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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S |  | of the discussions of colloquy at the -- at the |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Good morning, | 2 | oral arguments and offered to -- to put respondent |
| 3 | everyone. | 3 | back on the stand to testify further about some of |
| 4 | Can you hear me, Mr. Kamins, Mr. | 4 | his he pre -- pre-litigation investigation, namely |
| 5 | Leventhal? | 5 | testimony describing interviews he conducted in |
| 6 | MS. BORRAZAS: We can't hear you all. | 6 | preparation of that litigation. |
| 7 | MR. KAMINS: Can you hear us now? | 7 | Disciplinary Counsel has opposed that |
| 8 | MS. BORRAZAS: Yes. Is Alexandra | 8 | because it's a -- it's a fairly irregular |
| 9 | helping you? Is that who -- | 9 | procedure and not contemplated by the rules and |
| 10 | MR. KAMINS: Yes, Alexandra is here. | 10 | doesn't really think that respondent should have |
| 11 | MS. BORRAZAS: All right. I'll let her | 11 | an opportunity to -- to testify about matters that |
| 12 | into the room as well then. | 12 | he already testified about. |
| 13 | It looks like on Mr. Leventhal's screen | 13 | That -- that argument has -- has some |
| 14 | we just see a blank box. We don't see Mr. | 14 | appeal but, under the circumstances, since |
| 15 | Giuliani in it. | 15 | although we had -- we had talked about our |
| 16 | MR. GIULIANI: I'm here. | 16 | decision for an extensive period of time, we |
| 17 | MS. BORRAZAS: I hear you. We just | 17 | didn't -- we didn't -- we didn't issue our final |
| 18 | don't see you. | 18 | order on it and, under those circumstances, I |
| 19 | MR. KAMINS: And Mr. Leventhal is off | 19 | think that it's appropriate for respondent to |
|  | camera, but he is present as well. | 20 | testify about the matters that he wants to testify |
| 21 | MS. BORRAZAS: Oh, we see you both on | 21 | about. It's not going to hurt anybody and I think |
| 22 | the screen. Oh, we see Mr. Giuliani now. Thank | 22 | it -- it is not only fair but -- but lends the |
|  | Page 1158 |  | Page 1160 |
| 1 | you. | 1 | appearance of fairness to this proceeding. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right, good | 2 | So we will allow Mr. Giuliani's limited |
| 3 | morning again, everybody, anything -- anything -- | 3 | testimony on the topic that his -- his attorney's |
| 4 | well, does anyone have anything to talk about | 4 | have said he wants to testify about. |
| 5 | before I start? | 5 | But since we're opening the -- |
| 6 | MR. FOX: Not me? | 6 | reopening the hearing, I wanted to raise another |
| 7 | MR. KAMINS: Not from us. | 7 | point with the parties, and that is with respect |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. I'm going to | 8 | to the -- the written exhibits that have been |
| 9 | review the bidding here. Last Thursday, which I | 9 | filed. Looking through them, I saw some exhibits |
| 10 | think was December 8th, we finished testimony, | 10 | from the docket in the district court litigation |
| 11 | closed -- closed the record on testimony and had | 11 | in Pennsylvania, but I did not see the briefs and |
| 12 | oral arguments for an extensive period of time. | 12 | memos that were filed in connection with the |
| 13 | After that argument the -- the hearing | 13 | motion to dismiss: memos in support of it, and I |
| 14 | committee convened in executive session to -- | 14 | assume there were more than one, maybe not, and |
| 15 | basically to deliberate to see whether or not we | 15 | memos in opposition to the motion to dismiss. |
| 16 | could decide whether Disciplinary Counsel has | 16 | Now I -- I think that I could |
| 17 | proved at least one violation, make a preliminary | 17 | properly -- we could properly take -- properly |
| 18 | nonbinding finding to that effect. I think we | 18 | take judicial notice of the content of those |
| 19 | told the parties that we would issue an order | 19 | memos, but I would -- I would ask, if there's no |
| 20 | on -- on this past Tuesday. | 20 | objection from the parties, that Disciplinary |
| 21 | The next day we had -- received a | 21 | Counsel supplement the record by filing just the |
| 22 | statement from the respondent who referred to some | 22 | memos in -- filed in the district court in |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | connection with the motion to dismiss. | 1 | RUDOLPH GIULIANI |
| 2 | Is there any -- is there any problem | 2 | called as a witness on behalf of Respondent's |
| 3 | with that? | 3 | Counsel, and after having been previously sworn, |
| 4 | MR. KAMINS: We -- we have no | 4 | was examined and testified further as follows: |
| 5 | objection. | 5 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 6 | MR. FOX: I -- I don't think there's | 6 | ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT'S COUNSEL |
| 7 | any problem, other than the logistical one of | 7 | BY MR. KAMINS: |
| 8 | locating them. I will say that there were lots of | 8 | Q. So Mr. Giuliani, pursuant to the |
| 9 | parties who intervened in the -- at the -- in the | 9 | understanding of the -- and the order, I want to |
| 10 | district court, so there may be lots of pleadings | 10 | focus on any steps you took to investigate the |
| 11 | that are compliant with -- with your request. But | 11 | information provided to you concerning the 2020 |
| 12 | what I suggest that we'll do is, after we conclude | 12 | presidential election in Pennsylvania. |
| 13 | the proceedings today, over the next day or so, we | 13 | Now you told us last week that after |
| 14 | will endeavor to put our arms around those, show | 14 | the election you went to Philadelphia on November |
| 15 | them to respondent's counsel, get an agreement | 15 | 4th. |
| 16 | that we've -- we've gotten the universe of -- of | 16 | Is that correct? |
| 17 | stuff and then submit it to you. That -- | 17 | A. That is correct, sir. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you. I -- | 18 | Q. And you told us that you went to the |
| 19 | there could be -- I guess there could be a ton of | 19 | convention center? |
| 20 | stuff on this and I'm not -- I certainly don't | 20 | A. My -- my best recollection is that, |
| 21 | think that it's worthwhile -- if there are amicus | 21 | after some debate on our trip up there, that's |
| 22 | briefs filed, you know, it's just parties or | 22 | where we decided it was the best place for us to |
|  | Page 1162 |  | Page 1164 |
| 1 | people that were -- intervened as parties. And | 1 | go first. |
| 2 | hopefully multiple parties filed a -- filed a | 2 | Q. Okay, and at that point, when you went |
| 3 | joint brief. I would have thought that, under the | 3 | to the center, did you take any steps at that |
| 4 | circumstances, you would have tried to do that. | 4 | point to begin any investigation? |
| 5 | MR. FOX: I -- I -- I think there's | 5 | A. Among other things, yes, but I say that |
| 6 | some of that, but my recollection, and maybe I'm | 6 | because we were probably -- I and my colleagues -- |
| 7 | wrong about this, was that there were lot of | 7 | were probably doing what you could describe as ten |
| 8 | briefs filed. But maybe we can restrict it to | 8 | different things, one of which was witnesses |
| 9 | the -- we'll -- we'll -- we'll try to figure out a | 9 | telling me what they had observed, or sometimes |
| 10 | way to agree among ourselves to -- | 10 | saying "Who can I tell it to?" |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. | 11 | Q. Okay, now this is at the center, |
| 12 | MR. FOX: -- restrict it to say the | 12 | correct? |
| 13 | parties or something like that. | 13 | A. So at the center my main purpose was to |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Thank you. | 14 | be there to aid Corey Lewandowski and Pam Bondi |
| 15 | Anything else before we start with the | 15 | because they had -- in the -- in the -- in some |
| 16 | evidence? | 16 | stage of what Corey described of getting the order |
| 17 | MR. KAMINS: Nothing from us. | 17 | to see the ballots reversed, and the sheriff had |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Mr. Kamins, | 18 | already denied them. I had been informed of that |
| 19 | you may call your witness. | 19 | by a sheriff's underling and also by several |
| 20 | MR. KAMINS: Yes -- | 20 | NYP -- NYPD, oh, my goodness, Pennsylvania -- |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Giuliani, I | 21 | Philadelphia police officers, who -- who I -- I |
| 22 | remind you that you're still under oath. | 22 | told I'm very close with, that over the years done |
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many cases with them. They were involved in our mafia cases in the '80s.
Q. But let's just focus on --
A. So I -- I felt very comfortable asking
them, "Hey, guys, what -- what's going on here?"
Q. Right.
A. Because Corey told me an incredible story that he showed the sheriff the order -he -- honestly, I didn't exactly know what he was describing. It sounded a little strange that he would have an order to allow him to be within striking distance of the -- of the documents, or the sheriff would refuse to enforce it. So I said, "What's going on here?" And he said, "They're acting" -- he used very foul language and he said they're acting like -- I'm trying to find a nice way to put it -- they're acting like they're basically adjuncts of the democratic party.
Q. Now, if I can focus you just --
A. That's a nice way to put it.
Q. All right. So if I can focus you more
on your efforts to investigate the information that was coming in at that point, were any of the people at the convention center asked to sign any documents?
A. The people at the convention center were going into anywhere from highly generalized, very conclusory statements, to extraordinarily detailed statements, something like, "I've been shut out all day and then pushed around like I'm a pig".
Q. And were they -- and were they talking to you --
A. Or --
Q. Were they -- were they talking to you?
A. Among others.
Q. Okay.
A. I was sort of a -- they recognized my face, and when they saw my face, they flocked toward me as sort of a repository I guess of all these complaints they had all day. And some of them were giving me extraordinarily detailed information: "Mr. Mayor, I -- I got here exactly
when I was supposed to at 2:00. They wouldn't let me -- they wouldn't let me look at a single ballot, but I -- that didn't stop me. I kept a record. I kept a record. See, see here? I kept a record of every single ballot they stuffed in. And in two hours, they put in 27 ballots -- oh, and by the way, at such and such a time I saw them tear up two ballots, and I've done this for years and you're not allowed to tear up ballots".
Q. All right, did you take any steps to document any of this information?
A. The statements were on a continuum of -- so general they wouldn't be particularly useful, except in a litigation, except for flavor to -- it could have been very useful.

So very shortly, I -- I think I might have written the first one or two down, realized this was very counterproductive and called over either Mike Roman, who had given me a briefing on the day, or, when I couldn't get Mike, Dr. Ryan, and I said, "Would you corral these people. Would you get -- see if you can get their statements.

## Page 1168

And maybe we can't get them notarized or signed, but we can get them -- we can get them written up, and then we can get back to them, and just make some sense out of this".
Q. Okay. And now you testified also last week that by the 5th, November 5th you had gone to campaign headquarters?
A. Yeah, all those things -- right --
our -- our original intent was to go to campaign headquarters because we thought we could get a more calmer description of what happened there than in the middle of -- you know, it looked like -- I'm not going to say it was violent, but it had a little element like it was on the verge of possible violence. There was a lot of yelling and -- I don't mean to make a joke. It was like a Philadelphia Eagle football game. But it -- it had -- let's say it wasn't the best place to take statements.
Q. Okay, so at some point -- at some point did you, at -- at headquarter, personally interview any people who had information about the
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| 1 | election? | 1 | side, some republican was going to be on the other |
| 2 | A. Yeah. I should say, so we get the | 2 | side. The public official was going to be in the |
| 3 | testimony in the right -- you know, in the right | 3 | middle. He was going to take the envelope out. |
| 4 | order, despite the fact that this was chaotic and | 4 | The inspector was going to show them the envelope. |
| 5 | despite the fact that five other things were going | 5 | They were going to check the signature on it. |
| 6 | on, and I was getting telephone calls about other | 6 | They were going to open it up -- |
| 7 | cases, I did have -- manage to have a fairly | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Kamins, I -- the |
| 8 | coherent conversation with Mr. Mercer, who sort of | 8 | witness is testifying about things that clearly he |
| 9 | helped me in the sense that he was saying, "Here's | 9 | has no firsthand knowledge of. |
| 10 | what they want to tell you". So he put it in | 10 | MR. KAMINS: I'm trying to -- I'm |
| 11 | categories. He put it in categories for me. | 11 | trying to -- |
| 12 | And then -- and then I, not as | 12 | THE WITNESS: This is hearsay. I'm |
| 13 | strongly, but more faintly recall someone else | 13 | trying to -- I'm -- |
| 14 | helping him, and I do believe that was Mr. | 14 | MR. KAMINS: I'll -- I'll get to the |
| 15 | Queeder, meaning to say, when he wasn't here, I | 15 | issue at hand, Mr. Bernius. |
| 16 | was here and I continued the watch. | 16 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you. |
| 17 | Because what -- what Mercer had set up | 17 | BY MR. KAMINS: |
| 18 | in lieu of being able to see any ballots -- which | 18 | Q. Yeah, Mr. Giuliani, other than Mr. |
| 19 | he was very angry about, because he claimed that | 19 | Kweder and Mr. Mercer, did you personally |
| 20 | every year he did this he was always able to see | 20 | interview other people -- |
| 21 | ballots; democrats never gave him trouble on this | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | before -- he set up a watch, and the watch was -- | 22 | Q. -- who had information about the |
|  | Page 1170 |  | Page 1172 |
| 1 | he designated certain people clear being his | 1 | election? |
| 2 | number two to set up another group of people that | 2 | A. Yes. Other people -- |
| 3 | counted ballots that they should have under the | 3 | Q. And about -- and about how many |
| 4 | law been able to observe. | 4 | people -- about approximately how many other |
| 5 | And I should say, it wasn't just the -- | 5 | people did you personally interview? |
| 6 | from their point of view, it wasn't just the law. | 6 | A. No -- more than four or five; less than |
| 7 | They really didn't know what the statute said, | 7 | ten. And some of them would be brief interviews. |
| 8 | whether the statute said present, or you can | 8 | Like in the middle of a conversation, one of them |
| 9 | observe, or you can look at it, or you can touch | 9 | would -- I'm looking at some of the names here to |
| 10 | it. They were relying -- most of these people | 10 | try to remind myself, because I remember some of |
| 11 | were anywhere from five- to twenty-five-year | 11 | these names. Someone would break in and say, |
| 12 | inspectors, so they were relying on prior | 12 | "Yes, that happened to me, too," that kind of |
| 13 | practice. And the reason they were in a state of | 13 | thing. And then, when that would happen, I would |
| 14 | shock was this was so different than prior | 14 | do the best that I could not to lose them and turn |
| 15 | practice. | 15 | them over to Maria, to -- to Mike. |
| 16 | Q. Now other than -- | 16 | I also had met at this point -- no, I'm |
| 17 | A. They came in that morning fully | 17 | sorry. It was later that I did that with Linda. |
| 18 | expecting to sit down. They knew it was going to | 18 | But I eventually did it with Linda Kearns, too. |
| 19 | take forever, because they knew how many -- they | 19 | Q. All right. So you're saying -- |
| 20 | were prepared. They even brought extra pairs of | 20 | A. It was Linda Kearns as deputy, or -- |
| 21 | glass. And they sat -- they were going to sit | 21 | Q. Now when you conducted these interviews |
| 22 | down, and some democrat was going to be on one | 22 | personally, did you focus on any particular issue |


|  | Page 1173 |  | Page 1175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | when interviewing -- interviewing them? | 1 | over to the campaign headquarters" -- which he |
| 2 | A. Well, yeah, they sort of focused me on | 2 | knew -- "and maybe I can have five minutes alone |
| 3 | what I had been hearing as I said coming there, | 3 | with you". |
| 4 | and I had already had a conversation with Ken | 4 | So then this would be a good point |
| 5 | Starr, I believe, about the idea of a consolidated | 5 | to -- to say, you know, we were finished at -- at |
| 6 | lawsuit. So I thought that my value here from my | 6 | the convention center and we decided that we would |
| 7 | little team was not going to be to help Hicks with | 7 | go to the -- that we would go to the campaign |
| 8 | what he -- he was doing. Because I had already | 8 | headquarters, gather all the information we had. |
| 9 | been told by a number of people coming up there | 9 | That means myself and my team that I told you |
| 10 | what a good lawyer Hicks was and that we could | 10 | about. |
| 11 | really trust him to put this thing together. | 11 | At that point, Eric Trump, Jr. had |
| 12 | Q. So what issue did you focus on? | 12 | shown up and he had information that he had been |
| 13 | A. The failure to -- the -- what I would | 13 | gathering all day. Corey had other people in |
| 14 | call systemic, massive failure to observe. | 14 | other parts of the state that he had either come |
| 15 | Q. And did -- did that issue fit in with | 15 | into the campaign headquarters or calling in. |
| 16 | any legal issue you were working on? | 16 | So we were going to all meet at the |
| 17 | A. Sure. It fit in -- first of all it was | 17 | campaign headquarters and see what's the sum and |
| 18 | similar to the information or evidence I was | 18 | substance of the evidence he had at that point. |
| 19 | getting from four or five other jurisdictions, | 19 | Q. All right. In the -- in the next few |
| 20 | almost to a T. In fact at times it was confusing, | 20 | days going forward, did you make decisions about |
| 21 | and it's confusing in my memory to separate them. | 21 | rejecting any of the information that -- |
| 22 | I -- I can get Michigan and what something said to | 22 | A. Yeah, yeah. I told you that, toward |
|  | Page 1174 |  | Page 1176 |
| 1 | me in Philadelphia, confused. So it was hitting | 1 | the end -- |
| 2 | me that this was all very, very good material if | 2 | Q. Other than the person you were talking |
| 3 | we were going to do an eventual consolidation, and | 3 | about before. Were there other people -- |
| 4 | I wanted that preserved as best I could. | 4 | A. No, I rejected -- I rejected -- I don't |
| 5 | I was assuming that Hicks, Kearns, | 5 | know, it's hard to say how many. That's -- that |
| 6 | Hicks' assistant and Kearns' assistant were | 6 | one I rejected because, it was a great story and |
| 7 | handling the Philadelphia part actively, because I | 7 | I -- I gave it to Dr. Ryan, and she didn't want to |
| 8 | had been told they were very good. I also had | 8 | bother Bernie with it, because Bernie was |
| 9 | assured myself -- hadn't met her yet -- but that | 9 | overwhelmed, so she did her own background check. |
| 10 | we had a very good attorney in Pittsburgh. | 10 | She said, "You're going to have a tough time with |
| 11 | Because during the course of that short time I was | 11 | this guy" -- |
| 12 | there, we had their meeting in charge, we had | 12 | Q. Other than -- other than -- |
| 13 | already run into some pretty bad attorneys. | 13 | A. He's got a record as long as your arm. |
| 14 | Q. Now did you also make any decisions on | 14 | Q. Other than that individual, were there |
| 15 | rejecting information that you were receiving? | 15 | other individuals whom you -- |
| 16 | A. Well, there was one man who was all | 16 | A. Yeah, we had a person that claimed to |
| 17 | over me, very impressive man who had a very, very | 17 | be an observer that we could prove wasn't there. |
| 18 | long, long story of what had happened to him, | 18 | We had a person claiming to be a -- a -- a |
| 19 | which I wouldn't even bother you with, a quite | 19 | republican observer who was a democrat -- who was |
| 20 | credible, long story of what had happened to him, | 20 | a democrat observer who either was trying to set |
| 21 | and it was so good that I said to him, you know, | 21 | us up or was bitter because he had been fired by |
| 22 | we -- "I can't really follow this now, but come | 22 | the democrats. |
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| 1 | So I'd say, I don't know, I -- I can |  | they thought I didn't -- I didn't do some personal |
| 2 | distinctly remember throwing out 15 that you could | 2 | vetting, because I always do in my lawsuits, even |
| 3 | attribute to Philadelphia. I could be a little | 3 | if I can only do just a little bit. |
| 4 | wrong, because at the same time I wasn't reviewing | 4 | I used to train my lawyers that you got |
| 5 | them just to -- I was reviewing, you know, | 5 | to -- you've gotta go -- you've gotta go to the |
| 6 | Minneapolis with Philadelphia with Atlanta. | 6 | bank to observe the bank robbery if you want to |
| 7 | Q. Well, let's stick -- let's stick to | 7 | try a bank robbery, because there could be a |
| 8 | Pennsylvania. | 8 | pillar of the way that nobody remembers. And |
| 9 | A. But I guess the point that I'd like to | 9 | then, you got to get your hands dirty, and you got |
| 10 | make for the panel is that it would be unfair if | 10 | to talk to the people you're relying on. It gives |
| 11 | you think we just took every piece of crap that we | 11 | you things that you otherwise wouldn't get. |
| 12 | got. We threw a lot of stuff out. | 12 | I didn't -- I didn't want them to think |
| 13 | Q. So is it fair to say that you were | 13 | that, because this was truncated, I didn't do |
| 14 | vetting the information that was -- you personally | 14 | that. It -- it kind of worked out that I was able |
| 15 | were vetting much of the information that was | 15 | to do it, because I could do it for a different |
| 16 | coming in? | 16 | purpose here, which is that overall lawsuit, but |
| 17 | A. Yeah, I was assuming it was being | 17 | it got me into -- I'm looking at this -- |
| 18 | vetted and asking on the way up to me. So | 18 | Q. Now -- now -- now you mentioned -- |
| 19 | sometimes I'd be a little surprised that such a -- | 19 | A. I think about 15 of these people, who |
| 20 | such a bad one would get that far up. | 20 | then again I got to -- and I'm also trying hard, |
| 21 | Q. All right. Now, when you testified | 21 | and I will confess, I may have confused one or |
| 22 | last week, you did not mention these personal | 22 | two, because some of these people came back up |
|  | Page 1178 |  | Page 1180 |
| 1 | interviews, correct, that you conducted? | 1 | again when we did the Gettysburgh hearing. |
| 2 | A. I don't -- no, I don't believe I did. | 2 | Q. Now you mentioned a few people by the |
| 3 | Q. And -- and was there a reason why you | 3 | name of Mr. Kweder and Mr. Mercer. |
| 4 | didn't? | 4 | Do you recall any other names of |
| 5 | A. Didn't come up. And I -- I -- I mean, | 5 | individuals who you personally spoke to? |
| 6 | there was only a certain amount of time you have. | 6 | A. Yeah, I spent a -- I spent a lot of |
| 7 | I didn't know how relevant they would be. I | 7 | time with -- with -- with Katherine. |
| 8 | thought -- I mean, my thinking was we had so many | 8 | Q. Do you remember her last name? |
| 9 | affidavits that I submitted. I think I testified | 9 | A. Of course I do. Katherine -- I can't |
| 10 | I read them all. And I thought that would satisfy | 10 | remember her last name. |
| 11 | the -- the -- the court that I had more than | 11 | Q. Are any other -- |
| 12 | sufficient factual basis for the allegation -- | 12 | A. Katherine -- Katherine was in charge of |
| 13 | Q. The panel, the panel? | 13 | Pittsburgh for us. Katherine had, from the very |
| 14 | A. Sorry, the panel. I mean, I -- I | 14 | beginning -- now I remember -- it was a great |
| 15 | read -- I did read through the affidavits, all of | 15 | reluctance to testify but an extraordinary amount |
| 16 | them, twice, before I testified, and it seemed to | 16 | of information and -- and -- and even -- even at |
| 17 | me we had supplied, almost in duplicate, | 17 | the point of the argument, the oral argument that |
| 18 | triplicate, whatever, an enormous amount of | 18 | I made on the chance that we were going to have a |
| 19 | support for the allegations that we had made, and | 19 | hearing, that Judge Brann would give us a hearing, |
| 20 | that that wasn't going to be an issue of personal | 20 | I was reserving my right to use every amount of |
| 21 | vetting. But when that came up, I felt sort of | 21 | skill that I had, and I was waiting mafia people, |
| 22 | unfair because -- I felt it wouldn't be fair if | 22 | that's everybody to get her -- to get her to |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | testify -- | 1 | campaigned for; they campaigned for me. |
| 2 | Q. Would it refresh your recollection to | 2 | Q. Now it was brought out last week that |
| 3 | review any -- any notes that you have to -- | 3 | Mr. Hicks withdrew from the case on November 12th, |
| 4 | A. (Reading) Katherine Friess. | 4 | correct? |
| 5 | Q. Katherine? | 5 | A. Yeah, that's the date that we figured |
| 6 | A. Friess, F-r-i-e-s-s. | 6 | out, by process of elimination -- I -- I -- |
| 7 | Q. Okay. | 7 | Q. So prior -- prior to the -- |
| 8 | A. Katherine Friess. | 8 | A. I thought of it as closer in time to |
| 9 | Q. Are there any other individuals you can | 9 | the actual argument; by "closer" meaning the day |
| 10 | recall by name who you interviewed? | 10 | before the closing, three days, maybe because |
| 11 | A. No, I would have to see the name. | 11 | everything was compressed to me. |
| 12 | When I looked at these names, I can | 12 | Q. Prior to the time Mr. Hicks withdrew, |
| 13 | tell you the ones that I met, the ones that I | 13 | were you conducting these -- this investigative |
| 14 | interviewed, like Mr. Dietrich, I remember | 14 | work? |
| 15 | interviewing him; Mr. Ganks (phon), I remember | 15 | A. Well, prior to the time Mr. Hicks |
| 16 | interviewing him; I remember interviewing the | 16 | withdrew, it was pretty clear that I wasn't going |
| 17 | lady -- not -- not -- not a significant witness, | 17 | to have that much input in the case, except like I |
| 18 | but kind of a -- kind of a sad situation whom had | 18 | was with the other cases, which is to review it to |
| 19 | her son with her who was -- who was suffering from | 19 | see could it fit into a group of cases that could |
| 20 | a disability and felt that she had been | 20 | be consolidated. |
| 21 | mistreated. | 21 | That -- that didn't mean -- the end |
| 22 | Q. Now you mentioned Mr. Kweder -- | 22 | result was they were a group we realized after |
|  | Page 1182 |  | Page 1184 |
| 1 | A. Kind of -- that one I kind of hugged | 1 | analysis couldn't be, and a group that could be, |
| 2 | her and -- and apologized to her for the way she | 2 | and at this point -- at this point I was just |
| 3 | was treated, although she really wasn't -- | 3 | looking for the characteristics that Starr and |
| 4 | obviously she wasn't (indistinguishable). | 4 | others had given me that I should be looking for, |
| 5 | Q. Now you mentioned Mr. Kweder before. | 5 | and also trying to get them on paper, god forbid |
| 6 | Could you just spell that for the panel. | 6 | if we lost some of the witnesses. |
| 7 | A. I hope I have it right. K-w-e -- I | 7 | Q. Once Mr. Hicks withdrew, did your role |
| 8 | believe it is g-e-r. | 8 | with regard to investigation change? |
| 9 | Q. Are there any other -- | 9 | A. Yeah, because in helping Mr. Hicks, as |
| 10 | A. Justin. | 10 | I did, with the -- with -- with the documents that |
| 11 | Q. Right. Are there any other names that | 11 | he was doing, he said might be -- he said it might |
| 12 | you can recall? If not, we'll move on. I just | 12 | be helpful during the hearing we're having on this |
| 13 | wanted to see if -- | 13 | if you come and either argue or I use you as a |
| 14 | A. I remember an attorney named McBlaine, | 14 | witness on the other possibilities of this case. |
| 15 | because I think I knew him from before. I -- I | 15 | Q. And how did things change once -- |
| 16 | knew McBlaine from some -- I did -- I had very | 16 | A. And I said, okay, let's -- we never got |
| 17 | close friends in Philadelphia in the bar and in | 17 | a chance to resolve it. |
| 18 | politics, including several federal judges. Some | 18 | Q. Right, so once -- |
| 19 | of the people that came up to me were members of | 19 | A. And I don't think we ever resolved it. |
| 20 | like republican clubs that I had been involved in, | 20 | That seemed like a good idea. |
| 21 | after Dick Thornburgh, or -- or Arlen Specter, | 21 | Q. So once he did withdraw, how did your |
| 22 | both of whom were political allies. People I | 22 | role change? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. Well, at first it was unclear what |  | someone to do that. |
| 2 | would happen, if we had enough time to have -- | 2 | We finally -- I don't remember exactly |
| 3 | first of all, would Linda be able to argue it. | 3 | how we finally focused on Mr. Scaringi. I spoke |
| 4 | Answer, no. Linda wasn't able. We were able -- | 4 | to him and he was quite willing to take it on with |
| 5 | we were able to get that recommendation pretty | 5 | the caveat that he -- he didn't have enough time. |
| 6 | darn quickly. Second, can we find another lawyer? | 6 | And he said he -- the judge was a very reasonable |
| 7 | We had several recommendations. Don't think I | 7 | judge, that there was -- it was a short time |
| 8 | have the time to answer -- I remember talking to | 8 | period. But he -- he could see possibility of |
| 9 | some lawyers on the phone. I'm not sure the | 9 | maybe no more than a day or two that the judge |
| 10 | lawyers who were going to argue it, but the | 10 | might give him. And in that case he would be |
| 11 | lawyers who were friends of friends who could tell | 11 | comfortable arguing the whole thing. He said, "If |
| 12 | you, as I'm sure -- | 12 | the judge gives me a truncated period of time, |
| 13 | MR. FOX: Mr. Chairman, are we | 13 | then maybe you'll have to split it with me, |
| 14 | discussing this matter that is appropriate? I | 14 | because even though you don't know the case, you |
| 15 | think we're way off base here. | 15 | know it better than I do". |
| 16 | MR. KAMINS: I just -- Mr. Chair, I | 16 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Kamins, we |
| 17 | just wanted to sort of draw a line when the | 17 | are -- we are really running far afield of what |
| 18 | investigative work stopped and when -- in order to | 18 | this brief testimony was supposed to be about. |
| 19 | help the panel understand what Mr. Giuliani's role | 19 | MR. KAMINS: All right. |
| 20 | before Mr. Hicks withdrew from the case and -- and | 20 | BY MR. KAMINS: |
| 21 | after. | 21 | Q. Anything further on the investigation |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Well, the testimony | 22 | that you did? |
|  | Page 1186 |  | Page 1188 |
| 1 | is tending to meander a little bit so if about we | 1 | A. No. It got resolved that I was going |
| 2 | could be a little more precise in our answer, Mr. | 2 | to argue and I did the best I could in the 13, 14 |
| 3 | Giuliani, it would -- it would help move things | 3 | hours that I had when it was obvious I was going |
| 4 | along. | 4 | to argue to get ready on that part of the case. |
| 5 | MR. KAMINS: So -- so -- so -- | 5 | MR. KAMINS: Okay. I have no |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Let me see if I can sum | 6 | further -- yeah, I have no further questions. |
| 7 | up. | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox? |
| 8 | BY MR. KAMINS: | 8 | RECROSS EXAMINATION |
| 9 | Q. Let me ask -- let me see if I can... | 9 | ON BEHALF OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |
| 10 | Did your investigative work or role | 10 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 11 | stop at the point that Mr. Hicks withdrew? | 11 | Q. I just want to get the chronology |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | straight. |
| 13 | Q. All right. Well what was your role | 13 | As I understand it, Mr. Giuliani, on |
| 14 | after he withdrew in the -- in the case? Were you | 14 | the 4th you had a meeting with former President |
| 15 | contemplating -- | 15 | Trump and then went to the headquarters in |
| 16 | A. Shortly -- shortly thereafter it's | 16 | Virginia. |
| 17 | remained pretty much the same because, for a | 17 | Is that correct? |
| 18 | period of time I assumed we were going to get | 18 | A. You know, Mr. Fox, I think the election |
| 19 | someone to take over Hicks' role to set. Could | 19 | was on -- please help me again -- the election was |
| 20 | have been Kearns. Could have been somebody we | 20 | on the 3rd? |
| 21 | hired. Conversations that I was talking too much | 21 | Q. Yes. |
| 22 | about were conversations to get recommendations | 22 | A. Okay, yeah, it was the 4th. Yeah. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And it was -- it was at the | 1 | A. I think that's right. |
| 2 | headquarters in Virginia where you discovered | 2 | Q. Okay. If you want to look at it, I'll |
| 3 | that -- where -- where you began the process of | 3 | show it to you. It's -- |
| 4 | having complaints drafted in these various | 4 | A. No, no, I'm sure -- I'm sure you're |
| 5 | jurisdictions around the country? | 5 | right about that. I just don't -- I don't -- I |
| 6 | Let me try this: You mentioned a moment | 6 | don't personally recollect it right now, but I'm |
| 7 | ago a conversation with Mr. Starr in connection | 7 | sure you're right. |
| 8 | with the idea of a consolidated law school -- | 8 | Q. And -- and -- and -- and Mr. Kweder -- |
| 9 | lawsuit. Was that while you were in Virginia | 9 | and that's K-w-e-d-e-r -- his affidavit you also |
| 10 | before you went to Philadelphia? | 10 | sent to me in that same correspondence? |
| 11 | A. It was either in Virginia before I went | 11 | A. That, I don't recall, but again, I'm |
| 12 | to Philadelphia or in the car as I was driving | 12 | (indecipherable). |
| 13 | from Arlington to -- to Philadelphia. | 13 | Q. And Ms. Friess, F-r-i-e-s-s, who you |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And I think you testified that, | 14 | alluded to, it wasn't an affidavit but she had |
| 15 | while you were in Philadelphia, there were | 15 | some notes that you also sent to me at the time, |
| 16 | telephone calls about the other cases that you | 16 | correct? |
| 17 | were putting together. | 17 | A. Yes, sir, I did. |
| 18 | Is that correct? | 18 | Q. Now are there statements from any of |
| 19 | A. Both before I left and during the -- | 19 | the other witnesses that you interviewed that are |
| 20 | they were continuous through the day and night. | 20 | included in the materials that your lawyers |
| 21 | Q. Okay. So the -- the concept of the | 21 | introduced as Exhibit 1 in this case? |
| 22 | consolidated lawsuit with these cases in other | 22 | A. I -- I thought there were a few -- I |
|  | Page 1190 |  | Page 1192 |
| 1 | jurisdictions was reached before you went to | 1 | thought there were a few others. I'm relying |
| 2 | Philadelphia, correct? | 2 | on -- I thought maybe I put McBlaine in, maybe |
| 3 | A. Yeah, I don't know if -- yeah, I would | 3 | Peterson. |
| 4 | say the possibility. It wasn't, by no means, | 4 | Q. All right. |
| 5 | number one, we had decided we were going to do it, | 5 | A. I tried to give you -- I mean, I -- |
| 6 | number two, we didn't know if we had the facts to | 6 | again, numbers -- the numbers between two and 300, |
| 7 | do it, number three, we didn't know of we would | 7 | right? So I tried to give you five, six, seven |
| 8 | attack... a hundred questions, but certainly a | 8 | representative ones, picked very quickly. |
| 9 | possibility that everyone that I talked to thought | 9 | Probably I shouldn't even say "representative," |
| 10 | we should keep open. | 10 | because we didn't do a particularly good job of |
| 11 | Q. Now -- and -- and -- and it was also | 11 | saying, well one for this category, one for that |
| 12 | before you went to Philadelphia that you got Mr. | 12 | category. We gave you the ones that we could put |
| 13 | Hicks started on preparing the case in | 13 | our hands on. |
| 14 | Pennsylvania. | 14 | I think we wanted to assure you that we |
| 15 | Is that correct? | 15 | didn't make -- we wanted to assure you that we |
| 16 | A. Yeah. I would say, Mr. Fox, he was | 16 | didn't make this stuff up. |
| 17 | already started on it in some ways. | 17 | Q. And -- and the last thing I wanted to |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Now, as I understood the | 18 | ask about was, you -- you said there were up to 15 |
| 19 | witnesses that you personally talked to, there was | 19 | witnesses who you found to be unreliable and who |
| 20 | Mr. Mercer, and his two draft affidavits are | 20 | you rejected. |
| 21 | attached to the letter that you sent me in March | 21 | Am I -- do I have that right? |
| 22 | of 2020, correct? | 22 | A. Yeah, that's a very, very rough |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | guesstimate. | 1 | submitted by Ms. Friess. It's -- it's in the |
| 2 | Q. Okay. And are these people you spoke | 2 | record. |
| 3 | to, or are these people whose -- whose statements | 3 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, anything |
| 4 | that you saw -- you saw? | 4 | else? |
| 5 | A. Both. | 5 | MR. FOX: No, nothing. |
| 6 | Q. Both, okay. And have you provided to | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Giuliani, I made |
| 7 | us any of those statements from the people that | 7 | a note of your -- as you were testifying, and I |
| 8 | you thought were unreliable? | 8 | just wanted to get back to it. |
| 9 | A. If they were -- if we had kept them in | 9 | I think -- I think you said -- my notes |
| 10 | the file, you would have gotten them. I don't | 10 | say you said that, "My value was not to help Hicks |
| 11 | know -- I see -- I seem to recall having reread, | 11 | work up -- basically work up the -- the |
| 12 | in getting ready for one of these litigations, the | 12 | Pennsylvania case". You -- you were focused on |
| 13 | statement -- the big, long statement from the guy | 13 | systemic issues which related to the multidistrict |
| 14 | that him impressed me, and we spent a lot of time | 14 | litigation. |
| 15 | on that. | 15 | Is that -- is that -- was that your |
| 16 | Q. Do you remember his name? | 16 | testimony? |
| 17 | A. I think it was Brown. | 17 | THE WITNESS: Certainly up to the point |
| 18 | Q. Brown, okay? | 18 | where I was drafted to be the only one |
| 19 | A. But I could -- I could be wrong. | 19 | representing Philadelphia. At that point then I |
| 20 | MR. FOX: Thank you. That's all I | 20 | had somewhere between 12 and -- less than 24 hours |
| 21 | have. | 21 | to be the complete guy for Philadelphia. |
| 22 | MR. KAMINS: I just -- I just have one | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And I heard that |
|  | Page 1194 |  | Page 1196 |
| 1 | question. | 1 | you -- I heard you went to the Philadelphia |
| 2 | RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 2 | Convention Center and talked to some people there. |
| 3 | ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: | 3 | But did you go to -- did you have any involvement |
| 4 | BY MR. KAMINS: | 4 | in Centre County? |
| 5 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, if you rejected | 5 | THE WITNESS: No, I didn't go there |
| 6 | information from individuals, did you -- did you | 6 | directly. I spoke to people from there, read a |
| 7 | keep them or did you just discard them? | 7 | lot of affidavits from there, but I didn't go, no. |
| 8 | A. I gave them back -- I -- I -- I'm sorry | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And do you have |
| 9 | to say I can't tell you. I gave it back to | 9 | those affidavits? |
| 10 | whoever gave it to me. | 10 | THE WITNESS: Well they're in the group |
| 11 | There's only one unrelated completely | 11 | of -- I mean, a number -- I see a number of the |
| 12 | in Philadelphia that we kept a record of, because | 12 | names from are from Centre County, those |
| 13 | it had national security implications, and that we | 13 | affidavits are from Centre County. |
| 14 | had an investigation done of. All the rest were | 14 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Who was -- who was |
| 15 | the typical thing you get in these cases where | 15 | leading the investigation on that? Was it Hicks? |
| 16 | somebody wants to be a witness for ego reasons | 16 | THE WITNESS: No, it was a woman. I'd |
| 17 | or -- none of them seemed particularly | 17 | have to go back and get her name. She was a very |
| 18 | significant. I guess I thought they were going to | 18 | competent woman who was -- who was in charge of |
| 19 | be preserved but I didn't get it all | 19 | Centre. There were two people, both females, who |
| 20 | (indecipherable). | 20 | were in charge of Centre County. |
| 21 | MR. KAMINS: And Mr. Chair, just for | 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And who was in -- |
| 22 | the record, there is an affidavit that was | 22 | who led the investigative work for Chester County. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Well the investigators | 1 | Even character witnesses have been unwilling to |
| 2 | were -- were the same. Mike Roman was more or | 2 | testify because of repercussions from their law |
| 3 | less the investigator for Pennsylvania. He picked | 3 | firms. |
| 4 | people for different counties. What I'm | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Anything else from |
| 5 | responding to is who was in charge of the | 5 | the panel? |
| 6 | inspectors in those -- in those counties. I spoke | 6 | MR. BROZOST: Yes, Mr. Bernius. I have |
| 7 | to them. There were two in Centre County. There | 7 | a couple of quick questions. |
| 8 | were two in Delaware County. There was Ms. Friess | 8 | Mr. Giuliani, your testimony this |
| 9 | and a second person in charge in Allegheny County | 9 | morning dealt with individuals you interviewed or |
| 10 | whose affidavit was quite lengthy and supports a | 10 | spoke to about the observational boundaries or |
| 11 | lot of -- corroborates a lot of what Katherine | 11 | vantage point issues. |
| 12 | Friess said. | 12 | Is that correct? |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: If -- if -- if we | 13 | THE WITNESS: Mostly, sir, because |
| 14 | were to -- who is the -- who is the person who | 14 | that's what I thought -- we were talking about |
| 15 | would be most knowledgeable about the facts | 15 | many things, but I elected to focus on that |
| 16 | underlying the -- the federal litigation? Would | 16 | because that -- I thought that was the issue you |
| 17 | that be Mr. Hicks? | 17 | were concerned about. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Well up to the point | 18 | MR. BROZOST: But the other part of |
| 19 | that -- I guess the answer is it's in stages. Up | 19 | your lawsuit dealt with Notice and Cure. |
| 20 | to the point that he left, he would be the one who | 20 | Did you do any investigative work on |
| 21 | would be the most valuable. | 21 | that portion of the case. |
| 22 | After it was -- after it was -- after | 22 | THE WITNESS: An almost equal amount |
|  | Page 1198 |  | Page 1200 |
| 1 | he had left, then it would probably be a | 1 | of -- of statements that I were given, possibly |
| 2 | combination of me, Joe diGenova, Vicky Toensing | 2 | more because they were shorter. You don't have |
| 3 | and -- and Jenna Ellis, who -- who -- and then | 3 | to -- the set of facts isn't as long about that. |
| 4 | ultimately then Mr. Scaringi got up to speed and | 4 | I probably spoke to as many people who said to me, |
| 5 | he was able to take over the case. | 5 | "I wasn't able to fix the ballot but I know that |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: And Mr. -- Mr. | 6 | the people in another county did," or I was -- I |
| 7 | Hicks, is he still with the Porter Wright Firm? | 7 | was told that I couldn't cure the ballot but three |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I'm not absolutely | 8 | friends of mine said that in the democratic county |
| 9 | certain, sir. | 9 | they were willing to do it". |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: He's not on your | 10 | There's testimony that in general that |
| 11 | witness list. Can you explain why? | 11 | it was not allowed in republican counties with the |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Well -- well, he didn't | 12 | reason why that the republican counsel had advised |
| 13 | want to be a witness. | 13 | them that it would be a violation of the law if |
| 14 | MR. KAMINS: We attempted to contact | 14 | they -- if they did it because the legislation |
| 15 | him and he did not cooperate. | 15 | hadn't properly been amended, and that the action |
| 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: And I think it was the | 16 | of the secretary of state was extra-constitional |
| 17 | same with Mr. Fox. I think because the Committee | 17 | extralegal. |
| 18 | of 65 brought a complaint against him and he's | 18 | So you had this division between |
| 19 | under -- a lot of our witnesses were under advice | 19 | democrat counties that were following her |
| 20 | of attorneys not to give any statements because of | 20 | interpretation, ignoring the fact that the statute |
| 21 | other investigations. | 21 | made it illegal, and the republican counties that |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: We had a real problem. | 22 | were taking the let's say stricter view that |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the -- the -- the secretary of state doesn't have | 1 | this time. Thank you. |
| 2 | the ability to change the law under Article 2, | 2 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, thank you, Mr. |
| 3 | Section 2, Clause 1. | 3 | Giuliani. You're excused. |
| 4 | MR. BROZOST: Yeah, no I understand | 4 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. |
| 5 | that from many -- | 5 | (Witness is excused.) |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Well many people told me | 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Now, the -- the |
| 7 | that and I -- I -- | 7 | panel may have some follow-up questions on the |
| 8 | MR. BROZOST: Do you have affidavits or | 8 | evidence before we -- before we go into executive |
| 9 | documents in the record? | 9 | session. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: There are many | 10 | Any questions, Mr. Brozost, Ms. |
| 11 | affidavits. There are about 22 affidavits like | 11 | Haynesworth-Murrell? |
| 12 | that among the maybe -- I -- I gave the number | 12 | MR. BROZOST: Well, I had a question, |
| 13 | during my testimony. One night in between | 13 | and maybe this could be addressed in your |
| 14 | testimony I went and counted them, and it's in the | 14 | supplemental briefs, but I couldn't -- I'm still |
| 15 | record. I -- I pulled out the affidavits among | 15 | wrestling with the idea of voter dilution. |
| 16 | the 200 and whatever that relate to, not just | 16 | How was that argument reflected in any |
| 17 | Notice and Cure, but disparate treatment between | 17 | way in the relief sought. |
| 18 | republicans and democrats. | 18 | THE WITNESS: I would say that the -- |
| 19 | MR. BROZOST: No, I understand -- | 19 | many of the laws and decisions of -- |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Both -- both individually | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: No, this is not -- |
| 21 | and -- | 21 | Mr. Giuliani, this is not a question addressed to |
| 22 | MR. BROZOST: Was that provided to Mr. | 22 | you. I'm sorry. This is a -- this is a question |
|  | Page 1202 |  | Page 1204 |
| 1 | Fox in the affidavits? | 1 | addressed to your counsel. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: They're in the | 2 | MR. GIULIANI: I thought you meant when |
| 3 | affidavits, yes, sir. They're in the -- they're | 3 | I put it in the complaint. |
| 4 | in Exhibit 1, Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1. | 4 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Do you want us to |
| 5 | MR. BROZOST: Do you recall any of the | 5 | address that now or do you want us -- you know, I |
| 6 | names? | 6 | think we put some of that in our legal memorandum |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: I could get them in a | 7 | that we had given you. |
| 8 | minute. I don't -- I mean, I don't -- I have | 8 | MR. BROZOST: No, I read that. I read |
| 9 | them -- I can get you some of the names. | 9 | that. |
| 10 | MR. BROZOST: Okay, I'll check the | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: It wasn't enough |
| 11 | exhibit list. Thank you, Mr. Giuliani. | 11 | apparently. All right, we'll have to do better |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: About -- about -- it's | 12 | next time. And we will. |
| 13 | about 22, two categories. One of them talks about | 13 | But -- but basically what we're saying |
| 14 | entire counties that where republicans were not | 14 | is that, if we're not counting -- and we have case |
| 15 | allowed to cure, democrats were. So I'm talking | 15 | law in there, that if we're not counting the votes |
| 16 | about individual acts where republican was allowed | 16 | of people who are not allowed to cure, then their |
| 17 | and a democrat wasn't. | 17 | vote was diluted in comparison to people whose |
| 18 | MR. BROZOST: Thank you. | 18 | vote was allowed to be cured. And -- and I think |
| 19 | That's all, Mr. Bernius. | 19 | that's clearly a voter dilution standing argument. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Ms. Murrell, | 20 | Now you had pointed out to us that, you |
| 21 | anything? | 21 | know, we -- we couldn't get any relief from suing |
| 22 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: No, not at | 22 | the republican counties, because they were -- they |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | believed they were following the law. Now, if | 1 | under investigation or complaints had been made |
| 2 | we're incorrect, then Mr. Giuliani only hurt his | 2 | about him, but he thought that his obligations of |
| 3 | own client, but it's not frivolous. It's not | 3 | confidentiality and maintaining privilege |
| 4 | patently frivolous. It's not a bad argument. | 4 | prevented him from assisting us, in essence. And |
| 5 | MR. BROZOST: So what is the relief | 5 | so that was the reason that we resorted to the |
| 6 | that you'd be requesting for voter dilution? | 6 | subpoena -- |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, we -- we would | 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I comment -- |
| 8 | like to find out in -- in discovery what -- who - | 8 | MR. GIULIANI: No, no -- |
| 9 | how many ballots were allowed to be cured in the | 9 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May -- may I comment -- |
| 10 | democratic counties, particularly the seven | 10 | MR. GIULIANI: The client waived that. |
| 11 | counties, but it's -- it's also, as we pointed | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Excuse me -- |
| 12 | out, an Equal Protection argument that, even if it | 12 | MR. GIULIANI: That's the client's |
| 13 | were done differently in -- in democratic, | 13 | privilege. He waived it. That's President |
| 14 | republican counties, even if it was done in some | 14 | Trump's privilege, not the law firm. Mr. Trump |
| 15 | republican counties, that runs afoul of Bush v. | 15 | had waived privilege for me to testify. |
| 16 | Gore, and -- and -- and Pierce, and one case that | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Sir -- Mr. Bernius -- |
| 17 | I can't even pronounce, but it's in there. It's | 17 | Mr. Chair, may I -- may I comment on that? |
| 18 | a -- it's a -- I think it's a Ninth Circuit case, | 18 | We -- I personally emailed Mr. Hicks, |
| 19 | Giaforos (phon), and I can't pronounce it but it's | 19 | who would not respond. I spoke to Ms. Kearns, and |
| 20 | in our papers, clearly a violation of Equal | 20 | when she heard what I wanted her to do, she said |
| 21 | Protection. Even if they did it in some | 21 | she doesn't feel comfortable talking about that. |
| 22 | republican counties and not in some democratic | 22 | I also had contacted, by email, Mr. Scaringi; no |
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| 1 | counties, it has to be uniform. It must be | 1 | response. And I just want to point out, that when |
| 2 | uniform. | 2 | I looked up -- I had no knowledge of what had |
| 3 | MR. BROZOST: Thank you. | 3 | happened, but I saw that the Committee of 65 |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Anything else from | 4 | brought charges against all of them and I -- look, |
| 5 | the panel? | 5 | we're talking about inferences here, right? My |
| 6 | MS. HAYNESWORTH-MURRELL: Not at | 6 | inference is they did not want to talk because |
| 7 | this -- no. No, thank you. | 7 | they were subject to a -- discipline complaint by |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: All right the -- the | 8 | the Committee of 65 in Pennsylvania. |
| 9 | hearing committee will now -- | 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. I think now |
| 10 | MR. FOX: Mr. Bernius -- | 10 | we're getting off on a little bit of a tangent. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: -- resume its | 11 | MR. LEVENTHAL: No, but I wanted to |
| 12 | executive session pursuant to Board Rule XI. 11 -- | 12 | respond. |
| 13 | I'm sorry, Mr. Fox? | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. As I was |
| 14 | MR. FOX: I wanted to make one | 14 | saying, the hearing committee will now go back |
| 15 | representation with respect to Mr. Hicks. | 15 | into executive session, pursuant to Board Rule |
| 16 | We did attempt to interview Mr. Hicks, | 16 | XI.11, and I -- I note again that we spent |
| 17 | and we spoke with the general counsel for his law | 17 | considerable time last week in executive session |
| 18 | firm, and their position was that Mr. Hicks' | 18 | discussing this matter. |
| 19 | obligations of confidentiality to his clients | 19 | The rule provides that, in relevant |
| 20 | under 1.6 refute -- prevented him from being able | 20 | part, quote, "At the conclusion of the evidentiary |
| 21 | to speak with us. So it was not -- they did not | 21 | portion of the hearing and after hearing such |
| 22 | say that they refused to do it because he was | 22 | final argument as the hearing committee chair |

December 15, 2022

|  | Page 1209 |  | Page 1211 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | shall permit, the hearing committee shall go into |  | report and recommendation, which we will file with |
| 2 | executive session and decide preliminarily whether | 2 | the Board on Professional Responsibility. |
| 3 | it finds a violation of any disciplinary rule that | 3 | Board Rule XI. 11 also provides that, in |
| 4 | has been proven by Disciplinary Counsel". | 4 | all cases in which the hearing committee is able |
| 5 | Because of the extensive nature of our | 5 | to reach such a preliminary, nonbinding |
| 6 | earlier deliberations, I will recess this hearing | 6 | determination, the hearing committee shall |
| 7 | for 15 minutes until 10:10, when we will resume. | 7 | immediately resume the hearing and permit |
| 8 | If we need more time, we will let -- we | 8 | Disciplinary Counsel to present evidence of prior |
| 9 | will let you know and -- and -- continue the | 9 | discipline, if any. Respondent shall be permitted |
| 10 | recess longer. But right now I think 15 minutes | 10 | to present any additional evidence in mitigation. |
| 11 | is a reasonable time in which we can consider the | 11 | We will turn to that now. Mr. Fox, do |
| 12 | testimony we heard this morning in addition to | 12 | you have anything to offer at this point? |
| 13 | what we discussed last week. | 13 | MR. FOX: I do not. |
| 14 | So take a break until 10:10. Thank | 14 | MR. KAMINS: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, |
| 15 | you. | 15 | before we go into the mitigation, I just have a |
| 16 | MS. BORRAZAS: Opening up the breakout | 16 | question. |
| 17 | rooms now. | 17 | Will we be permitted at this point -- I |
| 18 | (Whereupon at 9:56 a.m. the hearing | 18 | know it's preliminarily, but you mentioned that |
| 19 | committee convened for executive session.) | 19 | one of the charges has been -- at least one of the |
| 20 | (Whereupon at 10:18 a.m. the hearing | 20 | charges has been proven. Are we entitled to know |
| 21 | resumed.) | 21 | which -- what that charge is? |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Pursuant to Board | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: No. The -- the |
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| 1 | Rule XI. 11 the hearing committed has convened in | 1 | Board has been clear on that, that, because it's |
| 2 | executive session to conclude our preliminary | 2 | preliminary, because it's not binding, it's not |
| 3 | consideration of this matter in light of this | 3 | required nor is it appropriate for us to discuss |
| 4 | morning's testimony. | 4 | that at this time. |
| 5 | We began our deliberations immediately | 5 | MR. GIULIANI: How can we respond? How |
| 6 | after closing arguments last week and have | 6 | can we respond? |
| 7 | considered the evidence presented during the | 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Now, Mr. -- Mr. Fox |
| 8 | hearing, including that presented this morning, | 8 | has nothing. |
| 9 | the parties' closing arguments, and they're | 9 | Mr. Kamins, do you have anything to |
| 10 | written submissions. | 10 | offer in mitigation of sanction? |
| 11 | Based on our consideration of the | 11 | MR. KAMINS: Yes, Mr. Chair, we -- Mr. |
| 12 | record, we have made a preliminary -- preliminary, | 12 | Chair, we would call Mr. Robert Costello. I -- I |
| 13 | nonbinding determination that disciplinary has | 13 | think he's in the waiting room. |
| 14 | proved at least one of the charged rule violations | 14 | MS. BORRAZAS: No one is in the waiting |
| 15 | by clear and convincing evidence. I must | 15 | room at this time. |
| 16 | emphasize that under Board Rule XI.11, this | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, we were just on |
| 17 | decision is preliminary and it is not binding. | 17 | the phone -- we were just on the phone and he used |
| 18 | This is not the final decision of the hearing | 18 | the link we had sent him last week. |
| 19 | committee. | 19 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can I call him quickly? |
| 20 | Following the conclusion of the | 20 | MR. KAMINS: Well, in the meantime, I |
| 21 | hearing, we will ask the parties to submit | 21 | wanted to offer additional mitigation through Mr. |
| 22 | post-hearing briefs and we will then prepare a | 22 | Giuliani. We would offer, of course, the |
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|  | testimony that he gave last week in connection | 1 | Q. In addition to the Twin Tower Fund -- |
| 2 | with his public service. I would not have him go | 2 | A. And we raised -- so we raised -- we |
| 3 | through that again. I would offer that portion of | 3 | raised two hundred and -- excuse me if I have the |
| 4 | the record as mitigation. | 4 | numbers slightly off, we raised about \$220M. We |
| 5 | Would that be acceptable? | 5 | distributed every penny to the widows, the |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yes. | 6 | orphans, the grandparents. We spent no money on |
| 7 | MR. KAMINS: All right, in addition to | 7 | administration with the exemption of the money for |
| 8 | that, I just have a few questions, a few brief | 8 | an accountant, which you have to spend on |
| 9 | questions of Mr. Giuliani. If I can proceed? | 9 | administration under New York law, because if you |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yes, you may. | 10 | don't, then it's considered a conflict of |
| 11 | (Rudolph Giuliani resumes the witness | 11 | interest. The rest of the administrative money, |
| 12 | stand.) | 12 | we didn't spend because I got people to donate |
| 13 | RESPONDENT'S CASE ON MITIGATION | 13 | their time or I got them to contribute |
| 14 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KAMINS: | 14 | specifically to pay the administrative bill of a |
| 15 | Q. Mr. Giuliani, last week you described | 15 | lawyer who had to review something or a person who |
| 16 | your years in public service. | 16 | had to build a building. Because I wanted to make |
| 17 | In addition to that, have you been | 17 | sure that I could say to you, if you give me -- if |
| 18 | responsible for founding any charitable | 18 | you give my $\$ 5,000$, every penny goes to -- goes to |
| 19 | organizations? | 19 | the -- to the widows. |
| 20 | A. I was -- I wouldn't say I founded, but | 20 | The second organization I was very |
| 21 | I was helpful in putting together -- well, yes, I | 21 | involved with was established at or about the same |
| 22 | was. I did find an organization known as the Twin | 22 | time and -- oh, and that organization, I started |
|  | Page 1214 |  | Page 1216 |
| 1 | Towers Fund that was set up several days after | 1 | and I concluded it in three years when all of the |
| 2 | September 11. I was the -- I was the head of it. | 2 | money was distributed. I was put through a |
| 3 | I -- I don't remember exactly -- I guess I was the | 3 | hellish audit by -- by Mr. Schweitzer before he |
| 4 | CEO or the chairman or someone else was the CEO. | 4 | became -- |
| 5 | And I raised -- I -- I -- I started that | 5 | Q. You're talking about the Twin Tower |
| 6 | organization for the specific purpose of making | 6 | Fund? |
| 7 | sure that my fire fighters and police officers who | 7 | A. Yeah, and is found -- it was found to |
| 8 | died on September 11, that their families would | 8 | have wasted no money at all, which he was |
| 9 | never have to worry about educating their children | 9 | reluctant to put out, but he did. |
| 10 | or taking care of their children or would never | 10 | Q. And what was the second -- |
| 11 | want for physical things, because there was | 11 | A. The second one was the Twin Tower Fund. |
| 12 | nothing else I could do for them. | 12 | The Twin Tower Fund -- |
| 13 | We did that for other police officers | 13 | Q. That's the one you've been talking |
| 14 | that died. Very often when the city -- while I | 14 | about. |
| 15 | say mayor, approximately 52 police officers were | 15 | A. Oh I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Tunnel to |
| 16 | killed in the line of duty, and 48 fire fighters | 16 | Towers. Tunnel Towers was established three or |
| 17 | and a number of sanitation workers and teachers | 17 | four days after the attack by a family, an |
| 18 | and -- as to each one of them I always set up a | 18 | extraordinary family known as the sill er family. |
| 19 | fund in addition to the city's funds, which -- | 19 | Stephen Siller was a New York City firefighter, 29 |
| 20 | which were, believe it or not, more substantial | 20 | years old, lived in Brooklyn. On the day that |
| 21 | than the federal government provides for soldiers, | 21 | happened he was off duty, going to play golf with |
| 22 | which shocked me. | 22 | his brother, Frank, and instead of playing golf, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | he canceled it; he kissed his four children at | 1 | for the rest of their lives with help. |
| 2 | home good-bye that were all watching television. | 2 | And then for catastrophically injured |
| 3 | He ran to his firehouse, which was four blocks | 3 | warriors -- because in the wars we fight now, less |
| 4 | away, he broke into his fire house. He took out | 4 | people die but more people are seriously injured |
| 5 | his bunker gear, which ways about 70 pounds. He | 5 | and live -- they built smart homes. They built |
| 6 | put it on his back, and he ran through the | 6 | homes so that you can have a maximum degree of |
| 7 | Brooklyn Battery Tunnel... | 7 | independence. And it's a wonderful thing to -- to |
| 8 | He ran through the Brooklyn Battery | 8 | see. I believe they've done over a hundred of |
| 9 | Tunnel, to the Twin Towers, ran into the Twin | 9 | these homes; they're on schedule to do a thousand, |
| 10 | Towers, has been documented as having saved | 10 | and they have a program you may have seen on |
| 11 | approximately 13 people, who have given testimony | 11 | television. This ask you to put in $\$ 11$ a month so |
| 12 | about that. Thereafter we lost track of him and | 12 | that you will build these homes for paraplegic and |
| 13 | he died. | 13 | catastrophically injured warriors. |
| 14 | The Siller family, several days after, | 14 | Just this morning I was late coming |
| 15 | decided to start a run through the Brooklyn | 15 | here because we had a telethon for them to raise |
| 16 | Battery Tunnel in memory of what their brother | 16 | money with Frank Siller, who is the person who |
| 17 | Stephen did to raise money for the same purpose: | 17 | runs it. So I feel I have an obligation any time |
| 18 | to -- to give to the families of the firefighters, | 18 | he asks me or anybody else having to do with |
| 19 | the police officers, the rescue workers, the | 19 | September 11th to do whatever I can do to -- to -- |
| 20 | construction workers, et cetera. | 20 | to help. I help. |
| 21 | I had to give them permission to do | 21 | I also help out with a lot of Catholic |
| 22 | that. At first I was reluctant to do it, because | 22 | charities and sports charities, but those are the |
|  | Page 1218 |  | Page 1220 |
| 1 | the FBI and the CIA wanted that tunnel to be just | 1 | two that I devote the most of my time to. |
| 2 | for them, so that they could move very, very | 2 | I read -- I read The Nice Before |
| 3 | important material. This is no longer classified | 3 | Christmas to the foster children at Hail House |
| 4 | information, but one of the buildings that went | 4 | every year. I've done it for 27 years. I dress |
| 5 | down was a CIA special site. So it had to be | 5 | up as Santa Claus. |
| 6 | guarded very carefully for -- for a month, and it | 6 | MR. KAMINS: All right, thank you. |
| 7 | led to a lot of the conspiracy -- a slurry of | 7 | (Witness is excused.) |
| 8 | conspiracy theories. But in any -- in any event, | 8 | MR. KAMINS: That concludes that |
| 9 | we started that. I had been involved in it from | 9 | portion of Mr. Giuliani's testimony. We'd now |
| 10 | day one -- from day one on the board. I chair | 10 | like to -- |
| 11 | their golf tournament. I chair their dinners. I | 11 | Is Mr. Costello in the waiting room? |
| 12 | go to their events. I sat down with major donors | 12 | MS. BORRAZAS: He is. |
| 13 | and helped raise three million and five million | 13 | MR. KAMINS: All right. Could you |
| 14 | and eight million. | 14 | please let him in? |
| 15 | The long and short of it is, after they | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Yes, letting him in |
| 16 | took care of the needs of all of the firefighters | 16 | now. |
| 17 | and police officers, they expanded to taking care | 17 | (Robert Costello on the witness stand.) |
| 18 | of the needs of firefighters, police officers and | 18 | MS. BORRAZAS: Mr. Costello, can you |
| 19 | military people who died in the line of duty. | 19 | start your camera. |
| 20 | They -- they paid the mortgage on the home | 20 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Sorry. Good |
| 21 | immediately. They also helped them with getting | 21 | morning, Mr. Costello. |
| 22 | the children through school. They're with them | 22 | THE WITNESS: Good morning. |
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CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give in this matter will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you god?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes.
CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You made proceed, Mr. Kamins.

MR. KAMINS: Thank you.
Whereupon,
ROBERT COSTELLO,
called as a witness on behalf of Respondent, and after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT:
BY MR. KAMINS:
Q. Mr. Costello, are you currently practicing law?
A. I am.
Q. And where are you admitted to practice?
A. I'm admitted to practice in the State of New York the Southern District of New York, the
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Eastern District of New York and the United States
Supreme Court.
Q. And are you currently employed?
A. I am.
Q. And where are you employed?
A. I'm a partner at Davidoff Hutcher \&

Citron, at 630 Third Avenue, New York, New York.
Q. And what type of practice are you engaged in?
A. I do civil and criminal litigation.

The criminal litigation is limited to white collar investigations.

That type of material.
Q. And prior to your practice, private practice, did you serve in public service?
A. I did. I was an assistant United

States attorney in the Southern District of New
York and deputy chief for the criminal division of that office.
Q. And what types of cases --
A. This is a little anecdote: before that,
in between my second and third year of law school,

I was a student assistant in the United States
Attorneys Office for the Southern District of New
York, where I worked directly with Rudy Giuliani.
Q. That's what I was getting to. So you
know Mr. Giuliani?
A. For 51 years.
Q. And tell us again, how did you meet him?
A. When I took a job as a student assistant in the United States Attorneys Office, during the summer between my second and third year of law school, the Assistant U.S. Attorney that I was assigned to was Rudolph W. Giuliani, who at the time had a full head of hair and a moustache. But I still recognize him.
Q. And since that time, have you maintained a relationship with Mr. Giuliani?
A. I have, absolutely. And for the last
three years I've been representing Mr. Giuliani in connection with an investigation of the Southern District of New York into alleged FARA, Foreign Agent Registration Act violations, which turned
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out to be absolutely nothing.
Two or three weeks ago, the United States Attorneys Office, breaking with tradition, issued a public statement saying that they were not bringing any charges against Mr. Giuliani, and this is after we had reviewed -- at least I had -reviewed every email and text message written on any of his electronic devices for the past 20 years.
Q. You -- you personally reviewed that those -- that information?
A. I did. My assignment was -- we had a special master in that case, because Mr. Giuliani was not only a lawyer but the personal counsel for the President of the United States. The U.S. Attorneys Office initiated the move for a special master so that we could review privileged documents and make claims of privilege. That meant that every single piece of electronic evidence was delivered to the special master, who delivered it to me, to decide whether we were going to claim executive privilege,
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | attorney/client privilege, work product privilege, | 1 | a lot more knowledge about that than I certainly |
| 2 | et cetera. And then the special master would make | 2 | do. But there isn't a chance in the world. I |
| 3 | a ruling and if we disagreed, the district judge | 3 | would stake my life on that, that he wouldn't file |
| 4 | would then be the decider of that. But we never | 4 | a frivolous lawsuit knowingly. |
| 5 | needed to go to the district judge. We agreed on | 5 | MR. KAMINS: I have nothing further, |
| 6 | virtually everything. | 6 | Mr. Bernius. |
| 7 | Q. All right -- | 7 | MR. FOX: No questions. |
| 8 | A. But in the process, I had to review | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox? |
| 9 | every single email and text message way outside of | 9 | MR. FOX: No questions. |
| 10 | the scope of the criminal investigation. But, you | 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you, Mr. |
| 11 | know, I -- I don't know anybody that could stand | 11 | Costello. |
| 12 | up to that kind of scrutiny. I literally have | 12 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you |
| 13 | seen Mr. Giuliani's electronic soul. | 13 | all. |
| 14 | Q. Now, Mr. Costello, based on your $50-$ - | 14 | (Witness is excused.) |
| 15 | or more than fifty-year relationship with Mr. | 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Kamins, anything |
| 16 | Giuliani, have you formed an opinion as to the | 16 | else? |
| 17 | character of Mr. Giuliani? | 17 | MR. KAMINS: No, nothing further. |
| 18 | A. Absolutely. He's one of the finest | 18 | No -- no further mitigation. |
| 19 | individuals I've had the pleasure to meet in my | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you. The -- |
| 20 | life. I know this guy probably better than | 20 | with the exception of the supplementation of the |
| 21 | himself actually, because I saw him practicing | 21 | record with the district court's filings that we |
| 22 | when he was an unknown assistant U.S. attorney. | 22 | discussed earlier, the -- the case is closed. The |
|  | Page 1226 |  | Page 1228 |
| 1 | And by the way, during his career, he never lost a | 1 | hearing is completed. |
| 2 | case as an assistant U.S. attorney, and I think | 2 | I think it would -- it would be |
| 3 | everybody knows his record as the United States | 3 | productive at this point, though, if we had some |
| 4 | Attorney for the Southern District of New York. | 4 | oral argument on the issue of sanction. |
| 5 | This is an extraordinary guy, an | 5 | In my experience, assuming we find a |
| 6 | extraordinary lawyer who really -- his inner soul | 6 | violation after the filing of the briefs and |
| 7 | believes in winning. He doesn't take chances in | 7 | proposed findings of fact, assuming we reach that |
| 8 | cases. This -- the thought that this guy would | 8 | point, we have to make a recommendation on |
| 9 | file a frivolous lawsuit is just unimaginable to | 9 | sanction, which is often one of the most difficult |
| 10 | me, because Rudy Giuliani is smart enough to know | 10 | decisions a hearing committee or indeed the Board |
| 11 | that a frivolous lawsuit is going to result, at | 11 | can make. |
| 12 | the very least, in a loss -- and he doesn't like | 12 | So I'd like to hear first Mr. Fox |
| 13 | to lose -- and it's -- it could result in a | 13 | and -- and then respondent as to what their |
| 14 | situation that he's in right any. | 14 | recommendations are as to sanction in this case. |
| 15 | So, there's not a chance in the world | 15 | First, Mr. Fox, do you have any |
| 16 | that this guy would have knowingly filed a | 16 | comments? |
| 17 | frivolous lawsuit. | 17 | ARGUMENT ON SANCTIONS |
| 18 | He was in charge of seven, I think, or | 18 | ON BEHALF OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |
| 19 | eight state litigations at the same time, and so | 19 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 20 | he had to rely on other people, other lawyers who | 20 | MR. FOX: Yes. As I'm -- as I know you |
| 21 | were practiced in the art of election law, which | 21 | know very well, Mr. Bernius, there's substantial |
| 22 | is certainly not Mr. Giuliani's specialty. He has | 22 | case law about how the hearing committee and |
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| 1 | ultimately the court reaches sanction in these | 1 | testified on several occasions that he believes |
| 2 | cases: the so-called five factors, the notion that | 2 | there was a conspiracy. Well there was a |
| 3 | the sanction should be consistent with sanctions | 3 | conspiracy and he was the head of it. I'm not |
| 4 | imposed in other similar cases. |  | saying it was a criminal conspiracy, but it was |
| 5 | But I believe that in this situation | 5 | certainly a civil conspiracy, and the conspiracy |
| 6 | that that case law is irrelevant. I said I think | 6 | was he left the White House on January -- on -- on |
| 7 | in the -- my initial argument in this case that | 7 | November 4 and immediately determined that they |
| 8 | what Mr. Giuliani did was use his law license to | 8 | were going to challenge the results of this |
| 9 | undermine the legitimacy of a presidential | 9 | election, before they had any evidence. |
| 10 | election, and by doing so, to undermine the basic | 10 | MR. GIULIANI: It's not on the record. |
| 11 | premise of the democratic system that we all live | 11 | MR. FOX: They went -- you know, he |
| 12 | in, enshrined in our Constitution, which is that, | 12 | testified, he reiterated it today. They were |
| 13 | when an election is over and the results are | 13 | going to put together some kind of national |
| 14 | determined, losers concede and the winner governs; | 14 | challenge in multiple states. It was shoot first, |
| 15 | a principal that was established in 180, as I | 15 | ask questions later. Lawyers can't do that, but |
| 16 | think I said in my opening statement as well. | 16 | in the context in which Mr. Giuliani did it, it |
| 17 | When we didn't have political parties in those | 17 | was the most serious violation of Rule 3.1 that |
| 18 | days -- they called them factions -- but when John | 18 | this country is ever going to experience, I hope. |
| 19 | Adams was defeated in his effort to get a second | 19 | And so, I think this case, the |
| 20 | term, there was at the time considerable | 20 | seriousness of the misconduct, calls for only one |
|  | apprehension as to what would happen... | 21 | sanction, and that is the sanction of disbarment. |
| 22 | Remember this was a very new system of | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Fox, let me ask |
|  | Page 1230 |  | Page 1232 |
| 1 | government. Benjamin Franklin famously said, at | 1 | you first, is there -- is there any Pennsylvania |
| 2 | the conclusion of the Constitutional Convention, | 2 | law that -- that deals with sanction on 3.1 -- |
| 3 | if it's republican, you can keep it, and there was | 3 | Rule 3.1 violations? |
| 4 | a question as to whether you can keep it. And | 4 | MR. FOX: Mr. Bernius, I don't know off |
| 5 | Adams established that we could by going back to | 5 | the top of my head, but I will tell you this, the |
| 6 | Massachusetts and retiring and allowing Jefferson | 6 | case law in the District of Columbia is that, even |
| 7 | to become president. That principal has endured | 7 | though you are applying the substantive law of the |
| 8 | in the United States ever since. | 8 | state in which the misconduct occurred, that you |
| 9 | In 1865 -- 1861, obviously some states | 9 | apply the sanction rule for the District of |
| 10 | did not want to acknowledge -- did not want to | 10 | Columbia. We'll provide you cases on that at the |
| 11 | continue in the union, but even then I don't think | 11 | appropriate time. So It think the DC sanction law |
| 12 | the challenge to Lincoln's presidency was that he | 12 | is the one that's appropriate. |
| 13 | hadn't been elected. The challenge was they | 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Are you suggesting |
| 14 | wouldn't -- the southern states would not live | 14 | that we should disregard the five- or six-factor |
| 15 | with it. | 15 | test that's been laid out by the court of appeals? |
| 16 | And I guess the closest we came after | 16 | MR. FOX: I don't know that I would use |
| 17 | that was maybe -- was the Hayes-Tilden election | 17 | the word "disregard" as much as I would say that |
| 18 | and the famous Wormley House deal which, to our | 18 | the first of those factors, the nature of the |
| 19 | shame, ended with reconstruction. | 19 | misconduct in this case is so overwhelming that |
| 20 | But in all those cases, the losing | 20 | the other factors are I believe irrelevant. |
| 21 | candidate and his supporters conceded and they did | 21 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Because I don't know |
| 22 | not do what Mr. Giuliani did. Mr. Giuliani has | 22 | if you'd bring a petition to ignore the test. I |
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|  | mean, I think whatever sanction we apply has to be |  | court -- that this hearing committee, the Board |
| 2 | consistent with the application of the test that | 2 | and all through the court ought to say cannot be |
| 3 | the court of appeals has -- has articulated. | 3 | tolerated; that certainly in the future any lawyer |
| 4 | MR. FOX: Well, I understand that | 4 | that engages in this kind of misconduct, harming |
| 5 | and -- and -- and what I've just suggested to you | 5 | the country as this has done, has at least got to |
| 6 | I think is a way to harmonize the -- the | 6 | realize that his or her law license is at risk. |
| 7 | recommendation with that -- | 7 | And, yes, it's unprecedented but I think the harm |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: You've got -- you've | 8 | that was done is unprecedented. |
| 9 | got some -- you've got some problems, though. | 9 | I've, you know, been involved in the |
| 10 | Don't you mean in the -- in terms of the | 10 | disciplinary system for 35 years maybe, and I |
| 11 | comparability, the -- probably the most egregious | 11 | can't think of another case that approaches this |
| 12 | case that I'm aware of in -- in terms of 3.1 is | 12 | in terms of the seriousness of the misconduct. |
| 13 | Pierson, which was -- which was a lawsuit that | 13 | So, it -- it is my position, and I'm going to |
| 14 | brought over the alleged loss of a pair of pants | 14 | adhere to this position -- you may or not -- you |
| 15 | and damages were $\$ 62 \mathrm{M}$. That was a pretty extreme | 15 | obviously may not agree with it -- but it is my |
| 16 | claim, and the -- and the sanction was 90 days. | 16 | position that the only, the only sanction that's |
| 17 | So from 90 days to disbarment is quite a leap, | 17 | appropriate for this kind of conduct is |
| 18 | isn't it? | 18 | disbarment. |
| 19 | MR. FOX: I -- I agree with you $100 \%$, | 19 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: One of the other |
| 20 | but I don't think that the comparison of an | 20 | factors that we have to take into account is -- |
| 21 | extremely frivolous complaint over a pair of pants | 21 | is -- is mitigation evidence and -- and on that |
| 22 | against a dry cleaner compares with violating the | 22 | there is, it seems to me, a, you know, a fair |
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| 1 | basic oath that we all take to support the | 1 | amount that has been testified to in terms of Mr. |
| 2 | Constitution. That's whatever DC lawyer says. | 2 | Giuliani's conduct, although most of it appears to |
| 3 | And to try to undermine the legitimacy of the | 3 | be quite some time ago. |
| 4 | election. | 4 | Is that -- how do you factor that into |
| 5 | And look -- I mean, you -- you -- you | 5 | your recommendation. |
| 6 | cannot be oblivious to what has gone on in this | 6 | MR. FOX: Look, Mr. Giuliani -- I mean, |
| 7 | country since November 3rd of 2020, and the harm | 7 | you know, Mr. Giuliani's service as the United |
| 8 | that Mr. Giuliani initiated, which is part of a | 8 | States Attorney and his service as the mayor is -- |
| 9 | continuum. It goes from filing lawsuits that are | 9 | is not without criticism. There is no question |
| 10 | all unsubstantiated to, you know, efforts to get | 10 | that his conduct in the wake of the events of 9/11 |
| 11 | the vice president not to certify the results of | 11 | was admirable, more than admirable. But as you |
| 12 | the election, to what we started -- | 12 | said, that was 20 years ago, and I don't know |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: We're getting a | 13 | whether something has happened to Mr. Giuliani in |
| 14 | little beyond the record here, though right? I | 14 | the interim or what, but, you know, it's like |
| 15 | mean, that's -- | 15 | there's two different people. There's the person |
| 16 | MR. FOX: I don't think it's beyond the | 16 | who responded in a way that very few people could |
| 17 | record to -- you know, to look at the events that | 17 | respond to 9/11 and showed remarkable leadership |
| 18 | everybody in this country knows occurred, and this | 18 | at that time, and there's the person who attempted |
| 19 | was part of the continuum. I think it was a | 19 | to undermined -- who attempted to undermine the |
| 20 | harm -- a fundamental harm to the fabric of the | 20 | legitimacy of a presidential election, without a |
| 21 | country that could well be irreparable. But it | 21 | basis to do so. And I don't think that -- |
| 22 | certainly is something that -- that -- that the | 22 | MR. KAMINS: We would object -- Mr. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chair. We would object to this discussion. It's | 1 | legitimate, but that wasn't what they did. And -- |
| 2 | not part of the record and we think it's | 2 | and -- and it was a coordinated effort to |
| 3 | inappropriate. | 3 | undermine the legitimacy of the election. And |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Overruled. | 4 | if -- if -- if we don't believe in elections in |
| 5 | MR. FOX: And I don't think that that | 5 | this country, we've lost our democracy. And that |
| 6 | prior service justifies imposing a sanction lesser | 6 | is what they -- he attempted to do, and it is not |
| 7 | than disbarment for this extraordinary misconduct | 7 | an election contest. That -- I have no problem |
| 8 | in which he engaged. | 8 | with that. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Anything else, Mr. | 9 | MR. BROZOST: Thank you. |
| 10 | Fox? | 10 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Mr. Kamins or Mr. |
| 11 | MR. FOX: No, sir. | 11 | Leventhal? |
| 12 | MR. BROZOST: Mr. Bernius, I have a | 12 | ARGUMENT ON SANCTIONS |
| 13 | question for Mr. Fox. | 13 | ON BEHALF OR RESPONDENT |
| 14 | In the discussion, you laid out a | 14 | BY MR. LEVENTHAL: |
| 15 | litany where there was a peaceful transfer of | 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I will take the lead on |
| 16 | power throughout American history, and what I am | 16 | this, if I may, Mr. Bernius. |
| 17 | struggling with is -- is any time there is a | 17 | Number one, Mr. Giuliani has totally |
| 18 | challenge to an election that is unsuccessful -- | 18 | cooperated in the DC Counsel's investigation. He |
| 19 | MR. GIULIANI: Please take it clear I | 19 | has met -- he has agreed to meet with Mr. -- Mr. |
| 20 | had nothing to do with that. | 20 | Fox on a video zoom to explain his position |
| 21 | MS. BORRAZAS: Mr. Giuliani, can you | 21 | earlier. It's evidenced by two of our -- two of |
| 22 | put yourself on mute, please. | 22 | our submissions that Mr. Kamins and I have |
|  | Page 1238 |  | Page 1240 |
| 1 | MR. BROZOST: Would that constitute | 1 | submitted, which is in the record in our -- in our |
| 2 | sanctionable activity, if it's unsuccessful? | 2 | exhibits. So -- so we just want to know, let you |
| 3 | MR. FOX: No, sir. | 3 | know that he's absolutely cooperating. We want |
| 4 | I mean, look, let's go to Bush v. Gore. | 4 | the panel to know that this truncated time frame, |
| 5 | Bush v. Gore was a state election challenge in an | 5 | where everything had to be decided by November |
| 6 | election that was decided I-- my recollection was | 6 | 23rd for the certification of Pennsylvania, that |
| 7 | less than 500 votes statewide, and there was a | 7 | he relied on others, including Mr. Hicks, who |
| 8 | legitimate election challenge that was filed and | 8 | argued the Pierce case, which had an Equal |
| 9 | I -- there -- there -- there's nothing wrong with | 9 | Protection argument that was relied on here and |
| 10 | that. And if -- let's take Pennsylvania for | 10 | which we relied on, amongst other cases. He |
| 11 | example. Eighty thousand votes is a lot to | 11 | relied on others to file the initial lawsuit. His |
| 12 | overcome. But Pennsylvania's got, you know, | 12 | contribution was that this occurred in other |
| 13 | provisions and laws. The states run the | 13 | states. |
| 14 | elections. File an election challenge if you've | 14 | The fact that other lawyers left the |
| 15 | got a basis to do so. I don't think there's | 15 | case -- I don't want to go into detail, but you |
| 16 | anything wrong with that. But that isn't what | 16 | can look at Judge Brann's introduction. He noted |
| 17 | they did. They brought a civil rights action in | 17 | that attorneys for the plaintiffs both appeared |
| 18 | federal court. And I believe all of the actions | 18 | and withdrew within 72 hours. Both withdrawals |
| 19 | that were filed nationwide that Mr. Giuliani | 19 | came because of pressure from their firms and |
| 20 | headed up were -- were -- were similarly filed in | 20 | external threats against the lawyers personally. |
| 21 | federal court. They weren't election challenges | 21 | On November 9th the complaint was |
| 22 | to close elections. I mean, that's perfectly | 22 | filed, as you know, by Hicks and McGee. On |
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| 1 | November 12th they moved to withdraw and two Texas | 1 | Giuliani like any other lawyer? |
| 2 | attorneys came in as cocounsel to Kearns. | 2 | His mitigation, his long service to the |
| 3 | On November 13th, the Third Circuit | 3 | country, both as the third highest ranking person |
| 4 | just issued its Bognet decision, which was -- cert | 4 | in the Attorney General's Office; as the U.S. |
| 5 | was applied for shortly thereafter. | 5 | Attorney for the Southern District of New York, |
| 6 | On November 15th, Kearns and Scott, | 6 | where he prosecuted numerous financial misconduct |
| 7 | without Mr. Giuliani's -- filed the first -- filed | 7 | cases, as well as organized crime cases, breaking |
| 8 | the amended complaint. On November 16th, | 8 | up the five families in New York; his charitable |
| 9 | defendants filed a motion to dismiss. Later that | 9 | contributions that continue now in the wake of |
| 10 | evening Kearns, along with Scott and Hughes, moved | 10 | $9 / 11$, this politics is not in the record. One |
| 11 | to withdrew from litigation. This withdrawal, as | 11 | federal judge has absolved him from anything |
| 12 | the earlier litigation, was occasioned by pressure | 12 | regarding January 6th in this case. |
| 13 | from their law firms, as well as personal threats | 13 | This is not about politics. In fact |
| 14 | against the lawyers. | 14 | this would chill any advocacy and this is -- |
| 15 | As Mr. -- as they had only been i the | 15 | Mr. -- Mr. Fox is asking us to take politics, we |
| 16 | case of 72 hours, Judge Brann let them withdraw | 16 | should take this out of the equation, judge him |
| 17 | but kept Kearns in the case so that there would be | 17 | like any other attorney. |
| 18 | continuity and that she could answer questions. | 18 | So we believe that, in light of the |
| 19 | Oral argument was scheduled for the | 19 | preliminary finding, not a final recommendation, |
| 20 | next day on November 17th, and Judge Brann wanted | 20 | that there was clear and convincing evidence that |
| 21 | to have someone to be able to answer the | 21 | Mr. Giuliani might have violated a -- one of the |
| 22 | questions. | 22 | rules in at least one aspect of this litigation, |
|  | Page 1242 |  | Page 1244 |
| 1 | On the 16th, Scaringi entered his | 1 | although we do obviously take issue with that. We |
| 2 | notice of appearance and asked for more time. He | 2 | feel that, assuming arguendo, this would be the |
| 3 | planned to argue this case. He wasn't given more | 3 | final, we believe the least serious discipline |
| 4 | time so Mr. Giuliani stepped in the lurch. | 4 | should be imposed, otherwise you're going to chill |
| 5 | So he, faced with the attrition of | 5 | effective advocacy in the future, and politics |
| 6 | counsel who would argue the case, made an | 6 | should not pay -- play any part, we hope, and we |
| 7 | application to appear pro hac vice, and the court | 7 | trust, in this committee's final recommendation. |
| 8 | accepted that. | 8 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: What's your view of |
| 9 | If you also look at Judge Brann's | 9 | the appropriate sanction? |
| 10 | colloquy with the attorneys, it doesn't appear | 10 | MR. LEVENTHAL: At least a letter of |
| 11 | that he was going to sanction Mr. Giuliani, even | 11 | reprimand or -- or a private admonition. |
| 12 | though he could have. | 12 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: So he -- he should |
| 13 | Now, let's take politics out of this | 13 | not be sanctioned so harshly -- as harshly as |
| 14 | equation and let's treat Mr. Giuliani like any | 14 | somebody who sued a dry cleaner over a lost pair |
| 15 | other attorney, as Mr. Bernius has said with the | 15 | of pants? |
| 16 | five factors. That case was a \$92M lawsuit over a | 16 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Well, but he didn't |
| 17 | pair of pants, where I think -- correct me if I'm | 17 | just sue a dry cleaner. He asked for \$92M in |
| 18 | wrong -- there was 19,000 or \$30,000 for car | 18 | damages. Mr. Giuliani didn't -- look, his case |
| 19 | fare -- a car service to go to another dry | 19 | was denied -- his case was over very quickly. |
| 20 | cleaner, emotional distress, and there was a | 20 | They went to the appeal court a couple of days |
| 21 | ninety-day suspension. Why disregard the | 21 | later and didn't pursue it after that. |
| 22 | precedent in this case? Why not treat Mr. | 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Roy Pierson was |
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| 1 | sanctioned and suspended for 90 days -- | 1 | hearing committee? |
| 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Correct. | 2 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Can I -- can I have a |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Because of his -- | 3 | moment, please, to confer with my client? I'm |
| 4 | essentially because of the extensive remedy that | 4 | going to take my microphone off. |
| 5 | he sought. He sought incredible money damages | 5 | (Brief pause.) |
| 6 | for -- that were way out of whack with the loss of | 6 | MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, there's one more |
| 7 | a pair of pants. | 7 | thing I'd like to state. |
| 8 | So how -- how -- respond to Mr. Fox's | 8 | Nowhere in the charges does it charge |
| 9 | argument that, really what's going on here is that | 9 | Mr. Giuliani with undermining democracy, with |
| 10 | he's seeking sanction based on the extraordinary | 10 | destroying democracy, and I think it's a little |
| 11 | remedy that was sought by Mr. Giuliani in that | 11 | unfair to -- for that to be a factor in your |
| 12 | litigation, which would have disrupted our entire | 12 | calculus of the appropriate sanction. |
| 13 | society. How do you -- isn't that more | 13 | If anything, a federal judge has found |
| 14 | significant than a simple money damages claim? | 14 | under Iqbal Twombley, which Mr. Fox is relying on, |
| 15 | MR. LEVENTHAL: I -- I don't want to be | 15 | in Bernie Thompson vs. Trump, that any claim |
| 16 | repetitive, but I think I pointed out earlier in | 16 | against Mr. Giuliani does not cross the line from |
| 17 | this case that it is -- in the request for | 17 | conceivable to plausible as a conspirator. |
| 18 | preliminary or temporary restraining order, it was | 18 | So, look, we didn't bring that in. |
| 19 | just sought to keep the status quo, don't certify | 19 | They should not be able to bring -- to bring that |
| 20 | the election until a hearing can be held and where | 20 | in. It's irrelevant to this determination on |
| 21 | he would try and attempt to prove the allegations | 21 | sanction, and I think Mr. -- Mr. Fox is trying to |
| 22 | made on the Due Process, the Equal Protection | 22 | sway the -- the panel -- the panel for a more |
|  | Page 1246 |  | Page 1248 |
| 1 | argument. And if that were sustained -- as I | 1 | harsh sanction by bringing in this background, |
| 2 | pointed out in the legal memorandum that I | 2 | this noise that is not in the complaint or the |
| 3 | submitted, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court case, | 3 | record. |
| 4 | four judges said that the remedy requested was too | 4 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: How about that, Mr. |
| 5 | drastic and three judges in the majority pointed | 5 | Fox? What do you have to say to that? |
| 6 | out in a footnote that asking for such further and | 6 | REBUTTAL ARGUMENT ON SANCTIONS |
| 7 | equitable relief, that anything is possible, | 7 | ON BEHALF OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |
| 8 | including maybe a new election, if he proved it. | 8 | BY MR. FOX: |
| 9 | So he didn't ask for anything drastic | 9 | MR. FOX: The notion that this is |
| 10 | in the -- in the temporary restraining order. He | 10 | politics and that we should ignore what is going |
| 11 | basically asked for relief if everything was | 11 | on in this country is to me -- blinks reality. |
| 12 | proven. So he didn't -- he didn't ask to stop | 12 | I mean, this is case is not motivated |
| 13 | everything, turn the election over to Mr. Trump. | 13 | by politics in the sense of -- well, the basic |
| 14 | He asked for keeping the status quo until a | 14 | problem I think with -- with what Mr. Giuliani did |
| 15 | hearing can be held. Now I know I said that | 15 | was the notion that politics means anything goes. |
| 16 | before, but I'm saying again. | 16 | Even when Mr. Leventhal was describing the |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you, Mr. | 17 | situation a moment ago, there was a sort of an |
| 18 | Leventhal. | 18 | assumption: well, this lawsuit is going to be |
| 19 | Mr. Fox, anything else? | 19 | brought, and Mr. Giuliani comes in at the last |
| 20 | MR. FOX: No, I have nothing at this | 20 | minute. No. Lawsuits, asking courts to deprive |
| 21 | point in time. | 21 | voters of their right to vote, those are not -- |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Anything from the | 22 | that's not common politics in this country. This |
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| 1 | is not politics. They -- they're -- they're | 1 | come out yet, but we will issue an order to this |
| 2 | trying to ignore the will of the voters. They're | 2 | effect. But for the -- basically for the benefit |
| 3 | trying openly to ask a judge to disqualify six | 3 | of respondents mostly -- respondent mostly, |
| 4 | hundred -- at minimum, 680,000 voters with a | 4 | because you -- you haven't -- I -- I don't think |
| 5 | notion that anything goes; it's just politics; | 5 | you've been involved in the system before, the -- |
| 6 | anything goes; you just got to win. And that is | 6 | the filings are kind of like summary judgment |
| 7 | something that somebody has to put a stop to. | 7 | findings. The findings of fact, proposed findings |
| 8 | And if you want to take a blinder view | 8 | of fact are to be supported by specific references |
| 9 | and compare this to a guy who filed a frivolous | 9 | to the record. Disciplinary Counsel's going to do |
| 10 | lawsuit about a pair of pants, you can obviously | 10 | that, and then respondent will reply, and you have |
| 11 | do that. But I think that the court ultimately | 11 | to respond directly to each of the allegations -- |
| 12 | ought to say -- not that we're trying to -- I | 12 | the alleged facts citing your own -- if you |
| 13 | forget the word that Mr. Leventhal used, to chill | 13 | disagree, you site your own record evidence. |
| 14 | advocacy I think he said, but we are trying to | 14 | They're accompanied by the conclusion of law and |
| 15 | deter -- that's the business that I'm in, is | 15 | both of Mr. Kamins' and Mr. -- Mr. Leventhal were |
| 16 | deterrence -- we are trying to deter people from | 16 | judges and -- and so what I'm going to say |
| 17 | engaging in this kind of misconduct, and this | 17 | probably will resonate with them: less is more. |
| 18 | misconduct was so serious that it should never be | 18 | We -- the tighter the writing, the better, and |
| 19 | allowed to happen again, and -- I don't know that | 19 | we'll -- there'll be a page limit, but that |
| 20 | we can prevent it, but the one thing we can do to | 20 | doesn't mean that you have to achieve it. |
| 21 | try to deter it is to impose the most serious | 21 | So the -- overall, I think the calendar |
| 22 | sanction that can be imposed. It's not politics. | 22 | will be 30 days after the transcript for |
|  | Page 1250 |  | Page 1252 |
| 1 | It's what -- you know, it -- it is part of our | 1 | Disciplinary Counsel, 30 days for respondents -- |
| 2 | duty as lawyers to make sure that people don't use | 2 | respondent to reply, and then another 15 days for |
| 3 | their law licenses to undermine the Constitution | 3 | Disciplinary Counsel to reply. |
| 4 | of the United States, and disbarment is the only | 4 | And these dates are -- will be |
| 5 | sanction for that. | 5 | pretty -- pretty cemented in because the rules |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay, thank you -- | 6 | mandate that the hearing committee issue its |
| 7 | MR. LEVENTHAL: May I say -- say one | 7 | report and recommendation within a -- within a |
| 8 | thing, Mr. Bernius? This is not in the record. | 8 | deadline. So basically the more time we give you, |
| 9 | Everything he said about that he was going to | 9 | we take time away from ourselves, and that's an |
| 10 | bring a lawsuit before he found anything -- any | 10 | unhappy prospect. |
| 11 | declarations, affidavits, any theory; not in the | 11 | So any -- any comments or questions? |
| 12 | record. That is Mr. Fox's conclusion; not in the | 12 | MR. FOX: Mr. Bernius, actually my -- |
| 13 | record. That's argument; no -- no evidence to | 13 | the comment I'm going to make may be irrelevant in |
| 14 | that. | 14 | light of the fact if you really meant to say 30 |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Okay. Thank you. | 15 | days, 30 days and 15. Because normally it's ten |
| 16 | I -- well as to the last point, we will | 16 | business days, ten business days, and five. That |
| 17 | be able to figure that out, because the next step | 17 | would have given me a huge problem, because I |
| 18 | is -- as you know, we've made our preliminary | 18 | have -- I am scheduled to try Mr. Clark on January |
| 19 | decision. We will make a final decision after the | 19 | 9 , and -- which would have been right in the |
| 20 | parties file findings of fact, proposed findings | 20 | middle. I could do $30-$ and -- and -- and that |
| 21 | of fact and conclusions of law. | 21 | case may not go. He said doing everything in his |
| 22 | And I'm not sure if the transcript has | 22 | power to delay it, including removing these -- the |
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| 1 | disciplinary proceedings to federal court. So I | 1 | democracy to unfairly attack an election. It's an |
| 2 | don't know whether it will go on those times, but | 2 | even worse attack on a democracy to allow an |
| 3 | if it is 30,30 and 15, I can -- I won't have a | 3 | election to be stolen and not respond to it. And |
| 4 | problem with that. I would have a problem with a | 4 | there is enough of a basis to make that argument |
| 5 | shorter schedule. But if that's what you -- if | 5 | to those of us who believe that aren't persecuted. |
| 6 | you meant what you said, then I'm fine. | 6 | I've been investigated by the FBI. The |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: I -- I meant what I | 7 | investigation began the day that I represented |
| 8 | said, Mr. Fox. | 8 | Donald Trump. They took my iPhone Cloud the day |
| 9 | MR. FOX: Thank you. | 9 | that I represented Donald Trump. You're all |
| 10 | MR. KAMINS: Mr. Chair, I just have one | 10 | lawyers. That never happened to you. They |
| 11 | question. Do we -- do we put our own findings of | 11 | alleged probable cause that I committed a crime in |
| 12 | fact, or do we just respond to Mr. Fox's? | 12 | order to get that three years ago. A month ago |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Both, both. | 13 | they candidly admitted there was no probable cause |
| 14 | MR. KAMINS: Both? Okay. | 14 | to indict me after three and a half years of |
| 15 | MR. GIULIANI: Mr. Chairman, I -- I | 15 | investigation. They took every communication of |
| 16 | would like to personally object to Mr. Fox's | 16 | mine, every bank record of mine going back twenty |
| 17 | attack on me as having tried to undermine American | 17 | years, they interviewed all of my clients and |
| 18 | democracy when there is not a single fact in the | 18 | deprived me of a $\$ 6 \mathrm{M}$ law practice in so doing. |
| 19 | record to support that argument. He raised no | 19 | They raided my law office. In my 17 years in the |
| 20 | such argument to give us a chance to rebut it | 20 | Justice Department, I never raided a law office, |
| 21 | during the case. It is a typical -- | 21 | even those representing terrorists and organized |
| 22 | MR. KAMINS: All right. | 22 | criminals... |
|  | Page 1254 |  | Page 1256 |
| 1 | MR. GIULIANI: -- unethical cheap | 1 | They found nothing in that. They found |
| 2 | attack -- | 2 | no crime, no misdemeanor, nothing. They haven't |
| 3 | MR. KAMINS: Rudy, Rudy -- | 3 | apologized. They haven't offered to compensate me |
| 4 | MR. GIULIANI: -- not supported by | 4 | for the tremendous damage they did, and the -- and |
| 5 | anything in the record, far more so than anything | 5 | the -- and the fact is that it's the same basis |
| 6 | that I allege that you are questioning. | 6 | that they used is the basis that Mr. Fox is using, |
| 7 | MR. KAMINS: All right, Rudy -- | 7 | the unwillingness to admit that there may be |
| 8 | MR. GIULIANI: What I allege is | 8 | another side to this case. |
| 9 | supported by documents in the record. I didn't | 9 | I'm unfortunately the lawyer who has to |
| 10 | make any of it up. His arguments about democracy | 10 | represent the fact that there is another side to |
| 11 | presume that I did not have a good-faith belief | 11 | this case, and the fact that you advocate that |
| 12 | that there were irregularities in the election, | 12 | side of the case does not make you a traitor. It |
| 13 | and that the election might very well have been | 13 | doesn't make you any more -- any -- any more |
| 14 | stolen. That belief was based on, not just the | 14 | dedicated to democracy than Mr. Fox. |
| 15 | 250 affidavits in this case, but the thousand | 15 | And I'll put my work for democracy, |
| 16 | affidavits I have in other cases, as well as | 16 | I'll put my life at risk and the times that I did |
| 17 | tests, movies: "2,000 Mules," a report of a | 17 | for democracy up against Mr. Fox and anyone else. |
| 18 | Supreme Court justice of the State of Wisconsin. | 18 | And for that man to engage in that kind of a |
| 19 | I have -- I have two rooms filled with documents | 19 | personal attack when there was no record of that, |
| 20 | supporting my conclusion. | 20 | and for you to allow him to do that, I consider to |
| 21 | Now you're entitled to two points of | 21 | be an outrage. |
| 22 | view in America. It is a terrible attack on | 22 | MR. KAMINS: All right. |
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| 1 | MR. GIULIANI: And I am personally |  |
| 2 | offended by it and I -- I don't know what has |  |
| 3 | happened to the defensive lawyers who take on |  |
| 4 | unpopular causes, because that is exactly what I |  |
| 5 | did, and I have more than a basis for three |  |
| 6 | quarters of the cases that come into your purview |  |
| 7 | for bringing this case. It just happens to be |  |
| 8 | that my side of it is politically incorrect. |  |
| 9 | MR. KAMINS: Thank you. All right. |  |
| 10 | Thank you, Mr. Chair. |  |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BERNIUS: Thank you. Unless |  |
| 12 | there's anything else, we're adjourned. |  |
| 13 | MR. KAMINS: Thank you. |  |
| 14 | (Whereupon at 11:19 a.m. the hearing |  |
| 15 | concluded.) |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
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