A. No, probably the ones that I had closest | 7 | MR. FOX: Vantage point is fine, whatever |
| 8 | to me. | 8 | you want to use. I just want to make sure it relates |
| 9 | Q. Because I want to -- | 9 | to that issue. |
| 10 | A. If I could make one explanation. | 10 | THE WITNESS: The failure to observe. |
| 11 | Q. Sure. | 11 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 12 | A. I have a room, an entire room filled with | 12 | THE WITNESS: Inability to observe. |
| 13 | at least a thousand exhibits, affidavits, pictures of | 13 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 14 | voter fraud, so I probably grabbed a group. I mean | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm sorry. Just give us |
| 15 | some of these are -- some of them are stronger, but | 15 | -- |
| 16 | some aren't when I look at them. I remember most of | 16 | THE WITNESS: Kind of the way those |
| 17 | these. I don't think they were just picked out at | 17 | witnesses described it before. They weren't able to |
| 18 | the strongest, but just a representative sample. | 18 | do what they were sent there to do. |
| 19 | Q. Representative sample? You tried earlier | 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Just give him a moment to |
| 20 | to talk to us about Mr. Mercer's affidavit. You | 20 | re-familiarize himself with these please, $1,2,3$, |
| 21 | included two of his in there, right? | 21 | and 5? |
| 22 | A. Yes. I mean I think Mr. Mercer was the | 22 | MR. FOX: 1, 2, 3, and 5. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Pause) | 1 | didn't think we were in like a criminal proceeding. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Well, Mercer is one, right? | 2 | I thought we were a little more informal. |
| 3 | "It was impossible for me to view any of the | 3 | THE CHAIR: This is an adversarial -- this |
| 4 | documents by the workers on the ballots. I remained | 4 | is an adversarial proceeding. |
| 5 | at the center all day Tuesday." | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Understood, Mr. Chair. |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Don't read out loud. | 6 | THE WITNESS: All right, absolutely. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I can't read aloud? | 7 | Well, fine. |
| 8 | Okay, I'll read to myself. | 8 | (Pause) |
| 9 | (Pause) | 9 | THE WITNESS: One, two, and then was it |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, here's the 100,000. | 10 | three? |
| 11 | Yeah, well, the first one is a -- | 11 | MR. FOX: And five. |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Mr. Giuliani, could you just | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Five, and five. |
| 13 | answer my question? | 13 | MR. FOX: Skipping four, for the moment. |
| 14 | BY MR. FOX: | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Four too? |
| 15 | Q. Are Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 5 all related to | 15 | MR. FOX: No, we'll come back to four, |
| 16 | the -- | 16 | one, two, three, and five. |
| 17 | A. I don't know that until I look at them. | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. All right. |
| 18 | I'm sorry. | 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't want to be difficult |
| 19 | Q. Well, I'm asking you to do so, sir. | 19 | and get you guys upset, but one is -- |
| 20 | A. That's what I'm doing. Oh, you want me to | 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Read it. |
| 21 | be quiet while I'm doing it, all right, and then give | 21 | THE WITNESS: But I want to -- I want to |
| 22 | one answer. I will do that. | 22 | make sure I'm doing the right thing. One is Jeremy |
|  | Page 203 |  | Page 205 |
| 1 | (Mr. Giuliani reviewing documents) | 1 | Mercer, two is Jeremy Mercer, three is -- no, two is |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: May I confer with my | 2 | -- two is Cannon. I have number two I have Judge |
| 3 | counsel. | 3 | Cannon here. |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can we put it on silent | 4 | MR. FOX: That's right. That's her |
| 5 | for a second? He wants to confer with me. | 5 | opinion and that also relates to the same issue. |
| 6 | MR. FOX: Is it really appropriate to be | 6 | THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer |
| 7 | talking to a lawyer while the witness is under -- | 7 | with regard to Judge Cannon as well? |
| 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I don't know what his | 8 | MR. FOX: Mr. Giuliani, I'm just trying to |
| 9 | question is. | 9 | ascertain whether these four exhibits all relate to |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: I won't talk to my counsel | 10 | the limitations on the observers. That's all I |
| 11 | if I'm not allowed to do that, you know. I did think | 11 | wanted to establish. Now, if you really need to |
| 12 | I had the right to counsel, but that's okay. | 12 | re-read Judge Cannon's opinion, -- |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: During your testimony -- | 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Not too many rights left | 14 | MR. FOX: -- do so, but that's all I'm |
| 15 | anymore. | 15 | trying to establish. |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Giuliani, as you know, a | 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm looking at it. |
| 17 | witness on the stand is not allowed to have ex parte | 17 | (Pause) |
| 18 | counsel discussions. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes, the all, at least in |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 19 | part, deal with the inability to observe. |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: You know that as well as we | 20 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 21 | all do. | 21 | BY MR. FOX: And Exhibit 9, would you put |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I really didn't -- I really | 22 | that up please? It's at page 85. If you'll just |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | blow up the sixth paragraph, Paragraph 6 maybe | 1 | Do you recall enough of this affidavit or declaration |
| 2 | that'll -- | 2 | to say that that's what it's about? |
| 3 | BY MR. FOX: | 3 | A. I do. I thought it was quite a bit more |
| 4 | Q. This deals with the notice and cure issue | 4 | than that, but okay. He's written a lot. |
| 5 | that we've been talking about, right? | 5 | Q. Okay. |
| 6 | A. Well, I don't know. I have to look at it. | 6 | A. He's even done game theory and that's one |
| 7 | Q. Okay, then look at it. Take your time. | 7 | of the things he was concerned about. Yes. It |
| 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we look at the top | 8 | wasn't the only thing. |
| 9 | for a second? | 9 | Q. Would you agree with me that Mr. Stenstrom |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Could you just go down | 10 | does not identify any fraudulent ballot that was |
| 11 | again, please? That's good. Now, the very end, I | 11 | filed -- that was counted in Chester County? |
| 12 | can't see the very end. "Amy Cozy said she was" -- | 12 | A. I don't -- I can't agree with you or not. |
| 13 | "Going to have to tell the DA I did" -- that posed | 13 | I would have to read the whole thing to do that. I |
| 14 | photos were taken." | 14 | don't remember it that well. I don't remember it |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I can't see it. | 15 | that well to answer that question. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir. | 16 | Q. Okay. Let's not do that right now. If |
| 17 | BY MR. FOX: | 17 | the Hearing Committee needs to do that, they can |
| 18 | Q. And will you agree with me that this | 18 | satisfy themselves. Let's look at the same tenure at |
| 19 | relates to the notice and cure issue? | 19 | Exhibit 10, which is at page 88. |
| 20 | A. It does. | 20 | A. I got it. Mr. Meehan? |
| 21 | Q. All right. Thank you. We've talked about | 21 | Q. Yes. And what I'd ask you about this is |
| 22 | those two issues already, so I want to talk about the | 22 | Mr. Meehan's concern is with the security around a |
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| 1 | other exhibits now. | 1 | single drop box. Correct? |
| 2 | MR. FOX: And so let's go to Exhibit 4. Let's | 2 | A. Again, I don't remember. |
| 3 | just | 3 | Q. This is a short one. |
| 4 | put up the first page, but it's a very long -- | 4 | A. I'll read it. I'll read it. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I know. | 5 | (Pause) |
| 6 | MR. FOX: -- affidavit from -- or | 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I do remember this. I |
| 7 | declaration from Mr. Stenstrom, S-t-e-n-s-t-r-o-m. | 7 | remember Mr. Meehan too. Remember why we put it |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | here. |
| 9 | Q. Now, he also testified in Gettysburg, did | 9 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 10 | he not? | 10 | Q. And he also doesn't identify any |
| 11 | A. He did. Yes, sir. | 11 | fraudulent ballot that was cast. |
| 12 | Q. And who was or is Mr. Stenstrom? | 12 | A. Well, I mean I -- I put this here because |
| 13 | A. Well, I don't know him, other than the | 13 | there were a lot of allegations about drop boxes. |
| 14 | testimony that he gave. | 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. | 15 | A. I do -- gosh, I hope I don't have this |
| 16 | A. But I have no further knowledge about it, | 16 | confused with some other state, but I thought you |
| 17 | other than what he says about himself. | 17 | could only put one in. |
| 18 | Q. Well, all I'm going -- all I want to | 18 | Q. And so, if a husband took his wife's and |
| 19 | establish what is a quite long declaration with | 19 | his ballot and dropped them in the drop box that |
| 20 | pictures and so forth, is that the concern that Mr. | 20 | would be election fraud? |
| 21 | Stenstrom is addressing are what he believes are the | 21 | A. No. But if he -- when you look at the |
| 22 | inadequate security measures taken in Chester County. | 22 | movie, if you put 20 in, and you do it every night |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | for 10 nights in a row, then it's a different story. | 1 | A. Did I? I mean I'm not sure I knew at the time we did the pleadings. Katherine came on board a |
| 2 | Like all of this, some of it is innocent. Some | 2 |  |
| 3 | isn't. It depends on what else happens. | 3 | little later -- no, she was there. Okay. No, I |
| 4 | Q. So, can we agree that the concern here is | 4 | didn't. |
| 5 | about the security around a single drop box? | 5 | MR. FOX: Go back to the first page, |
| 6 | A. Yes. But I put it in to illustrate the | 6 | please, on page 73. |
| 7 | security around drop boxes that were very | 7 | THE WITNESS: First page on what? |
| 8 | controversial. | 8 | MR. FOX: I'm talking to the Trial |
| 9 | Q. Let's now look at Exhibit 6, which I think | 9 | Director, but 73 and that's right. Highlight the |
| 10 | you alluded to earlier. It's at page 73. | 10 | first half of it. That's good right there, right |
| 11 | A. Okay. | 11 | there is fine. |
| 12 | Q. This is a memorandum written by Katherine | 12 | THE WITNESS: "Here's the primary way" |
| 13 | Friess, $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge}$ ^r-i-e-s-s, who is she? | 13 | there. |
| 14 | A. Katherine Friess worked on our team as an | 14 | MR. FOX: Right. |
| 15 | investigator -- oh, I'm sorry. Katherine Friess | 15 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 16 | worked on our team as an investigator. She | 16 | Q. Now, Ms. Friess in this memorandum -- |
| 17 | volunteered actually because she came to us | 17 | incidentally, you said you didn't think you had this, |
| 18 | volunteering a great deal of evidence about | 18 | but you didn't -- the initial complaint was filed on |
| 19 | Pittsburgh that she had gather kind of like Mercer. | 19 | November 9 and this dated on -- |
| 20 | She's sort of like the Mercer of Pittsburgh and then | 20 | A. Yeah, 7-2020 is the date of it to Bernie. |
| 21 | she stayed on and did a great deal of investigating | 21 | I probably had it before, but the fact that it went |
| 22 | for us. | 22 | to Bernie on the 7th doesn't really me when I got it. |
|  | Page 211 |  | Page 213 |
| 1 | Q. Okay. Now, let's go to the second page | 1 | Q. Now, in this first half of the first page |
| 2 | first just to verify one thing. The second page of | 2 | that we're looking at is what I would call a fairly |
| 3 | the exhibit, which is 74. | 3 | elaborate scheme of fraud that's laid out here which |
| 4 | MR. FOX: if you'll highlight that | 4 | she says she got because she received a call from a |
| 5 | paragraph against provisional ballots there. | 5 | whistleblower, you see that? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: The one on the top says, | 6 | A. I do see it. Yes. |
| 7 | "Provisional Ballots, November 7." | 7 | Q. But you never included these allegations |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | about this fraudulent scheme anywhere in your |
| 9 | Q. That's the 17,000 ballots in Pittsburgh | 9 | pleadings, did you? |
| 10 | that you were talking about earlier in your | 10 | A. I did not. |
| 11 | testimony. Correct? | 11 | Q. And you didn't assert it in your argument |
| 12 | A. Yes. Yes, that's -- yeah, that's making a | 12 | in front of Judge Brann either, did you? |
| 13 | reference. | 13 | A. Not as far as I can remember. |
| 14 | Q. Yeah. You said there were affidavits | 14 | Q. And is that because it's just -- that it's |
| 15 | attached to it, but there aren't any affidavits | 15 | completely unprovable? |
| 16 | attached to this, are there? | 16 | A. No. Probably because I didn't have an |
| 17 | A. I thought she had given us affidavits. | 17 | affidavit. |
| 18 | Q. And at no place in your pleadings did you | 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | reference this allegation about the 17,000 | 19 | A. Oh, yeah, there's another reason, but I |
| 20 | provisional ballots in Pittsburgh, did you? | 20 | think it's the first one, mainly. Katherine has |
| 21 | A. Sure. | 21 | always maintained that she wouldn't testify because |
| 22 | Q. You did? | 22 | of her prior activities, so therefore I was very |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | careful not to attribute anything to her that we | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Thirteen, right? |
| 2 | couldn't prove some other way. | 2 | MR. FOX: Exhibit 13, page 96, and this is |
| 3 | Q. But she's not the person that claims to | 3 | also another example of somebody had problems with |
| 4 | have personal knowledge of this. She says she got | 4 | their credentials being recognized. |
| 5 | this from a whistleblower who she doesn't identify. | 5 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I probably put this in |
| 6 | A. Now, I'm speculating a little. I'd have | 6 | here because it corroborates -- I was personally |
| 7 | to ask Bernie. It means we never got the | 7 | involved in this part of it and it kind of |
| 8 | whistleblower. | 8 | corroborates the stuff about Pam Bondi and this is |
| 9 | Q. Okay. | 9 | the same -- this is the same thing as Cory |
| 10 | A. I know what you're saying. I would've | 10 | Lewandowski. |
| 11 | gone -- if we had gotten the whistleblower or | 11 | MR. FOX: Oaky. |
| 12 | Katherine would've been out of the picture, we | 12 | THE WITNESS: That's why that's here. |
| 13 | could've used the whistleblower. | 13 | That's not because of the credentials. |
| 14 | Q. So, you were never able to substantiate | 14 | MR. FOX: All right. |
| 15 | these allegations. Correct? | 15 | THE WITNESS: It's corroborate Pam and |
| 16 | A. Yeah, that's correct -- that's fair. | 16 | Cory. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | MR. FOX: So, let's look next at Exhibit |
| 18 | A. And 940 sounds a little -- | 18 | 8 , which is at page 79. |
| 19 | Q. Right. | 19 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 20 | A. The 334 doesn't, but the 940 does. | 20 | Q. Now, this is a declaration of Mr. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. | 21 | Makridis, am I saying his name correctly? |
| 22 | MR. FOX: So, let's go to Exhibit 7. | 22 | A. I think so. |
|  | Page 215 |  | Page 217 |
| 1 | MS. BORRAZAS: What is the page number? | 1 | Q. Mr. Makridis, did he also testify in |
| 2 | MR. FOX: The page number is 77, Exhibit | 2 | Gettysburg, I think, am I right about that? |
| 3 | 7. | 3 | A. I think he did. I'm not sure. I'm not |
| 4 | BY MR. FOX: | 4 | sure, Mr. Fox. |
| 5 | Q. This is a very short one and I just want | 5 | Q. Okay. If he did he would've had a fuller |
| 6 | you to verify that what's been complained here is the | 6 | -- I'll withdraw that question. Mr. Makridis offers |
| 7 | difficulty that one person had with getting her | 7 | a lot of -- he's got a Masters in Economics and |
| 8 | credentials recognized in Philadelphia on Election | 8 | Management, Science, and Engineering and he offers a |
| 9 | Day. | 9 | lot of statistical information in this -- in the |
| 10 | A. Yeah. This has nothing to do with the | 10 | document, does he not? |
| 11 | other issues. You're right. I mean a lot of people | 11 | A. I mean I don't -- I'll have to look at it. |
| 12 | were complaining to me of how miserably they were | 12 | Q. Okay, take a look at it, but I think you |
| 13 | treated and I guess we put this in as an example of | 13 | testified about this before that some chart was very |
| 14 | this. Some even had some allegations of being pushed | 14 | impressive to you. Maybe I'm wrong, but I think |
| 15 | around. | 15 | that's what you said. |
| 16 | Q. Let's look at one of those allegations. | 16 | A. I thought I was talking about Drose. |
| 17 | Look at Exhibit 13, page 96. | 17 | Q. You're right, you were. I apologize. |
| 18 | A. This doesn't bear on what we were talking | 18 | Withdrawn. |
| 19 | about. You're right. | 19 | A. I don't remember -- I don't have a great |
| 20 | Q. So, let's look at Exhibit 13, page 96. | 20 | recollection of Mr. Makridis. |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Is that short too? | 21 | Q. Let me ask the question. You can verify |
| 22 | MR. FOX: It's fairly short. | 22 | it when you look at it -- as you look at it. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Page 2 please, page 80 ? | 1 | extrapolates from some information that a former |
| 2 | Where's page 80 ? Can you go to the next page please? | 2 | Mercer County elections director had given him to |
| 3 | No? | 3 | conclude that you couldn't possibly have counted the |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 4 | provisional ballots in the time that they were |
| 5 | (Pause) | 5 | counted. Correct? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Then we go to the | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | last page? | 7 | Q. And did you find that to be a sensible, |
| 8 | (Pause) | 8 | statistical analysis, this extrapolation from this |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 9 | comment by this one guy? |
| 10 | BY MR. FOX: | 10 | A. I honestly didn't know. |
| 11 | Q. Now, the first part of -- are you | 11 | Q. But this is the one -- |
| 12 | finished? I'm sorry. | 12 | A. It's something I probably if I was going t |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I'm not completely finished. | 13 | go further with this litigation would've followed up |
| 14 | I sort got the gist of it. | 14 | on, but I would've wanted to preserve it as a |
| 15 | BY MR. FOX: | 15 | possibility, but I wasn't going to emphasize it. |
| 16 | Q. The first part of Mr. Makridis's | 16 | Q. This is one of the exhibits you selected |
| 17 | declaration concerns the speed with which provisional | 17 | to demonstrate to us that you had a basis for your |
| 18 | ballots were counted. Correct? | 18 | allegations. Correct? |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. | 19 | A. Sure. I mean, yeah, if people are telling |
| 20 | Q. You make any allegations about the speed | 20 | -- what I was trying to show you was I didn't make |
| 21 | with which provisional ballots were counted in your | 21 | this stuff up. |
| 22 | -- in any of the arguments that you made in front of | 22 | Q. And you didn't include, incidentally, the |
|  | Page 219 |  | Page 221 |
| 1 | the Court? | 1 | Drose report or whatever you would call it. |
| 2 | A. I might have, but not with regard to this. | 2 | A. No, I don't -- no, I didn't. |
| 3 | With regard to -- Mr. Drose does a similar analysis | 3 | Q. Okay. All right. I really am trying to |
| 4 | that I thought was better of the -- first of all, of | 4 | get through all of these. |
| 5 | the overall vote after they closed down and then of | 5 | MR. FOX: So, let's go to Exhibit 11 at |
| 6 | the vote that took place when they threw the people | 6 | 91. |
| 7 | out at -- I don't know if it was midnight or 1 | 7 | THE WITNESS: Can we take a short break? |
| 8 | o'clock on election night. For some reason that | 8 | MR. FOX: I'm happy to do so. We're going |
| 9 | seemed more -- I was thinking more if we had a | 9 | to conclude at 4:00, as I understand it. |
| 10 | hearing I thought that would be easier to explain to | 10 | THE CHAIR: Yeah, I think that -- |
| 11 | a -- and I always think about, you know, a jury, but | 11 | THE WITNESS: It would really take like |
| 12 | easier to explain to a judge. There were two very, | 12 | three minutes, five minutes, no less -- no more. |
| 13 | very extraordinary statistically impossible things | 13 | THE CHAIR: Well, let's take five minutes. |
| 14 | that happened after they closed down the balloting | 14 | THE WITNESS: Five minutes, I promise. |
| 15 | right after midnight on election night. | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Chair, can we go off |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Mr. Giuliani, can we talk about the | 16 | the record for one second? I want to say something |
| 17 | exhibit that's in front of us for the moment? | 17 | personal. Can we? |
| 18 | A. This is kind of -- is another way of | 18 | (Off the record) |
| 19 | getting at the same thing. I don't know as I | 19 | THE WITNESS: Mr. Makridis? |
| 20 | understood it as well. | 20 | MR. FOX: No, no, we're pass that. |
| 21 | Q. Yeah, well, I'm not sure I understand it | 21 | THE WITNESS: All right, yeah, 'cause now |
| 22 | either, but the paragraph on the first page he | 22 | I'm regretting not having used it. |


|  | Page 222 |  | Page 224 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: Exhibit 11 and page 91. | 1 | him out, which I think is destroying our profession, |
| 2 | BY MR. FOX: | 2 | but doesn't seem like Bar Associations care about |
| 3 | Q. And all I want to ask you about this | 3 | that. |
| 4 | exhibit and the next exhibit is to verify that these | 4 | Q. Again, at Exhibit 15, page 106, this talks |
| 5 | were two voters who told you that the record showed | 5 | about -- this is an article about voting fraud and |
| 6 | that they had voted by mail when they said they | 6 | how around the world it's a real problem. But again, |
| 7 | hadn't and they had to file provisional ballots. So, | 7 | it doesn't address any of the specifics of what |
| 8 | I want to ask that question. | 8 | happened in Pennsylvania in 2020. Correct? |
| 9 | A. Number 11 and Number 12? | 9 | A. No -- well, it addresses -- yeah, okay. |
| 10 | Q. Yes, correct, which 12 is at 94. | 10 | It has nothing to do with that, but it addresses a |
| 11 | A. Yeah, I got it, yeah, Jennifer Decker. | 11 | much quoted statement that's made in the New York |
| 12 | Yeah. Yeah, she said she never voted by mail. | 12 | Times that there really is no voter fraud. That it |
| 13 | Q. And if you go to Exhibit 12, page 94, | 13 | somehow is dreamed up. |
| 14 | that's to the same effect. | 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | A. Yes, it is. | 15 | A. I could give you article after article |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And now, the last two exhibits, | 16 | like that from the left. |
| 17 | which will be very quick, first, Exhibit 14, page 99. | 17 | Q. All right. So, I'm finished with -- |
| 18 | This is an article somewhere which talks about how | 18 | you'll be very happy to know that I'm finished with |
| 19 | people like me are unamerican, I guess, but it has | 19 | the exhibits to your letter to us. And I think |
| 20 | nothing to do with the facts of Pennsylvania | 20 | before we break at 4 o'clock there's one other thing |
|  | election. Correct? | 21 | that I want to address which will fit nicely in this. |
| 22 | A. No, I just found the -- no, it -- it does | 22 | MR. FOX: So, I want to go to Exhibit 36 |
|  | Page 223 |  | Page 225 |
| 1 | in many -- it has something to do with what's been | 1 | and 36 -- and specifically let's go to page 104. |
| 2 | going on with me for the last three or four years. | 2 | That's where we are, good. But I need to represent |
| 3 | Yeah. | 3 | that 36 are the declarations that your counsel or you |
| 4 | Q. But there's no specific facts relating to | 4 | submitted to us in the course of this investigation. |
| 5 | the Pennsylvania election that are in this article. | 5 | And as I indicated before, there's a lot of |
| 6 | A. I don't think so. But I mean it has -- | 6 | duplicates in there, but we put everything in. |
| 7 | quite relevant. | 7 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 8 | A. I said I thought I should bring it to your | 8 | Q. Would you agree that many of these |
| 9 | attention because -- | 9 | declarations don't have anything to do with election |
| 10 | Q. I'm not arguing that it's anything | 10 | fraud in the counties that you sued? |
| 11 | inappropriate, Mr. Giuliani. I'm just trying to | 11 | A. Well, I'd have to look at all of them. I |
| 12 | establish, you know, in terms of looking for factual | 12 | don't recall this one. |
| 13 | allegations about Pennsylvania this address a | 13 | Q. I'll withdraw the question, okay, because |
| 14 | different issue? | 14 | I don't want to do that. So, let's look at this |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | declaration from a guy named Douglas Barry. |
| 16 | Q. And the same goes for Exhibit 15 at page | 16 | MR. FOX: If you'll highlight Paragraph 5 |
| 17 | 106. | 17 | and Paragraph 6. |
| 18 | A. Exactly. And one I think Bar Associations | 18 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 19 | have been very, very negligent to do anything about. | 19 | Q. And you'll see where he received a mail-in |
| 20 | I lost a lawyer in the impeachment proceeding because | 20 | ballot application for his son, Michael Barrel Barry, |
| 21 | he was helping us with research and his law firm | 21 | who's been deceased that's what he says in the -- for |
| 22 | drove him out and another one, his law school drove | 22 | three years. He says in first -- Paragraph 5. And |


|  | Page 226 |  | Page 228 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | then in the second paragraph he says the application | 1 | group came here to vote and none of them are local |
| 2 | was addressed to Michael A. Barry. You don't regard | 2 | and live here. |
| 3 | that as any evidence of election fraud, do you? | 3 | A. Yeah. |
| 4 | Somebody sent two people -- you know, somebody with a | 4 | Q. Do you think that was reliable evidence of |
| 5 | similar name, mis-sent the application. | 5 | fraud in the 2020 election in Pennsylvania? |
| 6 | A. Yeah, I'm sorry, I don't -- I just don't | 6 | A. I don't know. We would find out. We |
| 7 | recall this one. | 7 | would certainly follow up on this. It is -- I |
| 8 | Q. But somebody on your team took this down | 8 | wouldn't say -- it is long-term common knowledge |
| 9 | as a declaration in support of your case, right? | 9 | going back to the seventies that Philadelphia almost |
| 10 | A. I guess. Let me see. Let me read it | 10 | routinely buses in people from Camden to vote in |
| 11 | again. Well, on the face of it, it doesn't look like | 11 | Philadelphia elections. I was involved in the |
| 12 | it does. Right, doesn't look like it applies. | 12 | Thornburg governor's election way back in 1978 and |
| 13 | Q. All right. Okay. | 13 | that was a very big problem for us, and once again |
| 14 | A. I don't know why we put it in there. | 14 | '82. |
| 15 | Q. All right. So, let's look at page 126, it | 15 | Q. And so -- |
| 16 | relates to Maria Rutenburg. Now, you may want to | 16 | A. Philadelphia is notoriously corrupt and |
| 17 | take a little time to look at it, but let me tell you | 17 | Black Lives Matter is -- the major donor is George |
| 18 | what I want to highlight here. | 18 | Soros, who's also the major donor of the Democratic |
| 19 | MR. FOX: If you could highlight Paragraph | 19 | Party and Joe Biden and Antifa and the District |
| 20 | 5 and 6. Yeah. | 20 | Attorney in Philadelphia, who basically has driven |
| 21 | BY MR. FOX: | 21 | the crime rates in Philadelphia to record levels. |
| 22 | Q. And you'll see here that she witnessed | 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's not answering the |
|  | Page 227 |  | Page 229 |
| 1 | people in the lobby of a hotel -- | 1 | question. |
| 2 | A. Right. | 2 | THE WITNESS: So, would Black Lives Matter |
| 3 | Q. -- with a lot of Black Lives Matter gear, | 3 | have an interest in being involved in this election |
| 4 | see that? | 4 | in Philadelphia? Yeah. I mean there's a lot of |
| 5 | A. I see it. | 5 | connections here. |
| 6 | Q. Then if you'll go to the next page -- | 6 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you go back to the | 7 | Q. Okay. So -- |
| 8 | next page, at the bottom. I want to read the bottom. | 8 | A. It doesn't mean that it's all going to |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Let's let you read the whole | 9 | turn out that way, but I wouldn't be shocked if they |
| 10 | thing then. | 10 | bused a bunch of Black Lives Matter people to vote in |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah. | 11 | Philadelphia. |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Because it's the third page I | 12 | Q. Okay. |
| 13 | wanted to look at, but let John read the whole thing. | 13 | A. Knowing that the District Attorney there |
| 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Keep going. | 14 | would never, ever prosecute. |
| 15 | MR. FOX: And so, would you highlight | 15 | Q. Okay. And so that's based -- |
| 16 | please Paragraphs -- or maybe -- I think they're | 16 | A. -- anybody. |
| 17 | perfectly visible, 28, 29, and 30. | 17 | Q. And this declaration is based on an |
| 18 | BY MR. FOX: | 18 | observation of some woman from out of town who sees |
| 19 | Q. So, this is based on a statement by an | 19 | Black Lives Matter people in her hotel and then a |
| 20 | Uber driver, who's not fully identified, although his | 20 | statement from an Uber driver. |
| 21 | license plates are, that it's common knowledge that | 21 | A. Yeah. Maybe you and I have a different |
| 22 | BLM, which I take it stands for Black Lives Matters, | 22 | view of Black Lives Matter. I know Black Lives |


|  | Page 230 |  | Page 232 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Matter to be a communist-run organization by two | 1 | I don't that it even qualifies as evidence of fraud, |
| 2 | self-acknowledged communists. It's supported | 2 | maybe a weed that you'd have to take a look at. It |
| 3 | completely by Soros and at every rally they hold, | 3 | would go either way. It might turn out to be |
| 4 | they yell out pigs in a blanket, fry them like bacon, | 4 | perfectly appropriate. Might turn out to be |
| 5 | which basically means kill police officers. Two of | 5 | something, particularly in light of the fact that |
| 6 | their major advisors are two cop killers who were | 6 | there were a lot of allegations in Pennsylvania, but |
| 7 | released, pardoned by Bill Clinton, and one of their | 7 | other places also, that there was a lot of fraud with |
| 8 | other major advisors is a member of the Chinese | 8 | regard to nursing homes. |
| 9 | Communist Party. So, I have a different view of | 9 | Q. Okay. |
| 10 | Black Lives Matter than the politically correct view. | 10 | A. That does means that it applies to this or |
| 11 | Q. Okay. | 11 | not, but that's the way you follow up leads. |
| 12 | A. But now I'll tell you something really | 12 | Q. Okay. |
| 13 | funny. I don't remember this, but do I think it's | 13 | MR. FOX: And the last one I want to look |
| 14 | appropriate to have it in here? You're darn right I | 14 | at is page -- |
| 15 | do. | 15 | THE WITNESS: But again, I should |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Let's go to -- and I've only got | 16 | emphasize obviously I didn't go any further with this |
| 17 | two more of these and this may take us to 4 o'clock. | 17 | or the one before this because either we didn't have |
| 18 | A. I'd like to emphasize I'd investigate this | 18 | a chance to investigate it any further or we did and |
| 19 | before I did anything with it, which is why you don't | 19 | we didn't find anything. |
| 20 | see anything in the papers of that. | 20 | MR. FOX: The last one I want to go to is |
| 21 | Q. Go to page 160 , which is the declaration | 21 | page 498 and I think this one will be more in the |
| 22 | of John Deitzel I guess it would be pronounced. Take | 22 | area that you did investigate and this is an |
|  | Page 231 |  | Page 233 |
| 1 | a look at this. It's not a very long one and then | 1 | affidavit of somebody named Joseph Schmitz. |
| 2 | we'll ask a question or two about this. | 2 | THE WITNESS: Joseph what? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Could you go up or down a | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Schmitz. |
| 4 | little? | 4 | THE WITNESS: Schmitz? Oh, Schmitz. |
| 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Slow down. Slow down. | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Could you go back just a | 6 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 7 | little? Okay. | 7 | MR. FOX: You thought I misspoke. |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | THE WITNESS: I thought you did. |
| 9 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, MR. Deitzel says he saw | 9 | MR. FOX: And I suspect I know how. And |
| 10 | a bus transporting some people from the Assisted | 10 | he says in the second paragraph, if you'd highlight |
| 11 | Living facility and when the got to the polls, poll | 11 | that. That he was an Inspector General with the |
| 12 | workers were telling them how to mark their ballots, | 12 | Department of Defense. Okay. And then if you'll go |
| 13 | right? | 13 | on down the page a little bit -- if you need to go |
| 14 | A. That's what he says. | 14 | back I'll certainly let you do so, but I want to just |
| 15 | Q. And there's no other explanation as to | 15 | highlight a couple things for you. I'm sorry, go |
| 16 | what "mark their ballots" means, such as, for | 16 | back. There's a statement where he -- |
| 17 | example, for whom they were marking the ballots. | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What exhibit is this, by |
| 18 | Correct? | 18 | the way/ |
| 19 | A. That's correct. | 19 | MR. FOX: It's back in the same exhibit. |
| 20 | Q. And do you think it's evidence of fraud -- | 20 | It's page -- it's Exhibit 36, page 498. |
| 21 | A. I don't -- I think it's worthy to -- you'd | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And his name is Schmitz, |
| 22 | want to look into it. No, I don't know that it's -- | 22 | right? |


|  | Page 234 |  | Page 236 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: I'm sorry. You need to go up a | 1 | MR. FOX: All right. Let's look at the |
| 2 | little bit more. I'm sorry. I apologize. Okay, | 2 | last -- the second bullet point that starts on the |
| 3 | it's right before the bullet point. He says, "I | 3 | bottom of the page. |
| 4 | personally witnessed" -- it says, "witnested the | 4 | THE WITNESS: Black Lives Matter, the |
| 5 | widespread voter fraud and systemic election | 5 | second one, and the third one I didn't see any of |
| 6 | corruption in York County, Pennsylvania." And I want | 6 | those three. They had nothing to do with the |
| 7 | to look at what he said was the systemic fraud. | 7 | argument I made in court. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: If it helps you, I've never | 8 | MR. FOX: The second bullet point talks |
| 9 | seen this before. | 9 | about the handling of York County of provisional |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Okay. But I still want -- | 10 | ballots. These are the ones where they don't count |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: This is completely -- | 11 | it immediately. It's passed onto the Election Board |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Well, look at the first bullet | 12 | and the Election Board makes a determination. So, |
| 13 | point and the sub-bullet points here. You'll see | 13 | take your time to look at that. |
| 14 | he's talking about notice and cure for naked ballots | 14 | (Pause) |
| 15 | in York County, Pennsylvania, and I'll let you take | 15 | THE WITNESS: Could you just get down to |
| 16 | your time to read this. And he's also talking about | 16 | the very end. There you go. Good. Yeah, yeah. |
| 17 | giving both Republicans and Democrats the opportunity | 17 | Okay. |
| 18 | to contact voters. Take your time and read that and | 18 | MR. FOX: And if you'll highlight the |
| 19 | make sure I've got that right. | 19 | paragraphs where it says, "Of these 222 deficient |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's what it says. | 20 | provisional ballots" and then bullet points below |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Let me read it. Let me | 21 | that. That's right, right there. |
| 22 | read it with -- myself. Could you go up please? No, | 22 | BY MR. FOX: |
|  | Page 235 |  | Page 237 |
| 1 | I mean the other way. Yeah. Sorry. | 1 | Q. Okay. Now, what he says here was that the |
| 2 | MR. FOX: I'm going to come to the second | 2 | Board reviewed -- and this is in York County -- |
| 3 | one in a minute. I want to talk about the first | 3 | reviewed these ballots, passed 60 percent of them, |
| 4 | bullet point. They're separate points. | 4 | counted the ballots and rejected the other 40 |
| 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. Good. | 5 | percent, 222 ballots for the reasons that were set |
| 6 | (Mr. Leventhal reading the document) | 6 | forth here, such as the voter wasn't registered or |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Go ahead. | 7 | the signatures were missing and so forth and so on. |
| 8 | MR. FOX: Okay. | 8 | Do you regard this as evidence of widespread fraud? |
| 9 | BY MR. FOX: | 9 | A. I really -- I just really shouldn't |
| 10 | Q. So, do you agree that giving both the | 10 | express an opinion on it. I didn't -- this didn't |
| 11 | Republicans and the Democrats the opportunity for | 11 | form the basis for anything I did because I didn't |
| 12 | notice and cure of 500 naked ballots out of | 12 | read it. You're giving me a hypothetical allegation |
| 13 | approximately 70,000 was evidence of widespread | 13 | of fraud and asking me do I regard it as fraud or |
| 14 | fraud? | 14 | not. |
| 15 | A. No, I don't agree this or disagree with | 15 | Q. Okay. |
| 16 | it. I mean I've never seen this before. I have no | 16 | A. I'd have to investigate it to find out. |
| 17 | evidence it's true. | 17 | Q. Okay. York County was not a Defendant, so |
| 18 | Q. Well, it was from your file. | 18 | maybe that's one of the reasons you didn't |
| 19 | A. The last three I've given you hypothetical | 19 | investigate, right? |
| 20 | opinions about because they have nothing to do with | 20 | A. I don't think I investigated it. I never |
| 21 | the argument I made and they had nothing to do with | 21 | remember seeing it nor the last two. Now, I don't |
| 22 | what didn't report because I didn't see them. | 22 | know where this came from. |


|  | Page 238 |  | Page 240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Trump won York County by not quite 62 | 1 | you of that. I've never -- I don't have them here, |
| 2 | percent of the vote, right? | 2 | never seen them. |
| 3 | A. Pardon me? | 3 | MR. FOX: Trial Director, this is the last |
| 4 | Q. Trump won York County by about not quite | 4 | thing I want to do. I want to -- this is part of |
| 5 | 62 percent of the vote; do you know that? | 5 | Exhibit 36, what we've been looking at, these last |
| 6 | A. No, I didn't know that. | 6 | four. I want to go to -- well, I'll just -- I'll |
| 7 | Q. Are these four declarations part of the | 7 | withdraw, other than to represent as we have -- as we |
| 8 | 300 declarations that you -- or 250 that you have | 8 | represented when we moved these in, that these were |
| 9 | represented that you had which was evidence of | 9 | the exhibits that were submitted by your counsel on |
| 10 | widespread fraud? | 10 | your behalf from your file. |
| 11 | A. No, those would've been the ones that I | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's the supplement |
| 12 | read. The ones that I indicated to you I was aware | 12 | list, is that correct? It's not part of the |
| 13 | of are the ones that I would've been referencing in | 13 | original. These are things that we found later on, |
| 14 | terms of the 250 or 300 that I had. And the only | 14 | so Mr. Giuliani may not have had those at the time. |
| 15 | confusion there may be I was confusing some of them | 15 | That's a supplemental -- |
| 16 | with others that I had for other states at the time | 16 | THE WITNESS: I'm happy to show you the |
| 17 | that I said it, but that's about the right number. | 17 | list that I had. |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Fox, could I ask you a | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's not the first |
| 19 | question? Exhibit 9 does that have all our exhibits | 19 | thrash that we gave you. |
| 20 | in one that we gave you? | 20 | MR. FOX: Presented by you on August 31. |
| 21 | MR. FOX: Exhibit 9 is the second amended | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Say again. |
| 22 | complaint. | 22 | MR. FOX: Presented by you on August 31, |
|  | Page 239 |  | Page 241 |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Right. But I'm saying | 1 | Mr. Leventhal. |
| 2 | that you said you have all our exhibits and our | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah, that was not part of |
| 3 | exhibits are in Exhibit 1 of our submission. | 3 | the original declarations that I gave you. We found |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember | 4 | these later on, so I'm not sure Mr. Giuliani had |
| 5 | those. | 5 | them, but I thought we were under obligation to turn |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You don't have all of | 6 | everything over to you. |
| 7 | those. | 7 | MR. FOX: Okay. We're at 4 o'clock and |
| 8 | THE CHAIR: We can work out the numbering | 8 | I'm ready to move onto something else. |
| 9 | of exhibits when the hearing is concluded. | 9 | THE WITNESS: What's the number of this |
| 10 | MR. FOX: I'm about ready to wrap this up. | 10 | exhibit? |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 11 | THE CHAIR: At the request of Respondents, |
| 12 | MR. FOX: And what I'm trying to | 12 | we're going to terminate the hearing right now and we |
| 13 | understand is -- | 13 | will resume tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. Anything |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: And I should tell you, Mr. | 14 | further we need to discuss? |
| 15 | Fox, they're not in -- you know, in order to prepare | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I just would like to ask |
| 16 | for this I reviewed all the exhibits I thought we | 16 | Mr. Fox how much longer do you have on Mr. Giuliani? |
| 17 | gave you. Those were not in those exhibits. | 17 | MR. FOX: I actually think we ought to be |
| 18 | MR. FOX: Okay. | 18 | able to conclude tomorrow morning. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: So, they could not have | 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And then I'll give a brief |
| 20 | formed the basis for my statement that I had 250 or | 20 | questioning and then Mr. Ortiz is going to go? |
| 21 | 300 or any of the things that I wrote or said that | 21 | MR. FOX: That's my understanding, yes. |
| 22 | you want to hold me responsible for. I can assure | 22 | That's my plan. He's the only other witness I've |
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|  | Page 242 |  | Page 244 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | got. | 1 | CONTENTS |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 2 | WITNESS PAGE |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Mr. Fox, just so you | 3 | Rudolph Giuliani |
| 4 | understand, the only exhibits that I was aware of are | 4 | by Mr. Fox 42 |
| 5 | Exhibit -- what was this, 36? | 5 |  |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: This is 36, yeah. | 6 |  |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Exhibit 36, those are the | 7 | EXHIBIT NUMBER PAGE |
| 8 | ones that I -- | 8 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit Nos. 1 through 23, 25, 27, and 29 through 40, |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, no, yours Exhibit 1 | 9 |  |
| 10 | that we submitted. | 10 | admitted in evidence 13 |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I know, but I've never | 11 | Respondent's Exhibit Nos. 1 through 6, 8 through $10,12,15$ through 37 , and 39 , |
| 12 | seen those. | 12 |  |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. And when we go | 13 | admitted in evidence $13$ |
| 14 | off the record, I'd like to say something to | 14 |  |
| 15 | everyone. | 15 |  |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Okay. We'll terminate -- | 16 |  |
| 17 | we'll have what's essentially a bench conference, I | 17 |  |
| 18 | think, and we'll terminate the public proceeding and | 18 |  |
| 19 | Mr. Leventhal, you can approach the bench when the | 19 |  |
| 20 | technologically adapted our setup here. | 20 |  |
| 21 | MS. BORRAZAS: All right. The livestream | 21 |  |
| 22 | has been stopped. Just to be clear, do you expect | 22 |  |
|  | Page 243 |  | Page 245 |
| 1 | the court reporter to keep typing or no? | 1 | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. | 2 |  |
| 3 | MS. BORRAZAS: Okay. | 3 | I, GAYNELL CATHERINE, Court Reporter, do hereby |
| 4 | Mr. LEVENTHAL: Your Honor, can we go off | 4 | certify that that the testimony contained herein is a |
| 5 | the record. | 5 | true record of the testimony given by said witness, |
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