Dear Members of the European Parliament, Dear Member States of the Council of the European Union,

Joint statement of scientists and researchers on EU's proposed Child Sexual Abuse Regulation: 4 July 2023

The signatories of this statement are scientists and researchers from across the globe.

First and foremost, we acknowledge that child sexual abuse and exploitation is a very serious crime which can cause lifelong harm to survivors. It is the responsibility of government authorities, with the support of companies and communities, to undertake effective interventions which prevent this crime and react to it quickly when it does happen.

The European Commission has proposed a law with the stated aim of stopping the spread of child sexual abuse material online and of grooming of children online. To do so, the law allows authorities to compel providers of any apps or other online services to scan the messages, pictures, emails, voice mails and other activities of their users. In the case of end-to-end encrypted apps, the claim is that this scanning can be done on users' devices – so-called 'Client-Side Scanning' (CSS).

The effectiveness of the law (at its stated aims) relies on the existence of effective scanning technologies. Unfortunately, the scanning technologies that currently exist and that are on the horizon are deeply flawed. These flaws, which we describe in detail below, means that scanning is doomed to be ineffective. Moreover, integrating scanning at large scale on apps running in user devices, and particularly in a global context, creates side-effects that can be extremely harmful for everyone online, and which could make the Internet and the digital society less safe for everybody.

As the problems we describe speak to measures that are at the core of the EU's legislative proposal, it is our professional recommendation as scientists that such a proposal be not taken forward. It is not feasible or tenable to require private companies to use technologies in ways that we already know cannot be done safely – or even at all. Given the horrific nature of child sexual abuse, it is understandable, and indeed tempting, to hope that there is a technological intervention that can eradicate it. Yet, looking at the issue holistically, we cannot escape the conclusion that the current proposal is not such an intervention.

Passing this legislation undermines the thoughtful and incisive work that European researchers have provided in cybersecurity and privacy, including contributions to the development of global encryption standards. Such undermining will weaken the environment for security and privacy work in Europe, lowering our ability to build a secure digital society.

The proposed regulation would also set a global precedent for filtering the Internet, controlling who can access it, and taking away some of the few tools available for people to protect their right to a private life in the digital space. This will have a chilling effect on society and is likely to negatively affect democracies across the globe.

We therefore strongly warn against pursuing these or similar measures as their success is not possible given current and foreseeable technology, while their potential for harm is substantial.

1. Detection technologies are deeply flawed and vulnerable to attacks

Tools used for scanning for **known Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM)** must not contain CSAM material itself as this would bring major risks. Thus, the only scalable technology to address this problem is by transforming the known content with a so-called perceptual hash function and by using a list of the resulting hash values to compare to potential CSAM material. A perceptual hash function needs to achieve two goals: (i) it should be easy to compute yet hard to invert and (ii) small changes to an image should result in small changes to the hash output, which means that even after image manipulation the known image can still be detected. While this sounds easy, after more than two decades of research there has been no substantial progress in designing functions that meet these properties.

Research has shown that for all known perceptual hash functions, it is virtually always possible to make small changes to an image that result in a large change of the hash value which allows evasion of detection (false negative). Moreover, it is also possible to create a legitimate picture that will be falsely detected as illegal material as it has the same hash as a picture that is in the database (false positive). This can be achieved even without knowing the hash database. Such an attack could be used to frame innocent users and to flood Law Enforcement Agencies with false positives – diverting resources away from real investigations into child sexual abuse.

These attacks are not theoretical: for concrete designs such as Photo DNA, Facebook's PDQ hash function and Apple's NeuralHash function, efficient attacks have been described in the literature. The only way to avoid such attacks for the time being is by keeping the description of the perceptual hash function secret. This "security by obscurity" not only goes against basic security engineering principles but, in practice, is only feasible if the perceptual hash function is known only to the service provider. In the case of end-to-end encryption, the hashing operation needs to take place on the client device. Thus, keeping the design secret is an illusion.

As scientists, we do not expect that it will be feasible in the next 10-20 years to develop a scalable solution that can run on users' devices without leaking illegal information and that can detect known content (or content derived from or related to known content) in a reliable way, that is, with an acceptable number of false positives and negatives.

The proposal of the European Commission goes beyond the detection of known content. It also requires that **newly generated images or videos** with CSAM need to be detected based on "artificial intelligence" tools. In addition, the proposal requires that **grooming in communication services** including both text and audio should be detected using similar techniques. While some commercial players claim that they have made progress, the designs remain secret and no open and objective evaluation has taken place that demonstrates their effectiveness. Moreover, the state of the art in machine learning suggests that this is way beyond what is feasible today. In fact, any time that client-side designs have been evaluated (as in the case of prototypes funded by the UK Home office) they have been found to be neither effective nor compliant with privacy and human-rights law.

Al tools can be trained to identify certain patterns with high levels of precision. However, they routinely make errors, including mistakes that to a human seem very basic. That is because AI systems lack context and common sense. There are some tasks to which AI systems are

well-suited, but searching for a very nuanced, sensitive crime — which is what grooming behaviour is — is not one of these tasks.

At the scale at which private communications are exchanged online, even scanning the messages exchanged in the EU on just one app provider would mean generating millions of errors every day. That means that when scanning billions of images, videos, texts and audio messages per day, the number of false positives will be in the hundreds of millions. It further seems likely that many of these false positives will themselves be deeply private, likely intimate, and entirely legal imagery sent between consenting adults.

This cannot be improved through innovation: 'false positives' (content that is wrongly flagged as being unlawful material) are a statistical certainty when it comes to AI. False positives are also an inevitability when it comes to the use of detection technologies -- even for known CSAM material. The only way to reduce this to an acceptable margin of error would be to only scan in narrow and *genuinely* targeted circumstances where there is prior suspicion, as well as sufficient human resources to deal with the false positives -- otherwise cost may be prohibitive given the large number of people who will be needed to review millions of texts and images. This is not what is envisioned by the European Commission's proposal.

The reporting system put forward in the draft CSAM proposal is likely to encourage novel attacks on detection technologies. This is because right now, providers have the discretion to sift out obvious false alerts. Under the new system, however, they would be required to report even content that seems unlikely to be CSAM. Besides the attacks we mention, many more are starting to appear in specialized academic venues, and we expect many more are being prepared by those motivated to share illicit material.

Finally, it has been claimed that detecting CSAM should be feasible as scanning for computer viruses is a widely deployed technology. While superficially both seem similar, there are essential differences. First, when a computer virus is detected, the user is warned and the virus can be removed. Second, a virus can be recognized based on a small unique substring, which is not the case for a picture or video: it would be very easy to modify or remove a unique substring with small changes that do not change the appearance; doing this for a virus would make the code inoperable. Finally, machine learning techniques can sometimes identify viral behaviour, but only when such behaviour can be precisely defined (e.g. code that copies itself) and thus detected. This is in opposition to defining CSAM for which clear boundaries cannot easily be established.

2. Technical Implications of weakening End-to-End Encryption

End-to-end encryption is designed so that only the sender and recipient can view the content of a message or other communication. Encryption is the only tool we have to protect our data in the digital realm; all other tools have been proven to be compromised. The use of link encryption (from user to service provider and from service provider to user) with decryption in the middle as used in the mobile telephone system is not an acceptable solution in the current threat environment. It is obvious that end-to-end encryption makes it impossible to implement scanning for known or new content and detection of grooming at the service provider. In order to remedy this, a set of techniques called "Client-Side Scanning" (CSS) has been suggested as a way to access encrypted communications without breaking the encryption. Such tools would reportedly work by scanning content on the user's device before it has been encrypted or after it has been decrypted, then reporting whenever illicit material is found. One may equate this to adding video cameras in our homes to listen to every conversation and send reports when we talk about illicit topics.

The only deployment of CSS in the free world was by Apple in 2021, which they claimed was state-of-the-art technology. This effort was withdrawn after less than two weeks due to privacy concerns and the fact that the system had already been hijacked and manipulated.

When deployed on a person's device, CSS acts like spyware, allowing adversaries to gain easy access to that device. Any law which would mandate CSS, or any other technology designed to access, analyse or share the content of communications will, without a doubt, undermine encryption, and make everyone's communications less safe as a result. The laudable aim of protecting children does not change this technical reality.

Even if such a CSS system could be conceived, there is an extremely high risk that it will be abused. We expect that there will be substantial pressure on policymakers to extend the scope, first to detect terrorist recruitment, then other criminal activity, then dissident speech. For instance, it would be sufficient for less democratic governments to extend the database of hash values that typically correspond to known CSAM content (as explained above) with hash values of content critical of the regime. As the hash values give no information on the content itself, it would be impossible for outsiders to detect this abuse. The CSS infrastructure could then be used to report all users with this content immediately to these governments.

If such a mechanism would be implemented, it would need to be in part through security by obscurity as otherwise it would be easy for users to bypass the detection mechanisms, for example by emptying the database of hash values or bypassing some verifications. This means that transparency of the application will be harmed, which may be used by some actors as a veil to collect more personal user data.

3. Effectiveness

We have serious reservations whether the technologies imposed by the regulation would be effective: perpetrators would be aware of such technologies and would move to new techniques, services and platforms to exchange CSAM information while evading detection.

The proposed regulation will harm the freedom of children to express themselves as their conversations could also be triggering alarms. National criminal law enforcement on-the-ground typically deals in a nuanced way with intimate messages between teenagers both around the age of consent. These technologies change the relationship between individuals and their devices, and it will be difficult to reintroduce such nuance. For other users, we have major concerns of the chilling effects created by the presence of these detection mechanisms.

Finally, the huge number of false positives that can be expected will require a substantial amount of resources while creating serious risks for all users to be identified incorrectly. These resources would be better spent on other approaches to protect children from sexual abuse. While most child protection work must be local, one way in which community legislation might help is by using existing powers (DMA/DSA) to require social network services to make it easier for users to complain about abuse, as it is user complaints rather than AI that in practice lead to the detection of new abuse material.

Signed,

Australia

Dr. Shaanan Cohney Prof. Vanessa Teague

Austria

Prof. Dr. Elena Andreeva Univ.-Prof. Dr. Rainer Böhme Prof. Maria Eichlseder Prof. Daniel Gruss Prof. Dr. Martina Lindorfer Univ.-Prof. Dr. Matteo Maffei Prof. Stefan Mangard Univ.-Prof. Dr. René Mayrhofer Prof. Elisabeth Oswald Univ.-Prof. Dr. Christian Rechberger Dr. Michael Roland Univ.-Prof. Edgar Weippl

Belgium

Prof. Dr. Rosamunde van Brakel Prof. Claudia Diaz Prof. Dr. Gloria González Fuster Prof. Dr. Joris van Hoboken Prof. Olivier Pereira Prof. Thomas Peters Prof. Bart Preneel Prof. François-Xavier Standaert Prof. Florentin Rochet Prof. Nigel Smart Prof. Mathy Vanhoef Prof. Ingrid Verbauwhede

Brazil

Prof. Ian Brown Prof. Alexandre Augusto Giron Dr. Jean Martina Prof. Dr. Marcos Antonio Simplicio Jr

Canada

Prof. Ian Goldberg Prof. Florian Kerschbaum Prof. David Lie Prof. Nicolas Papernot

Czechia

Dr. Vit Bukac

University of Melbourne Australian National University & Thinking Cybersecurity Pty Ltd

TU Wien Universität Innsbruck TU Graz TU Graz TU Wien TU Wien TU Graz Johannes Kepler University Linz University of Klagenfurt TU Graz Johannes Kepler University Linz University of Vienna, SBA Research

Vrije Universiteit Brussel KU Leuven Vrije Universiteit Brussel University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Brussel UCLouvain UCLouvain KU Leuven Fellow IACR UCLouvain University of Namur KU Leuven Fellow IACR KU Leuven Fellow IACR, IEEE

Centre for Technology & Society, Fundaçao Getulio Vargas Federal University of Technology - Parana Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina Universidade de Sao Paulo

University of Waterloo University of Waterloo University of Toronto Canada Research Chair University of Toronto and Vector Institute Fellow Sloan

Masaryk University

Prof. Vashek Matyas Prof. Kamil Malinka Dr. Petr Svenda Dr. Martin Ukrop

Denmark

Prof. Diego F. Aranha Prof. Carsten Baum Prof. Joan Boyar Prof. Ivan Damgård Dr. Christian Majenz Prof. Claudio Orlandi Prof. Luisa Siniscalchi Prof. Tyge Tiessen Prof. Dr. Emmanouil Vasilomanolakis

Estonia

Dr. Dan Bogdanov

Finland

Prof. Kimmo Halunen

France

Dr. Daniele Antonioli Dr. Gustavo Banegas Mr. Karthikeyan Bhargavan Dr. Bruno Blanchet Prof. Olivier Blazy Prof. Christina Boura Dr. Anne Canteaut Dr. Veronique Cortier Dr. Jannik Dreier Prof. Antonio Faonio Dr. Caroline Fontaine Dr. Aurélien Francillon Dr. Aymeric Fromherz Dr. Pierrick Gaudry Prof. Elham Kashefi Dr. Steve Kremer Dr. Gaëtan Leurent Dr. Pierre Laperdrix Dr. P. G. Macioti Prof. Melek Önen Dr. Maria Naya Plasencia Dir. Research Catuscia Palamidessi Dr. Léo Perrin Dr. Yann Rote Dr. Emmanuel Thomé

Germany

Dr. Ali Abassi Prof. Patricia Arias Cabarcos Dr. Gilles Barthe Dr. Sebastian Berndt Dr. Asia Biega Prof. Dr. Kevin Borgolte Dr. Sven Bugiel Dr. Rebekka Burkholz Prof. Dr. Cas Cremers Masaryk University Brno University of Technology Masaryk University Masaryk University

Aarhus University Technical University of Denmark University of Southern Denmark Aarhus University Technical University of Denmark Aarhus University Denmark Technical University Denmark Technical University Denmark

Personal capacity

apacity Estonian Academy of Sciences

University of Oulu

EURECOM Independent Researcher Cryspen Inria École Polytechnique University of Versailles Inria CNRS Université de Lorraine EURECOM CNRS EURECOM Inria CNRS CNRS and University of Edimburgh Inria Inria CNRS Medicines du Monde EURECOM Inria Inria Inria Université Paris-Saclay Inria

CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Paderborn University Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy University of Lübeck Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy Ruhr University Bochum CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Prof. Thomas Eisenbarth Prof. Thorsten Holz Prof. Tibor Jager Prof. Dr. Stefan Katzenbeisser Dr. Dietmar Kammerer Dr. Franziskus Kiefer Dr. Katharina Krombholz Prof. Anja Lehmann Dr. Wouter Lueks Dr. Christian Mainka Prof. Dr. Esfandiar Mohammadi Dr. Veelasha Moonsamy Prof. Dr. Andreas Peter Dr. Giancarlo Pellegrino Prof. Joachim Posegga Dr. Elissa Redmiles Dipl. Ir. Rainer Rehak Prof. Konrad Rieck Prof. Paul Rösler Prof. Dr. Christian Rossow Prof. Dr. Sebastian Schinzel Prof. Thomas Schneider Prof. Dr. Dominique Schröder Dr. Peter Schwabe Prof. Juraj Somorovsky Dr. Ben Stock Prof. Thorsten Strufe

Prof. Florian Tschorsch Dr. Nils Ole Tippenhauer Prof. Christian Wressnegger Prof. Dr. Yuval Yarom Dr. Xiao Zhang Dr. Yixin Zou

Greece

Prof. Vasiliki Diamantopoulou Prof. Christos Kalloniatis Prof. Georgios Kambourakis Prof. Costas Lambrinoudakis Prof. Emmanouil Magkos Prof. Stefanos Gritzalis

Ireland

Dr. TJ McIntyre

Dr. Stephen Farrell

Israel

Prof. Orr Dunlekman Dr. Yossi Oren Dr. Eyal Ronen Dr. Mahmood Sharif

Italy

Prof. Stefano Calzavara Prof. Mauro Conti Prof. Bruno Crispo Prof. Paolo Falcarin

University of Lübeck CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security University of Wuppertal University of Passau Weizenbaum Institute for the Networked Society Cryspen CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Hasso-Plattner-Institute, University of Potsdam CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Ruhr University Bochum University of Lübeck Ruhr University Bochum University of Oldenburg CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security University of Passau Max Planck Institute for Software Systems Weizenbaum Institute for the Networked Society Technische Universität Berlin FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Münster University of Applied Sciences Technische Universität Darmstadt Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy Paderborn University CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security KASTEL/Karlsruhe & Centre for Tactile Internet with Human-in-the-Loop, Dresden TU Berlin and HU Berlin CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Karlsruhe Institute of Technology Ruhr University Bochum CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy

University of the Aegean University of the Aegean University of the Aegean University of Piraeus Ionian University University of Piraeus and Hellenic Authority for Communication Security and Privacy

University College Dublin Sutherland School of Law & Digital Rights Ireland Trinity College Dublin

University of Haifa Ben-Gurion University Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv University

Università Ca' Foscari Venezia University of Padua University of Trento University of Venice Prof. Fabio Massaci Prof. Giuseppe Persiano Prof. Daniele Venturi Prof. Stefano Zanero

Liechtenstein

Prof. Giovanni Apruzzese

Luxembourg

Prof. Dr. Gabriele Lenzini Prof. Peter Y A Ryan

The Netherlands

Dr. Gunes Acar Prof. Dr. Leila Batina Prof. Dr. ir. Herbert Bos Dr. Corinne Cath Dr. Andrea Continella Prof. Joan Daemen Dr. Zekeriya Erkin Prof. Cristiano Giuffrida Dr. Seda Gürses Prof. Jaap-Henk Hoepman Prof. Andreas Hülsing Prof. Bart Jacobs Prof. Dr. Tanja Lange Prof. Ot van Daalen Prof. Michel van Eeten Dr. Heloise Vieira Prof. Ben Wagner

New Zealand Prof. Steven Galbraith

Norway Prof. Helger Lipmaa

Poland Prof. Stefan Dziembowski Dr. Anna Ratecka

Portugal

Ms. Sofia Celi Prof. Manuel Eduardo Correia Prof. Manuel Barbosa Prof. Hugo Pacheco Prof. Bernardo Portela Prof. Henrique Santos Prof. Nuno Santos

Taiwan Dr. Lorenz Panny

Turkey Prof. Cihangir Tezcan

United Arab Emirates Prof. Michail Maniatakos Prof. Chirstina Pöpper

University of Trento/Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Università di Salerno Sapienza University of Rome Politecnico di Milano

University of Liechtenstein

University of Luxembourg University of Luxembourg

Radboud University Niimegen Radboud University Nijmegen Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Delft University of Technology University of Twente Radboud University Nijmegen Delft University of Technology Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Delft University of Technology Radboud University Nijmegen Eindhoven University of Technology Radboud University Nijmegen Eindhoven University of Technology University of Amsterdam Delft University of Technology Eindhoven University of Technology Delft University of Technology

University of Auckland

Simula UiB

University of Warsaw Jagiellonian University in Krakow

Brave University of Porto University of Porto and INESC TEC University of Porto University of Porto Universidade do Minho INESC-ID and University of Lisbon

Academia Sinisa

Middle East Technical University

New York University Abu Dhabi New York University Abu Dhabi

United Kingdom

Dr. Ruba Abu-Salma Prof. Martin Albrecht Prof. Ross Anderson Prof. Reuben Binns Prof. Ioana Boureanu Dr. Jaya Klara Brekke Prof. Lorenzo Cavallaro Dr. Michele Ciampi Prof. Ligun Chen Dr. Richard Clayton Prof. Angela Daly Dr. Partha Das Chowdhury Dr. Benjamin Dowling Dr. Francois Dupressoir Dr. Tarig Elahi Prof. Hamed Haddadi Prof. Julio Hernandez-Castro Dr. Alice Hutchings Dr. Dennis Jackson Dr. Rikke Jensen Prof. Adam Joinson Dr. Philipp Jovanovic Prof. Vasilis Katos Prof. Aggelos Kiayias Dr. Bernardo Magri Prof. Corinne May-Chahal Prof. Keith Martin Dr. Maryam Mehrnezhad Prof. Sarah Meiklejohn Prof. Steven Murdoch Prof. Douwe Korff Dr. Daniel Page Dr. Claudia Peersman Dr. Fabio Pierazzi Prof. Awais Rachid Dr. Luc Rocher Dr. Kaspar Rosager Ludvigsen Dr. Christos Sagredos Dr. Siamak Shahandashti Dr. Jose Tomas Llanos Dr. Michael Veale Dr. Niovi Vavoula Dr. Christian Weinert Prof. Alan Woodward

United States of America

Prof. Giuseppe Ateniese Prof. Adam J. Aviv Prof. Steven Bellovin Prof. Matt Blaze Prof. Álvaro Cárdenas Prof. Chandrasekaran Prof. Nicolas Christin Mr. Roger Dingledine Prof. Zakir Durumeric Dr. Kelsey Fulton Dr. Simson L. Garfinkel Prof. Christina Garman Prof. Matthew D. Green King's College London King's College London Universities of Cambridge and Edinburgh University of Oxford University of Surrey Nym Technologies University College London University of Edinburgh University of Surrey University of Cambridge University of Dundee University of Bristol University of Sheffield University of Bristol University of Edinburgh Imperial College London University of Kent University of Cambridge Mozilla Royal Holloway, University of London University of Bath University College London **Bournemouth University** University of Edinburgh University of Manchester University of Lancaster Royal Holloway, University of London Royal Holloway, University of London University College London University College London London Metropolitan University University of Bristol University of Bristol King's College London University of Bristol University of Oxford University of Edinburgh King's College London University of York University College London University College London Queen Mary University of London Royal Holloway, University of London University of Surrey

George Mason University George Washington University Columbia University Georgetown University McDevitt Chair of CS and Law University of California, Santa Cruz University of California, Santa Cruz University Illinois Urbana-Champaign Carnegie Mellon University The Tor Project Stanford University Colorado School of Mines Digital Corpora Project Purdue University Johns Hopkins University Prof. Daniel Genkin Prof. Paul Grubbs Dr. Joseph Lorenzo Hall Dr. Britta Hale Prof. Emeritus Martin Hellman Prof. Nadia Heninger Prof. Nicholas Hopper Prof. Gabriel Kaptchuk Prof. Vasileios Kemerlis Dr. Jennifer King Prof. Engin Kirda Prof. Susan Landau Prof. Anna Lysyanskava Prof. Abigail Marsh Prof. Michelle Mazurek Prof. Ian Miers Prof. Prateek Mittal Ms. Riana Pfefferkorn Prof. Michalis Polychronakis Dr. Niels Provos Prof. Aanjhan Ranganathan Prof. Amir Rahmati Prof. Franziska Roesner Prof. Ronald L. Rivest Dr. Sarah Scheffler Prof. Barbara van Schewick Prof. Bruce Schneier Prof. Adam Shostack Nick Sullivan Dr. Santiago Torres-Arias Prof. Daniel Votipka Prof. David Wagner Prof. Daniel J. Weitzner Dr. Lian Wang Prof. Christo Wilson Prof. Matthew Wright

Singapore

Prof. Thomas Peyrin

South Korea

Prof. Sang Kil Cha

Spain

Dr. Jorge Blasco Alis Prof. Pino Caballero-Gil Dr. Ignacio Cascudo Prof. Josep Domingo-Ferrer Dr. Dario Fiore Dr. Gemma Galdon Clavell Prof. Maribel González Vasco Dr. Marco Guarnieri Dr. Jordi Herrera-Joancomartí Prof. Llorenc Huguet Dr. Guillermo Navarro-Arribas Prof. Fernando Pérez-González Dr. Cristina Perez-Sola Prof. Jose Such Dr. Carla Ràfols Prof. Josep Rifà

Georgia Tech University of Michigan Internet Society Independent researcher Stanford University **Turing Award** University of California, San Diego University of Minnesota Boston University Brown University Stanford University Northeastern University **Tufts University** Fellow AAAS, ACM **Brown University** Macalester College University of Maryland University of Maryland Princeton University Stanford University Stony Brook University Independent researcher Northeastern University Stony Brook University University of Washington MIT **Turing Award** Princeton University Stanford University Harvard Kennedy School University of Washington Independent **Purdue University** Tufts University UC Berkeley MIT Princeton University Northeastern University Sloan Fellow Rochester Institute of Technology

Nanyang Technological University

KAIST

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid University of La Laguna **IMDEA Software Institute** Universitat Rovira i Virgili IMDEA Software Institute Eticas Tech Universidad Carlos III de Madrid **IMDEA Software Institute** Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Balearic Island University Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona University of Viao Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Universitat Politecnica de Valencia Universitat Pompeu Fabra Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Fellow IEEE

Fellow IEEE

Prof. Juan Tapiador	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid		
Dr. Narseo Vallina-Rodriguez	IMDEA Networks Institute		
Sweden			
Prof. Simone Fischer-Hübner Prof. Dr -Ing Meiko Jensen	Karlstad University & Chalmers University of Technology Karlstad University		
Prof. Panos Papadimitratos	KTH Royal Institute of Technology Fellow IEEE		
Dr. Tobias Pulls	Karlstad University		
Prof. Vicenç Torra	Umeå University	Fellow IEEE	
Switzerland			
Prof. Srdjan Capkun	ETH Zurich	Fellow IEEE	
Prof. Bryan Ford	EPFL		
Dr. Julia Hesse	IBM Zurich		
Prof. Kenneth Paterson	ETH Zurich	Fellow IACR	
Prof. Mathias Payer	EPFL		
Dr. Apostolos Pyrgelis	EPFL		
Dr. Raphael M. Reischuk	National Test Institute for Cybersecurity NTC		
Prof. Shweta Shinde	ETH Zurich		
Prof. Carmela Troncoso	EPFL		