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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Continued virtual hearing, taken via Zoom, | 1 | APPEARANCES CONTINUED: |
| 2 | commencing at 9:02 a.m., before the Ad Hoc Hearing | 2 | On behalf of Respondent: |
| 3 | Committee, and before Kim M. Brantley, a Court | 3 | HON. JOHN M. LEVENTHAL, (RET.) ESQUIRE |
| 4 | Reporter and Notary Public in and for the District | 4 | HON. BARRY KAMINS, (RET.) ESQUIRE |
| 5 | of Columbia, when were present on behalf of the | 5 | AIDALA, BERTUNA \& KAMINS, PC |
| 6 | respective parties: | 6 | 546 Fifth Avenue - Sixth Floor |
| 7 |  | 7 | New York, New York 10036 |
| 8 |  | 8 | (212) 486-0011 E-mail |
| 9 |  | 9 | Email: judgeleventhal@aidalalaw.com |
| 10 |  | 10 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 11 |  | 11 | AZADEH MATINPOUR, Paralegal |
| 12 |  | 12 | DC Disciplinary Counsel |
| 13 |  | 13 |  |
| 14 |  | 14 | JOHN ESPOZITO |
| 15 |  | 15 | Aidala Bertuna \& Kamins, PC |
| 16 |  | 16 |  |
| 17 |  | 17 | JON ORTIZ, ESQUIRE |
| 18 |  | 18 | DC Disciplinary Counsel Expert Witness |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |
| 20 |  | 20 | JIM PHALEN, ESQUIRE |
| 21 |  | 21 | MEGHAN BORAZZAS, Staff |
| 22 |  | 22 | Office of the Executive Attorney |
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| 1 | APPEARANCES: | 1 | I N D E X |
| 2 | Ad Hoc Hearing Committee: | 2 | DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S CASE: |
| 3 | ROBERT C. BERNIUS, ESQUIRE | 3 | WITNESSES: DIRECT: CROSS: |
| 4 | Chair | 4 | Rudolph Giuliani 283365 |
| 5 | MS. CAROLYN HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL | 5 | Daniel Ortiz 529 (Voir dire) |
| 6 | Public Member | 6 | 533 |
| 7 | JAY BROZOST, ESQUIRE | 7 |  |
| 8 | Attorney Member | 8 |  |
| 9 |  | 9 |  |
| 10 | On behalf of the DC Attorney Disciplinary | 10 |  |
| 11 | System: | 11 |  |
| 12 | HAMILTON P. FOX, III, ESQUIRE | 12 |  |
| 13 | Disciplinary Counsel | 13 |  |
| 14 | JASON HORRELL, ESQUIRE | 14 |  |
| 15 | Assistant Disciplinary Counsel | 15 |  |
| 16 | 515 Fifth Street NW, Ste. A-117 | 16 |  |
| 17 | Washington, DC 20001 | 17 |  |
| 18 | (202) 638-1501 | 18 |  |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |
| 20 |  | 20 |  |
| 21 |  | 21 |  |
| 22 |  | 22 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S | 1 | perhaps to have a witness or two. And I don't |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: This is a | 2 | know if they could be ready right after this |
| 3 | continuation of the disciplinary hearing in the | 3 | election -- right after this hearing. |
| 4 | matter of Rudolph W. Giuliani, Docket No. | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, that's why -- |
| 5 | 2020-D253. | 5 | that's why I'm saying now, if you're going to -- |
| 6 | I have a couple of administrative | 6 | if you're going to have documentary evidence, you |
| 7 | matters before we begin. First we have a new | 7 | can put -- you can on evidence or not as you see |
| 8 | court reporter so I need to give the oath to her. | 8 | fit. But what I'm saying is that it will have to |
| 9 | (Court Reporter sworn.) | 9 | be presented as part of this continuous hearing at |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: One more | 10 | the -- you know, after -- after the liability |
| 11 | administrative detail. For the benefit of the | 11 | phase is complete, ready to go. |
| 12 | respondent -- the respondent and his attorneys, | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: The people who we're |
| 13 | this is -- this proceeding is basically a | 13 | talking about -- people I don't know if they'll be |
| 14 | bifurcated proceeding. There's a -- we're in | 14 | available that particular minute. |
| 15 | the -- the liability phase right now and, at the | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, I -- |
| 16 | end of the testimony at that phase, the committee | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: If the hearing's |
| 17 | meets to decide whether there's been a showing of | 17 | over -- I don't even know when the hearing |
| 18 | a -- to make a probable -- whether or not there is | 18 | actually is going to be over. I can't -- |
| 19 | reason to believe that a -- a violation occurred. | 19 | MR. GIULIANI: Won't there be some time |
| 20 | If -- if we make that nonbinding determination, | 20 | to decide it? |
| 21 | the proceeding moves into the second phase, which | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah, can't we -- can't |
| 22 | is the sanctions phase, at which point | 22 | we have maybe a day adjournment for -- for these |
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| 1 | Disciplinary Counsel can offer evidence in | 1 | people? |
| 2 | aggravation and respondent can offer evidence in | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, I think |
| 3 | mitigation of sanction. | 3 | somebody ought to get -- from your office ought to |
| 4 | I'm just mentioning that now because we | 4 | get working on it and -- and realize that if and |
| 5 | will continue right into the sanctions phase after | 5 | when the need arises. |
| 6 | the committee meets to make -- to decide whether | 6 | Anything else? |
| 7 | it's made the determination. If it makes the | 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes. If -- would |
| 8 | determination, we'll continue right with the | 8 | character letters in lieu of testimony -- maybe |
| 9 | sanctions phase. There will be no adjournment. | 9 | one or two, instead of -- because I can't |
| 10 | So I just wanted to give you a head's | 10 | guarantee -- we don't know when this is exactly |
| 11 | up. If you've got evidence on sanction, as a | 11 | going to be over, and then -- |
| 12 | contingent matter you should have it ready to go | 12 | It's up to you. |
| 13 | at the end of the liability phase. | 13 | MR. GIULIANI: Won't there be a delay |
| 14 | Is there anything else we need to | 14 | to decide it? |
| 15 | discuss before we begin? | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yeah -- no, they -- no, |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Chair, I don't know | 16 | they -- |
| 17 | if we're going to have actual -- you know, we're | 17 | MR. GIULIANI: They're going to decide |
| 18 | hoping that there'll be no sanction, obviously, | 18 | it like that? |
| 19 | but if there is a sanction, we -- we would like to | 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: They do and it's a |
| 20 | either have -- besides oral argument on Mr. | 20 | preliminary hearing. I'll talk to you later. |
| 21 | Giuliani's behalf, on his past contributions to | 21 | MR. GIULIANI: Oh, that's great. No |
| 22 | this country, and et cetera, we would like also | 22 | consideration. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Oh, I'm not seen? I'm | 1 | RUDOLPH GIULIANI, |
| 2 | so used to talking into the other screen. I | 2 | called as a witness by Disciplinary Counsel, and |
| 3 | apologize. | 3 | after having been previously sworn, was further |
| 4 | So there's no adjournment even to get |  | examined and testified as follows: |
| 5 | someone to testify live? | 5 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Like I said, this -- | 6 | ON BEHALF OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |
| 7 | this hearing has been scheduled for a long time | 7 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 8 | and if -- if there is an issue, we can discuss it | 8 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, we concluded yesterday in |
| 9 | at the time the issue arises. I'm just giving you | 9 | talking about some of the declarations that were |
| 10 | a head's up to avoid any problem to the extent | 10 | part of Exhibit 36, which had been submitted by |
| 11 | that we can. | 11 | your lawyers, and I wanted to spend a moment on |
| 12 | In terms of what evidence you might | 12 | talking about the origins of those declarations, |
| 13 | want to submit in the -- in any sanctions phase, | 13 | because I think -- |
| 14 | should it happen, I can't advise you on that. | 14 | A. Well, just remind me again where we |
| 15 | That's up to you. | 15 | were -- where we concluded yesterday, so I get the |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. So -- | 16 | right paper in front of me. I think I remember. |
| 17 | but -- but letters are appropriate. Is that | 17 | Q. I'm going to put the paper up and |
| 18 | correct? | 18 | I'll -- |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, no, I can't -- | 19 | A. Thank you. |
| 20 | like I said, Mr. Leventhal, I can't give you an | 20 | Q. -- I'll refer you to the paper. |
| 21 | advisory opinion as to what's going to be -- | 21 | A. Good, good, good. |
| 22 | what's acceptable or not. | 22 | Q. We discussed --I think we concluded |
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| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 1 | yesterday with discussing four declarations that |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- I would -- | 2 | had come out of Exhibit 36, which were documents |
| 3 | that's not my role and it cannot be my role. I | 3 | submitted by your lawyers, and I think there was |
| 4 | hope you understand that. | 4 | some discussion at the end about the -- what those |
| 5 | MR. GIULIANI: As soon as -- as soon as | 5 | documents were, and so I want to focus on that |
| 6 | this is -- this part is concluded -- | 6 | briefly this morning. |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: They make a | 7 | So the first thing I'd like to do is to |
| 8 | preliminary -- | 8 | refer you to Exhibit 33, Disciplinary Counsel |
| 9 | MR. GIULIANI: You're going to make a | 9 | Exhibit 33. |
| 10 | decision on -- on the spot? | 10 | MR. GIULIANI: Which one is 33. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We make a | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: He's putting it up. |
| 12 | preliminary, nonbinding decision as to whether or | 12 | MR. FOX: And if we'll go to Ithink |
| 13 | not there has been -- a violation has been proved. | 13 | the second page of that exhibit, okay. Can you |
| 14 | It is preliminary, it is nonbinding, but that's -- | 14 | make that a little larger. |
| 15 | that's the process. And you can take a look at | 15 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 16 | the rules and see how it's spelled out. | 16 | Q. Now, you'll see this is a letter that |
| 17 | MR. GIULIANI: We would object to that, | 17 | we wrote on the 27th of July in 2020 to your |
| 18 | right? I hope we deserve that. | 18 | lawyers, and if you will go down to the first |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, are you | 19 | paragraph of the letter, you'll see that we refer |
| 20 | ready to go? | 20 | there to some statements that you made in court or |
| 21 | MR. FOX: I am. | 21 | to the legislature or to the public, which were |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You may proceed. | 22 | based on certain documents and videotapes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | You see that? | 1 | Q. Okay, and let's just get the date of |
| 2 | A. Yes, I do. | 2 | this email, if we can. And you see -- what's the |
| 3 | Q. Had you seen this letter before? | 3 | date there? |
| 4 | A. Oh, yes. | 4 | A. I see August 23 rd there at the top. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. | 5 | Monday, August 23rd. |
| 6 | A. I saw it. | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | Q. And then if you'll go down to the third | 7 | A. 2021, 2:51 p.m. |
| 8 | paragraph of the letter, we refer to the 300 | 8 | Q. Now let's go to Exhibit 36, which is |
| 9 | witnesses in the oral argument, that you mentioned | 9 | the exhibit we looked at yesterday. This is the |
| 10 | in the oral argument, and then we asked you to | 10 | one that contained the declarations that we |
| 11 | provide copies of all affidavits, declarations or | 11 | discussed yesterday. First look at the date on -- |
| 12 | other detailed statements that provide the factual | 12 | on this -- that's right, that focus. |
| 13 | bases for your claims. | 13 | Look at the -- if you -- can you read |
| 14 | Do you remember we asked for those? | 14 | the date there? |
| 15 | A. I do. | 15 | A. The August 31, 2021 date? |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And just to be clear, in this | 16 | Q. Yeah, a Tuesday, okay? |
| 17 | letter, and we can point it to you also, we also | 17 | A. Got it. |
| 18 | asked for some other stuff, some photographs and | 18 | Q. And then you'll see that -- and can you |
| 19 | audio recordings and three specific affidavits | 19 | read the subject of the -- of the matter that was |
| 20 | that you referred to your Gettysburg proceedings. | 20 | transmitted? |
| 21 | A. Yes, sir. | 21 | A. Christina Pesce shared the folder |
| 22 | Q. And if you'll see, the date on this, we | 22 | "Signed Declarations" with you. Assuming that |
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| 1 | asked that you provide this stuff by August 17th. | 1 | means John, right, and Barry, right, okay. |
| 2 | If you look -- | 2 | Q. Right, okay. So Exhibit 36 purported |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Yeah, highlight that. | 3 | to be the declarations that we had asked for in |
| 4 | BY MR. FOX: | 4 | our letter of -- in July that supported the |
| 5 | Q. -- "Please respond by August 17th". | 5 | various statements that you had made to the court |
| 6 | See that? | 6 | and also to the state legislature and to the |
| 7 | A. Mm-hmm. | 7 | public, correct? |
| 8 | Q. Okay. If you'll look next to Exhibit | 8 | A. I'm sorry, is that a question? |
| 9 | 35, which is a letter from your -- a transmission | 9 | Q. Yeah, is that -- is that what you |
| 10 | from your counsel. | 10 | understood was being provided? |
| 11 | MR. FOX: Yeah, if you highlight that | 11 | A. Yes, I -- now that I look at it, that's |
| 12 | portion if you can. | 12 | what appears to have been provided. It's kind of |
| 13 | BY MR. FOX: | 13 | a little different than that, but I tried to |
| 14 | Q. It's an email from Mr. Leventhal, and | 14 | explain that at the beginning. |
| 15 | he indicates he's providing some photographs and | 15 | Q. Okay. |
| 16 | so forth. | 16 | A. In that group -- in that group there |
| 17 | And then you -- in the third paragraph | 17 | are affidavits -- no, okay. |
| 18 | he says, "We are planning on transmitting the | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I interject -- |
| 19 | statements, et cetera, to you by next Monday or | 19 | THE WITNESS: It's a more mixed group |
| 20 | Tuesday". | 20 | than that. |
| 21 | You see that, sir? | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I interject, |
| 22 | A. I do. | 22 | Mr. Fox -- |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: No, no, no. | 1 | Of these -- you say you remember that |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You and I had -- of a | 2 | some exhibits were missing, some declarations were |
| 3 | rolling admission. You and I had an agreement of | 3 | missing. |
| 4 | a rolling admission. | 4 | A. Right. I'm thinking of one right now. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Do -- do you want me to | 5 | Q. And -- okay. And these are |
| 6 | clarify it or not? I mean, I'm happy to do it. | 6 | declarations that have -- do any of these missing |
| 7 | Mr. Fox, would you like me to clarify it or not? | 7 | declarations have direct evidence of election |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | fraud in the 2020 Pennsylvania election? |
| 9 | Q. Yeah, go ahead, clarify. | 9 | A. I would say yes, but we might debate |
| 10 | A. Well, I thought I said at the | 10 | it, you know, if circumstantial evidence. It |
| 11 | beginning, if I didn't say it clearly enough, that | 11 | depends on how you define direct evidence. |
| 12 | contained the affidavits that we had. Some of | 12 | There's -- |
| 13 | those I used in the allegations, as you can | 13 | Q. Evidence of a particular -- |
| 14 | patently see. Some of them I didn't use. I can't | 14 | A. Evidence -- evidence from which you can |
| 15 | say that I remember every single one of them. I | 15 | make an argument that there was fraud. Yes, they |
| 16 | say I remember the vast majority. I've been | 16 | do. |
| 17 | through them three times now. And I also know | 17 | Q. And was there any evidence, for |
| 18 | there were other affidavits that we haven't found | 18 | example, that a particularly identified voter |
| 19 | that I relied on and oral statements that I relied | 19 | voted who was not authorized to vote? |
| 20 | on. | 20 | A. Well, yes. There are some in there and |
| 21 | Q. Okay. | 21 | I -- I'd have to reserve that. It seemed to me |
| 22 | A. So, to paint it as -- on other either | 22 | that this is just a vague recollection, that there |
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| 1 | side too extremely, in other words, these all | 1 | were a few more such allegations than we supplied. |
| 2 | support everything I said in the notes. Some were | 2 | If I may, just quick explanation, the documents |
| 3 | used; some were rejected. I remember them all, | 3 | here were very Helter Skelter. They were in a lot |
| 4 | most of them. And were there others? Yes, there | 4 | of different places. And when we finished in |
| 5 | were others that we can't find. | 5 | Washington, everybody went off in different |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | places, and I had to reassemble these from other |
| 7 | A. I think that would be a better way to | 7 | people. They weren't -- they weren't like all in |
| 8 | describe what that package is. And we have found | 8 | my -- they weren't all in my possession. Some of |
| 9 | a few others, not many, and as we found them, | 9 | them were in my possession; some were in Christina |
| 10 | we've sent them to you. | 10 | Bob's possession; some of them were in |
| 11 | Q. And have you found any others that have | 11 | Christiani's possession; Maria Ryan. |
| 12 | contained direct evidence of election fraud in the | 12 | I tried to bring them all together. |
| 13 | Pennsylvania election? | 13 | Q. Are you aware of any missing statements |
| 14 | A. Whatever we found we sent you. I don't | 14 | that demonstrate that a ballot was fraudulently |
| 15 | know how -- I don't -- I'd have to go over what we | 15 | cast in the 2020 presidential election in |
| 16 | sent you to tell you if we did or we didn't. We | 16 | Pennsylvania? |
| 17 | didn't -- we didn't send them to you -- we didn't | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | just send you ones that contained direct evidence. | 18 | Q. And can you tell us what those |
| 19 | We sent you what we have. We viewed your request | 19 | statements are that are missing? |
| 20 | as, send us everything you have. | 20 | A. I can tell you in a very general -- in |
| 21 | Q. No, and you viewed it correctly. But | 21 | a very general way. There is a -- there's a |
| 22 | my -- my question -- let me ask you this... | 22 | statement -- this might be more in the nature of a |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | here -- there's one that I -- I remember in the | 1 | somewhere considerably more affidavits, although |
| 2 | nature of the hearsay statement from a worker in | 2 | there are a lot anyway, of people alleging |
| 3 | another jurisdiction talking about her | 3 | indifferent -- almost all -- not almost all, most |
| 4 | conversation with a worker in Allegheny County in | 4 | of it pertains to Allegheny and to Philadelphia |
| 5 | which they discussed their similar training. I | 5 | counties, little bits to Delaware of people who |
| 6 | think it's a worker in Detroit and a worker in | 6 | were shut out in various ways. But, we had so |
| 7 | Allegheny County. And we don't have that in | 7 | many of those that there was a point at which I |
| 8 | either file, and I've been searching for it for | 8 | told probably Christiani, I don't remember, which |
| 9 | three -- for three months. | 9 | who I said, you know, "Put them in a separate |
| 10 | There are lesser, smaller affidavits or | 10 | file. We don't need any more of these". But |
| 11 | declarations that involve lesser numbers than that | 11 | they're somewhere and they're in addition to the |
| 12 | about what happened in Philadelphia over the five- | 12 | ones that were immediately available. I don't |
| 13 | or six-day period. They would be more in the | 13 | think it's of much moment because with 55 of them, |
| 14 | nature of supplemental or duplicative, or in the | 14 | and the -- and the amounts of votes that they |
| 15 | trial, if I put them in, a judge might object, | 15 | would represent, it's really just extra. |
| 16 | which is repeating what we have already. | 16 | Q. Let me just make sure I understand. |
| 17 | But there are -- just hold one second. | 17 | You say that you had 55 statements from people who |
| 18 | I tried to recreate this last night. | 18 | attempted to vote and were told that they had |
| 19 | Because I knew -- I kind of figured you'd ask | 19 | already voted by mail? |
| 20 | this. I don't know where I put it. Oh, here it | 20 | A. No, 55 pole watchers were unable to see |
| 21 | is. | 21 | anything. |
| 22 | I went through the -- the main | 22 | Q. Oh, 55 pole watchers were -- |
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| 1 | affidavit that we gave you, meaning all of the | 1 | A. About equally divided between |
| 2 | declarations, and I wrote down where I -- I | 2 | Pittsburgh and Philadelphia. The number who -- |
| 3 | thought there would be others. I can't say they | 3 | the number who said that they -- there was -- the |
| 4 | add anything new, but they supplement what was | 4 | numbers who came in, prepared to vote and denied |
| 5 | there. For example, of the -- of the affidavits | 5 | that they had voted by mail was the number in the |
| 6 | and declarations that we gave to you, there were a | 6 | affidavits we gave you is approximately 36. |
| 7 | very, very large number on people that had alleged | 7 | Q. Thirty-six, okay. And those 36 people |
| 8 | they already voted yet had come in to vote -- | 8 | were -- had to vote provisionally because there -- |
| 9 | alleged that they hadn't voted and had come in to | 9 | there was some indication that they had voted by |
| 10 | vote and then were confronted with the fact that | 10 | mail? Is that what happened? |
| 11 | they had already voted and denied it. And it | 11 | A. Right, they -- they denied it -- |
| 12 | turned out on the record they had already voted. | 12 | Q. Okay. |
| 13 | It seemed to me there were a fairly | 13 | A. -- vehemently and they were -- and they |
| 14 | equal number of no-cure affidavits when I first | 14 | were in two different categories. Some -- some -- |
| 15 | put them together, and the number was very, very | 15 | some apparently just didn't vote, I guess out of |
| 16 | different. There was 55 of one and 26 of the | 16 | disgust or anger or whatever -- no, no, I'm sorry. |
| 17 | other. And I once made a list, that I can't find, | 17 | Some did vote and it registered. But 13 -- no, |
| 18 | of what we had in each category, and that 26 was | 18 | more than that... one, two, three, four, five, |
| 19 | more like 40. So I don't know what happened to | 19 | six, seven, eight, nine, 10, 11, 12 -- 13 of them, |
| 20 | those. | 20 | their provisional vote was never registered. They |
| 21 | There were -- oh, God, I can't even | 21 | went back later to check, and they are not |
| 22 | read my own -- I'm sorry. There -- there are | 22 | registered. They're vote was canceled for some |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | reason. They're provisional vote was canceled for |  | don't know what you would call it, an estimate of |
| 2 | some reason. | 2 | the number of illegal voters that voted, and they |
| 3 | Q. Now Mr. Giuliani, as you saw yesterday | 3 | can vary with the expert. But those were not -- |
| 4 | when we reviewed the transcript of the hearing | 4 | but that -- that would be considered in the realm |
| 5 | before the Philadelphia Election Board after the | 5 | of expert testimony, not direct or circumstantial. |
| 6 | election, people who vote -- the -- the election | 6 | But it is allowed in election cases. |
| 7 | board makes a determination as to whether or not | 7 | Q. And -- and these experts are whom? |
| 8 | to count the provisional votes. | 8 | A. These experts are people -- well, look, |
| 9 | With respect to those 13 people, did | 9 | first of all, all they have available is the mail, |
| 10 | you go and see how the election board had handled | 10 | so any time -- any of these expert analyses that |
| 11 | their matters? | 11 | you see is always a projection, because -- |
| 12 | A. I -- no. Some -- some of the people | 12 | Q. Yeah, but I'm just asking who they are. |
| 13 | working for me spoke to the election board and got | 13 | A. Oh -- |
| 14 | explanations but I can't tell you right now I | 14 | Q. The experts. |
| 15 | remember what the explanations were and -- this | 15 | A. The experts that we employed or others |
| 16 | was not -- this wasn't the -- this wasn't the area | 16 | did and made available to us. |
| 17 | that we were relying on the most. So we -- we | 17 | Q. Okay. |
| 18 | spent more time -- we spent more time on the | 18 | A. There were several others that were |
| 19 | failure to be able to see any of the paper, | 19 | doing investigations, like the Thomas Moore |
| 20 | because that was more -- that was common to every | 20 | Society. They weren't as active in Pennsylvania. |
| 21 | single case that we had in the other five | 21 | They were very active in Michigan, but they would |
| 22 | jurisdictions where there were massive attempts to | 22 | give us a great deal of their information. |
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|  | make sure that no republican got to see a piece of | 1 | Q. Let's stick with Pennsylvania for the |
| 2 | paper. | 2 | moment. |
| 3 | Q. Now I think we strayed a little bit | 3 | A. They gave us as much information about |
| 4 | from the question, so let's go back to the | 4 | Pennsylvania, just not as much. |
| 5 | question that I asked you. And what I was asking | 5 | Q. Okay, and can you identify to us these |
| 6 | you was whether there was any missing evidence -- | 6 | experts? |
| 7 | sorry, missing direct evidence that a ballot was | 7 | A. I can't by -- I can't by -- I can by |
| 8 | fraudulently cast. | 8 | category. |
| 9 | A. Yeah, I would say there is. But, you | 9 | Q. No, I mean by name. |
| 10 | know -- but I'm saying that. | 10 | A. No, I'd have to go back and look and |
| 11 | Q. Was -- was there missing evidence that | 11 | find out. I mean, John Droz, who is going to |
| 12 | a ballot was cast by an illegal voter? | 12 | testify, is -- is one of them. A gentleman named |
| 13 | A. No. The only -- the only issue on | 13 | Biggs -- he did -- he did the -- he did the one |
| 14 | illegal voter was from expert -- no, I don't | 14 | that some reason, as always happens when you read |
| 15 | believe -- I could be wrong, but I don't -- | 15 | these complex reports, one of them seemed to me |
| 16 | because there's so many affidavits, if there is an | 16 | the most powerful to me, or maybe it's because I |
| 17 | affidavit about an illegal voter, it's one or two. | 17 | understood it, and that one I got to know better. |
| 18 | There weren't -- there weren't like a -- a large | 18 | Q. Okay. Forgive me if I asked you this, |
| 19 | number like there are for these other categories | 19 | but was there missing direct evidence -- no, I did |
| 20 | of people identifying illegal voters. There was | 20 | ask you that. So I withdraw the question. |
| 21 | an estimate made based on the kind of analysis | 21 | You're aware, are you not, Mr. |
| 22 | that you're allowed to do at this stage of -- I | 22 | Giuliani, that the 34d Circuit said that in the |
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| 1 | complaint that you filed, specifically including |  | campaign or any of its voters worse than it |
| 2 | the second amended complaint, you never alleged | 2 | treated the Biden campaign or its voters." |
| 3 | there were any ballots that were fraudulent or | 3 | A. Well that isn't right. |
| 4 | cast by an illegal voter. | 4 | Q. Okay. So the 3rd Circuit was wrong |
| 5 | A. I -- I would -- well, that could be so. | 5 | about that, that you did allege that -- |
| 6 | I don't -- I don't have a specific recollection of | 6 | A. Well, sure. I mean, just the non-cure |
| 7 | the estimate of illegal voters in Pennsylvania the | 7 | cure would be an example of how we allege that the |
| 8 | way I do in Arizona and some other places. So | 8 | Trump campaign and the Trump -- was treated |
| 9 | maybe we didn't have an estimate of illegal voters | 9 | differently than the Biden campaign. |
| 10 | in Philadelphia. I could have it confused with | 10 | Again I'm a little confused as to -- if |
| 11 | surely Arizona -- | 11 | we're talking about the second amended complaint, |
| 12 | Q. Arizona is not on my -- in my -- | 12 | we surely -- |
| 13 | A. No, no, I know. I know. But you have | 13 | Q. Yes. |
| 14 | to understand when I'm trying to -- when I'm | 14 | A. -- put it in there. |
| 15 | trying to remember these things, I'm sorry, but my | 15 | Q. Well, Mr. Giuliani, did you participate |
| 16 | mind -- the states overlap with each other. | 16 | in the appeal -- |
| 17 | MR. FOX: Could we put up Disciplinary | 17 | A. Dead voters, dead voters would be |
| 18 | Counsel Exhibit 16, the 3rd Circuit opinion. | 18 | illegal. Dead voters would be illegal voters and |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: When you say "illegal | 19 | we had -- we had direct allegations of that as |
| 20 | voters," you mean voters who aren't citizens? | 20 | well as expert testimony on dead voters. |
| 21 | BY MR. FOX: | 21 | Q. Did you participate in the appeal to |
| 22 | Q. Well, I'm going to -- I'm -- let me | 22 | the 2nd Circuit -- 3rd Circuit? |
|  | Page 302 |  | Page 304 |
| 1 | come back to that. | 1 | A. Not much, no. |
| 2 | MR. FOX: Can we go to page 12, please. | 2 | Q. You didn't sign the pleadings or |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Oh, voters who died. | 3 | anything? |
| 4 | Yeah, sure. We have a lot of those. | 4 | A. I -- did I? I don't know. I may have. |
| 5 | MR. FOX: And -- can you make it a | 5 | I mean, I read -- I read quick -- by that time I |
| 6 | little larger. I can't read. Thank you. | 6 | had moved on to other -- other things because I |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What case is this, Mr. | 7 | realized we weren't going to win, so we had to |
| 8 | Fox? | 8 | try -- we moved on to Michigan or Arizona, at |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Third Circuit opinion. It's | 9 | least testifying in front of those legislatures. |
| 10 | our Exhibit 16. | 10 | I'm just telling -- the answer is, yes, |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: On the appeal? | 11 | I've signed it, but I gave it not the same kind of |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Yes. | 12 | review. We're talking about the documents that I |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 13 | assume that they were putting in everything that |
| 14 | MR. FOX: I'm sorry, I can't -- I just | 14 | makes sense. But I didn't have much hope that we |
| 15 | can't read it. Can you find a portion that talks | 15 | were going to win. |
| 16 | about they ever alleged there was any ballot that | 16 | Q. And you were aware that the only issue |
| 17 | was fraudulent? There we go. That's right. The | 17 | that was raised in the 3rd Circuit was Judge |
| 18 | paragraph there. | 18 | Brann's denial of your motion to file the second |
| 19 | BY MR. FOX: | 19 | amended complaint? |
| 20 | Q. "The campaign never alleges that any | 20 | A. I was aware. Now that -- I can't tell |
| 21 | ballot was fraudulent or cast by an illegal voter. | 21 | you I retained that, and now that you tell me, I'm |
| 22 | It never alleges the defendant treated the Trump | 22 | refreshed. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And so when the 3rd Circuit said that | 1 | executive, and that's pretty darn clear. That was |
| 2 | you never alleged that any ballot was fraudulent | 2 | also part of the -- I mean, it all boiled down to |
| 3 | or cast by an illegal voter, it was referring to | 3 | those line of cases that say, if there are so many |
| 4 | the second amended complaint, correct? | 4 | different irregularities including fraud in the |
| 5 | A. If you say so. I mean, I didn't read | 5 | way in which a state declares an election, the |
| 6 | it that carefully. | 6 | election's invalid. That -- that was -- it built |
| 7 | Q. And that's the one that you signed, | 7 | up to that conclusion. |
| 8 | right? | 8 | Q. Yeah, but for the -- the federal law |
| 9 | A. That is the one that we signed, and I | 9 | that is being violated -- or sorry, the federal |
| 10 | would take issue with that because I think that -- | 10 | right that is being violated here is a right to |
| 11 | I'm not exactly sure how they are defining that, | 11 | Substantive Due Process, correct? |
| 12 | but voters -- voters who -- voters who are | 12 | A. Yes, sir. As far as I know there's no |
| 13 | required -- voters who are required to vote | 13 | federal fraud law that allows you to question or |
| 14 | because somebody has already voted for them, well | 14 | overturn an election based on -- on fraud itself. |
| 15 | that would be a form of a fraudulent vote. There | 15 | Q. Okay. |
| 16 | were a lot of allegations of that, something like | 16 | A. It would have to be a violation of a |
| 17 | 35. So I don't know. Maybe they're defining it | 17 | federal right like Due Process, Equal Protection. |
| 18 | differently. | 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Excuse me, excuse me. | 19 | A. And we used -- we used fraud -- and |
| 20 | The mayor was reading from the complaint. I'd | 20 | that may be part of the problem with, is it |
| 21 | like him to say which paragraph he was reading | 21 | defined with specificity, but we didn't look at it |
|  | from. | 22 | as a fraud complaint -- fraud complaint. Fraud |
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| 1 | THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't -- I was -- | 1 | was one of three, four pieces of evidence that |
| 2 | what I was pointing out -- what I was going to | 2 | would say an unfair election was conducted. |
| 3 | point out about the complaint is the complaint | 3 | Q. Now you mentioned a moment ago an issue |
| 4 | doesn't directly allege fraud. It alleges fraud | 4 | about changing the rules of the election as the |
| 5 | as part of -- there isn't a prayer for relief | 5 | legislature had determined they should be. |
| 6 | based on fraud. Fraud is part of a violation of | 6 | Am I saying that right? |
| 7 | Due Process or a violation of Equal Protection. | 7 | A. You are. |
| 8 | Q. So -- so let's go to the fraud as a | 8 | Q. Okay. And -- and that was what the |
| 9 | violation of Due Process, which is where I was | 9 | so-called Election and Electors Clause argument |
| 10 | going to go next. | 10 | that was in your complaint. |
| 11 | Your -- your argument for Substantive | 11 | Is that right? |
| 12 | Due Process was that, because of the fraudulent | 12 | A. It was there but it was also in the -- |
| 13 | nature of the vote, the voters were deprived of | 13 | (Mr. Giuliani's audio feed is |
| 14 | their fundamental right to vote, and that was a | 14 | disrupted.) |
| 15 | violation of Substantive Due Process. | 15 | Q. I'm sorry, we can't hear you, Mr. |
| 16 | Have I said that right? | 16 | Giuliani. |
| 17 | A. You did except you made it exclusive. | 17 | MR. FOX: I -- maybe it's just me. I |
| 18 | That was one of the ways in which Due Process was | 18 | can't hear him. |
| 19 | denied: a changing nature of the rules, changing | 19 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Can you |
| 20 | the rules in the middle of the game, changing the | 20 | hear me now? |
| 21 | rules illegally because that -- that -- that right |  | BY MR. FOX: |
| 22 | exists with the legislature, not with the | 22 | Q. Yes, yes, sir. You better start over, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | because -- | 1 | and here she was changing it, and as far as -- as |
| 2 | A. Yes. I think you would agree that | 2 | far as we could tell, we had a very legitimate |
| 3 | sometimes Due Process in this context and Equal | 3 | argument that she was violating pretty settled |
| 4 | Protection overlap. The same facts that argue in | 4 | Constitutional law; that those changes have to be |
| 5 | favor of a Due Process violation will argue in | 5 | made by the legislature; that the legislature |
| 6 | favor of an Equal Protection violation. | 6 | delegates this power and it's very narrow. And |
| 7 | So, for example, if you cure some | 7 | when you're changing, you know, basic rules, that |
| 8 | ballots but not all, someone's going to make an | 8 | has to go to the legislature. |
| 9 | Equal Protection argument about that, but they're | 9 | Q. But you abandoned that argument, did |
| 10 | also going to say you're conducting an unfair | 10 | you not? |
| 11 | election. So they might -- it might sound in | 11 | A. Well, I don't remember abandoning it. |
| 12 | both. | 12 | Maybe my people did on -- tell me when we |
| 13 | Q. Okay. | 13 | abandoned it. I don't remember abandoning that |
| 14 | A. So here's an example, she changed at | 14 | argument. |
| 15 | the very end the closing date for the -- for the | 15 | Q. Didn't you tell Judge Brann at the oral |
| 16 | ballots to come in, which I believe led one of the | 16 | argument that you weren't going forward on the |
| 17 | justices to say they had to be preserved because | 17 | Elector and Elections Clause claim? |
| 18 | she was extending what Judge Alito had said -- | 18 | A. No -- I mean, you'd have to remind me |
| 19 | they had to all be in by the 5th -- and Boockvar | 19 | if I did. Don't we have one in -- in the second |
| 20 | changed it, I -- we would argue that that is | 20 | amended complaint? |
|  | certainly a violation of Due Process. That would | 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes. |
| 22 | not be a fraud, but that would be a violation of | 22 | THE WITNESS: Maybe I -- maybe I was |
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| 1 | Due Process. Since she did it for all ballots, | 1 | referring to the fact that it wasn't in the -- I |
| 2 | you would -- you wouldn't say Equal Protection. | 2 | mean, the -- the difficulty, Mr. Fox, and I really |
| 3 | But if it were applied, if it were applied | 3 | would ask you to think about this, I -- I think |
| 4 | unequally, you would then say it was a violation | 4 | you -- I really do believe when we talked you |
| 5 | of Equal Protection as applied. | 5 | understood this. The confusion is I was defending |
| 6 | Q. Okay. But I was trying to focus a | 6 | a complaint that I didn't write and I didn't agree |
| 7 | little bit on your election/elector's clause | 7 | with, and it had truncated -- |
| 8 | argument in the -- | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Which one is that? |
| 9 | A. Okay. | 9 | THE WITNESS: The -- the first amended |
| 10 | Q. -- complaint. And your argument | 10 | complaint. That was filed without my knowledge. |
| 11 | essentially there was that the regulations that | 11 | It really was a reflection of the disagreement |
| 12 | the Secretary of State or the interpretations the | 12 | before I even got in the case between Mr. Hicks on |
| 13 | Secretary of State made of the Act 77 were not | 13 | the one hand and Linda Kearns on the other about |
| 14 | authorized by the legislature and therefore | 14 | what -- which way to go, which happens with |
| 15 | violated the Election and Electors Clause of the | 15 | lawyers. I wasn't even in it long enough to be |
| 16 | Constitution, correct? | 16 | part of that or even to know. Hicks and I worked, |
| 17 | A. Yeah, I mean, that was -- that was a | 17 | and he did most of the work. I contributed to the |
| 18 | major theme of the entire argument in | 18 | original complaint. That's the one I thought we |
| 19 | Pennsylvania -- a little different than some of | 19 | were going to -- that Hicks was going to argue and |
| 20 | the other states -- because there had been a | 20 | I was going to appear and I was just going to add |
| 21 | massive legislative effort to do this. The | 21 | to that what I told you were the common |
| 22 | legislature just expressed its will a year before | 22 | allegations of law and fact so that at some future |
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|  | point we preserved the possibility of |  | you asking him if there's precedent in the 3rd |
| 2 | consolidating with other cases that we were | 2 | Circuit? Marks v. Stinson, look at the district |
| 3 | beginning to see were almost -- that were a | 3 | court opinion. I mean, this is a question of law. |
| 4 | hundred -- not $100 \%$, $80 \%$ similar. They had a | 4 | This is not a question of -- |
| 5 | number of the main factors here: thousands and | 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Gentlemen -- |
| 6 | thousands of republican inspectors excluded; votes | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: -- fact for Mr. |
| 7 | that were counted where they didn't get a chance | 7 | Giuliani. |
| 8 | to examine the paper; becoming important, not for | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Gentlemen. Mr. |
| 9 | picayune little things, but things like was there | 9 | Rosenthal -- Mr. -- Mr. Leven -- |
| 10 | fraudulent paper being introduced. And we | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes. |
| 11 | proposed using the official paper and testing | 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Leventhal, if |
| 12 | every piece of paper against that to determine if | 12 | you have an objection, state your objection. |
| 13 | it's official paper. There's a process for doing | 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right, I'm sorry. |
| 14 | that and in every jurisdiction we were refused -- | 14 | I apologize. I -- |
| 15 | never got a chance to do that. | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: This is not -- this |
| 16 | Q. Okay, we strayed away -- | 16 | is not the time for you to make legal arguments. |
| 17 | A. So my purpose, my purpose was to make | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. I object. This |
| 18 | sure that, if the day came when a federal court | 18 | is a legal a conclusion. There is precedent in |
| 19 | was going to sit there with five complaints, and I | 19 | the 3rd Circuit. Look at the district court's |
| 20 | or somebody else was going to argue "These should | 20 | opinion in Marks v. Stinson. There is standing. |
| 21 | be consolidated," the other side is going to say, | 21 | And I will argue standing at the conclusion of |
| 22 | "They're too different. They're too" -- and we | 22 | this hearing and I reserve my ability to do so. |
|  | Page 314 |  | Page 316 |
| 1 | want to be able to say, "No, the main set of facts | 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Overruled. That was |
| 2 | that we're going to adjudicate here are virtually | 2 | not his question. |
| 3 | the same and it's much better, much faster to get | 3 | Continue, Mr. Fox. |
| 4 | to the court if we do it in one place". That was | 4 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 5 | advice that was given to me by Kenneth Starr; it | 5 | Q. Let me move on to something else, Mr. |
| 6 | was given to me by other colleagues of mine in the | 6 | Giuliani. |
| 7 | Justice Department, Solicitor General's Office, | 7 | A. Yeah, I am -- I'm not -- I am confused |
| 8 | and people who had argued before the Supreme Court | 8 | by it. I -- I didn't think that that was removed |
| 9 | more often than I had. I had only argued once. | 9 | as a -- I mean, I thought we were arguing right to |
| 10 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, really I'm focusing here | 10 | the end the elector -- Electors Clause. We |
| 11 | on the Election and Electors Clause claim that you | 11 | certainly were in other jurisdictions. |
| 12 | had in your complaint, and isn't it a fact that | 12 | MR. FOX: Can we put up Disciplinary |
| 13 | the 3rd Circuit had came down with an opinion that | 13 | Counsel Exhibit 8, please. |
| 14 | said that campaigns had no standing to make those | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | arguments, and therefore you didn't proceed on the | 15 | Q. You recognize this as the oral argument |
| 16 | argument in front of Judge Brann because of that | 16 | in front of Judge Brann? |
| 17 | 3rd Circuit ruling? | 17 | A. Mm-hmm. |
| 18 | A. I don't know -- I'm sorry, I don't | 18 | MR. FOX: If you'll go to page 12, |
| 19 | understand the question, Mr. Fox. | 19 | lines 24 through 25 , start there and go over to |
| 20 | Q. All right. Never mind. I'll with -- | 20 | the next page through -- through line four. |
| 21 | let's move on. | 21 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on, hold on. Are | 22 | Q. Do you recall -- |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Line four? | 1 | might make it simpler to understand. There's a |
| 2 | Q. Yes. You saw that Judge Brann said | 2 | provision in the Pennsylvania statutes of talking |
| 3 | that the 3rd Circuit opinion at the end of last | 3 | about when you haven't -- when you haven't vetted |
| 4 | week in this certain case, "Plaintiffs cannot | 4 | a military or absentee ballot correctly, and it's |
| 5 | assert standing in this circuit to raise their | 5 | 25 PS Section 31468(g)(4). I'm just going to read |
| 6 | Elections/Electors Clause claims". | 6 | it for the purpose of illustrating the remedy, and |
| 7 | Do you recall him saying that to you at | 7 | this is all over election law, all over the |
| 8 | the oral argument? | 8 | place... |
| 9 | A. Now that I see it, I do, yeah, mm-hmm. | 9 | "Further, only those ballots that have |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Then, if you'll go down to | 10 | been verified under paragraph three shall be |
| 11 | lines five through 12. | 11 | counted". |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | So if -- if there's a ballot that |
| 13 | Q. You'll see that you said you weren't | 13 | doesn't have the requisites listed in the opinion, |
| 14 | going forward on it but you were going to preserve | 14 | you take that ballot and you don't count it. |
| 15 | the issue for appeal. | 15 | That's -- that -- that is the remedy that we were |
| 16 | A. Yeah, well, that's -- that's what we | 16 | asking for. I didn't think it was confusing. |
| 17 | did when -- well, I don't know if -- I can't tell | 17 | It's done all the time. When a vote is |
| 18 | you the exact process that led us to inserting it | 18 | invalid- -- I mean, that's what it means when you |
| 19 | in the second amended complaint, but we do make a | 19 | say a vote is -- you're voiding a vote, a vote's |
|  | claim there under the -- I think it's count three | 20 | invalid; you -- you take the vote out of the |
| 21 | of what I would call the real complaint, the one | 21 | number of votes in that jurisdiction. |
| 22 | we were -- the one we were intending to proceed | 22 | So if -- theoretically, if a hundred |
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| 1 | on. We make a claim on -- under count three on | 1 | foreigners walk into an election district, they |
| 2 | the Electors Clause and I would have -- I don't | 2 | show no identification, they passed a hundred |
| 3 | remember the discussion about this. I know there | 3 | votes, and you can all prove that they came from |
| 4 | was a discussion about it, pretty intense, and | 4 | Antarctica, you would take ten votes out of the |
| 5 | this was the way we made sure we preserved it. | 5 | vote in that jurisdiction. That's what we're -- |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | that's what we're asking for. |
| 7 | MR. FOX: Now -- that's fine. We can | 7 | And the remedy of -- it's denominated |
| 8 | take that down now. | 8 | many different ways, in many different statutes -- |
| 9 | BY MR. FOX: | 9 | "void," "not counted." Some like Wisconsin go |
| 10 | Q. I want to turn now to the question of | 10 | directly to take it out of the vote count. |
| 11 | the remedies that you requested. We got into this | 11 | So then, if there were a thousand votes |
| 12 | a little bit yesterday with respect to the Notice | 12 | cast, and a hundred were declared to be illegal |
| 13 | and Cure Equal Protection argument, and as I | 13 | votes under some theory -- could just be |
| 14 | understood what you said, it was that the remedy | 14 | technically illegal -- then those votes would be |
| 15 | you were seeking was some pro rata reduction in | 15 | deducted and there would only be 900 votes in that |
| 16 | the votes of both candidates, based on the number | 16 | count. |
| 17 | of notice and secure votes had been cast. I | 17 | Q. Okay. |
| 18 | wasn't totally clear, but have I got that right? | 18 | A. When you can, if you can, if it's |
| 19 | A. No. I was a little confused that you | 19 | possible to deduct the exact vote -- which is |
| 20 | found it so confusing, because it's done rather -- | 20 | almost never possible because we do anonymous |
| 21 | rather often and I think it's pretty straight | 21 | voting -- you do that. When not, you take it out |
| 22 | forward. I found -- I found one provision that | 22 | of the whole. You take it out of the entire vote. |


|  | Page 321 |  | Page 323 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And that's the pro rata reduction that | 1 | election would have been another remedy. |
| 2 | you were talking about yesterday? | 2 | Q. Okay, would you agree with me that you |
| 3 | A. I don't know why you call it pro rata, | 3 | did ask for some remedy, specify some remedies |
| 4 | but that's the reduction. | 4 | that you asked for in your complaint? |
| 5 | Q. Well -- | 5 | A. I did, but I would also specify that we |
| 6 | A. If there are $13-$-- if there were 13 | 6 | made it an example by saying whatever else the |
| 7 | votes in -- in Philadelphia County that were cast | 7 | court deemed just and necessary, which is what you |
| 8 | by noncitizens, let's say, that no -- I'm not | 8 | do commonly in a -- in a -- in a -- in a lawsuit, |
| 9 | saying there were, but example -- then 13 votes | 9 | a lawsuit like this even more so, because this is |
| 10 | would be deducted. | 10 | in many ways an unprecedented lawsuit, an |
| 11 | Q. And -- and how would you attribute | 11 | unprecedented situation. We weren't smart enough |
| 12 | those votes to -- decide whether to attribute | 12 | to know all the remedies that would -- would be |
| 13 | those votes to Trump or to Biden? | 13 | fashioned here, nor did we think that our |
| 14 | A. Well, the same way you would if you | 14 | remedy -- we thought of our remedies that we were |
| 15 | took out four or five electors. It goes back to | 15 | suggesting as the beginning of a discussion, if we |
| 16 | the smaller number. | 16 | ever got to that point. Let me put it that way. |
| 17 | So now -- so now, if it happens in a -- | 17 | Q. Okay, so you didn't ask for the |
|  | in an even district, it has no meaning. If it | 18 | specific remedy but it was included within the |
| 19 | happens in a district in which either candidate | 19 | remedy of anything else that the court -- |
|  | had a substantial lead, it inures to the benefit | 20 | A. The ones we could think of. It's the |
| 21 | of the candidate who has the smaller number, which | 21 | ones we could think of at the time. What -- what |
| 22 | is why republicans challenge democrat districts | 22 | made sense, what seemed just -- |
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| 1 | and democrats challenge republican districts. | 1 | Q. Okay. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. I'm not sure I follow -- | 2 | A. -- what fit into things that were done |
| 3 | A. That's a hundred years of election. | 3 | in other jurisdictions to remedy this and what did |
| 4 | Q. Can you identify for me where in any of | 4 | the laws say, like -- I never -- I picked one |
| 5 | the complaints that you filed you asked for that | 5 | statute, but there are many statutes in |
| 6 | remedy? | 6 | Pennsylvania over the years that talk about not |
| 7 | A. We asked for the court to apply the | 7 | counting votes. You don't count this vote, like |
| 8 | remedy that was the most just, the most fair. We | 8 | this one. That has been verified under paragraph |
| 9 | were going to argue remedy and the specifics -- | 9 | three, "Shall -- "Only those verified shall be |
| 10 | and we also said whatever else the court might | 10 | counted," which means the ones that aren't counted |
| 11 | deem just and necessary. We weren't at the remedy | 11 | are taken out and the vote descends from a |
| 12 | stage. We were two days into the litigation and | 12 | thousand to 900 and you're left with whatever the |
| 13 | we were researching remedy. But we wanted to | 13 | consequences of that are. |
| 14 | preserve whatever remedies were theoretically | 14 | Q. All right. |
| 15 | possible, so that when we got to the remedy stage, | 15 | A. That's not the sole remedy, but that's |
| 16 | the court would have available -- we -- we | 16 | a remedy the courts have used. |
| 17 | realized that this -- that the court here would | 17 | Q. One remedy you did ask for was -- and I |
| 18 | act as a court of equity in many ways and we | 18 | think you referred to it earlier -- was prohibit |
| 19 | weren't going to really -- the most we were going | 19 | the governor and the Secretary of State from |
| 20 | to do, if we got that far, is recommend a remedy. | 20 | certifying the election results, correct? |
| 21 | The court was going to come up with a remedy. | 21 | A. Correct. We -- we believed that under |
| 22 | That was one among others. A new | 22 | Article 2, Section 1, Clause 2 of the |
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| 1 | Constitution, we were at the stage where it should | 1 | reasonable basis for preserving that as a possible |
| 2 | be given to the legislature under their plenary -- | 2 | remedy, which is the way it really has to be |
| 3 | this is Supreme Court language now -- they're | 3 | looked at, if we ever looked at remedies as final |
| 4 | plenary power to regulate presidential elections, | 4 | conclusions by lawyers, half the lawyers in this |
| 5 | which the Supreme Court goes so far as to say that | 5 | country would have to be sanctioned, because they |
| 6 | they can -- any delegation they make they can | 6 | always put in, and it's a joke, ridiculously large |
| 7 | revoke at any time. And it's a very -- it's a | 7 | sums of money from which they negotiate down, and |
| 8 | controversial provision. It's been the subject of | 8 | their justification for that is, well, I don't |
| 9 | numerous law review articles. For some reason | 9 | know how much is going to be involved; let me ask |
| 10 | over a hundred year it's never been fixed, | 10 | for everything in the -- in the remedy section; |
| 11 | although every young law student somewhere | 11 | nor -- nor do I find any cases where a lawyer has |
| 12 | lectures the Supreme Court they should fix this. | 12 | been sanctioned because he made a mistake with the |
| 13 | They haven't fixed it, and it's still there. | 13 | remedy that he's suggesting. It's so far from |
| 14 | So it was perfectly valid for us to | 14 | that in a case. It doesn't do any damage to |
| 15 | rely -- to -- to rely on it. I thought we'd be | 15 | anyone. |
| 16 | negligent in our duty if we didn't. | 16 | I mean, I'm being sued by Dominion |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | for -- for, I don't know, \$20B. That's an absurd |
| 18 | A. I mean, I -- I can see -- and this is |  | amount. Truly, believe me, it's an absurd amount. |
| 19 | for purposes of -- but I don't think you should | 19 | Q. We're talking about -- |
|  | discipline me -- I made the arguments that were in | 20 | A. They should be sanctioned for -- for |
| 21 | the light -- I interpreted these in the light most | 21 | doing that. |
| 22 | favorable to my client, which is what I think you | 22 | Q. You were asking for equitable relief, |
|  | Page 326 |  | Page 328 |
| 1 | would want me to do even if my client were a mass | 1 | correct? |
| 2 | murderer. | 2 | A. Yeah, which is even more in the nature |
| 3 | Q. And -- and -- and so you thought that | 3 | of the court's discretion as opposed to -- they're |
| 4 | you had alleged specific facts that would justify | 4 | not going to accept what I recommend. They're |
| 5 | a temporary restraining order whereby the federal | 5 | going to fashion it. Equitable relief is like a |
| 6 | court would throw out 7M votes from the citizens | 6 | suggestion to the court of what they can do. |
| 7 | of Pennsylvania and direct the legislature to | 7 | Q. And you were asking for equitable |
| 8 | decide who got the electoral votes in the | 8 | relief on an expedited basis, correct? |
| 9 | presidential election? | 9 | A. But not immediate -- I was expecting a |
| 10 | A. No, I didn't think we had alleged that. | 10 | hearing. No, I wasn't asking -- the -- the |
| 11 | I thought we had begun the process of alleging | 11 | expedited basis was a temporary restraining order. |
| 12 | that. I -- I never think in my complaint that | 12 | Q. And there was -- |
| 13 | I've won my case. That would be like saying I | 13 | A. It wasn't -- it wasn't an award of a |
| 14 | brought a case for -- for personal -- personal | 14 | new election. |
| 15 | injury case, and based on my complaint I expected | 15 | Q. And there are certain deadlines built |
| 16 | that I get $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ because my client is paralyzed. | 16 | into the election process whereby the results of |
| 17 | I expected we were -- we had begun the | 17 | the state have to be certified by a certain date, |
| 18 | process of proving what was necessary to get to | 18 | correct? |
| 19 | that point, maybe, as well as five other | 19 | A. Correct. But -- yes, that can all |
| 20 | possibilities. I thought I had -- let's put it | 20 | be -- but that can all be -- that can all be |
| 21 | this way, Mr. Fox, in the language of Rule 11, | 21 | adjusted by the legislature. The real deadline is |
| 22 | which I'm very familiar with, thought I had a | 22 | when the president gets sworn in. That's the real |


| Page 329 |  | Page 331 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 deadline. As you -- as you know, in Bush v. Gore, |  | toward the interest of my client. And when I was |
| they went beyond all those deadlines and -- | 2 | asking for relieve, I sure didn't expect to get |
| because it was still in controversy. The | 3 | the relief based on the complaint. I'd be really |
| deadlines are not in and of themselves completely |  | a poor lawyer. If It thought the judge was going |
| 5 unwaivable. They've been waived before in | 5 | to take my complaint and say, "Okay, we have a new |
| election contests. | 6 | election," then I would really be a jerk. I |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And you were -- you believe that you | 7 | knew -- I knew I had to prove what I said here. |
| have sufficient evidence to justify a request for | 8 | And I knew there was a long way to go and very |
| equitable remedy that would have prevented | 9 | little time to do it, because I had to -- I did |
| 10 Pennsylvania from meeting that statutory deadline | 10 | actually expect we were going to have hearings. I |
| 11 for when they had to certify the votes, which I | 11 | was very shocked when we didn't get a hearing. |
| 12 think your lawyer said was November 23? | 12 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, let's go to the second |
| 13 A. I -- I don't think I thought of it that | 13 | amended complaint. You disavowed the first |
| 14 way. I thought of it as, this is what needs to be | 14 | amended complaint, correct? |
| 15 done to find out -- to flesh out these | 15 | A. Pardon me? |
| 16 allegations, which appear to me to be true. I | 16 | Q. You disavowed the first amended |
| 17 have no reason not to believe 250 affidavits. I | 17 | complaint? You said you had nothing to do with it |
| 18 mean, you can read the affidavits. I didn't make | 18 | and -- |
| 19 up the things that I alleged. I was -- I was | 19 | A. That one I had nothing to do with, |
| 20 standing in the place of other people who were | 20 | absolutely. Never saw it until it was filed. |
| 21 making these complaints to me. What am I going to | 21 | Q. Okay, and with the second amended |
| 22 do, tear them up and say, "Oh, gee. I don't -- I | 22 | complaint, I only want to look at allegations that |
| Page 330 |  | Page 332 |
| don't believe that you didn't get to see 100,000 | 1 | were different from the initial complaint, okay. |
| ballots or that record that you have there of | 2 | A. Okay. Why? I don't -- I don't |
| 100,000 ballots being counted and you didn't get | 3 | understand. |
| to see them made up"? | 4 | Q. Well because we've already talked about |
| I mean, I -- this is why I find this | 5 | the initial complaint. |
| very, very strange, the whole proceeding. I based | 6 | A. Oh, okay. Fair enough. |
| it on I would say more evidence than you normally | 7 | Q. So that's Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit |
| 8 have when you bring a complaint. I mean, the -- | 8 | 5 -- sorry, nine. |
| the things that I alleged, even if I might have | 9 | MR. FOX: And can we look at page -- |
| 10 done a few inartfully, because it was done | 10 | actually for number nine we -- we start with |
| 11 quickly, come from an affidavit, from a fact, from | 11 | the -- the motion and then we get to the |
| 12 a person who told me that. I didn't make up the | 12 | complaint. But I want to get to the complaint |
| 13 fact that they were put in pens. I've got 45 | 13 | and -- let's go to paragraph 14 , which is |
| 14 affidavits saying they were put in pens. I didn't | 14 | paragraph five of the complaint. |
| 15 make up the fact that there's no -- there's no | 15 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 16 time in recorded human history that any political | 16 | Q. Here you indicate that there were |
| 17 party did this to another political party. These | 17 | 682,479 mail-in and absentee ballots in Allegheny |
| 18 are things that, as far as I knew -- I could have | 18 | and Philadelphia County which were counted and -- |
| 19 been wrong -- as far as I knew were facts, and | 19 | and, as you say, not properly observed. |
| 20 it's my obligation to plead these on behalf of my | 20 | And was it your contention that, |
| 21 client. I did the best that I could to -- to -- | 21 | because they weren't properly observed, all |
| 22 to put them down in a way that was fair but geared | 22 | 682,000 votes should have been discarded? |


|  | Page 333 |  | Page 335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. That would have been one of the | 1 | not have done anything wrong, but the person |
| 2 | arguments. | 2 | counting did something wrong and therefore they're |
| 3 | Q. Okay. | 3 | not counted. |
| 4 | A. We would have argued that those votes, | 4 | You go back to what I read to you about |
| 5 | which -- and these -- these are -- these are the | 5 | the military and other absentee ballots and it |
| 6 | numbers that had been recorded by one or another | 6 | says, "Only those ballots that have been verified |
| 7 | of the inspectors in Philadelphia and Allegheny | 7 | under paragraph three shall be counted". It |
| 8 | County. So these were votes that were counted | 8 | doesn't say only those ballots in which the -- the |
| 9 | without anyone other than the -- the government | 9 | voter cast an improper vote. The voter may have |
| 10 | official looking at the ballot. No one examined | 10 | been perfectly -- perfectly justified in what they |
| 11 | if to see if it really was a valid ballot -- | 11 | did, but in fact we have rules -- we have rules |
| 12 | whether there was an address on it, whether there | 12 | that have to be followed by the government which |
| 13 | was -- on the outer envelope, whether there was a | 13 | make and can make a vote subject to not being |
| 14 | stamp, whether -- whether -- when it came in, when | 14 | counted. |
| 15 | it went out. There was no examination, as is | 15 | Q. You don't know, Mr. Giuliani, whether |
| 16 | required by -- by -- by law. | 16 | the Pennsylvania election officials who looked at |
| 17 | Q. But wait a minute, Mr. Giuliani. There | 17 | these mail-in ballots did their job properly or |
| 18 | had been a ruling beforehand by the Pennsylvania | 18 | not? |
| 19 | Supreme Court that that was not required by law. | 19 | A. Well they didn't because they -- |
| 20 | A. Oh, no, no, no. They -- they didn't | 20 | they -- they denied -- they're not allowed to do |
| 21 | have to look at the signature, but they had to | 21 | their job by themselves. They -- they did not |
| 22 | look at the other identifying data. | 22 | permit meaningful observation. |
|  | Page 334 |  | Page 336 |
| 1 | Q. Well... | 1 | And, yes, we were going to preserve |
| 2 | A. There's no ruling -- there's no ruling | 2 | that argument for appeal because we thought that |
| 3 | that says they didn't have to look at the other | 3 | the ruling of the State Supreme Court of |
| 4 | identifying data on it to determine that it was, | 4 | Pennsylvania was irrational on its face. |
| 5 | you know, from -- from a -- from an actual person. | 5 | Q. Okay. All right -- |
| 6 | Q. Okay, I'll -- I'll withdraw that | 6 | A. We thought that a ruling that said, in |
| 7 | comment then. Let -- let me ask you this -- | 7 | a statute involving observation of ballots, that |
| 8 | A. And in fact you look -- when you see | 8 | you have to have all of the other parties present, |
| 9 | the affidavits, you'll see that a large part of | 9 | obviously meant in order to observe, not to sit |
| 10 | the complaint is, you know, "We couldn't get to | 10 | around reading a book. |
| 11 | see anything on that -- on that ballot. We | 11 | Q. All right. So -- so your position was |
| 12 | couldn't even determine" -- in many -- in many | 12 | that, even though the highest court in |
| 13 | cases, they -- they report that they just ripped | 13 | Pennsylvania had ruled to the contrary, that the |
| 14 | the envelope apart and put it in, didn't look at | 14 | law required these observers to be closer to see |
| 15 | anything. | 15 | how the election officials were examining these |
| 16 | Q. You -- but you -- you don't have any | 16 | ballots, correct? |
| 17 | evidence as to how many of these 682,000 ballots | 17 | A. Mr. Fox, why -- why do you say that in |
| 18 | were improper. You just say that your people | 18 | a way that that's so unusual? Lawyers are |
| 19 | didn't have a chance to observe them, correct? | 19 | challenging the constitutionality of the highest |
| 20 | A. Which the law requires and very, | 20 | court in every state all the time when they think |
| 21 | very -- very similar, if they weren't verified | 21 | they have a good reason that it's |
| 22 | properly, they're not counted. The person might | 22 | unconstitutional. I fail to see why you think |


|  | Page 337 |  | Page 339 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | it's at least arguable and rational to argue that | 1 | Q. The language about democrats doing this |
| 2 | a conclusion that, where presence is required and | 2 | deliberately was new in the second amended |
| 3 | presence is reduced to being a potted plant -- you | 3 | complaint, correct? |
| 4 | can't observe, you can't look, you can't check, | 4 | A. I don't remember. |
| 5 | you're put behind a pen -- would be possibly | 5 | Q. You don't recall that you added that to |
| 6 | declared unconstitutional; or that I had the right | 6 | several allegations -- |
| 7 | and obligation to preserve that argument for my | 7 | A. I don't -- I don't recall. I could |
| 8 | client in spite of the fact that a certain number | 8 | have, sure. |
| 9 | of people may hate him. | 9 | Q. Okay. But the -- you will agree with |
| 10 | Q. All right. | 10 | me that the limitations on the observers apply to |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Chair, could we | 11 | both the democratic and the republican committee? |
| 12 | break in five minutes? Would that be all right -- | 12 | A. Not in -- in word but not necessarily |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: It's incomprehensible to | 13 | in practice. So -- so it would be like, you know, |
| 14 | me that that -- | 14 | constitutional on its face and constitutional -- |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on. | 15 | unconstitutional as applied. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: -- would be considered an | 16 | We have -- we have -- we have a number |
| 17 | illegitimate argument. | 17 | of incidents, and of course only the ones we could |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Hold on, hold on. | 18 | gather that quickly, in which republicans |
| 19 | We can break in five minutes, Mr. | 19 | complained that democrats were allowed to sit |
| 20 | Chair? | 20 | right next to the county official or city official |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We are going to take | 21 | that was counting, that they were in constant |
| 22 | a break at 10:30, the mid morning break. | 22 | interchange with them, picking up ballots, at |
|  | Page 338 |  | Page 340 |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 1 | times didn't have masks on. We have a number of |
| 2 | BY MR. FOX: | 2 | allegations that that wasn't -- |
| 3 | Q. So Mr. Giuliani, I cited you this -- | 3 | Q. Okay. |
| 4 | that paragraph about the 682,000 voters because | 4 | A. -- carried out as -- as -- as intended. |
| 5 | that was new in the second amended complaint, so I | 5 | Q. And -- and those are included in those |
| 6 | want to go to some other things there were new. | 6 | declarations that are part -- |
| 7 | MR. FOX: And let's -- let's look at -- | 7 | A. They are, yeah, uh-huh. |
| 8 | and let's just take this as an example. Let's go | 8 | Q. Okay. Aside from adding this |
| 9 | to pages -- page 79 to 80 , paragraphs 167, 168. | 9 | language -- |
| 10 | Actually, just take 167. Let's -- | 10 | A. Can I just add -- can I just add one |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 11 | other thing? And also they had the inherent |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | advantage that the people doing it were selected |
| 13 | Q. You -- you start this paragraph by | 13 | by the democratic machine of the City of |
| 14 | saying, "Democrats who controlled the defendant | 14 | Philadelphia, which was the machine where the |
| 15 | county election boards engaged in a deliberate | 15 | sheriff refused to enforce a court order. That's |
| 16 | scheme of an intentional -- of intentional and | 16 | a pretty strong machine. So we didn't think we |
| 17 | purposeful discrimination to favor presidential | 17 | were in the hands of a neutral -- we weren't of |
| 18 | candidate Joseph Biden over Donald J. Trump, and | 18 | the illusion that the people who worked for the |
| 19 | thereby excluding republican and Trump campaign | 19 | City of Philadelphia, selected by the democratic |
| 20 | observers from the canvassing of the mail | 20 | machine, are mutual observers. |
| 21 | ballots". | 21 | Q. Okay. Now you added this language in |
| 22 | A. Mm-hmm. | 22 | this second amended complaint about this being a |


|  | Page 341 |  | Page 343 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | deliberate scheme by the democrats, but you didn't | 1 | prepare that with another gentleman in enough time |
| 2 | add any additional facts to support that, did you? | 2 | to try to get it in the court to save the case |
| 3 | A. Well, I thought we were going to do | 3 | from the ridiculous amended complaint that was put |
| 4 | that at the hearing but -- | 4 | in behind our back. I think, under the |
| 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You had witnesses. | 5 | circumstances, we did the best possible job we |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: -- and I -- we had | 6 | could do -- we could. And I really -- doesn't do |
| 7 | witnesses to this. I had affidavits to this. I | 7 | any good to be offended, but I'm shocked and |
| 8 | thought we were going to go to a hearing and we | 8 | offended that this is happening to me. |
| 9 | were going to present these witnesses -- | 9 | Q. If you'll look at pages -- let's see, |
| 10 | BY MR. FOX: | 10 | where are we? Pages 89 through 90, paragraphs 196 |
| 11 | Q. Okay. | 11 | and 197. |
| 12 | A. -- who were going to say this. I had | 12 | MR. FOX: Just pull up 196, please. |
| 13 | a -- I had a rational, reasonable basis under Rule | 13 | It's the same illustration. |
| 14 | 11 to make this. I can show you the affidavits | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | that say it. | 15 | Q. Now here's an example where you did |
| 16 | Q. All right. | 16 | plead facts in the complaint. |
| 17 | A. I didn't make this up, Mr. Fox. | 17 | A. Mm-hmm. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Would you agree with me this is | 18 | Q. Right, Mr. Giuliani? |
| 19 | just a conclusory statement without supporting | 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | facts? | 20 | Q. And these were facts that were not |
| 21 | A. Yeah, but I mean, this is a complaint. | 21 | included in the first complaint. |
| 22 | This isn't a motion for a summary judgment. I'm | 22 | Do you recall that? |
|  | Page 342 |  | Page 344 |
| 1 | laying out -- I'm laying out what we can | 1 | A. I don't recall that but I'll take your |
| 2 | responsibly allege. If I included all the | 2 | word for it. Sounds like we wouldn't have had it |
| 3 | affidavits, this would be a, you know, a 2,000 | 3 | by the time of the first complaint, right? |
| 4 | page document. Normally this is the way you do it | 4 | Q. And these were facts about your county |
| 5 | and then you have a verified -- you put in a | 5 | in which you claim that the officials in that |
| 6 | verified complaint, because you're a lawyer, and | 6 | county handled the -- the observers correctly, |
| 7 | they take it based on your word, and then you go | 7 | right? |
| 8 | to a hearing. | 8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 9 | I've done -- I've done 500 preliminary | 9 | Q. All right. So, yeah -- |
| 10 | injunctions. I've almost never not had a hearing. | 10 | A. I see it, yeah. |
| 11 | Q. All right, but Mr. Giuliani, you | 11 | Q. Okay. So that was -- |
| 12 | understand the complaint is supposed to plead | 12 | MR. FOX: Let's go now to Page 97, |
| 13 | facts? | 13 | paragraph 224. |
| 14 | A. Well, that -- I mean, that is a fact. | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | It's a fact -- it's a fact that doesn't have the | 15 | Q. This is an additional facts that you |
| 16 | supporting evidence listed there. But you don't | 16 | pled in the second amended complaint that was on |
| 17 | put the supporting evidence in $\mathrm{a}-$ - in a -- in a | 17 | in the first complaint. |
| 18 | complaint. The complaint would be 5,000 pages if | 18 | Do you remember that? |
| 19 | you did that. | 19 | A. Yeah -- I mean, yes. I -- I don't say |
| 20 | Also, Mr. Fox, you're asking something | 20 | that I can remember it, but it's obvious when I |
| 21 | of me that was physically impossible, given the | 21 | read it this was -- this was put in the second |
| 22 | amount of time that I had. I -- I -- I helped to | 22 | complaint. |


|  | Page 345 |  | Page 347 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. Do you recall that you were -- | 1 | A. Well, I mean, I don't know how they |
| 2 | A. Probably we didn't have this at the | 2 | could do that, except to say that this lawyer, who |
| 3 | time of the first, but okay. | 3 | I believe -- I may have him confused with the head |
| 4 | Q. And it's -- it's -- it's an example of | 4 | of the bar association at one time -- was lying. |
| 5 | one observer who said he was denied access to the | 5 | MR. FOX: Well, let's look at -- |
| 6 | canvassing in Philadelphia, correct? | 6 | THE WITNESS: I mean -- I mean, there |
| 7 | A. Yes, sir. | 7 | is a -- there was a point at the very end, meaning |
| 8 | MR. FOX: If we could go to page 99, | 8 | in the last day of this five- or six-day counting |
| 9 | please, and if we look at paragraph -- well, | 9 | period, when the election pretty much -- when |
| 10 | let's -- I'm sorry. I've got -- I've got the | 10 | Biden had got ahead, when a number of the boards |
| 11 | wrong page reference here. I apologize. I meant | 11 | caved in and allowed some observation, after three |
| 12 | page 110. I got the -- | 12 | or four days of refusing to allow it. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: One ten? | 13 | MR. FOX: Let's -- let's -- |
| 14 | MR. FOX: And -- and I want to look at | 14 | THE WITNESS: I don't remember if |
| 15 | paragraphs 269 through 271. They're fairly short. | 15 | Mercer benefited from that. |
| 16 | BY MR FOX: | 16 | MR. FOX: Let's look at -- |
| 17 | Q. And you'll see that these concern a Mr. | 17 | THE WITNESS: You see in the affidavits |
| 18 | Mercer who testified that when he was an observer | 18 | that some of them did, but by that -- by that |
| 19 | in Philadelphia he couldn't see adequately, | 19 | point, the game was over. |
| 20 | correct? | 20 | MR. FOX: Let -- let's look at |
| 21 | A. Yeah, I see that. I remember -- I | 21 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 20, please. |
| 22 | remember his in particular, yeah. | 22 |  |
|  | Page 346 |  | Page 348 |
| 1 | Q. And this was a few facts that you pled | 1 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 2 | in the second amended complaint, correct? | 2 | Q. And you'll recognize this is the |
| 3 | A. Yeah, that is one we could have pled in | 3 | opinion that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court |
| 4 | the first but -- we had that from the very | 4 | entered after the election in which it said that |
| 5 | beginning. I don't know why we didn't have it in | 5 | the social distancing rules were -- did not |
| 6 | the first, but we had it in the second. | 6 | violate Pennsylvania law. |
| 7 | As I said, the first I had less | 7 | You remember this opinion? |
| 8 | involvement with than the second, but, you know... | 8 | A. Of course I do, yeah. Yeah, that's -- |
| 9 | Q. And you support -- you -- you pled this | 9 | yeah, yes, I do. I do remember that opinion. |
| 10 | fact to support your count seven, which was | 10 | This is the -- this is the final opinion, the |
| 11 | something to Due Process argument arising out of | 11 | Supreme Court? |
| 12 | the fact that these observers weren't close enough | 12 | Q. Yes. |
| 13 | to see the actual ballot processing, correct? | 13 | A. And it was what, five to two opinion? |
| 14 | A. Yes, I see that. I see it, yeah. I | 14 | Q. The one that came down on the day that |
| 15 | did. That's one of the -- I mean, I could have | 15 | you were arguing the case in front of Judge Brann. |
| 16 | used it for some of the others, too, but we used | 16 | You remember that? |
| 17 | it for that, right. | 17 | A. Oh, oh, oh, yeah, okay, sure. I mean, |
| 18 | Q. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court looked | 18 | I think -- yeah, I remember. |
| 19 | at this identical affidavit, did it not, and | 19 | MR. FOX: And let -- and let's go to I |
| 20 | determined that it proved that Mr. Mercer had been | 20 | think to the last page, which -- I'm sorry, page |
| 21 | close enough to observe the election under the | 21 | nine, the last page of the majority opinion, and |
| 22 | Pennsylvania law. | 22 | starting with the last paragraph in the first |


|  | Page 349 |  | Page 351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | column -- are we on page nine? I don't think |  | necessarily always correct. If I'm stuck with |
| 2 | we're on page nine. That's eight. That's page | 2 | that, I can't argue for a client. I really -- I |
| 3 | eight. There we go. | 3 | think you're imposing a burden on me that's so |
| 4 | BY MR. FOX: | 4 | totally unrealistic -- |
| 5 | Q. You'll see -- and this goes onto the | 5 | MR. FOX: Let's go back -- |
| 6 | next page -- that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court | 6 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry -- excuse me. |
| 7 | said that the affidavit for Mr. Mercer supported | 7 | I withdraw that comment. |
| 8 | the fact that he had had a -- a meaningful | 8 | MR. FOX: Let's go back to Exhibit 9, |
| 9 | opportunity to observe the election, the | 9 | the second amended complaint, and I want to go to |
| 10 | recounting. | 10 | the prayer for relief which starts at page 122. |
| 11 | A. I don't remember Mercer saying he got | 11 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I like to look at |
| 12 | to see anything. This -- I mean, I-- maybe | 12 | my own exhibit. It's actually easier for me to |
| 13 | we're -- maybe we're quibbling over what | 13 | read paper -- |
| 14 | "meaningful way" means. | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 | MR. FOX: Okay, could we go on to the | 15 | Q. The one I really want to focus on is |
| 16 | rest of that paragraph, which is in the -- in the | 16 | paragraph 321 on page 123. Now, this would -- |
| 17 | next column. | 17 | this -- do you recall that this prayer for relief |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: It's a surprise to me | 18 | was not in the first amended complaint? |
| 19 | that Mercer claims that he saw something. That's | 19 | A. I don't -- I don't -- I don't recall |
|  | an interpretation of what it -- what's meaningful. |  | that. But I'm not disputing that it wasn't. But |
| 21 | He didn't get to see the significant facts that we | 21 | I don't -- I don't -- if you're asking me do I |
| 22 | would argue were critical, and obviously we don't | 22 | have a present recollection of that, no. |
|  | Page 350 |  | Page 352 |
| 1 | agree with this opinion. This is an opinion that | 1 | Q. And here, this is where you're asking |
| 2 | we're -- we're going to preserve the right to | 2 | the court to throw out all the ballots and let the |
| 3 | argue was unconstitution (sic) and incorrectly | 3 | legislature decide. |
| 4 | decided. I mean, this -- there's -- there's -- | 4 | A. Let the who? |
| 5 | there's no suggestion of when I included that in | 5 | Q. Legislature, general assembly. |
| 6 | the complaint that I did something that wasn't | 6 | A. Yeah, that's what it says, sure. It |
| 7 | based on at least a reasonable interpretation of | 7 | says -- it says, because of all the irregularities |
| 8 | what Mr. Mercer said. That should be the grounds | 8 | and illegalities and whatever in the -- in the |
| 9 | for discipline, not that the court disagrees with | 9 | election that we expect to prove -- I'm not asking |
| 10 | me. Courts disagree with lawyers all the time. | 10 | him to do it based on this document, sir, any more |
| 11 | And I -- and I think I have the better | 11 | than if I sued for breach of contract for a |
| 12 | of this argument about what constitutes presence. | 12 | million dollars. I'm asking for the million |
| 13 | I simply don't think that presence means you sit | 13 | dollars based on that document. I'm saying, if I |
| 14 | there and you can't do anything. It would be | 14 | prove this, if I prove this and more, this is one |
| 15 | absurd. And plus, how can my argument be in bad | 15 | of the things -- notice the word |
| 16 | faith when judges agreed with me also? It's not | 16 | "alternatively" -- this is one of the things I |
| 17 | as if I made up this argument and it's some crazy | 17 | suggest to you with the court of equity we can do. |
| 18 | argument made by an out-of-control advocate. | 18 | Q. Last thing I want to -- |
| 19 | Judge Canon agreed with me. The dissenters agreed | 19 | A. They're not bound by this. They're not |
| 20 | with me. | 20 | necessarily influenced by this. |
| 21 | So I have to make arguments that | 21 | I fail to see how a prayer to relieve |
| 22 | there's a basis for, not arguments that are | 22 | to a court can be improper. What am I doing? |


|  | Page 353 |  | Page 355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unfairly influencing them? They're going to make | 1 | I really do think you should withdraw your |
| 2 | their own decision about whether, when we're | 2 | complaints about the prayer for relief, because |
| 3 | finished with this whole thing, if it hadn't been | 3 | the prayer for relief is not something that is |
| 4 | aborted, whether that's a reasonable request or | 4 | based on what you can get at that time. It's what |
| 5 | not. You can't take this in isolation now and | 5 | you hope to get in the future. And any lawyer is |
| 6 | say, "Oh, Giuliani asked for something that isn't | 6 | preserving the most possible you can get for your |
| 7 | supported by the facts that he had then". | 7 | client. |
| 8 | In almost every complaint we filed in | 8 | So you say to me, well, there isn't a |
| 9 | the United States, the prayer for relief is not | 9 | precedent for this. I would argue there wasn't a |
| 10 | supported by the complaint. You couldn't get | 10 | precedence for this case. I don't know of a case |
| 11 | relief based on the complaint. It's the beginning | 11 | in which republicans were uniformly refused the |
| 12 | of a process and you're making it appear as if | 12 | opportunity to inspect absentee ballots. And I |
| 13 | it's the end of a process. | 13 | participated in it myself. I remember the chads |
| 14 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, has there ever been a | 14 | in Florida. There was a republican on one side; a |
| 15 | situation in the United States where a federal | 15 | democrat on the other, and they went "nope, nope, |
| 16 | court has thrown out every single vote that was | 16 | nope". |
| 17 | cast in a statewide election and directed the | 17 | Q. Sir -- |
| 18 | state legislature to determine who the candidate | 18 | A. Our republicans were 50 feet back. |
| 19 | was, or who the victim was? | 19 | They were given binoculars that didn't work. Some |
| 20 | A. First of all, your -- your original | 20 | of them were pushed out. A court order twice was |
| 21 | statement is completely inaccurate. I'm not | 21 | not followed. The sheriff said, "I don't have to |
| 22 | asking him to throw out every single vote. I'm | 22 | follow the court order". These were extraordinary |
|  | Page 354 |  | Page 356 |
| 1 | asking him to throw out the votes that were | 1 | circumstances we expected to prove. |
| 2 | illegally cast, under the law of Pennsylvania or | 2 | I didn't think I was going to get it |
| 3 | under -- or were unconstitutional, violated Due | 3 | based on the complaint. You know that. |
| 4 | Process, violated Equal Protection. It's got to | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, I think |
| 5 | be a nexus to -- so it was not all of the votes. | 5 | this might be a time to take our morning break. |
| 6 | It was more like -- there was a lot of votes, but | 6 | MR. FOX: Thank you. |
| 7 | Trump was ahead by 800,000 and they had to make up | 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: How much more do you |
| 8 | a lot of votes. And there were -- there was | 8 | have, Mr. Fox? |
| 9 | uniform, just about uniform exclusion of | 9 | Can I ask Mr. Fox how much more he has |
| 10 | republicans from seeing a single piece of paper | 10 | of Mr. Giuliani? |
| 11 | until the very end, which I thought was a very | 11 | MR. FOX: Well, it depends on whether |
| 12 | telling and damning piece of evidence. And it was | 12 | or not I get answers or the same thing repeated |
| 13 | organized and it was done in the same way. I'm | 13 | over and over again. If we can get answers, then |
| 14 | talking about in Pennsylvania. I thought that was | 14 | I don't -- |
| 15 | a very powerful case. I don't know we even had a | 15 | THE WITNESS: That's an unfair comment. |
| 16 | case like that. | 16 | I'm defending myself, Mr. Fox. |
| 17 | So you're asking me, okay, we asked | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Please. |
| 18 | for -- as an alternative, we asked the court to | 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, Mr. Giuliani, |
| 19 | consider, which is what you're doing in a prayer | 19 | just hold off for a bit. |
| 20 | for release -- relief, they're not bound by what I | 20 | MR. FOX: I -- I don't know. I really |
| 21 | wrote there. Obviously they're going to make | 21 | don't know. It depends -- I think we'll be |
| 22 | their own judgment about it. And that's why I -- | 22 | finished by the lunch break. |


|  | Page 357 |  | Page 359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Sorry, I couldn't hear. | 1 | point that out earlier in the document. |
| 2 | MR. FOX: I think I'll be finished by | 2 | Could you -- could you -- |
| 3 | the lunch break. | 3 | MR. FOX: Sure. Go back and let him |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right, thank you. | 4 | look at the -- |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 5 | THE WITNESS: -- put it in context? |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We'll take a | 6 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 7 | fifteen-minute recess. We resume at 10:45. | 7 | Q. Yeah, sure, absolutely. Can you read |
| 8 | MS. BORAZZAS: Opening up the breakout | 8 | all of paragraph 20. |
| 9 | rooms now. | 9 | A. If you go back to the beginning. I saw |
| 10 | (Recess taken.) | 10 | it very, very quickly when you quickly went past |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We're waiting for | 11 | it. I don't have it in front of me, so. |
| 12 | Mr. Giuliani to turn his camera on. | 12 | MR. FOX: Can you -- can we go back to |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, there it is. | 13 | paragraph 20 on page eight. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 14 | THE WITNESS: Can we get a copy of this |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Are we ready to | 15 | document, please? |
| 16 | resume? | 16 | MR. FOX: Can we blow up paragraph 20 |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I'm ready. | 17 | so Mr. Giuliani can see it. |
| 18 | BY MR. FOX: | 18 | THE WITNESS: Now here -- Mr. Fox, this |
| 19 | Q. Just one other point about remedy, and | 19 | is an early stage in a quickly moving thing, and I |
| 20 | I would like you to look at -- we're still in | 20 | limited -- I -- I limited to -- obviously they're |
| 21 | Exhibit 9 but I want to go back to the motion that | 21 | not going to give it to me unless I prove it... |
| 22 | you filed for leave to file the second amended | 22 | "Hereafter limited discovery through |
|  | Page 358 |  | Page 360 |
| 1 | complaint, and I want to look at page -- the | 1 | statistical analysis, plaintiffs intend to |
| 2 | sentence that begins at the bottom of page 8 and | 2 | show" -- so we're going to need limited discovery, |
| 3 | goes over to page nine? | 3 | which we haven't had -- "that a substantial |
| 4 | MS. MATINPOUR: Is that Exhibit 9? | 4 | portion of the 1.5 M votes received in the |
| 5 | MR. FOX: Yes, Exhibit 9, page eight, | 5 | defendant counties were counted in violation of |
| 6 | paragraph 20. All right, and just highlight the | 6 | Pennsylvania law". I say "substantial portion" |
| 7 | last sentence that begins with "ultimately". | 7 | because I don't know at this point what we're |
| 8 | Yeah, start -- start up in -- you got to -- you go | 8 | going to be able to prove. |
| 9 | got to go back to the -- yeah, the last -- that's | 9 | "Including the inclusion of Trump and |
| 10 | right. There you go. | 10 | republican -- the exclusion of Trump and |
| 11 | BY MR. FOX: | 11 | republican watchers from the canvass of mail |
| 12 | Q. So, Mr. Giuliani, you announced in the | 12 | ballots and approving mail ballots, which did not |
| 13 | motion for leave to file the second amended | 13 | comport with Pennsylvania's signature, date, and |
| 14 | complaint -- this is not a prayer for relief -- | 14 | other requirements. |
| 15 | that "Plaintiffs will seek -- "Ultimately | 15 | "Plaintiffs will also show that |
| 16 | plaintiffs will seek the remedy of Trump being | 16 | Defendants' conduct was part of an improper scheme |
| 17 | declared the winner of illegal votes cast in | 17 | to favor Biden over Trump by counting improper |
| 18 | Pennsylvania in the 2020 general election and thus | 18 | votes in violation of the Equal Protection, Due |
| 19 | the recipient of Pennsylvania's electors". | 19 | Process -- |
| 20 | A. Well, I think what you've done -- would | 20 | "Ultimately, ultimately plaintiffs will |
| 21 | you go back in that document. I think that's | 21 | seek the remedy of Trump being declared the |
| 22 | qualified by having to prove that, and I think I | 22 | winner." |


|  | Page 361 |  | Page 363 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We're not seeking it now. We're making |  | going to get our witnesses under oath, so they'd |
| 2 | an optimistic prediction of the outcome of our | 2 | be preserved for history, is if we went to the |
| 3 |  | 3 | state legislatures, and the only state |
| 4 | Now, am I the first lawyer to do that? |  | legislatures where we would have had a chance of |
| 5 | I'm making it -- and I'm not saying you got to do | 5 | being accepted were state legislatures that had at |
| 6 | it now based on what I just showed you. I'm | 6 | least a republican house or Senate. |
| 7 | telling you I've got to prove all this, and you | 7 | So that ruled out a few of the states |
| 8 | get to agree or disagree with it. | 8 | that we originally, for example -- just would not |
| 9 | Exactly what did I do wrong there, | 9 | happen to Nevada. It's a -- both houses are |
| 10 | Mr. -- Mr. Fox -- | 10 | democrat, even though we had what my lawyers |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Please don't ask -- | 11 | regarded as very substantial evidence of fraud. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: -- that other lawyers | 12 | So eventually it came down to, by |
| 13 | don't do? | 13 | process of elimination -- and that -- and that |
| 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Don't ask him that. | 14 | eliminated Minnesota, as well -- it came down |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Giuliani, I | 15 | to -- I hope I get it right -- Michigan, |
| 16 | think if you could just limit yourself to | 16 | Philadelphia, Arizona, Georgia. Did I say -- oh, |
| 17 | answering the questions -- | 17 | we did try Nevada but then we -- we -- we |
|  | THE WITNESS: I know, Mr. Chairman, but | 18 | abandoned it, because we thought it was useless, |
| 19 | it's really -- oh, never mind. | 19 | and New Mexico also we thought was useless, but we |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I understand, but as | 20 | did try. |
| 21 | I said yesterday, you will have -- | 21 | So the number changed over time but it |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Again I apologize. I'm | 22 | was essentially the same concept. We were asking |
|  | Page 362 |  | Page 364 |
| 1 | sorry. |  | the legislature to assert its power under Article |
| 2 | BY MR. FOX: | 2 | 2, Section 1, Clause 2 of the Constitution, as |
| 3 | Q. Okay, let's move on to something else. | 3 | well as the Supreme Court decisions. |
| 4 | And I want to focus now on your oral argument on |  | Unfortunately I've forgotten the name of the |
| 5 | November 17th, 2020 before Judge Brann, which is | 5 | 1895 -- 1892 decision, but it was reaffirmed in |
| 6 | Disciplinary Counsel's Exhibit 8. | 6 | Bush v. Gore, which says, quite clearly, the state |
| 7 | Now you -- I'll show you these things | 7 | legislature has -- they used the word "plenary |
| 8 | if you need to see them, but you've told us I | 8 | power" -- to determine the electors and can |
| 9 | think yesterday that you believe what happened in | 9 | withdraw any delegation at any time. And it would |
| 10 | Pennsylvania was part of a nationwide conspiracy, | 10 | seem to me that, if in fact our facts are |
| 11 | and I think you said it occurred in -- well, I | 11 | provable, and the election was affected by -- by |
| 12 | think yesterday you said six, but before Judge | 12 | substantial, overwhelming irregularities and/or |
| 13 | Brann you said it occurred in at least 10 other | 13 | fraud, the state legislature had the obligation, |
| 14 | jurisdictions, correct? | 14 | among other things -- there is no question and no |
| 15 | A. I did, by the time -- by the time we | 15 | one would disagree with this -- that the number |
| 16 | get to now, I realize there were some that we | 16 | submitted to the federal government of votes is |
| 17 | weren't able to pursue. But I mean, at the time, | 17 | inaccurate. Whether -- whether Trump wins or |
| 18 | that was the number I was given, but then we never | 18 | loses, in each one of these states it was |
| 19 | pursued it for example in Nevada, because we would | 19 | demonstrably provable that the number sent in was |
| 20 | have had no chance of prevailing. It was a | 20 | totally inaccurate. |
| 21 | democratic legislature. We -- we changed our | 21 | Q. Okay, you -- |
| 22 | strategy and decided that the only way we were | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Giuliani, I -- I |


|  | Page 365 |  | Page 367 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | know that you have a lot to say, but honestly, | 1 | which changed the meaning and the good faith basis |
| 2 | this -- I'd like to finish this hearing by | 2 | on which I did that. |
| 3 | Christmas and I'm getting concerned that we -- | 3 | And you're a really smart man, Mr. Fox. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 4 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, we're talking about |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- will be able to | 5 | completely different things. I'm talking about |
| 6 | do it. | 6 | your argument in front of Judge Brann now. I'm |
| 7 | You know, seriously, I -- I -- I -- I | 7 | not talking about what you said in your motion in |
| 8 | lost track of the question. If you could just | 8 | support of this -- |
| 9 | kind of try to limit yourself to answering the | 9 | A. That's right. And I'm talking about |
| 10 | question as posed, and we can move on. Mr. | 10 | how you asked a sneaky question. That's all. And |
| 11 | Leventhal will be able to ask you on -- on | 11 | I have to point that out to defend myself. |
| 12 | cross-examination, then you can put your case in | 12 | Q. (Indecipherable) you had to live up to |
| 13 | and say whatever you want in response to his | 13 | it, all right? |
| 14 | questions. | 14 | Now let's go back to judge -- the |
| 15 | But, you know, Mr. Fox is trying to get | 15 | argument in front of Judge Brann. You -- would |
| 16 | through it and -- and -- and I think maybe you | 16 | you agree with me that you had not pled a |
| 17 | could try to help him move it along. | 17 | nationwide conspiracy and you offered no factual |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I'll -- I'll try, Mr. | 18 | support for that? |
| 19 | Chair, but I mean, you failed to note that Mr. Fox | 19 | A. No. I actually argued those facts in |
| 20 | asked me a question before in which he left out a | 20 | front of him. I didn't have the opportunity to |
| 21 | paragraph right before that changed the entire | 21 | plead it, but I -- if you look at the argument, I |
| 22 | meaning of what he asked me. So, I mean, I-- I | 22 | think I began the argument with how this was going |
|  | Page 366 |  | Page 368 |
| 1 | luckily noted that. | 1 | on in many, many districts. I think what you just |
| 2 | But it's not -- I'm not exactly being | 2 | said is a complete misstatement of what my |
| 3 | questioned -- well, never mind. | 3 | argument was. My argument must have two, three |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm just -- I'm | 4 | pages talking about the national conspiracy that |
| 5 | just -- I'm just asking you -- | 5 | was going on, that this was unbelievably and |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I have to defend myself. | 6 | unusually the same conduct at the same period of |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm just asking you | 7 | time. At midnight they stopped the count when |
| 8 | to try your best to -- | 8 | they weren't supposed to in five places -- |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I am trying, Mr. | 9 | Q. I just asked you if you pled that. Did |
| 10 | Chairman. | 10 | you plead it? |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you. | 11 | A. No, you asked me if I argued it or |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | pleaded it. I'm answering the argued part, Mr. |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, the only question I asked | 13 | Fox. Would you please be fair in your questions. |
| 14 | you was whether you had asserted in front of Judge | 14 | I couldn't have pleaded it because I was arguing |
| 15 | Brann this nationwide conspiracy. But it is true, | 15 | the first complaint, which of course didn't plead |
| 16 | is it not, that even though you asserted it you | 16 |  |
| 17 | haven't pled it and you certainly offered no | 17 | Q. All right. Fine. That's all I want to |
| 18 | factual support for it? | 18 | establish. Let's go on. Let's look at page 15, |
| 19 | A. No. You made it appear as if I was | 19 | line 16 through 23. All right. Now in this |
| 20 | asking for that relief and didn't point out that | 20 | paragraph, you talk about the report, Jimmy Carter |
| 21 | in the paragraphs before that I amended that by | 21 | and James Baker, and you say in the last line, |
| 22 | saying I had a lot to prove before I got there, | 22 | "They very, very seriously warn us" quote, quote, |


|  | Page 369 |  | Page 371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 'mail-in balloting is the largest source of voter | 1 | MR. FOX: No, she -- she just said |
| 2 | fraud'". | 2 | having difficulty putting up the exhibit. |
| 3 | A. I don't see the quote. I'm sorry, | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Oh, oh, oh. |
| 4 | Mr. -- | 4 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 5 | MR. FOX: Just blow up the last -- the | 5 | Q. So the actual quote was "Absentee |
| 6 | 21 through 23. | 6 | ballots remain the largest source of potential |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: The one I have doesn't | 7 | voter fraud," correct? |
| 8 | have a quote -- oh, oh, no, I'm saying, quote. | 8 | A. Yes. I left the word "potential" out. |
| 9 | Okay, I see. I see what you're saying. Yes. | 9 | Q. Yeah, that -- that's kind of a big word |
| 10 | BY MR. FOX: | 10 | to leave out? |
| 11 | Q. And that was in fact a misquote, was it | 11 | A. No, it isn't. It isn't a big word. |
| 12 | not, Mr. Giuliani? | 12 | Q. Well, it's -- |
| 13 | A. No, I -- I don't believe it was. | 13 | A. "The largest source of potential voter |
| 14 | Q. Let's look at your exhibit, your | 14 | fraud," or it's the largest source of voter fraud. |
| 15 | exhibit, Respondent's Exhibit $50-$-- sorry, | 15 | What's the larger one? What's the larger |
| 16 | Respondent's Exhibit 8, at page 54. This is | 16 | potential source of voter fraud? |
| 17 | the -- okay, this is the -- the report you're | 17 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you don't get to -- |
| 18 | talking about, right? | 18 | A. No, really -- never mind, never mind. |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. | 19 | This is really picayune. |
| 20 | Q. All right and -- | 20 | Q. You don't think there is a distinction |
| 21 | A. I think it is. That doesn't -- that | 21 | between telling the court that a presidential |
| 22 | doesn't look like it. | 22 | commission said that mail-in balloting was the |
|  | Page 370 |  | Page 372 |
| 1 | Q. Yeah, you see - | 1 | largest source of voter fraud, instead of saying |
| 2 | MR. FOX: Can you blow -- | 2 | it was the largest "potential" source of voter |
| 3 | BY MR. FOX: | 3 | fraud? |
| 4 | Q. You see the names Jimmy Carter and -- | 4 | A. No, I don't think there's a very big |
| 5 | A. Yeah, yeah, mm-hmm. | 5 | difference between the two. There is no other |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | source of fraud that is larger, whether you |
| 7 | MR. FOX: And let's go to page 54. | 7 | describe it as potential or not. |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | Q. All right. Okay, let's go back to -- |
| 9 | Q. And I want you -- if -- | 9 | we're finished with that and you may take a minute |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Are we on 54? | 10 | to -- |
| 11 | MS. MATINPOUR: Sorry, we -- we had | 11 | THE WITNESS: For that I'm going to get |
| 12 | some technical difficulties, so I had to go back | 12 | disciplined? |
| 13 | to the PDF. I apologize. | 13 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 14 | MR. FOX: Okay, here we are. And if | 14 | Q. -- to back to Exhibit 8. |
| 15 | you'll highlight the first sentence under 5.2, | 15 | THE WITNESS: God almighty. |
| 16 | which I think is the sentence Mr. Giuliani -- | 16 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Are we on -- are we | 17 | Q. And now I want to go to page 16 , lines |
| 18 | on -- back on the record? | 18 | 10 through 20. Now this is your argument in front |
| 19 | MR. FOX: We've always been on the | 19 | of Judge Brann in which you cite election fraud |
| 20 | record. | 20 | that occurred in other cities and in other |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, he said there was | 21 | elections, correct? |
| 22 | technical difficulties. | 22 | A. Yes, sir. |


|  | Page 373 |  | Page 375 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And you don't contend, do you, that | 1 | evidence that fraud had been committed in the |
| 2 | election fraud in other jurisdictions in other | 2 | Pennsylvania presidential election in 19--- |
| 3 | elections is evidence that there was election | 3 | A. No, it's background to refute the |
| 4 | fraud in Pennsylvania in 2020? | 4 | general attitude that often happens: "Oh, this is |
| 5 | A. No, but remember I was arguing -- as I | 5 | impossible. This doesn't happen". |
| 6 | pointed out before and you were disputing -- I was | 6 | The New York Times writes editorials |
| 7 | arguing here that this was part of a national -- | 7 | like that every week, "There is no voter fraud. |
| 8 | not -- not -- not -- not national in the sense of | 8 | Republicans make it up". That sort of thing. |
| 9 | the entire country, but in anywhere from five to | 9 | It was -- it was to refute that, to put |
| 10 | nine specific democratic city cures that this was | 10 | it in context. |
| 11 | a conspiracy that existed outside of Pennsylvania, | 11 | Q. Let's look next at page 17 , lines 11 |
| 12 | of which Pennsylvania was a part. So here I am | 12 | through 15, and here you're talking about voter |
| 13 | pointing out that there's a history of that. | 13 | fraud that occurred in Philadelphia in other |
| 14 | Q. And this -- and this conspiracy | 14 | elections, correct? |
| 15 | included Mayor Daley in 1960? | 15 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 16 | A. No, of course not. But I don't know if | 16 | Q. All right. And I will show them if you |
| 17 | you know the RICO statute. The RICO statute goes | 17 | want, but I think there are other references to |
| 18 | back to prior history of 50, 60,70 years. | 18 | previous elections in which you say voter fraud |
| 19 | Q. Okay. | 19 | occurred in Philadelphia, right? |
| 20 | A. And so here I'm pointing out that this | 20 | A. Yes. I based that on newspaper |
|  | is not -- I mean, a lot of people would look at | 21 | articles. |
| 22 | election fraud and say, "Oh, my god, that can't | 22 | Q. Again, that's not evidence of any fraud |
|  | Page 374 |  | Page 376 |
| 1 | happen, and I understand that. I've dealt with | 1 | that occurred in Pennsylvania in the 2020 |
| 2 | election fraud both as a candidate and as a | 2 | presidential election, is it? |
| 3 | prosecutor. But a lot of people don't believe it | 3 | A. Well, it depends on how broad your -- |
| 4 | exists. So what I am pointing out here is, | 4 | your trial is going to be. If you're going to |
| 5 | "Judge, this is not so darn unusual. It happens. | 5 | bring in history and likelihood in a jurisdiction, |
| 6 | It happened a long time ago in a very important | 6 | yeah, that could -- you could argue that that |
| 7 | presidential election, or allegedly happened then, | 7 | possibly is relevant. I've been able to get |
| 8 | and it happened in 2018". And I happen to have -- | 8 | evidence like that in of a historical pattern. |
| 9 | have specific knowledge of the 20-year history in | 9 | Philadelphia would be a good example of that |
| 10 | Palm Beach County and Broward County and exactly | 10 | because you have so many articles about how it's |
| 11 | the percentage of vote that they used to determine | 11 | replete with -- with voter -- voter fraud. |
| 12 | whether they were going to fix the election or | 12 | It isn't -- it isn't a criminal trial |
| 13 | not. It was, if the election was within two | 13 | where you can't introduce, you know, prior |
| 14 | percent, they would then try to make up ballots | 14 | misbehavior. In a civil trial you can. So, yeah, |
| 15 | and bring them in. And they got caught in the | 15 | the fact that you happen to be in what some people |
| 16 | DeSantis/Scotto election because they had a whole | 16 | would kind of colloquially describe as, you know, |
| 17 | bunch of ballots up in Jacksonville that were | 17 | a professional voter fraud city: Philadelphia and |
| 18 | headed to Palm Beach and Broward County. DeSantis | 18 | Chicago. I mean, a guy just got convicted a few |
| 19 | fired the woman who'd been running it for 20 | 19 | weeks ago in Philadelphia of voter fraud. |
| 20 | years, and since then, they've had elections that | 20 | This -- this -- I mean, this is |
| 21 | are flawless and get reported in eight years. | 21 | something -- I don't know if you'd get it in. You |
| 22 | Q. And your contentions is that that was | 22 | would try -- you -- you might try to show -- this |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | isn't just -- they didn't just pick, you know, | 1 | Q. So would you agree with me here that |
| 2 | Tucson, or they didn't just pick Omaha, Nebraska. | 2 | you're -- you're arguing that you're getting |
| 3 | They picked a place that has a long, long history | 3 | increasing evidence all the time of fraud in the |
| 4 | of voter fraud that's been controlled by one | 4 | Pennsylvania 2020 election? |
| 5 | political party or 50 years and has a reputation | 5 | A. I didn't say it now. Maybe later. All |
| 6 | and a then bunch of convictions for corruption | 6 | I'm talking about is how I -- I failed to see why |
| 7 | that kind of shocks the conscience. | 7 | this is a difficult Equal Protection claim when he |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Do you know, incidentally, Mr. | 8 | was treated differently than the other candidate, |
| 9 | Giuliani, how many election fraud investigations | 9 | which is kind of common Equal Protection argument. |
| 10 | were conducted in Pennsylvania after the 2020 | 10 | Now let's read this. |
| 11 | election? | 11 | (Witness reviews document.) |
| 12 | A. After the 2020 election? | 12 | Yeah, I'm not talking about how we're |
| 13 | Q. Yeah, the one we're talking about. | 13 | going to get more evidence -- denial of Equal |
| 14 | A. No, I was relying on newspaper | 14 | Protection. We -- we had more evidence then than |
| 15 | articles -- I thought I supplied them -- that lay | 15 | we had when I originally argued. People were |
| 16 | out how frequent there are voter fraud | 16 | sending in -- we -- we -- we had a -- we had |
| 17 | investigations in Pennsylvania and how it's the | 17 | system, a call-in system that was working 24 hours |
| 18 | situs of many after an election. I can't remember | 18 | a day. And the reason that the documents |
| 19 | the date -- dates of each one of them. But that | 19 | involving this all show up at headquarters, rather |
|  | was based on -- it wasn't based on me. It was | 20 | than in the various local lawyers' offices are, |
| 21 | based on articles from newspapers. | 21 | that's where the investigators were, and that's |
| 22 | Q. All right. Let's look on -- let's look | 22 | where they were processing the information, and in |
|  | Page 378 |  | Page 380 |
| 1 | at the evidence that you said you had that did | 1 | some cases going out and investigating. And we |
| 2 | relate to the 2020 election, and let's go to page | 2 | were every day getting -- I can't put a number on |
| 3 | 22 of your argument, lines 20 to 25. | 3 | it, I'd just be guessing. Every day we'd be |
| 4 | Now you -- | 4 | getting additional allegations. Here I'm talking |
| 5 | MS. MATINPOUR: What line was it? | 5 | about the ones having to do with Equal Protection, |
| 6 | MR. FOX: Twenty to 25. | 6 | but they also related to being excluded from |
| 7 | BY MR. FOX: | 7 | seeing ballots; whole manner of other things. |
| 8 | Q. And you say here, among other things, | 8 | Q. Okay. And that's what you represented |
| 9 | "One of the problems that we have in this case and | 9 | to the court, correct? |
| 10 | why we had to amend it is because, as compared to | 10 | A. Yeah, that -- that we -- that we got |
| 11 | last week, we had twice as much evidence this | 11 | more allegation, yes. |
| 12 | week". | 12 | Q. And now let's look at page 28, lines 16 |
| 13 | A. I'm sorry, is that a question? | 13 | through 20. And we've talked about this before. |
| 14 | Q. Well, that -- that is what you argued, | 14 | This is your representation to the court that |
| 15 | correct? | 15 | you've got 300 affidavits or declarations to |
| 16 | A. It's kind of -- I'm not -- I'm not sure | 16 | support your allegations, correct? |
| 17 | I understand what I argued. I kind of need the | 17 | A. That is correct. |
| 18 | paragraph before. | 18 | Q. And these are in evidence. These |
| 19 | Q. Oh, sure. | 19 | should be -- withdrawn. |
| 20 | (Witness reviews document.) | 20 | These should be in evidence in this |
| 21 | A. This is about the Equal Protection | 21 | proceeding, with all the -- |
| 22 | claim, okay. | 22 | A. No, not -- as I said, not all of them, |
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| Page 381 |  | Page 383 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 but the ones that we could find, yes. | 1 | hearing, and that's when we would produce these. |
| Q. Okay. | 2 | Q. If -- if you will look at -- if we |
| A. And if I have to qualify it a little | 3 | could go now to page 23 and 24, starting with line |
| bit, I can't be absolutely -- well, it's both | 4 | 24 and 23 and going over to the second line of |
| 5 overinclusive and underinclusive. | 5 | page -- I'm sorry. I -- I've got this -- let -- |
| 6 How many did we supply, 245? There | 6 | let me see if I've got this -- it appears to be |
| 7 are -- there are more affidavits than that, and I | 7 | the wrong cite. |
| 8 can't be sure that every single one of them that | 8 | (Brief pause.) |
| 9 we have in that was used in this litigation. This | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Where? |
| 10 is what we found -- | 10 | MR. FOX: I'm going to come back. I |
| 11 Q. Okay. | 11 | think I've -- I gave -- I've got the cite screwed |
| 12 A. -- and handed over to you. Some of | 12 | up. I apologize. |
| 13 them I can remember specifically, some I can | 13 | (Brief pause.) |
| 14 remember generally, and some I don't remember at | 14 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 15 all. | 15 | Q. Well, let -- let me -- let me -- let |
| 16 Q. Now, the only exhibits that you | 16 | me -- let me do it this way... You took the |
| 17 actually offered at the oral argument before Judge | 17 | position, did you not, in front of Judge Brann |
| 18 Brann were four photographs. | 18 | that all mail-in ballots which were not inspected |
| 19 Is that correct? | 19 | by observers were illegal? |
| 20 A. Yes. I also offered to produce | 20 | A. The ones that -- I can't -- I can't say |
| 21 anything else that he wanted. | 21 | in a universe of what I don't know that I took |
| 22 Q. And -- and the four photographs were | 22 | the -- I took the position that the ones that we |
| Page 382 |  | Page 384 |
| designed to show these problems that -- | 1 | had identified were -- those were illegal. |
| A. Correct, it was -- it was designed to | 2 | I imagine any one not inspected would |
| show that -- | 3 | be illegal, but I can't say -- I don't know. |
| Q. May I finish the question, sir? | 4 | There may be some exception somewhere. |
| A. It was a football field, basically. We | 5 | The ones that -- the ones that we -- |
| 6 showed a picture of a -- | 6 | the ones that we used as the general basis for our |
| MR. LEVENTHAL: Let him finish the | 7 | argument were -- we took the position that they |
| 8 question. | 8 | should be -- that they were void. |
| BY MR. FOX: | 9 | Q. Okay. Let -- let's -- let's go back to |
| 10 Q. The four photographs were designed to | 10 | page 23 , lines 24 , and then go over to page 24 , |
| 11 show the difficulties that the observers had in | 11 | lines one through two, which I meant to show you |
| 12 seeing what was going on when the mail-in ballots | 12 | before. |
| 13 were being counted, correct? | 13 | MS. MATINPOUR: What was the line? |
| 14 A. That is correct. | 14 | MR. FOX: Line 24 and 23, going over to |
| 15 Q. And aside from those photographs, you | 15 | line two on page 24. |
| 16 offered no other exhibits in front of Judge Brann? | 16 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 17 A. Frankly, we didn't have the time to. | 17 | Q. And again, if you need the context, |
| 18 Q. Okay. | 18 | I'll happily give it to you, but you argue here |
| 19 A. But we offered to give him anything he | 19 | that, "The illegal ballots that were not inspected |
| 20 wanted. | 20 | at all have been entered and counted. In fact |
| 21 Q. Now -- | 21 | precisely 682,770 that we can count now". |
| 22 A. We expected we were going to have a | 22 | So your argument in front of Judge |


|  | Page 385 |  | Page 387 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Brann was that these 672,000 ballots -- the |  | verified. That's it. |
| 2 | numbers slightly changed, but -- were all illegal, | 2 | Q. The election workers were doing that, |
| 3 | correct? | 3 | weren't they? |
| 4 | A. Yeah, they're -- they're -- they -- but | 4 | A. Yeah, but they weren't allowing it to |
| 5 | a certain category of ballot, which I think we -- | 5 | be independently inspected, which is pretty much a |
| 6 | these are the ballots that were counted where the | 6 | uniform rule throughout the United States and |
| 7 | republican inspectors were uniformly excluded and | 7 | Europe. |
| 8 | they were able to make a -- a calculation of how | 8 | Q. All right. Now, let's go -- let's go |
| 9 | many ballots were counted that way. | 9 | now to page 26 , lines nine through 17, and here I |
| 10 | Q. Okay. | 10 | think you're arguing before Judge Brann that, in |
| 11 | A. Some of them stayed there. You see one | 11 | the seven counties that were the defendants, there |
| 12 | affidavit, you know, 19 hours, 12 hours, 10 hours, | 12 | were one-and-a-half million votes that were |
| 13 | five. He puts it down in the affidavit and some | 13 | illegal? |
| 14 | of them didn't make any prediction at all. But | 14 | A. I don't believe I am. I don't believe |
| 15 | the ones who -- who -- who went to the trouble of | 15 | I -- I -- I don't believe I'm arguing that any are |
| 16 | counting the number counted in front of them, | 16 | illegal -- |
| 17 | which they didn't get to see -- I didn't do this | 17 | Q. First of all, I'm -- I think we're at |
| 18 | myself, but I had my staff or my assistants -- but | 18 | the wrong page. |
| 19 | that number kept changing. It kept going up. And | 19 | A. Can I finish my -- okay. Never mind. |
| 20 | I guess that was the number at the time. | 20 | Q. No, I think I gave you the wrong page. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And you didn't cite any | 21 | MR. FOX: That's 27. I want page 26. |
| 22 | authority in front of Judge Brann for the | 22 | Sorry. Lines nine through 17. |
|  | Page 386 |  | Page 388 |
| 1 | proposition that, because the observers hadn't | 1 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 2 | been able to see these ballots when they were | 2 | Q. Now as I understand what you're saying |
| 3 | inspected, that they were illegal, did you? | 3 | here -- take a minute to read it -- but I |
| 4 | A. Well, I don't know if this ever came up | 4 | understand you're saying here, in seven counties |
| 5 | before. | 5 | there are a million and a half illegal votes. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | A. Well, I think -- I think I'm saying |
| 7 | A. So there wouldn't be -- I mean, this -- | 7 | that the only person that knows is an employee |
| 8 | I -- I saw this in many, many ways as a case of | 8 | that we -- we hadn't looked at all those. |
| 9 | first impression and where the law says they have | 9 | Q. Well, 1.5 M votes that were entered |
| 10 | to be inspected and they are not, and in other | 10 | illegally, that's your -- that was your argument, |
| 11 | circumstances, like under 25 Pennsylvania Statute | 11 | was it not? |
| 12 | 3146(a), where not every item is included, the -- | 12 | A. Yeah, I-- I did say that. I misspoke. |
| 13 | the -- the -- the -- the remedy is that they | 13 | I thought -- I thought the context of it was |
| 14 | shouldn't -- they shouldn't be counted. | 14 | clear, that the total that I had was 682,770 . We |
| 15 | Q. Okay. | 15 | hadn't examined the rest of these yet, and I'm |
| 16 | A. So one of the -- I mean, frankly, | 16 | saying, the only way we'll know, is if we examine |
| 17 | again, if you go to the Carter/Baker, the critical | 17 | them. |
| 18 | element in preserving integrity when there is | 18 | Is it potentially possible? I guess. |
| 19 | something that has more of a tendency for fraud, | 19 | But I -- if I did suggest that it was 1.5 M , that |
| 20 | like mail-in ballots, is identification of the | 20 | is not what I was trying to say. I had -- I had |
| 21 | ballot at the time that it's being counted, | 21 | not -- I wouldn't be able to say at that point it |
| 22 | because there's no other time that it can be | 22 | was 1.5 M . |
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|  | Page 389 |  | Page 391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. So -- so how many illegal ballots | 1 | A. It -- it was the number that at that |
| 2 | did -- were you contending there were? | 2 | point I could determine was examined without |
| 3 | A. Right -- at that point, 682,770 , right | 3 | inspection by a republican inspector as is done in |
| 4 | there. | 4 | almost all of the rest of the country in other |
| 5 | Q. And -- and -- and it was your position | 5 | democracies. I thought that would be a really |
| 6 | that all those ballots had to be canceled, wasn't | 6 | good argument. I didn't know and I think I -- I |
| 7 | it? | 7 | think I could argue an interpretation of what I |
| 8 | A. There might be some exceptions. I | 8 | said there, if at one point 1.5 M is the total |
| 9 | mean, there'd be defenses to some of it maybe. | 9 | number that were counted in those counties, but I |
| 10 | But yeah, most of them. If they were -- if the | 10 | hadn't looked at those yet. |
| 11 | law says that a person has to be present from the | 11 | So, I mean that was -- remember, this |
| 12 | other party to examine it, and if "present" | 12 | is the beginning of a proceeding. It might turn |
| 13 | means -- I'm just giving you my logic. I don't | 13 | out that those weren't, that those were perfectly |
| 14 | have to if you don't want it. | 14 | fine. |
| 15 | Yes, my contention would be that, if | 15 | Q. Okay. All right. Now, in -- in -- in |
| 16 | these weren't inspected by an independent person, | 16 | my review -- and you can correct me if I'm wrong, |
| 17 | they were useless, under Pennsylvania law and | 17 | and I'll show you the pages if you -- if you want |
| 18 | under universal concept of Due Process, because in | 18 | me to -- I saw that you pled that you argued three |
| 19 | almost every civilized country, they're inspected. | 19 | facts to support these claims: the first one was |
| 20 | Philadelphia would stand out, | 20 | there was an observer in Philadelphia who had to |
| 21 | Pennsylvania would stand out as having elections | 21 | use binoculars and even that those proved |
| 22 | that were more unfair than countries in which, you | 22 | insufficient. |
|  | Page 390 |  | Page 392 |
| 1 | know, they are definitely Third World countries | 1 | Do you remember arguing that? |
| 2 | where they inspect absentee ballots, have an | 2 | A. As one of many -- well, yeah, I |
| 3 | independent inspection of. | 3 | remember -- |
| 4 | Q. And just to be clear -- let's go to | 4 | Q. Do you remember -- |
| 5 | page 27, lines 14 through $18-$ and this is where | 5 | A. I don't remember specifically arguing |
| 6 | you say that the ballots need to be canceled, | 6 | it in this case or some other case, but I did talk |
| 7 | right? | 7 | about the people who needed binoculars. It was |
| 8 | A. Ultimately, always ultimately. I mean, | 8 | more they were given binoculars. They said, "We |
| 9 | not -- not based on what I'm alleging here. | 9 | can't see anything," and the government officials |
| 10 | This is -- again, this is a complaint. | 10 | came over and gave them binoculars, and then they |
| 11 | It predicts what you believe you can prove later. | 11 | complained, "We can't see it even with the |
| 12 | I don't get anything if I don't prove it. The | 12 | binoculars." |
| 13 | judge knows that; I know it. So a complaint is a | 13 | And I do remember a situation in which |
| 14 | prediction. It's not a statement of what you | 14 | the real problem was that the people counting the |
| 15 | definitely are going to get, what you're | 15 | ballots about 40 feet away, which you couldn't see |
| 16 | definitely going to prove. | 16 | anyway, had their back to the inspector so that |
| 17 | Gosh, if you had to do that, you'd -- | 17 | you could deliberately not see what they were |
| 18 | you'd have to be a mind reader. | 18 | doing. There's also testimony like that. But I |
| 19 | Q. And -- and -- and, as I understand your | 19 | did argue -- I did argue, as among many, many |
|  | testimony, it wasn't a million and a half ballots | 20 | other arguments, that they were some -- not all, |
| 21 | that you were asking to be canceled, it was only | 21 | in a few cases they were offered binoculars. They |
| 22 | 680,000? | 22 | were also offered monitors that didn't work. |


|  | Page 393 |  | Page 395 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay, I-- I'm just trying to establish | 1 | chair has already pointed out, to testify later |
| 2 | now the facts, the facts that you cited to Judge | 2 | on. So perhaps if there are, you can let us know |
| 3 | Brann at your argument on November 17th. | 3 | what those facts are. |
| 4 | A. Okay. Well that's one of them, I | 4 | And I'd rather switch now to -- |
| 5 | think. | 5 | A. If I were looking at it and -- and tell |
| 6 | Q. All right. The second one that I -- | 6 | you if there are other -- other arguments. |
| 7 | that I think you cited to him was that, when some | 7 | Q. But if you made any -- if you pled any |
| 8 | of the people in Philadelphia tried to move | 8 | facts or made any arguments, though, or cited any |
| 9 | closer, they were pushed back and they were not | 9 | facts in any arguments in support of his position |
| 10 | allowed to get closer. | 10 | that we have not brought out, and if there are, |
| 11 | Do you remember that? I'll show it to | 11 | I'd like to know what they are. |
| 12 | you if you want to see it. | 12 | A. Yeah, I don't know -- I don't know if I |
| 13 | A. No, no, I do. I do remember that, | 13 | did or if I didn't. But I -- I certainly alerted |
| 14 | sure. There were -- some got closer and were | 14 | him to the situation. |
| 15 | pushed back. I do recall that, yes. | 15 | Q. Okay. Let -- let's -- let's shift to |
| 16 | Q. And when you made that argument, you | 16 | the subject of the legal authority. And you'll be |
| 17 | pointed out -- | 17 | happy to know this is the second last -- to the |
| 18 | MR. FOX: Well let -- let's put up -- | 18 | last area that I want to discuss. |
| 19 | let's go to page 26 and put up lines 18 through | 19 | One of the cases -- I saw that in front |
| 20 | $24 .$ | 20 | of Judge Brann that you argued two cases: Marks v. |
| 21 | BY MR. FOX: | 21 | Stinson and Bush v. Gore. |
| 22 | Q. You argued this happened to democrats | 22 | Were there any other -- was there any |
|  | Page 394 |  | Page 396 |
| 1 | as well as republicans, right? | 1 | other legal authority that you cited to Judge |
| 2 | A. Not uniformly, but it did happen to | 2 | Brann or that you relied on aside from Marks v. |
| 3 | democrats probably a good deal of the time. But | 3 | Stinson and Bush v. Gore? |
| 4 | there were exceptions in which democrats were | 4 | A. I don't remember. |
| 5 | allowed to inspect and not republicans. | 5 | Q. Marks v. Stinson was a 1994 3rd Circuit |
| 6 | Q. Now, did you -- | 6 | case, correct -- 3rd Circuit and district court |
| 7 | A. But I would say what I state there is | 7 | case, correct? |
| 8 | more the rule than the exception. | 8 | A. Yep, it was. Yes. He was actually |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Now, I tried to go through your | 9 | cocounsel in -- in our case. |
| 10 | argument from Judge Brann and I've tried to go | 10 | Q. Mr. Marks was? Mr. Marks was |
| 11 | through the initial complaint and the second | 11 | cocounsel? |
| 12 | amended complaint to pull out all the factual | 12 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 13 | arguments that you made to support your position. | 13 | Q. All right. And that was a race for a |
| 14 | Are there any other factual arguments | 14 | state legislature position, correct? |
| 15 | that you made in those pleadings or to Judge Brann | 15 | A. I think it was the Senate. |
| 16 | that we have overlooked? | 16 | Q. Senate. And it was in a jurisdiction |
| 17 | A. If you give me a chance to read it, I | 17 | in which there were 40,000 votes cast, correct? |
| 18 | would -- I would tell you what they are. I mean, | 18 | A. I don't -- if you say so, then -- it |
| 19 | I haven't -- | 19 | was a small election. I don't remember the |
| 20 | Q. Well, then that -- I don't certainly | 20 | numbers. |
| 21 | want to delay the proceeding for that, but then | 21 | Q. And the margin of victory for Mr. |
| 22 | if -- if -- you'll have an opportunity, as the | 22 | Stinson was 420 votes, correct? |


|  | Page 397 |  | Page 399 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Sounds right. Very -- very narrow. |  | the election. |
| 2 | Q. And there -- Mr. Marks had filed, | 2 | You remember that? |
| 3 | before he filed a federal case, a state election | 3 | A. I remember that was the showing. I |
| 4 | contest, correct? | 4 | don't remember the -- the process by which they |
| 5 | A. I don't remember that, but okay. All | 5 | got to that conclusion. |
| 6 | right. | 6 | Q. Would you agree with me then that the |
| 7 | Q. And they had developed extensive facts. | 7 | facts of Marks v. Stinson were a far cry from the |
| 8 | Do you recall that? | 8 | ones here? |
| 9 | A. Yeah, it was a completed case. It was | 9 | A. Well, of course. This is -- we're at |
| 10 | well beyond the complaint stage. | 10 | the complaint stage, they're at the completed |
| 11 | Q. And there was a fax that showed that -- | 11 | stage, but we're hoping we can get there. And -- |
| 12 | and in -- and in those days there was no such | 12 | and it's the principal of law that -- in which |
| 13 | thing as universal mail-in voting, right? | 13 | they required a new election, that we're arguing |
| 14 | A. No. You had to give a -- you had to | 14 | for. There's no guarantee we're going to get |
| 15 | give usually a very strict reason or -- and had to | 15 | there, but you often -- I mean, that's -- there |
| 16 | follow very strict procedures. | 16 | aren't many cases -- first of all, this case is |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And Stinson's people had gone | 17 | suis generis; that you're not going to find |
| 18 | into certain neighborhoods and had solicited | 18 | authority for a case like this. I don't remember |
| 19 | absentee ballot applications from voters, correct? | 19 | this happening in a presidential election. So how |
| 20 | There was evidence of this? | 20 | am I going to find -- I can't go make up cases and |
| 21 | A. You're reminding me of it, but yeah, | 21 | I'm not going to do that. And so this was a case |
| 22 | that's -- that's right. | 22 | that showed that the court intervened and there |
|  | Page 398 |  | Page 400 |
| 1 | Q. In fact at one time he paid his | 1 | were a number of remedies that were suggested, a |
| 2 | election workers a -- a bounty for each one of the | 2 | couple tried, and I think the ultimate remedy was |
| 3 | absentee ballot applications that he could come up | 3 | a -- was I -- I think he sat somebody first, and |
| 4 | with? | 4 | then the ultimate remedy, it turned out not to |
| 5 | A. I -- I don't remember that, but okay. | 5 | work for some reason, and then the judge ordered a |
| 6 | All right. | 6 | new election and Marks won. |
| 7 | Q. And instead of the ballots being sent | 7 | And strangely, the thing I remember |
| 8 | to the voters, somebody in the election board | 8 | about it most is the critical election because it |
| 9 | actually gave them directly to the Stinson | 9 | decided the majority of leadership of the -- of |
| 10 | campaign, correct? | 10 | the -- I believe it was the state Senate. |
| 11 | A. Again, if you say so. | 11 | But I don't -- we weren't trying to |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And in front of the district | 12 | fool anybody that we're at that stage. We |
| 13 | court -- and -- and -- and it was possible to -- | 13 | didn't -- we didn't cite it. |
| 14 | and the discovery identified the number of these | 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | fraudulently obtained ballots that had been given, | 15 | A. Theirs was at a different stage as |
| 16 | correct? | 16 | ours, quite obviously, and we didn't -- we didn't |
| 17 | A. I don't remember. | 17 | pretend it wasn't. |
| 18 | Q. And you remember in front of the | 18 | Q. All right. Now, let's go to Bush v. |
| 19 | district court there were three statistical | 19 | Gore. You recall that the Supreme Court said in |
| 20 | experts -- one of them was hired by Mr. Stinson -- | 20 | Bush v. Gore that the consideration that was given |
| 21 | all of whom agreed that the evidence showed that, | 21 | was limited to the present circumstances? |
| 22 | without the illegal votes, Marks would have won | 22 | Why don't we do this. Let's -- let's |


|  | Page 401 |  | Page 403 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | put up -- | 1 | A. I don't know it as that. I know it as |
| 2 | A. Yeah, yeah, I remember that they -- | 2 | Article 2, Section 1, Clause 2 of the United |
| 3 | they -- they -- they used language there trying to | 3 | States Constitution, which is plain as hell. |
| 4 | limit it so that it wouldn't be used too much. To | 4 | Q. Article two? |
| 5 | the best they could, knowing that obviously, | 5 | A. Yeah, Article 1 has the -- has the |
| 6 | whatever they do -- they were trying to | 6 | Elections Clause. Article 2 has the Electors |
| 7 | eliminate -- eliminate the presidential value of | 7 | Clause. |
| 8 | it. I do recall something like that, yeah. | 8 | Q. And do you recall that this was cited |
| 9 | Q. And -- and Bush v. Gore arose in the | 9 | in a conferring opinion by Chief Justice |
| 10 | context of a recount, correct, not the original | 10 | Rehnquist? |
| 11 | count of the election, but the recount? | 11 | A. I don't recall who cited it, but I |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 | recall it was cited, yes. |
| 13 | Q. All right. And would you agree with me | 13 | Q. And -- and the theory is actually going |
| 14 | that there has no -- there has been no case since | 14 | to be argued before the Supreme Court tomorrow, |
| 15 | Bush v. Gore where that case has been applied to | 15 | isn't it, in Moore v. Harper? |
| 16 | overturn a statewide election? | 16 | A. Yes, yeah. The more extended theory, |
| 17 | A. I don't know -- I -- I don't think so | 17 | yes. |
| 18 | but I wasn't citing it -- I was citing it for | 18 | Q. The more extended theory, okay. But |
| 19 | Equal Protection. | 19 | it's your contention that that's established law |
| 20 | Q. Okay. | 20 | now, what you just said? |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | A. The doctrine of Equal Protection and | $21$ | A. Well, until they change it. I mean, |
|  | for the vitality of the -- of the observation |  | that's what they -- that -- that's what the -- |
|  | Page 402 |  | Page 404 |
| 1 | and -- and -- and conclusion of the court a | 1 | that's what Rehnquist said. Am I going to |
| 2 | hundred years earlier, that the state | 2 | disagree with him? And -- and, you know, it's an |
| 3 | legislator -- legislature is the sole primary and | 3 | interpretation. Even if it wasn't established |
| 4 | plenary determinate of elections. | 4 | law, if it was one interpretation of the law, |
| 5 | Q. You know, you've alluded to that -- | 5 | which it certainly was, and it was one that inured |
| 6 | A. By the way -- which by the way was a | 6 | to the benefit of my client, wouldn't I be more or |
| 7 | surprise to most state legislatures. They didn't | 7 | less duty bound to argue it? |
| 8 | know that. I would have to -- in order to | 8 | Q. All right. Do -- well, I'll leave it |
| 9 | convince them of that, I would have to show them | 9 | there -- no, I do want to follow up with one |
| 10 | the Constitution. They couldn't believe that our | 10 | thing. I'm getting away from Bush v. Gore, but do |
| 11 | founding fathers had given them such authority. | 11 | you remember in the 3rd Circuit that you did not |
| 12 | Q. Mr. -- | 12 | challenge the dismissal of the complaint based on |
| 13 | A. Maybe they don't think of themselves | 13 | the Electors and Elections Clause? |
| 14 | as, you know, elevated as that, but the | 14 | A. I don't remember that. I didn't -- |
| 15 | Constitution is clear as hell, the Constitutional | 15 | Q. Okay. |
| 16 | Convention was clear, and even some of the | 16 | A. I didn't handle the 3rd Circuit and I |
| 17 | writings of our framers made it clear that they | 17 | don't know when that decision was made. |
| 18 | wanted the body closest to the people if there | 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | would be a dispute of this kind. | 19 | A. Nor do I know the rationale for why we |
| 20 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you've alluded to this | 20 | didn't. |
| 21 | several times. It's called the "independent state | 21 | Q. To go back to Bush v. Gore, Bush v. |
| 22 | legislature theory," correct? | 22 | Gore explicitly recognized, did it not, that local |


|  | Page 405 |  | Page 407 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | entities may develop different systems for |  | interpretation of the case. |
| 2 | implement -- for the implementation of elections? | 2 | MR. GIULIANI: Well, Mr. -- okay, we'll |
| 3 | A. One hundred percent, yeah. | 3 | fix it. We'll fix it. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: We're talking about law |
| 5 | A. That's sort of the -- that's sort of | 5 | now, not -- not -- not testimony. |
| 6 | the whole -- okay, yeah. Yes. | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You'll have your |
| 7 | Q. All right. And in -- in Bush v. Gore | 7 | chance, Mr. Leventhal. |
| 8 | the unusual circumstances was that a single entity | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | of the state Supreme Court was supervising the | 9 | Q. All right. Aside from Marks v. Stinson |
| 10 | recount of the vote, correct? | 10 | and Bush v. Gore, was there any other authority on |
| 11 | A. That's correct. | 11 | which you relied in support of your complaints or |
| 12 | Q. And the court had established no | 12 | your oral argument before Judge Brann? |
| 13 | standards -- and what was going on was what's | 13 | A. If there was, it's in the -- in the |
| 14 | called generally undercounting the ballot, where | 14 | papers. If it isn't in the papers, then we |
| 15 | it look -- sorry, undervoting the ballot, where | 15 | couldn't find anything in the seven or eight hours |
| 16 | it's not clear that somebody voted in the | 16 | that we had to do this. And I wasn't so surprised |
| 17 | presidential election at all, right? | 17 | that we didn't, because it did seem to be a case |
| 18 | A. Yeah, I think that's right. You're | 18 | of first impression, a case of very unusual -- I |
| 19 | getting a little beyond now my recollection of it, | 19 | had never remembered something like this happening |
| 20 | but... | 20 | before. |
| 21 | Q. And you had all this stuff, like the | 21 | So, in instructing my guys and women to |
| 22 | hanging chads, the -- | 22 | look for cases, which I often do, I go back to |
|  | Page 406 |  | Page 408 |
| 1 | A. Can't forget those, right. | 1 | things that happened in the past and say, "Well, |
| 2 | Q. We all remember the hanging chads, | 2 | let's look at this; let's look at that". I was |
| 3 | right? | 3 | having a hard time figuring out what we could look |
| 4 | A. We'll never forget them. | 4 | at. And I -- I figured we'd come up with more as |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And -- and they looked to see if | 5 | we were going along, but I thought this was going |
| 6 | the ballot -- if there was an impression on the -- | 6 | to get decided sort of based on the facts more |
| 7 | an impression on the -- on the ballot which -- | 7 | than anything else, because they were pretty |
| 8 | A. How -- how far through did it go. | 8 | compelling. |
| 9 | Q. Right. And the problem was, that the | 9 | Q. Okay. Last thing I want to look at, |
| 10 | Supreme Court focused on, was that the state | 10 | and then we'll -- then we'll be done, is the |
| 11 | Supreme Court had not given the people who were | 11 | answer you filed to the Specification of Charges |
| 12 | making these determinations any standards to apply | 12 | in this matter, which is Disciplinary Counsel's |
| 13 | in making those determinations, correct? | 13 | Exhibit 4. |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir. | 14 | Do you recognize this as the answer |
| 15 | Q. All right. And that was a violation of | 15 | that you filed? |
| 16 | Equal Protection? | 16 | A. Yes, I do, sir. Yes. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Q. If you look at page nine, you signed |
| 18 | Q. Okay. | 18 | this personally, did you not? |
| 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Excuse me, could I | 19 | A. I did. |
| 20 | correct that? | 20 | Q. Okay. That's your signature on page |
| 21 | MR. FOX: No, sir. | 21 | nine? |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's not the right | 22 | A. Yeah, that's my signature. |
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|  | Page 409 |  | Page 411 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. Let's go to page eight and -- | 1 | that. |
| 2 | well, let's go to page eight, paragraph 45. It | 2 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 3 | goes over to the next page, but -- well, stay on | 3 | Q. You -- you pointed out that he was |
| 4 | page eight, 45. | 4 | mandated under the 1983 amendment to Rule 11 to |
| 5 | MR. FOX: And can you make that -- if | 5 | sanction you if he thought you violated the rule, |
| 6 | you can blow that up a little bit, I'd appreciate | 6 | right? |
| 7 | it. | 7 | A. I -- I -- I cited that -- I cited that, |
| 8 | BY MR. FOX: | 8 | but I didn't argue it. |
| 9 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, in this answer you | 9 | Q. Okay. I'm -- I'm -- I'm saying |
| 10 | said that, because Judge Brann did not sanction | 10 | "cited". |
| 11 | you, that was an indication that he thought you | 11 | And in support of that, you cited a |
| 12 | had evidence of law and fact in support of the | 12 | case called Invst Financial Group, which you said |
| 13 | complaint, correct? | 13 | was a 6th Circuit case from 2011, right? |
| 14 | (Witness reviews document.) | 14 | A. I see that, yes. |
| 15 | A. No, I didn't -- I didn't say that he | 15 | Q. Now, in point of fact, before I |
| 16 | approved -- approved of everything that I did. | 16 | withdraw that, you also pointed out in this, did |
| 17 | Q. All right. Well, let me -- -- let's | 17 | you not, that your -- that opposing counsel had |
| 18 | just start -- | 18 | not filed a Rule 11 motion seeking sanctions |
| 19 | A. What I said was -- well, let me finish. | 19 | against you, correct? |
| 20 | What I said was he didn't find reason | 20 | A. I -- I think I did. I don't see it, |
| 21 | under Rule 11 to sanction me, and if I had done | 21 | but yeah, I think I did. |
| 22 | something seriously wrong that would warrant | 22 | Q. Okay. |
|  | Page 410 |  | Page 412 |
| 1 | disbarment, the first place you would look, | 1 | A. I said they didn't complain. |
| 2 | although it's not conclusive, is the trial judge, | 2 | Q. All right. Now, in -- in point of |
| 3 | particularly if he had reservations about what you | 3 | fact, invest -- the Invst Financial Group was not |
| 4 | did but didn't invoke Rule 11. He obviously | 4 | a 2011 case. It was a 1983 case, wasn't it? |
| 5 | thought about it and didn't. He would be in the | 5 | A. I don't know if it was incorrectly |
| 6 | best position to do it, rather than somebody | 6 | cited. |
| 7 | writing a letter to a bar association in a | 7 | MR. FOX: Could we put it up, please. |
| 8 | different jurisdiction. Nor did any of my | 8 | We'll -- we'll have to mark this for |
| 9 | adversaries complain about it. | 9 | identification only. I mean, it's a case. It's |
| 10 | So when you don't have complaints | 10 | not evidence. Let's call it -- what do we have it |
| 11 | emerging from it inside the case, that's not | 11 | marked as? |
| 12 | conclusive. I -- I understand that. But it | 12 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 13 | should -- it suggest that maybe it isn't as bad as | 13 | Q. Here's -- here's the case -- |
| 14 | you're making it. | 14 | MS. MATINPOUR: Fifty-three. |
| 15 | Q. Now, Mr. Giuliani, you argued that, | 15 | MR. FOX: Fifty-three? Okay. |
| 16 | under the 1983 amendment to Rule 11, Judge Brann | 16 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 17 | would have been mandated to sanction you if he | 17 | Q. Here -- here's the case, and we can go |
| 18 | thought you had violated the rule, correct? | 18 | back if we need to, to show it's the same |
| 19 | A. Well, I didn't argue that. I -- I | 19 | citation: eight -- 815 F 2nd 391, that you cited |
| 20 | pointed out that -- I pointed out that gloss on | 20 | and you can see it was decided March 11, 1987. |
| 21 | it. I didn't argue that. | 21 | Do you see that? |
| 22 | MR. FOX: Okay. All right, strike | 22 | A. I do. I see the date is -- yeah. |


|  | Page 413 |  | Page 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. So let's go back to Exhibit 4, | 1 | Q. With respect to the adversaries -- |
| 2 | page eight, paragraph 45. | 2 | A. Can I just finish? |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Again, can we make that a | 3 | We made a mistake in that, but it's a |
| 4 | little larger. | 4 | mistake of degree. It is not as powerful an |
| 5 | BY MR. GIULIANI: | 5 | argument. You're right. |
| 6 | Q. And you cited it as a 2011 case, | 6 | Q. We'll, so -- so, we'll come back to |
| 7 | correct? | 7 | adversaries in a minute, but just focus on the |
| 8 | A. Yeah, we put the -- obviously put the | 8 | judge... |
| 9 | wrong date there. | 9 | Are you aware of any statistics about |
| 10 | Q. Well, Rule 11 was amended in 1993, | 10 | how frequently since Rule 11 was amended in |
| 11 | wasn't it? | 11 | 1993 -- |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | MR. FOX: Actually, I want to keep that |
| 13 | Q. And after 1993, there was no longer a | 13 | up, if we can, because we're going to go to other |
| 14 | mandate that the court impose sanctions if the | 14 | portions of it. |
| 15 | court decided there was a Rule 11 violation, | 15 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 16 | correct? | 16 | Q. Are you aware of how frequently since |
| 17 | A. I don't think I was aware of that. | 17 | 1993 -- |
| 18 | Q. Well, isn't it true, Mr. Giuliani -- | 18 | MR. FOX: Withdrawn. |
| 19 | A. Yes, I now know that that -- that it is | 19 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 20 | true, yes. | 20 | Q. Are you aware of any -- any statistics |
| 21 | Q. Okay, but so isn't it true that the -- | 21 | that show how much -- |
| 22 | the quote that you used from this case, this -- | 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Objection, objection. |
|  | Page 414 |  | Page 416 |
| 1 | that you said was a 2011 case, no longer applies; | 1 | We're only concerned whether Judge Brann did |
| 2 | that Rule 11 has been changed since that time? | 2 | rule -- gave a Rule 11 sanction. And I'm throwing |
| 3 | A. That's a mistake. I'm sorry. | 3 | myself under the bus. I spoke to Mr. Fox about |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | that. That's my mistake, this quote, and he knows |
| 5 | A. We made a -- we made a mistake in that | 5 | it. And that's John Leventhal speaking. |
| 6 | citation, both with the date, and that therefore | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- think there -- |
| 7 | creates the confusion and the misinterpretation. | 7 | there was no question yet posed. |
| 8 | Q. Well, it's not just the date. It's the | 8 | So why don't you pose your question, |
| 9 | fact that you didn't the fact that -- that you | 9 | Mr. Fox. |
| 10 | didn't consider the 1983 amendment -- 1993 | 10 | MR. FOX: Well, Mr. Giuliani just said |
| 11 | amendment to Rule 11, did you? | 11 | we could draw some significance from the fact that |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 | Judge Brann didn't sua sponte sanction him, and |
| 13 | Q. All right. So you would agree with me | 13 | the question that I wanted to ask is whether he |
| 14 | that we can't draw the significance that you drew | 14 | was aware of any statistics that showed, since the |
| 15 | from the fact that Judge Brann didn't sua sponte | 15 | 1993 amendments, how frequently judges sua sponte |
| 16 | sanction you? | 16 | sanctioned litigants. |
| 17 | A. I would say it isn't as powerful. You | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Objection as |
| 18 | can still draw a conclusion from it. I mean, | 18 | irrelevant. |
| 19 | there -- there are plenty of Rule 11 cases after | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Overruled. |
| 20 | that, with judges that have cited lawyers under | 20 | THE WITNESS: I am not aware. |
| 21 | Rule 11, and many, many cases in which adversaries | 21 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 22 | cite you under Rule 11. | 22 | Q. Okay. Now, let's come to the fact that |


|  | Page 417 |  | Page 419 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the -- | 1 | days wouldn't have run before the whole case had |
| 2 | A. I have -- I mean, I have myself argued | 2 | disappeared, correct? |
| 3 | Rule 11 cases and gotten sanctions. So I'm very | 3 | A. But they wouldn't have known that on |
| 4 | aware of Rule 11. And in fact the case that I | 4 | the day that they did it. |
| 5 | argued is the reason for the amendment. | 5 | Q. Okay. But -- but it was not possible, |
| 6 | Q. I see. | 6 | was it, Mr. Giuliani, for your opponents to file |
| 7 | A. Nemeroff against Abelson, which was | 7 | for Rule 11 sanctions against you because of the |
| 8 | decided in '78, '79, something like that. | 8 | safe harbor provisions? |
| 9 | Q. And -- and that caused the 1993 | 9 | A. No -- because of the -- of the delay -- |
| 10 | amendment? | 10 | of the date -- of the timing? |
| 11 | A. I guess it was an earlier amendment. | 11 | Q. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Now, let's come to the question of your | 12 | A. No. How would -- how do they know how |
| 13 | opponents moving for sanctions. | 13 | long the case was going to go on? It could have |
| 14 | Are you aware that, since Rule 11 has | 14 | gone on for a year. |
| 15 | been amended, that there's a so-called "safe | 15 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, you're aware that you |
| 16 | harbor" provision in it? | 16 | can't file for sanctions until the 21 days have |
| 17 | A. Vaguely. | 17 | run, or you -- or are you not aware of that? |
| 18 | Q. Well, you said -- | 18 | A. No, I'm aware of that, but they could |
| 19 | A. I haven't -- I haven't -- I haven't | 19 | have -- I mean, very often, when people do Rule |
| 20 | used it in 30 years. | 20 | 11, they raise it right at the moment of -- at the |
| 21 | Q. I thought you just told us you were | 21 | moment of trial, and then they file it. But they |
| 22 | very familiar with Rule 11? | 22 | wouldn't have known at that point if they had the |
|  | Page 418 |  | Page 420 |
| 1 | A. Yeah, but I haven't -- I was familiar | 1 | 21 days or not. |
| 2 | with the genesis of Rule 11. I haven't used it in | 2 | Q. Okay. |
| 3 | 30 years. | 3 | A. They made -- in other words, no one |
| 4 | Q. Are you aware that, before a lawyer can | 4 | during that argument made any objection such as |
| 5 | move for Rule 11 sanctions, he or she has to give | 5 | the objections that are being made now. |
| 6 | his opponent, their opponent 21 days to fix the | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | problem? | 7 | A. And they were much more familiar with |
| 8 | A. Yeah, I did -- I am aware of that. | 8 | the case than any of the people arguing it from |
| 9 | Q. Okay. | 9 | the outside. And -- and plus, the -- the |
| 10 | A. Now that you say it, yeah. | 10 | extenuating circumstances of this case in that I |
| 11 | Q. All right. And you filed your | 11 | was pushed into it at the very, very last minute |
| 12 | complaint in this case on the 9th of November, | 12 | and had to argue it. |
| 13 | 2020, correct? | 13 | Q. Let -- let's look at some of the other |
| 14 | A. That's right. | 14 | things in your answer. |
| 15 | Q. And it was dismissed by Judge Brann on | 15 | MR. FOX: And I want to go now to page |
| 16 | November 21, correct? | 16 | three and I want to look at paragraph 18, which |
| 17 | A. That's right. | 17 | unfortunately goes over to page four. But the |
| 18 | Q. And the 3rd Circuit affirmed -- | 18 | part -- part I want to look at is on paragraph 18. |
| 19 | affirmed on November 27th, correct? | 19 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 20 | A. Yes, sir. | 20 | Q. And I want to specifically refer to |
| 21 | Q. So even if they had given you notice on | 21 | your discussion of the case called In Re canvass |
| 22 | the very first day that you filed the case, the 21 | 22 | of Absentee and Main-In Ballots. And you cite to |


|  | Page 421 |  | Page 423 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | this case at 241 A 3rd, 1058, in 2020, correct? | 1 | you're -- |
| 2 | You see that, Mr. Giuliani? | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's Mr. Giuliani |
| 3 | A. I do see that, yes. | 3 | asking for the break. |
| 4 | Q. And what you say there is that you were | 4 | THE WITNESS: But I could be real quick |
| 5 | somehow vindicated because in this case the court | 5 | and I can waive whatever you're doing right now. |
| 6 | ruled that, in the future -- | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, don't waive. |
| 7 | A. I don't think I say I'm vindicated. I | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: How much more -- how |
| 8 | don't see where I say that. | 8 | much more do you have on this, Mr. Fox? |
| 9 | Q. Let me just finish the sentence, and | 9 | MR. FOX: I've got -- I've got this |
| 10 | then you can tell me if I'm wrong. | 10 | issue, which I'm almost finished with, and one |
| 11 | You're partially vindicated because the | 11 | more. |
| 12 | court subsequently ruled in the future that all | 12 | We can take a short break. I'll -- |
| 13 | the ballots had to be dated, right? | 13 | I'll be happy -- I'll be sure to finish up shortly |
| 14 | That's what you said? | 14 | before lunch. |
| 15 | A. I don't agree with your | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right, let's -- |
| 16 | characterization. I agree that I cited the case. | 16 | its -- it's noon. I was going to go a little |
| 17 | Q. For the proposition that -- that in the | 17 | further. Why don't we take our lunch break now -- |
| 18 | future, if the ballots -- mail-in ballots were not | 18 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. |
| 19 | dated, they wouldn't be accepted. | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- and we'll |
| 20 | A. Okay. I -- I mean, that's what it | 20 | reconvene at 1:30. |
| 21 | says, yeah. | 21 | (Whereupon at 11:58 a.m. a luncheon |
| 22 | Q. Okay. But that's not what the case | 22 | recess was taken.) |
|  | Page 422 |  | Page 424 |
| 1 | says, is it? | 1 | A F TER N O O N SESSIO N |
| 2 | A. What? | 2 | (Whereupon at 1:32 p.m. the hearing |
| 3 | Q. The case that you cited? | 3 | resumed.) |
| 4 | A. I don't recall that. | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Everybody is here. |
| 5 | Q. Let's look at Disciplinary Counsel | 5 | I think Mr. Fox, you're still examining |
| 6 | Exhibit 21. | 6 | the witness. |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What's the cite again, | 7 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 8 | please? | 8 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, I'm going to withdraw my |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit | 9 | questions with respect to the In Re canvass case |
| 10 | 21. | 10 | and talk about the McLinko case. |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: At 241 -- | 11 | So I want to go back to Disciplinary |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Twenty-one. | 12 | Counsel's Exhibit 4, page three, paragraph 16. So |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: -- 3rd. 1058. Go | 13 | you'll see in the second part of the paragraph you |
| 14 | ahead. | 14 | discuss what you say is a Pennsylvania Supreme |
| 15 | BY MR. FOX: | 15 | Court case called McLinko -- I think I'm |
| 16 | Q. This is the case that you cited, is it | 16 | pronouncing that right -- which held that "The |
| 17 | not? | 17 | statutes established that any qualified elector |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: May I take a short break? | 18 | may vote by mail without having to demonstrate a |
| 19 | Mr. Chairman, may I take a short break? | 19 | valid reason for their absence from their polling |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We're -- let's -- | 20 | place on Election Day violated" -- I think it |
| 21 | we're going to break for lunch in about 15 | 21 | should be "the state constitution's provisions |
| 22 | minutes, and if Mr. Kamins can sit in while | 22 | that required electors to vote in person at their |


|  | Page 425 |  | Page 427 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | designated poll places on Election Day, since | 1 | You see that? |
| 2 | state constitution allowed requirements of all | 2 | A. Mm-hmm. |
| 3 | in-person voting to be waived only when an elector | 3 | Q. All right. And do you understand that |
| 4 | was absent for reasons of occupation, physical | 4 | that explicitly overruled the case on which you |
| 5 | incapacity, religious observances or Election Day | 5 | were -- that which you cited in your answer? |
| 6 | duties. | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Have you answered? |
| 7 | "But statutes governing the no-excuse | 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 8 | mail-in voting system did not fall under any of | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | the constitutionally enumerated exceptions to | 9 | Q. Okay. And so if -- just to be clear |
| 10 | allow absentee voting, and a constitutional | 10 | about that, if you look at -- |
| 11 | amendment to end requirement of in-person voting | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I just want to look at |
| 12 | was a necessary prerequisite to establish a | 12 | the answer, please. Give me a minute. |
| 13 | no-excuse mail-in voting system". | 13 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 14 | You agree that that's what you -- that | 14 | Q. If you -- if you'll look at page |
| 15 | you said in your statement that that's what the | 15 | five -- |
| 16 | Pennsylvania Supreme Court held in McLinko? | 16 | THE WITNESS: Do we answer? |
| 17 | A. Yeah, I think it was an appellate | 17 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 18 | court. I think that's right. | 18 | Q. -- New York 23, five -- |
| 19 | Q. Right. But it -- but it wasn't the | 19 | MR. FOX: That if you'll blow up the |
| 20 | Pennsylvania Supreme Court, was it? | 20 | first paragraph on that page -- or the yeah -- the |
| 21 | A. It was an appellate court. | 21 | first full paragraph -- |
| 22 | Q. Right. And the Pennsylvania Supreme | 22 | THE WITNESS: Just a minute. We're |
|  | Page 426 |  | Page 428 |
| 1 | Court overruled that appellate court, didn't it? | 1 | looking for the answer. |
| 2 | A. They did, later. | 2 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 3 | Q. Yes, later, the same year, correct? | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What paragraph is that, |
| 4 | A. Well, I don't know that they -- before | 4 | Mr. Fox? |
| 5 | I wrote this? | 5 | MR. FOX: What paragraph of what? |
| 6 | Q. Well, it's part of your exhibits. | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: The answer that you're |
| 7 | Let's look at your -- your Respondent's Exhibit | 7 | talking about. |
| 8 | 23. | 8 | MR. FOX: Paragraph 16. |
| 9 | First of all, before we take that | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Thank you. |
| 10 | down -- | 10 | (Brief pause.) |
| 11 | MR. FOX: I'm sorry, could you -- well, | 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| 12 | that's all right. We'll come back to it. | 12 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: What's the date of that? | 13 | Q. Okay, and you'll see in the last |
| 14 | BY MR. FOX: | 14 | sentence that the court says before it now "is a |
| 15 | Q. I -- I want you first -- I just want | 15 | question of whether the general assembly |
| 16 | you to note that McLinko is 279 A 3rd, 1243. | 16 | overstepped the bounds of this power and violated |
| 17 | A. Yeah, that's in our Exhibit 22. We put | 17 | our Constitution when it enacted legislation that |
| 18 | that cite in our answer. | 18 | allows for universal, mail-in voting. |
| 19 | Q. I understand, sir. Now can we look at | 19 | "For the reasons that follow, we find |
| 20 | Exhibit 23. This is a Pennsylvania Supreme Court | 20 | no constitutional violation and so we reverse the |
| 21 | case, not the one that -- not an intermediate | 21 | order of the commonwealth court". |
| 22 | court case. It's 279 A 3rd, 539. | 22 | A. Mm-hmm. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Correct? | 1 | A. Is that really -- is that really a |
| 2 | A. That's what it says. | 2 | necessary question? I mean, we didn't keep up |
| 3 | Q. And so the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, | 3 | with every single piece that we cited in its |
| 4 | in the same year, after the case that you cited, | 4 | history. I'm sorry. |
| 5 | reversed that opinion? | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Let the record reflect |
| 6 | A. I'm -- I'm asking you, was -- was | 6 | it's in our exhibit list. We weren't trying to |
| 7 | this -- was that done before or after we submitted | 7 | hide it. |
| 8 | this -- this -- these papers? | 8 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It was before. | 9 | THE WITNESS: I -- I apologize -- |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: I mean, obviously we | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's in our exhibit |
| 11 | missed it. I'm trying to figure out if it was | 11 | list. |
| 12 | extant at the time we submitted this or it | 12 | THE WITNESS: I wasn't trying to keep |
| 13 | happened after we submitted this and we just | 13 | up with every single case. |
| 14 | didn't pick it up. | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right. I have |
| 15 | Q. If you'll look at the -- | 15 | no other questions of this witness. |
| 16 | MR. FOX: Can we go to the first page | 16 | THE WITNESS: Good. |
| 17 | of the McLinko opinion. | 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right, Mr. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: When was it decided, I'm | 18 | Leventhal. |
| 19 | sorry? | 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, thank you. Just |
| 20 | BY MR. FOX: | 20 | give me one half minute. |
| 21 | Q. August 2, 2022. | 21 | Kindly go to Exhibit DC Exhibit 17 and |
| 22 | A. Well, look, I mean, I don't -- I don't | 22 | our exhibit -- where is it our exhibit? Both the |
|  | Page 430 |  | Page 432 |
| 1 | know if this was for sure, but it looks like this | 1 | same. Page 20. Go to their exhibit. We have the |
| 2 | was submitted in July. | 2 | same exhibit. Is it up there? Okay, thank you. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. | 3 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 4 | A. Before -- before this reversal. | 4 | Q. Mr. Giuliani -- I can't even see that. |
| 5 | Q. All right. So you didn't learn -- so | 5 | I'm going to have to stand. Is that all right? |
| 6 | you didn't -- so you didn't -- you didn't know it | 6 | Can you see me when I stand? |
| 7 | at the time, you couldn't have known it at the | 7 | A. No. The question is could we hear you. |
| 8 | time you submitted your answer. | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm standing by the -- |
| 9 | Is that right? | 9 | the screen, so I could read the -- the exhibit. |
| 10 | A. I'm just looking at the dates, Mr. Fox. | 10 | Is that all right, Mr. Bernius? You |
| 11 | Q. Okay. | 11 | can you hear me, though, right? |
| 12 | A. At the time we wrote that we did make a | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yes, we can. We can |
| 13 | mistake in saying "Supreme Court," but we couldn't | 13 | hear you. |
| 14 | have known that the Supreme Court was going to | 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 15 | reverse it, because they reversed it a month | 15 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 16 | later. | 16 | ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT |
| 17 | Q. Okay. But you never corrected your | 17 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 18 | answer? | 18 | Q. Okay, so we're looking now at DC |
| 19 | A. Well, I'm sorry, we didn't keep up on | 19 | Counsel's Exhibit 17, and it's our exhibit -- |
| 20 | every single -- | 20 | what, John? It's our Exhibit 20. Go to page 20, |
| 21 | Q. And (indecipherable) saying this was a | 21 | please. |
| 22 | Supreme Court opinion? | 22 | Mr. Giuliani? |


|  | Page 433 |  | Page 435 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Yes, sir -- | 1 | continue to the next page. |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you blow that up | 2 | A. Sure. It says "Notice and Cure" -- |
| 3 | a little more, John. | 3 | "Notice and opportunity to cure procedure sought |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: I can read it -- I can | 4 | by petitioner... |
| 5 | read it from here -- | 5 | "To the extent that a voter is at risk |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 6 | for having his or her ballot rejected due to minor |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: -- your Honor. | 7 | errors made in contravention of these -- of those |
| 8 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 8 | requirements, we agree that the decision to |
| 9 | Q. So, when you read that, then the | 9 | provide a notice and opportunity to cure procedure |
| 10 | second -- especially the second paragraph in -- | 10 | to alleviate that risk is one best suited for the |
| 11 | while the Pennsylvania Constitution mandates that | 11 | legislature. |
| 12 | elections be free and equal, does it not say that | 12 | "We express disagreement, in |
| 13 | it leaves the task of effectuating the mandate to | 13 | particularly in light of the open-policy questions |
| 14 | the legislature? | 14 | attendant to that decision, including what the |
| 15 | A. Yes, sir. | 15 | precise contours of the procedure would be, how |
| 16 | Q. And are they talking about the Notice | 16 | the concomitant burdens would be addressed, and |
| 17 | and Cure? | 17 | how the procedure would impact the confidentiality |
| 18 | MR. FOX: Could we not -- not leading | 18 | and counting of ballots, all of which are best |
| 19 | on these questions? | 19 | left to the legislative branch of Pennsylvania's |
| 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, you talked about | 20 | government. |
|  | it. I'm following up on what you said and I -- |  | "Thus, for the reasons stated, |
| 22 | MR. FOX: Object to the form of the | 22 | petitioner is not entitled to relieve it seeks in |
|  | Page 434 |  | Page 436 |
| 1 | question, leading. | 1 | count three of its petition." |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'll -- I'll | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, could you go to |
| 3 | overrule the objection but there may -- it may | 3 | the top, please, the very top. |
| 4 | move us along a little more quickly at this point. | 4 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 5 | That's not carte blanche, Mr. | 5 | Q. Who is -- who is the petitioner here? |
| 6 | Leventhal. If you're pointing to an exhibit to | 6 | A. The Pennsylvania Democratic Party is |
| 7 | have the witness -- to direct the attention of the | 7 | the petitioner -- |
| 8 | witness, I don't have a problem, but I may have a | 8 | Q. All right, now who is opposing this |
| 9 | problem otherwise. | 9 | notice to cure procedure? |
| 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I apologize and I stand | 10 | A. It looks like the Secretary of the |
| 11 | corrected. | 11 | Commonwealth, Kathy Boockvar. |
| 12 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 12 | Q. All right, thank you. |
| 13 | Q. Please look at the one, two, three, | 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Next, please go to the |
| 14 | four, five, six -- the seventh line. What does | 14 | second amended complaint. |
| 15 | that say? | 15 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got it. |
| 16 | A. Seventh line? | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, we're going to go |
| 17 | Q. In quotes. | 17 | on -- |
| 18 | A. The first -- oh, down there. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Oh, we're going to go on |
| 19 | Q. In quotes. | 19 | this file. |
| 20 | A. It says "Notice and opportunity to cure | 20 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Page 60. DC Number 9, |
| 21 | procedure" -- Notice and Cure. | 21 | page 60 , please. Highlight number eleven -- or |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Could you continue -- could you | 22 | 111. Can we look at the next page, please. |
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|  | Page 437 |  | Page 439 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hold on. |  | across the state, in an unequal fashion, in |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. | 2 | violation of state and federal constitutional |
| 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can you highlight | 3 | standards in order to favor Biden." |
| 4 | number 112. Okay, could you -- could you -- could | 4 | Q. And this is what Mr. Fox had termed a |
| 5 | you keep it there, please. | 5 | signature comparison and the Supreme Court of |
| 6 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 6 | Pennsylvania has approved the denial of a |
| 7 | Q. Okay, could -- could you read those? | 7 | signature comparison to people who vote in |
| 8 | And that's -- what -- what is this document? | 8 | absentee and mail-in. |
| 9 | A. This is -- this is my complaint -- our | 9 | Is that correct? |
| 10 | complaint. | 10 | A. That's correct. |
| 11 | Q. Which one? | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, could you please |
| 12 | A. Second. | 12 | go to Exhibit 28, our Exhibit 28. All right, |
| 13 | Q. Right. | 13 | could you go up a little more so we see the cite. |
| 14 | A. The second one. | 14 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 15 | Q. Okay, now -- | 15 | Q. All right, this is -- this is a 2022 |
| 16 | A. Yeah, the second one, second amended | 16 | case. |
| 17 | complaint. | 17 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Go up. |
| 18 | Q. What are you alleging here? | 18 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 19 | A. We're alleging a -- I think, without | 19 | Q. The petition for writ of certiorari -- |
| 20 | reading it -- | 20 | well, could you read that Mr. -- |
| 21 | Q. Well, read it. | 21 | A. Sure. This is Ritter vs. Migliori, and |
| 22 | A. Oh, it seems to me it's going to be -- | 22 | it's in the Supreme Court -- |
|  | Page 438 |  | Page 440 |
| 1 | it's going to be Equal Protection. | 1 | Q. Just -- just read the whole in. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 2 | A. Oh, I'm sorry. You mean read the -- |
| 3 | A. Well, because it says right at the | 3 | Q. Read what's in yellow. |
| 4 | beginning. "In addition, the disparate treatment | 4 | A. "The petition for a writ of certiorari |
| 5 | between mail-in and in-person voters as to the | 5 | is granted, the judgment is vacated, and the case |
| 6 | verification of the voters' identity through | 6 | is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals |
| 7 | signature verification has created an environment | 7 | for the 3rd Circuit with instructions to dismiss |
| 8 | in Pennsylvania that encourages ballot fraud or | 8 | the case as moot." |
| 9 | tampering about prevents the Commonwealth and | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, could you please |
| 10 | County Election boards from ensuring that the | 10 | go to -- this is what, $20-$ - |
| 11 | results of the November 3, 2020 general election | 11 | MR. ESPOSITO: Twenty-eight. |
| 12 | are fair -- free, fair and transparent. | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Go to 29, please. |
| 13 | "As a result of the manner in which the | 13 | And I draw -- I draw the panel's |
| 14 | county election boards were directed to conduct | 14 | attention to this case also. This is -- I -- I'm |
| 15 | the election, including the canvassing of mail-in | 15 | not testifying. You could read the case itself. |
| 16 | ballots, the validity of Pennsylvania's votes who | 16 | This is an application for a stay of -- not |
| 17 | favor Trump have been unconstitutionally diluted | 17 | putting the date on. |
| 18 | through defendant's arbitrary, disparate, and/or | 18 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 19 | uneven approval of all absentee and mail-in | 19 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, can you -- can you read |
| 20 | ballots without performing the requisite |  | this? This one was denied. Three judges |
| 21 | verification of the voters' signature, resulting | 21 | dissented. Go ahead. |
| 22 | in the treatment of by-mail and in-person voters | 22 | A. "The 3rd Circuit held that the failure |


|  | Page 441 |  | Page 443 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to count mail-in ballots that did not include the | 1 | Leventhal, could I -- I thought I saw it. |
| 2 | date on which they were filled out constituted a | 2 | Which -- whose opinion is this that you're reading |
| 3 | violation of this provision, but the 3rd Circuit | 3 | from. |
| 4 | made little effort to explain how its | 4 | MR LEVENTHAL: Oh, go up, please. |
| 5 | interpretation can be reconciled with the language | 5 | This is -- this is Alito and Gorsuch |
| 6 | of the statute." | 6 | and Thomas, which is apparently adopted by the |
| 7 | Q. Okay -- | 7 | Supreme Court when they granted certiorari. Here |
| 8 | A. "In my view, however, it appears that | 8 | this was a motion for a stay. They denied the |
| 9 | elements two and five are clearly not met." | 9 | application for a stay before the election. After |
| 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can you -- can you | 10 | the election they vacated the 3rd Circuit opinion |
| 11 | scroll down further. | 11 | and they, you know, vacated the judgment, and they |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | granted certiorari. |
| 13 | Q. Okay, can you read this, now, Mr. | 13 | So basically it was based on this -- it |
| 14 | Giuliani? | 14 | was based on this -- |
| 15 | A. "The problem with the 3rd Circuit's | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right. I |
| 16 | interpretation can be illustrated by considering | 16 | just -- I just wanted to clarify that -- that the |
| 17 | what would happen if it were applied to a mail-in | 17 | language that was just read was from a dissent. |
|  | voting rule that is indisputably important; namely | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It was a dissent for -- |
| 19 | the requirement that a mail-in ballot be signed. | 19 | it was a dissent in the application for a stay |
|  | "Suppose a voter did not personally | 20 | before the election. |
| 21 | sign his or her ballot but instead instructed | 21 | Could you go back to the other -- could |
| 22 | another person to complete the ballot and signed | 22 | you go back to the other exhibit, please. |
|  | Page 442 |  | Page 444 |
| 1 | it using the standard notation employed when a | 1 | Now the Supreme Court, after |
| 2 | letter is signed for someone else, (p.p. John or | 2 | considering it, they granted certiorari. They |
| 3 | Jane Doe)." | 3 | denied certiorari before the election, and after |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | the election they granted certiorari and they |
| 5 | A. "Or suppose that a voter for some | 5 | vacated the judgment, and the case was remanded to |
| 6 | reason typed his or her name instead of signing | 6 | the United States Supreme Court with instruction |
| 7 |  | 7 | to dismiss. |
| 8 | These -- those violations would be, | 8 | The import of this, Mr. Chair, is that |
| 9 | material in determining whether a ballot should be | 9 | there were two dissents. Everyone else went along |
| 10 | counted, but they would not be material in | 10 | with the rationale basically espoused in the |
| 11 | determining whether such individual is qualified | 11 | application pre election. But post election they |
| 12 | under state law to vote in each election -- in | 12 | granted certiorari and they -- and they granted |
| 13 | such election. | 13 | the petition and they -- for a writ of certiorari |
| 14 | "Therefore, under the 3rd Circuit's | 14 | and they vacated the judgment. |
| 15 | interpretation, a ballot signed by a third party | 15 | That's the import of this. |
| 16 | and a ballot with a typed name rather than a | 16 | MR. FOX: May I object. This is -- |
| 17 | signature would have to be counted. | 17 | this is argument. I think it's incorrect it's a |
| 18 | "It seems most likely that this is what | 18 | moot case but this is more for -- I mean, I -- |
| 19 | 52 U.S.C. Section 10101 (a)(2)(B) means." | 19 | THE WITNESS: And therefore it's not a |
| 20 | Q. All right, sir, it's the Voting Rights | 20 | precedent. And therefore it's not a precedent. |
| 21 | Act. But Mr. -- | 21 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. -- Mr. | 22 | Q. All right. So, Mr. -- Mr. Giuliani, |
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|  | Page 445 |  | Page 447 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | reading Judge Alito and -- and the Supreme Court |  | your representation and your answer on that? |
| 2 | decision, how does that comport with your | 2 | A. Yeah, but he failed -- |
| 3 | argument? Could you describe to the panel -- | 3 | Q. Yes or no? |
| 4 | A. Sure, I mean -- | 4 | A. I'm sorry. Yes, he did. |
| 5 | Q. -- your argument about signature | 5 | Q. Okay. All right. |
| 6 | comparison. | 6 | A. You want me to explain? |
| 7 | A. I mean, this is a very unusual way to | 7 | Q. No. |
| 8 | do it with the Supreme Court, but essentially they | 8 | A. Oh, okay. |
| 9 | have -- removed the 3rd Circuit opinion that they | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we go -- could we |
| 10 | originally didn't grant cert for. They did grant | 10 | go to that -- that case. |
| 11 | cert, they vacated it, and therefore removed it as | 11 | THE WITNESS: Something like 200 -- |
| 12 | a precedent in the 3rd Circuit. | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's Mr. Fox's -- |
| 13 | So it's no longer the guiding -- | 13 | that was Mr. Fox's exhibit. |
| 14 | guiding law until something changes in the 3rd | 14 | THE WITNESS: I would like to |
| 15 | Circuit. | 15 | explain the Supreme Court. |
| 16 | That's the way I would read that. | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. |
| 17 | Q. All right. | 17 | This case, November 3rd election. Give |
| 18 | A. I could be wrong, but that -- that | 18 | me a second. May I see the top. Okay, all right. |
| 19 | seems what -- it would have been -- who am I to, | 19 | Could you look at -- could you -- could |
| 20 | you know, criticize the Supreme Court? There | 20 | we look at Judge Wecht's concurrence, please. |
| 21 | would have been clearer ways to do it, but that's | 21 | THE WITNESS: So just to be clear, |
| 22 | an interesting law school exercise. | 22 | we're talking about the same case now? |
|  | Page 446 |  | Page 448 |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And could you go back | 1 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 2 | to -- to -- I think it's 20- -- the one before | 2 | Q. No, we're talking about -- |
| 3 | this. Give me a second. Go ahead. | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you go up, |
| 4 | MR. ESPOZITO: Twenty-nine? | 4 | please, John. |
| 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Twenty-nine. | 5 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 6 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 6 | Q. In Re Canvassing Observation. |
| 7 | Q. Do you see In Re Campus of Absentee | 7 | A. Yes, okay. All right. I've got it. |
| 8 | Mail-In Ballots of November 3, 2020? | 8 | Q. 2020. I think it's the same case. Let |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you go down? | 9 | me make sure. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I do. | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Go up and see if it's |
| 11 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 11 | Judge Wecht's -- |
| 12 | Q. What does it say the Supreme Court did | 12 | THE WITNESS: I think this is another |
| 13 | in that case? | 13 | one of those complicated -- they need a scorecard. |
| 14 | A. "The Supreme Court has held that the | 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can you go to Judge |
| 15 | inclusion of the date on which the ballot was | 15 | Wecht's concurrence, please. |
| 16 | filled out is mandatory and that undated ballots | 16 | Give me a second, your Honor. |
| 17 | cannot be counted". | 17 | (Brief pause.) |
| 18 | Q. And that's how three justices of the | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's number 19, 340 |
| 19 | Supreme Court interpreted that case? | 19 | 3rd, 591. That's not it. Their exhibit, DC |
| 20 | A. That is correct. That -- that is a | 20 | Exhibit 19. Can you go to Judge Wecht's |
| 21 | dissent at that point. | 21 | concurrence, please. |
| 22 | Q. And -- and did Mr. Fox take issue with | 22 | THE WITNESS: Page 28. It says at the |


|  | Page 449 |  | Page 451 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | bottom page 28. I can read this from here. | 1 | But then Judge Wecht, who had been in |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Go up, John. | 2 | the majority in that case, switches over to the |
| 3 | I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to | 3 | dissenters. So now it becomes $4 / 3$ the other way, |
| 4 | go in my -- I'm tripping over wires here. Just | 4 | and says quite clearly, here -- I mean, if you |
| 5 | give me one second. | 5 | don't have it underlined -- that in the future |
| 6 | (Brief pause.) | 6 | that will be required. |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: This is the wrong case. | 7 | So I think we -- we cited it correctly |
| 8 | Sorry, your Honor. | 8 | by saying in the future the requirement -- that is |
| 9 | Try 21, their Exhibit 21. | 9 | going to be a -- a requirement. |
| 10 | My apologies. | 10 | I remember this because we used a |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Perhaps we could | 11 | little chart to figure it out, which is what I do |
| 12 | move on -- perhaps we could move on to another | 12 | when you have Supreme Court decisions or decisions |
| 13 | topic and circle back to this after a break. | 13 | of courts when judges concur in part and dissent |
| 14 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, I -- I'm here | 14 | in part. It could become very confusing. |
| 15 | now, so can we -- could we -- | 15 | But there's no doubt that Judge Wecht |
| 16 | Could you -- could you go ahead a | 16 | says that, in the future, you're going to have |
| 17 | little more, John, so we could see -- | 17 | to -- you're going to have to have the date, and |
| 18 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 18 | it's going to have to be done properly in |
| 19 | Q. Okay. What was this case about? | 19 | accordance with the statute. He says "it's a very |
| 20 | A. You got to get me back to the case now. | 20 | weighty matter," if I'm -- now I'm relying on my |
| 21 | Which case are we talking about? | 21 | recollection, because I can't see that. But I |
| 22 | Q. This -- this is number 21, In Re | 22 | think he says "it's a very weighty matter". |
|  | Page 450 |  | Page 452 |
| 1 | Canvass of Absentee and Mail-In Ballots of | 1 | Q. Okay. And I -- |
| 2 | November 23rd -- | 2 | A. You could very easily miss it. |
| 3 | MR. ESPOSITO: "November 3rd." | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you go to the |
| 4 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 4 | answer now please of -- |
| 5 | Q. Or November, 3rd, 2020. | 5 | THE WITNESS: My own answer? |
| 6 | A. The question was whether the ballot had | 6 | MR. ESPOSITO: No, our answer. |
| 7 | to be dated and signed. | 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm taking to our media |
| 8 | Q. Right. | 8 | guy now. About DCX4, page six. |
| 9 | A. And -- | 9 | MR. ESPOSITO: Respondent's answer. |
| 10 | Q. And you -- you put in your answer -- | 10 | THE WITNESS: You want me to read that? |
| 11 | Mr. Fox said that you put it in an answer -- | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. |
| 12 | A. We put it in an answer -- | 12 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 13 | Q. -- that in future elections -- | 13 | Q. I direct you to 32. The last sentence, |
| 14 | A. At future elections it had to be dated | 14 | you're -- you're repeating Democratic |
| 15 | and signed, and it -- and that is -- | 15 | (indecipherable) v. Boockvar. Read your last |
| 16 | Q. How did you interpret that to be? | 16 | sentence. |
| 17 | A. Very simple; very simple and very | 17 | A. "Pennsylvania State" -- |
| 18 | confusing decision. | 18 | Q. No, your last sentence. |
| 19 | Four judges ruled that in that | 19 | A. Of what? |
| 20 | particular case it wouldn't be fair to require | 20 | Q. Of 32. |
| 21 | that the ballot be dated and signed or to suffer | 21 | MR. ESPOSITO: The answer. |
| 22 | the penalty of its being discounted. | 22 | THE WITNESS: Oh, the whole last |
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|  | Page 453 |  | Page 455 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | sentence, okay. | 1 | right before the argument by Bernie Kerik. There |
| 2 | "Thus respondent lacks sufficient | 2 | was a very important document given to me by Maria |
| 3 | information to form a belief to the allegation | 3 | Ryan, and that was the -- the -- |
| 4 | regarding Pennsylvania State law regarding the | 4 | Q. What did Maria Ryan give you? |
| 5 | Notice and Cure procedure except notes that | 5 | A. She gave me the Droz document, which is |
| 6 | Pennsylvania State law does not in any way | 6 | the one I kind of liked the best. |
| 7 | prohibit the remedy requested." | 7 | Q. When did she give you that? |
| 8 | Right, it doesn't prohibit it and it | 8 | A. She gave it to me during the day of the |
| 9 | doesn't provide -- | 9 | 16th, and I had a chance to read it quickly that |
| 10 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 10 | day or night, and then I really absorbed it in the |
| 11 | Q. What do you mean -- wait for a | 11 | car going up to the argument. |
| 12 | question, sir. | 12 | This -- this I saw but I don't -- I |
| 13 | A. Sorry. | 13 | don't think I used it. |
| 14 | Q. What do you mean by that? | 14 | Q. You're not -- you're not -- are -- are |
| 15 | A. What I mean is there' no -- there's no | 15 | you saying that it's absolutely true, what's in |
| 16 | prohibition toward going ahead and requiring it, | 16 | here, or are you -- |
| 17 | or not doing it. And question -- and the -- | 17 | A. No, I have no -- I shouldn't say I have |
| 18 | the -- the Equal Protection argument arises from | 18 | no idea. It is what it is. That's what it says |
| 19 | the application of it because it was applied in | 19 | and I'm not sure if I -- I don't -- I dont' recall |
| 20 | different ways in different parts of the state. | 20 | where and if I used this or one of my assistants |
| 21 | And whether that's done as a matter of law or it's | 21 | used it. |
| 22 | done as a matter of practice, either one can be a | 22 | Q. But you had this as a -- |
|  | Page 454 |  | Page 456 |
| 1 | violation, I think everyone knows, of Equal | 1 | A. I had this -- I had this -- |
| 2 | Protection, as applied. | 2 | Q. Let me ask the question, please. |
| 3 | Q. Was there a case that we went earlier | 3 | A. I'm sorry. |
| 4 | that supports your view? | 4 | Q. But you had this as part of your |
| 5 | A. Well, sure. I mean, the case we just | 5 | challenge to the Montgomery County, which was one |
| 6 | looked at. I mean, it supports our view. If you | 6 | of the defendants in the lawsuit? |
| 7 | take out a little chart and you see that Judge | 7 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 8 | Wecht -- well, never mind. We did that already. | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I move this into |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could you go to Exhibit | 9 | evidence. |
| 10 | 38, our Exhibit 38. | 10 | MR. FOX: I have no objection. |
| 11 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Admitted. |
| 12 | Q. Mr. Giuliani -- | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we go to Exhibit |
| 13 | A. Yes, your Honor? | 13 | 39. Oh, no, he didn't object to this. I'm sorry. |
| 14 | Q. What is this -- what is this exhibit? | 14 | Exhibit 40. Could you scroll down a |
| 15 | A. Oh, this -- this is a -- this was a | 15 | little bit. |
| 16 | list I think pretty early on that was compiled -- | 16 | Mr. Chair, this is the redacted |
| 17 | could you go back to title there. I'm trying | 17 | version. |
| 18 | to -- trying to recall it. I know that I saw | 18 | THE WITNESS: Redacted? |
| 19 | this. I don't know that I used it much. But | 19 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 20 | "Montgomery County List of Challenged Ballots," | 20 | Q. All right, when did -- when did you |
| 21 | this was given to me by -- it was not given to | 21 | receive this information? |
| 22 | me -- the group of documents were given to me | 22 | A. I had it before the argument, because |


|  | Page 457 |  | Page 459 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | it's hard to forget this. I had this before | 1 | Q. Okay. |
| 2 | the -- probably the day before. | 2 | A. So you wouldn't get this until -- so |
| 3 | Q. And who funded -- who funded -- | 3 | this is why a lot of the evidence, expert |
| 4 | A. This one -- this one I'm pretty certain | 4 | evidence, et cetera, is done by extrapolation and |
| 5 | was given to Bernie Kerik, along with one or two | 5 | is allowed within certain limits by the court, |
| 6 | other documents. | 6 | because you're never going to have this in time to |
| 7 | Q. And what was Bernie Kerik's role -- | 7 | make an argument. |
| 8 | A. Chief investigator. | 8 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. Could |
| 9 | Q. Let me finish my questions, Mr. | 9 | you -- could you go to -- |
| 10 | Giuliani. | 10 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 11 | Was your chief investigator in | 11 | Q. Would the same thing be true of |
| 12 | Pennsylvania? | 12 | exhibit -- |
| 13 | A. No, in the -- for the country. | 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: What exhibit is this |
| 14 | Q. Please take your hand away from your | 14 | one? |
| 15 | mouth. | 15 | MR. ESPOSITO: 40, it's 40. |
| 16 | A. He -- he was a -- he was selected by | 16 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 17 | President Trump to be our chief investigator, or I | 17 | Q. Of 41... 42... |
| 18 | recommended him and President Trump agreed. I | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Show them 41 so they |
| 19 | can't remember exactly how it happened. But he | 19 | know what it is, please; of $42 \ldots$ keep going. |
| 20 | was our chief investigator for our legal team. | 20 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's a -- |
| 21 | Q. Are you -- you're not swearing for the | 21 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 22 | voracity of each of the -- | 22 | Q. I didn't ask a question. |
|  | Page 458 |  | Page 460 |
| 1 | A. No. | 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Forty-three. |
| 2 | Q. -- exhibits, are you? | 2 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 3 | A. No, no. I'm telling you I received | 3 | Q. Would the same -- if your testimony be |
| 4 | them. I know as much about the exhibit as what is | 4 | the same as -- as your testimony as to the prior |
| 5 | on the exhibit. That's all. | 5 | exhibit that I -- that was put up on the screen? |
| 6 | Q. This is what your investigation team | 6 | A. Yes, pretty much the same -- and |
| 7 | provided you with? | 7 | there's -- |
| 8 | A. Yeah, and I -- at a -- at a rather | 8 | Q. Was it also given to you by Bernie -- |
| 9 | early stage. Because you should understand, the | 9 | A. Yeah. These three were given to me by |
| 10 | only thing available at that point were the people | 10 | Mr. Kerik, yes. |
| 11 | who voted mail-in, but this would not include any | 11 | Q. Okay. And are you swearing to the |
| 12 | of the people that voted -- I don't think, I'm | 12 | truth of these? |
| 13 | pretty sure of this -- this would not include any | 13 | A. No, not the underlying truth. |
| 14 | of the people who voted in the general election -- | 14 | Q. Okay, but -- but they were given to you |
| 15 | Q. Why is that so? | 15 | and -- from your recollection team. |
| 16 | A. Because you don't he get that list | 16 | Is that correct? |
| 17 | until much later. For some reason you get the | 17 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 18 | list of the people who voted, mail-in and | 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I move these exhibits |
| 19 | absentee, right away, as soon as they're finished. | 19 | into evidence. |
| 20 | But this they don't put out in most | 20 | MR. FOX: Mr. Chair, I object and I |
| 21 | states, and I think Pennsylvania is one of them, | 21 | would like to forestall admitting these exhibits |
| 22 | until March. | 22 | until Mr. Kerik testifies. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As -- as we have made clear, we |  | appropriate if Mr. Droz is going to testify to do |
| 2 | requested and supposedly received the information | 2 | the same thing and to withhold the ruling until he |
| 3 | that was in Mr. Giuliani's files with respect to | 3 | testifies and explains what it was. |
| 4 | the election. This was not included in those | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: That's why I asked you |
| 5 | documents. They were not -- these four exhibits | 5 | if your objection is the same. Okay. |
| 6 | were not included in those documents. They were | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: For the record, I |
| 7 | also not included in the documents that are | 7 | have no idea what exhibit you're talking about. |
| 8 | originally marked as exhibits. | 8 | What number is this? |
| 9 | As I understand it, from the | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm sorry. |
| 10 | representation that was made to me, Mr. Kerik came | 10 | MR. ESPOSITO: Exhibit 11. |
| 11 | and produced these documents after the original | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's our Exhibit 11. I |
| 12 | exhibits were submitted in March. | 12 | apologize. Mr. -- this is Mr. Fox and I have been |
| 13 | And accordingly, I think we should | 13 | talking so long about this case that he finishes |
| 14 | reserve on whether this should be admitted or not | 14 | my sentences. |
| 15 | until Mr. Kerik testifies. | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. As long as |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right, subject to | 16 | it's identified by number, that's okay. |
| 17 | connection? | 17 | You may -- you may proceed. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I will -- I'll | 18 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 19 | reserve ruling out their admissibility at this | 19 | Q. Okay, Mr. Giuliani, you had stated |
| 20 | point. | 20 | earlier that there might have been other documents |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. | 21 | that you didn't turn over that you had but you |
| 22 |  | 22 | would have forgotten about. |
|  | Page 462 |  | Page 464 |
| 1 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 1 | Was one of them the Montgomery County |
| 2 | Q. And you say you had Mr. Droz's report | 2 | exhibit? |
| 3 | on the 16 th. Is that correct? | 3 | A. I'm pretty certain, yes. I have a |
| 4 | A. Yes, I -- I -- I remember that clearly, | 4 | clear recollection of this had one but -- |
| 5 | yeah. | 5 | Q. Now Mr. Fox had turned over to us, I |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. Mr. Fox are | 6 | think it was in discovery, the Friess memorandum. |
| 7 | you reserving your same objection with Mr. Droz's | 7 | I don't think we included that in our exhibits. |
| 8 | report? | 8 | Was that another one that was given to |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Well, my problem with Mr. | 9 | Mr. Kerik that you had forgotten about, the -- |
| 10 | Droz's report is this: I understood it to be an | 10 | the -- the Friess information? |
| 11 | expert report to be admitted for the truth of | 11 | A. Well, I don't know that I had forgotten |
| 12 | what -- of what it says, and I don't think Mr. | 12 | about it. I don't -- |
| 13 | Droz is an expert and -- so I objected to it on | 13 | Q. You didn't turn it over -- |
| 14 | that -- that grounds. | 14 | A. It wasn't with my documents. |
| 15 | If it's simply admitted for the -- | 15 | Q. All right. You didn't turn it over to |
| 16 | well, so I -- I do object to it if it's being | 16 | Mr. Fox. I think Mr. Fox -- |
| 17 | offered for that purpose. | 17 | A. Mr. Fox is quite right. We didn't -- |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: But for -- but for the | 18 | there are certain documents -- as I tried to |
| 19 | purpose of what Mr. Giuliani relied upon for his | 19 | explain earlier, the documents we turned over are |
| 20 | state of mind, you would admit that and it's | 20 | the documents that I had, and I -- I knew and I |
| 21 | subject to in Droz's qualification as an expert? | 21 | tried to explain that they were underinclusive and |
| 22 | MR. FOX: I did -- it seems to me | 22 | overinclusive, particularly underinclusive. I |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | knew I had other documents as well, I knew I had | 1 | know we didn't submit it. |
| 2 | read other documents, but I didn't have them. So | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Could you -- could |
| 3 | I don't know where they ended up, but in some | 3 | you read -- could you read the title of the |
| 4 | cases with Bernie. I think you might find the | 4 | document, please. |
| 5 | same thing with Christie Bobb. And they held the | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Sure, "2020 Election |
| 6 | documents, instead of me. They didn't return all | 6 | Information Briefing for Pennsylvania Republican |
| 7 | of them to me. | 7 | Party, by Seth Keshel, MBA, November 11th, 2020". |
| 8 | The Droz one is the one that really | 8 | Could you pull the screen up a little |
| 9 | gets me to remember the rest, because I -- I | 9 | bit and just show the -- just -- just give him a |
| 10 | really was impressed with the Droz summary -- | 10 | quick screening. |
| 11 | Q. Let my ask you a question. | 11 | MR. FOX: Well -- |
| 12 | A. For several -- | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm not seeking to |
| 13 | Q. I'm not seeking -- | 13 | admit it. |
| 14 | A. For several reasons. | 14 | MR. FOX: Well, we're publishing a |
| 15 | Q. Excuse me. I'm not seeking to | 15 | document -- an exhibit that has not been admitted. |
| 16 | introduce this document. | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I -- I agree, because I |
| 17 | A. Which one? | 17 | didn't put it on our exhibit list. |
| 18 | Q. Right on -- right on the screen. | 18 | MR. FOX: It's not -- Mr. Chair, I |
| 19 | A. Oh, this one here (indicating)? | 19 | object. It's not appropriate to publish a |
| 20 | Q. Right. | 20 | document that's not been even authenticated, much |
| 21 | MR. FOX: Do we have a number? | 21 | less admitted. |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It's not on the screen. | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- I agree, Mr. |
|  | Page 466 |  | Page 468 |
| 1 | It's not -- it's not an exhibit. I'm not seeking | 1 | Leventhal, notwithstanding that we can't read it. |
| 2 | to introduce it. | 2 | It's going by so fast. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 3 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, just put it on |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay? | 4 | the -- just put the title page, then, please. I |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, it should | 5 | listen when I'm told. |
| 6 | be -- it should be marked for identification if | 6 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 7 | you're going to -- since you're showing it to the | 7 | Q. Is this one of the other documents that |
| 8 | witness. | 8 | you'd forgotten about? |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. I'll mark | 9 | A. Yeah, I don't think that's the right |
| 10 | it for identification as Respondent's -- A or 1, | 10 | description. These are the documents that were |
| 11 | Mr. Chair? | 11 | not in my possession, which I do recall seeing |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: What's the number? | 12 | before -- when you asked me the question before, |
| 13 | MR. FOX: What was the number? I | 13 | the argument. Some I relied on; some I didn't. |
| 14 | couldn't hear. | 14 | So, I don't know what that means, but |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Is it A or 1? | 15 | that's -- about state of mind, but -- |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: What's the next number? | 16 | Q. Okay. |
| 17 | MR. ESPOSITO: The next number is 44. | 17 | A. -- that's all I'll tell you about -- I |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, no, no -- oh, do I | 18 | could tell you more about this, if you want. |
| 19 | mark it as -- oh, I see. Forty-four? | 19 | Q. On Election Day, did Jeremy -- Jeremy |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. | 20 | Mercer give you anything on that day? |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. I'll mark it as | 21 | A. It would be the day after the election. |
| 22 | Exhibit 44. I'm not seeking to admit it because I | 22 | That's when I first met him. And it would be when |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I went to Philadelphia at the request of Corey |  | going to show it for an instance to show that it |
| 2 | Lewandowski and former Attorney General Bondi. | 2 | existed, the fact that it wasn't a make believe. |
| 3 | And that's where I met Mr. Mercer, and he -- so I | 3 | MR. FOX: But that's -- that's the |
| 4 | spent a good deal of time -- you could call it | 4 | purpose of the whole exhibit. That's why you're |
| 5 | interviewing him; you could call it talking to | 5 | offering the -- the evidence. |
| 6 | him, and he gave me -- he gave me other documents, | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, you're -- you're |
| 7 | but he gave me -- he gave me a document so that we | 7 | saying authentication -- |
| 8 | could follow up on the witnesses. I recall that. | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right, Mr. |
| 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: For one brief second, | 9 | Leventhal, I have sustained the objection. Let's |
| 10 | could you put that up and mark that as Exhibit 45. | 10 | move on. |
| 11 | And we're not seeking to introduce it | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right. |
| 12 | because we didn't have it 'till later. | 12 | THE WITNESS: You want me to describe |
| 13 | Just put it up for a brief second | 13 | how -- |
| 14 | because it lists names and I just want to show it. | 14 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 15 | MR. FOX: I -- I'm -- I'm not sure I | 15 | Q. All right, could you describe -- could |
| 16 | understand what's going on here, but I object | 16 | you describe the information that he gave you, the |
| 17 | because -- I -- | 17 | information -- |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You said -- you said is | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | there other information -- | 19 | Q. -- that he gave you? |
| 20 | MR. FOX: May I state my objection, | 20 | A. Yes. He -- he said -- I'll do the best |
| 21 | please? | 21 | I can. This is, you know, sum and substance from |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. You said there | 22 | several years ago during a very confusing period |
|  | Page 470 |  | Page 472 |
| 1 | were other information -- | 1 | of time. So this is not word for word. |
| 2 | MR. FOX: May I state my objection, | 2 | He was very upset. He explained to me |
| 3 | please? | 3 | what terrible situation he had been put through. |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Sure. | 4 | It seemed to me the day before that day he |
| 5 | MR. FOX: I object because in fact they | 5 | reiterated a lot of what Mr. Lewandowski and Pam |
| 6 | are admitting these documents. | 6 | Bondi had told me in the car over the phone when I |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No -- | 7 | was coming up; that -- that they -- he was shocked |
| 8 | MR. FOX: May I please finish? | 8 | when he got there that they were all excluded and |
| 9 | He's offering these documents I think | 9 | is not allowed to see any documents. He said he |
| 10 | to prove the truth of the statement that there are | 10 | was certain that they were just going to -- |
| 11 | additional things that Mr. Giuliani knew that he | 11 | there -- there was nothing that was going to |
| 12 | didn't include in his files and, while he's not | 12 | persuade them to allow any republican to look at |
| 13 | putting the substance of the documents there, he's | 13 | any piece of paper. He said as a result of that |
| 14 | in effect offering the documents, and they're not | 14 | he took his team -- he had a team of -- a large |
| 15 | on the witness list -- or the exhibit list. | 15 | team. He himself is a -- a lawyer. He either was |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I agree. The | 16 | the president of the bar association or an |
| 17 | objection is substained -- sustained. | 17 | official of the Bar Association of Pennsylvania; |
| 18 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I can't mark it, | 18 | might have been head of his law firm for a while; |
| 19 | your -- your Honor? | 19 | said he'd never been treated like this by the |
| 20 | MR. BERNIUS: We will mark it, but you | 20 | democratic officials. And he said he had his |
| 21 | can't show it to everybody. | 21 | people keep a record -- and by "his people" he |
| 22 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, no, I was only | 22 | meant -- well, he didn't mean anything yet -- of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | how many -- he said, "I thought the most valuable | 1 | don't understand what the big deal is about |
| 2 | thing that I could do was I could have my people | 2 | this -- this -- this corresponds to a lot of the |
| 3 | keep a record of the number of times they are | 3 | affidavits we have already talked about. |
| 4 | entering a vote and we're not getting to see the | 4 | Q. Okay. |
| 5 | piece of paper that, from time immemorial, we | 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Now please go to |
| 6 | would always get to see, which it seemed to him | 6 | Exhibit 16, please. |
| 7 | was crucial to the legality and -- of it. And he | 7 | THE WITNESS: Which -- which is that? |
| 8 | didn't understand why they weren't doing it. He | 8 | I'm sorry. |
| 9 | showed it to me, I saw it myself. I mean, I saw | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: You look at the screen. |
| 10 | them -- I don't know, half a football field away | 10 | Sixteen, our Exhibit 16. |
| 11 | from me entering ballots. They had some people | 11 | THE WITNESS: This included the -- |
| 12 | around them. They weren't by themselves, but | 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Excuse me. It's not |
| 13 | there were no republicans around them. I probably | 13 | included. It's not in the -- in the list. |
| 14 | saw about -- I was in a position where I could see | 14 | THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. |
| 15 | about seven or eight of them. I was shocked. It | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right, Exhibit 16. |
| 16 | looked like -- I don't know what it looked like. | 16 | Could you go up a little bit. Stop right there. |
| 17 | Like a prison or something. | 17 | All right. I just -- just for the |
| 18 | Q. I just asked you what he gave you. | 18 | panel, I just want to say, this is the Judge |
| 19 | A. And -- and then he handed me -- at some | 19 | Christine Fizzano Cannon regarding the observation |
|  | point I said, "We're going to have to get these | 20 | of 6P, right? |
| 21 | witnesses and interview them". | 21 | Go now to Exhibit 17. So, please go to |
| 22 | I -- I spoke to -- I had with me Dr. | 22 | the dissent. I'm sorry, stop right here. |
|  | Page 474 |  | Page 476 |
| 1 | Ryan, I know for sure. I think I might have had | 1 | Just for the panel's edification, this |
| 2 | Boris with me also, Boris Epshteyn -- Epshteyn, | 2 | is a reversal of Judge Cannon by the Supreme Court |
| 3 | and I said, "Let's gather names so we're going to | 3 | saying that being in the room is enough. |
| 4 | have to interview these people quickly". I said, | 4 | Please go to the dissent. I'll tell |
| 5 | "Were all these people shut out?" He said, "Every | 5 | you which one. Go ahead. No, keep going; keep |
| 6 | single one of them". He said, "You can question | 6 | going; stop. Could you go down a little more. |
| 7 | them, but I don't think any one of them ever got | 7 | Stop. Stop right there. Highlight this, please. |
| 8 | to see a single piece of paper, and they are | 8 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 9 | really upset and very suspicious that something | 9 | Q. Could you read the dissent, please, one |
| 10 | very funny is going on here". | 10 | of the dissents? |
| 11 | Q. How many -- how many -- | 11 | A. This is Judge Shaler's (phon) or Judge |
| 12 | A. Well, then he -- he handed me this | 12 | Mundy's? I don't know which dissent. I'll read |
| 13 | list -- | 13 | it, whichever. You figure it out. |
| 14 | Q. "A" list, not in evidence, "a" list. | 14 | "The majority now vacates the |
| 15 | A. He handed me a list and then he went | 15 | commonwealth court's order and holds, while this |
| 16 | and checked off I guess this was his list of | 16 | language contemplates an opportunity to broadly |
| 17 | people -- that this was his team and then he | 17 | observe the mechanics of the canvassing process, |
| 18 | checked off the people that would have knowledge | 18 | we note that these provisions do not set a minimum |
| 19 | of this, and he -- he dealt with Boris and with | 19 | distance between authorized representatives and |
| 20 | Maria quite a bit on this. And he -- and the -- | 20 | canvassing activities occurring while they remain |
|  | so the red marks on it are the people that we | 21 | in the room. Majority Opinion, Op, at 350. |
| 22 | would -- and you'll -- you'll also see -- which I | 22 | "In so doing, the majority seemingly |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | endorses what the commonwealth court did in its | 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay now. |
| 2 | order: provide an opportunity to broadly observe. | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay, all right. |
| 3 | "Appellee was merely requesting the | 3 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL |
| 4 | ability to be able to observe the ballots in order | 4 | Q. So, Mr. Giuliani, Mr. Fox read you a |
| 5 | to accurately relay compliance action. Appellee's | 5 | portion of Exhibit 17, which is the Supreme |
| 6 | brief at 22. 'The appellate -- the campaign | 6 | Court's reversal of Judge Cannon saying that six |
| 7 | simply wants the right to observe in a meaningful | 7 | feet -- you had to be within six feet, saying that |
| 8 | way that would allow the campaign to determine | 8 | Mr. Mercer testified that he was in the room. |
| 9 | whether the board was following legal processing | 9 | Could you describe the room that -- |
| 10 | procedures, and if not, to challenge that process | 10 | that -- where they put the observers in |
| 11 | through appropriate litigation,'" end of quote and | 11 | Philadelphia? |
| 12 | parens. | 12 | A. Well, I can describe it -- yeah, sure. |
| 13 | Q. All right. | 13 | It was -- well, he describes it as two foot -- |
| 14 | A. "The commonwealth court's order and the | 14 | football fields. Others would describe it as |
| 15 | subsequent mutual agreement of the parties in the | 15 | three football fields. I would describe it as a |
| 16 | federal action did precisely that, and I would not | 16 | gigantic auditorium. From my own -- I was in a |
| 17 | disturb it. According, I dissent." | 17 | gigantic auditorium. I think they even watch |
| 18 | Q. All right, earlier in the proceeding, | 18 | basketball games there hockey games. I don't |
| 19 | not today but -- | 19 | know. I'm not sure which one it was. But it was |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: May I take a -- | 20 | not a room. It was a convention hall, and they |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No. | 21 | were spread out -- |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: -- recess? I have to | 22 | Q. Talk louder. |
|  | Page 478 |  | Page 480 |
| 1 | take a recess. | 1 | A. And they were spread out at all |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Oh, he wants a bathroom | 2 | different parts of the convention hall. So the |
| 3 | break. | 3 | closest observer would be 20 to 30 feet and the |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: For the same reason you | 4 | furthest two football fields away. |
| 5 | do. | 5 | Q. And you heard earlier -- |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Could we take a break, | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Only one more area, |
| 7 | your Honor, for two, three minutes. We're almost | 7 | your Honor, and I'm finished. |
| 8 | finished. We are almost finished. | 8 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, we'll take a | 9 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, Mr. Fox had stated to you |
| 10 | five-minute recess. | 10 | the second amended complaint. Is there any cause |
| 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Thank you. | 11 | of action stating fraud in there? |
| 12 | MS. BORAZZAS: I will open up the | 12 | A. You mean as a -- as a claim for relief? |
| 13 | breakout rooms for everyone. | 13 | I don't believe so, no. |
| 14 | (Recess taken.) | 14 | Q. In any of the counts, of any of the |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Everybody's | 15 | counts? |
| 16 | back. | 16 | A. No. No, the answer is no. |
| 17 | Mr. Leventhal, you may continue. | 17 | Q. And you added more counts in the second |
| 18 | Mr. Leventhal, if you're talking, I | 18 | amended complaint than in the first amended |
| 19 | can't hear you. | 19 | complaint? |
| 20 | MS. BORAZZAS: You guys are on mute. | 20 | A. Yeah, but they -- |
| 21 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm sorry. | 21 | Q. Yes or no? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: How are we now? | 22 | A. Yes, yes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And were those counts sounding in Due | 1 | yes. |
| 2 | Process and Equal Protection, yes or no? | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And that -- that was |
| 3 | A. Yes, and also Elector and Election -- | 3 | in -- I think you said it was a nationwide |
| 4 | Election Clause. | 4 | litigation strategy? |
| 5 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I have no further | 5 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I didn't |
| 6 | questions. | 6 | know that immediately, but as soon as I got over |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox? | 7 | to the campaign headquarters and they came in and |
| 8 | MR. FOX: No questions. | 8 | started briefing me and put up the Whiteboards all |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. -- Mr. Giuliani, | 9 | over the country, I realized probably didn't know |
| 10 | I -- I do have a -- a few questions to clarify | 10 | what I was getting into when I first said yes. |
| 11 | some things in my own mind. | 11 | But yeah. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Sure. | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, by |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: If you don't mind. | 13 | "nationwide" I don't mean all 50 states plus DC. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I don't. | 14 | That -- there were some states that were around |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: First, the -- the | 15 | the country, were there not? |
| 16 | chronology, as I -- as I understand it, on | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think at that |
| 17 | Election Day or around Election Day, you were | 17 | point it was what Mr. Fox said, about 10 or -- 10 |
| 18 | asked by the former president to take charge of | 18 | or 11 states were in question at that point, maybe |
| 19 | his litigation, post-election litigation matters. | 19 | 12. |
| 20 | Is that right? | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And had -- as I -- |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I was told to | 21 | as I recall you had essentially a war room in |
| 22 | take -- | 22 | Arlington, Virginia? |
|  | Page 482 |  | Page 484 |
| 1 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes or no? | 1 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, the campaign -- the |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, but I | 2 | Trump For President Campaign headquarters were in |
| 3 | was told to take charge of the campaign. I | 3 | Arlington, Virginia, and there was one very |
| 4 | interpreted it as the litigation part. | 4 | expandable conference room and that -- and that |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So you were -- at | 5 | turned out to be our main place to work, because |
| 6 | that -- at that point you understood you were | 6 | everybody was working together, and singular |
| 7 | acting as an attorney for -- for whom? What | 7 | offices didn't really matter -- |
| 8 | was -- who was your client? | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And -- and by "our," |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I -- I regarded it as -- | 9 | you mean the legal team that you had assembled? |
| 10 | and then we put out a press release, which I guess | 10 | THE WITNESS: The legal team I |
| 11 | states it, I was at the time I was already his | 11 | assembled and whatever was there that joined with |
| 12 | personal attorney. I had been for two -- two | 12 | us. |
| 13 | years. And I was adding to that being attorney | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, and -- and -- |
| 14 | for the Donald Trump Campaign in the capacity of | 14 | and there were also lawyers in individual -- |
| 15 | overseeing whatever election litigation was -- was | 15 | individual states that you worked with who you -- |
| 16 | necessary. | 16 | you relied on as local counsel essentially, right? |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So you were the -- | 17 | THE WITNESS: Sure. There were a lot |
| 18 | you were the -- the lawyer who was the -- the | 18 | more of them, yeah. And some had -- I'm sorry. |
| 19 | general commander of this litigation process for | 19 | Yes. |
| 20 | the -- for the Trump Campaign? | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm sorry, in -- |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'd say -- I'd say that | 21 | in -- in Pennsylvania I think you said there was a |
| 22 | that's a fair -- that's a fair interpretation, | 22 | lawyer named Hicks with whom you had -- with whom |
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| 1 | you worked? | 1 | expected that this lawsuit would become part of a |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. He was -- yes. | 2 | I guess you'd say a multidistrict litigation? |
| 3 | He was the principal lawyer in Philadelphia. | 3 | THE WITNESS: That was our hope. I -- |
| 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Mr. Chair -- Mr. Chair, | 4 | certainly we wanted to leave that option open. |
| 5 | excuse me for second. I'm not going to stop him | 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So you -- what was |
| 6 | from answering any questions -- of course I | 6 | the -- what was it that you added to the draft of |
| 7 | can't -- but we will bring all this out when Mr. | 7 | the -- the -- the Hicks draft of the complaint |
| 8 | Giuliani testifies on our case in direct, if | 8 | that you felt was important to make it compatible |
| 9 | that'll be helpful. But it's up to you. | 9 | with other cases around the country? |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- you know, this | 10 | THE WITNESS: The -- the -- the |
| 11 | is -- I'm not going to go through the entire -- | 11 | allegations about the -- to the extent that we had |
| 12 | I'm just trying to orient things -- | 12 | them at that point, of the republican inspectors |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 13 | who had been herded aside and put into pens. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- because as a way | 14 | Because that was similar to the allegations in |
| 15 | to understand. I'm not familiar with -- with -- | 15 | four or five other -- other places that happened |
| 16 | with what happened here. | 16 | contemporaneously. |
| 17 | But -- so you ever worked with Mr. | 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Anything else |
| 18 | Hicks before? | 18 | that you added that you can recall? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: No, I didn't know -- I | 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes, but I can't recall |
| 20 | don't believe I knew Mr. Hicks. I might have met | 20 | right now. |
| 21 | him. I meet a lot of people and -- but I have no | 21 | I mean, but that -- that was one of the |
| 22 | recollection of working with Mr. Hicks. | 22 | main -- that was one of the main things that we -- |
|  | Page 486 |  | Page 488 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And -- but he was -- | 1 | that we added. |
| 2 | and I assume he had -- did he have other lawyers | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And as the -- and |
| 3 | working with him at the time? | 3 | as -- and as the lead lawyer, as -- as you were |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: He did. He had a staff. | 4 | effectively supervising Mr. Hicks and you -- you |
| 5 | I don't know -- yes, he had a staff working with | 5 | approved the initial complaint before it was |
| 6 | him and had already handled some matters for the | 6 | filed? |
| 7 | campaign preceding me. | 7 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I'm not -- |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And, as I -- as I | 8 | I'm not begging off. I'm just trying to give you |
| 9 | recall, essentially Mr. Hicks took the lead in | 9 | the truth. |
| 10 | drafting the initial federal court complaint that | 10 | I relied greatly on Hicks. He had a |
| 11 | was filed. | 11 | great reputation. As -- as all of us would do, |
| 12 | Is that correct? | 12 | when you first work with a lawyer, I checked him |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: That is -- that is | 13 | out as quickly as I could with people who work |
| 14 | correct. Yes, sir. | 14 | with him and know the Philadelphia Bar, and they |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: But -- but before it | 15 | told me he's a very fine lawyer. And I found his |
| 16 | was filed, you met with him and you reviewed it | 16 | work to be excellent. |
| 17 | and I think you said you added some material to | 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Would it be fair to |
| 18 | the -- the initial complaint. | 18 | say that -- that he was -- took the lead, at least |
| 19 | Is -- is that right? | 19 | in terms of state law issues that -- that might |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. | 20 | come up? |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And that was because | 21 | THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, he -- he |
| 22 | you -- again as I understood it, you -- you | 22 | was -- up until the point that he said he had to |
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| 1 | leave, he was going to argue the case. He wanted |  | changed. It was taken from a -- from this to that |
| 2 | me to be there. He thought it -- he thought that | 2 | (indicating.) |
| 3 | I could -- he was -- he was -- he was impressed | 3 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: How much -- well, |
| 4 | that there were similar situations elsewhere, so | 4 | what was taken out of the first amendment -- |
| 5 | similar to his, and he thought it be would | 5 | amended complaint, the first complaint that did |
| 6 | helpful. But I was -- mine was intending to argue | 6 | not appear in the amended complaint? |
| 7 | for about five minutes. | 7 | Do you recall? |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So you didn't sign | 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't now recall |
| 9 | the initial complaint because you were not | 9 | exactly what was taken out. I recall it was a -- |
| 10 | admitted pro hac at that time, right? | 10 | a great -- a great deal of it. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I might | 11 | But my -- my concern really, when I |
| 12 | not have signed it even if I was. My contribution | 12 | tried to do surgery on it, was to kind of ignore |
| 13 | was minor. | 13 | it and just go back to Hicks' complaint, use that |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. | 14 | as the basis for the second amended complaint, |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: And it was really his | 15 | which had quite -- I think I told you I did, that |
| 16 | product and a good one. | 16 | was sort of the prototype for the -- and then add |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And I -- it's -- | 17 | on the second amended complaint a few of the |
| 18 | it -- obviously sometime after the initial | 18 | additional things we had found out. |
| 19 | complaint was filed, it was a -- there was a first | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So, I mean, you |
| 20 | amendment -- an amended complaint was filed, | 20 | were -- you were involved with the initial |
| 21 | right? It was the first amendment -- amended | 21 | complaint. Who -- who was responsible for filing |
| 22 | complaint? | 22 | the amendment -- the first amended complaint? I |
|  | Page 490 |  | Page 492 |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir. | 1 | mean, who made the deletions from the initial |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And my understanding | 2 | complaint and -- and did not include it in the |
| 3 | is that the first amendment -- amended complaint | 3 | first amended complaint? |
| 4 | withdrew some allegations that were -- had been | 4 | THE WITNESS: Linda Kearns, who was, as |
| 5 | contained in the initial complaint. | 5 | I understood it, Mr. Hicks' assistant. She |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I took it down to | 6 | claimed to be his equal cocounsel. Everyone else, |
| 7 | two -- I have to look to remind myself what the | 7 | including Mr. Hicks, described her as his |
| 8 | first complaint had. I took it down to only two | 8 | assistant. She made those changes, filed it, and |
| 9 | counts: one, Equal Protection, one, Due Process. | 9 | I found out about it after it was filed. And at |
| 10 | It sort of had this omnibus clause in it that | 10 | this point -- this was after Mr. Hicks had to |
| 11 | reincorporated by reference everything else, but | 11 | withdraw from the case. |
| 12 | it was very unspecific. | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Why did he withdraw? |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, I -- I -- but | 13 | THE WITNESS: Well he first called me |
| 14 | my understanding -- I may be wrong -- from the | 14 | very upset, very -- saying that there was a lot of |
| 15 | testimony was that the initial complaint was | 15 | pressure being put on him by his law firm to |
| 16 | amended and -- and certain allegations or claims | 16 | withdraw. He said he was not going to withdraw, |
| 17 | were taken out of the -- the complaint and | 17 | not to worry, and that -- and then we just went on |
| 18 | essentially deleted from the first amendment -- | 18 | and talked about the case. We didn't have -- |
| 19 | amended complaint. | 19 | then -- |
| 20 | Is that -- am I right on that, or? | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Did you come to |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: You're absolutely | 21 | understand why Ms. Kearns -- |
| 22 | correct, and I would add the word substantially | 22 | THE WITNESS: Well, they got -- he |
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| 1 | got -- I'm sorry. | 1 | who -- who are Mr. Roberts and Mr. Henry? |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You have to wait | 2 | THE WITNESS: They are citizens of -- I |
| 3 | 'till I finish. | 3 | think one's Luzerne County. I've forgotten the |
| 4 | Did you -- did you come to understand | 4 | other county. And they were -- they were there to |
| 5 | why she made the changes that she did before she | 5 | illustrate the denial of Due Process based on the |
| 6 | filed the first amended complaint? | 6 | cure provisions that were applied in some parts of |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: I understood in | 7 | the state but not in other parts of the state, |
| 8 | retrospect that she never agreed with the first -- | 8 | because of the confusing advice given to them |
| 9 | that she and Hicks were not of one mind on the | 9 | by -- by Boockvar's office. |
| 10 | first complaint. | 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. So -- so |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: What was it that she | 11 | the -- as I -- as I understand it, neither one of |
| 12 | disagreed with in terms of the first complaint? | 12 | them lived in a county, in a defendant county, |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I -- I don't -- I don't | 13 | correct? |
| 14 | know. She wasn't around long enough nor did I | 14 | THE WITNESS: That is correct, but they |
| 15 | have the time to be able to figure out -- honestly | 15 | were -- the -- the thinking that Hicks had was |
| 16 | I don't think I ever knew what exactly it was that | 16 | that they were -- |
| 17 | troubled her about it. She -- it could have been | 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, I'll -- |
| 18 | a -- I mean, this is gossip -- | 18 | THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm sorry. |
| 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Don't speculate. | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm not done. So |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Okay. It could have been | 20 | don't -- don't -- don't confuse me. I'm just |
| 21 | personality. I don't know. | 21 | trying to work my way through. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Now when you -- | 22 | THE WITNESS: I see, okay. Thank you, |
|  | Page 494 |  | Page 496 |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: It was the -- it was the | 1 | sir. |
| 2 | odd circumstances that he -- he was considered the | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So they -- they |
| 3 | lead counsel by everyone that talked to me, there | 3 | voted in -- were they from the same county or two |
| 4 | and in -- and in Washington, and she kept | 4 | different counties? |
| 5 | describing herself as "cocounsel". | 5 | THE WITNESS: They were two different |
| 6 | So, you know how lawyers are. | 6 | counties, two difference counties. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So, in the Middle | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So they respectively |
| 8 | District of Pennsylvania, you -- you represented | 8 | voted in the proper county, neither of which was a |
| 9 | the plaintiffs, right? There were three | 9 | defendant in the case, and their votes were |
| 10 | plaintiffs? | 10 | rejected, correct? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes. We represented the | 11 | THE WITNESS: They weren't allowed to |
| 12 | campaign and Donald Trump, and we represented -- | 12 | cure their votes the way the people were in the |
| 13 | was there two -- two plaintiffs? | 13 | counties that were defendants. Yes, sir. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: There was -- there | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And were they |
| 15 | was -- it was Lawrence Roberts and David John | 15 | challenging that decision, not to allow them to |
| 16 | Henry. | 16 | cure their votes? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes, and Trump. So | 17 | THE WITNESS: I believe they did, yeah. |
| 18 | three, three plaintiffs. | 18 | So I'm not sure -- I'm not -- I'm not -- I'm not |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Those were your | 19 | sure, because that predated me, exactly what they |
| 20 | three clients, right? | 20 | did before I got into the case, what they did in |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Right. | 21 | their counties. So I shouldn't say that. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And did you -- | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- I thought the |
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| 1 | testimony was or I saw somewhere that they were | 1 | voting -- does the voting in the defendant |
| 2 | not allowed to cure their votes and they did | 2 | counties dilute a vote that he was never entitled |
| 3 | not -- they did not challenge that decision? | 3 | to cast in the first place? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: That could -- well, that | 4 | THE WITNESS: Well, he -- the vote that |
| 5 | could be right. | 5 | he wasn't entitled to cast in his county he wasn't |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Now both -- both Mr. | 6 | entitled to cast because he followed the letter of |
| 7 | Roberts and Mr. Henry sued the -- Kathy Boockvar | 7 | the law. The vote that they cast was contrary to |
| 8 | and seven counties. | 8 | the law. |
| 9 | Can you tell me what their claim was | 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: But if he was -- |
| 10 | against the seven counties in which they did not | 10 | if -- if he was -- |
| 11 | reside, because I'm lost. I'm lost in that. | 11 | THE WITNESS: And therefore that |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Well, their claim -- | 12 | diluted the legal vote. |
| 13 | their claim was that there, illegally, they were | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: If he was concerned |
| 14 | allowing curing of ballots, which created the | 14 | about dilution of his vote, why didn't he bring a |
| 15 | disparate condition in their county. They -- they | 15 | claim to allow his vote to count, and why didn't |
| 16 | didn't want to -- or Mr. Hicks didn't want to sue | 16 | he sue the county which denied him the right to |
| 17 | those counties because those counties were | 17 | vote? |
| 18 | following the letter of the law, and it's the | 18 | THE WITNESS: Because he didn't -- he |
| 19 | counties that allowed the cure that weren't. So, | 19 | didn't -- he didn't allege or agree that the |
| 20 | his thinking was that they were the ones creating | 20 | county had acted improperly, but that the other |
| 21 | the injury. And if so -- | 21 | counties had acted improperly in allowing people |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So what -- what is | 22 | to vote that weren't entitled to vote. |
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| 1 | the nature of the injury to him from the allowance | 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And -- and -- so |
| 2 | of votes to be counted in the -- by the defendant | 2 | that based on the fact that Mr. Roberts and Mr. |
| 3 | counties? | 3 | Henry were properly not entitled to vote, you, on |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Vote dilution. | 4 | their behalf, sought to invalidate every other |
| 5 | MR. BERNIUS: Well -- | 5 | voter in seven counties that had been granted the |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: All of these -- all of | 6 | notice -- right to Notice and Cure? |
| 7 | these, allegedly illegal votes are counted | 7 | THE WITNESS: Well, sure. |
| 8 | which -- which dilutes the vote and in their | 8 | I mean, the -- the -- the -- the right |
| 9 | particular case didn't allow them to vote on the | 9 | to Notice and Cure was not -- that -- that was the |
| 10 | same basis as others. | 10 | illegal part of it. Those votes shouldn't have |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So -- so his -- his | 11 | been counted in the first place. |
| 12 | theory was that allowing others to vote diluted | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So that -- that was |
| 13 | the -- his -- his vote? I don't -- I don't | 13 | the -- that was the underlying nature of your |
| 14 | understand. Because he -- | 14 | Equal Protection and Due Process claims brought on |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: It diluted the vote of | 15 | behalf of Mr. Roberts and Mr. Henry, right? |
| 16 | the entire county and, in their case -- | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's correct. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yeah -- yeah, but -- | 17 | And -- and on that, we didn't ask for a |
| 18 | but he's -- he's the plaintiff, and he -- he | 18 | specific -- on that we would have certainly been |
| 19 | didn't vote because he -- he didn't follow the | 19 | willing to accept a new election. |
| 20 | appropriate procedure, so how -- | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So -- so based on |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Well, he did. | 21 | the fact that these two plaintiffs were properly |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- does the | 22 | denied, in your view, the right to vote in their |
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| 1 | county, you sought -- you thought a court would | 1 | Is that -- |
| 2 | grant a new election? | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. It turns out to be |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Depending on the number | 3 | about 240. I -- I had said 300, correct. |
| 4 | of votes. We had no idea how many -- how broad | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, those 240 |
| 5 | the practice was of cure. If it were -- if it | 5 | declarations were of -- some of them -- some of |
| 6 | were only the number we were alleging at that | 6 | them raised what you say are allegations of fraud |
| 7 | point, surely not. But if the number were much, | 7 | on their face. Others raised the Notice and Cure |
| 8 | much larger, then it would have had a material | 8 | issue. Others raised the observer distance issue. |
| 9 | effect on the outcome of the election. | 9 | Is that -- is that fair? Am I |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So I'm saying | 10 | understanding that correctly? |
| 11 | these -- these two plaintiffs had a claim that, | 11 | THE WITNESS: Perfectly, yeah, but in |
| 12 | based on what happened with their votes and the | 12 | very different proportions. |
| 13 | notice of cure, they were entitled to an order | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, I'm not going |
| 14 | negating every other Notice and Cure vote that had | 14 | to ask -- I'm not going to ask you how many of |
| 15 | been allowed in the entire state? | 15 | which, but does that basically cover the |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Well, not really. In the | 16 | waterfront in terms of their content? |
| 17 | counties -- in the counties that had been -- | 17 | THE WITNESS: No. I have -- I made a |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: In the -- those | 18 | list of them. They are exclusion -- exclusion |
| 19 | seven counties. | 19 | from observing the vote, various different ways, |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Depending on whether it | 20 | not allowing people to vote who had claimed they |
| 21 | had a material effect on the election. So we had | 21 | didn't vote, but there had been an absentee ballot |
| 22 | the beginning of a case. We didn't have the end | 22 | executed for them, of I which there were many that |
|  | Page 502 |  | Page 504 |
| 1 | of a case. | 1 | was a large category, much larger, for example, |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Did you -- did you | 2 | than Notice and Cure, which is why I think those |
| 3 | really think that any -- any court in Pennsylvania | 3 | aspects of the case would have taken over, which |
| 4 | would grant relief like that to these two | 4 | relates to Trump's standing. |
| 5 | plaintiffs? | 5 | A significant number, slightly |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I thought it was | 6 | different, that came in to vote on Election Day |
| 7 | conceivable if it was broader than the numbers | 7 | and were allowed to file a provisional ballot, |
| 8 | that we started with, yes, and also, combined with | 8 | they did claim that there was a false vote entered |
| 9 | the Trump voter dilution. | 9 | for them. They never voted absentee. Now you put |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Let me ask you about | 10 | that number together, it gets up to about 70. |
| 11 | these -- | 11 | Many of them, not every one, cast a |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: You know, in a -- in a -- | 12 | provisional vote. Many of their provisional |
| 13 | in a case with standing, if one of the three has | 13 | votes, when they went back and checked, were never |
| 14 | standing, that's sufficient. So it didn't rest | 14 | counted. I'd say the majority of them were never |
| 15 | on -- on its own. It rested also on the Trump | 15 | counted. |
| 16 | standing. | 16 | So, in their case, if there were 70, 55 |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I mean, let me ask | 17 | or so were deprived of the right to vote because |
| 18 | you about the -- you -- you've testified I think a | 18 | somebody had falsely entered an absentee ballot in |
| 19 | few times about some declarations and by | 19 | their name using their registration to cover their |
| 20 | declarations I think the declarations are | 20 | phoney ballot. That was a -- that was -- that was |
| 21 | affidavits. And you've said the number estimated | 21 | maybe -- maybe even larger than the number of |
| 22 | about 300. | 22 | people that had been excluded from observing the |
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| 1 | ballots being counted. | 1 | of witnesses. |
| 2 | The number of people being excluded | 2 | He said, "These -- these are my people |
| 3 | from seeing the ballots was also around 60 or 70, | 3 | who observed this, not only here in the arena, but |
| 4 | and they were in categories ranging from not | 4 | they observed it all over Philadelphia". |
| 5 | seeing ballots being excluded for half a day, and | 5 | He also told me he had been in contact |
| 6 | they didn't bother to count them, they just gave | 6 | with his counterparts in Pittsburgh and he said |
| 7 | you a general feeling: a hundred, 200, 300, we | 7 | it's very strange but -- |
| 8 | didn't count those. | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Mr. Giuliani, |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So this is -- these | 9 | just -- you know, I -- you're giving me too much |
| 10 | are -- these are all -- they were in the universe | 10 | information now. |
| 11 | of about 240 documents, declarations? | 11 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm -- I'm trying | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- you've got all |
| 13 | to tell you the ones that were the most | 13 | of these declarations around the time of the -- |
| 14 | significant. | 14 | the filing of the complaints and I think you told |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm just trying to | 15 | Judge Brann they were still coming in -- |
| 16 | get the -- the aggregate number. | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes, they were. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: So some of them -- so -- | 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- at the time of |
| 18 | of the -- of the -- of the universe that was | 18 | the oral argument, okay. |
| 19 | excluded from seeing ballots, they ranged from | 19 | So you -- when -- when was the last -- |
| 20 | someone who didn't see a couple of hundred ballots | 20 | when did you receive the last of these |
| 21 | to -- so some that didn't see a couple of thousand | 21 | declarations? |
| 22 | ballots and kept records of them. | 22 | THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know. |
|  | Page 506 |  | Page 508 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yeah, I'm just -- | 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Was it about or |
| 2 | I'm just talking right but about the declarations, | 2 | around the time at least of the 3rd Circuit |
| 3 | themselves. | 3 | decision in the case? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Well, those -- | 4 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would assume. I |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I understand -- I | 5 | mean, frankly we probably lost some interest in it |
| 6 | understand you say that some declarations refer to | 6 | at that point, because we had to turn -- we had to |
| 7 | one incident; some refer to more than one | 7 | our attention to other -- you know, to the hearing |
| 8 | incident. | 8 | in Michegan and to the hearing in Arizona and that |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Thousands of incidents. | 9 | sort of thing. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: But you had -- you | 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Right, now Mr. Fox's |
| 11 | had the total of about 240 declarations. | 11 | office requested that you produce all of those |
| 12 | When -- at what -- what period of time | 12 | declarations, right? |
| 13 | did you assemble these? During -- was it before | 13 | THE WITNESS: Yes, he did. |
| 14 | the first amended complaint, before the -- during | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And about how many |
| 15 | that period of time when -- when is the best | 15 | did you produce? |
| 16 | understanding that you have now when you got | 16 | THE WITNESS: We produced all that we |
| 17 | those? | 17 | had. I -- I didn't keep any behind in my office. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Pretty much from the | 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, about how many |
| 19 | first day. I -- I began that with that | 19 | is that? |
| 20 | conversation that I described to Judge Leventhal | 20 | THE WITNESS: It's about the two -- |
| 21 | before. That began immediately when Mr. Mercer | 21 | probably the -- the number you see in our exhibit. |
| 22 | gave me the list, because that was our first group | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Was it the |
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| 1 | attachments to the letter that were about 15 | 1 | trouble with it, but it -- the Equal Protection |
| 2 | exhibits. | 2 | claim was that they -- in other counties in the |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Oh, no, no, no. That | 3 | state, people were allowed to vote on a -- on a -- |
| 4 | letter was just a brief letter to try to give a | 4 | an interpretation of the law that in their |
| 5 | few examples. We did not attach all that we had | 5 | counties were regarded as illegal and were not |
| 6 | then. We attached them to our answer, to the -- | 6 | available to them. So therefore they were |
| 7 | to the more formal complaint. | 7 | deprived of their right to vote, where other |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: But there are | 8 | people were granted the right to vote on an |
| 9 | some -- what efforts did you make to retrieve | 9 | illegal basis. That directly injured them. |
| 10 | declarations that were not in your custody? | 10 | Number two it deleted -- diluted vote in general |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I called all the people | 11 | and it diluted the vote for Trump, who was their |
| 12 | that I work with. In fact I did retrieve some, so | 12 | codefendant. And the law of standing is that, if |
| 13 | I should I amend that. When we made our original | 13 | any one of the three has standing, you have |
| 14 | major turnover, I took everything that I had. I | 14 | standing. |
| 15 | called -- I may miss somebody, but I called | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well -- |
| 16 | Christina Bobb; I called Christiani Allen; I | 16 | THE WITNESS: And I should emphasize, |
| 17 | talked to Bernie Kerik, because I see Bernie on a | 17 | without -- without -- without trying to escape it, |
| 18 | regular basis; I talked to Dr. Ryan, I said, | 18 | I should emphasize that that was part of the case |
| 19 | "Please give me anything you have"; I talked to | 19 | when I came into it and I -- I -- I didn't develop |
| 20 | Boris Epshteyn and Mike Roman. I asked them to | 20 | that theory. I agreed with it. |
| 21 | please give me what they had that they had | 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Why -- why did |
| 22 | original given to me, but for some reason it kept | 22 | you -- why did they not bring a claim against the |
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| 1 | or took, or -- and they did. They -- they sent me | 1 | counties that denied their vote? |
| 2 | documents, and those documents were included in | 2 | THE WITNESS: Because I believe -- Mr. |
| 3 | the original disclosure of documents. And then, | 3 | Hicks believed, and I agreed, that they would have |
| 4 | since then a few others have come in and those are | 4 | lost that. Because the counties were following |
| 5 | the ones that we've produced, you know, later. | 5 | the law, at least the way we also interpreted it, |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. | 6 | and it was the counties that were violating the |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: There was no -- we -- we | 7 | law that were creating the disparate treatment, of |
| 8 | want you to have everything that we have, because | 8 | them and then of all the Trump voters. |
| 9 | we think it justifies at least the basis for our | 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right, thank |
| 10 | case, whether you agree with it or not. | 10 | you, Mr. Giuliani. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- I apologize if | 11 | Mr. Brozost, do you have anything? |
| 12 | I'm being repetitive here, but could you -- could | 12 | MR. BROZOST: Yes, I do. Thank you, |
| 13 | you explain to me the Equal Protection claim that | 13 | Mr. Bernius. And Mr. Bernius, you asked a number |
| 14 | was asserted on behalf of the individual | 14 | of questions that I was going to ask, so I think |
| 15 | plaintiffs. | 15 | we can speed this along. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: We're talking about Trump | 16 | Mr. Giuliani, you indicated that you |
| 17 | now, as well? | 17 | were brought in by former President Trump to |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Oh, as the | 18 | coordinate the national effort. |
| 19 | individual, Mr. Roberts and Mr. Henry I think the | 19 | Is that correct? |
| 20 | names were. As succinctly as you can. I just -- | 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. |
| 21 | I'm just trying to orient myself. | 21 | MR. BROZOST: And you went -- and I |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I know you're having | 22 | want to get back to that in a second, but you went |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to Pennsylvania at some point shortly thereafter | 1 | probably would have looked at it from my -- |
| 2 | and the matter was being prosecuted at that time | 2 | MR. BROZOST: Right. |
| 3 | by Mr. Hicks. | 3 | THE WITNESS: -- litigation practice. |
| 4 | Is that correct? | 4 | And he explained to me -- I mean, I'm going to be |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: That is correct. | 5 | guessing a little, because I got so many -- I'm |
| 6 | MR. BROZOST: And Mr. Hicks you said | 6 | pretty sure it had something to do -- they -- they |
| 7 | was a very well known, established lawyer in I | 7 | had a history of -- they did -- "they" meaning Mr. |
| 8 | think you said Philadelphia? | 8 | Hicks, Linda Kearns, they had brought previous |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: That's right, yes. | 9 | litigation there about the election. |
| 10 | MR. BROZOST: Pennsylvania had an | 10 | MR. BROZOST: About this presidential |
| 11 | established procedure to challenge presidential | 11 | election -- |
| 12 | elections on an expedited basis. | 12 | THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm, mm-hmm, |
| 13 | Is that correct? | 13 | challenging some of the -- challenging some of the |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I -- I'm generally aware | 14 | changes in the law. I'm a little foggy on this, |
| 15 | of that, but I've -- I'm not, you know, an expert | 15 | so, but they had brought other cases basically |
| 16 | on Pennsylvania law. I'm sorry. | 16 | challenging the executive changes that were made |
| 17 | MR. BROZOST: Well, I thought maybe Mr. | 17 | without legislative approval, and they -- I do |
| 18 | Hicks could help on that matter. I -- what I'm | 18 | believe they felt that it was a lost cause to |
| 19 | not understanding is why an established procedure | 19 | litigate it in a -- to -- to litigate this in a |
|  | for challenging a presidential election wasn't | 20 | Philadelphia court or in a local court, that they |
| 21 | followed. | 21 | thought -- and I hope not to offend anyone -- but |
| 22 | Do you have any idea? | 22 | they thought the dye was cast as to how the court |
|  | Page 514 |  | Page 516 |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm very sorry. | 1 | would come out. And therefore, as lawyers do, |
| 2 | He explained it to me, but I don't remember it. | 2 | where you try to choose the right venue, they |
| 3 | And you have to understand -- I know | 3 | thought they had a better chances in federal |
| 4 | that sounds strange -- but this all happened in | 4 | court. |
| 5 | two days. Our interchange was -- our interchange | 5 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. Going back to |
| 6 | was pressured enormously by the time -- he -- when | 6 | something Mr. Bernius was referring to on the |
| 7 | I met him he was working on the first -- on what | 7 | Notice and Cure, the Secretary Boockvar issue |
| 8 | you see as the first complaint with the two -- he | 8 | guidance that the counties could or could not |
| 9 | met with and interviewed the two gentlemen that | 9 | implement it. It was up to them to implement -- |
| 10 | Mr. Bernius asked me about. | 10 | implement Notice and Cure. |
| 11 | MR. BROZOST: Right. | 11 | Is that correct? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: He had already done a | 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I -- I think that |
| 13 | substantial amount of work putting together that | 13 | was the final one -- there were several iterations |
| 14 | first complaint, which is why I got it so quickly. | 14 | of that, but I think that was the final one that |
| 15 | MR. BROZOST: And I understand that. | 15 | came out, right near the end of the election, |
| 16 | But there was no subsequent -- preliminarily there | 16 | yeah. |
| 17 | was no discussion, or there was discussion but it | 17 | MR. BROZOST: So it was chosen by those |
| 18 | was never brought up again? | 18 | counties that the plaintiffs resided in. Those |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: There was a discussion | 19 | counties decided not to implement it, although she |
| 20 | that I recall about -- I would have -- I would | 20 | said, you know, it's up to you. |
|  | have thought I might have asked if we exhaust |  | THE WITNESS: Yeah, and those counties, |
|  | state remedies or -- because that's the way I |  | in the few that I had a chance to talk to, had |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | gotten legal opinions that it was illegal. What | 1 | correct, cannot challenge a ballot? |
| 2 | she had asked -- what she was telling them to do | 2 | THE WITNESS: They -- I think -- I |
| 3 | would have violated the law, and she has no right, | 3 | think you're right. I think their function is to |
| 4 | as you know, to change the law. | 4 | record it for future litigation. |
| 5 | The -- the -- the law with regard to | 5 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. Yeah, I -- I -- my |
| 6 | elections, whatever the outcome of the new Supreme | 6 | reading is that they could not challenge the |
| 7 | Court decision, gets set by the legislature, not | 7 | ballot, so it was more purely observational. And |
| 8 | by the executive. All the executive gets to do is | 8 | I was struck by one thing. You indicated I |
| 9 | a ministerial function. I mean, that's pretty | 9 | believe, very early, you met with attorney Mercer |
| 10 | strict Constitutional law. | 10 | who was highly respected, according to you. |
| 11 | So, not only -- | 11 | Is that correct? |
| 12 | MR. BROZOST: Mr. -- Mr. -- I'm sorry. | 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I would have -- I would | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And Mr. Mercer |
| 14 | have agreed with that if I were the mayor or | 14 | provided several affidavits, at least two, at |
| 15 | the -- I wouldn't have done that. And -- and if | 15 | least two affidavits that you used? |
| 16 | you look at who followed it and who didn't, | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, I have -- I |
| 17 | there's a very partisan cast to it. | 17 | have two. |
| 18 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. Let me move on, | 18 | MR. BROZOST: And those affidavits |
| 19 | Mr. Giuliani, to the issue about the observational | 19 | talked about observational boundaries and problems |
| 20 | boundaries. | 20 | observing what was going on? |
| 21 | First -- and I think you acknowledged | 21 | THE WITNESS: No, they're pretty |
|  | that these operational barriers, one of the | 22 | much -- can't say $100 \%$, but they pretty much track |
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| 1 | impetus may have been or was the COVID outbreak. | 1 | what he told me orally. |
| 2 | Is that correct? | 2 | I hate to introduce a complication, but |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: That was the purported | 3 | I do think Mr. Mercer was also involved in other |
| 4 | reason given, contradicted more than followed by | 4 | litigation, so there might be other affidavits of |
| 5 | the numerous people that walked up to the people | 5 | his. I tried to get them once, but I couldn't. |
| 6 | standing there and sitting there without any mask, | 6 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. Here's where I got |
| 7 | much more than six feet. It seemed as if this was | 7 | the little confused. The Supreme Court heard this |
| 8 | only something that might effect a republican. | 8 | issue on observational boundaries. |
| 9 | MR. BROZOST: And -- and I'm a little | 9 | Is that correct? |
| 10 | troubled by that, when you say that, because I | 10 | THE WITNESS: The Supreme Court of |
| 11 | thought you had indicated that both democrats and | 11 | Pennsylvania. |
| 12 | republicans were subject to the same thing in | 12 | MR. BROZOST: Pennsylvania, yes. |
| 13 | large part. | 13 | THE WITNESS: Almost immediately, |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I said that democrats | 14 | right. |
| 15 | were subject to it, but when there were exceptions | 15 | Mr. BROZOST: Right. And one of the |
| 16 | made, it was made only for democrats. And of | 16 | witnesses called was Mercer, attorney Mercer. |
| 17 | course the officials sitting there are almost all | 17 | THE WITNESS: That's right. |
| 18 | democrats, appointed by, for example, the | 18 | MR. BROZOST: And, I don't have the |
| 19 | Philadelphia Democratic Party, well known as | 19 | exact language, but the language that I wrote down |
| 20 | machine employees. I mean, you have to have your | 20 | was that attorney Mercer was deemed a credible |
|  | head in the sand not to know that. | 21 | witness by the Supreme Court. |
| 22 | MR. BROZOST: These observers, am I | 22 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. BROZOST: That -- that was | 1 | There was no requirement that you see anything. |
| 2 | testimony this morning. | 2 | Now what the heck are you doing there if it isn't |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: That was a | 3 | to see something, which I think Judge Cannon was |
| 4 | mischaracterization of the word "meaningful". | 4 | correct about, and the dissenters. And I also |
| 5 | The -- the -- the -- the conclusion was that his | 5 | would say it certainly gives me, I hope, the clear |
| 6 | presence was meaningful, and the reality is he | 6 | defense that I was making a reasonable argument, |
| 7 | never got to within a football field of seeing | 7 | because I was making an argument that three judges |
| 8 | anything. | 8 | agreed with. |
| 9 | MR. BROZOST: But he did testify | 9 | I'm surely entitled to make an argument |
| 10 | apparently to the Supreme Court that his ability | 10 | for my client that three judges agree. |
| 11 | to actually -- he was not deprived of the ability | 11 | MR. BROZOST: Well, Mr. Giuliani, thank |
| 12 | to actually observe the process in any meaningful | 12 | you. I believe that's all I have. |
| 13 | way. That was his -- | 13 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Have you seen that? | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Ms. Murrell, do you |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, that's what the | 15 | have anything? |
| 16 | decision says. | 16 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: Mr. Giuliani. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I haven't seen that | 17 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, Im sorry, I |
| 18 | testimony -- I haven't seen that testimony and I | 18 | can't -- I can't hear you, Ms. |
| 19 | don't -- I don't interpret their paraphrase of it | 19 | Haynesworth-Murrell. |
| 20 | as -- | 20 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: Mr. Giuliani. |
| 21 | MR. BROZOST: That was the Supreme | 21 | THE WITNESS: Now I hear you. Yes, |
| 22 | Court's language. | 22 | sir -- yes, ma'am. |
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| 1 | THE WITNESS: It was their language, | 1 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: Thank you, |
| 2 | but what they mean by "meaningful" is being in the | 2 | very well. |
| 3 | room. The -- the whole decision decides that | 3 | Were you -- did you enter your presence |
| 4 | being in the room is meaningful. So that doesn't | 4 | at the court to represent the clients, or were you |
| 5 | tell you anything, sir, I'm sorry. | 5 | working with Mr. Hicks? |
| 6 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. | 6 | At any time when Mr. Hicks removed |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: That's like circular. | 7 | himself from the case, did you then enter |
| 8 | MR. BROZOST: But that his ability to | 8 | yourself? |
| 9 | observe was not in any way effected in any | 9 | THE WITNESS: I -- I -- I was an |
| 10 | meaningful way. | 10 | attorney -- I was one of the -- yes. I was an-- I |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: But he doesn't say that. | 11 | was an official -- I -- I was -- I was allowed pro |
| 12 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. All right. | 12 | hac vice. I was allowed as an outsider to |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: He says quite clearly, in | 13 | practice for the purpose of that case, which is |
| 14 | his affidavits, over and over, that he saw | 14 | quite common, and the principal lawyer first was |
| 15 | nothing. And they decided --they decided that was | 15 | Mr. Hicks, and then Mr. Scaringi, along with Ms. |
| 16 | okay because your presence in the room was | 16 | Kearns, who the judge would not allow out of the |
| 17 | meaningful, which I think is an absurd decision, | 17 | case because he felt that we, Scaringi and I, were |
| 18 | an absolutely absurd decision. | 18 | in it to -- briefly to really understand it. And |
| 19 | MR. BROZOST: Okay. | 19 | I think that reflects part of the problem. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: It means that you could | 20 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: Thank you. |
| 21 | be a potted plant in that room. If you didn't see | 21 | THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, |
| 22 | anything, you still complied with their rule. | 22 | ma'am. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, do you have | 1 | The problem remained of -- it only |
| 2 | anything additional? | 2 | abated in some places the last day or two. |
| 3 | MR. FOX: No. | 3 | Q. Day of -- day or two of what? Not |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Leventhal, any | 4 | yesterday? |
| 5 | follow-up? | 5 | A. No, no, no. Like the -- the whole |
| 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I did. I forgot one | 6 | counting went on for about seven or eight days. |
| 7 | thing, if I may, very quickly. | 7 | The seventh or eight day there was some access -- |
| 8 | CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION | 8 | not in Philadelphia or Allegheny, as I understand |
| 9 | ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT | 9 | it but some of the other counties. But there also |
| 10 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 10 | are affidavits in the file of people who were |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Fox -- | 11 | excluded after the settlement and complained about |
| 12 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Oh, I can sit down. I | 12 |  |
| 13 | thought I was going to the screen again. | 13 | Q. And yes or no, will we have a witness |
| 14 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 14 | who will testify to that? |
| 15 | Q. Mr. Fox had mentioned that Judge | 15 | A. Yes, there are several, in the file. |
| 16 | Diamond had entered into a stipulation with the | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Okay. All right. |
| 17 | parties when they weren't honoring Judge Cannon, | 17 | That's all. And I said it would be brief. |
| 18 | Fizzano's order which was in effect on November | 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, anything |
| 19 | 5th, and Mr. Fox was kind enough to send me | 19 | else? |
|  | earlier this morning -- I think he was up all | 20 | MR. FOX: No. |
| 21 | night -- but he sent it to me earlier this morning | 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I think you're done, |
| 22 | the transcript of the hearing or the consent, and | 22 | Mr. Giuliani. Thank you. |
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| 1 | that they agreed that they could get closer to | 1 | Mr. Fox -- |
| 2 | the -- | 2 | THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. -- Mr. | 3 | (Mr. Giuliani's testimony concludes.) |
| 4 | Leventhal, just, if you'll excuse me, at this | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- are you going to |
| 5 | point, do you have any further questions of Mr. | 5 | bring your next witness on now? We could take |
| 6 | Giuliani? | 6 | our -- we can take our mid-afternoon break and |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I do, I do, but I want | 7 | then resume with the next witness. |
| 8 | to go through the stages. I want to refresh | 8 | MR. FOX: That's correct. He's right, |
| 9 | what -- what had transpired. | 9 | here: Mr. Ortiz. |
| 10 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: | 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. We'll -- |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Fox had mentioned that Judge | 11 | we'll break until 3:45. |
| 12 | Diamond did a settlement that they can get closer. | 12 | MS. BORAZZAS: I will open up the |
| 13 | Mr . Giuliani, after that, was there | 13 | breakout rooms now. |
| 14 | still remaining a problem in observing in a | 14 | (Recess taken.) |
| 15 | meaningful way in Philadelphia or at least and | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, we're back on |
| 16 | perhaps other jurisdictions. | 16 | the record, Mr. Fox. Your next witness, please. |
| 17 | You can't nod your head. You have to | 17 | MR. FOX: Daniel Ortiz. And I guess |
| 18 | answer. | 18 | Dan needs to unmask. |
| 19 | A. No, no, I am. I was just waiting to | 19 | (Daniel Ortiz on the witness stand.) |
| 20 | get -- I was just waiting to get the microphone. | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Ortiz, do you |
| 21 | The answer is there are -- the answer | 21 | solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you |
| 22 | is yes. |  | will give in this proceeding will be the truth, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help |  | for Justice Powell for a year. |
| 2 | you God. | 2 | Q. What has been your employment since |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I do. | 3 | your clerkship with Justice Powell? |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Proceed, Mr. | 4 | A. After taking a short vacation after my |
| 5 | Fox. | 5 | time with Justice Powell, I started at the |
| 6 | Whereupon, | 6 | University of Virginia School of law in 1985, and |
| 7 | DANIEL ORTIZ, | 7 | I've been teaching there ever since, except for a |
| 8 | called as a witness on behalf of Disciplinary | 8 | total period of three years when I visited away at |
| 9 | Counsel, and after having been first duly sworn, | 9 | other law schools at different periods of time. |
| 10 | was examined and testified as follows: | 10 | Q. And which other law schools were you a |
| 11 | VOIR DIRE | 11 | visiting professor at? |
| 12 | ON BEHALF OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL | 12 | A. The University of Southern California |
| 13 | BY MR. FOX: | 13 | and Berkley. |
| 14 | Q. Please state your name. | 14 | Q. Can you tell us what your experience |
| 15 | A. My name is Daniel R. Ortiz. | 15 | has been with election law? |
| 16 | Q. And Mr. Ortiz, where are you testifying | 16 | A. Yes. When I was a law student, I wrote |
| 17 | from? | 17 | my student note on election law. Then I started |
| 18 | A. I'm testifying from a town called | 18 | teaching election law a year -- a few years after |
| 19 | Ascoli Peceno in the region of Le Marche, in | 19 | I landed at UVA. And I have cochaired the |
|  | Italy. | 20 | Election Law Committee of the Section on |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And what time of day is it | 21 | Administered and Regulatory Practice for the ADA, |
| 22 | there? | 22 | and I was chief of legal advisors for the National |
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| 1 | A. It is now about ten minutes before | 1 | Commission on Federal Election Reform that was set |
| 2 | 10:00 p.m. | 2 | up after the 2000 presidential election. And I've |
| 3 | Q. Okay. Tell us about your educational | 3 | written -- I've written articles on election law, |
| 4 | background: where you went to college, where you | 4 | of course, as well. |
| 5 | went to law school. | 5 | Q. Can you just tell us a little bit about |
| 6 | A. I graduated from Yale College with | 6 | what that commission law is, for whom you worked? |
| 7 | three majors in 1978. Then I went to Oxford | 7 | A. That commission was set up after the |
| 8 | University as a Marshall scholar and got an MFil | 8 | 2000 election to make recommendations. It was a |
| 9 | in Shakespeare and English Drama Until 1640, and | 9 | bipartisan commission. It was headed by former |
| 10 | then -- that was in 1980, and then I went to Yale | 10 | Presidents Ford and Carter and came out with a |
| 11 | Law School and received my JD in 1983. | 11 | report -- actually several subsidiary reports, on |
| 12 | Q. And what has been your employment -- | 12 | various aspects of the election and made |
| 13 | what was your employment immediately after law | 13 | recommendations as to how to reform things going |
| 14 | school? | 14 | forward. |
| 15 | A. Immediately after law school I worked | 15 | Q. Finally, as a law professor, have you |
| 16 | as a summer associate for Kuras Wayne and Moore | 16 | taught civil procedure? |
| 17 | and Newton (phon) -- Moore in New York City for a | 17 | A. Yes, sir. I've been teaching civil |
| 18 | few months, and then I began a clerkship with then | 18 | procedure fairly regularly. |
| 19 | Judge Stephen Breyer in the 1st Circuit in Boston. | 19 | MR. FOX: I would move Mr. Ortiz in as |
| 20 | Q. And after your clerkship with Judge | 20 | an expert witness in the areas of election law and |
| 21 | Breyer, then Judge Breyer, where did you go? | 21 | civil procedure. |
| 22 | A. Then I moved to Washington and clerked | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Kamins? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. KAMINS: No objection. | 1 | what those procedures are? Which -- which body, |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right. | 2 | or which governmental body? |
| 3 | MR. FOX: Would you display to the | 3 | A. The state governments. |
| 4 | witness Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 40, 4-0, in | 4 | Q. Is there any federal requirement that |
| 5 | evidence. | 5 | elections have poll watchers or election observers |
| 6 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 6 | and so forth? |
| 7 | BY MR. FOX: | 7 | A. In general, no. There is a provision |
| 8 | Q. Can you just tell us what this is, | 8 | in the Voting Rights Act of 1965 which allows the |
| 9 | Professor Ortiz? | 9 | Attorney General to appoint federal poll watchers |
| 10 | A. That's the expert report I submitted in | 10 | in certain circumstances. That provision -- that |
| 11 | this proceeding. | 11 | provision was used not irregularly during the |
| 12 | MR. FOX: Thank you. You can take that | 12 | 1960s and 1970's after the initial passage of the |
| 13 | down now. | 13 | act. It's still used today. I believe in the |
| 14 | BY MR. FOX: | 14 | last 2000 electio -- 2020 election there may be |
| 15 | Q. I'd like first to start with the | 15 | 20 , around 25 jurisdictions of like the county |
| 16 | discussion between the responsibilities between | 16 | level or something like that where federal poll |
| 17 | the federal government and the state government | 17 | watchers were appointed. |
| 18 | for the conduct of elections in the United States | 18 | Q. And aside from those jurisdictions that |
| 19 | for federal office. | 19 | are covered by the Voting Rights Act, is there any |
| 20 | Could you just tell us how that | 20 | federal requirement about how many poll watchers |
| 21 | responsibility is divided. | 21 | or even whether to have poll watchers? |
| 22 | A. There are two relevant, primarily | 22 | A. No, sir. |
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| 1 | relevant constitutional provisions. In Article 1 | 1 | Q. Now, with respect to the decision of |
| 2 | there is the so-called Elections Clause which | 2 | how to vote -- and I mean by that whether by mail |
| 3 | allows the states to set the time, places and | 3 | or in person -- what -- what -- who has the |
| 4 | manner of Congressional elections, subject to a | 4 | authority to make that determination? |
| 5 | federal override. And then in Section 2 there is | 5 | A. The states. |
| 6 | the so-called Electors Clause, which gives the | 6 | Q. And prior to the 2020 election, have |
| 7 | states even more authority with respect to | 7 | there -- are there jurisdictions in the United |
| 8 | choosing presidential electors. The federal | 8 | States where no-question mail-in voting has been |
| 9 | government can specify the date that the electors | 9 | permitted? |
| 10 | are chosen and the date that the electors have to | 10 | A. Yes. There were many of them. The |
| 11 | cast their vote in the electoral college, but that | 11 | most prominent would probably have been in Oregon, |
| 12 | date, the second date has to be the same for all | 12 | which in 1995 I believe had a federal primary |
| 13 | states. | 13 | election held completely by mail-in voting, and in |
| 14 | Q. Okay. With respect to the actual | 14 | 1996 had a federal Congressional general election, |
| 15 | conduct of an election, who has the primary | 15 | I believe the senatorial, held completely by |
| 16 | responsibility? | 16 | mail-in voting. |
| 17 | A. That is determined by the state and | 17 | Q. Now let's come to the issue of election |
| 18 | just about every state has devolved most of that | 18 | disputes or -- and recounts and that sort of |
| 19 | authority down to locality, whether counties or | 19 | thing. |
| 20 | cities, with some state guidance. | 20 | Again, where is the authority, the |
| 21 | Q. Okay. In terms of procedures, such as | 21 | primary authority to resolve those -- those |
| 22 | procedures to monitor elections, who determines | 22 | matters? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. In the states. |  | Anderson Verdict Test. Are you familiar with |
| 2 | Q. And I know it's difficult to generalize | 2 | that? |
| 3 | about 50 states, but do those states generally | 3 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 4 | have procedures, special procedures for something | 4 | Q. And what is that? |
| 5 | like an election contest? | 5 | A. It's a case that applies to Equal |
| 6 | A. Yes, sir. | 6 | Protection challenges of mostly garden variety or |
| 7 | Q. And do you know if Pennsylvania had | 7 | administrative questions. In Anderson vs. |
| 8 | such procedures? | 8 | Celebrezze, itself, presidential candidate John |
| 9 | A. Yes, sir. | 9 | Anderson from the 1970's was challenging the -- |
| 10 | Q. And those procedures call for expedited | 10 | the length of the period before the election that |
| 11 | treatment of such election contests? | 11 | an independent candidate had to file signatures |
| 12 | A. Yes, sir. | 12 | and things like that. And the Burdick case, which |
| 13 | Q. Now, under what sorts of circumstances | 13 | was about the same era, concerned Hawaii's -- the |
| 14 | is it appropriate to bring a challenge to a | 14 | constitutionality of Hawaii's write-in -- sorry, |
| 15 | state-run election in a federal court? | 15 | yeah, write-in voting provisions. |
| 16 | In other words, what types of issues do | 16 | And the case -- and sorry, and to be |
| 17 | the federal courts have jurisdiction over? | 17 | more responsive, the test itself basically |
| 18 | A. Well, the federal courts have | 18 | balances the burden on the individual interest |
| 19 | jurisdiction in cases involving federal laws, | 19 | against the weightiness of the state's interest, |
| 20 | where the statutory laws are constitutional laws. | 20 | and it's kind of a sliding scale or balancing |
| 21 | So there's an argued violation of the, you know, | 21 | test. And it gives the states much deference. |
| 22 | Nineteenth Amendment, or the Fourteenth Amendment | 22 | Q. And when you say "much deference," what |
|  | Page 538 |  | Page 540 |
| 1 | or the First Amendment, or something like that, | 1 | would an individual have to show -- what kind of a |
| 2 | the case could be brought in federal court, would | 2 | burden would the individual have to meet to show |
| 3 | be brought as an ordinary -- what's known as a | 3 | that the state procedure was unconstitutional? |
| 4 | section 1983 case, an ordinary civil case, which | 4 | A. Usually a very heavy one. |
| 5 | would concern whether state officers, acting under | 5 | Q. Okay. Now you've -- you've watched -- |
| 6 | color of their authority, violated federal law. | 6 | listened to the hearing -- watched the hearings I |
| 7 | Q. And so those would be constitutional | 7 | guess is a better way to say it so far, correct? |
| 8 | challenges to the state procedures. Is that | 8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 9 | correct? | 9 | Q. And did you hear Mr. Giuliani's |
| 10 | A. Well, they could be statutory, as well. | 10 | testimony yesterday about a Substantive Due |
| 11 | Q. Federal statute? | 11 | Process argument arising from the inadequacy of |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | the Pennsylvania security procedures for counting |
| 13 | Q. Okay. But the -- the federal | 13 | mail-in ballots? |
| 14 | government does not have the authority generally | 14 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 15 | to enforce the state statutes, right? | 15 | Q. Specifically the lack of observation? |
| 16 | A. Well, there could be situations where | 16 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 17 | state statutory claims are brought along with | 17 | Q. Okay. And -- and do you have an |
| 18 | federal statutory claims and the federal courts | 18 | opinion on the validity of -- or on the viability |
| 19 | might decide those. Typically they defer to the | 19 | of that argument? |
| 20 | states and they don't decide those unless they | 20 | A. I would agree with the 3rd Circuit, |
| 21 | absolutely have to. | 21 | which said that the -- |
| 22 | Q. Now there's something called the | 22 | MR. KAMINS: I would object. I would |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | object to, Madam Chair, to the question. |  | that the Election Code requires only that poll |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Overruled. | 2 | watchers be in the room, not that they be within |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I would agree with the | 3 | any specific distance of the ballots." And then |
| 4 | 3rd Circuit which said that the Substantive Due | 4 | it cites In Re Canvassing Observation. |
| 5 | Process Clause does not -- it does not regulate | 5 | MR. FOX: Okay. Thank you. We can put |
| 6 | the distance to the -- how close observers have to | 6 | that down now. |
| 7 | be to the actual counting of the votes or what | 7 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 8 | their particular vantage point has to be, because | 8 | Q. Can you give us some idea of the |
| 9 | that's a matter of state law. | 9 | frequency with which the federal courts intervene |
| 10 | Q. And you're referring to the 3rd Circuit | 10 | in state-conducted elections? |
| 11 | opinion in the Boockvar case, correct? | 11 | A. It's very infrequent. |
| 12 | A. Yes, sir. | 12 | Q. Now there's a case that we've -- that's |
| 13 | MR. FOX: Let -- let's put that up. | 13 | been mentioned here from the 3rd Circuit -- |
| 14 | That would be Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 16. | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I'm sorry. Excuse |
| 15 | BY MR. FOX: | 15 | me, Mr. -- Mr. Fox. I didn't hear the answer. |
| 16 | Q. And this is the 3rd Circuit opinion to | 16 | Was it very "frequent" or very "infrequent"? |
| 17 | which you're referring? | 17 | MR. FOX: I'm going to let the -- |
| 18 | A. Yes, sir. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Very infrequent. |
| 19 | MR. FOX: Okay, and if you will go to | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Oh, thank you. |
| 20 | page nine, Bates stamp 9, and if you'll look -- if | 20 | Sorry. |
| 21 | you'll blow up the -- the second paragraph on the | 21 | MR. FOX: That's okay. |
| 22 | second column. That's the one. | 22 | THE WITNESS: No, I'm sorry. |
|  | Page 542 |  | Page 544 |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2 | BY MR. FOX: | 2 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 3 | Q. Is this the language to which you were | 3 | Q. We've -- we've had testimony about a |
| 4 | referring in the -- that dealt with the | 4 | case from the 3rd Circuit called Marks v. Stinson, |
| 5 | substantive process issue? | 5 | S-ti-n-s-o-n. |
| 6 | A. Yes, sir. | 6 | Are you familiar with that case? |
| 7 | Q. And just read to us the language to | 7 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 8 | which you had alluded. | 8 | Q. And that was a case where the court |
| 9 | A. "Count seven alleges that | 9 | intervened in a state election, was it not? |
| 10 | Philadelphia's Board of Elections violated Due | 10 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 11 | Process by obstructing poll watchers and | 11 | Q. And -- and tell us about that case |
| 12 | representatives, but nothing in the Due Process | 12 | and -- and why the -- the court did intervene in |
| 13 | Clause requires having poll watchers or | 13 | that particular case. |
| 14 | representatives, let alone watchers from outside a | 14 | A. It was a case involving a Senate |
| 15 | county or less than 18 feet away from the nearest | 15 | candidate from Philadelphia, and there were |
| 16 | table. | 16 | allocations -- there were allegations which were |
| 17 | "The campaign cites no authority for | 17 | supported in the record by much evidence that the |
| 18 | these propositions -- for those propositions and | 18 | democratic candidate, Stinson, had conspired with |
| 19 | we know of none. Ditto for Notice and Cure | 19 | members -- the two members of the local election |
| 20 | procedures. And the campaign litigated and lost | 20 | board to basically file absentee ballots that were |
| 21 | that claim under state law, too. | 21 | illegal, and at one point -- this is -- they were |
| 22 | "The Pennsylvania Supreme Court held | 22 | rejected by the workers at the election board when |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | they were originally submitted and the -- they |  | the election with the invalid absentee ballots |
| 2 | were ordered, against the law, to somehow be fixed | 2 | agreed that candidate Marks would have won but for |
| 3 | and more ballots were sent out. It was a scheme | 3 | the constitutional violation. |
| 4 | concerning many, many ballots in the regime where | 4 | And so at that point the distinct court |
| 5 | there was not "no-excuse" in mail voting. This | 5 | declared candidate Marks the winner, and that went |
| 6 | was still the days of absentee voting where you | 6 | up to the 3rd Circuit and was affirmed. Cert was |
| 7 | could only obtain an absentee ballot for very | 7 | sought but was not granted. |
| 8 | specified -- a particular set of reasons. | 8 | Q. And -- and do you remember what the |
| 9 | And in that case the 3rd Circuit found | 9 | margin of victory for the winning candidate was |
| 10 | that the district court's findings that there was | 10 | initially in that election? |
| 11 | extensive and deep fraud, which could have | 11 | A. I believe it was five -- around 500 |
| 12 | effected the outcome of the election, it was | 12 | votes. |
| 13 | upheld, although in its initial decision it | 13 | Q. Okay. Now the other case that I want |
| 14 | reversed the district court's remedy, which was to | 14 | to talk about is Bush v. Gore, which I take it you |
| 15 | declare candidate Marks the winner of the | 15 | have some familiarity with? |
|  | election. And the -- the 3rd Circuit said that, | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | unless it be could shown that, but for the | 17 | Q. And explain if you will to the panel |
| 18 | constitutional misconduct and violation, the other | 18 | what the issue was in Bush v. Gore. |
| 19 | candidate would have actually won the election, | 19 | A. Well, in the Bush v. Gore decision, the |
|  | that he could not be declared the winner. | 20 | Florida Supreme Court had ordered a recount and -- |
| 21 | But when it was shown that the | 21 | of some ballots, and the standard under Florida |
| 22 | candidate could have won the election, the remedy | 22 | law was that the ballots should be counted for the |
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| 1 | was up to the discretion of the district court. | 1 | candidate who -- where the intent of the voter |
| 2 | While, the district court couldn't declare the | 2 | could be determined, and the Florida Supreme Court |
| 3 | winner -- the loser the actual winner, the | 3 | instructed that the recount should be conducted |
| 4 | district court could grant an injunction against | 4 | under that standard. |
| 5 | the certification of the votes, could declare that | 5 | The Bush campaign complained to the |
| 6 | the seat was vacant and allow the state | 6 | United States for, among other reasons -- to the |
| 7 | authorities to carry on, could order a new | 7 | United States Supreme Court, for among other |
| 8 | election... all that. | 8 | reasons, that this created an Equal Protection |
| 9 | Then the case went back to district | 9 | violation, because the intent of the voter |
| 10 | court because the -- there was this question about | 10 | standard, although that was the official standard |
| 11 | whether, in -- although in the initial district | 11 | of the state of Florida, was ambiguous and |
| 12 | court proceeding it was not shown that the | 12 | uncertain enough that as different districts, in |
| 13 | irregularity -- the constitutional -- but for the | 13 | fact different individual workers could interpret |
| 14 | constitutional violation, candidate Marks would | 14 | it differently for the same ballot. |
| 15 | have won, that was still an open question. And so | 15 | So, for example, some might have, in |
| 16 | the 3rd Circuit sent it back for the district | 16 | a -- in a jurisdiction that used punch card |
| 17 | court for more proceedings and there were, as a I | 17 | voting, some might have counted a dimpled chad as |
| 18 | understand it, three experts involved. There was | 18 | revealing the intent of the voter, whereas another |
| 19 | one for each candidate and then Professor Orly | 19 | worker might have not. There are other kinds of |
| 20 | Ashenfelter from Princeton University. And | 20 | technologies involved and things like that. And |
| 21 | basically, remarkably, all three experts, | 21 | the supreme -- the United States Supreme Court |
| 22 | including the expert for the candidate who had won | 22 | said that, when a single official or state |
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| 1 | institution, here in the Florida Supreme Court, | 1 | systems? |
| 2 | has the capability of instructing that a recount | 2 | A. Yes. You see a mention of that in the |
| 3 | proceed with more definite standards that wouldn't | 3 | first sentence of the next paragraph: "The |
| 4 | lead to these kinds of differences in | 4 | question before the court is not whether local |
| 5 | interpretation, that, you know, could be | 5 | entities in the exercise of their expertise may |
| 6 | arbitrary, that it has to do so. And that if it | 6 | develop different systems for implementing |
| 7 | doesn't, as it didn't here, because it just | 7 | elections". |
| 8 | instructed the recount to proceed under the vague | 8 | Q. Okay. Now you said a moment ago that |
| 9 | or intent of the voter standard, there was Equal | 9 | the case has not been followed -- frequently been |
| 10 | Protection violation. And so it halted the | 10 | followed. |
| 11 | recount. | 11 | Is that -- is that correct? |
| 12 | Q. Now what was the split with the court | 12 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 13 | in that case? | 13 | Q. Are -- are you aware of any case in |
| 14 | A. It was seven to two. | 14 | which a federal court has overturned an election |
| 15 | Q. Okay. What did the court say -- | 15 | based on Bush v. Gore? |
| 16 | what if anything did the court say about the | 16 | A. No, sir. |
| 17 | limited nature of its ruling? | 17 | Q. All right. Since it was decided, |
| 18 | A. The court basically, as Mr. -- I | 18 | right? |
| 19 | believe Mr. Giuliani stated, it gave indications | 19 | A. No, sir. |
|  | that it was not the -- the decision was not to be | 20 | Q. Okay. All right. Let's turn to the |
| 21 | applied too broadly and across the board, and it |  | procedures in Pennsylvania in 2020. Are you |
| 22 | hasn't been. | 22 | familiar with something called Act 77. |
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| 1 | MR. FOX: Let -- let's take a looked at | 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 2 | Respondent's 37, which is the opinion of Bush v. | 2 | Q. And what is Act 77? |
| 3 | Gore. And you've gone right to the page that I | 3 | A. Act 77 was passed in 2019 I believe and |
| 4 | want you to go to I think, which is page 37-6. Is | 4 | it moved the state from tradition of just |
| 5 | that where we are? No, you're on four I think. | 5 | traditional absentee voting, which was allowed |
| 6 | And if you -- I don't think that's it either. No, | 6 | with specific reasons, to more general mail-in |
| 7 | we're still on page four. We need to go to six. | 7 | voting at the request of the elector. |
| 8 | Okay, if you could just highlight the two | 8 | Q. And in general what was the process |
| 9 | paragraphs in the right column that begin with | 9 | that a voter had to go through if he or she wished |
| 10 | "the recount process". That's right. | 10 | to vote by mail? |
| 11 | BY MR. FOX: | 11 | A. Well, a voter who had -- a voter had to |
| 12 | Q. And can you point here to the language | 12 | be already registered, of course, and then that |
| 13 | that suggests that the case is pretty much limited | 13 | voter had to apply for a ballot. And the first |
| 14 | to its -- its facts? | 14 | statewide ballot would have been the primary of |
| 15 | A. That would be the last sentence of the | 15 | 2020. And the court -- the person had to, you |
| 16 | first paragraph: "Our consideration is limited to | 16 | know, state that they were eligible to vote and |
| 17 | the present circumstances, for the problem of | 17 | fill out other information that was, you know, |
| 18 | Equal Protection in election processes generally | 18 | required by the state to apply for the vote, and |
| 19 | presents many complexities." | 19 | then also I believe state whether they wanted the |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And did the court also comment | 20 | system to be registered for absent -- continue to |
| 21 | on the ability of local entities to conduct |  | be able to do mail-in balloting -- balloting in |
| 22 | elections in different manners or by different |  | the general election, as well. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Just to stop on that last point for the | 1 | It held further that mail-in ballots |
| 2 | moment. What you're suggesting is that, if you | 2 | dated 8:00 p.m. on Election Day and received up to |
| 3 | applied in the primary election, you could | 3 | three days later could be counted. |
| 4 | indicate whether you wanted to vote in subsequent | 4 | It held that ballots that came in that |
| 5 | elections by mail? | 5 | were not contained in secrecy envelopes could not |
| 6 | A. I'm not sure it's in all subsequent | 6 | be counted. |
| 7 | elections. That's the way it is in some | 7 | And it upheld residency requirements |
| 8 | jurisdictions. But I believe that it was -- you | 8 | for poll -- sort of canvass observers. |
| 9 | could indicate at least for the general election | 9 | Q. Now, this was a statutory |
| 10 | that year. | 10 | interpretation of Act 77. Is that correct? |
| 11 | Q. Okay. What if any requirement of proof | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | of identification did Act 77 impose? | 12 | Q. And what was the rationale behind the |
| 13 | A. I believe that you had to send in a | 13 | reasoning that the court gave when it said that |
| 14 | copy of your driver's license, if I'm not sure. | 14 | the election boards were not required to offer |
| 15 | Q. Okay. The pandemic, as we all know, | 15 | Notice of Cure? |
| 16 | began in roughly six months after Act 77 was | 16 | A. It said that there wasn't language in |
| 17 | enacted. | 17 | the statute that indicated that obligation. |
| 18 | What was the effect on that in the | 18 | Q. And did it point to any language in the |
| 19 | mail-in voting in Pennsylvania in -- in the 2020 | 19 | statute that forbade that obligation? |
| 20 | elections? | 20 | A. No, sir. |
| 21 | A. Well, many more people were interested | 21 | Q. Okay. All right, now let me come to |
| 22 | in mail-in voting after the pandemic began, | 22 | another pre-election ruling in the state court, |
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| 1 | because it meant that they wouldn't have any | 1 | and that was -- sorry, let me come to a |
| 2 | issues with social distancing in going to the | 2 | pre-election ruling in the federal court. There |
| 3 | polls. | 3 | is a case called In Re November 3rd, 2020 General |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Now, prior to the general | 4 | Election -- sorry, sorry. I got myself tangled |
| 5 | election in 2020, was there litigation in the | 5 | up. It's still -- it is the state court. So |
| 6 | state courts concerning the procedures that were | 6 | let's go back, start again. |
| 7 | to be followed in implementing Act 77? | 7 | The Pennsylvania Supreme Court opinion, |
| 8 | A. Yes, sir. | 8 | in In Re November 3rd, 2020 General Election. |
| 9 | Q. All right. Are you familiar with a | 9 | Are you familiar with that opinion? |
| 10 | Pennsylvania Supreme Court called Pennsylvania | 10 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 11 | Democratic Party v. Boockvar? | 11 | Q. Is that -- that -- that's an opinion |
| 12 | A. Yes, sir. | 12 | that is called a king's bench jurisdiction matter. |
| 13 | Q. Can you just tell us what the | 13 | What's a king's bench jurisdiction |
| 14 | Pennsylvania Supreme Court held in that case. | 14 | matter in Pennsylvania? |
| 15 | A. Well there were, if I remember, five | 15 | A. I believe that's a form of the Supreme |
| 16 | major holdings. Perhaps the most important one in | 16 | Court's original jurisdiction. |
| 17 | this litigation was that the election boards were | 17 | Q. Okay. And again, was this another |
| 18 | not required to do Notice and Cure, but the court | 18 | statutory interpretation case? |
| 19 | didn't say that they could not do it, that it | 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | wasn't permissible. | 20 | Q. Tell us what the Supreme Court held in |
| 21 | The court also held that the use of | 21 | that case. |
| 22 | drop boxes was okay. | 22 | A. Well, there are two primary holdings: |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the one was that the election boards were not to | 1 | this Donald Trump for President vs. Boockvar case |
| 2 | make signature comparisons in canvassing, and the | 2 | engaged in Pullman abstention prior to the |
| 3 | second was that the canvass observers could not | 3 | issuance of this opinion? |
| 4 | challenge the mail-in ballots in the canvassing | 4 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 5 | process. | 5 | Q. Tell us about that, if you will. |
| 6 | So it noted that -- I'm sorry. It | 6 | A. Well the -- the -- the federal courts |
| 7 | noted that -- it noted that people could challenge | 7 | basically said, you know, hold up, we need a -- |
| 8 | mail-in voters up to the Friday before the | 8 | these are big, important state law questions; |
| 9 | election, but once the ballot came in and you | 9 | there hasn't been enough litigation in the state |
| 10 | could end the canvassing process, no one could | 10 | courts for us to make a good determination of |
| 11 | object because of any, you know, problem with say | 11 | what -- how the states court were decided, and of |
| 12 | the outside envelope or something like that. That | 12 | course their interpretation would be |
| 13 | had to be done by the canvass workers themselves. | 13 | authoritative. |
| 14 | Q. Do you remember what the court's | 14 | So the parties went to court ultimately |
| 15 | rationale was for why the signature comparison | 15 | to -- state court, all the way to the state |
| 16 | wasn't to be done during the canvassing process? | 16 | Supreme Court, and once these issues were decided, |
| 17 | A. Well, one of them was that they weren't | 17 | they came back to the federal court, and the |
| 18 | very good at it. The people who were -- who would | 18 | federal court could decide all the remaining |
| 19 | be charged with doing it weren't very good at it | 19 | issue. |
| 20 | and it would lead to error. | 20 | Q. And when they went to the state Supreme |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Now I want to focus on | 21 | Court, that was in one of the cases that we just |
| 22 | pre-election litigation in the federal court. | 22 | discussed a moment ago, correct? |
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| 1 | There's -- and -- and these titles are | 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 2 | confusing, because they're all quite similar, but | 2 | Q. All right. Now, did the federal court |
| 3 | there's a case called Donald Trump for President | 3 | also issue a ruling about standing in the Donald |
| 4 | vs. Boockvar, which is decided in the Western | 4 | Trump for President vs. Boockvar case? |
| 5 | District of Pennsylvania in October of 2020. | 5 | A. Yes. It's standing ruling was that |
| 6 | Are you familiar with that case? | 6 | possible vote dilution wasn't enough to give the |
| 7 | A. Yes, sir. | 7 | Trump campaign standing. |
| 8 | Q. All right. And first of all, that case | 8 | Q. Okay. But did the court make an |
| 9 | discusses something called "Pullman abstention". | 9 | alternative ruling on the merits, in addition to |
| 10 | What is Pullman abstention? | 10 | its standing ruling? |
| 11 | A. Pullman abstention is a doctrine where | 11 | A. Yes, sir. It weighed in three |
| 12 | the -- when federal courts are deciding a case | 12 | different merits claims, it held that guards were |
| 13 | which involves at some level interpretation of | 13 | not required at drop boxes and it ultimately |
| 14 | state law and they believe that the state law is | 14 | agreed -- as it should have -- with the |
| 15 | not -- it's actually clear and it's important | 15 | Pennsylvania Supreme Court that there was no |
| 16 | enough, that they encourage the parties to go to | 16 | signature comparison required and that the |
| 17 | state court to get an authoritative interpretation | 17 | residency requirements for canvass observers were |
| 18 | of what state law means, and then the -- the -- | 18 | okay. |
| 19 | the parties can come back to the federal court | 19 | Q. Okay. Do you remember the procedure -- |
| 20 | and, once that's decided, to continue with the | 20 | well, let me just -- this came up on a motion for |
| 21 | litigation. | 21 | summary judgment, correct? |
| 22 | Q. And -- and had the federal court in | 22 | A. Yes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And what was the standard of review | 1 | it was sort of pursued in both. |
| 2 | that the federal court applied to the state | 2 | Q. Well, I'm not -- I'm sorry. I'm going |
| 3 | procedures? | 3 | to come to the Boockvar litigation in a moment. I |
| 4 | A. It applied the rational basis test. | 4 | mean -- so that was a bad question. So let's just |
| 5 | Q. And -- | 5 | focus on the local litigation for a second. |
| 6 | A. Which is -- | 6 | What was the -- what was the litigation |
| 7 | Q. Go ahead. I was just going to ask you | 7 | in the local court about? |
| 8 | to explain a little bit more what that means. | 8 | A. This was in state court, and the trial |
| 9 | A. Sure. There are, in constitutional | 9 | court, which is the commonwealth court, decided |
| 10 | review, three different so-called tiers of | 10 | that this was okay, that there is no problem. |
| 11 | scrutiny: there's reduced scrutiny, intermediate | 11 | The intermediate appellate court |
| 12 | scrutiny and strict scrutiny. Reduced scrutiny is | 12 | decided that there was a problem and thought that |
| 13 | the weakest of the three, and it generally | 13 | the -- held that the observers should I believe |
| 14 | requires that the procedures that are being | 14 | have been able to get within six feet or so of the |
| 15 | challenged in order to survive constitutional | 15 | actual canvassers, and then that was overturned by |
| 16 | scrutiny be rationally related to legitimate | 16 | the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. |
| 17 | governmental purpose. | 17 | Q. And the (indistinguishable) Supreme |
| 18 | Q. All right. | 18 | Court case was called In Re Canvassing |
| 19 | A. And it gives the state some deference | 19 | Observation. Is that right? |
| 20 | on both the means and the ends part of that, | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | whether something is rationally related and | 21 | Q. And tell us what that case held. |
| 22 | whether the governmental interest is legitimate. | 22 | A. That case held that the state statute |
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| 1 | Q. All right, I want to turn now to | 1 | did not give people, observers the right to get |
| 2 | litigation that arose while the election | 2 | close enough so that they could note whether the |
| 3 | canvassing was going on. | 3 | out -- the requirements for the outside envelope |
| 4 | Are you aware in Philadelphia of | 4 | were actually carried out, and as part of its |
| 5 | litigation that began during the canvassing | 5 | reasoning it said there is no right for -- you |
| 6 | process of the mail-in ballots? | 6 | know, noting that there was no right for the |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | canvassers to actually object to any kind of |
| 8 | Q. And -- and tell us about that | 8 | problems there. And it pointed to the statutory |
| 9 | litigation, if you will. | 9 | language, which I believe was they had a right to |
| 10 | A. Well, there was the vantage point | 10 | be in -- "in the room," and said that, under those |
| 11 | litigation, if you will, which challenged the | 11 | circumstances, the statute didn't grant the |
| 12 | positional requirements of where the canvass | 12 | canvass observers the right to the kind of |
| 13 | observers had to be in relation to the actual | 13 | proximity they were requesting. |
| 14 | canvassers. The -- the claim -- the challenge is | 14 | Q. Now during the course of this |
| 15 | based on the claim that they were too far away or | 15 | litigation in the state courts -- and I think this |
| 16 | otherwise in a position where they couldn't | 16 | is where you were going before I interrupted |
| 17 | actually observe the canvassing as closely as they | 17 | you -- was there a compromise in another |
| 18 | should have been able to. | 18 | litigation that was brought in federal court in |
| 19 | Q. And was this -- in what court was this | 19 | Philadelphia? |
| 20 | litigated. I mean only that by state or federal, | 20 | A. Yes, sir. There was a federal -- there |
| 21 | not the particular name of it. | 21 | was a federal case brought and the federal |
| 22 | A. Well, it was -- it's sort of related -- | 22 | judge -- there was an informal settlement there, |


|  | Page 565 |  | Page 567 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | which allowed, you know, more than I believe the | 1 | amended complaint, which is Disciplinary Counsel |
| 2 | statutory allowed amount of observers for each | 2 | Exhibit 6. Can you determine when that was filed? |
| 3 | party to observe, and allowed much closer | 3 | A. November the 15th, 2020. |
| 4 | proximity than there had been before. | 4 | Q. Was there any change in the parties? |
| 5 | Q. All right, now I want to turn to the | 5 | A. No, sir. |
| 6 | Donald Trump for President, Inc., v. Boockvar case | 6 | Q. And your understanding of Mr. |
| 7 | in the Middle District of Pennsylvania. And -- | 7 | Giuliani's role in this one. |
| 8 | and first let's just take an overview of the case, | 8 | A. This is the one where my understanding |
| 9 | and then we'll come back and go over some of the | 9 | is a little less certain. In a Colorado |
| 10 | specific issues. | 10 | deposition I believe Mr. Giuliani indicated that |
| 11 | MR. FOX: Would you show the witness | 11 | he was -- when he started the writing the |
| 12 | please Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 5. | 12 | complaint, but in yesterday's testimony he |
| 13 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Is that Judge Brawn? | 13 | indicated that he was responsible for maybe only |
| 14 | MR. FOX: Yes. | 14 | 10 or $10 \%$ of some paragraphs, so that his |
| 15 | MR. KAMINS: Brann. | 15 | participation was much less. |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Brann, sorry. | 16 | Q. Okay. Mr. Giuliani did not sign this |
| 17 | BY MR. FOX: | 17 | complaint, correct? |
| 18 | Q. So this is -- all right. All right. | 18 | A. No, sir. |
| 19 | So do you know -- this was a case in | 19 | MR. FOX: All right. Let's now put up |
| 20 | front of Judge Brann. I'm going to lead a little | 20 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 8, which is the |
| 21 | bit, because I don't think there's anything | 21 | transcript of the oral argument. |
| 22 | controversial about this. | 22 | BY MR. FOX: |
|  | Page 566 |  | Page 568 |
| 1 | Do you know when -- can you determine | 1 | Q. I take it you've read this document? |
| 2 | when it was filed? | 2 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 3 | A. November the 9th, 2020. | 3 | Q. When was the oral argument conducted? |
| 4 | Q. And the plaintiffs were whom? | 4 | A. November the 17th, 2020. |
| 5 | A. The plaintiffs were the Donald J. Trump | 5 | Q. And what was Mr. Giuliani's role in the |
| 6 | for President, Inc., which was the campaign, and | 6 | oral argument? |
| 7 | then two individuals: Lawrence Roberts and David | 7 | A. Mr. Giuliani argued for -- was primary |
| 8 | John Henry. | 8 | counsel for the plaintiffs. |
| 9 | Q. And the defendants were whom? | 9 | MR. FOX: And then finally let's put up |
| 10 | A. The Pennsylvania Secretary of State, | 10 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 9, and this is the |
| 11 | Kathy Boockvar, and then seven election boards for | 11 | motion but we've all had plenty of testimony about |
| 12 | various counties. | 12 | the second amended complaint. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. What is your understanding of | 13 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 14 | Mr. Giuliani's role in this matter. | 14 | Q. Tell us when that was filed. |
| 15 | A. I'm honestly a little bit uncertain. | 15 | A. It was filed on November the 18th, |
| 16 | This was some participation in Mr. Giuliani -- by | 16 | 2020. |
| 17 | Mr. Giuliani in this complaint. It's not -- | 17 | Q. And were there any change in the |
| 18 | it's -- excuse me. I may be confusing this with | 18 | parties? |
| 19 | the first amended complaint, with is coming up | 19 | A. No, sir. |
| 20 | earlier. This is -- this I believe that Mr. | 20 | Q. And did Mr. Giuliani sign this |
| 21 | Giuliani was responsible for this complaint. | 21 | complaint? |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Now let's look at that first | 22 | A. Yes, sir. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And in addition to this complaint, were | 1 | complaint dropped from the first amended complaint |
| 2 | there some ancillary pleadings or filings that | 2 | appears again in several counts in the second |
| 3 | were filed along with it, or a day or so later? | 3 | amended complaint. It did not appear much in the |
| 4 | A. Yes, sir. | 4 | oral argument at all because it did not -- was not |
| 5 | Q. And just tell us generally what those | 5 | in the first amended complaint. Then when the |
| 6 | were. | 6 | plaintiffs appealed the loss before the district |
| 7 | A. It was a request for a temporary | 7 | court, before the 3rd Circuit, the 3rd Circuit |
| 8 | restraining order, various forms of injunctive | 8 | said that they did not have standing to raise -- |
| 9 | relief, and I believe a memo supporting them. | 9 | just in passing said they didn't -- they didn't |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Was this complaint and these | 10 | have standing to raise those claims because of a |
| 11 | ancillary proceedings ever -- strike that. | 11 | prior 3rd Circuit decision called, I believe -- I |
| 12 | Were this complaint and the ancillary | 12 | may mess up the pronunciation -- either Bognet or |
| 13 | proceedings ever accepted for filing? | 13 | Bognay (phon). |
| 14 | A. No, sir. | 14 | Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to largely |
| 15 | MR. FOX: All right. We can take that | 15 | ignore the -- you called it the independent state |
| 16 | down now. | 16 | legislature, sometimes called the Electors and |
| 17 | BY MR. FOX: | 17 | Election Clause claims? |
| 18 | Q. Now having gone through the overview, | 18 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 19 | let's go back a little bit to some of the claims. | 19 | Q. Okay. To go back to the initial |
| 20 | First in the initial complaint, what -- | 20 | complaint, what if anything did the initial |
| 21 | can -- can -- can you tell us what claims were | 21 | complaint say about fraud? |
| 22 | pled in that initial complaint? | 22 | A. The initial complaint was clear that |
|  | Page 570 |  | Page 572 |
| 1 | A. The initial complaint had versions of | 1 | there was fraud, although it was a little bit less |
| 2 | the complaints that remained in the -- sorry, the | 2 | clear actually what the fraud consisted of. It |
| 3 | claims that remained in the first amended | 3 | appeared to most directly allege that there had |
| 4 | complaint, which sounded in Equal Protection and | 4 | been intentional misdealings and perhaps concerted |
| 5 | Due Process, largely aimed at challenging the | 5 | activity between various election boards and |
| 6 | notice to secure -- the application to Notice and | 6 | certainly within election boards to wrongfully |
| 7 | Cure -- the application for Notice and Cure | 7 | deny candidate Trump votes. |
| 8 | process, and then also of the challenges to the | 8 | Q. Now, can you just go into federal court |
| 9 | vantage point restrictions. But the initial -- | 9 | and file a case challenging a state election |
| 10 | the initial complaint also contained claims that | 10 | claiming that there was election fraud, or do you |
| 11 | they're now called -- go into the independent | 11 | have to allege more than that? |
| 12 | state legislature theory that said -- that | 12 | A. In any case involving fraud, Federal |
| 13 | basically made the argument that state | 13 | Rule of Civil Procedure 9 requires that all of the |
| 14 | administrators or state executives could not | 14 | elements, except for state of mind, be pled with |
| 15 | actually issue or make the kind of interpretations | 15 | particularity which means certain amount of |
| 16 | of law they had because that kind of authority | 16 | specificity beyond the normal complaint |
| 17 | belonged only to the state legislature. | 17 | requirements bearing on the circumstances around |
| 18 | Q. I want to just pause on that for a | 18 | the fraud. |
| 19 | moment, because that issue kind of disappears. | 19 | Q. And is election -- does -- does |
| 20 | So tell us what happened to that issue | 20 | election fraud in a state election raise a federal |
|  | in the case? | 21 | claim in and of itself? |
| 22 | A. That was in the first -- the initial | 22 | A. The type of claim that it raises is a |


|  | Page 573 |  | Page 575 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Due Process claim of the Marks vs. Stinson type | 1 | attention to Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 9, and I |
| 2 | where, if you can show very bad behavior, if you | 2 | want to look at the first part, which is the |
| 3 | will, particularly if you can show that the | 3 | motion for leave to file and page eight of that |
| 4 | candidate collaborated or conspired in it, that | 4 | document. |
| 5 | can establish a constitutional violation. | 5 | MR. FOX: And if you -- if you'll blow |
| 6 | Q. So is it fair to say that election | 6 | up the paragraph 20, just -- just on page eight -- |
| 7 | fraud has to amount to a Substantive Due Process | 7 | well that's -- yeah, that's fine, just on page |
| 8 | violation before it raises the federal claim? | 8 | eight. |
| 9 | A. Yes, sir. | 9 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Now to go to the first amended | 10 | Q. You'll see there it says, "The |
| 11 | complaint, just tell us briefly how the claims | 11 | plaintiffs will also show that defendant's conduct |
| 12 | changed in that complaint. | 12 | was part of an improper scream to favor Biden over |
| 13 | A. Right. I'm sorry, I didn't hear. | 13 | Trump by counting improper votes in violation of |
| 14 | Q. My apology. | 14 | Equal Protection, Due Process and Electors and |
| 15 | In the first amended complaint, how did | 15 | Election Clauses under the Constitution and Civil |
| 16 | the claims that were pled change? | 16 | Rights Act". |
| 17 | A. The first amendment complaint pretty | 17 | Had -- had the first -- I'm sorry, had |
| 18 | much dropped out the grand fraud claims but | 18 | the first complaint been as explicit with respect |
| 19 | continued to press the vantage point, | 19 | to this intentionality element? |
| 20 | observational boundary claim and the Notice and | 20 | A. Do you mean the first amended |
| 21 | Cure claim. | 21 | complaint? |
| 22 | Q. And then to come to the second amended | 22 | Q. No, the first complaint. |
|  | Page 574 |  | Page 576 |
| 1 | complaint, how did the claims change in that | 1 | A. The first complaint. No. The first |
| 2 | complaint? | 2 | complaint was not so clear. |
| 3 | A. The fraud claims came back in. | 3 | Q. Okay. Now I -- I want to come to the |
| 4 | Q. All right. And with respect to the | 4 | relief that was sought, but since we're at a |
| 5 | question of intentionality of the state | 5 | particular appropriate point, let's -- let's |
| 6 | authorities, how -- how, if in any way, did that | 6 | continue with this document on that issue. |
| 7 | change in the second amended complaint? | 7 | MR. FOX: And let's go down -- no, no, |
| 8 | A. Well, the second amended complaint | 8 | stay right where we were, but I want -- yeah, |
| 9 | alleged intentional -- based on intentional | 9 | that's right, the bottom sentence, the last |
| 10 | conspiracy among various local officials. It was | 10 | sentence of 20 and then going onto 21. |
| 11 | clear that I believe it alleged it within election | 11 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 12 | boards, but it's not clear whether it alleged it | 12 | Q. You'll -- you'll see here it says, "The |
| 13 | across election boards or not. | 13 | plaintiffs will seek the remedy of Trump being |
| 14 | Yesterday Mr. Giuliani testified that | 14 | declared the winner of illegal votes cast in |
| 15 | in fact this was -- he was interested in his oral | 15 | Pennsylvania in the 2020 general election and thus |
| 16 | argument before the district court in keeping open | 16 | the recipient of Pennsylvania's electors". |
| 17 | the possibility that there was an interstate fraud | 17 | Had any federal -- aside from Marks v. |
| 18 | conspiracy. So -- but that did not appear in the | 18 | Stinson, are you aware of any federal court that |
| 19 | first amendment -- amended complaint. And indeed | 19 | had ever provided such relief? |
| 20 | the second amended complaint seemed to be limited | 20 | A. No, sir. |
| 21 | to fraud within election boards. | 21 | Q. And -- okay, that's all there -- that's |
| 22 | Q. And -- and let me -- let me call your | 22 | all the questions I have. |


|  | Page 577 |  | Page 579 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FOX: So now let's go to the actual | 1 | MR. FOX: I heard something. I don't |
| 2 | second amended complaint and I want to look at the | 2 | know if there is an objection or. |
| 3 | relief that was requested in the complaint itself. | 3 | MR. KAMINS: No, no objection. |
| 4 | Go to please to page 122, paragraph 325. | 4 | MR. FOX: Okay, I'm sorry. |
| 5 | BY MR. FOX: | 5 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 6 | Q. You'll see here where the -- well, why | 6 | Q. In an -- in an election involving |
| 7 | don't -- why don't you just read to us what the -- | 7 | secret ballots, after they are cast, is there any |
| 8 | the relief that's asked for in this paragraph is. | 8 | way to determine which ballots don't meet the |
| 9 | A. "That as a result of defendant's | 9 | statutory requirements or were cured or otherwise |
| 10 | violation of the United States Constitution and | 10 | cast in violation of the law? |
| 11 | violations of other federal and state election | 11 | A. Only if they were segregated in the |
| 12 | laws, this court should enter an order, | 12 | appropriate categories. |
| 13 | declaration and/or injunction that prohibits | 13 | Q. And so if they he weren't segregated, |
| 14 | defendant's from certifying the results of the | 14 | how -- how could a court impose this remedy? |
| 15 | 2020 presidential general election in Pennsylvania | 15 | A. It's difficult to imagine how you would |
| 16 | on a statewide basis." | 16 | be able to tell which were the ballots in one -- |
| 17 | Q. Are you aware of any other case where | 17 | which were illegal and which weren't after they've |
| 18 | that relief, the -- prohibiting the defendant from | 18 | all been mixed together. |
| 19 | certifying the results of the election, has been | 19 | Q. Okay. Let's look at paragraph 327, and |
| 20 | provided? | 20 | read just the relief that's requested there. |
| 21 | A. No, sir. | 21 | A. "Alternatively that, as a result of |
| 22 | Q. Let's look at the next -- let's look | 22 | defendant's violations of the United States |
|  | Page 578 |  | Page 580 |
| 1 | at -- sorry, paragraph 326, and just read to us | 1 | Constitution and violations of other federal and |
| 2 | the relief that's requested there. | 2 | state election laws, this court should enter an |
| 3 | A. "Ultimately that, as a result of | 3 | order, declaration and/or injunction that the |
| 4 | defendant's violations of the United States | 4 | results of the 2020 presidential election are |
| 5 | Constitution and violations of other federal and | 5 | defective in providing for the Pennsylvania |
| 6 | state election laws, this court should enter an | 6 | General Assembly to choose Pennsylvania's |
| 7 | order, declaration and/or injunction prohibiting | 7 | electors." |
| 8 | defendants from certifying the results of the | 8 | Q. Again, has -- has any court ever |
| 9 | general elections which include the tabulation of | 9 | imposed such a remedy in any election in the |
| 10 | unauthorized votes, including mail ballots which | 10 | United States of America? |
| 11 | did not meet the statutory requirements, mail | 11 | A. No, sir. |
| 12 | ballots which were cured without authorization, | 12 | Q. What's that -- what would be the |
| 13 | and any other vote cast in violation of law. | 13 | authority of the Pennsylvania General Assembly to |
| 14 | "Instead compel defendants to certify | 14 | choose Pennsylvania's electors if somehow there -- |
| 15 | the results of the election based solely on the | 15 | well, in other words, a problem with the election? |
| 16 | legal votes." | 16 | A. The claim would be that its authority |
| 17 | Q. In an -- in an election involving | 17 | under Article 2 to choose the method of the |
| 18 | secret ballots -- | 18 | electors somehow allowed it to make this kind of |
| 19 | (Background noise.) | 19 | decision even after a general election. |
| 20 | MR. FOX: I'm sorry, is somebody saying | 20 | Q. So -- so, to -- to be a little more |
| 21 | something? | 21 | specific, Article 2 gives the legislature some |
| 22 | MR. KAMINS: No. | 22 | authority as to how electors are going to be |
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|  | Page 581 |  | Page 583 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | chosen, correct? | 1 | took before Judge Brann as to whether he was |
| 2 | A. Yes, sir. | 2 | arguing fraud? |
| 3 | Q. Does Article 2 require the Pennsylvania | 3 | A. He initially said that he was arguing |
| 4 | or any other state to pick the presidential | 4 | fraud, and then when Justice Brann stated that |
| 5 | electors by an open election? | 5 | fraud -- fraud claims are subject to the |
| 6 | A. No, sir. In fact, for much of the time | 6 | heightened specificity requirements of Federal |
| 7 | that, you know, of our country's founding, some | 7 | Rule of Civil Procedure 9, he backed off that and |
| 8 | states choose -- you know, the legislatures would | 8 | said that he wasn't characterize -- he's making |
| 9 | choose the electors themselves. | 9 | allegations about what happened and what the |
| 10 | Q. But once a state adopts a law saying | 10 | procedures are and some of the problems within, |
| 11 | that they're going to be chosen by federal | 11 | but he wasn't characterizing them as fraud. |
| 12 | electors, can the legislature just decide the | 12 | Q. Okay. And at -- at that time which one |
| 13 | issue anyway? | 13 | of the -- the three complaints was the one that |
| 14 | A. That's under -- that time of issue is | 14 | Judge Brann -- justice -- Judge Brann was |
| 15 | very unclear and it's up -- this is -- this may be | 15 | considering? |
| 16 | part of the implications of the case that's being | 16 | A. The first amended complaint. |
| 17 | argued in the Supreme Court tomorrow in fact. But | 17 | Q. And that's the one that didn't have any |
| 18 | there hasn't ever been a case where a court has | 18 | fraud allegations, right? |
| 19 | issued that kind of relief. | 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | Q. Now yesterday, and I think again today, | 20 | Q. Okay. And -- and so, what arguments |
| 21 | Mr. Giuliani testified about the relief that he | 21 | did Mr. Giuliani advance to establish that there |
| 22 | was seeking with -- specifically with respect to | 22 | was fraud in the 2020 presidential election in |
|  | Page 582 |  | Page 584 |
| 1 | the Equal Protection arguments on behalf of the | 1 | Pennsylvania? |
| 2 | two voters whom he represented. | 2 | A. He made several different kinds of |
| 3 | Do you -- do you recall him testifying | 3 | argument. I start discussing these in my report |
| 4 | about that? | 4 | in paragraph 20. |
| 5 | A. Yes, sir. | 5 | Q. Would you like to refer to that or? |
| 6 | Q. Did you understand the relief that he | 6 | A. I would be happy to refer to it, if I |
| 7 | said he was seeking? | 7 | may, just because that way I would be able to do |
| 8 | A. Not really. | 8 | better than just stumbling through it. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And -- ad do you see a prayer or | 9 | MR. FOX: Sure. |
| 10 | a request for relief at any place in the -- that | 10 | Is there any objection to that? |
| 11 | resembled whatever that request was in any place i | 11 | MR. KAMINS: No -- no objection. |
| 12 | these three complaints that he filed? | 12 | MR. FOX: Okay. Go ahead, then. You |
| 13 | A. No, sir. | 13 | know, we don't need to put it up. We don't need |
| 14 | Q. And did allude to it in his oral | 14 | to put the report up. He just needs to refer to |
| 15 | argument in front of Judge Brann? | 15 | it in his testimony. |
| 16 | A. No, sir. | 16 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 17 | Q. So let's go to the oral argument in | 17 | Q. All right. So tell us the arguments |
| 18 | front of Judge Brann. | 18 | that he made. |
| 19 | What did Mr. Giuliani argue as a | 19 | A. So he relied on several different types |
| 20 | factual basis for his claims before Judge Brann -- | 20 | of allegations to make his case. He found it in |
| 21 | let me -- before I get to that. | 21 | various different categories... |
| 22 | What was the position that Mr. Giuliani | 22 | The first was that statements by |


|  | Page 585 |  | Page 587 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | various authorities about the potential for | 1 | A. No, sir. |
| 2 | mail-in ballot fraud evidenced fraud in the 2020 | 2 | Q. Okay. And what -- I'm sorry. I've |
| 3 | Pennsylvania election. | 3 | gotten lost in the other two. You said it was |
| 4 | Secondly, the misconduct in | 4 | statistical. |
| 5 | jurisdictions outside Pennsylvania during prior | 5 | Did -- did he provide what statistical |
| 6 | elections and during the 2020 election itself | 6 | evidence he had? |
| 7 | somehow evidenced fraud in the defendant counties | 7 | A. He provided there was -- the evidence |
| 8 | in the 2020 -- defendant Pennsylvania counties in | 8 | changed at various times. So for example, he |
| 9 | the 2020 election. | 9 | tried to -- he introduced statistical evidence in |
| 10 | Third, that apparent misconduct in some | 10 | the -- before the Pennsylvania state legislative |
| 11 | Pennsylvania jurisdictions during prior elections | 11 | hearing by a colonel -- I believe it was Colonel |
| 12 | was evidence of fraud in the defendant counties in | 12 | Waldron. He also introduced some evidence by a |
| 13 | the 2020 election. | 13 | Mr. McCredies (phon) -- I believe his name was Mr. |
| 14 | Fourth, the alleged election | 14 | McCredies at some point about -- which was |
| 15 | administration irregularities in three | 15 | quasi-statistical about the difficulty of -- how |
| 16 | non-defendant Pennsylvania jurisdictions were | 16 | it would have been impossible to actually count |
| 17 | evidence of fraud in the defendant counties in | 17 | the -- the ballots with the speed that they |
| 18 | that election. | 18 | reported as being counted statewide in the 2020 |
| 19 | Fifth, the observational boundaries | 19 | election. |
|  | imposed generally on all candidates and party | 20 | Q. And were either of those gentlemen -- |
| 21 | representatives during the canvassing process was | 21 | did either of those gentlemen disclose that they |
|  | evidence of fraud in the defendant counties in the | 22 | had any expertise in this area? |
|  | Page 586 |  | Page 588 |
| 1 | 2020 election. | 1 | A. No, sir. |
| 2 | And six that certain statistical | 2 | Q. What was Mr. -- Colonel Waldron's |
| 3 | studies or figures related to the mail-in ballots | 3 | background? |
| 4 | were evidence of fraud in the definitive counties | 4 | A. Let me see here. That appears in |
| 5 | in that electio. | 5 | paragraph 34 of my report. He -- Mr. Waldron did |
| 6 | And seven, that additional proof that | 6 | not make -- has never made public, as far as I've |
| 7 | was referenced generally by -- to the district | 7 | been able to see, the basis for his statistics, |
| 8 | court, was evidence of fraud in the elections. | 8 | which is based on so-called statistical spikes |
| 9 | And I take it that that's what he was | 9 | coming in, and so it's simply not been able to be |
| 10 | referring to in the $20-240$ or so affidavits | 10 | tested. |
| 11 | that he mentioned this morning. | 11 | As for his expert qualifications, as he |
| 12 | Q. Now with respect to the first four of | 12 | acknowledged directly to Mr. Giuliani during the |
| 13 | those categories -- the potential for fraud in | 13 | state legislative hearing, he wasn't quote -- he |
| 14 | mail-in ballots; historical -- or fraud in other | 14 | was, quote, "not a statistician" and he "didn't do |
| 15 | jurisdictions; historical examples of fraud in | 15 | well in math". I also describes himself on his |
| 16 | Pennsylvania; and fraud in other counties in | 16 | LinkedIn page, as of the time I did the report, |
| 17 | Pennsylvania -- was any of that evidence of fraud | 17 | quote, as "The founder of Forklift Driver and |
| 18 | in the seven counties that he sued? | 18 | Floor Sweeper at One Shot Distillery and Brewery |
| 19 | A. No, sir. | 19 | in Dripping Springs, Texas," and he lists no |
| 20 | Q. And -- and with respect to the last | 20 | administrative -- no election administration in |
| 21 | three, were the observational boundaries evidence | 21 | his work history. |
| 22 | of fraud? | 22 | Q. And what about with respect to Mr. |
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|  | Page 589 |  | Page 591 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | McCredies? Do you recall what his qualifications | 1 | he somehow relied on Bush v. Gore? |
| 2 | were? | 2 | A. Yes, sir. In that he claimed that it |
| 3 | Actually, was it -- it wasn't his | 3 | was a violation of Bush vs. Gore because different |
| 4 | analysis. What did Mr. McCredie base his | 4 | election boards were administering the rule |
| 5 | statistics on? | 5 | differently. |
| 6 | A. Mr. McCredies based his claim that | 6 | Q. And what did Bush v. Gore have to say |
| 7 | the -- the ballots could not have been canvassed | 7 | about different localities having different |
| 8 | as quickly as they were, on a remark by former | 8 | procedures? |
| 9 | Mercer County. Pennsylvania election | 9 | A. That it wasn't making a holding on |
| 10 | administrator; that on the basis of that | 10 | that. |
| 11 | administrator's past experience, it would have | 11 | Q. And -- and you also read us the |
| 12 | taken his county -- it took his county two point | 12 | language earlier in which it -- it acknowledged |
| 13 | five days to process 655 provisional ballots in I | 13 | that those things occurred, correct? |
| 14 | believe the primary election of 2020, and then | 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | extrapolates from that to impute a statewide | 15 | Q. All right. Now with respect to |
| 16 | maximum processing speed from that one | 16 | observational boundaries, what was Mr. Giuliani's |
| 17 | jurisdiction's ability to handle the canvassing in | 17 | constitutional argument about those? |
| 18 | one -- that one election. | 18 | A. The constitutional -- constitutional |
| 19 | Q. Now, you mentioned that these experts | 19 | argument that appeared in the complaint, the first |
| 20 | were presented to the -- the Pennsylvania | 20 | amended complaint, was that, by imposing these |
| 21 | legislative hearing. That's -- that's something | 21 | positional boundaries, the election boards were |
| 22 | that occurred at Gettysburg some weeks later, | 22 | making it more evenhandedly on republican and |
|  | Page 590 |  | Page 592 |
| 1 | correct? | 1 | democratic observers. The election boards were in |
| 2 | A. Yes, sir. | 2 | fact making it more difficult for republican |
| 3 | Q. All right. With respect to the | 3 | observers to challenge absentee ballots that were |
| 4 | argument before Judge Brann. Did he present any | 4 | being administered in democratic majority |
| 5 | statistical evidence at all at that point? | 5 | jurisdictions. |
| 6 | A. I don't believe so. | 6 | Q. And -- and what constitutional |
| 7 | Q. And -- and then the other thing you | 7 | violation was that? |
| 8 | said was that the affidavits, which I think we've | 8 | A. That was mostly Equal Protection, but |
| 9 | all discussed. | 9 | also Substantive Due Process. They were somewhat |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | run together in different complaints. |
| 11 | Q. Now I want to talk about what | 11 | Q. What if any evidence did Mr. Giuliani |
| 12 | constitutional violations that he alleged. What | 12 | present that the Biden observers had been treated |
| 13 | were the constitutional violations that he alleged | 13 | differently from the Trump observers? |
| 14 | to Judge Brann with respect to Notice and Cure? | 14 | A. In the oral argument and the first |
| 15 | A. The Notice and Cure claim was based on | 15 | amended complaint, basically none. And the |
| 16 | the notion that, allowing voters in the seven | 16 | courts, both the district court and the court of |
| 17 | defendant counties to cure deficiencies in their | 17 | appeals, found that. |
| 18 | mail-in ballots diluted the vote of the two voters | 18 | Q. Found? |
| 19 | in the two other counties which didn't permit | 19 | A. That they were treated evenhandedly. |
| 20 | cure. | 20 | Q. Yeah, okay. |
| 21 | Q. Did he also have an Equal Protection | 21 | A. That they were treated evenhandedly. |
| 22 | argument with respect to Notice and Cure in which | 22 | Q. Right, okay. No, your voice dropped |


|  | Page 593 |  | Page 595 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | off a little. |  | Circuit I have -- I'm going to ask him a little |
| 2 | A. Oh, I'm sorry. | 2 | bit about the provisions of Rule 11, then I'm |
| 3 | Q. And what if any evidence did he present | 3 | going to ask him for his opinions. I could |
| 4 | of improper vote counting in the -- before Judge | 4 | probably -- it's 5:00. I mean, I'm sure I |
| 5 | Brann? | 5 | can wrap it up by 5:30, but if you want -- |
| 6 | A. None. | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yeah, he's going |
| 7 | Q. And how did Judge Brann in the district | 7 | to -- he's going to have to continue tomorrow in |
| 8 | court resolve these issues? | 8 | any event, so I think this might be an appropriate |
| 9 | A. Justice -- justice -- Judge Brann held | 9 | time to break for the day. |
| 10 | that the -- the democratic observers and | 10 | MR. FOX: Okay. |
| 11 | republican observers were basically treated | 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Anything else we |
| 12 | evenhandedly, and so there was no violation. | 12 | need to discuss before we recess? |
| 13 | Q. And what did he find with respect to -- | 13 | Again, Mr. Fox -- |
| 14 | oh, that's Equal Protection. What about with the | 14 | MR. KAMINS: Mr. Chair, I just wanted |
| 15 | argument about substantive process and the | 15 | to -- as far as tomorrow's schedule, we want to |
| 16 | observational boundaries? What did Judge Brann | 16 | plan for our witnesses. We should have them |
| 17 | find about that? | 17 | available for -- I'm not sure time wise. We |
| 18 | A. Well, the substantive Due Process | 18 | should plan for the afternoon, or -- |
| 19 | argument in the first complaint Judge Brann | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I would -- no, I |
| 20 | considered to be the overall or overarching fraud |  | think that -- |
| 21 | claims, and he thought that Mr. Giuliani had | 21 | How much time do you have, Mr. Fox, on |
| 22 | withdrawn them. | 22 | time. |
|  | Page 594 |  | Page 596 |
| 1 | Q. Okay. Now was Judge Brann's opinion | 1 | MR. FOX: I'm going to finish with Mr. |
| 2 | appealed to the 3rd Circuit? | 2 | Ortiz in 15 to 30 minutes. |
| 3 | A. Yes, sir. | 3 | MR. KAMINS: Oh, okay. |
| 4 | Q. And what actually was the issue that | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: All right, so we'll |
| 5 | was appealed to the 3rd Circuit? | 5 | plan -- we'll plan then for the morning, sometime |
| 6 | A. The actual issue appealed to the 3rd | 6 | in the morning. |
| 7 | Circuit was whether Justice Brann had erred by not | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You'll advise Mr. |
| 8 | allowing the filing of the second amended | 8 | Fox who you're calling? |
| 9 | complaint. | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: He knows. |
| 10 | MR. FOX: And can we put up | 10 | MR. KAMINS: No, we've given him a list |
| 11 | Disciplinary Counsel Exhibit 16, please. | 11 | of -- |
| 12 | BY MR. FOX: | 12 | MR. FOX: Well, but I don't know which |
| 13 | Q. And do you recognize this as the 3rd | 13 | ones in which order, as the chair ordered at the |
| 14 | Circuit opinion? | 14 | outset. |
| 15 | A. Yes, sir. | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You can do that -- |
| 16 | Q. All right. I want to -- I want to | 16 | you can do that when we -- off the record but I -- |
| 17 | refer to you -- you to three specific -- | 17 | I want you to tell him who you're going to call |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, before you | 18 | and in what order tomorrow, so he -- |
| 19 | continue, how much more do you have for this | 19 | MR. KAMINS: Yes, we will do that. |
| 20 | witness? | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We will do this in |
| 21 | MR. FOX: You know, I -- if -- if -- I | 21 | a -- in a civilized fashion. |
| 22 | would say that I -- I have -- after this 3rd | 22 | MR. KAMINS: Everything is civilized. |
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