IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

COALITION FOR WORKFORCE
INNOVATION; ASSOCIATED
BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS OF
SOUTHEAST TEXAS; ASSOCIATED
BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS,
INCORPORATED; and FINANCIAL
SERVICES INSTITUTE,
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

MARTY J. WALSH, in his official capacity as Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor; JESSICA LOOMAN, in her official capacity as Principal Deputy Administrator, Division of Wage and Hour; and UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

Defendants-Appellants.

No. 22-40316

DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' STATUS REPORT AND UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FURTHER STAY PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27 and this
Court's order of December 12, 2022, Defendants-Appellants
(collectively, the government) respectfully request that this Court
continue to stay further proceedings in this matter for an additional

120-day period, with status reports due at 60-day intervals. This motion is unopposed.

Plaintiffs challenge two rules issued by the Department of
 Labor that first delayed and then withdrew a previously issued rule.

At the end of the last administration, the Department of Labor issued a rule that addressed the analysis used in determining whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). 86 Fed. Reg. 1168 (Jan. 7, 2021) (the Independent Contractor Rule). After the change in administration, the Department first delayed and then withdrew the Independent Contractor Rule. See 86 Fed. Reg. 12535 (Mar. 4, 2021) (the Delay Rule); 86 Fed. Reg. 24303 (May 6, 2021) (the Withdrawal Rule).

The district court vacated the Delay Rule and the Withdrawal Rule. See Coal. for Workforce Innovation v. Walsh, No. 1:21-cv-130, 2022 WL 1073346 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 14, 2022) (ECF No. 32).

2. After filing this appeal on May 13, 2022, the Department of Labor then announced that it intends to issue a new rule that will address the matter at issue in this appeal. See Jessica Looman,

Misclassification of Employees as Independent Contractors Under the

Fair Labor Standards Act (June 3, 2022),

https://blog.dol.gov/2022/06/03/misclassification-of-employees-as-independent-contractors-under-the-fair-labor-standards-act. In order to avoid an unnecessary expenditure of resources by the parties and the Court, the government sought to stay further proceedings in this matter pending completion of the new rulemaking proceedings.

- 3. On June 10, 2022, this Court granted in relevant part the government's first unopposed motion. The Court required the government to submit "status reports at 60-day intervals." Order (June 10, 2022). The Court further provided that the stay would "conclude in 180 days" "subject to considering a further motion at that time." *Id.* At the conclusion of that first 180-day period, the government again sought and obtained a second 180-day stay of further proceedings, with status reports due at 60-day intervals. Order (Dec. 12, 2022). That stay period ends Monday, June 12, 2023, "subject to considering a further motion at that time." *Id.*
- 4. During the time in which this matter has been stayed, as reflected in previous status reports, the Department has published a new proposed rule in the Federal Register. See Employee or Independent

Contractor Classification Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 87 Fed.

Reg. 62,218 (Oct. 13, 2022), available at

https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-10-13/pdf/2022-21454.pdf.

The deadline for submitting written comments on the proposed rule was December 13, 2022. See Employee or Independent Contractor

Classification Under the Fair Labor Standards Act; Extension of

Comment Period, 87 Fed. Reg. 64,749 (Oct. 26, 2022), available at

https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-10-26/pdf/2022-23314.pdf.

The Department received approximately 54,000 comments from the public on the proposed new rule. See Rulemaking Docket: Employee or Independent Contractor Classification Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, https://www.regulations.gov/docket/WHD-2022-0003/comments (last visited June 8, 2023). As the Department explained in a notice in the Federal Register regarding the proposed rule, the Department "takes seriously its obligation to consider any written data, views, or arguments' submitted by commenters." 87 Fed. Reg. at 64,749 (quoting 5 U.S.C. § 553(c)).

5. The Department is continuing to review and consider the comments received during the comment period on the proposed rule and

is continuing to considering whether to adopt the proposed rule.

Issuance of a final rule should make it unnecessary to pursue this appeal.

In order to continue to avoid an unnecessary expenditure of resources by the parties and the Court, the government moves to continue to stay further proceedings in this matter pending the completion of the rulemaking proceedings, with status reports due at 60-day intervals. Instead of requesting an additional 180-day period—the duration of the previous stay periods—the government instead requests only an additional 120-day period.

6. Counsel for plaintiffs have informed us that plaintiffs do not oppose this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

ALISA B. KLEIN

/s/ Joseph F. Busa

JOSEPH F. BUSA

Attorneys Appellate St.

Attorneys, Appellate Staff, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20530 (202) 353-0261 Joseph.F.Busa@usdoj.gov

JUNE 2023

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(g), I hereby certify this motion complies with the requirements of Fifth Circuit Local Rule 27.4 and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(1)(E) because it has been prepared in 14-point Century Schoolbook, a proportionally spaced font, and that it complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because it contains 705 words, according to the count of Microsoft Word.

/s/ Joseph F. Busa JOSEPH F. BUSA Counsel for Defendants-Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 9, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit using the appellate CM/ECF system. Participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users, and service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

 $\frac{\text{/s/ Joseph F. Busa}}{\text{JOSEPH F. BUSA}}$ Counsel for Defendants-Appellants